

Product of extension domains is still an extension domain

Pekka Koskela and Zheng Zhu

Abstract

Our main result Theorem 1.1 gives the following functional property of the class of $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains. Let $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ both be $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains for some $1 < p \leq \infty$. We prove that $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is also a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain. We also establish the converse statement.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. For $1 < p \leq \infty$, we let $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the corresponding Sobolev space of all functions $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ whose first order distributional partial derivatives on Ω belong to $L^p(\Omega)$. This space is normed by

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

We say that $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ is *ACL* (*absolutely continuous on lines*), if u has a representative \tilde{u} that is absolutely continuous on almost all line segments in Ω parallel to the coordinate axes. Then $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if u belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$ and has a representative \tilde{u} which is *ACL* and whose (classical) partial derivatives belong to $L^p(\Omega)$, see e.g. Theorem A.15 in [11] and Theorem 2.1.4 in [20].

We say that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ which only depends on Ω, n, p such that for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a function $Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $Eu|_\Omega \equiv u$ and

$$\|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

For example, every Lipschitz domain is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by the result of Calderón and Stein [17]. It is easy to give examples of domains that fail to be extension domains, for example, the slit disk $\Omega := D^2(0, 1) \setminus \{(x_1, 0) : 0 \leq x_1 < 1\}$. In general, the extension property for a fixed Ω may depend on the value of p , see [13], [16] and [12].

In [9], it was shown that any bi-Lipschitz image of a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain is also a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain: if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bi-Lipschitz, then Ω' is also a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain. Our main result gives another functional property of Sobolev extension domain.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. If $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains, then $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is also a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain. Conversely, if $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are domains so that $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain, then both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are necessarily $W^{1,p}$ -extension domains.*

According to Theorem 7 in [9] (see [21] for related results), a domain Ω is a $W^{1,\infty}$ -extension domain if and only if it is uniformly locally quasiconvex, that is, there exist positive constants C and R , such that for all $x, y \in \Omega$ with $|x - y| < R$, there exists a curve $\gamma_{x,y} \subset \Omega$ from x to y with

$$l(\gamma_{x,y}) \leq C|x - y|.$$

Here $l(\gamma_{x,y})$ is the length of the curve $\gamma_{x,y}$. It is easy to check that the product of uniformly locally quasiconvex domains is still uniformly locally quasiconvex, and hence we only need to prove the first part of Theorem 1.1 for $1 < p < \infty$.

Our proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1 is based on the existence of an explicit extension operator constructed by Shvartsman in [14]. A result from [9] allows us to employ this operator. This procedure could in principle also be tried for the case of the higher order Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}$, $k \geq 2$, but one does not seem to obtain suitable norm estimates. We would like to know whether the first part of Theorem 1.1 extends to the case of higher order Sobolev spaces or not; the second part does extend as can be seen from our proof below.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definitions and preliminary results

Our notation is fairly standard. Throughout the paper C, C_1, C_2, \dots or $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ will be generic positive constants which depend only on the dimension n , the domain Ω and indexes of spaces (s, p, q, etc.). These constants may change even in a single string of estimates. The dependence of a constant on certain parameters is expressed, for example, by the notation $\gamma = \gamma(n, p)$. We write $A \approx B$ if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $A/C \leq B \leq CA$.

Definition 2.1. *A measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be Ahlfors regular (shortly, regular) if there are constants $C_A \geq 1$ and $\delta_A > 0$ such that, for every cube Q with center in A and with diameter $\text{diam } Q \leq \delta_A$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad |Q| \leq C_A |Q \cap A|.$$

Given $u \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, and a cube Q , we set

$$\Lambda(u; Q)_{L^p} := |Q|^{\frac{-1}{p}} \inf_{C \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - C\|_{L^p(Q)} = \inf_{C \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |u - C|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

see Brudnyi [3] for the definition of a more general case. Sometimes, $\Lambda(u; Q)_{L^p}$ is also called the local oscillation of u , for instance, see Triebel [18]. This quantity is the main object on the theory of local polynomial approximations which provides a unified framework for the description of a large family of spaces of smooth functions. We refer the readers to Brudnyi [1]-[6] for the main ideas and results in local approximation theory.

