

HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS UP TO HOMOTOPY

APURBA DAS

ABSTRACT. A hom-associative algebra is an algebra whose associativity is twisted by an algebra homomorphism. In this paper, we introduce a strongly homotopy version of hom-associative algebras (HA_∞ -algebras in short) on a graded vector space. We describe 2-term HA_∞ -algebras in details. In particular, we study ‘skeletal’ and ‘strict’ 2-term HA_∞ -algebras. We also introduce hom-associative 2-algebras as categorification of hom-associative algebras. The category of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and the category of hom-associative 2-algebras are shown to be equivalent. An appropriate skew-symmetrization of HA_∞ -algebras give rise to HL_∞ -algebras introduced by Sheng and Chen. Finally, we define a suitable Hochschild cohomology theory for HA_∞ -algebras which control the deformation of the structures.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. DG hom-associative algebras	4
2.2. DG hom-Lie algebras	5
3. Strongly homotopy hom-associative algebras	5
3.1. HA_∞ -algebras	6
3.2. Coderivation interpretation	8
3.3. Homotopy transfer theorems	10
4. 2-term HA_∞ -algebras	11
4.1. Skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras	12
4.2. Strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras	14
5. Hom-associative 2-algebras	16
6. Cohomology and deformations of HA_∞ -algebras	19
6.1. Cohomology	19
6.2. Deformations	22
7. Strongly homotopy hom-Lie algebras	24
7.1. HL_∞ -algebras	24
7.2. Coderivation interpretation	26
7.3. Cohomology and deformations	27
8. Appendix	28
8.1. HA_∞ -category	28
8.2. HA_∞ -coalgebras	29
8.3. HP_∞ -algebras	29
References	30

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55U15, 16E45, 17A99, 16E40, 16D20.

Key words and phrases. Hom-algebras, Homotopy algebras, HA_∞ -algebras, HL_∞ -algebras, 2-vector space, Hochschild cohomology, formal deformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Homotopy algebras was first appeared in the work of Stasheff [23] in the recognition of loop spaces. More precisely, a connected topological space X is a 1-fold loop space if and only if it admits a structure of an A_∞ -space. The algebraic analogue of an A_∞ -space is called an A_∞ -algebra (strongly homotopy associative algebra). An A_∞ -algebra consists of a graded vector space A together with a collection of multilinear maps $\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 1$, satisfying certain conditions. A first example of an A_∞ -algebra is a differential graded associative algebra in which $\mu_k = 0$, for $k \geq 3$. An equivalent description of an A_∞ -algebra structure on A is given by a square-zero coderivation on the tensor coalgebra of the suspension sA . The Lie analogue of an A_∞ -algebra is called an L_∞ -algebra (strongly homotopy Lie algebra) which was first appeared in a supporting role in deformation theory [15,16]. L_∞ -algebras also play a fundamental role in the Kontsevich's proof of the deformation quantization of arbitrary Poisson manifolds.

In this paper, we deal with certain type of algebras, called hom-type algebras. In these algebras, the identities defining the structures are twisted by homomorphisms. Recently, hom-type algebras have been studied by many authors. The notion of hom-Lie algebras was first introduced by Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov [11]. Hom-Lie algebras appeared in examples of q-deformations of the Witt and Virasoro algebras. Other type of algebras (e.g. associative, Leibniz, Poisson, Hopf,...) twisted by homomorphisms have also been studied. See [17,18] (and references there in) for more details. Our main objective of this paper is the notion of hom-associative algebra introduced by Makhlouf and Silvestrov [17] and was further studied in [2,18,19,24]. A hom-associative algebra is an algebra whose associativity is twisted by an algebra homomorphism. More precisely, a hom-associative algebra is an algebra (A, μ) and an algebra homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\mu(\alpha(a), \mu(b, c)) = \mu(\mu(a, b), \alpha(c)),$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, one recover the classical notion of associative algebras as a subclass. To extend the formal deformation theory from associative algebras to hom-associative algebras, the authors in [2,19] introduce a Hochschild type cohomology theory (suitably twisted by α) for hom-associative algebras. Recently, the present author shows that like the classical associative case [8], the Hochschild cohomology of a hom-associative algebra carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure [5]. This Gerstenhaber structure on cohomology is in fact induced from a homotopy G -algebra structure on the Hochschild cochain groups [6].

The notion of strongly homotopy hom-Lie algebras or HL_∞ -algebras was introduced by Sheng and Chen as a hom-analogue of L_∞ -algebras [21]. The aim of the present paper is to introduce the hom-analogue of A_∞ -algebras or strongly homotopy hom-associative algebras (HA_∞ -algebras in short). More precisely, an HA_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space A consists of multilinear maps $\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 1$, a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 which commute with all μ_k 's and satisfying certain conditions (cf. Definition 3.1). We define $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebras as equivalent notion of HA_∞ -algebras by a degree shift (cf. Definition 3.4). We also give an equivalent description of HA_∞ -algebras in terms of suitable coderivations. Given a graded vector space V and a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ of degree 0, we consider

$\text{Coder}_\alpha^p(TV) = \{D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{\varrho}_n | \varrho_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V \text{ is a map of degree } p \text{ satisfying } \alpha \circ \varrho_n = \varrho_n \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}\}$, where each $\widetilde{\varrho}_n : TV \rightarrow TV$ is obtained from ϱ_n by a suitable coderivation rule (cf. Lemma 3.9). The graded space $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(TV)$ carries a graded Lie algebra structure under the graded commutator of coderivations. We show that an HA_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space A with respect to a degree 0 linear map α is equivalent to an element $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$ whose square is zero, where $V = sA$ (cf. Theorem 3.11). Like classical cases of A_∞ -algebras, we also prove homotopy transfer theorems for HA_∞ -algebras (Theorems 3.13, 3.14). We remark that similar notions of strongly homotopy hom-associative algebras have been defined in [1,26]. Although, our definition is much fruitful and perfectly fits with the cohomology theory of hom-associative algebras (see the contents of Section 4).

Note that, explicit description of 2-term L_∞ -algebras have been studied in [3] and they are related to categorification of Lie algebras. In this paper, we describe 2-term HA_∞ -algebras in more details. More precisely, a 2-term HA_∞ -algebra is given by a complex $A := (A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0)$ together with maps $\mu_2 : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$ and $\mu_3 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$, a chain map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying a set of axioms (cf. Definition 4.1). We denote the category of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras by $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$. Particular cases are given by ‘skeletal’ and ‘strict’ 2-term HA_∞ -algebras. Skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras are given by the condition $d = 0$ and strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras are given by the condition $\mu_3 = 0$. We show that skeletal algebras are classified by third Hochschild cohomology of hom-associative algebras (cf. Theorem 4.6). More generally, we prove that an n -term HA_∞ -algebras whose underlying graded vector space is concentrated in degrees $0, n - 1$ and the zero differential are classified by $(n + 1)$ -th Hochschild cohomology of hom-associative algebras (cf. Theorem 4.7). We introduce crossed module of hom-associative algebras and prove that strict algebras correspond to crossed modules of hom-associative algebras (cf. Theorem 4.11).

A 2-vector space is a category C with a vector space of objects C_0 and a vector space of morphisms C_1 , such that all the structure maps are linear. It is known that the category of 2-term complexes and the category of 2-vector spaces are equivalent [3]. We introduce hom-associative 2-algebras as categorification of hom-associative algebras (cf. Definition 5.1). We denote the category of hom-associative 2-algebras by $\mathbf{HAss2}$. Following the proof of [3], we prove that the categories $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{HAss2}$ are equivalent (cf. Theorem 5.4).

Next, we define left (right) modules and bimodules over HA_∞ -algebras. It turns out that any HA_∞ -algebra is a module over itself. We define a suitable Hochschild cohomology of an HA_∞ -algebra with coefficients in itself. Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra with the corresponding square-zero coderivation $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$, where $V = sA$. Then the Hochschild cochains are defined as

$$C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A) := \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-(\bullet-1)}(TV).$$

The coderivation D induces a differential $\delta_\alpha(-) := [D, -]$ on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$. The cohomology groups are denoted by $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$. It is known that the Hochschild cohomology of an A_∞ -algebra carries a Gerstenhaber structure [10]. A similar method can be adapted to show that the Hochschild cohomology of an HA_∞ -algebra inherits a Gerstenhaber structure (cf. Theorem 6.3). Motivated from the formal deformation of hom-associative algebras [2,19], we study formal deformation of HA_∞ -algebras. We show that the deformation is controlled by the Hochschild cohomology of HA_∞ -algebras. Our main results about deformations are similar to classical cases. More precisely, the vanishing of the second Hochschild cohomology $H_\alpha^2(A, A)$ implies that the HA_∞ -algebra A is rigid (cf. Theorem 6.7) and the vanishing of the third cohomology $H_\alpha^3(A, A)$ allows one to extend a deformation of order n to a deformation of order $n + 1$ (cf. Theorem 6.9).

Finally, we deal with HL_∞ -algebras introduced by Sheng and Chen [21]. We define $HL_\infty[1]$ -algebras as equivalent notion of HL_∞ -algebras by a degree shift. Like HA_∞ -algebras, we give an equivalent description of HL_∞ -algebras in terms of suitable coderivations (cf. Theorem 7.10). Using this result, we show that a suitable skew-symmetrization of HA_∞ -algebras give rise to HL_∞ -algebras (cf. Theorem 7.11). At the end, we define module over HL_∞ -algebras and Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology. We also remark about the deformation of HL_∞ -algebras.

In the appendix, we introduce some generalizations of HA_∞ -algebras and HL_∞ -algebras. Namely, we introduce HA_∞ -categories (categorical model of HA_∞ -algebras), HA_∞ -coalgebras (hom-coalgebras up to homotopy) and HP_∞ -algebras (hom-Poisson algebras up to homotopy).

Throughout the paper, we assume that the (graded) linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is not identically zero. We also assume that the underlying field has characteristic zero.

Sign convention. In order to deal with graded vector spaces, it is important to keep track of signs. The sign convention is that whenever two symbols of degrees p and q , respectively, are interchanged, we multiply by a sign $(-1)^{pq}$. For a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus V_i$ and graded homogeneous

indeterminates $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V$, a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the Koszul sign $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is given by

$$a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n = \epsilon(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{\sigma(n)},$$

where \wedge is the product on the free graded commutative algebra generated by $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Note that, $\epsilon(\sigma)$ does not involve the usual sign $(-1)^\sigma$ of the permutation. Denote $\chi(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ by $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^\sigma \epsilon(\sigma)$.

For any graded vector spaces V and W , a graded linear map $f : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow W$ (of degree r) is called symmetric if

$$f(a_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{\sigma(n)}) = \epsilon(\sigma) f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$$

and is called skew-symmetric if

$$f(a_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{\sigma(n)}) = \chi(\sigma) f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n).$$

Organization. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions on hom-associative and hom-Lie algebras. on hom-associative algebra and hom-Lie algebra in the differential graded context. In Section 3, we introduce HA_∞ -algebras and other equivalent descriptions. We also describe homotopy transfer theorems for HA_∞ -algebras. In Section 4, we focus on 2-term HA_∞ -algebras. In particular, we discuss about skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras. Section 5 concerns about hom-associative 2-algebras. In Section 6, we discuss cohomology and deformations of HA_∞ -algebras. Finally, in Section 7, we visit HL_∞ -algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall hom-associative algebras and hom-Lie algebras in the differential graded perspectives. For more details on hom-algebras, see [2,5,6,11,17–19,24].

2.1. DG hom-associative algebras.

2.1. Definition. A hom-associative algebra is a triple (A, μ, α) consists of a vector space A together with a bilinear map $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying $\alpha(\mu(a, b)) = \mu(\alpha(a), \alpha(b))$ and the following hom-associativity condition

$$(1) \quad \mu(\alpha(a), \mu(b, c)) = \mu(\mu(a, b), \alpha(c)), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

In [2] the authors called such a hom-associative algebra ‘multiplicative’. By a hom-associative algebra, they mean a triple (A, μ, α) of a vector space A , a bilinear map $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying condition (1). When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, in any case, one gets the definition of a classical associative algebra.

Next, we recall the definition of Hochschild type cohomology for hom-associative algebras with coefficients in a hom-bimodule. Let (A, μ, α) be a hom-associative algebra. A hom-bimodule over it consists of a vector space M together with a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$ and maps $\cdot : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ and $\cdot : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\beta(a \cdot m) = \alpha(a) \cdot \beta(m)$, $\beta(m \cdot a) = \beta(m) \cdot \alpha(a)$ and the followings are hold

$$\alpha(a) \cdot (b \cdot m) = \mu(a, b) \cdot \beta(m),$$

$$\beta(m) \cdot \mu(a, b) = (m \cdot a) \cdot \alpha(b),$$

$$\alpha(a) \cdot (m \cdot b) = (a \cdot m) \cdot \alpha(b),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$. The above three relations can be expressed in terms of the commutativity of the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \beta} & A \otimes M \\ \alpha \otimes \cdot \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot \\ A \otimes M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\beta \otimes \mu} & M \otimes A \\ \cdot \otimes \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot \\ M \otimes A & \longrightarrow & M \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes M \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \cdot} & A \otimes M \\ \cdot \otimes \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot \\ M \otimes A & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

It follows that $M = A$ is a hom-bimodule over A with respect to the linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. Given a hom-bimodule (M, β, \cdot) , one can define a Hochschild type cohomology of (A, μ, α) with coefficients in the hom-bimodule. The cochain complex is given by $(C_{\alpha, \beta}^\bullet(A, M), \delta_{\alpha, \beta})$ where

$$C_{\alpha, \beta}^n(A, M) := \{f : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M \mid f(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_n)) = \beta(f(a_1, \dots, a_n)), \text{ for all } a_i \in A\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= \alpha^{n-1}(a_1) \cdot f(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{i-1}), \mu(a_i, a_{i+1}), \alpha(a_{i+2}), \dots, \alpha(a_{n+1})) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}(a_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The cohomology of this complex is called the Hochschild cohomology of A with coefficients in the hom-bimodule (M, β, \cdot) and the cohomology groups are denoted by $H_{\alpha, \beta}^\bullet(A, M)$. When $M = A$ and $\beta = \alpha$, we denote the Hochschild cochain complex by $(C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A), \delta_\alpha)$ and the cohomology is denoted by $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$. This is called the Hochschild cohomology of (A, μ, α) . Like classical case, these cohomology theory control the deformation of hom-associative algebras [2]. Recently, the present author showed that the cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ inherits a Gerstenhaber algebra structure [5,6].

