

ON THE LAST NONZERO DIGITS OF $n!$ IN A GIVEN BASE

BARTOSZ SOBOLEWSKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the sequence of strings of k last nonzero digits of $n!$ in a given base b . We determine for which b this sequence is automatic and show how to generate it using a uniform morphism. We also compute how often each possible string of k digits appears as the k last nonzero digits of $n!$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The sequence $\{\ell_b(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the last nonzero digit in the base- b expansion of $n!$ has been an object of interest of several authors. For example, Dresden [7] showed that the number

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_{10}(n!)}{10^n}$$

is transcendental. Deshouillers and Ruzsa [6] investigated the case $b = 12$ and computed that for $a \in \{1, \dots, 11\}$ the asymptotic density of the set

$$\{n \geq 0 : \ell_{12}(n!) = a\}$$

is $1/2$ if $a \in \{4, 8\}$ and 0 otherwise. Recall that by the asymptotic density of a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we mean the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}|}{n},$$

if it exists. The sequence $\{\ell_{12}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ was further studied by Deshouillers [3, 4]. Deshouillers and Luca [5] proved that

$$|\{0 \leq n \leq x : n! \text{ is a sum of three squares}\}| = \frac{7}{8}x + O(x^{2/3}).$$

This can also be interpreted as a result concerning the last two nonzero digits of $n!$ in base 4. Indeed, by Legendre's three-square theorem, m is not a sum of three squares if and only if the string of two last nonzero digits of m in base 4 is either 13 or 33. All of these results rely on showing that the respective sequences are *automatic* or coincide with an automatic sequence on a set of asymptotic density 1, as in the case $b = 12$. We recall the notion of automaticity in Section 3.

Motivated by these results, we will consider a general problem concerning the k last nonzero digits of $n!$ in base b , where $k \geq 1$ is a fixed integer. For

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11A63; Secondary: 11B05, 11B85, 68Q45, 68R15.

Key words and phrases. Last nonzero digits, automatic sequences, asymptotic density.

a positive integer m let $\ell_{b,k}(m)$ be the number whose base- b expansion is given by k last nonzero digits of m . We can add leading zeros if necessary. If $k = 1$, then for simplicity we will use the previous notation $\ell_b(m)$. For a positive integer c (not necessarily prime) denote by $\nu_c(m)$ the largest integer l such that c^l divides m , and by $m \bmod c$ the residue of m modulo c lying in the set $\{0, 1, \dots, c - 1\}$. Then we have

$$\ell_{b,k}(m) = \frac{m}{b^{\nu_b(m)}} \bmod b^k.$$

Obviously, $\ell_{b,k}(m)$ is not divisible by b and satisfies $1 \leq \ell_{b,k}(m) \leq b^k - 1$.

Our first aim is to study the automaticity of the sequence $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and find (in the automatic case) its explicit description in terms of *uniform morphisms*. Moreover, we would like to determine how often each $a \in \{1, \dots, b^k - 1\}$ appears in this sequence. More precisely, we are interested in computing the asymptotic density (if it exists) of the set

$$\{n \geq 0 : \ell_{b,k}(n!) = a\},$$

which we will also call the *asymptotic frequency* of a in $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and denote by $f_{b,k}(a)$. We could also rephrase the latter question and ask how often a fixed string of digits $a_k \cdots a_1$, with $a_i \in \{0, \dots, b - 1\}$ and $a_1 \neq 0$, appears as k last nonzero digits of $n!$. In Section 2 we answer these questions in a general situation.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Consider the prime factorization of b :

$$b = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_r^{l_r},$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are distinct primes and l_1, \dots, l_r are positive integers. If $r \geq 2$, then we can additionally renumber the primes so that the following technical conditions are satisfied:

$$(1) \quad l_1(p_1 - 1) \geq l_2(p_2 - 1) \geq \cdots \geq l_r(p_r - 1)$$

and

$$(2) \quad p_1 = \max\{p_i : l_i(p_i - 1) = l_1(p_1 - 1)\}.$$

It was recently proved by Lipka [8] that $\{\ell_b(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is automatic if and only if $b = p_1^{l_1}$ or $l_1(p_1 - 1) > l_2(p_2 - 1)$. Our first result shows that a similar claim remains true for the sequence $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ describing the k last nonzero digits. Moreover, we show that if $l_1(p_1 - 1) = l_2(p_2 - 1)$, then the sequence $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is “almost” automatic.

Theorem 1. *If either $b = p_1^{l_1}$ or $l_1(p_1 - 1) > l_2(p_2 - 1)$, then the sequence $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is p_1 -automatic. If $l_1(p_1 - 1) = l_2(p_2 - 1)$, then the sequence $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is not m -automatic for any m . However, it coincides with a p_1 -automatic sequence on a set of asymptotic density 1.*

At the end of Section 4 we give a fairly effective way to generate $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ (or the corresponding p_1 -automatic sequence) using uniform morphisms.

The following theorem gives for each number $a \in \{1, \dots, b^k - 1\}$ the frequency $f_{b,k}(a)$ of a in $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$. It turns out that these frequencies remain the same after restricting to subsequences along arithmetic progressions.