Given a locally integrable function u on \mathbb{R}^n , we define its sharp maximal function $u_1^\#$ by setting

$$(2.2) \quad u_1^\#(x) := \sup_{r>0} r^{-1} \Lambda(u; Q(x, r))_{L^1}.$$

In [8], Calderón proved that, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, a function u is in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if and only if u and $u_1^\#$ are both in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, up to constants depending only on n and p , we have that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1^\#\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This characterization motivates the following definition. Given $1 < p \leq \infty$, a function $u \in L^p_{loc}(A)$, and a cube Q whose center is in A , we let $\Lambda(u; Q)_{L^p(A)}$ denote the normalized best approximation of f on Q in L^p -norm:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda(u; Q)_{L^p(A)} &:= |Q|^{\frac{-1}{p}} \inf_{C \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - C\|_{L^p(Q \cap A)} \\ &= \inf_{C \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q \cap A} |u - C|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

By $u_{1,A}^\#$, we denote the sharp maximal function of u on A ,

$$u_{1,A}^\#(x) := \sup_{r>0} r^{-1} \Lambda(u; Q(x, r))_{L^1(A)}, \quad x \in A.$$

Notice that $u_1^\# = u_{1,\mathbb{R}^n}^\#$.

The following trace theorem by Shvartsman from [14], relates local polynomial approximation to extendability.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a regular subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then a function $u \in L^p(A)$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, can be extended to a function $Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if*

$$u_{1,A}^\# := \sup_{r>0} r^{-1} \Lambda(u; Q(\cdot, r))_{L^1(A)} \in L^p(A).$$

In addition,

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}|_A \approx \|u\|_{L^p(A)} + \|u_{1,A}^\#\|_{L^p(A)}$$

with constants of equivalence depending only on n, k, p, C_A and δ_A . Here

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}|_A := \inf\{\|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} : Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), Eu|_A \equiv u \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of positive Lebesgue measure, we set

$$C^{1,p}(A) = \{u \in L^p(A) : u_{1,A}^\# \in L^p(A)\}, \quad \|u\|_{C^{1,p}(A)} = \|u\|_{L^p(A)} + \|u_{1,A}^\#\|_{L^p(A)}.$$

A result of Hajłasz, Koskela and Tuominen (Theorem 5 in [9]) that partially relies on Theorem 2.1 states the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain and fix $1 < p < \infty$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *For every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a function $Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Eu|_\Omega = f$ a.e.*

(b) *Ω satisfies the measure density condition (2.1) and $C^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as sets and the norms are equivalent.*

(c) *$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain.*

In [14], Shvartsman constructed an extension operator for Theorem 2.1 explicitly as a variant of the Whitney-Jones extension. We describe this procedure in the next section. In particular, based on Theorem 2.2, for an arbitrary $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain Ω with $1 < p < \infty$, there is a Whitney-type extension operator from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For an alternate Whitney-type extension operator see [10].

2.2 Whitney type extension

It will be convenient for us to measure distance via the uniform norm

$$\|x\|_\infty := \max\{|x_i| : i = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus every Euclidean cube

$$Q = Q(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|y - x\|_\infty \leq r\}$$

is a ball in the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm. Given a constant $\lambda > 0$, we let λQ denote the cube $Q(x, \lambda r)$. By Q^* we denote the cube $Q^* := \frac{9}{8}Q$.

As usual, given subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we put $\text{diam } A := \sup\{\|a - a'\|_\infty : a, a' \in A\}$ and

$$\text{dist}(A, B) := \inf\{\|a - b\|_\infty : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

We also set $\text{dist}(x, A) := \text{dist}(\{x\}, A)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By \overline{A} we denote the closure of A in \mathbb{R}^n and $\partial A := \overline{A} \setminus A$ the boundary of A . Finally, χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A ; we put $\chi_A \equiv 0$ if $A = \emptyset$.