A graded hom-associative algebra consists of a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus A_i$ together with a bilinear map $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 satisfying $\alpha(\mu(a, b)) = \mu(\alpha(a), \alpha(b))$ and the hom-associativity condition

$$\mu(\alpha(a), \mu(b, c)) = \mu(\mu(a, b), \alpha(c)), \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

A differential graded (DG) hom-associative algebra is a graded hom-associative algebra $(A = \bigoplus A_i, \mu, \alpha)$ together with a differential $d : A \rightarrow A$ of degree -1 satisfying $\alpha \circ d = d \circ \alpha$ and

$$d(\mu(a, b)) = \mu(da, b) + (-1)^{|a|} \mu(a, db), \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

2.2. DG hom-Lie algebras.

2.2. Definition. A hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [-, -], \alpha)$ consists of a vector space L together with a skew-symmetric bilinear map $[-, -] : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ and a linear map $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $\alpha([a, b]) = [\alpha(a), \alpha(b)]$ and the following hom-Jacobi identity

$$[[a, b], \alpha(c)] + [[b, c], \alpha(a)] + [[c, a], \alpha(b)] = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in L.$$

When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, one gets the definition of a Lie algebra. A module over a hom-Lie algebra $(L, [-, -], \alpha)$ consists of a vector space M together with a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$ and a map $[-, -] : L \otimes M \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\beta[a, m] = [\alpha(a), \beta(m)]$ and

$$[[a, b], \beta(m)] = [\alpha(a), [b, m]] - [\alpha(b), [a, m]], \text{ for all } a, b \in L, m \in M.$$

It is clear that an hom-Lie algebra is a module over itself. One may also define a Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology for hom-Lie algebras with coefficients in a module [2].

A graded hom-Lie algebra consists of a graded vector space $L = \bigoplus L_i$ together with a graded skew-symmetric bilinear map $[-, -] : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ of degree 0 and a linear map $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ of degree 0 satisfying $\alpha([a, b]) = [\alpha(a), \alpha(b)]$ and the following graded hom-Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{|a||c|} [[a, b], \alpha(c)] + (-1)^{|b||a|} [[b, c], \alpha(a)] + (-1)^{|c||b|} [[c, a], \alpha(b)] = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in L.$$

A differential graded (DG) hom-Lie algebra is a graded hom-Lie algebra $(L = \bigoplus L_i, [-, -], \alpha)$ together with a differential $d : L \rightarrow L$ of degree -1 satisfying $\alpha \circ d = d \circ \alpha$ and

$$d[a, b] = [da, b] + (-1)^{|a|} [a, db], \text{ for all } a, b \in L.$$

3. STRONGLY HOMOTOPY HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to introduce hom-analogue of A_∞ -algebras or strongly homotopy hom-associative algebras (HA_∞ -algebras in short). We also prove homotopy transfer theorems for HA_∞ -algebras.

3.1. HA_∞ -algebras.

3.1. Definition. An HA_∞ -algebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus A_i$ together with

- (i) a collection $\{\mu_k \mid 1 \leq k < \infty\}$ of linear maps $\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ with $\deg(\mu_k) = k - 2$,
- (ii) a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 with

$$\alpha(\mu_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = \mu_k(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_k))$$

such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{\lambda(i+1)+i(|a_1|+\dots+|a_{\lambda-1}|)} \mu_j(\alpha^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \alpha^{i-1}a_{\lambda+i}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_n) = 0,$$

for $a_i \in A_{|a_i|}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, or, equivalently,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, s \geq 1} (-1)^{rs+t} \mu_{r+1+t}((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes \mu_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}) = 0.$$

An HA_∞ -algebra as above is denoted by (A, μ_k, α) . When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, one gets the definition of an A_∞ -algebra introduced by Stasheff [23]. When A is a vector space considered as a graded vector space concentrated in degree 0, we get hom-associative algebras [17].

The above definition of an HA_∞ -algebra has the following consequences. For $n = 1$, we get $\mu_1^2 = 0$, which means that the degree -1 map $\mu_1 : A \rightarrow A$ is a differential. Therefore, (A, μ_1) is a chain complex. For $n = 2$, we get

$$\mu_1(\mu_2(a, b)) = \mu_2(\mu_1(a), b) + (-1)^{|a|} \mu_2(a, \mu_1(b)), \quad \text{for } a, b \in A.$$

It says that the differential μ_1 is a graded derivation for the product μ_2 . For $n = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_2(\alpha(a), \mu_2(b, c)) - \mu_2(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha(c)) \\ &= - \left\{ \mu_1(\mu_3(a, b, c)) + \mu_3(\mu_1(a), b, c) + (-1)^{|a|} \mu_3(a, \mu_1(b), c) + (-1)^{|a|+|b|} \mu_3(a, b, \mu_1(c)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the product μ_2 does not satisfy (in general) the graded hom-associativity condition. However, it does satisfy up to a term involving μ_3 . Similarly, for higher n , we get higher coherence laws that μ_k 's must satisfy. It is now easy to see that a graded hom-associative algebra is an HA_∞ -algebra with $\mu_k = 0$, for $k \neq 2$, and, a DG hom-associative algebra is an HA_∞ -algebra with $\mu_k = 0$, for $k \geq 3$.

It also follows from the above observation that the homology $H_*(A) = H_*(A, \mu_1)$ of a HA_∞ -algebra (A, μ_k, α) carries a graded hom-associative algebra.

3.2. Example. Let (A, μ_k) be an A_∞ -algebra and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a degree 0 map satisfying $\alpha \circ \mu_k = \mu_k \circ \alpha^{\otimes k}$, for all $k \geq 1$. Such a map α is called a strict morphism. If $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is a strict morphism, then $(A, \alpha^{k-1} \circ \mu_k, \alpha)$ is an HA_∞ -algebra.

3.3. Remark. Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra. Then $\mu_1 : A \rightarrow A$ is a differential, denoted by d . For $n \geq 2$, the derivation of $\mu_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\mu_n) &= [d, \mu_n] = d \circ \mu_n - (-1)^{n-2} \mu_n \circ d \\ &= d \circ \mu_n - (-1)^{n-2} \mu_n((d, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}) + (\text{id}, d, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}) + \dots + (\text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, d)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the relations (3) for $n \geq 2$ becomes

$$(4) \quad \partial(\mu_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ - \sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, 1 < s < n} (-1)^{rs+t} \mu_{r+1+t}((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes \mu_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}), & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Motivated from the classical case, we also introduce an equivalent notion of $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebra.

3.4. Definition. An $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebra structure on a graded vector space V consists of degree -1 multilinear maps $\varrho_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V$, $k \geq 1$, and a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ of degree 0 such that

$$\alpha(\varrho_k(v_1, \dots, v_k)) = \varrho_k(\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_k))$$

and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{|v_1|+\dots+|v_{\lambda-1}|} \varrho_j(\alpha^{i-1}v_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}v_{\lambda-1}, \varrho_i(v_\lambda, \dots, v_{\lambda+i-1}), \dots, \alpha^{i-1}v_n) = 0,$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$, or, equivalently,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, s \geq 1} m_{r+1+t}((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes m_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}) = 0.$$

Like classical case, an HA_∞ -structure is related to an $HA_\infty[1]$ -structure by a degree shift. Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra. Take $V = sA$, where $V_i = (sA)_i = A_{i-1}$. Define

$$(7) \quad \varrho_k = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} s \circ \mu_k \circ (s^{-1})^{\otimes k},$$

where $s : A \rightarrow sA$ is a degree $+1$ map and $s^{-1} : sA \rightarrow A$ is a degree -1 map. Note that μ_k can be reconstructed from ϱ_k as $\mu_k = s^{-1} \circ \varrho_k \circ s^{\otimes k}$. The sign $(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$ is a consequence of the Koszul sign convention. See [15] for more details. Then we have the following.

3.5. Proposition. An HA_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space A is equivalent to an $HA_\infty[1]$ -structure on the graded vector space $V = sA$.

3.6. Remark. Note that Definition 3.1 is natural in the sense that the differential μ_1 has degree -1 , the product μ_2 preserves the degree and μ_n 's are higher homotopies, for $n \geq 3$. However, one can see that Definition 3.4 is simpler in sign.

3.7. Definition. Let (A, μ_k, α) and (A', μ'_k, α') be two HA_∞ -algebras. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ of HA_∞ -algebras consists of maps $f_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A'$ of degree $k-1$, for $k \geq 1$, such that

$$f_k(\alpha(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(a_k)) = \alpha'(f_k(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k))$$

and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, s \geq 1} (-1)^{rs+t} f_{r+1+t}((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes \mu_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}) \\ = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=n, i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1} (-1)^u \mu'_i(\alpha^{(i_2-1)+\dots+(i_l-1)} f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{(i_1-1)+\dots+(i_{l-1}-1)} f_{i_l}),$$

where $u = (l-1)(i_1-1) + (l-2)(i_2-1) + \dots + 2(i_{l-2}-1) + (i_{l-1}-1)$.

If $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is a HA_∞ -algebra morphism, then $f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$ is a morphism of complexes which is compatible with μ_2 up to a homotopy given by f_2 . Therefore, f_1 induces a graded hom-associative algebra morphism at the level of homology.

A morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ of HA_∞ -algebras is called strict if $f_k = 0$, for all $k \geq 2$. In this case, the identity (8) becomes

$$f_1 \circ \mu_n = \mu'_n \circ (f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_1).$$

Therefore, strict morphisms of HA_∞ -algebras are analogous to hom-associative algebra morphisms. A strict morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is called strict isomorphism if f_1 is an isomorphism.

A morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ of HA_∞ -algebras is called a quasi-isomorphism if $f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$ is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. In other words, the induced map $H_*(f_1) : H_*(A) \rightarrow H_*(A')$ is an isomorphism of graded hom-associative algebras. In this case, we write $A \simeq A'$.

We also introduce a notion of morphism between $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebras.

3.8. Definition. Let (V, ϱ_k, α) and $(V', \varrho'_k, \alpha')$ be two $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebras. A morphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ between them consists of multilinear maps $f_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V'$ of degree 0, for $k \geq 1$, such that

$$f_k(\alpha(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(v_k)) = \alpha'(f_k(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k))$$

and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(9) \quad \sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, s \geq 1} f_{r+1+t}((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes \varrho_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}) \\ = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=n, i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} \varrho'_l(\alpha^{(i_2-1)+\dots+(i_l-1)} f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{(i_1-1)+\dots+(i_{l-1}-1)} f_{i_l}).$$

Let $f : V \rightarrow V'$ and $g : V' \rightarrow V''$ be two $HA_\infty[1]$ -algebra morphism. Then their composition $g \circ f : V \rightarrow V''$ is given by

$$(g \circ f)_k = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=k, i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1} g_l \circ (f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_l}).$$

3.2. Coderivation interpretation. Let V be a graded vector space. Consider the graded vector space $TV = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V^{\otimes k}$ with the coproduct $\Delta : TV \rightarrow (TV) \otimes (TV)$ defined by

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n).$$

Note that, if $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map of degree 0, then α induces a coalgebra map (denoted by the same symbol) $\alpha : TV \rightarrow TV$ defined by

$$\alpha(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = \alpha(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(v_n).$$

The following lemma is very useful to derive an equivalent description of HA_∞ -algebras.

3.9. Lemma. *Let V be a graded vector space and $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map of degree 0. Let $\varrho : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ be a linear map (of degree $|\varrho|$) satisfying $\alpha \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}$, that is,*

$$\alpha(\varrho(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)) = \varrho(\alpha(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(v_n)).$$

View ϱ as a linear map $\varrho : TV \rightarrow V$ by letting its only non-zero component being given by the original ϱ on $V^{\otimes n}$. Then ϱ lifts uniquely to a map $\tilde{\varrho} : TV \rightarrow TV$ (of degree $|\varrho|$) satisfying $\alpha \circ \tilde{\varrho} = \tilde{\varrho} \circ \alpha$ and

$$(10) \quad \Delta \circ \tilde{\varrho} = (\tilde{\varrho} \otimes \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-1} \otimes \tilde{\varrho}) \circ \Delta.$$

More precisely, $\tilde{\varrho}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\varrho}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) :=$$

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < n, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-n} (-1)^{|\varrho|(|v_1|+\dots+|v_i|)} \alpha^{n-1} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_i \otimes \varrho(v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+n}) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_k, & \text{if } k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\tilde{\varrho}$ maps $V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V^{\otimes k-n+1}$, for $k \geq n$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that $\tilde{\varrho}$ satisfies the above properties. Next, we prove the uniqueness. Let $\bar{\varrho} : TV \rightarrow TV$ be another such map satisfying the above properties. Let $\bar{\varrho}^j$ denote the component of $\bar{\varrho}$ mapping $(TV) \rightarrow V^{\otimes j}$. Since $\bar{\varrho}$ satisfies (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{\varrho}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k)) &= (\bar{\varrho} \otimes \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-1} \otimes \bar{\varrho}) \Delta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) \\ &= (\bar{\varrho} \otimes \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-1} \otimes \bar{\varrho}) \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left\{ \bar{\varrho}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i) \otimes (\alpha^{n-1} v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{|\bar{\varrho}|(|v_1|+\dots+|v_i|)} (\alpha^{n-1} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_i) \otimes \bar{\varrho}(v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By projecting both sides to $V^{\otimes i} \otimes V^{\otimes j} \subset (TV) \otimes (TV)$ with $i + j = m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{\varrho}^m(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k))|_{V^{\otimes i} \otimes V^{\otimes j}} &= \bar{\varrho}^i(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{k-j}) \otimes (\alpha^{n-1} v_{k-j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_k) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\bar{\varrho}|(|v_1|+\dots+|v_i|)} (\alpha^{n-1} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{n-1} v_i) \otimes \bar{\varrho}^j(v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_k). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\bar{\varrho}^1 = \varrho$ from the assumption. Hence, for $m = i = 1$ and $j = 0$, we get $\bar{\varrho}^0 = 0$. For $m = 2$, choose $i = 1$ and $j = 1$, we get that $\bar{\varrho}^2$ is non-zero on $V^{\otimes n+1}$ and is given by

$$\bar{\varrho}^2(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n+1}) = \varrho(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \otimes \alpha^{n-1}v_{n+1} + (-1)^{|e||v_1|} \alpha^{n-1}v_1 \otimes \varrho(v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n+1}).$$

We use induction to determine $\bar{\varrho}^m$, for any $m \geq 2$. More precisely, for any $m \geq 2$, we choose $i = m - 1$ and $j = 1$ to determine $\bar{\varrho}^m$ uniquely by using $\bar{\varrho}^{m-1}$ and $\bar{\varrho}^1$. It can be checked that $\bar{\varrho}^m$ is non-zero only on $V^{\otimes n+m-1}$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varrho}^m(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n+m-1}) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{|e|(|v_1|+\cdots+|v_i|)} \alpha^{n-1}v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^{n-1}v_i \otimes \varrho(v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+n}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^{n-1}v_{n+m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof. \square

Let

$$\text{Coder}_\alpha^p(TV) = \{D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \mid \varrho_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V \text{ is a map of degree } p \text{ satisfying } \alpha \circ \varrho_n = \varrho_n \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}\}.$$

We first define a graded Lie algebra structure on $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(TV)$. Let $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^p(TV)$ and $D' = \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}_m \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^q(TV)$. Then each $\varrho_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ is a map of degree p and each $\sigma_m : V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V$ is a map of degree q . We define

$$D \circ D' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \circ \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}_m = \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \circ \tilde{\sigma}_m \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{p+q}(TV).$$

It can be checked that the product \circ defines a graded pre-Lie algebra structure on $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(TV)$. Hence, the graded commutator

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - (-1)^{pq} D' \circ D,$$

for $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^p(TV)$ and $D' \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^q(TV)$, defines a graded Lie algebra structure on $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(TV)$.