Theorem 2. *Let $a \in \{1, \dots, b^k - 1\}$ be such that $b \nmid a$. We have*

$$f_{b,k}(a) = \frac{1}{(p_1 - 1)l_1} p_1^{\nu_{p_1}(a) - kl_1 + 1}$$

if $(b/p_1^{l_1})^k | a$, otherwise $f_{b,k}(a) = 0$. Moreover, for any integers $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$, the number a appears in the subsequence $\{\ell_{b,k}((cn + d)!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with asymptotic frequency $f_{b,k}(a)$, regardless of c and d .

Note that for $b = 12, k = 1$ we obtain the result of Deshouillers and Ruzsa [6], whereas for $b = 4, k = 2$ we get a slightly weaker version of the result of Deshouillers and Luca [5]. We can easily deduce a corollary concerning a special case of b , for which all the nonzero frequencies are equal.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $l_1 = 1$ and let $a \in \{1, \dots, b^k - 1\}$ be such that $b \nmid a$. We have*

$$f_{b,k}(a) = \frac{1}{(p_1 - 1)p_1^{k-1}}$$

if $(b/p_1)^k | a$, otherwise $f_{b,k}(a) = 0$

In the following example we examine the last nonzero digit of $n!$ with $b = 720$.

Example 1. Consider the sequence $\{\ell_{720}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the last nonzero digit of $n!$ in base

$$b = 720 = 5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^4.$$

We label the primes $p_1 = 5, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 2$ and the exponents $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, l_3 = 4$ accordingly, so that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. In fact, we have the equality

$$l_1(p_1 - 1) = l_2(p_2 - 1) = l_3(p_3 - 1).$$

By Theorem 2 the sequence $\{\ell_{720}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is not automatic, however it coincides with a 5-automatic sequence on a set of asymptotic density 1. A computation similar as in Proposition 4 below shows that we can define such a 5-automatic sequence by $\beta(0) = 576$ and the recurrence relation

$$(3) \quad \beta(5n + j) = \beta(n) \cdot j! \pmod{720}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. The terms $\beta(n)$ take values in the alphabet $\Sigma = \{144, 288, 432, 576\}$. Define a 5-uniform morphism $\varphi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ by

$$\varphi(x) = x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4,$$

where $x_j = x \cdot j! \pmod{720}$. We can explicitly write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(144) &= 144 \ 144 \ 288 \ 144 \ 576, & \varphi(288) &= 288 \ 288 \ 576 \ 288 \ 432, \\ \varphi(432) &= 432 \ 432 \ 144 \ 432 \ 288, & \varphi(576) &= 576 \ 576 \ 432 \ 576 \ 144. \end{aligned}$$

This morphism has exactly 4 fixed points. By (3) it is clear that $\{\beta(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the fixed point of φ starting with 576, so it is a *pure morphic sequence* (does not require a coding).

We have $\beta(n) = \ell_{720}(n!)$ on a set of asymptotic density 1, and hence the frequency of each symbol in both sequences is the same. In particular, if $a \notin \Sigma$, then $f_{720}(a) = 0$. To compute the frequencies of $a \in \Sigma$, consider the 4×4 incidence matrix M associated with φ , which is of the form:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the rows and columns correspond to the elements of Σ arranged in ascending order. All the entries of M^2 are positive, and therefore φ is primitive. The matrix $\frac{1}{5}M$ is row-stochastic, thus by the discussion in Section 3 we have $f_{720}(a) = 1/4$ for $a \in \Sigma$.

In general, bases b such that $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is not automatic and the nonzero frequencies $f_{b,k}(a)$ are not all equal, require considerably larger alphabets Σ (at least when using the approach presented in Section 4). One can check that the method of Proposition 4 applied to $b = 144, k = 1$ produces the "smallest" such example (in terms of $|\Sigma|$) with $|\Sigma| = 48$.

3. PRELIMINARIES

We recall the definition of automatic sequences in terms of uniform morphisms. For a more detailed description see [1, Chapters 4–6]. Let Σ and Δ be finite alphabets and denote by Σ^* and Δ^* the sets of finite words created from letters in Σ and Δ , respectively, together with the *empty word* ϵ . We call a map $\varphi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ a *morphism* if $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma^*$. Clearly, a morphism is uniquely determined by its values on single letters in Σ . We say that a morphism is *l-uniform* for an integer $l \geq 1$ if $|\varphi(x)| = l$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, where $|y|$ denotes the length of a word y . A 1-uniform morphism is called a *coding*. If $\Sigma = \Delta$ then we denote by φ^i the i -th iterate of φ (with φ^0 being the identity morphism on Σ^*). A morphism φ is said to be *prolongable* on $x \in \Sigma$ if $\varphi(x) = xy$ for some $y \in \Sigma^*$ and $\varphi^i(y) \neq \epsilon$ for all $i \geq 0$. If φ is prolongable on x then the sequence of words $x, \varphi(x), \varphi^2(x), \dots$ converges to the infinite word