The following property for Ahlfors-regular sets is well-known (see, e.g. [15]).

Lemma 2.1. *If A is an Ahlfors-regular subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $|\partial A| = 0$.*

In the remaining part of the paper, we will assume that S is a closed Ahlfors-regular subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Since now $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ is an open set, it admits a Whitney decomposition W_S (e.g. see Stein [17]). We recall the main properties of W_S .

Theorem 2.3. *$W_S = \{Q_k\}$ is a countable family of closed cubes such that*

- (i) $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S = \bigcup \{Q : Q \in W_S\}$;
- (ii) For every cube $Q \in W_S$

$$\text{diam } Q \leq \text{dist}(Q, S) \leq 4 \text{diam } Q;$$

(iii) No point of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ is contained in more than $N = N(n)$ distinct cubes from W_S .

We also need certain additional properties of Whitney cubes which we present in the next lemma. These properties readily follow from (i)-(iii).

Lemma 2.2. (1) *If $Q, K \in W_S$ and $Q^* \cap K^* \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{diam } Q \leq \text{diam } K \leq 4 \text{diam } Q.$$

(2) *For every cube $K \in W_S$ there are at most $N = N(n)$ cubes from the family $W_S^* := \{Q^* : Q \in W_S\}$ which intersect K^* .*

Let $\Phi_S := \{\phi_Q : Q \in W_S\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinated to the Whitney decomposition W_S , see [17].

Proposition 2.1. *Φ_S is a family of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n with the following properties:*

- (a) $0 \leq \phi_Q(x) \leq 1$ for every $Q \in W_S$;
- (b) $\text{supp } \phi_Q \subset Q^* (:= \frac{9}{8}Q)$, $Q \in W_S$;
- (c) $\sum \{\phi_Q(x) : Q \in W_S\} = 1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$;
- (d) For every multiindex β , $|\beta| \leq k$, and every cube $Q \in W_S$

$$|D^\beta \phi_Q(x)| \leq C(\text{diam } Q)^{-|\beta|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and k .

Observe that the family of cubes W_S constructed in [17] satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 with respect to the Euclidean norm rather than the uniform one. However, a simple modification of this construction provides a family of Whitney cubes which have the analogous properties with respect to the uniform norm.

Let $K = Q(x_K, r_K) \in W_S$ and let $a_K \in S$ be the point nearest to x_K on S . Then by the property (ii) of Theorem 2.3,

$$Q(a_K, r_K) \subset 10K.$$

Fix a small $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ and set $K_\epsilon := Q(a_K, \epsilon r_K)$. Let $Q = Q(x_Q, r_Q)$ be a cube from W_S with $\text{diam } Q \leq \delta_S$, where δ_S is as in Definition 2.1 for our regular sets. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_Q := \{K = Q(x_K, r_K) \in W_S : K_\epsilon \cap Q_\epsilon \neq \emptyset, r_K \leq \epsilon r_Q\}.$$

(Similar with K_ϵ , we set $Q_\epsilon := Q(a_Q, \epsilon r_Q)$) we define a ‘‘quasi-cube’’ H_Q by letting

$$H_Q := (Q_\epsilon \cap S) \setminus \left(\bigcup \{K_\epsilon : K \in \mathcal{A}_Q\} \right).$$

If $\text{diam } Q > \delta_S$, we put $H_Q := \emptyset$.

The following result is Theorem 2.4 in [14].

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be a closed regular subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there is a family of ‘‘quasi-cubes’’ $\mathcal{H}_\Omega = \{H_Q : Q \in W_A\}$ as discussed above with*

- (i) $H_Q \subset (10Q) \cap A, Q \in W_A$;
- (ii) $|Q| \leq \gamma_1 |H_Q|$ whenever $Q \in W_A$ with $\text{diam } Q \leq \delta_A$;
- (iii) $\sum_{Q \in W_A} \chi_{H_Q} \leq \gamma_2$.