3.10. Proposition. *Let V be a graded vector space and $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map of degree 0. Let $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$. Then the condition $D \circ D = 0$ is equivalent to the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1(\varrho_1(v_1)) &= 0, \\ \varrho_1(\varrho_2(v_1, v_2)) + \varrho_2(\varrho_1(v_1), v_2) + (-1)^{|v_1|} \varrho_2(v_1, \varrho_1(v_2)) &= 0, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_{\lambda-1}|} \varrho_j(\alpha^{i-1}v_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}v_{\lambda-1}, \varrho_i(v_\lambda, \dots, v_{\lambda+i-1}), \dots, \alpha^{i-1}v_n) &= 0, \\ \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that, the condition $D \circ D = 0$ is same as

$$(\tilde{\varrho}_1 + \tilde{\varrho}_2 + \tilde{\varrho}_3 + \cdots) \circ (\tilde{\varrho}_1 + \tilde{\varrho}_2 + \tilde{\varrho}_3 + \cdots) = 0.$$

This is equivalent to the conditions that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varrho}_1 \circ \tilde{\varrho}_1 &= 0, \\ \tilde{\varrho}_1 \circ \tilde{\varrho}_2 + \tilde{\varrho}_2 \circ \tilde{\varrho}_1 &= 0, \\ \dots \\ \tilde{\varrho}_1 \circ \tilde{\varrho}_n + \tilde{\varrho}_2 \circ \widetilde{\varrho_{n-1}} + \cdots + \widetilde{\varrho_{n-1}} \circ \tilde{\varrho}_2 + \tilde{\varrho}_n \circ \tilde{\varrho}_1 &= 0, \\ \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by applying the definition of $\tilde{\varrho}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots$, we get the conclusion. \square

In view of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.10, we have the following result.

3.11. Theorem. *An HA_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space A with respect to a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 is equivalent to an element $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$ with $D \circ D = 0$, where $V = sA$.*

3.3. Homotopy transfer theorems. Let (A, d_A) and (H, d_H) be two chain complexes. A contraction from A to H consists of chain maps $p : A \rightarrow H$ and $i : H \rightarrow A$ and a degree +1 chain homotopy $h : A \rightarrow A$

$$h \circlearrowleft (A, d_A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} (H, d_H)$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A - i \circ p &= d_A h + h d_A, \\ p \circ i &= 1_H. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose (A, μ, α, d_A) is a differential graded hom-associative algebra. We also assume that α commute with the chain homotopy h , that is, $\alpha \circ h = h \circ \alpha$. We aim to prove that the differential graded hom-associative algebra structure on (A, d_A) transfer to an HA_∞ -algebra structure on (H, d_H) . A similar result for differential graded associative algebras has been proved by Kadeisvili [12]. We define a multiplication $\mu_2^H : H^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H$ by

$$\mu_2^H(x, y) := p\mu(i(x), i(y)), \quad \text{for } x, y \in H$$

and a degree 0 linear map $\alpha_H : H \rightarrow H$ by $\alpha_H := p \circ \alpha \circ i$. If the map i is an isomorphism with inverse p , then the multiplication on H becomes hom-associative. In general, there is no reason for μ_2^H to be hom-associative.

The next lemma is straightforward but a tedious calculation.

3.12. Lemma. *We have $\partial(\mu_2^H) = 0$. Moreover, for any $x, y, z \in H$,*

$$\mu_2^H(\mu_2^H(x, y), \alpha_H(z)) - \mu_2^H(\alpha_H(x), \mu_2^H(y, z)) = \partial(\mu_3^H)(x, y, z),$$

where $\mu_3^H : H^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H$ is given by

$$\mu_3^H(x, y, z) = p\mu(h\mu(i(x), i(y)), \alpha i(z)) - p\mu(\alpha i(x), h\mu(i(y), i(z))).$$

The above lemma shows that the obstruction of the hom-associativity of μ_2^H is given by $\partial(\mu_3^H)$. The Remark 3.3 now suggests that (H, d_H) may have an HA_∞ -algebra structure. Like classical case of A_∞ -algebras, one can construct maps $\mu_k^H : H^{\otimes k} \rightarrow H$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 3$, satisfying the properties (4). For $k = 3$, it coincides with μ_3^H defined in the above lemma. Therefore, it will follow that (H, d_H) inherits an HA_∞ -algebra structure.

Our method to construct maps μ_k^H , for $k \geq 3$, are similar to the classical case. We follow the method as in [20]. More precisely, we construct maps $\mu_k^A : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 3$, such that

$$\mu_k^H := p \circ \mu_k^A \circ i^{\otimes k}, \quad k \geq 3.$$

We define maps $\mu_k^A : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ by using induction. We set $\mu_2^A = \mu$ and inductively define

$$\mu_k^A := \sum_{i+j=k, i, j \geq 1} (-1)^{i(j+1)} \mu(\alpha^{j-1} \circ h \circ \mu_i^A \otimes \alpha^{i-1} \circ h \circ \mu_j^A)$$

with the convention that $h \circ \mu_1^A = \text{id}$. We remark that the maps μ_k^A can also be define in terms of planar binary trees with k leaves, for $k \geq 3$. Note that there is a unique planar binary tree with two leaves, namely, \vee . It correspond to the binary operation $\mu_2^A = \mu$. For any planar binary tree T with k leaves, it is the grafting of two planar binary trees each of which has less than k leaves. Let $T = T_1 \vee T_2$, where T_1 is a planar binary tree with i leaves and T_2 is a planar binary tree with j leaves with $i + j = k$. Here \vee stands for grafting of trees. For such T , we associate a map $\mu_T : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ by

$$\mu_T = (-1)^{i(j+1)} \mu(\alpha^{j-1} \circ h \circ \mu_{T_1} \otimes \alpha^{i-1} \circ h \circ \mu_{T_2})$$

with the convention that $h \circ \mu_1 = \text{id}$, where 1 is the unique planar tree $|$ with 1 leaf. Finally, define

$$\mu_k^A := \sum_{T \in PBT(k)} \mu_T,$$

where $PBT(k)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of planar binary trees with k leaves.

Thus, we get the following HA_∞ -algebra version of the homotopy transfer theorem. The proof is similar to Markl [20] for A_∞ -algebras (see also [12]).

3.13. Theorem. *Let*

$$h \triangleright (A, d_A) \xrightleftharpoons[i]{p} (H, d_H)$$

be a contraction data. If (A, d_A) is a differential graded hom-associative algebra, then (H, d_H) inherits a structure of an HA_∞ -algebra.

In the next, we prove that if (A, d_A) inherits a structure of an HA_∞ -algebra, this structure also transfers to (H, d_H) . A similar for A_∞ -algebras has been proved by Kontsevich and Soibelman [14]. Note that, in Lemma 3.12 we have used the fact that μ is associative. If (A, d_A) is an HA_∞ -algebra, the multiplication μ_2 on A is only associative up to homotopy, that is, there exists a ternary operation μ_3 on A such that

$$\partial(\mu_3) = \mu_2(\mu_2 \otimes \alpha) - \mu_2(\alpha \otimes \mu_2).$$

As before, we construct maps $\mu_k^A : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 3$ such that $\mu_k^H := p \circ \mu_k^A \circ i^{\otimes k}$, $k \geq 3$. Define

$$\mu_k^A = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = k, i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1, 2 \leq l \leq k} (-1)^{\theta(i_1, \dots, i_l)} \mu_l(\alpha^{\sum_{p \neq 1} (i_p - 1)} \circ h \circ \mu_{i_1}^A \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{\sum_{p \neq l} (i_p - 1)} \circ h \circ \mu_{i_l}^A),$$

where $\theta(i_1, \dots, i_l) = \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq l} i_s(i_t + 1)$. As before, we have used the convention that $h \circ \mu_1^A = \text{id}$. One may also describe these maps in terms of planar trees (instead of planar binary trees). The proof of the next theorem is similar to Markl [20].

3.14. Theorem. *Given a contraction data as in Theorem 3.13, if (A, d_A) is an HA_∞ -algebra, then (H, d_H) inherits a structure of an HA_∞ -algebra.*

4. 2-TERM HA_∞ -ALGEBRAS

An n -term HA_∞ -algebra is an HA_∞ -algebra (A, μ_k, α) whose underlying graded vector space A is concentrated in degrees 0 to $n - 1$, namely,

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_{n-1}.$$

In this case, it is easy to see that $\mu_k = 0$, for $k > n + 1$. In the next section (see Theorem 5.4) we show that 2-term HA_∞ -algebras are related to categorification of hom-associative algebras. Therefore, we prefer to rewrite the explicit description of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras.

4.1. Definition. A 2-term HA_∞ -algebra consists of the following data

- a complex of vector spaces $A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0$
- bilinear maps $\mu_2 : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$,
- a trilinear map $\mu_3 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$,
- two linear maps $\alpha_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ and $\alpha_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ satisfying $\alpha_0 \circ d = d \circ \alpha_1$ and

$$\alpha_1 \circ \mu_3 = \mu_3 \circ \alpha_0^{\otimes 3}$$

such that for any $a, b, c, d \in A_0$ and $m, n \in A_1$, the following equalities are satisfied:

- (a) $\mu_2(m, n) = 0$,
- (b) $\alpha_0(\mu_2(a, b)) = \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_0(b))$,
- (c) $\alpha_1(\mu_2(a, m)) = \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_1(m))$,
- (d) $\alpha_1(\mu_2(m, a)) = \mu_2(\alpha_1(m), \alpha_0(a))$,
- (e) $d\mu_2(a, m) = \mu_2(a, dm)$,
- (f) $d\mu_2(m, a) = \mu_2(dm, a)$,
- (g) $\mu_2(dm, n) = \mu_2(m, dn)$,
- (h) $d\mu_3(a, b, c) = \mu_2(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_0(c)) - \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, c))$,
- (i1) $\mu_3(a, b, dm) = \mu_2(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_1(m)) - \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, m))$,
- (i2) $\mu_3(a, dm, c) = \mu_2(\mu_2(a, m), \alpha_0(c)) - \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(m, c))$,

- (i3) $\mu_3(dm, b, c) = \mu_2(\mu_2(m, b), \alpha_0(c)) - \mu_2(\alpha_1(m), \mu_2(b, c)),$
(j) $\mu_3(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_0(c), \alpha_0(d)) - \mu_3(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, c), \alpha_0(d)) + \mu_3(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_0(b), \mu_2(c, d))$
 $= \mu_2(\mu_3(a, b, c), \alpha_0^2(d)) + \mu_2(\alpha_0^2(a), \mu_3(b, c, d)).$

We denote a 2-term HA_∞ -algebra as above by $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. One may also write the explicit description of morphism between 2-term HA_∞ -algebras.

4.2. Definition. Let $A = (A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and $A' = (A'_1 \xrightarrow{d'} A'_0, \mu'_2, \mu'_3, \alpha'_0, \alpha'_1)$ be two 2-term HA_∞ -algebras. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ consists of

- a chain map $f : A \rightarrow A'$ (which consists of linear maps $f_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A'_0$ and $f_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A'_1$ with $f_0 \circ d = d' \circ f_1$) satisfying

$$\alpha'_0 \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ \alpha_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_1 \circ f_1 = f_1 \circ \alpha_1,$$

- a bilinear map $f_2 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A'_1$ satisfying $\alpha'_1 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ \alpha_0^{\otimes 2}$

such that for any $a, b, c \in A_0$ and $m \in A_1$, the followings are hold

- (a) $d'f_2(a, b) = f_0(\mu_2(a, b)) - \mu'_2(f_0(a), f_0(b)),$
(b) $f_2(a, dm) = f_1(\mu_2(a, m)) - \mu'_2(f_0(a), f_1(m)),$
(c) $f_2(dm, a) = f_1(\mu_2(m, a)) - \mu'_2(f_1(m), f_0(a)),$
(d) $f_2(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_0(c)) - f_2(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, c)) - \mu'_2(f_2(a, b), \alpha'_0 f_0(c)) + \mu'_2(\alpha'_0 f_0(a), f_2(b, c))$
 $= f_1(\mu_3(a, b, c)) - \mu'_3(f_0(a), f_0(b), f_0(c)).$

If $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2) : A \rightarrow A'$ and $g = (g_0, g_1, g_2) : A' \rightarrow A''$ be two morphism of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras, their composition $g \circ f : A \rightarrow A''$ is defined by $(g \circ f)_0 = g_0 \circ f_0$, $(g \circ f)_1 = g_1 \circ f_1$ and

$$(g \circ f)_2(a, b) = g_2(f_0(a), f_0(b)) + g_1(f_2(a, b)), \quad \text{for } a, b \in A_0.$$

For any 2-term HA_∞ -algebra A , the identity morphism $\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A$ is given by the identity chain map $A \rightarrow A$ together with $(1_A)_2 = 0$.

