

# A note on images of Galois representations

(with an application to a result of Litt)

by

Anna Cadoret and Ben Moonen

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a variety (possibly non-complete or singular) over a finitely generated field  $k$  of characteristic 0. For a prime number  $\ell$ , let  $\rho_\ell$  be the Galois representation on the first  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of  $X$ . We show that if  $\ell$  varies the image of  $\rho_\ell$  is of bounded index in the group of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -points of its Zariski closure. We use this to improve a recent result of Litt about arithmetic representations of geometric fundamental groups. Litt's result says that there exist constants  $N = N(X, \ell)$  such that every arithmetic representation  $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  that is trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  is unipotent. We show that these constants can in fact be chosen independently of  $\ell$ .

*AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11F80, 14F20, 14F35

## Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field that is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $X$  be a geometrically connected variety over  $k$ . We do not assume that  $X$  is complete or non-singular. For  $\ell$  a prime number, let  $H_\ell = H^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  be the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology in degree 1, on which we have a Galois representation  $\rho_\ell = \rho_{\ell, X} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H_\ell)$ . The Zariski closure  $\mathbf{G}_\ell = \mathbf{G}_{\ell, X}$  of  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(H_\ell)$ . Though our understanding of the groups  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  is still incomplete, we dispose of several highly non-trivial results about their structure; see for instance [13], [9] and [10].

In this paper we are mostly concerned with the actual images  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$ , and especially the way they vary with  $\ell$ . It can be shown that  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  is open—and hence of finite index—in  $\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for every  $\ell$ . Our first main result is that that the index  $[\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)]$  is in fact bounded:

**Theorem A.** *With  $X/k$  as above,  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  is an open subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for every  $\ell$  and the index  $[\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)]$  is bounded when  $\ell$  varies. The same is true if we everywhere replace  $H^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  by  $H_c^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ .*

In either variant ( $H^1$  or  $H_c^1(1)$ ) this result (Corollary 1.8 in the text) is in fact a consequence of a similar, but more general, result about Galois representations associated with 1-motives; see Theorem 1.2. The proof of the result about 1-motives occupies most of Section 1. It has three main ingredients. To handle the case of an abelian variety, in which case  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  is reductive over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  for almost all  $\ell$ , we use a result of Wintenberger [16] to control the derived group, and we use techniques from our previous paper [5] to control the abelian part. To perform the step from abelian varieties to general 1-motives we then have to study the unipotent radicals of the groups  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ ; here we make essential use of results of Jossen [8].

We apply Theorem A to improve a recent result of Litt [11]. Let  $X/k$  be as above and assume  $X$  is normal and geometrically integral. Following Litt, we say that a representation of the geometric fundamental group  $\tau : \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is *arithmetic* if, possibly after replacing  $k$  by a finite extension,

it appears as a subquotient of a representation of the arithmetic fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$ . (We leave out base points from the notation.) Litt's remarkable result is that we can obtain important information about arithmetic representations from their truncations modulo a power of  $\ell$  that does not depend on the representation. In particular he proves ([11], Theorem 1.2) that there exists a constant  $N$ , depending on  $X$  and  $\ell$  but not on  $\tau$ , such that every arithmetic representation  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  that is trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  is in fact unipotent. Our second main result gives the improvement that the dependence on  $\ell$  can be eliminated:

**Theorem B.** *With  $X/k$  as above, there exists an integer  $\ell_X$  such that for every prime  $\ell \geq \ell_X$ , every arithmetic representation  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  that is trivial modulo  $\ell$  is unipotent. In particular, in Litt's result the constant  $N$  can be chosen depending only on  $X$ , independently of  $\ell$ .*

The proof is given in Section 2.

*Notation and conventions.* If  $\mathbf{G}$  is an algebraic group,  $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$  denotes its derived subgroup. We write  $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  for  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$  and  $\mathrm{ab}: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  for the canonical map.

If  $\mathbf{G}$  is a group scheme over a field,  $\mathbf{G}^\circ$  denotes its identity component. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is a group scheme over a Dedekind domain with generic point  $\eta$ , then by  $\mathbf{G}^\circ$  we mean the closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{G}$  whose generic fibre is  $(\mathbf{G}_\eta)^\circ$ .

Unless indicated otherwise, reductive group schemes are assumed to have connected fibres. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is a reductive group scheme over a ring  $R$  (for us usually  $R = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ ), let  $p: \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$  be the simply connected cover of its derived subgroup; then we define

$$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(R)_{\mathrm{u}} = \mathrm{Im}(p: \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{der}}(R)).$$

By the rank of a reductive group over a connected base scheme we mean the absolute rank of its fibres.

If  $R$  is a ring and  $H$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite type, we denote by  $\mathrm{GL}(H)$  the associated reductive group over  $R$  and by  $\mathrm{GL}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(H)(R)$  the (abstract) group of  $R$ -linear automorphisms of  $H$ .