Here γ_1 and γ_2 are positive constants depending only on n and C_A .

Next we present estimates of local polynomial approximations of the extension Ef , via corresponding local approximation of a function f defined on a closed regular subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We start by presenting two lemmas about properties of polynomials on subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Given a measurable subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $u \in L^p(A)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we let $\hat{E}_1(u; A)_{L^p}$ denote the local best constant approximation in L^p -norm, see Brudnyi [3],

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{E}_1(u; A)_{L^p} := \inf_{C \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - C\|_{L^p(A)}.$$

Thus

$$\Lambda(u; Q)_{L^p(A)} = |Q|^{\frac{-1}{p}} \hat{E}_1(u; Q \cap A)_{L^p}$$

see (2.4). We note a simple property of $\Lambda(u; \cdot)_{L^p(A)}$ as a function of cubes: for every pair of cubes $Q_1 \subset Q_2$

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda(u; Q_1)_{L^p(A)} \leq \left(\frac{|Q_2|}{|Q_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Lambda(u; Q_2)_{L^p(A)}.$$

Let A be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $|A| > 0$. We put

$$(2.8) \quad P_A(u) := \int_A u(x) dx = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A u(x) dx.$$

Then from a result of Brudnyi in [5], also see Proposition 3.4 in [14], we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let A be a subset of a cube Q with $|A| > 0$. Then the linear operator $P_A : L^1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property that for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and every $u \in L^p(A)$*

$$\|u - P_A(u)\|_{L^p(A)} \leq C \hat{E}_1(u; A)_{L^p}.$$

Here $C = C(n, \frac{|Q|}{|A|})$.

According to Lemma 2.1, the boundary of a regular set is of measure zero, so Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 immediately imply the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let S be a closed regular set and let $Q \in W_S$ be a cube with $\text{diam } Q \leq \delta_S$. There is a continuous linear operator $P_{H_Q} : L^1(H_Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every function $u \in L^p(S)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,*

$$\|u - P_{H_Q}(u)\|_{L^p(H_Q)} \leq \gamma \hat{E}_1(u; H_Q)_{L^p}.$$

Here $\gamma = \gamma(n, k, \theta_S)$.

We put

$$(2.9) \quad P_{H_Q} u = 0, \quad \text{if } \text{diam } Q > \delta_S.$$

Now the map $Q \rightarrow P_{H_Q}(f)$ is defined on all of the cubes in the family W_S . This map gives rise to a bounded linear extension operator from $L^p(S)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is defined by the formula

$$(2.10) \quad Eu(x) := \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in S, \\ \sum_{Q \in W_S} \phi_Q(x) P_{H_Q} u(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S. \end{cases}$$

Given a regular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{\Omega}$ is a closed regular set with $|\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega| = 0$. Given a function $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, the zero extension of u to the boundary $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ (still denoted by u) belongs to $L^p(S)$, and we define the extension Eu of u to \mathbb{R}^n by the formula (2.10). When $u \in C^{1,p}(S)$, Eu here is exactly the Eu from Theorem 2.1. By combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 together, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain for some $1 < p < \infty$. Then for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and Eu defined as in (2.10) for the zero extension of u to the boundary, we have $Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

with some positive constant C independent of u .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The first part of our main theorem (for $1 < p < \infty$) will be obtained as a consequence of the following extension result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain for some $1 < p < \infty$, and $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a domain. Then for every function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, there exists a function $E_1u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ such that $E_1u|_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \equiv u$ and*

$$\|E_1u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)}$$

with a positive constant C independent of u .

Proof. Theorem 2.3.2 in Ziemer's book [20] tells us that $C^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. With a small mollification in the proof of this result, it is easy to see that $C^1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. We begin by showing that we can extend the functions in $C^1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.