4.3. Proposition. *The collection of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and morphisms between them form a category. We denote this category by $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$.*

4.1. Skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras.

4.4. Definition. A 2-term HA_∞ -algebra $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is called skeletal if $d = 0$.

Let $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be a skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebra. Then it follows from conditions (b) and (h) in Definition 3.6 that (A_0, μ_2, α_0) forms a hom-associative algebra. Moreover, it follows from (i1), (i2) and (i3) that the maps

$$\mu_2 : A_0 \otimes A_1 \rightarrow A_1, \quad (a, m) \mapsto \mu_2(a, m)$$

$$\mu_2 : A_1 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1, \quad (m, a) \mapsto \mu_2(m, a)$$

defines a hom-bimodule structure on the vector space A_1 with respect to the linear map $\alpha_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_1$.

4.5. Definition. Let $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu'_2, \mu'_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be two skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras on the same chain complex and maps α_0, α_1 . They are said to be equivalent if $\mu_2 = \mu'_2$ and there exists a linear map $\sigma : A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ with $\alpha_1(\sigma(a, b)) = \sigma(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_0(b))$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_3(a, b, c) &= \mu_3(a, b, c) + \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \sigma(b, c)) - \sigma(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_0(c)) \\ &\quad + \sigma(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, c)) - \mu_2(\sigma(a, b), \alpha_0(c)), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A_0. \end{aligned}$$

4.6. Theorem. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and tuples $((A, \mu, \alpha), M, \beta, \cdot, \theta)$, where (A, μ, α) is a hom-associative algebra, (M, β, \cdot) is a hom-bimodule over A and θ is a 3-cocycle of the hom-associative algebra A with coefficients in the hom-bimodule.*

Moreover, it extends to a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and the third Hochschild cohomology $H^3_{\alpha, \beta}(A, M)$.

Proof. Let $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be a skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebra. We observed that (A_0, μ_2, α_0) is a hom-associative algebra and the maps $\mu_2 : A_0 \otimes A_1 \rightarrow A_1$, $\mu_2 : A_1 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ defines a hom-bimodule structure on the vector space A_1 with respect to the linear map $\alpha_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_1$. It remains to find out a 3-cocycle of the hom-associative algebra A_0 with coefficients in the hom-bimodule A_1 . We show that

$$\mu_3 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$$

defines a cocycle. Note that condition (j) is same as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_2(\alpha_0^2(a), \mu_3(b, c, d)) - \mu_3(\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_0(c), \alpha_0(d)) + \mu_3(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(b, c), \alpha_0(d)) \\ & - \mu_3(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_0(b), \mu_2(c, d)) + \mu_2(\mu_3(a, b, c), \alpha_0^2(d)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to $\delta_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(\mu_3)(a, b, c, d) = 0$.

Conversely, given a tuple $((A, \mu, \alpha), M, \beta, \cdot, \theta)$ as in the statement, define $A_0 = A$, $A_1 = M$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and $\alpha_1 = \beta$. We define multiplications $\mu_2 : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$ and $\mu_3 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(a, b) &= \mu(a, b), \\ \mu_2(a, m) &= a \cdot m, \\ \mu_2(m, a) &= m \cdot a, \\ \mu_3(a, b, c) &= \theta(a, b, c), \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c \in A_0 = A$ and $m \in A_1 = M$. Then it is easy to verify that $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is a skeletal 2-term HA_∞ -algebra.

Finally, let $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and $(A_1 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu'_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be two equivalent skeletal algebras with the equivalence given by σ (cf. Definition 4.5). Then it follows that

$$\mu'_3 = \mu_3 + \delta_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(\sigma)$$

as a 3-cochain in $C^3_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(A, M)$. Hence, we have $[\mu_3] = [\mu'_3]$. Conversely, any two representatives of a same cohomology class in $H^3_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(A, M)$ gives rise to equivalent skeletal algebras. \square

More generally, we can consider n -term HA_∞ -algebras with the underlying chain complex

$$A = (A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} A_0)$$

consists of only two non-zero terms A_0 and A_{n-1} with the zero differential. The linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 consists of two components $\alpha_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ and $\alpha_{n-1} : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}$. Moreover, the multiplications μ_k 's are non-zero only for $k = 2, n+1$. Two such n -term HA_∞ -algebras $(A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_{n+1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu'_2, \mu'_{n+1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_{n-1})$ on the same chain complex and linear map α are said to be equivalent if $\mu_2 = \mu'_2$ and there exists a linear map $\sigma : (A_0)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ satisfying $\alpha_{n-1} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \alpha_0^{\otimes n}$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= \mu_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) + \mu_2(\alpha_0^{n-1}(a_1), \sigma(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \sigma(\alpha_0(a_1), \dots, \alpha_0(a_{i-1}), \mu_2(a_i, a_{i+1}), \alpha_0(a_{i+2}), \dots, \alpha_0(a_{n+1})) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} \mu_2(\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n), \alpha_0^{n-1}(a_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A_0$.

We have the following.

4.7. Theorem. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between n -term HA_∞ -algebras consisting of only two non-zero terms A_0 and A_{n-1} , with $d = 0$, and tuples $((A, \mu, \alpha), M, \beta, \cdot, \theta)$, where (A, μ, α) is a hom-associative algebra, (M, β, \cdot) is a hom-bimodule over A and θ is a $(n+1)$ -cocycle of A with coefficients in the hom-bimodule.*

Moreover, it extends to a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of n -term HA_∞ -algebras of the above type and the $(n+1)$ -th Hochschild cohomology $H^{n+1}_{\alpha, \beta}(A, M)$.

Proof. Let $(A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_{n+1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_{n-1})$ be a n -term HA_∞ -algebra of the given type. It follows from the definition of HA_∞ -algebra that $A = (A_0, \mu_2, \alpha_0)$ is a hom-associative algebra. Moreover, the maps $\mu_2 : A_0 \otimes A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ and $\mu_2 : A_{n-1} \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ defines a hom-bimodule structure on the vector space $M = A_{n-1}$ with respect to the linear map $\beta = \alpha_{n-1} : M \rightarrow M$. Next, we claim that the map

$$\mu_{n+1} : (A_0)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}$$

defines a $(n+1)$ -cocycle. Note that the condition (2) in the definition of HA_∞ -algebra implies that

$$\sum_{i+j=n+3} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{\lambda(i+1)+i(|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{\lambda-1}|)} \mu_j(\alpha_0^{i-1} a_1, \dots, \alpha_0^{i-1} a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \dots, \alpha_0^{i-1} a_{n+2}) = 0,$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n+2} \in A_0$. The non-zero terms in the above summation occurs for $(i=2, j=n+1)$ and $(i=n+1, j=2)$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{3\lambda} \mu_{n+1}(\alpha_0(a_1), \dots, \alpha_0(a_{\lambda-1}), \mu_2(a_\lambda, a_{\lambda+1}), \alpha_0(a_{\lambda+2}), \dots, \alpha_0(a_{n+2})) \\ & + (-1)^{n+2} \mu_2(\mu_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}), \alpha_0^n(a_{n+2})) + \mu_2(\alpha_0^n(a_1), \mu_{n+1}(a_2, \dots, a_{n+2})) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n+2} \in A_0$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\mu_{n+1} : (A_0)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ defines a $(n+1)$ -cocycle of the hom-associative algebra (A_0, μ_2, α_0) with coefficients in the hom-bimodule $M = A_{n-1}$.

The converse part is similar to Theorem 4.6.

The correspondence between the equivalence classes of n -term HA_∞ -algebras of given type and the $(n+1)$ -th Hochschild cohomology is also similar to the last part of Theorem 4.6. \square

4.2. Strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras.

4.8. Definition. A 2-term HA_∞ -algebra $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is called strict if $\mu_3 = 0$.

4.9. Example. Let (A, μ, α) be a hom-associative algebra. Take $A_0 = A_1 = A$, $d = \text{id}$, $\mu_2 = \mu$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha$. Then $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3 = 0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is a strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebra.

Now we introduce crossed module of hom-associative algebras and show that strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras are in one-to-one correspondence with crossed module of hom-associative algebras.

4.10. Definition. A crossed module of hom-associative algebras consist of a tuple $((A, \mu_A, \alpha_A), (B, \mu_B, \alpha_B), dt, \phi)$ where (A, μ_A, α_A) and (B, μ_B, α_B) are hom-associative algebras, $dt : A \rightarrow B$ is a hom-associative algebra morphism and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : B \otimes A &\rightarrow A, (b, m) \mapsto \phi(b, m), \\ \phi : A \otimes B &\rightarrow A, (m, b) \mapsto \phi(m, b) \end{aligned}$$

defines a hom-bimodule structure on A with respect to the linear map α_A , such that for $m, n \in A$, $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} dt(\phi(b, m)) &= \mu_B(b, dt(m)), \\ dt(\phi(m, b)) &= \mu_B(dt(m), b), \\ \phi(dt(m), n) &= \mu_A(m, n), \\ \phi(m, dt(n)) &= \mu_A(m, n), \\ \phi(\alpha_B(b), \mu_A(m, n)) &= \mu_A(\phi(b, m), \alpha_A(n)), \\ \phi(\mu_A(m, n), \alpha_B(b)) &= \mu_A(\alpha_A(m), \phi(n, b)). \end{aligned}$$

4.11. Theorem. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and crossed module of hom-associative algebras.*

Proof. Let $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3 = 0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be a strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebra. Take $B = A_0$ with the multiplication $\mu_B = \mu_2 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ and the linear transformation $\alpha_B = \alpha_0$. It follows from (b) and (h) that (B, μ_B, α_B) is a hom-associative algebra. Take $A = A_1$ with the multiplication μ_A is given by $\mu_A(m, n) := \mu_2(dm, n) = \mu_2(m, dn)$, for $m, n \in A_1$, and the linear transformation $\alpha_A = \alpha_1$. By condition (c) we have

$$\alpha_A(\mu_A(m, n)) = \alpha_1(\mu_2(dm, n)) = \mu_2(\alpha_0(dm), \alpha_1(n)) = \mu_2(d(\alpha_1 m), \alpha_1(n)) = \mu_A(\alpha_1(m), \alpha_1(n)),$$

which implies that α_A is an algebra morphism with respect to μ_A . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_A(\alpha_A(m), \mu_A(n, p)) - \mu_A(\mu_A(m, n), \alpha_A(p)) \\ &= \mu_2(d\alpha_1(m), \mu_2(dn, p)) - \mu_2(d\mu_2(dm, n), \alpha_1(p)) \\ &= \mu_2(\alpha_0(dm), \mu_2(dn, p)) - \mu_2(\mu_2(dm, dn), \alpha_1(p)) \quad (\text{by (e)}) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{by (i1)}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (A, μ_A, α_A) is a hom-associative algebra.

Take $dt = d : A_1 \rightarrow A_0$. It commutes with the linear transformations. Moreover, we have

$$d(\mu_A(m, n)) = d(\mu_2(dm, n)) = \mu_2(dm, dn) = \mu_B(dm, dn), \quad \text{for } m, n \in A_1 = A.$$

Hence, dt is a morphism of hom-associative algebras. Finally, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : B \otimes A &\rightarrow A, \quad (b, m) \mapsto \phi(b, m) = \mu_2(b, m), \\ \phi : A \otimes B &\rightarrow A, \quad (m, b) \mapsto \phi(m, b) = \mu_2(m, b), \quad \text{for } b \in B, m \in A. \end{aligned}$$

By using (i1), (i2) and (i3), it is easy to see that ϕ defines a hom-bimodule structure on A with respect to the linear map α_A . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} dt(\phi(b, m)) &= d(\mu_2(b, m)) = \mu_2(b, dm), \quad (\text{by (e)}) \\ dt(\phi(m, b)) &= d(\mu_2(m, b)) = \mu_2(dm, b), \quad (\text{by (f)}) \\ \phi(dt(m), n) &= \mu_2(dm, n) = \mu_A(m, n), \\ \phi(m, dt(n)) &= \mu_2(m, dn) = \mu_A(m, n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha_B(a), \mu_A(m, n)) &= \mu_2(\alpha_0(a), \mu_2(dm, n)) = \mu_2(\mu_2(a, dm), \alpha_1(n)) = \mu_A(\phi(a, m), \alpha_A(n)), \\ & \quad (\text{by (i1) and (e)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\phi_A(m, n), \alpha_B(a)) &= \mu_2(\mu_2(m, dn), \alpha_0(a)) = \mu_2(\alpha_1(m), \mu_2(dn, a)) = \mu_A(\alpha_A(m), \phi(n, a)), \\ & \quad (\text{by (i3) and (f)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (A, B, dt, ϕ) is a crossed module of hom-associative algebras.

Conversely, given a crossed module of hom-associative algebras $((A, \mu_A, \alpha_A), (B, \mu_B, \alpha_B), dt, \phi)$, we can construct a strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebra as follows. Take $A_1 = A$, $A_0 = B$ and $dt : A \rightarrow B$ is the chain map $d : A_1 \rightarrow A_0$. The structure map $\mu_2 : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$ is given by

$$\mu_2(b, b') = \mu_B(b, b'), \quad \mu_2(b, m) = \phi(b, m), \quad \mu_2(m, b) = \phi(m, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2(m, n) = 0,$$

for $b, b' \in A_0 = B$, and $m, n \in A_1 = A$. The homomorphisms $\alpha_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ and $\alpha_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ are given by $\alpha_1 = \alpha_A$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha_B$. It is easy to verify that these structures give rise to a strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebra structure $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3 = 0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. \square

Note that the crossed module corresponding to the strict 2-term HA_∞ -algebra of Example 4.9 is given by $((A, \mu, \alpha), (A, \mu, \alpha), \text{id}, \mu)$.