$$\varphi^\omega(x) = x y \varphi(y) \varphi^2(y) \dots$$

in the sense that each $\varphi^i(x)$ is a prefix of $\varphi^\omega(x)$ for $i \geq 0$. We can naturally extend φ to infinite words over Σ . Then one can check that $\varphi^\omega(x)$ is a *fixed point* of φ , that is $\varphi(\varphi^\omega(x)) = \varphi^\omega(x)$. Moreover, it is the unique fixed point of φ starting with x . A sequence $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with values in Δ , treated as an infinite word, is called a *morphic sequence* if $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \tau(\varphi^\omega(x))$ for some morphism φ prolongable on x and a coding $\tau : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$. We call $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ an *l-automatic sequence* if we can choose the morphism φ to be l -uniform. To prove that a sequence $\{\beta(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a fixed point of an

l -uniform morphism φ it is necessary and sufficient that for all $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\varphi(\beta(n)) = \beta(ln)\beta(ln+1)\cdots\beta((l+1)n-1).$$

The asymptotic frequency of a letter in an automatic sequence does not always exist (see [1, Example 8.1.2]). To guarantee its existence it is enough to assume that the morphism φ generating the sequence is *primitive*, that is, there exists an integer $i \geq 1$ such that for all $x, y \in \Sigma$ the letter y appears in $\varphi^i(x)$. Below we give an overview of a general method of finding the frequencies of symbols in $\varphi^\omega(x)$, where φ is prolongable on $x \in \Sigma$. Once these frequencies are known, it is straightforward, given a coding $\tau : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$, to compute the frequency of each $a \in \Delta$ in the infinite word $\tau(\varphi^\omega(x))$. For more details and examples see [1, Chapter 8].

Let $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$. For any word $w \in \Sigma^*$ denote by $|w|_{a_i}$ the number of occurrences of a_i in w . We associate with the morphism φ the $d \times d$ incidence matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$, where $m_{i,j} = |\varphi(a_j)|_{a_i}$. One can show that for any word $w \in \Sigma^*$ and integer $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} |\varphi^n(w)|_{a_1} \\ \vdots \\ |\varphi^n(w)|_{a_d} \end{bmatrix} = M^n \begin{bmatrix} |w|_{a_1} \\ \vdots \\ |w|_{a_d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The task of finding frequencies of letters in $\varphi^\omega(x)$ essentially comes down to studying the behavior of M^n as n tends to infinity. A nonnegative square matrix D is called *primitive* if there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that D^n has all entries positive. It is easy to see that φ is primitive if and only if its incidence matrix M is primitive. By [1, Theorem 8.4.7], if φ is a primitive morphism, then the frequencies of all letters in $\varphi^\omega(x)$ exist and are nonzero. Moreover, the vector of frequencies is the positive normalized eigenvector associated with the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of the incidence matrix M (where the i -th entry of the vector corresponds to a_i). If additionally M is a row-stochastic matrix multiplied by $C > 0$, then its Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue is equal to C and the frequencies of a_1, \dots, a_d are all equal to $1/d$.

4. PROOFS

Let us write the prime factorization of b :

$$b = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_r^{l_r}$$

and denote $q_i = p_i^{l_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. To begin with, in Lemmas 1 and 2 we show that if b has at least two prime factors, then with the choice of p_1 satisfying (1) and (2), the value $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$ depends only on $\ell_{q_1,k}(n!)$ and $\nu_{q_1}(n!)$ for almost all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 1. *Let b have at least two distinct prime factors and let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume that*

$$(4) \quad \nu_{q_1}(m) + k \leq \nu_{q_i}(m)$$

for all $i = 2, \dots, r$. Then

$$(5) \quad \ell_{b,k}(m) = \ell_{q_1,k}(m)q^k t^{k+\nu_{q_1}(m)} \pmod{b^k},$$

where $q = b/q_1$ and t is the multiplicative inverse of q modulo q_1^k .

Proof. We have

$$(6) \quad m = b^{\nu_b(m)}(b^k l + \ell_{b,k}(m)),$$

where l is some nonnegative integer. By the assumption (4) we have

$$0 \leq \nu_{q_1}(b^k l + \ell_{b,k}(m)) \leq \nu_{q_1}(b^k l + \ell_{b,k}(m)) - k,$$

for each $i = 2, \dots, r$, which implies that $\nu_{q_i}(\ell_{b,k}(m)) \geq k$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(7) \quad \ell_{b,k}(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^k}.$$

Now let

$$(8) \quad m = q_1^{\nu_{q_1}(m)}(q_1^k j + \ell_{q_1,k}(m)),$$

where j is some nonnegative integer. Again, by (4) we have $\nu_b(m) = \nu_{q_1}(m)$. Comparing (6) and (8) we get

$$q^{\nu_{q_1}(m)} \ell_{b,k}(m) \equiv \ell_{q_1,k}(m) \pmod{q_1^k},$$

or, equivalently,

$$(9) \quad \ell_{b,k}(m) \equiv t^{\nu_{q_1}(m)} \ell_{q_1,k}(m) \pmod{q_1^k}.$$

By applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem to the system of congruences (7) and (9), we finally obtain the result. \square

Assume that the primes p_1, \dots, p_r are numbered in such a way that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. Recall that for p prime and $n \geq 0$ we have Legendre's formula:

$$\nu_p(n!) = \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p - 1},$$

where $s_p(n)$ denotes the sum of digits of the base- p expansion of n . The following lemma shows that for $m = n!$ the assumption of Lemma 1 is satisfied on a set of n of asymptotic density 1.