## 1. Indices of images of Galois representations in their Zariski closure

Let  $k$  be a finitely generated extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**1.1. Notation.** We refer to [6], Section 10, and [8], Section 1, for the basic notions of 1-motives. Let  $M$  be a 1-motive over  $k$ . For  $\ell$  a prime number, let  $T_\ell(M)$  be the  $\ell$ -adic realization of  $M_{\bar{k}}$ , and let

$$\rho_{\ell, M}: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(T_\ell(M))$$

be the associated Galois representation. We denote by  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, M} \subset \mathrm{GL}(T_\ell(M))$  the Zariski closure of the image of  $\rho_{\ell, M}$ , which is a subgroup scheme of  $\mathrm{GL}(T_\ell(M))$ , flat over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ .

**1.2. Theorem.** *With  $M/k$  as above,  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M})$  is an open subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for every  $\ell$  and  $[\mathbf{G}_{\ell, M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathrm{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M})]$  is bounded when  $\ell$  varies.*

The proof consists of several steps. We start with a lemma.

**1.3. Lemma.** (i) *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be the centre of  $\mathbf{G}$ , so that  $q: \mathbf{Z}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is an isogeny. Then there is a constant  $C_1$ , depending only on the rank of  $\mathbf{G}$ , such that  $q$  has degree at most  $C_1$ .*

(ii) Given a positive integer  $r$  there exist constants  $C_2$  and  $\ell_0$ , depending only on  $r$ , such that the following holds: For every prime number  $\ell \geq \ell_0$  and every reductive group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  of rank at most  $r$ , the subgroup  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\text{u}} \subset \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  (see the end of the Introduction for notation) has index at most  $C_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be given. It follows from the classification of split semisimple groups in terms of root data that there exists a constant  $C = C(r) > 0$  such that for every reductive group  $\mathbf{G}$  of rank  $\leq r$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  the isogeny  $p: \mathbf{G}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$  has degree at most  $C$  and also the centre of  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$ , which is a finite flat  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -group scheme, has order at most  $C$ . Part (i) follows because the kernel of  $q$  is contained in the centre of  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$ .

For (ii), take  $\ell_0 = C(r)!$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number with  $\ell > \ell_0$ , and let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  of rank at most  $r$ . Define  $\mu_{\mathbf{G}} = \text{Ker}(p: \mathbf{G}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}})$ . Then  $\ell$  does not divide the order of the finite group scheme  $\mu_{\mathbf{G}}$ , which is therefore finite étale over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Writing  $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ , Galois cohomology gives a short exact sequence

$$\mathbf{G}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_\ell), \mu_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{F})) \rightarrow 1.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_\ell) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the  $\mathrm{H}^1$  that appears is a subquotient of  $\mu_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{F})$  (see [12], Section XIII.1), and therefore  $[\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{F}) : \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{F})_{\text{u}}] \leq C(r)$ . By Proposition 1 of [16] it follows that  $[\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\text{u}}] \leq C(r)$ .  $\square$

**1.4. The case of an abelian variety.** We now prove Theorem 1.2 when  $M = A$  is an abelian variety.

**1.4.1.** As a first step we reduce the problem to the case where the base field is a number field. Since  $k$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exists an integral scheme  $S$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with generic point  $\eta$ , and an abelian scheme  $X \rightarrow S$  such that  $k$  is the function field of  $S$  and  $A$  is isomorphic to the generic fiber  $X_\eta$  of  $X/S$ . Choose a prime number  $\ell$ . By a result of Serre (see [13]) there exists a closed point  $s \in S$  such that the image of the Galois representation  $\rho_{\ell, X_s}$  is the same, via a specialization isomorphism  $\text{sp}: T_\ell(X_\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\ell(X_s)$ , as the image of  $\rho_{\ell, X_\eta}$ . (Note that Serre's result can also be applied to finitely many prime numbers  $\ell$  at the same time, but not to infinitely many  $\ell$ .) It then follows from [4], Theorem 1.2, that the image of the adelic Galois representation

$$\rho_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, X_s} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \prod_{\ell} \mathrm{GL}(T_\ell(X_s))$$

is open in the image of  $\rho_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, X_\eta}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, X_s}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has bounded index in  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, X_\eta}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , where again we compare the two via the map  $\text{sp}$ . So it suffices to prove the result for  $X_s$  over the number field  $\kappa(s)$ .

**1.4.2.** Now assume that  $k$  is a number field. To simplify notation, write  $\rho_\ell = \rho_{\ell, A}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_\ell = \mathbf{G}_{\ell, A}$ . As we may replace  $k$  by a finite extension, we may further assume that the group schemes  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  have connected fibers. (See [13] or [9], Proposition 6.14. It actually suffices to assume that all  $n$ -torsion points of  $A$  are  $k$ -rational for some  $n \geq 3$ .) Moreover, since by [2], Theorem 1, we know that  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  is open in  $\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for every  $\ell$ , we may exclude finitely many prime numbers  $\ell$  from our considerations. By [10], Proposition 1.3 together with [16], Theorem 2, the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of primes numbers  $\ell$  for which the group scheme  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  is reductive and  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  contains  $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\text{u}}$  contains all but finitely many  $\ell$ . Hence it suffices to find a constant  $C$  such that  $[\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)] \leq C$  for almost all  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Writing  $\rho_\ell^{\text{ab}}: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for the composition of  $\rho_\ell$  and the canonical map  $\text{ab}: \mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow$