5. HOM-ASSOCIATIVE 2-ALGEBRAS

Let \mathbf{Vect} denote the category of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . A 2-vector space is a category internal to the category \mathbf{Vect} . Thus, a 2-vector space C is a category with a vector space of objects C_0 and a vector space of arrows (morphisms) C_1 , such that all the structure maps are linear. Let $s, t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ be the source and target map respectively, $i : C_0 \rightarrow C_1$ be the identity assigning map. A morphism of 2-vector spaces is a functor internal to the category \mathbf{Vect} . More precisely, a morphism (linear functor) $F : C \rightarrow C'$ between 2-vector spaces consist of linear maps $F_0 : C_0 \rightarrow C'_0$ and $F_1 : C_1 \rightarrow C'_1$ commute with structure maps. We denote the category of 2-vector spaces by $\mathbf{2-Vect}$. Given a 2-vector space $C = (C_1 \rightrightarrows C_0)$, we have a 2-term complex

$$(11) \quad \ker(s) \xrightarrow{t} C_0.$$

A morphism $F : C \rightarrow C'$ of 2-vector spaces induce a morphism between corresponding 2-term chain complexes. Conversely, any 2-term complex $A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0$ gives rise to a 2-vector space

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{V} = (A_0 \oplus A_1 \rightrightarrows A_0)$$

of which the set of objects is A_0 and the set of morphisms is $A_0 \oplus A_1$. The structure maps are given by $s(a, m) = a$, $t(a, m) = a + dm$ and $i(a) = (a, 0)$. A morphism between 2-term chain complexes induce a morphism between corresponding 2-vector spaces.

We denote the category of 2-term complexes of real vector spaces by $\mathbf{2-Term}$. Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{2-Term} \simeq \mathbf{2-Vect}.$$

See [3] for more details. In [3] the authors also introduced Lie 2-algebras as categorification of Lie algebras. They showed that the category of 2-term L_∞ -algebras and the category of Lie 2-algebras are equivalent. In [21] the authors proved a similar result in the context of hom-Lie algebras. More precisely, they introduced HL_∞ -algebras as well as hom-Lie 2-algebras and showed that the category of 2-term HL_∞ -algebras and the category of hom-Lie 2-algebras are equivalent.

In the previous section, we have studied HA_∞ -algebra structures on a 2-term complex. In this section, we introduce hom-associative 2-algebra structures on a 2-vector space. Finally, we show that the category of 2-term HA_∞ -algebras and the category of hom-associative 2-algebras are equivalent.

5.1. Definition. A hom-associative 2-algebra is a 2-vector space C equipped with

- a bilinear functor $\mu : C \otimes C \rightarrow C$,
- a linear functor $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \Phi_1) : C \rightarrow C$ satisfying

$$\Phi(\mu(\xi, \eta)) = \mu(\Phi(\xi), \Phi(\eta)), \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in C,$$

- a trilinear natural isomorphism, called the hom-associator

$$\mathcal{A}_{\xi, \eta, \zeta} : \mu(\mu(\xi, \eta), \Phi(\zeta)) \rightarrow \mu(\Phi(\xi), \mu(\eta, \zeta))$$

satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_0(\xi), \Phi_0(\eta), \Phi_0(\zeta)} = \Phi_1 \mathcal{A}_{\xi, \eta, \zeta}$$

and such that the following identity is satisfied

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mu(\mu(\mu(\xi, \eta), \Phi_0(\zeta)), \Phi_0^2(\lambda)) & \\
 & \swarrow \mathcal{A}_{\xi, \eta, \zeta} & \searrow \mathcal{A}_{\mu(\xi, \eta), \Phi_0(\zeta), \Phi_0(\lambda)} \\
 \mu(\mu(\Phi_0(\xi), \mu(\eta, \zeta)), \Phi_0^2(\lambda)) & & \mu(\Phi_0(\mu(\xi, \eta)), \mu(\Phi_0(\zeta), \Phi_0(\lambda))) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_0(\xi), \mu(\eta, \zeta), \Phi_0(\lambda)} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 \mu(\Phi_0^2(\xi), \mu(\mu(\eta, \zeta), \Phi_0(\lambda))) & & \mu(\mu(\Phi_0(\xi), \Phi_0(\eta)), \Phi_0(\mu(\zeta, \lambda))) \\
 & \swarrow \mathcal{A}_{\eta, \zeta, \lambda} & \nwarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_0(\xi), \Phi_0(\eta), \mu(\zeta, \lambda)} \\
 & \mu(\Phi_0^2(\xi), \mu(\Phi_0(\eta), \mu(\zeta, \lambda))) &
 \end{array}$$

A hom-associative 2-algebra as above may be denoted by $(C, \mu, \Phi, \mathcal{A})$. A hom-associative 2-algebra is called strict if the hom-associator is the identity isomorphism.

5.2. Definition. Let $(C, \mu, \Phi, \mathcal{A})$ and $(C', \mu', \Phi', \mathcal{A}')$ be two hom-associative 2-algebra. A hom-associative 2-algebra morphism consists of

- a linear functor (F_0, F_1) from the underlying 2-vector space C to C' such that

$$\Phi' \circ (F_0, F_1) = (F_0, F_1) \circ \Phi,$$

- a bilinear natural transformation

$$F_2(\xi, \eta) : \mu'(F_0(\xi), F_0(\eta)) \rightarrow F_0(\mu(\xi, \eta))$$

satisfying $F_2(\Phi_0(\xi), \Phi_0(\eta)) = \Phi'_1(F_2(\xi, \eta))$ and such that the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mu'(\mu'(F_0(\xi), F_0(\eta)), \Phi'(F_0(\zeta))) & \\
 & \swarrow \mathcal{A}'_{F_0(\xi), F_0(\eta), F_0(\zeta)} & \searrow F_2(\xi, \eta) \\
 \mu'(\Phi'(F_0(\xi)), \mu'(F_0(\eta), F_0(\zeta))) & & \mu'(F_0(\mu(\xi, \eta)), F_0(\Phi(\zeta))) \\
 \downarrow F_2(\eta, \zeta) & & \downarrow F_2(\mu(\xi, \eta), \Phi(\zeta)) \\
 \mu'(F_0(\Phi(\xi)), F_0(\mu(\eta, \zeta))) & & F_0(\mu(\mu(\xi, \eta), \Phi(\zeta))) \\
 & \swarrow F_2(\Phi(\xi), \mu(\eta, \zeta)) & \nwarrow \mathcal{A}_{\xi, \eta, \zeta} \\
 & F_0(\mu(\Phi(\xi), \mu(\eta, \zeta))) &
 \end{array}$$

The composition of two hom-associative 2-algebra morphisms is again a hom-associative 2-algebra morphism. More precisely, let C, C' and C'' be three hom-associative 2-algebras and $F : C \rightarrow C'$, $G : C' \rightarrow C''$ be hom-associative 2-algebra morphisms. Their composition $G \circ F : C \rightarrow C''$ is a hom-associative 2-algebra morphism whose components are given by $(G \circ F)_0 = G_0 \circ F_0$, $(G \circ F)_1 = G_1 \circ F_1$ and $(G \circ F)_2$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mu''(G_0 \circ F_0(\xi), G_0 \circ F_0(\eta)) & \xrightarrow{(G \circ F)_2(\xi, \eta)} & (G_0 \circ F_0)(\mu(\xi, \eta)) \\
 \searrow G_2(F_0(\xi), F_0(\eta)) & & \nearrow G_0(F_2(\xi, \eta)) \\
 & G_0(\mu'(F_0(\xi), F_0(\eta))) &
 \end{array}$$

For any hom-associative 2-algebra C , the identity morphism $\text{id}_C : C \rightarrow C$ is given by the identity functor as its linear functor together with the identity natural transformation as $(\text{id}_C)_2$.

Therefore, we obtain the following result.

5.3. Proposition. *Hom-associative 2-algebras and hom-associative 2-algebra morphisms form a category. We denote this category by $\mathbf{HA}\mathbf{ss}2$.*

We now prove the main result of this section.

5.4. Theorem. *The categories $2\mathbf{HA}\infty$ and $\mathbf{HA}\mathbf{ss}2$ are equivalent.*

Proof. First we construct a functor $T : 2\mathbf{HA}\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{HA}\mathbf{ss}2$ as follows. Given a 2-term $HA\infty$ -algebra $A = (A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$, we have the 2-vector space $C = (A_0 \oplus A_1 \rightrightarrows A_0)$ as defined by (12). Define a bilinear functor $\mu : C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ by

$$\mu((a, m), (b, n)) = (\mu_2(a, b), \mu_2(a, n) + \mu_2(m, b) + \mu_2(dm, n)),$$

for $(a, m), (b, n) \in C_1 = A_0 \oplus A_1$. The linear functor $\Phi : C \rightarrow C$ is given by $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \Phi_1) := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. Moreover, We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mu((a, m), (b, n))) &= (\alpha_0\mu_2(a, b), \alpha_1(\mu_2(a, n) + \mu_2(m, b) + \mu_2(dm, n))) \\ &= (\mu_2(\alpha_0a, \alpha_0b), \mu_2(\alpha_0a, \alpha_1n) + \mu_2(\alpha_1m, \alpha_0b) + \mu_2(d\alpha_0m, \alpha_1n)) \\ &= \mu((\alpha_0a, \alpha_1m), (\alpha_0b, \alpha_1n)) = \mu(\Phi(a, m), \Phi(b, n)). \end{aligned}$$

Define the hom-associator by

$$\mathcal{A}_{a,b,c} = (\mu(\mu(a, b), \alpha_0(c)), \mu_3(a, b, c)).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_0(a), \Phi_0(b), \Phi_0(c)} &= (\mu(\mu(\alpha_0a, \alpha_0b), \alpha_0^2(c)), \mu_3(\alpha_0a, \alpha_0b, \alpha_0c)) \\ &= (\alpha_0\mu(\mu(a, b), \alpha_0(c)), \alpha_1\mu_3(a, b, c)) = \Phi_1\mathcal{A}_{a,b,c}. \end{aligned}$$

By using identities (a)-(j), one can also verify that the diagram in the Definition 5.1 commutes. Therefore, $(C, \mu, \mathcal{A}, \Phi)$ is a hom-associative 2-algebra.

For any $HA\infty$ -morphism $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ from A to A' , we define a morphism F from $C = T(A)$ to $C' = T(A')$ as follows. Take $F_0 = f_0, F_1 = f_1$ and

$$F_2(a, b) = (\mu'(f_0(a), f_0(b)), f_2(a, b)).$$

It is easy to verify that F is a morphism from C to C' . Moreover, one can verify that T preserves the identity morphisms and the composition of morphisms. Therefore, T is a functor from $2\mathbf{HA}\infty$ to $\mathbf{HA}\mathbf{ss}2$.

In the next, we construct a functor $S : \mathbf{HA}\mathbf{ss}2 \rightarrow 2\mathbf{HA}\infty$ as follows. Given a hom-associative 2-algebra $C = (C_1 \rightrightarrows C_0, \mu, \Phi, \mathcal{A})$, we have the 2-term chain complex

$$A_1 = \ker s \xrightarrow{d=t|_{\ker s}} C_0 = A_0$$

as defined by (11). Define $\mu_2 : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$ by

$$\mu_2(a, b) = \mu(a, b), \mu_2(a, m) = \mu(i(a), m), \mu_2(m, a) = \mu(m, i(a)) \text{ and } \mu_2(m, n) = 0.$$

The map $\mu_3 : A_0 \otimes A_0 \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ is defined by

$$\mu_3(a, b, c) = \mathcal{A}_{a,b,c} - i(s(\mathcal{A}_{a,b,c})), \text{ for } a, b, c \in A_0.$$

Define the homomorphism α_0 and α_1 by $\alpha_0 = \Phi_0 : A_0 (= L_0) \rightarrow A_0$ and $\alpha_1 = \Phi_1|_{A_1 = \ker s} : V_1 \rightarrow V_1$. Since Φ is a functor, we have $\alpha_0 \circ d = d \circ \alpha_1$. Note that, since $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_0(a), \Phi_0(b), \Phi_0(c)} = \Phi_1\mathcal{A}_{a,b,c}$, we have $\alpha_1\mu_3(a, b, c) = \mu_3(\alpha_0(a), \alpha_0(b), \alpha_0(c))$. Then it is easy to verify that the tuple $(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ defines a 2-term $HA\infty$ -algebra.

For any hom-associative 2-algebra morphism $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2) : C \rightarrow C'$, we define a HA_∞ -morphism $S(F) = f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ from $S(C)$ to $S(C')$ as follows. Take $f_0 = F_0$, $f_1 = F_1|_{V_1 = \ker s}$ and define f_2 by

$$f_2(a, b) = F_2(a, b) - i(sF_2(a, b)).$$

It is easy to verify that f is a HA_∞ -morphism. Moreover, S preserves the identity morphism and the composition of morphisms. Therefore, S is a functor from $\mathbf{HAss2}$ to $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$.

It remains to prove that the composite functor $T \circ S$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{HAss2}}$, and the composite $S \circ T$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2HA}_\infty}$. Given a hom-associative 2-algebra $C = (C, \mu, \Phi, \mathcal{A})$, the corresponding 2-term HA_∞ -algebra $S(C)$ is given by

$$(A_1 = \ker s \xrightarrow{d=t|_{\ker s}} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1).$$

Therefore, the hom-associative 2-algebra $T(S(C)) := (C', \mu', \Phi', \mathcal{A}')$ is given by $C' := (A_0 \oplus A_1 \rightrightarrows A_0)$. Define $\theta : T \circ S \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{HAss2}}$ by

$$\theta_C : T \circ S(C) = C' \rightarrow C = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{HAss2}}(C)$$

with $(\theta_C)_0(a) = a$, $(\theta_C)_1(a, m) = i(a) + m$. It is obvious that θ_C is an isomorphism of 2-vector spaces.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_C(\mu'((a, m), (b, n))) &= \theta_C(\mu_2(a, b), \mu_2(a, n) + \mu_2(m, b) + \mu_2(dm, n)) \\ &= \theta_C(\mu(a, b), \mu(i(a), n) + \mu(m, i(b)) + \mu(i(dm), n)) \\ &= i(\mu(a, b)) + \mu(i(a), n) + \mu(m, i(b)) + \mu(i(dm), n) \\ &= \mu(i(a), i(b)) + \mu(i(a), n) + \mu(m, i(b)) + \mu(m, n) \\ &= \mu(i(a) + m, i(b) + n) \\ &= \mu(\theta_C(a, m), \theta_C(b, n)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that θ_C is a hom-associative 2-algebra morphism. Thus, θ_C is an isomorphism of hom-associative 2-algebras. It is easy to see that it is a natural isomorphism.

