

CARLESON MEASURES AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON SMALL BERGMAN SPACES ON THE BALL

VAN AN LE

ABSTRACT. We study the Carleson measures and the Toeplitz operators on the class of so-called small weighted Bergman spaces, introduced recently by Seip. A characterization of Carleson measures is obtained which extends Seip's results from the unit disc of \mathbb{C} to the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . We use this characterization to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators. Finally, we study the Schatten p classes membership of Toeplitz operators for $1 < p < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{C}^n denote the n -dimensional complex Euclidean space, $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit ball and $\mathbb{S}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n . Let dv be the normalized volume measure on \mathbb{B}_n . The normalized surface measure on \mathbb{S}_n will be denoted by $d\sigma$.

Let ρ be a positive continuous and integrable function on $[0, 1)$. We extend it to \mathbb{B}_n by $\rho(z) = \rho(|z|)$, and call such ρ a weight function. The weighted Bergman space A_ρ^2 is the space of functions f in $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_\rho^2 = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 \rho(z) dv(z) < \infty.$$

Note that A_ρ^2 is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \rho dv)$ and hence is a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\rho = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \rho(z) dv(z), \quad f, g \in A_\rho^2.$$

When $\rho(r) = (1 - r^2)^\alpha$, $\alpha > -1$, we obtain the standard Bergman spaces A_α^2 .

We impose a normalization condition on ρ :

$$\int_0^1 x^{2n-1} \rho(x) dx = 1.$$

Consider the points $r_k \in [0, 1)$ determined by the relation

$$\int_{r_k}^1 \rho(x) dx = 2^{-k}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H20; 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Bergman spaces, Carleson measures, Toeplitz operators, Schatten classes.

Denote by S the class of weights ρ such that

$$\inf_k \frac{1 - r_k}{1 - r_{k+1}} > 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Since the function $r \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi)$ is non-decreasing, we also have the equivalent norm

$$\|f\|_\rho^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_k \xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi), \quad f \in A_\rho^2. \quad (1.2)$$

The class S was introduced by Kristian Seip in [13]. It is easy to see that the functions

$$\rho(x) = (1 - x)^{-\beta}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1,$$

and

$$\rho(x) = (1 - x)^{-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - x} \right)^{-\alpha}, \quad 1 < \alpha < \infty,$$

belong to S .

In this paper we prove a characterization of Carleson measure for weighted Bergman spaces A_ρ^2 , with $\rho \in S$. This result is then used to study spectral properties of Toeplitz operators on these spaces.

Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . We say that μ is a Carleson measure for a Hilbert space X of analytic functions in \mathbb{B}_n if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_X^2, \quad f \in X.$$

It is clear that μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 if and only if $A_\rho^2 \subset L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu)$ and the identity operator $\text{Id} : A_\rho^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu)$ is bounded. The Carleson constant of μ , denoted by $C_\mu(A_\rho^2)$, is the norm of this identity operator Id . Suppose that μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 . We say that μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 if the above identity operator Id is compact. That is,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = 0$$

whenever $\{f_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in A_ρ^2 which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B}_n .

The concept of a Carleson measure was first introduced by L. Carleson [2, 3] in order to study interpolating sequences and the corona problem on the algebra H^∞ of all bounded analytic functions on the unit disk. It quickly became a powerful tool for the study of function spaces and operators acting on them. The Carleson measures on Bergman spaces were studied by Hastings [4], and later on by Luecking [6], and many others. Recently, Pau and Zhao [8] gave a characterization for Carleson measures and vanishing Carleson measures on the unit ball by using the products of functions in weighted Bergman spaces. In [9], Peláez and Rättyä gave a description of Carleson measures for A_ρ^2 on unit disk when ρ is such that $\frac{1}{(1-r)\rho(r)} \int_r^1 \rho(t) dt$ is either equivalent to 1 or tends to ∞ , and in [10] they then got a criterion for A_ρ^2 on unit disk when $\rho \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, which means $\int_r^1 \rho(s) ds \lesssim \int_{\frac{r+1}{2}}^1 \rho(s) ds$.

In [13], Seip gave a characterization of Carleson measures for A_ρ^2 with $\rho \in S$ in the case $n = 1$. One of our main results, Theorem 2.1, extends this result to the case $n > 1$.