Lemma 2. *If b has at least two distinct prime factors, then the set*

$$S_{b,k} = \{n \geq 0 : \nu_{q_i}(n!) \geq \nu_{q_1}(n!) + k \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, r\}$$

has asymptotic density 1. If furthermore $l_1(p_1 - 1) > l_2(p_2 - 1)$, then $S_{b,k}$ contains all but a finite number of nonnegative integers.

Proof. First, consider the case $l_1(p_1 - 1) > l_2(p_2 - 1)$. Fix any $2 \leq i \leq r$. By Legendre's formula we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_{q_i}(n!)}{\nu_{q_1}(n!)} = \frac{l_1(p_1 - 1)}{l_i(p_i - 1)} > 1,$$

and therefore all n sufficiently large are in $S_{b,k}$.

Now consider the case $l_1(p_1 - 1) = l_i(p_i - 1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, s$. By [6, Lemma 3] there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that the set of nonnegative integers n satisfying

$$s_{p_i}(n) \leq s_{p_1}(n) - \delta_i \log n$$

has asymptotic density 1. For such n we obtain

$$\nu_{q_i}(n!) = \left\lfloor \frac{n - s_{p_i}(n)}{l_i(p_i - 1)} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n - s_{p_1}(n) + \delta_i \log n}{l_1(p_1 - 1)} \right\rfloor \geq \nu_{q_1}(n!) + \left\lfloor \frac{\delta_i \log n}{l_1(p_1 - 1)} \right\rfloor.$$

If we additionally take n sufficiently large, then $n \in S_{b,k}$. \square

When b is a prime power we put $S_{b,k} = \mathbb{N}$. Then the formula (5) is satisfied with $m = n!$ for any base $b \geq 2$ and all $n \in S_{b,k}$. We can further factorize the right side of (5) into simpler terms. It is easy to check that

$$\ell_{q_1,k}(m) = \ell_{p_1,l_1k}(m) p_1^{\nu_{p_1}(m) \bmod l_1} \bmod q_1^k.$$

If we additionally denote by s the multiplicative order of q modulo q_1^k , then the contribution of $\nu_{q_1}(m)$ to (5) depends only on its residue modulo s . Using these observations, we can rewrite (5) with $m = n!$ as

$$(10) \quad \ell_{b,k}(n!) = \ell_{p_1,l_1k}(n!) p_1^{\nu_{p_1}(n!) \bmod l_1} q^k t^{k + (\lfloor \nu_{p_1}(n!) / l_1 \rfloor \bmod s)} \bmod b^k,$$

whenever $n \in S_{b,k}$.

We will now consider the family of sequences

$$\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \{(\ell_{p,k}(n!), \nu_p(n!) \bmod u, n \bmod v)\}_{n \geq 0},$$

where p is a prime number, $k, u \geq 1$ are integers and v is a positive integer divisible by $\text{lcm}(p^{k-1}, u, 2)$. In the particular case $p = 2, k = 2$ we additionally require that v is divisible by 4. The motivation for this approach is that for $p = p_1, k' = l_1 k, u = l_1 s$ and $n \in S_{b,k}$ we can express $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$ by a coding of $\alpha_{p,k',u,v}(n)$, as seen in (10). The term $n \bmod v$ does not appear in the coding itself, however it plays a vital role in the recurrence relations describing the terms $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$. The advantage of considering general u and v , instead of immediately focusing on $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$, is that it allows to study this sequence along any arithmetic progression.

Below we briefly outline the structure of our reasoning. We first prove in Proposition 1 that the sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$, treated as an infinite word, is a fixed point of a p -uniform morphism. Next, in Proposition 2 we show that this morphism is primitive. Proposition 3 uses the method described in Section 3 to prove that each of the possible values of $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$ appears with equal frequency. Combined with the relation between $\alpha_{p,k',u,v}(n)$ and $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$, this finally leads to the results of Section 2.

We start with deriving recurrence relations for $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$. For a fixed integer $c \geq 2$ we will use the notation

$$n_c! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ \gcd(c,m)=1}} m & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

This function is sometimes called the *Gauss factorial* (see [2] for some of its interesting properties). We begin with an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$(p^k)_p! \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p^k} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } k \neq 2, \\ -1 \pmod{p^k} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof is straightforward and relies on the fact that the product of all elements in a finite abelian group is equal to the product of the elements of order two. The following lemma gives a recurrence relation satisfied by the terms $\ell_{p,k}(n!)$.