For any 2-term HA_∞ -algebra $A = (A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0, \mu_2, \mu_3, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$, the corresponding hom-associative 2-algebra $T(A)$ is given by

$$T(A) = (A_0 \oplus A_1 \rightrightarrows A_0, \mu, \Phi, \mathcal{A}).$$

If we apply the functor S to $T(A)$, we get back the same 2-term HA_∞ -algebra A . Therefore, the natural isomorphism $\vartheta : S \circ T \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2HA}_\infty}$ is given by the identity. Hence, the proof. \square

The above theorem can be strengthened in the world of 2-category. More precisely, one can define a notion of 2-morphism between two morphisms in $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$. In fact, the whole data makes $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$ into a strict 2-category. Similarly, one can make $\mathbf{HAss2}$ into a strict 2-category. Finally, one can prove that the 2-categories $\mathbf{2HA}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{HAss2}$ are 2-equivalent. A similar equivalence between the 2-categories of ‘2-term L_∞ -algebras’ and ‘Lie 2-algebras’ has been proved in [3].

6. COHOMOLOGY AND DEFORMATIONS OF HA_∞ -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we define Hochschild cohomology of HA_∞ -algebras. This cohomology controls the formal deformation of the structure.

6.1. Cohomology. We fix an HA_∞ -algebra $A = (A, \mu_k, \alpha)$.

6.1. Definition. A left HA_∞ -module over A consists of a graded vector space M together with

- a collection $\{\eta_k \mid 1 \leq k < \infty\}$ of multilinear maps $\eta_k : \otimes^{k-1} A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ with $\deg(\eta_k) = k-2$,
- a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$ of degree 0 with

$$\beta(\eta_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, m)) = \eta_k(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{k-1}), \beta(m))$$

such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{\lambda(i+1)+i(|a_1|+\dots+|a_{\lambda-1}|)} \eta_j(\gamma^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \eta_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda+i}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_n) = 0,$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ and $a_n \in M$.

Note that in the above definition we use the following conventions. If $\lambda < j$, that is, $\lambda + i - 1 < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_j(\gamma^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \eta_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda+i}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_n) \\ &= \eta_j(\alpha^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \alpha^{i-1}a_{\lambda+i}, \dots, \beta^{i-1}a_n). \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda = j$, that is, $a_{\lambda+i-1} = a_n$, we use

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_j(\gamma^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \eta_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \gamma^{i-1}a_{\lambda+i}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1}a_n) \\ &= \eta_j(\alpha^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_{j-1}, \eta_i(a_j, \dots, a_n)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that $M = A$ is a left HA_∞ -module over itself with $\eta_k = \mu_k$ and $\beta = \alpha$. One can also define a right HA_∞ -module. The notion of a HA_∞ -bimodule is also not hard to define. For a graded vector space M , we denote

$$J_{A,M}^k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k-1} [A^{\otimes i} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes j}].$$

6.2. Definition. An HA_∞ -bimodule over A consists of a graded vector space M together with

- a collection $\{\eta_k | 1 \leq k < \infty\}$ of multilinear maps $\eta_k : J_{A,M}^k \rightarrow M$ with $\deg(\eta_k) = k - 2$,
- a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$ of degree 0 satisfying

$$\beta(\eta_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = \eta_k(\gamma(a_1), \dots, \gamma(a_k))$$

and such that for all $n \geq 1$, the identity (13) is hold, for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in J_{A,M}^n$.

As before, we have used the following conventions in the above definition:

- (i) whenever some $a_i \in A$, by $\gamma^j(a_i)$, we mean $\alpha^j(a_i)$,
- (ii) whenever some $a_i \in M$, by $\gamma^j(a_i)$, we mean $\beta^j(a_i)$,
- (iii) whenever $(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}) \in A^{\otimes i}$, by $\eta_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1})$, we mean $\mu_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1})$,

It is easy to see that A is an HA_∞ -bimodule over itself. The notion of $HA_\infty[1]$ -module and $HA_\infty[1]$ -bimodule can be defined in a similar way. They are related to HA_∞ -module and HA_∞ -bimodule by a degree shift. Next, we introduce Hochschild cohomology of an HA_∞ -algebra with coefficients in itself. As a motivation, we first give an equivalent description of Hochschild cohomology of a hom-associative algebra described in subsection 2.1.

Let (A, μ, α) be a hom-associative algebra. It can be considered as a HA_∞ -algebra whose underlying graded vector space (denoted by the same symbol A) is concentrated in degree 0. Consider the suspension $V = sA$ which is concentrated in degree 1. The map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ induces a degree 0 map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ and the multiplication μ on A induces a multiplication (also denoted by the same symbol) $\mu : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V$ of degree -1 satisfying

$$\mu(\alpha(sa) \otimes \alpha(sb)) = \alpha(\mu(sa \otimes sb)).$$

Hence, it induces an element $\tilde{\mu} \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$. The hom-associativity of μ implies that $\tilde{\mu} \circ \tilde{\mu} = 0$. Moreover, we observe that if $f \in C_\alpha^n(A, A)$, it can be considered as a map $f : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ of degree $-(n-1)$ satisfying

$$f(\alpha(sa_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha(sa_n)) = \alpha(f(sa_1 \otimes \dots \otimes sa_n)).$$

Therefore, it lifts to a coderivation $\tilde{f} \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-(n-1)}(TV)$. In fact, we get an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A) \cong \bigoplus \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-(\bullet-1)}(TV), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{f}.$$

Moreover, for $f \in C_\alpha^n(A, A)$, the Hochschild coboundary is given by

$$\widetilde{\delta_\alpha(f)} = [\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{f}] = \widetilde{\mu} \circ \widetilde{f} - (-1)^{(n-1)} \widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{\mu},$$

where on the right hand side, we have used the graded Lie bracket on coderivations.

Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra with the corresponding coderivation is given by $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$. The Hochschild cochain complex of A with coefficients in itself is given by $(C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A), \delta_\alpha)$, where $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A) = \text{Coder}^{-(\bullet-1)}(TV)$ and

$$\delta_\alpha(D') := [D, D'] = D \circ D' - (-1)^{|D'|} D' \circ D, \text{ for } D' \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{|D'|}(TV).$$

Since $D \circ D = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha^2(D') &= [D, D \circ D' - (-1)^{|D'|} D' \circ D] \\ &= D \circ D \circ D' - (-1)^{|D'|} D \circ D' \circ D - (-1)^{|D'|+1} D \circ D' \circ D + (-1)^{|D'|+|D'|+1} D' \circ D \circ D = 0. \end{aligned}$$

One may also define the Hochschild cohomology of an HA_∞ -algebra with coefficients in an arbitrary HA_∞ -bimodule. See [22] for the basic idea of the Hochschild cohomology of an A_∞ -algebra with coefficients in a bimodule.

It is known that the Hochschild cohomology of an associative algebra carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure [8]. This result has been extended by Getzler and Jones to A_∞ -algebras [10]. Recently, the present author shows that the Hochschild cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ of a hom-associative algebra also carries a similar structure [5,6]. More precisely, given a hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) , there is a degree -1 graded Lie bracket on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ given by

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} g \circ f, \text{ for } f \in C_\alpha^p(A, A), g \in C_\alpha^q(A, A),$$

where $(f \circ g)$ is given by

$$(f \circ g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{(i-1)(q-1)} f(\alpha^{q-1} a_1, \dots, g(a_i, \dots, a_{i+q-1}), \dots, \alpha^{q-1} a_{p+q-1}),$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_{p+q-1} \in A$ (see [2,5]). There is also a cup-product \cup_α on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ defined by

$$(f \cup_\alpha g)(a_1, \dots, a_{p+q}) = \mu(\alpha^{q-1} f(a_1, \dots, a_m), \alpha^{p-1} g(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+n})).$$

Then the bracket and the cup-product on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ induces a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ [5].

Motivated from the result of [10] and [5], we now prove that the Hochschild cohomology of an HA_∞ -algebra carries a Gerstenhaber structure. Since the main steps of the proof are similar to the proof of [10], we only define the components of the Gerstenhaber structure on the cohomology.

Let A be a graded vector space and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map of degree 0. Then α induces a degree 0 map (denoted by the same symbol) $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$, where $V = sA$. We know that the graded space $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(TV)$ carries a graded Lie algebra structure (see subsection 3.2). Therefore, the graded vector space $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A) = \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-(\bullet-1)}(TV)$ inherits a degree -1 graded Lie bracket. More precisely, let $D \in C_\alpha^p(A, A)$ and $D' \in C_\alpha^q(A, A)$. Then $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{\varrho}_n$ and $D' = \sum_{m \geq 1} \widetilde{\sigma}_m$, where each $\varrho_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ is a map of degree $-(p-1)$ and each $\sigma_m : V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V$ is a map of degree $-(q-1)$. For $\varrho_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ and $\sigma_m : V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V$, we define $\varrho_n \circ \sigma_m : V^{n+m-1} \rightarrow V$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\varrho_n \circ \sigma_m)(v_1, \dots, v_{n+m-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} |v_l|)(q-1)} \varrho_n(\alpha^{m-1} v_1, \dots, \sigma_m(v_i, \dots, v_{i+m-1}), \\ &\quad \dots, \alpha^{m-1} v_{n+m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Define $D \circ D' = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n+m-1=k} \widetilde{\varrho_n \circ \sigma_m}$. The degree -1 graded Lie bracket on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ is given by

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} D' \circ D.$$

Next, we assume that (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra with the corresponding coderivation $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{\varrho}_n \in C_\alpha^2(A, A) = \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$. For any $D', D'' \in C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha([D', D'']) &= [D, [D', D'']] = [[D, D'], D''] + (-1)^{(q-1)} [D', [D, D'']] \\ &= [\delta_\alpha(D'), D''] + (-1)^{(q-1)} [D', \delta_\alpha(D'')], \end{aligned}$$

for $D' \in C_\alpha^q(A, A)$. This shows that the degree -1 graded Lie bracket on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ induces a degree -1 graded Lie bracket on the cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$. We can also define a cup-product $M(-, -)$ on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ as follows. For $D' = \sum_{m \geq 1} \widetilde{\sigma}_m \in C_\alpha^q(A, A)$ and $D'' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \widetilde{\tau}_n \in C_\alpha^r(A, A)$, define

$$M(D', D'') = \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{m+n+k=l, i \geq 1, i+m \leq j \leq m+k, k \geq 0} \varrho_{k+2}(\text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, \sigma_m, \widetilde{\text{id}}, \dots, \text{id}, \tau_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho_{k+2}(\text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, \sigma_m, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, \tau_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})(v_1, \dots, v_{m+n+k}) \\ &= (-1)^\psi \varrho_{k+2}(\alpha^{m+n-2}v_1, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\sigma_m(v_i, \dots, v_{i+m-1}), \dots, \alpha^{m-1}\tau_n(v_j, \dots, v_{j+n-1}), \\ & \quad \dots, \alpha^{m+n-2}v_{m+n+k}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\psi = (q-1)(\sum_{i=1}^{i-1} |v_i|) + (r-1)(\sum_{j=1}^{j-1} |v_j|)$.

Note that, unlike the case of associative algebra or hom-associative algebra, the above defined cup-product is not associative in general. In fact, Getzler and Jones showed that the Hochschild cochains $C^\bullet(A, A)$ of an A_∞ -algebra inherits an A_∞ -algebra structure [10]. More precisely, they considered a system of braces $\{-\}\{-, \dots, -\}$ on $C^\bullet(A, A)$. The A_∞ -algebra structure on $C^\bullet(A, A)$ is then given by

$$\psi_k(D_1, \dots, D_k) = \{D\}\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}.$$

In our case of an HA_∞ -algebra, we may also consider braces $\{-\}\{-, \dots, -\}$ on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ suitably twisted by α , which will induce an A_∞ -algebra structure on $C_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$. (A detailed description for the braces on a non-graded vector space A together with a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is given in [6]). Therefore, the cohomology (which is the Hochschild cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$) carries a graded associative algebra structure. Finally, a similar observation made in Getzler and Jones [10] (see [5] for the calculation of hom-associative algebra case) one can prove that the induced associative product on the cohomology is graded commutative as well. Moreover, the degree -1 graded Lie bracket and the product on the cohomology turns out to satisfy the graded Leibniz rule. Thus, we get the following.

6.3. Theorem. *Let (A, μ_k, α) be a HA_∞ -algebra. Then its Hochschild cohomology $H_\alpha^\bullet(A, A)$ carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure.*

6.2. Deformations. Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra with the corresponding coderivation $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$, where $V = sA$. A formal one parameter deformation of A is given by a formal sum

$$D_t = D + tD_1 + t^2D_2 + \dots \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)[[t]],$$

such that $D_t \circ D_t = 0$. This is equivalent to a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ D &= 0, \\ D \circ D_1 + D_1 \circ D &= 0, \\ \dots \\ D \circ D_n + D_1 \circ D_{n-1} + \dots + D_{n-1} \circ D_1 + D_n \circ D &= 0, \\ \dots \end{aligned}$$

The first equation corresponds to the given HA_∞ -algebra structure on A . The second equation implies that $\delta_\alpha(D_1) = 0$. This shows that D_1 is a 2-cocycle in the Hochschild cohomology of A . The 2-cocycle D_1 is called the infinitesimal of the deformation D_t . More generally, if $D_1 = D_2 = \dots = D_{n-1} = 0$ and D_n is non-zero, then D_n is a 2-cocycle and is called the n -infinitesimal of the deformation D_t .

6.4. Definition. Two deformations $D_t = D + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i D_i$ and $\widetilde{D}_t = D + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \widetilde{D}_i$ are said to be equivalent if there is a formal sum

$$\Phi_t = \Phi_0 + t\Phi_1 + t^2\Phi_2 + \dots \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^0(TV)[[t]]$$

with $\Phi_0 = \text{id}$ and such that $D_t \circ \Phi_t = \Phi_t \circ \tilde{D}_t$.

By equating coefficients of t^0 and t^1 in both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ \Phi_0 &= \Phi_0 \circ D, \\ D \circ \Phi_1 + D_1 &= \tilde{D}_1 + \Phi_1 \circ D. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation holds automatically as $\Phi_0 = \text{id}$, while the second equation implies that $D_1 - \tilde{D}_1 = -\delta_\alpha(\Phi_1)$. This shows that infinitesimals of equivalent deformations are cohomologous. That is, they gives rise to same cohomology class in $H_\alpha^2(A, A)$.

6.5. Definition. A deformation $D_t = D + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i D_i$ of A is called trivial if D_t is equivalent to the deformation $\tilde{D}_t = D$. An HA_∞ -algebra A is called rigid if any deformation of A is trivial.

