

PROPERTIES OF EIGENVALUES AND SOME REGULARITIES ON FRACTIONAL p -LAPLACIAN WITH SINGULAR WEIGHTS

KY HO AND INBO SIM

ABSTRACT. We provide fundamental properties of the first eigenpair for fractional p -Laplacian eigenvalue problems under singular weights, which is related to Hardy type inequality, and also show that the second eigenvalue is well-defined. We obtain a-priori bounds and the continuity of solutions to problems with such singular weights with some additional assumptions. Moreover, applying the above results, we show a global bifurcation emanating from the first eigenvalue, the Fredholm alternative for non-resonant problems, and obtain the existence of infinitely many solutions for some nonlinear problems involving singular weights. These are new results, even for (fractional) Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

We cannot emphasize enough the study of eigenvalue problems since it is not only interesting in and of itself, but can also be applied to a many of associated nonlinear problems. Let us list some results comparing the singularities of weight functions. Cuesta [7] studied eigenvalues for the p -Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $p > 1$, $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 2$, λ is a spectral parameter, $V_+ := \max\{V, 0\} \not\equiv 0$, and

$$V \in L^s(\Omega) \text{ for some } s > \frac{N}{p} \text{ if } 1 < p < N, \quad (1.2)$$

which is suitable for applying the Hölder inequality in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the right-hand side of (1.1). She showed that

$$\lambda_1 := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u|^p dx = 1 \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

is the least positive eigenvalue (also called the first eigenvalue) and is achieved at a positive eigenfunction e_1 . Furthermore, she proved the standard properties (isolation and simplicity) of the first eigenvalue and characterization of the second eigenvalue.

Concerning more involved singular weights than condition (1.2), Szulkin-Willem [24] assumed

$$\begin{cases} V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega), V_+ = V_1 + V_2 \not\equiv 0, V_1 \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega), \\ \lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow y \\ x \in \Omega}} |x - y|^p V_2(x) = 0, \forall y \in \overline{\Omega}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P15, 35P30, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Fractional p -Laplacian, bifurcation, eigenvalue problem, a-priori bounds.

and obtained that $\lambda_1 > 0$ is achieved with $e_1 \geq 0$ and λ_1 is simple under more assumptions.

Lucia-Ramaswamy [21] considered $p = 2$ and used the Lorentz space $L^{p_0, q_0}(\Omega)$ (see Appendix for a brief definition of $L^{p_0, q_0}(\Omega)$) and assumed

$$V \in L^{\frac{N}{2}, q_0}(\Omega) \text{ for some } q_0 \in (1, \infty) \text{ such that } V_+ \not\equiv 0, \quad (1.5)$$

which is independent of conditions (1.4) and obtained the existence of the first eigenpair (λ_1, e_1) as well as the simplicity of λ_1 . They also derived a Rabinowitz global bifurcation from λ_1 (see Section 5 for the definition of Rabinowitz global bifurcation).

Perera-Sim [23] introduced a class \mathcal{B}_q , for $q \in [1, p^*)$ where $p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $p < N$ and $p^* := \infty$ if $p \geq N$, the class of measurable functions K such that for the distance function ρ (see (2.2)), $K\rho^a \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $a \in [0, q-1]$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{p} + \frac{q-a}{p^*} < 1$, which is independent of condition (1.5) (see Example 2.4), and obtained $\lambda_1 > 0$ is achieved.

In the last decade problems involving the nonlocal nonlinear operator $(-\Delta)_p^s$, where $s \in (0, 1)$ and

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) := 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

has been the center of PDEs since such problems arised in various fields [1, 6]. The fractional p -Laplace eigenvalue problems have mostly been studied under at most an L^∞ -weight (see [5, 9, 15, 16, 20]). Recently, Ho-Perera-Sim-Squassina [19] studied the eigenvalues of the analog fractional p -Laplacian problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda h(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

They introduced the analog \mathcal{C}_q (the class of measurable functions h such that $h\rho^{sa} \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $a \in [0, q-1]$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{p} + \frac{q-a}{p^*} < 1$, where $p_s^* := \frac{Np}{N-sp}$ if $ps < N$ and $p_s^* := \infty$ if $p \geq N$) to \mathcal{B}_q in the p -Laplacian eigenvalue problem and obtained the existence of the first eigenpair (λ_1, e_1) . They also obtained the simplicity of λ_1 and the positivity of e_1 if $h \geq 0$.

In this paper, we first focus on properties of the first eigenpair and the well-definedness of the second eigenvalue of problem (1.6). One of the novelties is to relax $a \in [0, q-1]$ to $a \in [0, q)$ (see Definition 2.2). It is worth mentioning that we can obtain the simplicity of λ_1 and the positivity of e_1 with the help of a strong maximum principle (see Theorem 3.3) without assuming $h \geq 0$. The other novelty of this paper is to show that the second eigenvalue is well-defined (thus the first eigenvalue is isolated and so Rabinowitz global bifurcation theory is applicable). The well-definedness of the second eigenvalue of problem (1.6) when $h \equiv 1$ was studied in [5].

The other goal of the paper is to obtain some regularities for the following problem (so all eigenfunctions have the same regularity):

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where f satisfies the $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ -Carathéodory condition (see Definition 2.2 and condition (F) in Section 2). Under the $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ -Carathéodory condition, we obtain a-priori bounds

for solutions of (1.7) by the De Giorgi iteration argument, which was used in [18]. Moreover under an additional condition, we can obtain the continuity of solutions.

In the remaining sections, we shall make use of the above results to show Rabinowitz global bifurcation from the first eigenvalue, as well as some existence results. Precisely, applying the isolation and simplicity of the first eigenvalue of (1.6) and using the Index argument in [12], we show Rabinowitz's global bifurcation emanating from the first eigenvalue for nonlinear problems of p -superlinear at zero type. The result for the second eigenvalue of problem (1.6) implies the Fredholm alternative for non-resonant problems. Finally, employing a-priori bounds of solutions for (1.7) with the arguments in [25], we obtain the existence of infinitely many solutions for some nonlinear problems of p -sublinear at zero type. We have to emphasize that for simplicity and clarity of presentation, we only treat fractional p -Laplacian problems but our results remain valid for corresponding p -Laplacian problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a suitable functional framework for problems (1.6) and (1.7), give the definition of a class of singular weights, and prove some preliminary results. In Section 3, we consider related eigenvalue problems. In Section 4, we obtain a-priori bounds for solutions of (1.6) with a more general nonlinear term. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to investigating bifurcation from the first eigenvalue, the Fredholm alternative, and showing the existence of infinitely many solutions. Finally, in Appendix, we give a proof for an example, which states that our class of singular weights is at least independent of the biggest one so far.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND VARIATIONAL SETTING

In this section, we review some preliminaries of fractional Sobolev spaces, define the class of singular measurable functions that contains mostly previous ones and is at least independent of the biggest one so far, and provide the variational setting for our problem. We look for solutions of problem (1.7) in the space

$$W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) := \{u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}$$

endowed with the standard Gagliardo norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.1)$$

The space $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is a separable and uniformly convex Banach space and it can be defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.1). It is well-known that $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for any $q \in (1, p_s^*)$. Moreover, $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)$ if $ps \neq N$ and $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in (1, \infty)$ if $ps = N$ (see for example [3, 11, 14, 16]). We shall also include the p -Laplacian case and the solution space in this case is the usual Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm $\|u\| = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$. The notation $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ ($s \in (0, 1]$) will be used to denote both the fractional Sobolev space defined above (when $0 < s < 1$) and the usual Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (when $s = 1$). Note that p^* coincides with p_1^* .

The following Hardy-type inequality is crucial for our arguments.

Theorem 2.1. ([19, Theorem 2.1]) *For any $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$, it holds that*

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)|^p}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{sp}} dx \leq C \|u\|^p, \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega),$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on Ω, N, p and s .