Lemma 4. *For all $n \geq 0$ and $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ we have*

$$(11) \quad \ell_{p,k}((pn+i)!) = \ell_{p,k}(n!)(pn+i)_p! \pmod{p^k}.$$

Proof. Obviously, (11) is true for $n = 0$ and $i = 0$. By induction on n we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{p,k}((p(n+1))!) &\equiv \ell_{p,k}((pn)!) \ell_{p,k}(pn+p) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \ell_{p,k}(pn+i) \\ &\equiv \ell_{p,k}(n!)(pn)_p! \ell_{p,k}(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (pn+i) \\ &\equiv \ell_{p,k}((n+1)!(p(n+1))_p!) \pmod{p^k}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof for $i = 0$. Since none of the numbers $pn+1, \dots, pn+p-1$ is divisible by p , the result for $i > 0$ follows. \square

We are now ready to show that the sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a fixed point of a p -uniform morphism. The terms $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$ take values in the alphabet

$$\Lambda_{p,k,u,v} = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+ \times \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\},$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$ denote the multiplicative group modulo p^k and the additive group modulo u , respectively. It is convenient to treat the first two coordinates of $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ as elements of a group, as will be seen in the proof of Lemma 7. Define a p -uniform morphism $\psi_{p,k,u,v} : \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}^*$ as follows:

$$\psi_{p,k,u,v}(x, y, z) = (x_0, y_0, z_0)(x_1, y_1, z_1) \cdots (x_{p-1}, y_{p-1}, z_{p-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x(pz+i)_p! \pmod{p^k}, \\ y_i &= y+z \pmod{u}, \\ z_i &= pz+i \pmod{v}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following:

Proposition 1. *The sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the fixed point of $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$ starting with $(1, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. Take any $n \geq 0$ and let

$$(x, y, z) = (\ell_{p,k}(n!), \nu_p(n!) \bmod u, n \bmod v).$$

We can write $n = mv + z$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Fix any $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$. By Lemmas 3 and 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{p,k}((pn + i)!) &\equiv \ell_{p,k}(n!)(pn + i)_p! \equiv x(pvm + pz + i)_p! \\ &\equiv x((p^k)_p!)^{mv/p^{k-1}}(pz + i)_p! \equiv x_i \pmod{p^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case $p = 2, k = 2$ we have to use the assumption that $4|v$ because $4_4! \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\nu_p((pn + i)!) = \nu_p((pn)!) = \frac{pn - s_p(pn)}{p - 1} = \nu_p(n!) + n,$$

and therefore $\nu_p((pn + i)!) \equiv y_i \pmod{u}$. Obviously, $pz + i \equiv z_i \pmod{v}$, which completes the proof. \square

Observe that if (x', y', z') appears on the i -th position in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}^m(x, y, z)$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, then $(x'x'', y' + y'', z')$ appears on the i -th position in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}^m(xx'', y + y'', z)$. We will use this property a number of times. Our aim is now to prove that each symbol from $\Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ appears in the sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with the same frequency. First, we give some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 5. *For any two symbols $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ denote*

$$(x, y, z)R(x', y', z')$$

if there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that (x', y', z') appears in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}^m(x, y, z)$. Then R is an equivalence relation on $\Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$.

Proof. The relation R is obviously transitive. We will now prove that it is symmetric. Fix any $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ such that $(x, y, z)R(x', y', z')$. For any integer $m \geq 0$ the third coordinate of $\psi_{p,k,u,v}^m(x', y', z')$ forms a finite sequence of p^m consecutive integers taken modulo v , so we have $(x', y', z')R(xx'', y + y'', z)$ for some $(x'', y'') \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$. If $(x'', y'') = (1, 0)$, then we are done. Otherwise, transitivity gives $(x, y, z)R(xx'', y + y'', z)$ and by using our earlier observation one can show inductively that $(xx'', y + y'', z)R(x(x'')^n, y + ny'', z)$ for all $n \geq 2$. If we choose n to be the order of (x'', y'') in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$, then by transitivity we get $(x', y', z')R(x, y, z)$. Hence, the relation R is an equivalence relation, since each element of $\Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ is in relation with at least one element. \square

In other words, Lemma 5 says that the automaton associated with $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$ is a disjoint union of its strongly connected components. To prove the primitivity of $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$, we need to show that all the elements of $\Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ are related through R . The following lemma displays a useful identity concerning the terms $\ell_{p,k}(n!)$.

Lemma 6. *For any integers $n, m \geq 0$ not divisible by p , and integers $s \geq 0, t \geq k + \lfloor \log_p m \rfloor$ we have*

$$(12) \quad \ell_{p,k}((p^{s+t}n - p^s m)!) \ell_{p,k}((p^s m)!) n \equiv (-1)^{pm-1} \ell_{p,k}((p^{s+t}n)!) m \pmod{p^k}.$$

Proof. We can write

$$(13) \quad \ell_{p,k}((p^{s+t}n)!) \equiv \ell_{p,k}((p^{s+t}n - p^s m)!) n \prod_{j=1}^{p^s m - 1} \ell_{p,k}(np^{s+t} - j) \pmod{p^k}.$$

The condition $t \geq k + \lfloor \log_p m \rfloor$ guarantees that for each $j = 1, \dots, p^s m - 1$ we have

$$\ell_{p,k}(np^{s+t} - j) \equiv -\ell_{p,k}(j) \pmod{p^k}.$$

Hence, multiplying both sides of (13) by m , we obtain the desired result. \square

In the following lemma we prove that $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$ takes on all the possible values.