$\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_\ell) \cap \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_\ell^{\text{ab}}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \end{array}$$

As the reductive groups  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$ , for  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ , all have rank at most  $2 \cdot \dim(A)$ , it follows from Lemma 1.3(ii) that there is an  $\ell_0$  and a constant  $C_2$  such that  $\text{Im}(\rho_\ell) \cap \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has index at most  $C_2$  in  $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for all  $\ell \geq \ell_0$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . It now only remains to find a bound for the index of  $\text{Im}(\rho_\ell^{\text{ab}})$  in  $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .

**1.4.3.** Choose an embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and let  $T_B = H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}_B \subset \text{GL}(T_B)$  be the (integral) Mumford–Tate group of  $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is part of a Shimura datum  $(\mathbf{G}_B, X)$ . Let  $(\mathbf{G}^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$  be the associated abelian Shimura datum. Choose neat compact open subgroups  $K \subset \mathbf{G}_B(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $K^{\text{ab}} \subset \mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  with  $\text{ab}(K) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$ . Possibly after replacing  $k$  with a finite extension (which we may do), there exists a level  $K$  structure on  $A$ , and the choice of such allows us to associate with  $A$  a  $k$ -rational point  $s$  on the Shimura variety  $\text{Sh}_K(\mathbf{G}_B, X)$ . Let  $S$  denote the irreducible component of  $\text{Sh}_K(\mathbf{G}_B, X)$  containing  $s$ , and let  $S^{\text{ab}} \subset \text{Sh}_{K^{\text{ab}}}(\mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$  be its image.

In Section 3 of [5] we have defined representations  $\phi: \pi_1(S) \rightarrow K$  and  $\phi^{\text{ab}}: \pi_1(S^{\text{ab}}) \rightarrow K^{\text{ab}}$  that fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(S) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\text{ab}} \\ \pi_1(S^{\text{ab}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\text{ab}}} & K^{\text{ab}} \end{array}$$

(As explained in loc. cit., these representations are essentially independent of the choice of geometric base point, which we therefore omit from the notation.) Moreover, it is shown in ibid., Section 5, that the image of  $\phi$  in  $K \subset \mathbf{G}_B(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  equals the image of  $\rho_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A}$  in  $\prod_{\ell} \mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \subset \mathbf{G}_B(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . By ibid., Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 (applied to  $(\mathbf{G}^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$ ) it follows that the image of the composite homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \xrightarrow{\rho_\ell^{\text{ab}}} \mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

has bounded index in  $\mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , for varying  $\ell$ .

By [14], Corollary 2.11, or [15], Theorem 1.3.1, the Mumford–Tate conjecture is true on connected centers. More precisely: under the inclusion  $\mathbf{G}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  the connected center  $Z_\ell^\circ$  of  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  maps into  $Z_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  and induces an isomorphism  $Z_\ell^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_B^\circ \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . (We only need to consider the primes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ , for which  $Z_\ell^\circ$  and  $Z_B^\circ \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  are tori over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ .) By Lemma 1.3(i) it follows that the natural homomorphisms  $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  are isogenies of bounded degree, and therefore the index of  $\text{Im}(\rho_\ell^{\text{ab}})$  in  $\mathbf{G}_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is bounded when  $\ell$  varies. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the case where  $M = A$  is an abelian variety.

**1.5. Remark.** The proof shows that for  $\ell \gg 0$  (depending on  $A$ ) the index  $[\mathbf{G}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_\ell)]$  can be bounded by a constant that only depends on the Mumford–Tate group  $\mathbf{G}_B$  and the abelianized Shimura datum  $(\mathbf{G}_B^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$ .

**1.6. The case of a split 1-motive.** Next, we consider a split 1-motive  $M = [Y \xrightarrow{0} A \times T]$  (i.e., a 1-motive in which all extensions are trivial).

As before we may replace  $k$  with a finite extension. We may therefore assume that  $T$  is a split torus and that  $Y$  is constant. Then the projection  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\ell, A \times T}$  is an isomorphism and restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, A \times T})$ ; this reduces the problem to the case  $Y = 0$ .

The case where  $T$  is trivial is treated in 1.4, so we assume  $T \neq \{1\}$ . As  $T$  is a split torus, the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  acts on  $T_\ell(T)$  through the  $\ell$ -adic cyclotomic character  $\chi_\ell$ . If the abelian variety  $A$  is zero, then  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(\chi_\ell)$  for all  $\ell$ , and the fact that  $k \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  gives the desired conclusion that  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M})$  is of bounded index in  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ .

Assume then that  $Y = 0$  and  $A \neq 0$ . The group scheme  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,A} \times \mathbf{G}_{\ell,T}$ . Let  $V_\ell(A) = T_\ell(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Choose a polarization of  $A$ , and let  $\psi_\ell: V_\ell(A) \times V_\ell(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$  be the associated alternating bilinear form. The image of  $\rho_{\ell,A}$  is contained in the group of symplectic similitudes  $\text{GSp}(V_\ell(A), \psi_\ell)$ , and if  $\nu: \text{GSp}(V_\ell(A), \psi_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$  is the multiplier character, we have the relation  $\nu \circ \rho_{\ell,A} = \chi_\ell$ . It follows that the image of  $\rho_{\ell,M}$  is contained in the graph of  $\nu$ , viewed as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \times \mathbf{G}_{\ell,T}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  and hence the projection map  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\ell,A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is injective. This gives us a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,A}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,A}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \end{array}$$

and it follows that  $[\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M})] \leq [\mathbf{G}_{\ell,A}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,A})]$ . The theorem for  $M$  now follows from the case of an abelian variety treated in 1.4.

**1.7.** *The general case.* As a last step in the proof, we now turn to the case of a general 1-motive  $M = [Y \rightarrow G]$ .

**1.7.1.** The semi-abelian variety  $G$  is an extension of an abelian variety  $A$  by a torus  $T$ . On  $M$  we have a weight filtration whose graded pieces are  $T$ ,  $A$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Let  $\tilde{M} = T \oplus A \oplus Y$  (or, in different notation,  $\tilde{M} = [Y \xrightarrow{0} A \times T]$ ) be the associated total graded, which is a split 1-motive.

As before we may replace  $k$  with a finite extension. We therefore can, and from now on will, assume that  $T$  is a split torus, that  $Y$  is constant, and that all 3-torsion points of  $A$  are  $k$ -rational. This implies that the group schemes  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,\tilde{M}}$  have connected fibers.

**1.7.2.** Let  $W$  denote the weight filtration on  $T_\ell(M)$ . The Galois representation  $\rho_{\ell,M}$  takes values in the parabolic subgroup  $\text{Stab}_W \subset \text{GL}(T_\ell(M))$ . We have a natural identification  $\text{gr}^W(T_\ell(M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\ell(\tilde{M})$  and if  $\pi: \text{Stab}_W \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(\tilde{M}))$  is the natural homomorphism then we have  $\pi \circ \rho_{\ell,M} = \rho_{\ell,\tilde{M}}$ . In particular,  $\pi$  restricts to a homomorphism  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\ell,\tilde{M}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell,M}$  denote the kernel of the latter homomorphism, which is the intersection of  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}$  with the unipotent radical of  $\text{Stab}_W$ .

Let  $k \subset k^u$  be the field extension (inside  $\bar{k}$ ) that corresponds with the kernel of  $\rho_{\ell,\tilde{M}}$ . Then  $\rho_{\ell,M}$  restricts to a Galois representation  $\rho_{\ell,M}^u: \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k^u) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . This gives us a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M}^u) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,\tilde{M}}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{U}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\ell,\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & & \end{array}$$

which gives the inequality

$$[\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M})] \leq [\mathbf{U}_{\ell,M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,M}^u)] \cdot [\mathbf{G}_{\ell,\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell,\tilde{M}})].$$

(At this point we do not yet know that these numbers are all finite.)

**1.7.3.** By the previous part of the proof,  $[\mathbf{G}_{\ell, \bar{M}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, \bar{M}})]$  is bounded independently of  $\ell$ . What remains to be shown is that also the index of  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M}^u)$  in  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is finite and bounded when  $\ell$  varies. Our proof of this is based on the results of Jossen [8]. Choose a field embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $T_B(M)$  denote the Hodge realization of  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and let  $\mathbf{G}_{B, M} \subset \text{GL}(T_B(M))$  denote the integral Mumford–Tate group. Again we have a weight filtration  $W$  on  $T_B(M)$ , and an associated parabolic subgroup  $\text{Stab}_W \subset \text{GL}(T_B(M))$ . Analogous to how we defined  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, M}$ , let  $\mathbf{U}_{B, M} \subset \mathbf{G}_{B, M}$  be the intersection of  $\mathbf{G}_{B, M}$  with the unipotent radical of  $\text{Stab}_W$ .

It follows from the results of Brylinski in [3] (which extend results of Deligne for abelian varieties), that under the comparison isomorphism  $T_B(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\ell(M)$  we have  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, M} \subseteq \mathbf{G}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . (See also [8], Theorem 3.1.) Hence  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, M} \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Writing  $\mathfrak{u}_?$  for the Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{U}_?$ , this gives an inclusion of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ .

Possibly after again replacing  $k$  with a finite extension, we may assume that all transformations  $\phi \in \text{GL}(T_2(M))$  that lie in the image of the 2-adic unipotent representation  $\rho_{2, M}^u$  have the property that  $(\phi - 1): T_2(M) \rightarrow T_2(M)$  is divisible by 2. For every  $\ell$  we then have a map

$$\vartheta_\ell = \log \circ \rho_{\ell, M}^u : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k^u) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M},$$

whose image is a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M}$ .