In what follows, let us denote by

$$\rho(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

the distance from $x \in \Omega$ to $\partial\Omega$ and by $|\cdot|_p$ the usual norm in the space $L^p(\Omega)$. Denote by $|S|$ the Lebesgue measure of $S \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The symbol $B(x_0, r_0)$ (or simply B_{r_0} if x_0 is understood) stands for the open ball centered at x_0 with radius r_0 in \mathbb{R}^N .

We consider the following class of singular weights, that is bigger than \mathcal{C}_q .

Definition 2.2 (Class \mathcal{W}_q). For $q \in [1, p_s^*)$, let \mathcal{W}_q denote the class of measurable functions h such that $h\rho^{sa} \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $a \in [0, q)$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{p} + \frac{q-a}{p_s^*} < 1$.

We also consider the subclass $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_q$ of \mathcal{W}_q the class of measurable functions h such that $h\rho^{sa} \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $a \in [0, 1]$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{p} + \frac{\max\{p, q\} - a}{p_s^*} < 1$.

It is worth mentioning that in most papers on fractional p -Laplacian (resp. p -Laplacian) with singular weights, the weights were assumed to belong to \mathcal{A}_q , the class of measurable functions $h \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $r > 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{q}{p_s^*} < 1$ (resp. $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{q}{p_s^*} < 1$) which is related to the Hölder inequality. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_q \subset \mathcal{W}_q$ and $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ (by choosing $a = 0$). The following example gives a concrete weight h that belongs to $L^r(\Omega) \cap (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p \setminus L^{N/sp}(\Omega))$ for some $r \in [1, \frac{N}{sp})$ (note that $\mathcal{A}_p \subset L^{N/sp}(\Omega)$).

Example 2.3. Let $h(x) = (1 - |x|)^{-\beta}$, $\Omega = B(0, 1)$, and $s \in (0, 1]$ (we also include the p -Laplacian case). Then $h \in \mathcal{W}_q$ if $\beta < sa + r^{-1}$ for some $r > 1$ and $0 \leq a < q$ with $1/r + a/p + (q - a)/p_s^* < 1$. For simplicity, let $N = 3$, $p = 2$ and $\beta = \frac{2s}{3} + \varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{3-2s}{3})$). Clearly, $h \notin L^r(\Omega)$ for all $r \geq \frac{3}{2s+3\varepsilon}$, and hence, $h \notin L^{3/2s}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, it is easy to verify that for $\delta \in (\frac{s}{3-s}\varepsilon, \frac{2s}{3})$ and $a \in (\varepsilon + \delta, \min\{1, \frac{3\delta}{s}\})$, we have that $\frac{2s-3\delta}{3} + \frac{a}{2} + \frac{2-a}{2_s^*} < 1$ and $h\rho^a \in L^{\frac{3}{2s-3\delta}}(\Omega)$. That is, $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_2$. Obviously, $h \in L^r(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq r < \frac{3}{2s+3\varepsilon}$.

The next example shows that even for the linear case $p = 2$, our class of weights is not contained in the Lorentz spaces $L^{\frac{N}{2}, q_0}(\Omega)$ treated in [21]. We shall show it in Appendix.

Example 2.4. Let $N = 3$, $p = 2$, $s = 1$, and $\Omega = B(0, 1)$. Let $h(x) = (1 - |x|)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. Then $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_2$ but $h \notin L^{3/2}(\Omega) \cup L^{\frac{3}{2}, q_0}(\Omega)$ for any $q_0 \in (1, \infty)$.

For $h \in \mathcal{W}_q$, we shall use the following seminorm in several arguments:

$$|u|_{q,h} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |h||u|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Using the Hölder and Hardy inequalities, and the imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^t(\Omega)$ for $t \in [1, p_s^*)$, we easily obtain the following lemma which is useful in our future estimates.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $h \in \mathcal{W}_q$ and let $b \in (1, p_s^*)$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{p} + \frac{q-a}{b} = 1$. Then for each decomposition $a = a' + a''$ with $a' \in [0, q-1]$ and $a'' \in [0, 1)$, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |h(x)||u|^{q-1}|v| dx \leq C \|u\|^{a'} |u|_b^{q-1-a'} \|v\|^{a''} |v|_b^{1-a''}, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |h(x)| |u|^{q-1} |v| dx \leq C \|u\|^{q-1} \|v\|^{a''} |v|_b^{1-a''}, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega),$$

and

$$|u|_{q,h} \leq C \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. For $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, using the Hölder and Hardy inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |h(x)| |u|^{q-1} |v| dx &= \int_{\Omega} |h\rho^{sa}| \left| \frac{u}{\rho^s} \right|^{a'} |u|^{q-1-a'} \left| \frac{v}{\rho^s} \right|^{a''} |v|^{1-a''} dx \\ &\leq |h\rho^{sa}|_r \left| \frac{u}{\rho^s} \right|_p^{a'} |u|_b^{q-1-a'} \left| \frac{v}{\rho^s} \right|_p^{a''} |v|_b^{1-a''} \\ &\leq C \|u\|^{a'} |u|_b^{q-1-a'} \|v\|^{a''} |v|_b^{1-a''}. \end{aligned}$$

We then invoke the imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^b(\Omega)$ to get the desired conclusion. \square

In light of Lemma 2.5 and the compact imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^t(\Omega)$ for $t \in [1, p_s^*)$, we easily obtain the next lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $h \in \mathcal{W}_q$ and let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Then it holds that*

$$|u_n - u|_{q,h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |u_n|_{q,h} \rightarrow |u|_{q,h}.$$

Moreover, $\int_{\Omega} h(x) |u_n|^q dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u|^q dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} h(x) |u_n|^{q-2} u_n u dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u|^q dx$.

It is easy to see that the functional $u \mapsto \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p$ is of class $C^1(W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and its derivative is the operator $A : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \quad (2.3)$$

for all $u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Here $W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the dual space of $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and the duality pairing between $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$, respectively. Furthermore, we have the following.

Lemma 2.7 ([16, 22]). *The operator A is of type (\mathcal{S}_+) , i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.*

We shall investigate the existence of solutions to problem (1.7) with nonlinear term f satisfying the following condition.

(F) $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) |t|^{q_i-1} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $q_i \in [1, p_s^*)$ and h_i are nonnegative functions of class \mathcal{W}_{q_i} ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Define $\Psi, \Phi : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Psi(u) := \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \Psi(u),$$

where $F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau$. The following compact results are crucial in our future arguments.

Lemma 2.8. *Assume that (F) holds. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) Ψ is of class $C^1(W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and its derivative is given by

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, $\Psi' : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ is compact.