6.6. Proposition. *Let $D_t = D + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i D_i$ be a deformation of A . Then D_t is equivalent to some deformation*

$$\tilde{D}_t = D + t^p \tilde{D}_p + t^{p+1} \tilde{D}_{p+1} + \dots$$

in which the first non-vanishing term \tilde{D}_p is in $Z_\alpha^2(A, A)$ but not in $B_\alpha^2(A, A)$.

Proof. Let $D_t = D + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i D_i$ be a deformation such that $D_1 = \dots = D_{n-1} = 0$ and D_n is the first non-zero term. Then it has been shown that $D_n \in Z_\alpha^2(A, A)$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle. If D_n is not in $B_\alpha^2(A, A)$, we are done. If D_n is in $B_\alpha^2(A, A)$, that is, $D_n = -\delta_\alpha(\Phi_n)$, for some $\Phi_n \in C_\alpha^1(A, A)$, then setting

$$\Phi_t = \text{id} + t^n \Phi_n.$$

We define $\bar{D}_t = \Phi_t^{-1} \circ D_t \circ \Phi_t$. Then \bar{D}_t defines a formal deformation of the form

$$\bar{D}_t = D + t^{n+1} \bar{D}_{n+1} + t^{n+2} \bar{D}_{n+2} + \dots$$

Thus, it follows that \bar{D}_{n+1} is a 2-cocycle. If this 2-cocycle is not in $B_\alpha^2(A, A)$, we are done. If this is coboundary, we can apply the same method again. In this way, we get a required type of equivalent deformation. \square

As a corollary, we get the following.

6.7. Theorem. *If $H_\alpha^2(A, A) = 0$, then A is rigid.*

Let (A, μ_k, α) be a HA_∞ -algebra. A deformation of A of order n is of the form $D_t = D + \sum_{i=1}^n t^i D_i$ such that $(D_t)^2 = 0$. Next, we shall consider the problem of extending a deformation of order n to a deformation of order $n+1$. Suppose there is a coderivation $D_{n+1} \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$ such that

$$\tilde{D}_t = D_t + t^{n+1} D_{n+1}$$

is a deformation of order $n+1$. Then we say that D_t extends to a deformation of order $n+1$.

Since we assume that $D_t = D + \sum_{i=1}^n t^i D_i$ is a deformation, we have

$$(14) \quad D \circ D_i + D_1 \circ D_{i-1} + \dots + D_{i-1} \circ D_1 + D_i \circ D = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Here we use the convention that $D_0 = D$. For $\tilde{D}_t = D_t + t^{n+1} D_{n+1}$ to be a deformation, one more condition need to satisfy, namely,

$$D \circ D_{n+1} + D_1 \circ D_n + \dots + D_n \circ D_1 + D_{n+1} \circ D = 0.$$

In other words, D_{n+1} must satisfy

$$\delta_\alpha(D_{n+1}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \circ D_{n+1-i}.$$

The right hand side of the above equation is called the obstruction to extend the deformation D_t to a deformation of order $n+1$.

6.8. Proposition. *The obstruction is a Hochschild 3-cocycle, that is,*

$$\delta_\alpha\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \circ D_{n+1-i}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Note that $\{D, D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ satisfy a system of relations (14). Therefore, it follows that (by pre-compose and post-compose the i -th relation by D_{n+1-i})

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &:= D \circ D_i \circ D_{n+1-i} + D_1 \circ D_{i-1} \circ D_{n+1-i} + \dots + D_{i-1} \circ D_1 \circ D_{n+1-i} + D_i \circ D \circ D_{n+1-i} = 0, \\ B_i &:= D_{n+1-i} \circ D \circ D_i + D_{n+1-i} \circ D_1 \circ D_{i-1} + \dots + D_{n+1-i} \circ D_{i-1} \circ D_1 + D_{n+1-i} \circ D_i \circ D = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n A_i - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i = 0.$$

By an easy observation (after cancelling terms) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n D \circ D_i \circ D_{n+1-i} - \sum_{i=1}^n D_{n+1-i} \circ D_i \circ D \\ &= [D, \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \circ D_{n+1-i}] = \delta_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \circ D_{n+1-i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows from Equation (15). \square

It follows from the above proposition that the obstruction defines a cohomology class in $H_\alpha^3(A, A)$. If this cohomology class is zero, then the obstruction is given by a coboundary (say $\delta_\alpha(D_{n+1})$). In other words, $\tilde{D}_t = D_t + t^{n+1}D_{n+1}$ defines a deformation of order $n + 1$.

In view of this, we get the following.

6.9. Theorem. *If $H_\alpha^3(A, A) = 0$, every deformation of order n can be extended to deformation of order $n + 1$.*

7. STRONGLY HOMOTOPY HOM-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we first recall the definition of HL_∞ -algebra introduced in [21]. We give some other equivalent descriptions of HL_∞ -algebras. An appropriate skew-symmetrization of HA_∞ -algebras give rise to HL_∞ -algebras. Finally, we define module over HL_∞ -algebras and Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology.

7.1. HL_∞ -algebras.

7.1. Definition. An HL_∞ -algebra is a graded vector space $L = \bigoplus L_i$ together with

- (i) a collection $\{l_k \mid 1 \leq k < \infty\}$ of skew-symmetric multilinear maps $l_k : L^{\otimes k} \rightarrow L$ with $\deg(l_k) = k - 2$,
- (ii) a linear map $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ of degree 0 with

$$\alpha(l_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)) = l_k(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_k))$$

such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(16) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) (-1)^{i(j-1)} l_j(l_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}), \alpha^{i-1}a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_{\sigma(n)}) = 0,$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$, and σ runs over all $(i, n - i)$ unshuffles with $i \geq 1$.

An HL_∞ -algebra as above is denoted by (L, l_k, α) . When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, one gets the definition of an L_∞ -algebra. When L is a vector space considered as a graded vector space concentrated in degree 0, we get hom-Lie algebras [11].

The above definition of an HL_∞ -algebra has the following consequences. For $n = 1$, we have $l_1^2 = 0$, which means that the degree -1 map $l_1 : V \rightarrow V$ is a differential. Therefore, (L, l_1) is a chain complex. If we write $l_2 = [-, -]$, then this bracket is graded skew-symmetric and satisfies (for $n = 2$)

$$l_1[a, b] = [l_1(a), b] + (-1)^{|a|}[a, l_1(b)], \quad \text{for } a, b \in L.$$

It says that the differential l_1 is a graded derivation for the bracket $[-, -]$. For $n = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|a||c|}[[a, b], \alpha(c)] + (-1)^{|b||a|}[[b, c], \alpha(a)] + (-1)^{|c||b|}[[c, a], \alpha(b)] \\ &= (-1)^{|a||c|+1} \left\{ l_1(l_3(a, b, c)) + l_3(l_1(a), b, c) + (-1)^{|a|}l_3(a, l_1(b), c) + (-1)^{|a|+|b|}l_3(a, b, l_1(c)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the graded skew-symmetric product l_2 does not satisfy (in general) the graded hom-Jacobi identity. However, it does satisfy up to a term involving l_3 . Similarly, for higher n , we get higher coherence laws that l_k 's must satisfy. It is now easy to see that a graded hom-Lie algebra is an HL_∞ -algebra with $l_k = 0$, for $k \neq 2$, and, a DG hom-Lie algebra is an HL_∞ -algebra with $l_k = 0$, for $k \geq 3$.

It also follows from the above observation that the homology $H_*(L) = H_*(L, l_1)$ of an HL_∞ -algebra (L, l_k, α) carries a graded hom-Lie algebra.

7.2. Example. Let (L, l_k) be an L_∞ -algebra and $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ be a degree 0 map satisfying $\alpha \circ l_k = l_k \circ \alpha^{\otimes k}$, for all $k \geq 1$. Such a map α is called a strict morphism. If $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ is a strict morphism, then $(L, \alpha^{k-1} \circ l_k, \alpha)$ is an HL_∞ -algebra.

7.3. Remark. In [21] Sheng and Chen studied 2-term HL_∞ -algebras in details. Motivated from the paper of Baez and Crans [3], they introduced hom-Lie 2-algebras and show that the category of 2-term HL_∞ -algebras and the category of hom-Lie 2-algebras are equivalent [21, Theorem 3.9].

7.4. Remark. In this respect, we also mention the following. Like any Lie algebra gives rise to a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation, any Lie 2-algebra gives a solution of the ‘Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation’ (a categorification of the Yang-Baxter equation) [3]. In the context of hom-type algebras, D. Yau proved that hom-Lie algebras give rise to a solution of the hom-Yang-Baxter equation (an hom analogue of the Yang-Baxter equation) [25]. It is interesting to verify whether hom-Lie 2-algebras give rise to a solution of a suitable hom analogue of the ‘Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation’.

Next, we introduce an equivalent notion of $HL_\infty[1]$ -algebra.

7.5. Definition. An $HL_\infty[1]$ -algebra structure on a graded vector space V consists of degree -1 symmetric linear maps $\varrho_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V$ and a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ of degree 0 with

$$\alpha(\varrho_k(v_1, \dots, v_k)) = \varrho_k(\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_k))$$

and for all $n \geq 1$,

(17)

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(i, n-i)} \epsilon(\sigma) \varrho_j(\varrho_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(i)}), \alpha^{i-1}v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0, \text{ for } a_1, \dots, a_n \in V.$$

Like classical case, an HL_∞ -structure is related to an $HL_\infty[1]$ -structure by a degree shift. Let (L, l_k, α) be a HL_∞ -algebra. Take $V = sL$ and define $\varrho_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V$ by

$$(18) \quad \varrho_k = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} s \circ l_k \circ (s^{-1})^{\otimes k}.$$

On the other hand l_k can be reconstructed from ϱ_k as $l_k = s^{-1} \circ \varrho_k \circ s^{\otimes k}$. See [15] for more details. Then we have the following.

7.6. Proposition. An HL_∞ -structure on a graded vector space L is equivalent to an $HL_\infty[1]$ -structure on the graded vector space $V = sL$.

7.7. Remark. Note that Definition 7.1 is natural in the sense that the differential l_1 has degree -1 , the product l_2 preserves the degree and l_n 's are higher homotopies, for $n \geq 3$. However, one can see that Definition 7.5 is simpler in sign.

7.2. Coderivation interpretation. Let V be a graded vector space. We now consider the free graded commutative algebra $SV = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k(V)$ on V , where $S^k(V)$ is the quotient of $V^{\otimes k}$ by the subspace generated by elements of the form $v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(k)} - \epsilon(\sigma)v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k$. We denote the induced product on SV by \wedge . There is also a coproduct on SV defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) &= (1) \otimes (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(i, n-i)} \epsilon(\sigma) (v_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\sigma(i)}) \otimes (v_{\sigma(i+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &+ (v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) \otimes (1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map of degree 0, it induces a coalgebra map (denoted by the same symbol) $\alpha : SV \rightarrow SV$ defined by

$$\alpha(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) = \alpha(v_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(v_n).$$

7.8. Lemma. Let V be a graded vector space and $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map of degree 0. Let $\varrho : S^n(V) \rightarrow V$ be a linear map (of degree $|\varrho|$) satisfying $\alpha \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \alpha^{\wedge n}$, that is,

$$\alpha(\varrho(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n)) = \varrho(\alpha(v_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(v_n)).$$

View ϱ as a linear map $\varrho : SV \rightarrow V$ by letting its only non-zero component being given by the original ϱ on $S^n(V)$. Then ϱ lifts uniquely to a map $\tilde{\varrho} : SV \rightarrow SV$ (of degree $|\varrho|$) satisfying $\alpha \circ \tilde{\varrho} = \tilde{\varrho} \circ \alpha$ and

$$\Delta \circ \tilde{\varrho} = (\tilde{\varrho} \otimes \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-1} \otimes \tilde{\varrho}) \circ \Delta.$$

More precisely, $\tilde{\varrho}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\varrho}(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < n, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(n, k-n)} \epsilon(\sigma) \varrho(v_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\sigma(n)}) \wedge \alpha^{n-1} v_{\sigma(n+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{n-1} v_{\sigma(k)}, & \text{if } k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\text{Coder}_\alpha^p(SV) := \{D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \mid \varrho_n : S^n V \rightarrow V \text{ is a map of degree } p \text{ satisfying } \alpha \circ \varrho_n = \varrho_n \circ \alpha^{\otimes n}\}.$$

One may also define a graded Lie algebra structure on $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(SV)$. For $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^p(SV)$ and $D' = \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}_m \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^q(SV)$, we define

$$D \circ D' = \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \circ \tilde{\sigma}_m \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{p+q}(SV).$$

The graded Lie bracket on $\text{Coder}_\alpha^\bullet(SV)$ is then given by $[D, D'] = D \circ D' - (-1)^{pq} D' \circ D$.

7.9. Proposition. Let V be a graded vector space and $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map of degree 0. Let $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)$. Then the condition $D \circ D = 0$ is equivalent to the following equations:

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(i, n-i)} \epsilon(\sigma) \varrho_j(\varrho_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(i)}), \alpha^{i-1} v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1} v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

In view of Proposition 7.6 and Proposition 7.9, we get the following result.

7.10. Theorem. An HL_∞ -algebra structure on a graded vector space L with respect to a linear map $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ of degree 0 is equivalent to an element $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)$ with $D \circ D = 0$, where $V = sL$.

It is known that the graded commutator of a differential graded hom-associative algebra gives rise to a differential graded hom-Lie algebra. More precisely, let (A, μ, α, d) be a differential graded hom-associative algebra. Then $(A, [-, -], \alpha, d)$ is a differential graded hom-Lie algebra, where

$$[a, b] = \mu(a \otimes b) - (-1)^{|a||b|} \mu(b \otimes a)$$

is the usual graded commutator. A more general theorem for strongly homotopy algebras is given by the following.

7.11. Theorem. Let (A, μ_k, α) be an HA_∞ -algebra. Then (A, l_k, α) forms an HL_∞ -algebra, where the maps $l_k : \otimes^k A \rightarrow A$ are given by

$$l_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi(\sigma) \mu_k(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k)}),$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ and $1 \leq k < \infty$. Here, l_k 's are appropriate skew-symmetrization of μ_k 's.

Proof. Our proof is similar to the proof of Lada and Markl [15]. Let the HA_∞ -algebra (A, μ_k, α) is given by the coderivation $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(TV)$, where $V = sA$. Then we have $D \circ D = 0$.