Given a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the Toeplitz operator T_φ on A_ρ^2 with symbol φ is defined by

$$T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f), \quad f \in A_\rho^2,$$

where $P : L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \rho dv) \rightarrow A_\rho^2$ is the orthogonal projection onto A_ρ^2 . Using the integral representation of P , we can write T_φ as

$$T_\varphi f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K_\rho(z, w) f(w) \varphi(w) \rho(w) dv(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where $K_\rho(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for A_ρ^2 . The Toeplitz operators can also be defined for unbounded symbols or for finite measures on \mathbb{B}_n . In fact, given a finite positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n , the Toeplitz operator $T_\mu : A_\rho^2 \rightarrow A_\rho^2$ is defined as follows:

$$T_\mu f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K_\rho(z, w) f(w) d\mu(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Note that

$$\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\rho = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu(z), \quad f, g \in A_\rho^2.$$

The Toeplitz operators acting on various spaces of holomorphic functions have been extensively studied by many authors, and the theory is especially well understood in the case of Hardy spaces or standard Bergman spaces (see [14], [15] and the references therein). Luecking [7] was the first to study Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces with measures as symbols, and some interesting results about Toeplitz acting on large Bergman spaces were obtained by Lin and Rochberg [5]. In this paper, we will study the boundedness and compactness of T_μ on A_ρ^2 , with $\rho \in S$.

Next we study when our Toeplitz operators belong to the Schatten class. We refer to [15, Chapter 1] for a brief account on the Schatten classes. A description for the standard Bergman spaces on the unit disk was given (see [15, Chapter 7]), and a description for the case of large Bergman spaces on the disk was obtained in 2015 by H. Arroussi, I. Park, and J. Pau [1]. In 2016, Peláez and Rättyä [11] gave an interesting characterization for the case of small Bergman spaces on unit disk, where the weight $\rho \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Note that $S \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, but $\{A_\rho^2 : \rho \in S\} = \{A_\rho^2 : \rho \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}\}$.

We introduce a subclass S^* of weights in S determined by the condition that $\rho^*(r) \lesssim \rho(r)$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, where

$$\rho^*(r) = \frac{1}{1-r} \int_r^1 \rho(t) dt.$$

For example, the weights

$$\rho(x) = (1-x)^{-\beta} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^\alpha, \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

belong to S^* , but the weights

$$\rho(x) = (1-x)^{-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^\alpha, \quad \alpha < -1,$$

$$\rho(x) = (1-x)^{-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^{-1} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^\alpha, \quad \alpha < -1,$$

do not belong to S^* .

For weights ρ in S^* , we obtain a characterization of the symbols of the Toeplitz operators in the Schatten classes \mathcal{S}_p . In [12], Peláez, Rättyä and Sierra gave a characterization for the case of dimension $n = 1$ when the weight is regular, that is $\rho^*(r) \asymp \rho(r)$. As an easy observation, our result is equivalent to their result when $n = 1$. We point out that our approach is completely different from that of [12], which does not seem to work in higher dimensions. On the other hand, for regular weights ρ in $S \setminus S^*$, this characterization fails. A counterexample was given in [12].

The paper is organized as follows: The main results are stated in Section 2 and their proofs are given in Section 3–5.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this text, we use the following notation. For every nonnegative integer k , set

$$\Omega_k = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : r_k \leq |z| < r_{k+1}\},$$

and let μ_k be the measure defined by $\mu_k = \chi_{\Omega_k} \mu$ whenever a nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n is given. The notation $U(z) \lesssim V(z)$ (or equivalently $V(z) \gtrsim U(z)$) means that there is a positive constant C such that $U(z) \leq CV(z)$ holds for all z in the set in question, which may be a space of functions or a set of numbers. If both $U(z) \lesssim V(z)$ and $V(z) \lesssim U(z)$, then we write $U(z) \asymp V(z)$.

Our results are following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\rho \in S$, and let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then*

- (i) μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 if and only if each μ_k is a Carleson measure for the Hardy space H^2 with Carleson constant $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) \lesssim 2^{-k}$, $k \geq 0$.
- (ii) μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.1 (i) for the case $n = 1$ was obtained by Seip in [13].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\rho \in S$, and let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then*

- (i) The Toeplitz operator T_μ is bounded on A_ρ^2 if and only if μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 .
- (ii) The Toeplitz operator T_μ is compact on A_ρ^2 if and only if μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 .