(ii) Any bounded sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ has a convergent subsequence. In particular, bounded Palais-Smale sequences of Φ are precompact in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. (i) The proof is standard and we only prove the compactness of Ψ' . Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. We aim to show that $\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow \Psi'(u)$ in $W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $h_i \in \mathcal{W}_{q_i}$, i.e., $h_i \rho^{sa_i} \in L^{r_i}(\Omega)$ for some $a_i \in [0, q_i)$ and $r_i \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - a_i}{p_s^*} < 1$. Decompose $a_i = a'_i + a''_i$ with $a'_i \in [0, q_i - 1]$ and $a''_i \in [0, 1]$. Let $\tilde{q}_i \in (1, p_s^*)$ be such that $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - a_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = 1$, i.e., $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a'_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - 1 - a'_i}{\tilde{q}_i} + \frac{a''_i}{p} + \frac{1 - a''_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = 1$. Let $\tilde{r}_i \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_i} = \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a'_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - 1 - a'_i}{\tilde{q}_i},$$

and hence,

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_i} + \frac{a''_i}{p} + \frac{1 - a''_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = 1.$$

Let $i_* \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be such that $\tilde{r}_{i_*} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{r}_i$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u), v \rangle| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u)) \rho^{sa''_{i_*}} \right| \left| \frac{v}{\rho^s} \right|^{a''_{i_*}} |v|^{1-a''_{i_*}} \, dx \\ &\leq C |(f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)) \rho^{sa''_{i_*}}|_{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \|v\|, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u)\|_{W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)} \leq C |(f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)) \rho^{sa''_{i_*}}|_{\tilde{r}_{i_*}}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a'_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - 1 - a'_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_i} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_{i_*}}$. Thus,

$$\frac{\tilde{r}_{i_*}}{r_i} + \frac{a'_i \tilde{r}_{i_*}}{p} + \frac{(q_i - 1 - a'_i) \tilde{r}_{i_*}}{\tilde{q}_i} + \frac{t_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{q} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{q}_i$ and $t_i \in [0, \tilde{q})$. Since $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)$, up to a subsequence we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6), we have

$$f(x, u_n) \rightarrow f(x, u) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Also, from (2.7) we find $v \in L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$,

$$|u_n(x)| \leq v(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u_n) \rho^{sa''_{i_*}}|_{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} &\leq m^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (h_i \rho^{sa''_{i_*}})^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} |v|^{(q_i-1)\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \right] \\ &= m^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (h_i \rho^{a_i s})^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \left| \frac{v}{\rho^s} \right|^{a'_i \tilde{r}_{i_*}} |v|^{(q_i-1-a'_i)\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.5), we have that $m^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (h_i \rho^{a_i s})^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \left| \frac{v}{\rho^s} \right|^{a'_i \tilde{r}_{i_*}} |v|^{(q_i-1-a'_i)\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \right] \in L^1(\Omega)$ in view of the Hölder and Hardy inequalities. Similarly we have that $|f(\cdot, u) \rho^{sa''_{i_*}}|_{\tilde{r}_{i_*}} \in L^1(\Omega)$. From these facts and (2.8), we have that $f(\cdot, u_n) \rightarrow f(\cdot, u)$ in $L^{\tilde{r}_{i_*}}(\Omega)$ in view of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Then, $\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow \Psi'(u)$ in $W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ due to (2.4). That is, we have just shown the compactness of Ψ' .

(ii) By the property (\mathcal{S}_+) of A and the compactness of Ψ' , we easily obtain the conclusion. \square

Definition 2.9. A (weak) solution of problem (1.7) is a function $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that $f(\cdot, u) \in W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

As shown in Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, if f satisfies (F), then the above definition is well-defined and solutions of (1.7) are thus critical points of Φ .

3. EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda h(x) |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $h \in \mathcal{W}_p$ is possibly sign-changing with $|\{x \in \Omega : h(x) > 0\}| > 0$, λ is a real number.

Definition 3.1. We say that λ is an *eigenvalue* of $(-\Delta)_p^s$ in Ω related to the weight h (an eigenvalue, for short) if problem (3.1) has a nontrivial solution u and such a solution u is called an *eigenfunction* corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

3.1. The first eigenpair. In this subsection, we state and complement the properties of the first eigenpair of (3.1) stated in [19].

Define

$$\lambda_1 := \inf \left\{ \|u\|^p : u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} h(x) |u|^p \, dx = 1 \right\}.$$

We have the following.

Theorem 3.2. Let λ_1 be as above. Then, λ_1 is attained by some positive a.e. $e_1 \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, (λ_1, e_1) is an eigenpair of problem (3.1), and any two eigenfunctions corresponding to λ_1 are proportional. Furthermore, λ_1 is isolated if $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$.

The existence of the first eigenpair (λ_1, e_1) as well as the nonnegativeness of e_1 are shown in [19, Theorem 3.1] under condition \mathcal{C}_p . However, we can get the same results under \mathcal{W}_p with Lemma 2.6. The positivity of e_1 and the simplicity of λ_1 follows from the following maximum principle and [15, Theorem 4.2] while the isolation follows from the well-definedness of the second eigenvalue in Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. If a nontrivial nonnegative function $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ satisfies $Vu^{p-1} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and for all $v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with $v \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} Vu^{p-1}v dx \geq 0,$$

then $u > 0$ a.e. in Ω . In particular, a nonnegative eigenfunction of (3.1) must be positive a.e. in Ω .

To prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following lemma, which is a slight modification of [10, Lemma 1.3]. In the sequel, denote $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, $u_- = \max\{-u, 0\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(B_R)$. Let $u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $u \geq 0$ a.e. in $B_R \equiv B(x_0, R)$, $Vu^{p-1} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(B_R)$ and for all $v \in W_0^{s,p}(B_R) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(B_R)$ with $v \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \int_{B_R} Vu^{p-1}v dx \geq 0.$$

Then, the following estimate holds for any $B_r \equiv B(x_0, r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$ and any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \left| \log \left(\frac{u(x) + \delta}{u(y) + \delta} \right) \right|^p \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ & \leq Cr^{N-sp} \left\{ \delta^{1-p} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{sp} [\text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R)]^{p-1} + 1 \right\} + C|V|_{L^1(B_{3r/2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R) := \left[R^{sp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x_0, R)} |u_-(x)|^{p-1} |x - x_0|^{-(N+sp)} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

and C depends only on N, p and s .

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is exactly [10, Proof of Lemma 1.3] by using the test function $v = \frac{\phi^p}{(u+\delta)^{p-1}}$, where $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_{3r/2})$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ in B_r , and additionally using the simple estimate

$$\int_{B_R} Vu^{p-1}v dx = \int_{B_{3r/2}} V \left(\frac{u}{u+\delta} \right)^{p-1} \phi^p dx \leq |V|_{L^1(B_{3r/2})}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. The proof is exactly [2, Proof of Theorem A.1], where we apply Lemma 3.4 instead of [10, Lemma 1.3]. Finally, let φ be any nonnegative eigenfunction of problem (3.1). Since $h \in \mathcal{W}_p$, $h \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $h\varphi^{p-1} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Applying the result we have just obtained with $V = -\lambda h$, we get that $\varphi > 0$ a.e. in Ω . \square

To obtain further properties of the first eigenpair, we need the boundedness of eigenfunctions, that requires more conditions on the weights. The next theorem is a special case of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, which are obtained for a more general nonlinear term f .

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$. Let u be an eigenfunction of the eigenvalue problem (3.1). Then, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Furthermore, u is continuous if $h \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and u is locally Hölder continuous if $h \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with $p \geq 2$.*

The positivity of associated eigenfunctions is a characterization of the first eigenvalue, as shown in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$. Let v be an eigenfunction of (3.1) such that $v > 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\int_\Omega h(x)v^p(x) dx > 0$. Then, $\lambda = \lambda_1$.*

Proof. Set $w := \frac{v}{(\int_\Omega h(x)v^p(x) dx)^{1/p}}$. Then $w > 0$ satisfies $\int_\Omega h(x)w^p(x) dx = 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^{p-2}(w(x) - w(y))(\xi(x) - \xi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy = \lambda \int_\Omega h(x)w^{p-1}(x)\xi(x) dx \quad (3.2)$$

for all $\xi \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Let e_1 be the first eigenfunction of (3.1). For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\frac{e_1^p}{(w+\varepsilon)^{p-1}} \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ due to the boundedness of e_1 . By taking $\xi = \frac{e_1^p}{(w+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}$ in (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |w(x) - w(y)|^{p-2}(w(x) - w(y)) \left| \frac{e_1^p(x)}{(w(x) + \varepsilon)^{p-1}} - \frac{e_1^p(y)}{(w(y) + \varepsilon)^{p-1}} \right| \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} \\ = \lambda \int_\Omega h(x) \left(\frac{w(x)}{w(x) + \varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} e_1^p(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Applying [2, Proposition 4.2] for $u = w + \varepsilon$, $v = e_1$, and $p = q$ we have

$$|w(x) - w(y)|^{p-2}(w(x) - w(y)) \left| \frac{e_1^p(x)}{(w(x) + \varepsilon)^{p-1}} - \frac{e_1^p(y)}{(w(y) + \varepsilon)^{p-1}} \right| \leq |e_1(x) - e_1(y)|^p$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and a.e. $y \in \Omega$. Combining this with (3.3), we obtain

$$\|e_1\|^p \geq \lambda \int_\Omega h(x) \left(\frac{w(x)}{w(x) + \varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} e_1^p(x) dx.$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in the last inequality, and invoking the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\|e_1\|^p \geq \lambda \int_\Omega h(x)e_1^p(x) dx.$$