Lemma 7. *Each symbol $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ appears in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}^\omega(1, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. Consider the set

$$H = \{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+ : (x, y, 0) \text{ appears in } \psi_{p,k,u,v}^\omega(1, 0, 0)\}.$$

By Proposition 1 we have

$$H = \{(\ell_{p,k}((vn)!), \nu_p((vn)! \bmod u) : n \geq 0\}.$$

First, we will show that H is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$. Obviously, $(1, 0) \in H$. Now if $(x, y), (x', y') \in H$ then $(1, 0, 0)R(x, y, 0)$ and $(1, 0, 0)R(x', y', 0)$. By a reasoning similar as in Lemma 5 we obtain $(x, y, 0)R(x^{-1}, -y, 0)$, and therefore $(1, 0, 0)R(x^{-1}, -y, 0)$. This means that $(x', y', 0)R(x'x^{-1}, y' - y, 0)$, thus $(x'x^{-1}, y' - y) \in H$.

The next step is to show that in fact $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$. To do this we will prove that a set of generators of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$ appears in H . First, denote by H_1 the projection of H on the first coordinate, which is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Consider the congruence (12) with $s = \nu_p(v), m = v/p^s, t \geq k + \lfloor \log_p m \rfloor$, and let n run over the set $\{xm : x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$. Then pm is even and (12) takes the form

$$(14) \quad -\ell_{p,k}((p^t xv - v)!) \ell_{p,k}(v!)x \equiv \ell_{p,k}((p^t xv)!) \pmod{p^k}.$$

We have $\ell_{p,k}((p^t xv - v)!), \ell_{p,k}(v!), \ell_{p,k}((p^t xv)!) \in H_1$, and therefore $p^k - x \in H_1$ as well. It follows that $H_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Now fix $x = 1$ in (14). By Lemmas 3 and 4 we get

$$\ell_{p,k}((p^t v)!) \equiv \ell_{p,k}(v!) \prod_{i=1}^t (p^i v)_p! \equiv \ell_{p,k}(v!) \pmod{p^k}.$$

Here we used the assumption that v is divisible by $\text{lcm}(p^{k-1}, 2)$. The congruence (14) further simplifies to the form

$$\ell_{p,k}((v(p^t - 1))!) \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$$

for any integer $t \geq k + \lfloor \log_p m \rfloor$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_p((v(p^{t+1} - 1))!) - \nu_p((v(p^t - 1))!) = \\ & \frac{p^t v(p-1) - s_p(m(p^{t+1} - 1)) + s_p(m(p^t - 1))}{p-1} = p^t v - 1, \end{aligned}$$

since for $t \geq 1 + \lfloor \log_p m \rfloor$ the base- p expansion of $mp^{t+1} - m$ has exactly one more digit (equal to $p-1$) than $mp^t - m$, with other digits unchanged. If we denote $y = \nu_p((v(p^t - 1))!)$, then it follows that $(p^k - 1, y), (p^k - 1, y-1) \in H$, and hence $(1, 1) \in H$. Combined with the earlier reasoning, this means that $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$, as H contains a set of generators of the latter group.

Now take any $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$. Directly from the definition of the morphism $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$, there exist some $(x', y') \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $(x', y', 0)R(x, y, z)$ and the result follows. \square

We are now ready to prove primitivity of $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$.

Proposition 2. *The morphism $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$ is primitive.*

Proof. By Lemma 7 we have $(1, 0, 0)R(x, y, z)$ for each $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$, and thus all the elements of $\Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ are related. In consequence, the incidence matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ associated with $\psi_{p,k,u,v}$ is *irreducible*, i.e., for each i, j there exists a positive integer n such that $m_{i,j}^{(n)} > 0$, where $M^n = [m_{i,j}^{(n)}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$. However, M has a nonzero diagonal element corresponding to $(1, 0, 0) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$, so by a well-known fact it is primitive. \square

Proposition 2 implies that the frequencies of all symbols $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ in the sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ are positive. In the following proposition we show that they are in fact all equal.

Proposition 3. *Each element $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ appears in $\{\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with frequency*

$$\frac{1}{p^{k-1}(p-1)uv}.$$

Equivalently, for any integers $c \geq 1$ and $0 \leq d \leq c-1$ each element $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$ appears in $\{\ell_{p,k}((cn+d)!), \nu_p((cn+d)!) \bmod u\}_{n \geq 0}$ with frequency

$$\frac{1}{p^{k-1}(p-1)u}.$$

Proof. By the discussion in Section 3 and Proposition 2 it suffices to prove that the matrix $\frac{1}{p}M$ is row-stochastic. In other words, we need to show that each fixed $(x', y', z') \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ appears exactly p times in the words $\psi_{p,k,u,v}(x, y, z)$ with $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$.