Given $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, we consider the Bergman metric ball

$$E(z, \alpha) = \{w \in \mathbb{B}_n : \beta(z, w) < \alpha\},$$

where $\beta(z, w)$ is the Bergman metric given by

$$\beta(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi_z(w)|}{1 - |\varphi_z(w)|}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Here, φ_z is the Möbius transformation on \mathbb{B}_n that interchanges 0 and z .

We know that $E(0, \alpha)$ is actually a Euclidean ball of radius $R = \tanh \alpha$, centered at the origin, and

$$E(z, \alpha) = \varphi_z(E(0, \alpha)).$$

Moreover, for fixed α , $v(E(z, \alpha)) \asymp (1 - |z|)^{n+1}$. See [14, Chapter 1] for more details.

For a measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n and $\alpha > 0$, we define the function $\widehat{\mu}_\alpha$ by

$$\widehat{\mu}_\alpha(z) = \frac{2^k \mu(E(z, \alpha))}{(1 - |z|)^n}, \quad z \in \Omega_k.$$

Denote by \widetilde{T}_μ the Berezin transform of T_μ , and set

$$d\lambda_\rho(z) = \frac{2^k \rho(z) dv(z)}{(1 - |z|)^n}, \quad z \in \Omega_k.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let ρ be in S^* , μ be a finite positive Borel measure and $1 < p < \infty$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The Toeplitz operator T_μ is in the Schatten class \mathcal{S}_p .*
- (b) *The function \widetilde{T}_μ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$.*
- (c) *The function $\widehat{\mu}_\alpha$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$ for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Given $a \in \mathbb{B}_n \setminus \{0\}$ and $r > 0$. Let $\delta(a) = \sqrt{2(1 - |a|)}$. Define $Q(a, r) \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ and $O(a, r) \subset \mathbb{S}_n$ as follows:

$$Q(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \sqrt{|1 - \langle a/|a|, z \rangle|} < r\},$$

$$O(a, r) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n : \sqrt{|1 - \langle a/|a|, \zeta \rangle|} < r\}.$$

For simplicity of notation, we write Q_a instead of $Q(a, \delta(a))$, O_a instead of $O(a, \delta(a))$.

We recall a well known characterization of Carleson measures for the Hardy space (see [14]): *A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n is a Carleson measure for H^2 if and only if $\mu(Q_a) \lesssim (1 - |a|)^n$ for all $a \in \mathbb{B}_n \setminus \{0\}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}_\mu(H^2) \asymp \sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}_n \setminus \{0\}} \mu(Q_a)(1 - |a|)^{-n}$.*

We use the following covering lemma from [14, Lemma 4.7].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose N is a natural number, $a_l \in \mathbb{B}_n \setminus \{0\}$, $1 \leq l \leq N$,*

$$E = \bigcup_{l=1}^N O_{a_l}.$$

There exists a subsequence $\{l_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq M$, such that

- (a) *$O_{a_{l_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq M$, are disjoint.*

(b) $O(a_i, 3\delta(a_i)), 1 \leq i \leq M$, cover E .

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be a finite positive measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then μ_k is a Carleson measure for H^2 if and only if $\mu_k(Q_a) \lesssim (1 - |a|)^n$ for all $a \in \Omega_k$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) \asymp \sup_{a \in \Omega_k} (1 - |a|)^{-n} \mu_k(Q_a)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{B}_n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $a \in \Omega_l$ for some $l \geq 1$. If $l > k$, then $\mu_k(Q_a) = 0$ and there is nothing to prove. When $a \in \Omega_l, l \leq k$, we can cover $Q_a \setminus r_k \mathbb{B}_n$ by a finite family Λ of Q_{a_l} with $a_l \in \Omega_{k-1}$. Denote by Λ_0 the sub-family of Λ obtained by Lemma 3.1. Then

$$\mu_k(Q_a) = \mu_k(Q_a \setminus r_k \mathbb{B}_n) \leq \sum_{l \in \Lambda_0} \mu_k(Q(a_l, 3\delta(a_l))).$$