That is,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda.$$

Recalling the definition of λ_1 , we obtain the desired conclusion from the last inequality. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$. If u is an eigenfunction of (3.1) associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_1$, then u must be sign-changing.*

Proof. Let u be an eigenfunction associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Suppose for a contradiction that u is not sign-changing. We may assume that $u > 0$ a.e. in Ω . Then, we get

$$\|u\|^p = \lambda \int_\Omega h(x)u^p(x) dx.$$

Since $\lambda > \lambda_1$ then $\int_\Omega h(x)u^p dx > 0$ and hence by Theorem 3.6, $\lambda = \lambda_1$, a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

3.2. The second eigenvalue. In this subsection, we show that the second eigenvalue of (3.1) is well-defined and give a formula to determine it. The second eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (3.1) when $h \equiv 1$ was studied in [5] and it is plainly extended to the case $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$. For the reader's convenience, we sketch the proof to show how we deal with the presence of a possibly sign-changing weight h .

Define

$$\lambda_2 := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{C}_1} \max_{u \in \text{Im}(f)} \|u\|^p, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &:= \{f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow S_p(h, \Omega), f \text{ is odd and continuous}\}, \\ S_p(h, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^p dx = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The next theorem shows that λ_2 is exactly the second eigenvalue of (3.1).

Theorem 3.8. *Let $h \in \mathcal{W}_p$ such that $|\{x \in \Omega : h(x) > 0\}| > 0$ and let λ_2 be defined as in (3.4). Then, λ_2 is an eigenvalue of (3.1) and $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$. Moreover, if $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$, then for any eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_1$ of (3.1), we have $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$, and hence, λ_1 is isolated.*

Proof. To prove that λ_2 is an eigenvalue of (3.1) we use a minimax principle by Cuesta [8, Proposition 2.7] and the Lagrange multiplier rule. Precisely, we find a critical point of the functional

$$I(u) := \|u\|^p$$

restricted to the C^1 manifold $S_p(h, \Omega)$. Arguing as in [5, Proof of Theorem 4.1] by using Lemma 2.6 instead of the compact imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ we can show that I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $S_p(h, \Omega)$. Then λ_2 is an eigenvalue of problem (3.1) due to [8, Proposition 2.7] and the Lagrange multiplier rule.

To prove $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$, we suppose by contradiction that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$. By the definition of λ_2 , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we find an odd continuous mapping $f_n : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow S_p(h, \Omega)$ such that

$$\max_{u \in f_n(\mathbb{S}^1)} \|u\|^p \leq \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and consider the two sets in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$:

$$\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+ = \{u \in S_p(h, \Omega) : |u - e_1|_{p,h} < \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^- = \{u \in S_p(h, \Omega) : |u - (-e_1)|_{p,h} < \varepsilon\},$$

where e_1 is the first eigenfunction of (3.1). Since $f_n(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is symmetric and connected while $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+$ and $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^-$ are disjoint, $f_n(\mathbb{S}^1) \not\subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^-$. Hence, there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset S_p(h, \Omega)$ and

$$u_n \in f_n(\mathbb{S}^1) \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^-). \quad (3.6)$$

Clearly, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ in view of (3.5) and hence, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ due to the reflexiveness of $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Then by (3.5) and the weak convergence of $\{u_n\}$ we obtain

$$\|\bar{u}\|^p \leq \lambda_1.$$

On the other hand, $\bar{u} \in S_p(h, \Omega)$ due to Lemma 2.6. Thus, by the variational characterization of λ_1 , $\bar{u} = e_1$ or $\bar{u} = -e_1$. Meanwhile, by (3.6) and Lemma 2.6, we deduce $\bar{u} \in S_p(h, \Omega) \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^-)$, a contradiction. We have just proved that $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$.

Finally, we show that λ_2 is the exact second eigenvalue of (3.1) when $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ is assumed in addition. Let (λ, u) be an eigenpair of (3.1) with $\lambda > \lambda_1$. In view of

Corollary 3.7, u must be sign-changing, i.e., $u_+ \not\equiv 0$ and $u_- \not\equiv 0$. Using u_+ as a test functions for (3.1) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(u_+(x) - u_+(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy = \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x)u_+^p dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that for any measurable function v , we have

$$|v(x) - v(y)|^{p-2}(v(x) - v(y))(v_+(x) - v_+(y)) \geq |v_+(x) - v_+(y)|^p \quad (3.8)$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Invoking (3.8), we deduce from (3.7) that

$$\|u_+\|^p \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x)u_+^p dx.$$

Since $u_+ \not\equiv 0$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$ we infer from the last inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} h(x)u_+^p dx > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, by using u_- as a test functions for (3.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(u_-(x) - u_-(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy = -\lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x)u_-^p dx,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|(-u)(x) - (-u)(y)|^{p-2}((-u)(x) - (-u)(y))((-u)_+(x) - (-u)_+(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ = \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x)u_-^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.8) again, we get from the last equality that

$$\|u_-\|^p = \|(-u)_+\|^p \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x)u_-^p dx,$$

and hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} h(x)u_-^p dx > 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.9), (3.10), the definition (3.4) of λ_2 and arguing as in [5, Proof of Theorem 4.1], we obtain $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$. The proof is complete. \square

4. A-PRIORI BOUNDS

In this section, we obtain a-priori bounds of solutions to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where the nonlinear term f satisfies:

(F1) $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x)|t|^{q_i-1} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $q_i \in [1, p_s^*)$ and h_i are nonnegative functions of class $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{q_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (F1) holds. Then there exist positive constants C, γ_1, γ_2 such that for any solution u to problem (4.1), u is bounded and*

$$|u|_\infty \leq C \max\{|u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma_1}, |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\gamma_2}\}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{q} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{q}_i$ with $\tilde{q}_i \in (1, p_s^*)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{\max\{p, q_i\} - a_i}{\tilde{q}_i} = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Proof. Let u be a weak solution to problem (4.1). We define the recursion sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as follows:

$$Z_n := \int_{A_{k_n}} (u - k_n)^{\tilde{q}} dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} =: \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$k_n := k_* \left(2 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

with $k_* > 0$ to be specified later, and

$$A_{k_n} := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > k_n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Noting that $k_* \leq k_n \leq k_{n+1} < 2k_*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and recalling the definition of k_n , we have

$$Z_n = \int_{A_{k_n}} (u - k_n)^{\tilde{q}} dx \geq \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} u^{\tilde{q}} \left(1 - \frac{k_n}{k_{n+1}}\right)^{\tilde{q}} dx \geq \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} \frac{u^{\tilde{q}}}{2^{(n+2)\tilde{q}}} dx. \quad (4.4)$$