If (x', y', z') appears in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}(x, y, z)$, then $(x'x'', y' + y'', z')$ appears the same number of times in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}(xx'', y + y'', z)$ for any $(x'', y'') \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^+$. Therefore, for each fixed z' the number of occurrences of (x', y', z') in the words $\psi_{p,k,u,v}(x, y, z)$ with $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ does not depend on x' and y' .

Now we will show that it does not depend on z' either. The symbol (x', y', z') appears on the i -th position in $\psi_{p,k,u,v}(x, y, z)$ for some $(x, y, z) \in \Lambda_{p,k,u,v}$ if and only if the congruence

$$(15) \quad pz + i \equiv z' \pmod{v}.$$

is satisfied. Regardless of z' , the congruence (15) has exactly p solutions $(z, i) \in \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, which completes the proof. \square

According to Proposition 3, we could informally say that for a random integer $n \geq 0$ the three coordinates of $\alpha_{p,k,u,v}(n)$ behave like independent uniformly distributed random variables.

We will now proceed to prove the results of Section 2. As in the beginning of this section, write

$$b = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_r^{l_r}$$

and assume that the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. Until the end of this section let $q_1 = p_1^{l_1}$, $q = b/q_1$ and denote by t and s the multiplicative inverse and the order of q modulo q_1^k , respectively. We assign specific values to the parameters p, k', u, v of the sequence $\{\alpha_{p,k',u,v}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$. Put $u = l_1 s$ and

$$v = \begin{cases} \text{lcm}(p_1^{kl_1-1}, 2, u) & \text{if } b^k \neq 4, \\ 4 & \text{if either } b = 2, k = 2 \text{ or } b = 4, k = 1. \end{cases}$$

To appropriately describe $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{b,k} &= \Lambda_{p_1, l_1 k, u, v}, \\ \varphi_{b,k} &= \psi_{p_1, l_1 k, u, v}. \end{aligned}$$

The terms $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$ take values in the alphabet

$$\Delta_{b,k} = \{1 \leq a \leq b^k : b \nmid a\}.$$

We also define a coding $\tau_{b,k} : \Sigma_{b,k}^* \rightarrow \Delta_{b,k}^*$ in the following way:

$$\tau_{b,k}(x, y, z) = xp_1^{(y \bmod l_1)} q^k t^{k + (\lfloor y/l_1 \rfloor \bmod s)} \bmod b^k$$

and denote

$$\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \tau_{b,k}(\varphi_{b,k}(1, 0, 0)).$$

The terms $\beta_{b,k}(n)$ take values in the alphabet

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{b,k} = \{a \in \Delta_{b,k} : q^k | a\}.$$

The formula (10) shows that $\beta_{b,k}(n) = \ell_{b,k}(n!)$ for $n \in S_{b,k}$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. The sequence $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is p_1 -automatic, which immediately gives the desired result when b is a prime power. If b has multiple prime factors and $l_1(p_1 - 1) > l_2(p_2 - 1)$, then Lemma 2 guarantees that $\beta_{b,k}(n) = \ell_{b,k}(n!)$ for all but a finite number of nonnegative integers. However, an l -automatic sequence remains l -automatic after changing a finite number of terms. Non-automaticity of $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ in the case $l_1(p_1 - 1) = l_2(p_2 - 1)$ follows immediately from the result of Lipka [8], since $\ell_b(n!)$ is a coding of $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$. \square

In the case when $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is automatic, we use the description of $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ in order to express the former sequence as the image under a coding of a fixed point of a uniform morphism. Indeed, we can add finitely many extra letters to $\Sigma_{b,k}$ to handle the terms $\ell_{b,k}(n!) \neq \beta_{b,k}(n)$ and modify $\varphi_{b,k}$ and $\tau_{b,k}$ accordingly. In the following proof of Theorem 2 we rely on directly studying $\beta_{b,k}(n)$ instead of $\ell_{b,k}(n!)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. The frequency of each $a \in \Delta_{b,k}$ is the same in $\{\ell_{b,k}(n!)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$, as these sequences agree on a set of n of density 1, thus we can focus on the latter sequence. Obviously, if $a \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{b,k}$, then this frequency equals 0.