According to Theorem 2.2, Ω_1 is Ahlfors regular. Let $u \in C^1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. Then for $y \in \Omega_2$, using the extension (2.10), we set

$$(3.1) \quad E_1u(x, y) = Eu_y(x) := \begin{cases} u_y(x), & x \in \overline{\Omega_1}, \\ \sum_{Q \in W_{\Omega_1}} \phi_Q(x) P_{H_Q} u_y(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}. \end{cases}$$

Here u_y in (3.1) is the zero extension of u_y to the boundary $\partial\Omega_1$. In order to show $E_1u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$, we need to show that $E_1u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$, and for every β with $|\beta| = 1$, we need to find a function $v_\beta \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$, such that for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} E_1u(x, y) D^\beta \psi(x, y) dx dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} v_\beta(x, y) \psi(x, y) dx dy.$$

For the convenience of discussion and reading, we divide the rest of proof into three steps.

Step 1: In this step, we show that $E_1u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ and that the L^p -norm of E_1u is controlled by the $W^{1,p}$ -norm of u . By the Fubini theorem, $u_y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$. Since Ω_1 is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain, Theorem 2.5 gives that $E_1u(x, y) = Eu_y(x) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|Eu_y\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|Eu_y\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u_y\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)},$$

for every $y \in \Omega_2$ with $u_y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. Then by integrating with respect to $y \in \Omega_2$, we obtain the desired result.

Step 2: In this step, we show that there exist functions $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} E_1 u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} E_1 u(x, y) \psi(x, y) dx dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} E_1 u(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \psi(x, y) dx dy$$

for every $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$. For simplicity of notation, we assume that $i = 1$.

Fubini's theorem tells us that $u_y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$. Then by Theorem 2.5, (3.1) gives an extension $Eu_y \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $y \in \Omega_2$ with $u_y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. Then we set

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} E_1 u(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Eu_y(x), & \text{if } y \in \Omega_2 \text{ with } u_y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $Eu_y \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$, using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} E_1 u(x, y) \psi(x, y) dx dy &= \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Eu_y(x) \psi(x, y) dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Eu_y(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \psi(x, y) dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} E_1 u(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \psi(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.2) gives a first order distributional derivative of $E_1 u$ with respect to x_1 . Then using the Fubini theorem twice and the fact that the linear operator E from $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ to $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} E_1 u(x, y) \right|^p dx dy &= \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Eu_y(x) \right|^p dx dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} \left(|u_y(x)|^p + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_y(x) \right|^p \right) dx dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \left(|u(x, y)|^p + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u(x, y) \right|^p \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

we have obtained the desired norm estimate.

Step 3: In this step, we show that there exist functions $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} E_1 u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} E_1 u(x, y) \psi(x, y) dx dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} E_1 u(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \psi(x, y) dx dy$$

for every $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$. For simplicity of notation, we assume that $j = 1$.

Consider the projection

$$P_1 : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1},$$

which is defined by setting

$$P_1(y) = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m) =: \check{y}_1 \text{ for } y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \Omega_2.$$

Set $S_1^{\check{y}_1} := P_1^{-1}(\check{y}_1) \subset \Omega_2$, the preimage of $\check{y}_1 \in P_1(\Omega_2)$. Then $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$ is the union of at most countably many pairwise disjoint segments.

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\check{y}_1 \in P_1(\Omega_2)$. To begin, we assume that $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$ is a single segment. Now for $y_1^1, \check{y}_1, y_1^2, \check{y}_1 \in S_1^{\check{y}_1}$, according to (3.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1 u(x, y_1^1, \check{y}_1) - E_1 u(x, y_1^2, \check{y}_1) \\ = \sum_{Q \in W_{\overline{\Omega_1}}} \phi_Q(x) \left((P_{H_Q} u(x, y_1^1, \check{y}_1) - (P_{H_Q} u(x, y_1^2, \check{y}_1)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition (2.8) of $P_{H_Q} u$ and the facts that u is C^1 and $H_Q \times S_1^{\check{y}_1} \subset \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (P_{H_Q} u)(x, y_1^1, \check{y}_1) - (P_{H_Q} u)(x, y_1^2, \check{y}_1) \\ = \int_{H_Q} (u(w, y_1^1, \check{y}_1) - u(w, y_1^2, \check{y}_1)) dw \\ = \int_{H_Q} \left(\int_{y_1^2}^{y_1^1} \frac{\partial u(w, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} ds \right) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1 u(x, y_1^1, \check{y}_1) - E_1 u(x, y_1^2, \check{y}_1) \\ = \sum_{Q \in W_{\overline{\Omega_1}}} \phi_Q(x) \int_{H_Q} \int_{y_1^2}^{y_1^1} \frac{\partial u(w, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} ds dw; \end{aligned}$$