Since $a_l \in \Omega_{k-1}$, we have $\mu_k(Q(a_l, 3\delta(a_l))) \lesssim (1 - |a_l|)^n \asymp \sigma(O_{a_l})$. Hence

$$\mu_k(Q_a) \lesssim \sum_{l \in \Lambda_0} \sigma(O_{a_l}) = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{l \in \Lambda_0} O_{a_l}\right).$$

Finally,

$$\sigma\left(\bigcup_{l \in \Lambda_0} O_{a_l}\right) \lesssim \sigma(O_a) \asymp (1 - |a|)^n.$$

Therefore $\mu_k(Q_a) \lesssim (1 - |a|)^n$. This completes the proof. \square

3.1. Proof of Part (i). (\Leftarrow) Since μ_k are Carleson measures for H^2 with Carleson constants $\lesssim 2^{-k}$, the same holds for H^2 on the smaller ball $r_{k+2} \mathbb{B}_n$. This means that

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi)$$

for an arbitrary function f in A_ρ^2 and for all k . Summing this estimate over all $k \geq 1$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \asymp \|f\|_\rho^2.$$

(\Rightarrow) We just need to check that $\mu_k(Q_a) \lesssim 2^{-k}(1 - |a|)^n$ when a is in $\Omega_k, k \geq 0$. We use the test function

$$f_a(z) = (1 - \langle a, z \rangle)^{-\gamma} \tag{3.1}$$

with large γ . By (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_a\|_\rho^2 &\asymp \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle a, r_j \xi \rangle|^{2\gamma}} d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\asymp \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-j}}{(1 - r_j |a|)^{2\gamma-n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \in \Omega_k$, by Property (1.1) we obtain

$$\|f_a\|_\rho^2 \asymp 2^{-k}(1 - |a|)^{-2\gamma+n}. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, for every z in Q_a we have

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \langle a, z \rangle| &= |(1 - |a|) + |a|(1 - \langle a/|a|, z \rangle)| \\ &\leq (1 - |a|) + |a||1 - \langle a/|a|, z \rangle| \\ &< (1 - |a|) + 2|a|(1 - |a|) \\ &\leq 3(1 - |a|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|f_a(z)| \gtrsim (1 - |a|)^{-\gamma}, \quad z \in Q_a. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_a(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \gtrsim (1 - |a|)^{-2\gamma} \mu(Q_a \cap \Omega_k).$$

Since μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 , we get

$$\mu(Q_a \cap \Omega_k) \lesssim 2^{-k} (1 - |a|)^n.$$

This implies that μ_k is a Carleson measure for Hardy space H^2 with Carleson constant $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) \lesssim 2^{-k}$. \square

3.2. Proof of Part (ii). Suppose that μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 . Given a in Ω_k , consider the function f_a defined by (3.1). By (3.2), $\|f_a\|_\rho^2 \asymp 2^{-k} (1 - |a|)^{-2\gamma+n}$. Set

$$h_a(z) = \frac{(1 - \langle a, z \rangle)^{-\gamma}}{2^{-k/2} (1 - |a|)^{-\gamma+n/2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then $\|h_a\|_\rho^2 \asymp 1$ and by (3.3),

$$|h_a(z)|^2 \gtrsim \frac{2^k}{(1 - |a|)^n}, \quad z \in Q_a.$$

Since μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 and h_a tends to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of the unit ball as $|a| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_a(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = 0.$$

Thus, $\sup_{a \in \Omega_k} \frac{2^k \mu_k(Q_a \cap \Omega_k)}{(1 - |a|)^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) = 0$.

Conversely, let $\mu^r = \mu|_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{r\mathbb{B}_n}}$, where $r\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |z| < r\}$. Then part (i) of Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)|^2 d\mu^r(z) \leq C_r \|h\|_\rho^2, \quad h \in A_\rho^2,$$

where

$$C_r = \sup_{k:r_k > r} \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2), \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} C_r = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in A_ρ^2 converging uniformly to 0 on compact subsets of \mathbb{B}_n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (3.5), there exists $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $C_r < \varepsilon$ for all $r \geq r_0$. Moreover, by the uniform convergence on compact subsets,

we may choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_k(z)|^2 < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$ and $z \in \overline{r_0\mathbb{B}_n}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) &= \int_{\overline{r_0\mathbb{B}_n}} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{r_0\mathbb{B}_n}} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &< \varepsilon \mu(\overline{r_0\mathbb{B}_n}) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu^{r_0}(z) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \mu(\overline{r_0\mathbb{B}_n}) + C_{r_0} \|f_k\|_\rho^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon C, \quad k \geq k_0, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C . Hence, μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 . \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