Consider the injective coalgebra map $Q : SV \rightarrow TV$ given by

$$Q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) (v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Next, consider the linear map $\pi \circ D \circ Q : SV \rightarrow V$, where $\pi : TV \rightarrow V$ is the projection. Then by Lemma 7.8, we can extend it to a unique map $\mathbf{D} = \pi \circ \widetilde{D} \circ Q : SV \rightarrow SV$ such that $\mathbf{D} \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)$ and $\pi' \circ \mathbf{D} = \pi \circ D \circ Q$, where $\pi' : SV \rightarrow V$ is the projection. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \widetilde{\varrho}_k$, where ϱ_k 's are defined from l_k 's as in (18). Thus, it remains to show that $\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{D} = 0$.

First we claim that $Q \circ \mathbf{D} = D \circ Q$. Since Q is a coalgebra map, both $Q \circ \mathbf{D}$ and $D \circ Q$ are in $\text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV, TV)$. Therefore, we only need to examine $\pi \circ Q \circ \mathbf{D} = \pi \circ D \circ Q$. Observe that $\pi \circ Q = \pi'$, hence, $\pi \circ Q \circ \mathbf{D} = \pi' \circ \mathbf{D}$. On the other hand, $\pi \circ D \circ Q = \pi' \circ \mathbf{D}$, by the definition of \mathbf{D} . Hence, we have $Q \circ \mathbf{D} = DQ$.

Thus, $\pi' \circ \mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{D} = (\pi' \circ \mathbf{D}) \circ \mathbf{D} = (\pi \circ D \circ Q) \circ \mathbf{D} = \pi \circ D \circ D \circ Q = 0$. Since, $\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{D} : SV \rightarrow SV$ is in $\text{Coder}_\alpha^{-2}(SV)$ and $\pi' \circ \mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{D} = 0$, it follows that $\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{D} = 0$. Hence, the proof. \square

7.3. Cohomology and deformations.

7.12. Definition. Let (L, l_k, α) be a HL_∞ -algebra. An HL_∞ -module is a graded vector space M together with

- a collection $\{\eta_k \mid 1 \leq k < \infty\}$ of linear maps $\eta_k : \otimes^{k-1} L \otimes M \rightarrow M$ with $\deg(\eta_k) = k - 2$,
- a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$ of degree 0 with

$$\beta(\eta_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, m)) = \eta_k(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{k-1}), \beta(m))$$

such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(19) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(i, n-i)} \chi(\sigma) (-1)^{i(j-1)} \eta_j(\eta_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}), \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(n)}) = 0,$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in L$ and $a_n \in M$.

Note that in the above definition we use the following conventions. For any $\sigma \in Sh(i, n-i)$, we have either $a_{\sigma(i)} = a_n$ or $a_{\sigma(n)} = a_n$. In the first case, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_j(\eta_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}), \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= (-1)^{j-1+i+\sum_{k=1}^i |a_{\sigma(k)}| (\sum_{k=i+1}^n |a_{\sigma(k)}|)} \eta_j(\alpha^{i-1} a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{i-1} a_{\sigma(n)}, \eta_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)})). \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, we define

$$\eta_j(\eta_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}), \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \gamma^{i-1} a_{\sigma(n)}) = \eta_j(l_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i)}), \alpha^{i-1} a_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, \beta^{i-1} a_{\sigma(n)}).$$

It is easy to observe that $M = L$ is an HL_∞ -module over itself with $\eta_k = l_k$ and $\beta = \alpha$. We now define the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of an HL_∞ -algebra with coefficients in itself.

Let (L, l_k, α) be an HL_∞ -algebra with the corresponding coderivation is given by $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)$. The Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of L with coefficients in itself is given by $(C_\alpha^\bullet(L, L), \delta_\alpha)$, where $C_\alpha^\bullet(L, L) = \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-\bullet-1}(SV)$ and

$$\delta_\alpha(-) := [D, -].$$

Then $D \circ D = 0$ implies that $\delta_\alpha^2 = 0$. The cohomology groups are denoted by $H_\alpha^\bullet(L, L)$.

Like HA_∞ -algebra case, one may also study the deformation of HL_∞ -algebras. Let (L, l_k, α) be an HL_∞ -algebra with the corresponding coderivation is given by $D \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)$. A deformation of L is given by a formal sum

$$D_t = D + tD_1 + t^2D_2 + \cdots \in \text{Coder}_\alpha^{-1}(SV)[[t]]$$

such that $D_t \circ D_t = 0$. Similar to the case of HA_∞ -algebra, one can show that $H_\alpha^2(L, L) = 0$ implies the rigidity of the HL_∞ -structure and $H_\alpha^3(L, L)$ obstruct the extension of a deformation of order n to a deformation of order $n + 1$.

7.13. Remark. In [7], the authors consider a more general deformation of homotopy algebras $(A_\infty, L_\infty, \dots)$ whose deformation parameter lies in a local algebra. Inspired from the classical deformations (associative, Lie, ...), they also considered miniversal deformation of homotopy algebras. In our context, one may also study miniversal deformation of HA_∞ - and HL_∞ -algebras.

8. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we introduce some generalizations of HA_∞ -algebras and HL_∞ -algebras.

8.1. HA_∞ -category. An A_∞ -category is a kind of category (but not a true category) in which the composition of morphisms is associative up to homotopy (see [13] for instance). A_∞ -categories are category theoretical model of A_∞ -algebras. In this appendix, we introduce HA_∞ -category as a categorical model of HA_∞ -algebras.

8.1. Definition. An HA_∞ -category \mathcal{A} consists of

- a class of objects $\text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$,
- for all $A, B \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a graded vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$ and a linear map

$$\alpha_{A,B} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$$

(also denoted by α when there is no confusion) of degree 0,

- for all $k \geq 1$ and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$, a graded composition map

$$\mu_k : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_{k-1}, A_k) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_{k-2}, A_{k-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_0, A_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_0, A_k)$$

of degree $k - 2$ satisfying

$$\alpha \circ \mu_k = \mu_k \circ \alpha^{\otimes k}, \text{ for all } k \geq 1,$$

and for all $n \geq 1$ and objects $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^j (-1)^{\lambda(i+1)+i(|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{\lambda-1}|)} \mu_j(\alpha^{i-1}a_1, \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_i(a_\lambda, \dots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), \dots, \alpha^{i-1}a_n) = 0,$$

for $a_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_{n-i}, A_{n-i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{A} is an HA_∞ -category with one object $\{\star\}$, then the graded vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\star, \star)$ inherits an HA_∞ -algebra structure. Therefore, an HA_∞ -category is a categorical model of an HA_∞ -algebra. Another class of examples are given by dg hom-categories in which $\mu_k = 0$, for all $k \geq 3$.

When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, one gets the definition of an A_∞ -category. Let (\mathcal{A}, μ_k) be an A_∞ -category and for all $A, B \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a map

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$$

satisfying $\alpha \circ \mu_k = \mu_k \circ \alpha^{\otimes k}$, for all $k \geq 1$. Such an α is called a strict functor. If α is a strict functor, then $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha^{k-1} \circ \mu_k, \alpha)$ is an HA_∞ -category.

The categorical model of an HA_∞ -algebra morphism is given by HA_∞ -functor which can be define similarly.

8.2. Remark. Since HA_∞ -category is a category theoretical model of an HA_∞ -algebra, it would be interesting to extend some properties (like homotopy transfer theorem) of HA_∞ -algebra to HA_∞ -category. We also hope that there might exists an appropriate version of the Hochschild cohomology theory for some ‘good’ HA_∞ -categories.

8.2. HA_∞ -coalgebras. Like coalgebras are dual to algebras, hom-coalgebras are dual to hom-algebras [18]. A hom-coalgebra is a triple (A, Δ, α) consists of a vector space A together with a linear map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and a linear map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying $\Delta \circ \alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \Delta$ and the following hom-coassociative identity

$$(\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta = (\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta.$$

Given a coalgebra (A, Δ) and a coalgebra map $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, one can associate a hom-coalgebra $(A, \Delta \circ \alpha, \alpha)$. The notions of graded hom-coalgebra and DG hom-coalgebra can be define similarly. Now, we introduce HA_∞ -coalgebra as a more general object where the hom-coassociative identity holds up to certain homotopy.

8.3. Definition. An HA_∞ -coalgebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus A_i$ together with

- a collection of maps $\Delta_k : A \rightarrow A^{\otimes k}$ of degree $k - 2$, for $k \geq 1$,
- a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ of degree 0 satisfying

$$\alpha^{\otimes k} \circ \Delta_k = \Delta_k \circ \alpha, \text{ for all } k \geq 1,$$

and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n, r, t \geq 0, s \geq 1} (-1)^{rs+t} ((\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes \Delta_s \otimes (\alpha^{s-1})^{\otimes t}) \circ \Delta_{r+1+t} = 0.$$

For $n = 1$, it follows that Δ_1 is a differential. For $n = 2$, we get that the differential Δ_1 satisfies the co-Leibniz rule

$$\Delta_2 \circ \Delta_1 = (\Delta_1 \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_2 + (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_1) \circ \Delta_2$$

with respect to the coproduct Δ_2 . For $n = 3$, it follows that the coproduct Δ_2 is hom-coassociative up to certain homotopy. Similarly, for higher n , we get higher coherence laws that Δ_k 's must satisfy. It follows that an HA_∞ -coalgebra (V, Δ_k, α) with $\Delta_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$, is a DG hom-coalgebra.

The definition of morphism between HA_∞ -coalgebras can be define similarly. Finally, it would be interesting to prove homotopy transfer theorems for HA_∞ -coalgebras. One may also study 2-term HA_∞ -coalgebras and prove results analogous to Subsections 4.1 and 4.2. We hope that a categorical version of hom-coalgebras might be related to 2-term HA_∞ -coalgebras.

8.3. HP_∞ -algebras. The notion of strongly homotopy Poisson algebras (P_∞ -algebras in short) was introduced by Cattaneo and Felder to study deformation of coisotropic submanifolds of a Poisson manifold [4]. We now introduce strongly homotopy hom-Poisson algebras (or HP_∞ -algebras in short).

8.4. Definition. An HP_∞ -algebra is an HL_∞ -algebra (L, l_k, α) equipped with a graded commutative, hom-associative multiplication

$$\mu : L \otimes L \rightarrow L, (a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$$

of degree 0 such that each $l_k : L^{\otimes k} \rightarrow L$, $k \geq 1$, satisfies the following graded hom-Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} l_k(\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{k-1}), b \cdot c) &= l_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b) \cdot \alpha(c) \\ &+ (-1)^{(k-2+|a_1|+\dots+|a_{k-1}|)|b|} \alpha(b) \cdot l_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, c), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b, c \in L$.

An HP_∞ -algebra as above is denoted by (L, l_k, μ, α) . When $\alpha = \text{identity}$, we recover P_∞ -algebras introduced in [4]. When L is a vector space (considered as a graded vector space concentrated in degree 0), we get hom-Poisson algebras [17].

Given a P_∞ -algebra (L, l_k, μ) and a strict P_∞ -algebra morphism α , one can define an HP_∞ -algebra $(L, \alpha^{k-1} \circ l_k, \alpha \circ \mu, \alpha)$.

8.5. Remark. Note that, in the above definition of an HP_∞ -algebra, we have assumed that the multiplication μ is hom-associative. In a more general notion of HP_∞ -algebra, one expects that the multiplication is hom-associative up to homotopy, in other words, the underlying graded vector

space L inherits an HA_∞ -algebra structure (L, μ_k, α) as well. The graded hom-Leibniz rule can easily extend (for higher μ_k 's) by using the Koszul sign convention.

Acknowledgement. The research was supported by the Institute post-doctoral fellowship of Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata. The author would like to thank the Institute for their support.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Aloulou and R. Chatbouri, Algèbres Hom-Gerstenhaber à homotopie près, (in French), *Bull. Sci. Math.* 140 (2016), no. 1, 36-63.
- [2] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi and A. Makhlof, Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras, *J. Lie Theory* 21 (2011), no. 4, 813-836.
- [3] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans, Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004) 492-538.
- [4] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, Relative formality theorem and quantisation of coisotropic submanifolds, *Adv. Math.* 208 (2007), no. 2, 521-548.
- [5] A. Das, Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the cohomology of a hom-associative algebra, arXiv:1805.01207
- [6] A. Das, Gerstenhaber structure on the cohomology of hom-type algebras, arXiv:1808.01464
- [7] A. Fialowski and M. Penkava, Deformation theory of infinity algebras, *J. Algebra* 255 (2002), no. 1, 59-88.
- [8] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. of Math.* 78 (1963) 267-288.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Ann. of Math.* Vol. 79, No. 1 (1964) 59-103.
- [10] E. Getzler and J. D. S. Jones, Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces, preprint hep-th/9403055
- [11] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations, *J. Algebra* 295 (2006), 314-361.
- [12] T. V. Kadeisvili, On the theory of homology of fiber spaces, International Topology Conference (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1979), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 35 (1980), no. 3(213), 183-188.
- [13] B. Keller, Introduction to A -infinity algebras and modules, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 3 (2001), no. 1, 1-35.
- [14] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Deformation of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture, *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999*, Vol. I (Dijon), 255-307.
- [15] T. Lada and M. Markl, Strongly homotopy Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 23 (1995), no. 6, 2147-2161.
- [16] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, Introduction to SH Lie algebras for Physicists, *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* 32 (1993), no. 7, 1087-1103.
- [17] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* 2 (2008), no. 2, 51-64.
- [18] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 9 (2010), no. 4, 553-589.
- [19] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras, *Forum Math.* 22 (2010), no. 4, 715-739.
- [20] M. Markl, Transferring A_∞ (strongly homotopy associative) structures, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* No. 79 (2006), 139-151.
- [21] Y. Sheng and D. Chen, Hom-Lie 2-algebras, *J. Algebra* 376 (2013), 174-195.
- [22] T. Tradler, The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 58 (2008), no. 7, 2351-2379.
- [23] J. D. Stasheff, Homotopy associativity of H -spaces II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 108 (1963) 293-312.
- [24] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology, *J. Lie Theory* 19 (2009), no. 2, 409-421.
- [25] D. Yau, The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras, *J. Phys. A* 42 (2009), no. 16, 165202, 12 pp.
- [26] D. Yau, Hom-algebras via PROPs, arXiv:1103.5261

E-mail address: apurbadas348@gmail.com

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA 700108, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.