notice that x is contained in the support of only finite many Φ_Q , hence $E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)$ is absolutely continuous as a function of s on $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$. By repeating this for each component of $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$, we conclude that $E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)$ is absolutely continuous as a function of s on every component of $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$. From (3.5) and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} &:= \lim_{s' \rightarrow s} \frac{E_1 u(x, s', \check{y}_1) - E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)}{s' - s} \\ &= \sum_{Q \in W_{\overline{\Omega_1}}} \phi_Q(x) \int_{H_Q} \frac{\partial u(w, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} dw = E_1 \frac{\partial u(x, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1}, \end{aligned}$$

exists for $\mathcal{H}^1 - a.e.$ s with $(s, \check{y}_1) \in S_1^{\check{y}_1}$. Fix $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$. Since $E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)$ is absolutely continuous as a function of s on each segment of $S_1^{\check{y}_1}$, we conclude that

$$\int_{S_1^{\check{y}_1}} E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1) \frac{\partial \psi(x, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} ds = - \int_{S_1^{\check{y}_1}} \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, s, \check{y}_1)}{\partial y_1} \psi(x, s, \check{y}_1) ds.$$

In order to complete the definition of $\frac{\partial E_1 u}{\partial y_1}$, we define $\frac{\partial E_1 u}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_1}$ when $(x, y) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ and $\frac{\partial E_1 u}{\partial y_1} = 0$ when $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$. Then let us show that $\frac{\partial E_1 u}{\partial y_1}$ is a first order distributional derivative of $E_1 u$ with respect to y_1 -coordinate. By the Fubini theorem, (3.6) and the fact that $|\partial\Omega_1| = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} E_1 u(x, y) \frac{\partial \psi(x, y)}{\partial y_1} dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{P_1(\Omega_2)} \int_{S_1^{\check{y}_1}} E_1 u(x, y) \frac{\partial \psi(x, y)}{\partial y_1} dy_1 d\check{y}_1 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{P_1(\Omega_2)} \int_{S_1^{\check{y}_1}} \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \psi(x, y) dy_1 d\check{y}_1 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \psi(x, y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $\frac{\partial E_1 u}{\partial y_1} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ and that its norm is controlled by the Sobolev norm of u . Since $|\partial\Omega_1| = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \left| \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx dy &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \left| \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}) \times \Omega_2} \left| E_1 \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

As we know, for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_1} \Big|_y \in L^p(\Omega_1)$. Using the fact that $E : L^p(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| E_1 \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_1} \left| \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx,$$

for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$. Then we do the integration with respect to $y \in \Omega_2$ on the two sides of the inequality above, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2} \left| \frac{\partial E_1 u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx dy \leq C \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \left| \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial y_1} \right|^p dx dy.$$

In conclusion, we have showed that the linear extension operator E_1 is bounded from $C^1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ for our fixed $1 < p < \infty$. Since $C^1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, E_1 extends to a bounded linear extension operator from $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Regarding the first part of the claim, by Theorem 3.1 we have a bounded extension operator $E_1 : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$, and it thus suffices to extend functions in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$ to $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. Given $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega_2)$, define $\hat{u}(x, y) = u(y, x)$. Then $\hat{u} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the desired extension is obtained via Theorem 3.1 as $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain.

Towards the second part, by symmetry, it suffices to prove that $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ must be a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain whenever $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ is such a domain.