4.1. Proof of Part (i). (\implies) Given a in Ω_k , we define h_a by (3.4). Then

$$\|h_a\|_\rho^2 \asymp 1 \text{ and } |h_a(z)|^2 \gtrsim 2^k (1 - |a|)^{-n}, \quad z \in Q_a.$$

Consider the function

$$\widetilde{T}_\mu(a) = \langle T_\mu h_a, h_a \rangle_\rho = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_a|^2 d\mu(z). \quad (4.1)$$

Since T_μ is bounded, $A := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{T}_\mu(a) < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_a(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_a(z)|^2 d\mu_k(z) \\ &\geq \int_{Q_a} |h_a(z)|^2 d\mu_k(z) \gtrsim 2^k (1 - |a|)^{-n} \mu_k(Q_a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, $\mu_k(Q_a) \lesssim 2^{-k} (1 - |a|)^n$ for every $a \in \Omega_k$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2, μ is Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 .

(\impliedby) For every $f, g \in A_\rho^2$ we have

$$\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\rho = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu(z).$$

Then by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\rho| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| |g(z)| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 , there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_\rho^2,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|g\|_\rho^2.$$

Hence,

$$|\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\rho| \leq C \|f\|_\rho \|g\|_\rho \quad \text{for all } f, g \in A_\rho^2.$$

Thus, T_μ is bounded on A_ρ^2 . \square

4.2. Proof of part (ii). We need the following auxiliary results.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $f \in A_\rho^2$ with $\rho \in S$. Then*

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq \frac{C 2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \|f\|_\rho^2, \quad z \in \Omega_k, k \geq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of k and z .

Proof. Let $z \in \Omega_k$. Applying [14, Corollary 4.5] to the function $g(z) = f(r_{k+2}z)$ at the point $\frac{z}{r_{k+2}}$, we obtain

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\zeta)|^2 \frac{(1-|z/r_{k+2}|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z/r_{k+2}, \zeta \rangle|^{2n}} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

By (1.1), $|1-\langle z/r_{k+2}, \zeta \rangle| \geq 1-|\langle z/r_{k+2}, \zeta \rangle| \geq 1-\frac{|z||\zeta|}{r_{k+2}} = 1-|z|/r_{k+2} \gtrsim 1-|z|$ for $z \in \Omega_k, \zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\zeta)|^2 \frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{(1-|z|)^{2n}} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq \frac{(1+|z|)^n}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{k+2}\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r_{j+2}\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \|f\|_\rho^2, \end{aligned}$$

with constants independent of k and z . \square

Corollary 4.2. *A sequence of functions $\{f_k\} \subset A_\rho^2$ converges to 0 weakly in A_ρ^2 if and only if it is bounded in A_ρ^2 and converges to 0 uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{B}_n .*

Proof of part (ii) of Theorem 2.2. Suppose that T_μ is compact on A_ρ^2 . We define $h_a, a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ by (3.4) and \widetilde{T}_μ by (4.1). Then $\|h_a\|_\rho^2 \asymp 1$ and h_a converges uniformly to 0 on compact subsets of \mathbb{B}_n as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. Since T_μ is compact, $\widetilde{T}_\mu(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. By (4.2) this implies that

$$\sup_{a \in \Omega_k} \frac{2^k \mu_k(Q_a)}{(1-|a|)^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k}(H^2) = 0.$$

By part (ii) of Theorem 2.1, μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 .

Conversely, assume that μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 . For every $h \in A_\rho^2$ we have

$$\|T_\mu h\|_\rho = \sup_{\substack{g \in A_\rho^2 \\ \|g\|_\rho \leq 1}} |\langle T_\mu h, g \rangle_\rho|.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T_\mu h, g \rangle_\rho| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} h(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu(z) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)| |g(z)| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that μ is a Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 . Therefore,

$$\|T_\mu h\|_\rho \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2}, \quad h \in A_\rho^2.$$

Now, let $\{f_k\} \subset A_\rho^2$ be bounded and converge uniformly to 0 on compact subsets of \mathbb{B}_n . Since μ is a vanishing Carleson measure for A_ρ^2 ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = 0.$$