Write  $V_B(?) = T_B(?) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $V_\ell(?) = T_\ell(?) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $P = P(M)$  be the semi-abelian variety of [8], Definition 4.3. By *ibid.*, Theorem 6.2, we have an isomorphism  $\alpha_B : V_B(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover, by the first assertion of *ibid.*, Theorem 7.2, this extends to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_\ell(P) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Im}(\vartheta_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\ V_B(P) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell & \xrightarrow[\alpha_B \otimes 1]{\sim} & \mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \end{array}$$

and it follows that the inclusion maps  $\text{Im}(\vartheta_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  are isomorphisms. This already implies that  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M}^u)$  has finite index in  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . To bound this index when  $\ell$  varies, we use that by the last assertion of *ibid.*, Theorem 7.2, for almost all  $\ell$  the previous diagram restricts to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\ell(P) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Im}(\vartheta_\ell) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\ T_B(P) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & & \mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_B(P) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell & \xrightarrow[\alpha_B \otimes 1]{\sim} & \mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \end{array}$$

As the index of the lattice  $T_B(P)$  inside  $T_B(P) + \mathfrak{u}_{B, M}$  (taken inside  $\mathfrak{u}_{B, M} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ) is finite and independent of  $\ell$ , it follows that also the index of  $\text{Im}(\vartheta_\ell)$  inside  $\mathfrak{u}_{\ell, M}$  is bounded when  $\ell$  varies. Hence the index of  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, M}^u)$  in  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, M}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is finite and bounded when  $\ell$  varies. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

**1.8. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a geometrically connected variety over a field  $k$  that is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\ell$  a prime number, write  $H_\ell = \text{H}^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , let  $\rho_{\ell, X} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H_\ell)$  be the natural Galois representation, and let  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, X} \subset \text{GL}(H_\ell)$  be the Zariski closure of  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X})$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X})$  is an open subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell, X}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for every  $\ell$  and  $[\mathbf{G}_{\ell, X}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X})]$  is bounded when  $\ell$  varies. The same assertion is true if we everywhere take  $H_\ell = \text{H}_c^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ .*

*Proof.* We may pass to the dual Galois representation  $H_\ell^\vee$ . But by [1], Corollary 5.3.2,<sup>†</sup>  $H_\ell^\vee$  is the  $\ell$ -adic realization of the 1-motive  $\text{Alb}^-(X)$  of  $X/k$  as in *ibid.*, Section 7.2; so Theorem 1.2 applies.

For the version with  $H_c^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  we apply Theorem 1.2 to the 1-motive  $H_m^1(X)(1)$  introduced in [6], Section 10.3.  $\square$

**1.9. Remark.** In the next section we apply this result with  $X$  a non-singular curve and  $H_\ell = H^1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . In that case we can be much more explicit about the 1-motive whose  $\ell$ -adic realization gives the Galois representation  $H_\ell^\vee$ . Namely, if  $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$  is the complete non-singular model of  $X$ , we can form the curve  $X'$  that is obtained from  $\bar{X}$  by identifying all points in  $\bar{X} \setminus X$ . Then  $\text{Pic}_{X'/k}^0$  is a semi-abelian variety whose  $\ell$ -adic realization is isomorphic to  $H_\ell^\vee$ .

## 2. Uniformity in $\ell$ in a theorem of Litt

**2.1.** As before, let  $k$  be a field that is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $X$  be a normal scheme, geometrically integral, separated and of finite type over  $k$ , and let  $\bar{x}$  be a geometric point on  $X$ . The main result of [11] is the following.

**2.2. Theorem (Litt).** *Let  $X/k$  be as in 2.1, and let  $\ell$  be a prime number. Then there exists a positive integer  $N$ , depending on  $X$  and  $\ell$ , such that for any arithmetic representation  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  we have*

$$\tau \text{ is trivial modulo } \ell^N \implies \tau \text{ is unipotent.}$$

If  $\tau$  is a monodromy representation of a smooth proper family over  $X$ , it is known that  $\tau$  is semisimple; in this case, therefore, the conclusion is that if  $\tau$  is trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  then  $\tau$  is trivial. See [11], Corollary 1.6.

**2.3.** For  $X/k$  as in 2.1, let  $N(X, \ell)$  be the smallest positive integer  $N$  such that all arithmetic representations  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  that are trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  are unipotent. Our goal is to estimate  $N(X, \ell)$  and to show that it is bounded as a function of  $\ell$ . Note that nothing changes if we replace  $\bar{x}$  by a different geometric base point or if we replace  $k$  by a finite extension. In what follows we may therefore assume that  $\bar{x}$  lies over a  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X(k)$ . This gives an action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  on  $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})$  and an isomorphism  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ .

By a Bertini argument (see [7], Lemma 1.4 and [11], Section 4.1) there exists an affine smooth curve  $C/k$  and a  $k$ -morphism  $C \rightarrow X$  such that the induced homomorphism  $\pi_1(C_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})$  is surjective. For such a curve we have  $N(X, \ell) \leq N(C, \ell)$  for all  $\ell$ . What we will estimate is  $N(C, \ell)$ . In what follows we therefore assume that  $X$  is an affine curve, smooth over  $k$ . Moreover, if  $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$  is the complete non-singular model of  $X$  we may assume that  $\bar{X}$  has positive genus. (This is not essential but it will simplify some later assertions.)

**2.4.** Choose an embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . As remarked in 1.9 there is a semi-abelian variety  $M = \text{Pic}_{X'/k}^0$  whose Hodge and  $\ell$ -adic realizations are given by  $T_B(M) = H_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $T_\ell(M) = H_1(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . As in Section 1, let  $\mathbf{G}_{B,M} \subset \text{GL}(T_B(M))$  be the (integral) Mumford–Tate group and  $\mathbf{G}_{\ell,M} \subset \text{GL}(T_\ell(M))$  the Zariski closure of the image of  $\rho_{\ell,X}: \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(M))$ . If there is no risk of confusion, we

---

<sup>†</sup>It appears that the definition of  $\ell$ -adic homology as given in [1], Section 2.5, needs to be changed: writing  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  one should define  $H_i(X_{\bar{k}}, \Lambda)$  to be  $\mathcal{H}_i(\text{RHom}(\text{R}\Gamma(X_{\bar{k}}, \Lambda), \Lambda))$ , whereas *loc. cit.* uses  $\text{RHom}(\cdot, \Lambda(-n)[-2n])$  with  $n = \dim(X)$ .

will from now on omit  $M$  from the notation. As already remarked, to estimate the constants  $N(X, \ell)$  we may replace  $k$  with a finite extension; we may therefore assume that the group schemes  $\mathbf{G}_\ell$  have connected fibres.

For every  $\ell$  we have a comparison isomorphism  $\iota_\ell: T_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\ell$ , which we will take as an identification. As  $M$  is semi-abelian, the weight filtration  $W_\bullet$  on  $T_{\mathbf{B}}$  only has two steps:

$$W_{-3} = 0 \quad \subset \quad W_{-2} = \text{Ker}(\text{H}_1(X_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{H}_1(\bar{X}_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbb{Z})) \quad \subset \quad W_{-1} = T_{\mathbf{B}}(M).$$

The weight filtration on  $T_\ell$  is  $W_\bullet(T_{\mathbf{B}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . The Mumford–Tate group  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}}$  is contained in the stabilizer  $\text{Stab}_W \subset \text{GL}(T_{\mathbf{B}})$  of the weight filtration, and as we have already seen in 1.7.3,  $\mathbf{G}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  for all  $\ell$ .

Let  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \subset \mathbf{G}_\ell$  be the subgroup scheme of elements  $g \in \mathbf{G}_\ell$  for which there is a scalar  $\alpha$  such that  $g$  acts on  $\text{gr}_{-i}^W$  as  $\alpha^i \cdot \text{id}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  be the character (over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ ) given by  $g \mapsto \alpha$ .

For our proof of Theorem 2.8 we need the following result.

**2.5. Lemma.** *For almost all  $\ell$  the homomorphism  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Analogous to how we defined the group schemes  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ , let  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \subset \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}}$  be the subgroup scheme of elements  $g \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}}$  that act on  $\text{gr}_{-i}^W$  as  $\alpha^i \cdot \text{id}$  for some scalar  $\alpha$ , and let  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) be given by  $g \mapsto \alpha$ .

The unipotent radical of  $\text{Stab}_W \subset \text{GL}(H_{\mathbf{B}})$  is the vector group scheme associated with the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\text{Hom}(\text{gr}_W^{-1}, \text{gr}_W^{-2})$ . The unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is the intersection of  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{R}_u(\text{Stab}_W)$  and is therefore again a vector group scheme. We denote it by  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{Q}}$ . (It is the generic fibre of the  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, M}$  of 1.7.3.) We have an isomorphism  $h_{\mathbb{Q}}: \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{Q}} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ , with  $z \in \mathbb{G}_m$  acting on  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{Q}}$  as multiplication by  $z$ . This extends over an open part of  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ , say over  $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ ; by this we mean that  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes R$  is smooth over  $R$ , its unipotent radical  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, R}$  is the vector group scheme given by a free  $R$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{gr}_W^{-1} \otimes R, \text{gr}_W^{-2} \otimes R)$ , and  $h_{\mathbb{Q}}$  extends to an isomorphism  $h_R: \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, R} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ .

Similarly, the unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{G}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is a vector group scheme  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  that is associated with a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subspace of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\text{gr}_W^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \text{gr}_W^{-2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . We have  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rtimes \{1\} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ , where in the semi-direct product  $z \in \mathbb{G}_m$  again acts on  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  as multiplication by  $z$ . By [8], Theorems 6.2 and 7.2, the inclusion  $\mathbf{G}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathbf{U}_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .

We claim that the homomorphism  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  is surjective (as a homomorphism of algebraic groups) for all  $\ell$ . If this is true, it follows that the inclusions  $\mathbf{G}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  restrict to isomorphisms  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , and hence also to isomorphisms  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  for all  $\ell \nmid n$ . As  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cong \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ , with  $\nu$  given by the second projection, this gives the desired conclusion that  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$  is surjective for all  $\ell \nmid n$ .

It remains to prove the claim. There exists an integral affine scheme  $S$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$  whose function field is  $k$ , such that  $M$  extends to a semi-abelian variety over  $S$ . Let  $s \in S$  be a closed point with residue field of cardinality  $q(s)$  such that  $\ell \nmid q(s)$ , and let  $F_s \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  be an arithmetic Frobenius element at  $s$ . Associated with  $F_s$  we have a Frobenius torus  $\mathbf{T}(F_s)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose character group is isomorphic to the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -submodule of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  generated by the eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  of  $F_s$  acting on  $\text{gr}_{-1}^W(T_\ell) \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . (See [13]. Note that  $F_s$  acts on  $\text{gr}_{-2}^W$  as multiplication by  $q(s) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ , and since we have assumed that  $g(\bar{X}) > 0$  we only have to consider the eigenvalues of  $F_s$  on  $\text{gr}_{-1}^W$ .) Because  $F_s$  acts semi-simply on  $T_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  (cf. [11], Lemma 2.9), we obtain an injective homomorphism  $i: \mathbf{T}(F_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Further, we have a homomorphism  $j: \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}(F_s)$  corresponding to the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant map  $X^*(\mathbf{T}(F_s)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that sends each  $\alpha_i$  to 1. Then the image of  $(i \circ j): \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is contained in  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  and  $i \circ j$  gives a section of the map  $\nu$ . So indeed  $\nu: \mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  is surjective. This completes the proof.  $\square$



**2.6. Notation.** For  $\ell$  a prime number and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ , define  $C(\alpha, \ell)$  by

$$C(\alpha, \ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} \cdot \left( v_\ell(\alpha^s - 1) + \frac{1}{\ell - 1} + 2 \right) & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \frac{1}{s} \cdot \left( v_\ell(\alpha^s - 1) + \frac{1}{\ell - 1} \right) & \text{if } \ell > 2 \end{cases}$$

where  $v_\ell$  denotes the  $\ell$ -adic valuation and where  $s$  is the order of  $(\alpha \bmod 4) \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times$  if  $\ell = 2$  and is the order of  $(\alpha \bmod \ell) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  if  $\ell > 2$ .

Note that for  $\ell > 2$  we can choose a root of unity  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$  of order  $\ell - 1$ , and then  $\alpha$  can be written as  $\alpha = \zeta^{(\ell-1)/s} \cdot \exp(y)$  for some  $y \in \ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . With this notation,  $C(\alpha, \ell) = (1/s) \cdot (v_\ell(y) + 1/(\ell - 1))$ .

**2.7. Proposition.** *Let  $\ell > 2$ . Suppose  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X}) \cap \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  contains an element  $g$  such that  $\alpha = \nu(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$  has infinite order. Then  $N(X, \ell) \leq 1 + \lfloor 2 \cdot C(\alpha, \ell) \rfloor$ .*

*Proof.* All we need to do is to carefully go through the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [11]. For the reader's convenience, let us give the steps that are required to extract the assertion from Litt's paper.

Let  $g$  and  $\alpha = \nu(g)$  be as in the assertion. Let  $N = 1 + \lfloor 2 \cdot C(\alpha, \ell) \rfloor$ . The claim is that every arithmetic representation  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  that is trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  is unipotent. As explained by Litt in [11], Section 4.1 (especially Lemma 4.1 and the first half of the proof of his Theorem 1.2), it suffices to prove this only for those representations  $\tau$  that extend to a representation  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .

Let  $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}$  be the maximal pro- $\ell$  quotient of  $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]$  be the completed group algebra,  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]$  the augmentation ideal, and

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]] = \varinjlim_n \left( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]] / \mathcal{I}^n) \right).$$

These rings come equipped with an action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ .

Let  $r$  be a real number with  $\lfloor 2 \cdot C(\alpha, \ell) \rfloor < r < N$ . Litt defines ([11], Definition 3.2) a Galois-stable  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subalgebra

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]^{\leq \ell^{-r}} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]$$

which he calls the *convergent group ring*. The representation  $\tau$  gives rise to a Galois-equivariant homomorphism  $\beta: \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]] \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , where the Galois action on  $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is obtained by using the section of  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  associated with the rational base point  $x \in X(k)$ , together with the assumption that  $\tau$  extends to a representation of  $\pi_1(X)$ . Litt shows (ibid., Proposition 3.4) that the assumption that  $\tau$  is trivial modulo  $\ell^N$  implies that  $\beta$  uniquely extends to a  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant homomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta}: \mathbb{Q}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]^{\leq \ell^{-r}} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