Recalling the definition of k_n again, we estimate the Lebesgue measure of $A_{k_{n+1}}$ as follows:

$$|A_{k_{n+1}}| \leq \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} \left(\frac{u - k_n}{k_{n+1} - k_n}\right)^{\tilde{q}} dx \leq \int_{A_{k_n}} \frac{2^{(n+1)\tilde{q}}}{k_*^{\tilde{q}}} (u - k_n)^{\tilde{q}} dx = \frac{2^{(n+1)\tilde{q}}}{k_*^{\tilde{q}}} Z_n. \quad (4.5)$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set $w_n := (u - k_n)_+$. It is easy to see that $w_n \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and it satisfies the following estimates:

$$w_{n+1}(x) \leq w_n(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$u(x) < (2^{n+2} - 1)w_n(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in A_{k_{n+1}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Using w_{n+1} as a test function for (4.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (w_{n+1}(x) - w_{n+1}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) w_{n+1}(x) dx. \quad (4.8)$$

Applying (3.8) for $v = u - k_{n+1}$, we have $v_+ = w_{n+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n+1}\|^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w_{n+1}(x) - w_{n+1}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (w_{n+1}(x) - w_{n+1}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Next, we estimate the right-hand side of (4.8). We have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) w_{n+1}(x) dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) dx. \quad (4.10)$$

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For the case $q_i \geq p$, using (4.6), (4.7) and invoking the Hardy and Hölder inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx &= \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} h_i \rho^{a_i s} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|^{a_i} u^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}^{1-a_i} \, dx \\ &\leq (2^{n+2} - 1)^{q_i-1} \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} h_i \rho^{a_i s} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|^{a_i} w_n^{q_i-a_i} \, dx \\ &\leq (2^{n+2} - 1)^{q_i-1} |h_i \rho^{a_i s}|_{r_i} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|_p^{a_i} |w_n|_{\tilde{q}}^{q_i-a_i} |\Omega|^{\frac{t_i^{(1)}}{\tilde{q}}} \\ &\leq C_1^{(i)} 2^{n(q_i-1)} \|w_{n+1}\|^{a_i} Z_n^{\frac{q_i-a_i}{\tilde{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{q_i-a_i}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{t_i^{(1)}}{\tilde{q}} = 1$ with $t_i^{(1)} \in [0, \infty)$. Here and in the rest of the proof, $C_k^{(i)}$ and C_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) are positive constants independent of u , n and k_* . Using the Young inequality, we deduce from the last inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \|w_{n+1}\|^p + C_2^{(i)} 2^{\frac{n(q_i-1)p}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{(q_i-a_i)p}{(p-a_i)\tilde{q}}}.$$

Similarly, we estimate for the case $q_i < p$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx &= \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} h_i \rho^{a_i s} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|^{a_i} u^{q_i-p} u^{p-1} w_{n+1}^{1-a_i} \, dx \\ &\leq k_*^{q_i-p} (2^{n+2} - 1)^{p-1} \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} h_i \rho^{a_i s} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|^{a_i} w_n^{p-a_i} \, dx \\ &\leq k_*^{q_i-p} (2^{n+2} - 1)^{p-1} |h_i \rho^{a_i s}|_{r_i} \left| \frac{w_{n+1}}{\rho^s} \right|_p^{a_i} |w_n|_{\tilde{q}}^{p-a_i} |\Omega|^{\frac{t_i^{(2)}}{\tilde{q}}} \\ &\leq C_3^{(i)} k_*^{q_i-p} 2^{n(p-1)} \|w_{n+1}\|^{a_i} Z_n^{\frac{p-a_i}{\tilde{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{p-a_i}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{t_i^{(2)}}{\tilde{q}} = 1$. Using the Young inequality again, we deduce from the last inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \|w_{n+1}\|^p + C_4^{(i)} k_*^{\frac{(q_i-p)p}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(p-1)p}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{p}{\tilde{q}}}.$$

Thus, in any case we have

$$\int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \|w_{n+1}\|^p + C_5^{(i)} k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)p}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)p}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{(\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i)p}{(p-a_i)\tilde{q}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} w_{n+1}(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{m}{m+1} \|w_{n+1}\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^m C_5^{(i)} k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)p}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)p}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{(\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i)p}{(p-a_i)\tilde{q}}}. \quad (4.11) \end{aligned}$$

From (4.8)-(4.11), we obtain

$$\|w_{n+1}\|^p \leq C_6 \sum_{i=1}^m k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)p}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)p}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{(\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i)p}{(p-a_i)\bar{q}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\|w_{n+1}\|^{\tilde{q}} \leq C_7 \sum_{i=1}^m k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i}{p-a_i}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Fix $\bar{q} \in (\tilde{q}, p_s^*)$. Invoking the imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)$ and the Hölder inequality, we estimate

$$Z_{n+1} = \int_{A_{k_{n+1}}} w_{n+1}^{\tilde{q}} dx \leq |w_{n+1}|_{\tilde{q}} |A_{k_{n+1}}|^{1-\frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}}} \leq C_{\bar{q}}^{\tilde{q}} \|w_{n+1}\|^{\tilde{q}} |A_{k_{n+1}}|^{1-\frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}}},$$

where $C_{\bar{q}}$ is the imbedding constant for $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)$. Combining this with (4.12), we deduce

$$Z_{n+1} \leq C_7 C_{\bar{q}}^{\tilde{q}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i}{p-a_i}} \right] |A_{k_{n+1}}|^{1-\frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}}}.$$

Then, using (4.5) we get

$$Z_{n+1} \leq C_8 \left[\sum_{i=1}^m k_*^{\frac{(\min\{p, q_i\}-p)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} 2^{\frac{n(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i}} Z_n^{\frac{\max\{p, q_i\}-a_i}{p-a_i}} \right] \frac{2^{\frac{n\tilde{q}(\bar{q}-\tilde{q})}{\bar{q}}}}{k_*^{\frac{\tilde{q}(\bar{q}-\tilde{q})}{\bar{q}}}} Z_n^{1-\frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}}}.$$

That is,

$$Z_{n+1} \leq C_9 (k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2}) b^n (Z_n^{1+\delta_1} + Z_n^{1+\delta_2}), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$0 < \sigma_1 := \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{(p - \min\{p, q_i\})\tilde{q}}{p - a_i} + \frac{\tilde{q}(\bar{q} - \tilde{q})}{\bar{q}} \leq \sigma_2 := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{(p - \min\{p, q_i\})\tilde{q}}{p - a_i} + \frac{\tilde{q}(\bar{q} - \tilde{q})}{\bar{q}},$$

$$b := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} 2^{\frac{(\max\{p, q_i\}-1)\tilde{q}}{p-a_i} + \frac{\tilde{q}(\bar{q}-\tilde{q})}{\bar{q}}} > 1,$$

and

$$0 < \delta_1 := \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\max\{p, q_i\} - a_i}{p - a_i} - \frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}} \leq \delta_2 := \frac{\max\{p, q_i\} - a_i}{p - a_i} - \frac{\tilde{q}}{\bar{q}}.$$

Applying [18, Lemma 4.3] we obtain from (4.13) that

$$Z_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.14)$$

provided that

$$Z_0 \leq \min \left\{ (2C_9(k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2}))^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}}, (2C_9(k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2}))^{-\frac{1}{\delta_2}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2} - \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2^2}} \right\}. \quad (4.15)$$