It follows that $\|T_\mu f_k\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$ and hence T_μ is compact. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

Proposition 5.1. *Let $K_\rho(z, w)$ be the reproducing kernel of A_ρ^2 .*

(a) *Let $k \geq 1$, $z \in \Omega_k$. Then*

$$K_\rho(z, z) \asymp \frac{2^k}{(1 - |z|)^n}. \quad (5.1)$$

(b) *There exists $\alpha = \alpha(\rho) > 0$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$,*

$$|K_\rho(z, w)|^2 \asymp K_\rho(z, z) K_\rho(w, w) \quad (5.2)$$

whenever $w \in E(z, \alpha)$.

Proof. (a) Fix $k \geq 1$. Given $z \in \Omega_k$, let L_z be the point evaluation at z on A_ρ^2 . It is well known that

$$K_\rho(z, z) = \|L_z\|^2.$$

By Proposition 4.1,

$$\|L_z\|^2 \lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1 - |z|)^n}.$$

Furthermore, choosing h_z by (3.4), we have $\|h_z\|_\rho \asymp 1$ and

$$|h_z(z)|^2 \gtrsim \frac{2^k}{(1 - |z|)^n}.$$

Hence,

$$\|L_z\|^2 \gtrsim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n}.$$

Thus

$$K_\rho(z, z) \asymp \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n}, \quad z \in \Omega_k.$$

(b) It is well known that

$$|K_\rho(z, w)|^2 \leq K_\rho(z, z)K_\rho(w, w)$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. For any fixed $z_0 \in \Omega_k$, consider the subspace $A_\rho^2(z_0)$ defined as

$$A_\rho^2(z_0) = \{f \in A_\rho^2 : f(z_0) = 0\}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{L}_{z_0} the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the function

$$k_{\rho, z_0}(z) = \frac{K_\rho(z, z_0)}{\sqrt{K_\rho(z_0, z_0)}}.$$

Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$A_\rho^2 = A_\rho^2(z_0) \oplus \mathcal{L}_{z_0}.$$

Hence $K_\rho(z, w) = K_{\rho, z_0}(z, w) + \overline{k_{\rho, z_0}(w)}k_{\rho, z_0}(z)$, where K_{ρ, z_0} is the reproducing kernel of $A_\rho^2(z_0)$. Therefore,

$$K_\rho(z_0, w) = \overline{k_{\rho, z_0}(w)}k_{\rho, z_0}(z_0)$$

and

$$K_\rho(w, w) = K_{\rho, z_0}(w, w) + |k_{\rho, z_0}(w)|^2. \quad (5.3)$$

We are going to prove that there exist $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$K_{\rho, z_0}(w, w) < \frac{1}{2}K_\rho(w, w), \quad w \in E(z_0, \alpha). \quad (5.4)$$

By (1.1), there exists $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that $E(z_0, \alpha) \subset \Omega_{k-1} \cup \Omega_k \cup \Omega_{k+1}$, $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$. Hence, for every $f \in A_\rho^2(z_0)$ such that $\|f\|_\rho = 1$, by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$|f(w)|^2 \lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|w|)^n} \asymp \frac{2^k}{(1-|z_0|)^n} \quad (5.5)$$

whenever $w \in E(z_0, \alpha)$. Since $E(z_0, \alpha) = \varphi_{z_0}(E(0, \alpha))$, we can rewrite (5.5) as

$$|f(\varphi_{z_0}(\eta))|^2 \lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z_0|)^n} \quad (5.6)$$

whenever $\eta \in E(0, \alpha)$. Note that $f(z_0) = f(\varphi_{z_0}(0)) = 0$. Therefore, by the Schwarz lemma, we get

$$|f(\varphi_{z_0}(\eta))|^2 \lesssim |\eta|^2 \frac{2^k}{(1-|z_0|)^n} \asymp |\eta|^2 \frac{2^k}{(1-|\varphi_{z_0}(\eta)|)^n}$$

whenever $\eta \in E(0, \alpha)$. This implies that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(\varphi_{z_0}(\eta))|^2 \leq C|\eta|^2 \frac{2^k}{(1-|\varphi_{z_0}(\eta)|)^n}, \quad \eta \in E(0, \alpha).$$

Therefore, we can choose α so small that

$$|f(\varphi_{z_0}(\eta))|^2 < \frac{1}{2}K_\rho(\varphi_{z_0}(\eta), \varphi_{z_0}(\eta)), \quad \eta \in E(0, \alpha).$$

This proves (5.4).