The convergent group ring comes equipped with a weight filtration  $W_\bullet$  and a Gauss norm for which  $\tilde{\beta}$  is continuous. Write  $g = \rho_{\ell, X}(\sigma)$  for some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ , and recall that  $\alpha = \nu(g)$ . The proof of [11], Theorem 2.8 (at the end of Section 2) shows that  $\sigma$  acts on  $\text{gr}_i^W$  as multiplication by  $\alpha^i$ . It then follows from ibid., Theorem 3.6 and Remark 3.11, that if we consider the eigenspaces of  $\sigma$  acting on  $W_{-n} = W_{-n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell[[\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})^{(\ell)}]]^{\leq \ell^{-r}}$  with eigenvalues in  $\{\alpha^n, \alpha^{n+1}, \dots\}$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -linear span of these eigenspaces is dense in  $W_{-n}$  with respect to the Gauss norm. As  $\sigma$  has only finitely many eigenvalues on  $M_n(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  and  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity, it follows that  $\tilde{\beta}$  is zero on  $W_{-n}$  for  $n$  large enough. Finally, as by [11], Proposition 2.7, we have  $\mathcal{I}^n \subset W_{-n}$ , it follows that  $\beta$  is zero on  $\mathcal{I}^n$  for  $n$  large enough, which means that  $\tau$  is unipotent.  $\square$

We can now prove the main result of this section.

**2.8. Theorem.** *Let  $X/k$  be as in 2.1. Then there exists an integer  $\ell_X$  such that for all prime numbers  $\ell \geq \ell_X$  and all arithmetic representations  $\tau: \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  we have*

$$(2.8.1) \quad \tau \text{ is trivial modulo } \ell \quad \implies \quad \tau \text{ is unipotent.}$$

*In particular, there exists a positive integer  $N(X)$  such that  $N(X, \ell) \leq N(X)$  for all  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.8 and Lemma 2.5 there exist integers  $L \geq 2$  and  $M$  such that for all prime numbers  $\ell > L$  the image of  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X}) \cap \mathcal{Q}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  under  $\nu$  has index less than  $M$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ . This means that for every  $\ell > L$  we can find an element  $g_\ell \in \mathrm{Im}(\rho_{\ell, X}) \cap \mathcal{Q}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  such that  $\alpha_\ell = \nu(g_\ell)$  is of the form

$$\alpha_\ell = z_\ell \cdot \exp(y_\ell) \quad (\text{with } z_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \text{ a root of unity and } y_\ell \in \ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

such that the order of  $z_\ell$  is at least  $(\ell - 1)/M$  and  $v_\ell(y) < 1 + \log_\ell(M)$ . Then  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} C(\alpha_\ell, \ell) = 0$  and by Proposition 2.7 this gives the result.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. Barbieri-Viale, V. Srinivas, *Albanese and Picard 1-motives*. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.), 87, (2001).
- [2] F. Bogomolov, *Sur l'algébricité des représentations  $\ell$ -adiques*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 290 (1980), N° 15, A701–A703.
- [3] J.-L. Brylinski, “*1-motifs*” et formes automorphes (théorie arithmétique des domaines de Siegel). In: Journées automorphes, 43–106; Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, 15, Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1983.
- [4] A. Cadoret, *An adelic open image theorem for Abelian schemes*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2015, no. 20, 10208–10242.
- [5] A. Cadoret, B. Moonen, *Integral and adelic aspects of the Mumford–Tate conjecture*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748018000233>
- [6] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. III*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [7] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental du complément d'une courbe plane n'ayant que des points doubles ordinaires est abélien (d'après W. Fulton)*. Sémin. Bourbaki, Vol. 1979/80, n° 543, pp. 1–10; in: Lecture Notes in Math., 842, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [8] P. Jossen, *On the Mumford–Tate conjecture for 1-motives*. Invent. Math. 195 (2014), no. 2, 393–439.
- [9] M. Larsen, R. Pink, *On  $\ell$ -independence of algebraic monodromy groups in compatible systems of representations*. Invent. Math. 107 (1992), no. 3, 603–636.
- [10] M. Larsen, R. Pink, *Abelian varieties,  $\ell$ -adic representations, and  $\ell$ -independence*. Math. Ann. 302 (1995), no. 3, 561–579.
- [11] D. Litt, *Arithmetic representations of fundamental groups I*. Invent. Math. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-018-0810-4>
- [12] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux (Troisième édition)*. Publ. de l'Univ. de Nancago, No. VIII. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Lettres à Ken Ribet du 1/1/1981 et du 29/1/1981*. In: Œuvres, Volume IV, number 133.
- [14] E. Ullmo, A. Yafaev, *Mumford–Tate and generalised Shafarevich conjectures*. Ann. Math. Qué. 37 (2013), no. 2, 255–284.
- [15] A. Vasiu, *Some cases of the Mumford–Tate conjecture and Shimura varieties*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), no. 1, 1–75.
- [16] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Démonstration d'une conjecture de Lang dans des cas particuliers*. J. reine angew. Math. 553 (2002), 1–16.

anna.cadoret@imj-prg.fr

IMJ-PRG – Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

b.moonen@science.ru.nl

Radboud University, IMAPP, Nijmegen, The Netherlands