We have

$$Z_0 = \int_{A_{k_0}} (u - k_0)^{\tilde{q}} dx = \int_{\Omega} ((u - k_0)_+)^{\tilde{q}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \leq (2C_9(k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2}))^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}}, \\ \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \leq (2C_9(k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2}))^{-\frac{1}{\delta_2}} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2} - \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2^2}} \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2} \leq (2C_9)^{-1} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \right)^{-\delta_1}, \\ k_*^{-\sigma_1} + k_*^{-\sigma_2} \leq (2C_9)^{-1} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1} - \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \right)^{-\delta_2}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$2 \max\{k_*^{-\sigma_1}, k_*^{-\sigma_2}\} \leq (2C_9)^{-1} b^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1} - \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2}} \min \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \right)^{-\delta_1}, \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\tilde{q}} dx \right)^{-\delta_2} \right\} \quad (4.18)$$

follows from

$$\begin{cases} k_* \geq \tilde{C}_1 \max \left\{ |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_1}{\sigma_1}}, |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_2}{\sigma_1}} \right\}, \\ k_* \geq \tilde{C}_2 \max \left\{ |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_1}{\sigma_2}}, |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_2}{\sigma_2}} \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{C}_1 := (4C_9)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}} b^{\frac{1}{\delta_1\sigma_1} + \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2\sigma_1}}$ and $\tilde{C}_2 := (4C_9)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_2}} b^{\frac{1}{\delta_1\sigma_2} + \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2\sigma_2}}$. So, by choosing

$$k_* = \max\{\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2\} \max \left\{ |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_1}{\sigma_2}}, |u|_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{\tilde{q}\delta_2}{\sigma_1}} \right\},$$

we then have (4.18). Combining this with (4.16) and (4.17), we deduce (4.15), and hence, (4.14) holds. That is,

$$Z_n = \int_{\Omega} |u - k_n|^{\tilde{q}} \chi_{A_{k_n}} dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that, due to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$Z_n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u - 2k_*|^{\tilde{q}} \chi_{A_{2k_*}} dx = \int_{\Omega} ((u - 2k_*)_+)^{\tilde{q}} dx \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, $\int_{\Omega} ((u - 2k_*)_+)^{\tilde{q}} dx = 0$ and hence, $(u - 2k_*)_+ = 0$ a.e. in Ω , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leq 2k_*. \quad (4.19)$$

Replacing u by $-u$ in arguments above, we get

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} (-u) \leq 2k_*. \quad (4.20)$$

It follows from (4.19) and (4.20) that

$$|u|_{\infty} \leq 2k_*, \quad (4.21)$$

hence, we obtain (4.2). \square

Thanks to Theorem 4.1 and the regularity results obtained in [4, 5], we derive the continuity of solutions to problem (4.1) as follows.

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that $|f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) |t|^{q_i - 1}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $q_i \in [1, p_s^*)$ and $h_i \in L^{r_i}(\Omega)$ for some $r_i \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{\max\{p, q_i\}}{p_s^*} < 1$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$). Then all solutions of problem (4.1) are continuous. Furthermore, if $p \geq 2$ is additionally assumed, then solutions of problem (4.1) are locally Hölder continuous.*

Proof. Let u be a solution to problem (4.1). Then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ in view of Theorem 4.1. Thus $f(\cdot, u) \in L^\gamma(\Omega)$ with $\gamma := \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} r_i > \frac{N}{sp}$, and hence, u is continuous in view of [5, Theorem 3.13]. If we assume in addition that $p \geq 2$, then u is locally Hölder continuous due to [4, Theorem 1.4]. \square

5. BIFURCATION FROM THE FIRST EIGENVALUE

In this section we obtain a bifurcation result for the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda h(x)|u|^{p-2}u + f(x, u, \lambda) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ with $|\{x \in \Omega : h(x) > 0\}| > 0$ and f satisfies the following conditions.

(F2) $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$|f(x, t, \lambda)| \leq C(\lambda) \left[h_0(x)|t|^{q_0-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x)|t|^{q_i-1} \right] \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $q_i \in (p, p_s^*)$ and h_i are nonnegative functions of class \mathcal{W}_{q_i} ($i = 1, \dots, m$); $q_0 \in [1, p)$ and $h_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{q_0}$ such that $h_0 \rho^{\sigma s} \in L^\tau(\Omega)$ for some $\sigma \in [0, p-1]$ and $\tau \in (1, p_s^*)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\sigma}{p} + \frac{p-\sigma}{p_s^*} = 1$ if $ps \neq N$ and $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\sigma}{p} + \frac{p-\sigma}{p_s^*} < 1$ if $ps = N$.

(F3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t, \lambda)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = 0$ uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and λ in a bounded interval.

Define $H, F_\lambda : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ as

$$\langle H(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\langle F_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \lambda)v \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega). \quad (5.3)$$

We formulate some basic properties of the operators H and F_λ .

Lemma 5.1. *The operators H and F_λ are well-defined and compact. Furthermore, we have*

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|F_\lambda(u)\|_{W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|^{p-1}} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

We will provide a proof of this lemma after stating our main result of this section.

Definition 5.2. Define $E := \mathbb{R} \times W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(\lambda, u)\|_E := (|\lambda|^2 + \|u\|^2)^{1/2}, \quad (\lambda, u) \in E. \quad (5.5)$$

We say that $(\lambda, u) \in E$ solves problem (5.1) weakly if

$$A(u) - \lambda H(u) - F_\lambda(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega),$$

where A is defined as in (2.3).

Definition 5.3 (Global bifurcation in the sense of Rabnowitz). By a continuum \mathcal{C} of nontrivial solutions of (5.1), we mean a connected set \mathcal{C} in E with respect to the topology induced by the norm (5.5) and $\mathcal{C} \subset \{(\lambda, u) \in E : (\lambda, u) \text{ solves (5.1) weakly, } u \neq 0\}$. We say that $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a global bifurcation point of (5.1) if there is a continuum of nontrivial solutions \mathcal{C} of (5.1) such that $(\lambda_0, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (closure of \mathcal{C} in E) and \mathcal{C} is either unbounded in E or there is an eigenvalue $\hat{\lambda}$ of (3.1) such that $\hat{\lambda} \neq \lambda_0$ and $(\hat{\lambda}, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Our main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Under the assumptions (F2) and (F3), the first eigenvalue λ_1 of the eigenvalue problem (3.1) is a global bifurcation point of problem (5.1).*

Since a proof of Theorem 5.4 can be obtained by using the same argument as in [12, Proof of Theorem 3.7] by invoking Lemmas 2.7 and 5.1 and the isolation of λ_1 , we omit it.

To complete this section, we now provide a proof of Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. The compactness of H and F_λ were shown in Lemma 2.8. Finally we prove (5.4). We have that

$$|\langle F_\lambda(u), v \rangle| = \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \lambda) v \, dx \right|, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|F_\lambda(u)\|_{W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|^{p-1}} &= \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \frac{1}{\|u\|^{p-1}} \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \lambda) v \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $\tilde{u} := \frac{u}{\|u\|}$. Define for $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $\delta > 0$ the set

$$\Omega_\delta(u) := \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq \delta\}.$$

Then for a given $\delta > 0$, $|\Omega_\delta(u)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and given. By (F3), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{uniformly for } |u| < \delta. \quad (5.7)$$

We now estimate the right-hand side of (5.6) by splitting Ω into $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta(u)$ and $\Omega_\delta(u)$. On the first domain, by (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta(u)} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta(u)} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_1 \varepsilon \|v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Here and in the rest of the proof, $C_k, C_k^{(i)}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) are positive constants independent of u, v , and ε . On the other hand, on the latter domain we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| &\leq C(\lambda) \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} \frac{1}{|u|^{p-q_0}} h_0(x) |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\lambda)}{\|u\|^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} |v| \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{C(\lambda)}{\delta^{p-q_0}} \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} h_0(x) |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{C(\lambda)}{\|u\|^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} h_i(x) |u|^{q_i-1} |v| \, dx.$$