Suppose first that Ω_2 has finite measure. Given $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, define $v(x, y) = u(x)$. Then $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. Let $Ev \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ be an extension of v . Then $Ev \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{y\})$ for almost every $y \in \Omega_2$. This follows via the Fubini theorem from the ACL-characterization of $W^{1,p}$ given in our introduction. Since $v(x, y) = u(x)$, we conclude that u must be the restriction of some function $w \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This allows us to infer from Theorem 2.2 that Ω_1 must be a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain.

In case Ω_2 has infinite measure, we fix a ball $B \subset \Omega_2$ and pick a smooth function ψ with compact support so that ψ is identically 1 on B . We still define v as above and set $w = \psi v$. Then $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ and we may repeat the above argument as $w(x, y) = u(x)$ for almost every $y \in B \subset \Omega_2$. \square

Pekka Koskela
Zheng Zhu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014, Finland.

E-mail address: pekka.j.koskela@jyu.fi
zheng.z.zhu@jyu.fi

References

- [1] Yu. A. Brudnyi, A multidimensional analog of a certain theorem of Whitney, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*82(124), 169-191 (1970); English transl.: *Math. USSR-Izv.* 4, 568-586 (1970).
- [2] Yu. A. Brudnyi, Approximations of functions of n variables by quasi-polynomials, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 34,564-583 (1970); English transl.: *Math. USSR-Izv.* 4, 568-596 (1970).
- [3] Yu. A. Brudnyi, Spaces that are definable by means of local approximations, *Trudy Moskov. Math. Obshch.* 24, 69-132 (1971); English transl.: *Trans. Moscow Math Soc.* 24, 73-139 (1974).
- [4] Yu. A. Brudnyi, Piecewise polynomial approximation, embedding theorem and rational approximation, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 556* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976), pp. 73-98.
- [5] Yu. A. Brudnyi, Investigation in the Theory of Local Approximations, *Doctoral Dissertation*, Leningrad University (1977) (in Russian).

- [6] Yu. A. Brudnyi, Adaptive approximation of functions with singularities, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 55, 149-242 (1994); English transl.: *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 55, 123-186 (1995).
- [7] Yu. A. Brudnyi and M. I. Ganzburg, On an Extremal Problem for Polynomials in n Variables, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 37, 344-355 (1973); English transl.: *Math. USSR Izv.* 7, 345-356 (1973).
- [8] A. P. Calderón, Estimates for singular integral operators in terms of maximal functions, *Studia Math.* 44, 563-582 (1972).
- [9] P. Hajlasz, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen, Sobolev embeddings, extensions and measure density condition, *J. Funct. Anal.* 254 (2008), no.5, 1217-1234.
- [10] P. Hajlasz, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen, Measure density and extendability of Sobolev functions, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 24 (2008), no. 2, 645-669.
- [11] S. Hencl and P. Koskela, *Lectures on Mappings of finite distortion*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 2096, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [12] P. Koskela, T. Rajala and Y. Zhang, A geometric characterization of planar Sobolev extension domains, preprint.
- [13] P. Koskela, Extensions and imbeddings, *J. Funct. Anal.* 159, 369-384 (1998)
- [14] P. Shvartsman, Local approximations and intrinsic characterizations of spaces of smooth functions on regular subset of \mathbb{R}^n , *Math. Nachr.* 279 (11) (2006) 1212-1241.
- [15] P. Shvartsman, On extension of Sobolev functions defined on regular subsets of metric measure spaces, *J. Approx. Theory.* 144 (2007) 139161.
- [16] P. Shvartsman, On Sobolev extension domains in \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), no. 7, 2205-2245.
- [17] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970).
- [18] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces. II*, Monographs in Mathematics Vol. 84 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992).
- [19] H. Whitney, Functions differentiable on the boundaries of regions, *Ann of Math.* 35(3) (1934) 482-485.
- [20] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly Differentiable Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 120. New York: Springer-verlag.
- [21] N. Zobin, Whitney's problem on extendability of functions and an intrinsic metric, *Adv. Math.* 133 (1998) 96-132.