The value of $\tau_{b,k}(x, y, z)$ does not depend on z , so we can define an alphabet $\Sigma'_{b,k}$ as the first two components of $\Sigma_{b,k}$ and a coding $\tau'_{b,k}(x, y) : (\Sigma'_{b,k})^* \rightarrow \Delta_{b,k}^*$ by $\tau'_{b,k}(x, y) = \tau_{b,k}(x, y, z)$. In the case when $q^k | a$, the equality $\tau'_{b,k}(x, y) = a$ is equivalent to the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} y &\equiv \nu_{p_1}(a) \pmod{l_1}, \\ xt^{\lfloor y/l_1 \rfloor} p_1^{\nu_{p_1}(a)} &\equiv a \pmod{q_1^k}, \end{aligned}$$

which has exactly $sp_1^{\nu_{p_1}(a)}$ solutions $(x, y) \in \Sigma'_{b,k}$. The alphabet $\Sigma'_{b,k}$ has exactly $p_1^{l_1 k - 1} (p_1 - 1) l_1 s$ elements, therefore by Proposition 3 the symbol a appears in $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and its subsequences $\{\beta_{b,k}(cn + d)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with asymptotic frequency

$$f_{b,k}(a) = \frac{1}{(p_1 - 1) l_1} p_1^{\nu_{p_1}(a) - kl_1 + 1},$$

which ends the proof. \square

It is in fact possible to generate the sequence $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ using an alphabet smaller (in most cases) than $\Sigma_{b,k}$. Let

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k} = \tilde{\Delta}_{b,k} \times \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$$

and define a p_1 -uniform morphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{b,k} : \tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k}^*$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{b,k}(x, z) = (x_0, z_0)(x_1, z_1) \cdots (x_{p_1-1}, z_{p_1-1}),$$

where for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p_1 - 1$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= xp_1^{z \bmod l_1} t^{\lfloor z/l_1 \rfloor + \varepsilon(x, z)} \bmod s (p_1 z + j)_{p_1}! \bmod b^k, \\ z_j &= p_1 z + j \bmod v, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(x, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu_{p_1}(x) \bmod l_1 + z \bmod l_1 < l_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $l_1 = 1$, then x_j takes a simpler form

$$x_j = xt^{z \bmod s} (-1)^z j! \bmod b^k.$$

Let $\tilde{\tau}_{b,k} : \tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{b,k}^*$ be a coding defined by $\tilde{\tau}_{b,k}(x, y) = x$. The following proposition shows that the sequence $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ can also be described in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}_{b,k}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{b,k}$.

Proposition 4. *We have $\{\beta_{b,k}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \tilde{\tau}_{b,k}(\tilde{\varphi}_{b,k}^\omega(q^k t^k, 0))$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{b,k}^\omega(q^k t^k, 0) = \{(\beta_{b,k}(n), n \bmod v)\}_{n \geq 0}.$$

By definition $\beta_{b,k}(0) = q^k t^k$. Let $n \geq 0$ and denote $(x, z) = (\beta_{b,k}(n), n \bmod v)$. Using the recurrence relations in Lemma 4 and Proposition 1, we get for each for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p_1 - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{b,k}(p_1 n + j) &\equiv \ell_{p_1, l_1 k}((p_1 n + j)!) p_1^{\nu_{p_1}((p_1 n + j)!) \bmod l_1} q^k t^{k + (\lfloor \nu_{p_1}((p_1 n + j)!) / l_1 \rfloor \bmod s)} \\ &\equiv \ell_{p_1, l_1 k}(n!) (p_1 n + j)_{p_1}! p_1^{(\nu_{p_1}(n!) + n) \bmod l_1} q^k t^{k + (\lfloor (\nu_{p_1}(n!) + n) / l_1 \rfloor \bmod s)} \\ &\equiv \beta_{b,k}(n) (p_1 z + j)_p! p_1^z t^{\lfloor z / l_1 \rfloor + \varepsilon(x, z) \bmod s} \\ &\equiv x_j \pmod{b^k}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows immediately. \square

Observe that

$$|\tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k}| = q_1^{k-1} (q_1 - 1) v \leq q_1^{k-1} (q_1 - q_1 / p_1) uv = |\Sigma_{b,k}|$$

with equality if and only if $u = 1$ or, equivalently, $l_1 = s = 1$. In other words, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{b,k}$ contains roughly u times less elements than $\Sigma_{b,k}$.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Jakub Byszewski for careful proofreading of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit, *Automatic sequences: Theory, applications, generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
2. John B. Cosgrave and Karl Dilcher, *An introduction to Gauss factorials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 9, 812–829.
3. Jean-Marc Deshouillers, *A footnote to the least non zero digit of $n!$ in base 12*, Unif. Distrib. Theory **7** (2012), no. 1, 71–73.
4. ———, *Yet another footnote to the least non zero digit of $n!$ in base 12*, Unif. Distrib. Theory **11** (2016), no. 2, 163–167.
5. Jean-Marc Deshouillers and Florian Luca, *How often is $n!$ a sum of three squares?*, The legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the mathematical sciences, Springer, New York, 2010, pp. 243–251.
6. Jean-Marc Deshouillers and Imre Z. Ruzsa, *The least nonzero digit of $n!$ in base 12*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **79** (2011), no. 3-4, 395–400.
7. Gregory P. Dresden, *Three transcendental numbers from the last non-zero digits of n^n , F_n , and $n!$* , Math. Mag. **81** (2008), no. 2, 96–105.
8. Eryk Lipka, *Automaticity of the sequence of the last nonzero digits of $n!$ in a fixed base*, arXiv:1806.02560v1 (2018).

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: bartosz.sobolewski@doctoral.uj.edu.pl