Let $q \in (1, p_s^*]$ be such that $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\sigma}{p} + \frac{p-\sigma}{q} = 1$ and hence, $q = p_s^*$ if $ps \neq N$ and $q < p_s^* = \infty$ if $ps = N$. Thus we have that $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.5 and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{C(\lambda)}{\delta^{p-q_0}} \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} h_0(x) |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx + \frac{C(\lambda)}{\|u\|^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m C_2^{(i)} \|u\|^{q_i-1} \|v\| \\ &\leq \frac{C(\lambda)}{\delta^{p-q_0}} \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} |h_0 \rho^{\sigma s}| \left| \frac{\tilde{u}}{\rho^s} \right|^\sigma |\tilde{u}|^{p-1-\sigma} |v| \, dx + C(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^m C_2^{(i)} \|u\|^{q_i-p} \|v\| \\ &\leq \frac{C(\lambda)}{\delta^{p-q_0}} C_3 \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} |h_0 \rho^{\sigma s}|^\tau \, dx \right)^{1/\tau} \left| \frac{\tilde{u}}{\rho^s} \right|^\sigma \|\tilde{u}\|_q^{p-1-\sigma} \|v\|_q + C(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^m C_2^{(i)} \|u\|^{q_i-p} \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking the Hardy inequality and the imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$, we get from the last inequality that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| \leq C_4 \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} |h_0 \rho^{\sigma s}|^\tau \, dx \right)^{1/\tau} \|v\| + C_4 \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|^{q_i-p} \|v\|.$$

From this and (5.8), we deduce that

$$\sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon + C_4 \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta(u)} |h_0 \rho^{\sigma s}|^\tau \, dx \right)^{1/\tau} + C_4 \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|^{q_i-p}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u, \lambda)}{|u|^{p-1}} |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} v \, dx \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon.$$

Since ε was chosen arbitrarily, we obtain (5.4) from the last inequality and (5.6). \square

6. SOME EXISTENCE RESULTS

As applications of the properties of the eigenvalues and the regularity of solutions obtained in previous sections, we provide some existence results in this section.

6.1. The Fredholm alternative for non-resonant problem with λ near λ_1 . Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda h(x) |u|^{p-2} u + f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ with $|\{x \in \Omega : h(x) > 0\}| > 0$ and $f \in W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$.

Thanks to our result for the second eigenvalue λ_2 of problem (3.1) and the Fredholm alternative due to Fučík et al. [13, Chapter II, Theorem 3.2], we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Let $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_p$ with $|\{x \in \Omega : h(x) > 0\}| > 0$ and $f \in W_0^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$. Then, for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2) \setminus \{\lambda_1\}$ given, problem (6.1) has a solution.*

6.2. Infinitely many small solutions. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

where f satisfies (F1). Furthermore we assume that

(F4) There exists a constant $t_0 > 0$ such that $pF(x, t) - f(x, t)t > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $0 < |t| < t_0$, where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau$.

(F5) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = \infty$ uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

(F6) f is odd in t for t small.

By using the modified functional methods used in [25] and using the a-priori bounds for solutions obtained in Section 4, we obtain our second existence result as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that (F1) and (F4) – (F6) hold. Then problem (6.2) has a sequence of solutions $\{u_n\}$ such that $|u_n|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

As discussed in Section 2, a weak solution to problem (6.2) is a critical point of the energy functional $\Phi : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx.$$

To obtain a sequence of small solutions to problem (6.2), we apply the following result.

Lemma 6.3. ([17, 25]) *Let X be a Banach space and let $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Assume J satisfies the (PS) condition, is even and bounded from below, and $J(0) = 0$. If for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an n -dimensional subspace X_n and $\rho_n > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{X_n \cap S_{\rho_n}} J < 0,$$

where $S_\rho := \{u \in X : \|u\|_X = \rho\}$, then J has a sequence of critical values $c_n < 0$ satisfying $c_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. In order to apply Lemma 6.3, we modify f to \tilde{f} to get the modified energy functional satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.3 as follows. We first note that by (F5) and (F6), there exists $t_1 \in (0, t_0)$ such that

$$\text{for } |t| < t_1, \quad f \text{ is odd in } t \text{ and } F(x, t) \geq |t|^p. \quad (6.3)$$

Next, let $t_2 \in (0, \frac{t_1}{2})$ and define a cut-off function $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that η is even, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq t_2$, $\eta(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2t_2$, and $\eta'(t)t \leq 0$. Then, define

$$\tilde{F}(x, t) := \eta(t)F(x, t) + (1 - \eta(t))\gamma|t|^p \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}(x, t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{F}(x, t),$$

for some $\gamma \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{pC_{imb}^p}\})$, where C_{imb} is the imbedding constant for $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$, i.e., $|u|_p \leq C_{imb}\|u\|$ for all $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. The formula of \tilde{f} is explicitly given by

$$\tilde{f}(x, t) = \eta'(t)F(x, t) + \eta(t)f(x, t) - \gamma\eta'(t)|t|^p + (1 - \eta(t))p\gamma|t|^{p-2}t. \quad (6.4)$$

By (F1), we have

$$F(x, t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{q_i} h_i(x) |t|^{q_i} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This yields

$$\tilde{F}(x, t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{q_i} h_i(x) \eta(t) |t|^{q_i} + (1 - \eta(t)) \gamma |t|^p \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$|\tilde{f}(x, t)| \leq C_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) |t|^{q_i-1} + |t|^{p-1} \right] \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.6)$$

where $C_1 := \frac{2t_2 \eta'_{\infty}}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq m} q_i} + 1 + 2t_2 \gamma |\eta'_{\infty}| + p\gamma$. Also, by (F4), the definition of η , and (6.3)

we easily deduce that \tilde{f} is odd in t for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and the following statements hold:

$$p\tilde{F}(x, t) - \tilde{f}(x, t)t \geq 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } t \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (6.7)$$

$$p\tilde{F}(x, t) - \tilde{f}(x, t)t = 0 \quad \text{iff } t = 0 \text{ or } |t| \geq 2t_2. \quad (6.8)$$

Define $\tilde{\Phi} : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{F}(x, u) dx, \quad u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{\Phi}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is even. By (6.6), $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^1(W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ in view of Lemma 2.8. Moreover, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is coercive on $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, from (6.5) and invoking the Hölder and Hardy inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{F}(x, u) dx &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} h_i(x) \eta(u) |u|^{q_i} dx + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \eta(u)) \gamma |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(2t_2)^{q_i - a_i}}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |h_i \rho^{s a_i}| \left| \frac{u}{\rho^s} \right|^{a_i} dx + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(2t_2)^{q_i - a_i}}{q_i} |\Omega|^{\frac{q_i - a_i}{b_i}} |h_i \rho^{s a_i}|_{r_i} \left| \frac{u}{\rho^s} \right|_p^{a_i} + \gamma \|u\|_p^p \\ &\leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|^{a_i} + \gamma C_{imb}^p \|u\|^p, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{a_i}{p} + \frac{q_i - a_i}{b_i} = 1$ and $C_2 > 0$ is independent of u . Hence,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \gamma C_{imb}^p \right) \|u\|^p - C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|u\|^{a_i}.$$

The coerciveness of $\tilde{\Phi}$ therefore follows since $0 \leq a_i \leq 1 < p$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the fact that $\frac{1}{p} - \gamma C_{imb}^p > 0$. Using the coerciveness of $\tilde{\Phi}$, invoking the Lemma 2.8, we easily deduce that $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Also, the boundedness from below on $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ of $\tilde{\Phi}$ follows its coerciveness and continuity. We now verify the remaining condition in Lemma 6.3. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n be linearly independent functions in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and define $X_n := \text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$. Note that X_n is a finitely dimensional normed space so all norms on X_n are equivalent. Thus there exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_1 \|u\|_{\infty} \leq \|u\| \leq \kappa_2 \|u\|_p, \quad \forall u \in X_n.$$

From this, (F5) and (F6), we find a $\rho_n > 0$ small enough that

$$\sup_{X_n \cap S_{\rho_n}} \tilde{\Phi} < 0.$$

Applying Lemma 6.3, we find a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}'(u_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfies the (PS) condition, up to subsequence we have $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Hence, $\tilde{\Phi}(\bar{u}) = 0$ and $\langle \tilde{\Phi}'(\bar{u}), \bar{u} \rangle = 0$ due to the fact that $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^1(W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$. This yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[p\tilde{F}(x, \bar{u}) - \tilde{f}(x, \bar{u})\bar{u} \right] dx = 0.$$

From this, (6.7) and (6.8), we deduce that $\bar{u}(x) = 0$ or $|\bar{u}(x)| \geq 2t_2$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Thus,

$$\tilde{F}(x, \bar{u}) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma|\bar{u}|^p \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \tilde{\Phi}(\bar{u}) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\bar{u}\|^p - \gamma \int_{\Omega} |\bar{u}|^p dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\bar{u}\|^p - \gamma C_{imb}^p \|\bar{u}\|^p = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \gamma C_{imb}^p \right) \|\bar{u}\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\bar{u} = 0$. That is, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, and hence, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)$, where \tilde{q} defined as in Theorem 4.1. Hence, Theorem 4.1 infers that $|u_n|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $|u_n|_{\infty} < t_1$ for all $n \geq n_1$. We then have $\tilde{f}(x, u_n) = f(x, u_n)$ for all $n \geq n_1$ and hence, $\{u_n\}_{n=n_1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of solutions to problem (6.2) and $|u_n|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. The proof is complete. \square

APPENDIX. PROOF OF EXAMPLE 2.4

We first recall the definition of the Lorentz space $L^{p_0, q_0}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p_0, q_0 < \infty$:

$$L^{p_0, q_0}(\Omega) := \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable, } \int_0^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} f^*(t) \right]^{q_0} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \right\},$$

where $f^*(t) := \inf\{s > 0 : \alpha_f(s) \leq t\}$ with $\alpha_f(s) := |\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > s\}|$.

Let $N = 3$, $p = 2$, $s = 1$, and $\Omega = B(0, 1)$. We consider weights of the form $h(x) = (1 - |x|)^{-\beta}$ ($\beta > 0$) and determine the range of β such that $h \in L^{\frac{N}{p}, q_0}(\Omega) = L^{\frac{3}{2}, q_0}(\Omega)$ for $q_0 \in (1, \infty)$ given. We have

$$\alpha_h(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi}{3}, & 0 < s \leq 1, \\ \frac{4\pi}{3} - \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(1 - s^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)^3, & s > 1. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation yields

$$h^*(t) = \begin{cases} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{3t}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3}\right]^{-\beta}, & 0 < t < \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\ 0, & t \geq \frac{4\pi}{3} \end{cases}$$

and hence,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} h^*(t) \right]^{q_0} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{3t}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} \right]^{-\beta} t^{\frac{2}{3}q_0 - 1} dt.$$

It is easy to see this improper integral is convergent if and only if

$$\int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^{(-\beta + \frac{2}{3})q_0 - 1} d\tau < \infty, \text{ i.e., } (-\beta + \frac{2}{3})q_0 > 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\forall q_0 \in (1, \infty), h \in L^{\frac{3}{2}, q_0}(\Omega) \text{ iff } \beta < \frac{2}{3}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

On the other hand, $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_2$ if $h\rho^a \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $a \in [0, 1]$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{a}{2} + \frac{2-a}{2^*} < 1, \text{ i.e., } 3r^{-1} + a < 2.$$

That is, $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_2$ if there exist $a \in [0, 1]$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \beta < a + r^{-1}, \\ 3r^{-1} + a < 2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Hence, by choosing $\beta = \frac{2}{3}$, we have $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_2$ since (A.2) fulfills with $a = \frac{2}{3}$ and $r = 3$ but $h \notin L^{\frac{3}{2}, q_0}(\Omega)$ due to (A.1). Obviously, $h \notin L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ as well.

Acknowledgment The second author was supported by the 2017-0152 Research Fund of the University of Ulsan.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy processes, from probability to finance and quantum groups*, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (2004), 1336–1347. [2](#)
- [2] L. Brasco, G. Franzina, Convexity properties of Dirichlet integrals and Picone-type inequalities, *Kodai Math. J.* **37** (2014), 769–799. [8](#), [9](#)
- [3] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, E. Parini, The fractional Cheeger problem, *Interfaces Free Bound.* **16** (2014), 419–458. [3](#)
- [4] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, A. Schikorra, Higher Höder regularity for the fractional p -Laplacian in the superquadratic case, preprint (2017), available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.09835v2.pdf> [15](#), [16](#)
- [5] L. Brasco, E. Parini, The second eigenvalue of the fractional p -Laplacian, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **9** (2016), no. 4, 323–355. [2](#), [10](#), [11](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [6] L.A. Caffarelli, Nonlocal equations, drifts and games, *Non. Partial Diff. Eq., Abel Symposia* **7** (2012), 37–52. [2](#)
- [7] M. Cuesta, Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian with indefinite weights, *Elec. J. Differential Equations* **2001** (2001), 1–9. [1](#)
- [8] M. Cuesta, Minimax theorems on C^1 manifolds via Ekeland variational principle, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **13** (2003), 757–768. [10](#)
- [9] L.M. Del Pezzo, A. Quaas, Global bifurcation for fractional p -Laplacian and application, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **35**(4) (2016), 411–447. [2](#)
- [10] A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi, G. Palatucci, Local behavior of fractional p -minimizers, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Nonlinear Analysis*, **33** (2016), No. 5, 1279–1299. [8](#)
- [11] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136** (2012), 521–573. [3](#)
- [12] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities, *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 5. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1997. [3](#), [17](#)
- [13] S. Fučík, J. Nečas, J. Souček, V. Souček, Spectral Analysis of Nonlinear Operators, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 346, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1973. [18](#)
- [14] A. Fiscella, R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, Density properties for fractional Sobolev spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **40** (2015), 235–253. [3](#)

- [15] G. Franzina, G. Palatucci, Fractional p -eigenvalues, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* **5** (2014), 315–328. [2](#), [7](#)
- [16] A. Iannizzotto, M. Squassina, Weyl-type laws for fractional p -eigenvalue problems, *Asymptotic Anal.* **88** (2014), 233–245. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#)
- [17] H. P. Heinz, Free Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory and the bifurcation diagrams of certain singular nonlinear problems, *J. Differential Equations* **66** (1987) 263–300. [19](#)
- [18] K. Ho, I. Sim, Corrigendum to “Existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable” [Nonlinear Anal. 98 (2014), 146–164], *Nonlinear Anal.* **128** (2015), 423–426. [3](#), [14](#)
- [19] K. Ho, K. Perera, I. Sim, M. Squassina, A note on fractional p -Laplacian problems with singular weights, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **19**(1) (2017), 157–173. [2](#), [3](#), [7](#)
- [20] E. Lindgren, P. Lindqvist, Fractional eigenvalues, *Calc. Var. PDE* **49** (2014), 795–826. [2](#)
- [21] M. Lucia, M. Ramaswamy, Global bifurcation for semilinear elliptic problems. *Recent advances in nonlinear analysis, 197216*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008. [2](#), [4](#)
- [22] K. Perera, R.P. Agarwal, D. O’Regan, Morse theoretic aspects of p -Laplacian type operators, **161** *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. [5](#)
- [23] K. Perera, I. Sim, p -Laplace equations with singular weights, *Nonlinear Anal.* **99** (2014), 167–176. [2](#)
- [24] A. Szulkin, M. Willem, Eigenvalue problems with indefinite weight. *Studia Math.* **135** (1999), 191–201. [1](#)
- [25] Z.-Q. Wang, Nonlinear boundary value problems with concave nonlinearities near the origin, *Nonlin. Diff. Eqns Applic.* **8** (2001), 15–33. [3](#), [19](#)

(K. Ho) INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES,
DUY TAN UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY 700000, VIETNAM
E-mail address: hncy81@gmail.com

(I. Sim) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF ULSAN, ULSAN 44610, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: ibsim@ulsan.ac.kr