Now, from (5.3) and (5.4), we obtain that $|k_{\rho, z_0}(w)|^2 > \frac{1}{2}K_\rho(w, w)$ whenever $w \in E(z_0, \alpha)$. This means that

$$|K_\rho(w, z_0)|^2 > \frac{1}{2}K_\rho(z_0, z_0)K_\rho(w, w)$$

whenever $w \in E(z_0, \alpha)$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let T be a positive operator on A_ρ^2 , and let \tilde{T} be the Berezin transform of T , defined by*

$$\tilde{T}(z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle_\rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

- (a) *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. If $\tilde{T} \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$, then T is in \mathcal{S}_p .*
- (b) *Let $p \geq 1$. If T is in \mathcal{S}_p , then $\tilde{T} \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$.*

Here, $d\lambda_\rho(z) = \frac{2^k \rho(z) dv(z)}{(1 - |z|)^n}$ if $z \in \Omega_k$.

Proof. Note that $d\lambda_\rho(z) \asymp K(z, z)\rho(z)dv(z) = \|K_z\|^2 \rho(z)dv(z)$.

The proof is similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 4.2]. The positive operator T is in \mathcal{S}_p if and only if T^p is in the trace class \mathcal{S}_1 . Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}$ of A_ρ^2 . Since T^p is positive, it is in \mathcal{S}_1 if and only if $\sum_k \langle T^p e_k, e_k \rangle_\rho < \infty$. Let $U = \sqrt{T^p}$. By Fubini's theorem, the reproducing property of K_z , and Parseval's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \langle T^p e_k, e_k \rangle_\rho &= \sum_k \|U e_k\|_\rho^2 = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |U e_k(z)|^2 \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_k |U e_k(z)|^2 \right) \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_k |\langle U e_k, K_z \rangle_\rho|^2 \right) \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_k |\langle e_k, U K_z \rangle_\rho|^2 \right) \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|U K_z\|_\rho^2 \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle T^p K_z, K_z \rangle_\rho \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle T^p k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho \|K_z\|_\rho^2 \rho(z) dv(z) \\ &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \langle T^p k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho d\lambda_\rho(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, both (a) and (b) are the consequences of the well known inequalities (see [15, Proposition 1.31])

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^p k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho &\leq \langle T k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho^p = (\widetilde{T}(z))^p, & 0 < p \leq 1, \\ \langle T^p k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho &\geq \langle T k_z, k_z \rangle_\rho^p = (\widetilde{T}(z))^p, & p \geq 1.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\rho \in S^*$ and $z \in \Omega_k$. Then there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ we have*

$$|f(z)|^2 \lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 \rho(w) dv(w)$$

for all $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$.

Proof. Let $z \in \Omega_k$. For each $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, by the subharmonicity of the function $w \mapsto |f(w)|^2$ and the estimate $v(E(z, \alpha)) \asymp (1-|z|)^{n+1}$, we have

$$|f(z)|^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{n+1}} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 dv(w).$$

It is easy to see that $1-|z| \asymp 1-|w|$ for $w \in E(z, \alpha)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}|f(z)|^2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 \frac{1}{1-|w|} dv(w) \\ &= \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 \frac{2^{-k}}{1-|w|} dv(w).\end{aligned}\quad (5.7)$$

By (1.1), for small α_0 we have $E(z, \alpha_0) \subset \Omega_{k-1} \cup \Omega_k \cup \Omega_{k+1}$. Therefore, for every $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$, we have $r_{k-1} < |w| < r_{k+2}$ for $w \in E(z, \alpha)$. Since $\int_{r_{k+2}}^1 \rho(t) dt = 2^{-k-2}$, we obtain $2^{-k} \lesssim \int_{|w|}^1 \rho(t) dt$ for every $w \in E(z, \alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$. Plugging this into (5.7) and using that $\rho^*(w) \lesssim \rho(w)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|f(z)|^2 &\lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 \rho^*(w) dv(w) \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |f(w)|^2 \rho(w) dv(w).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (a) \Rightarrow (b). This follows from Lemma 5.2 (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c). By Proposition 5.1 (b), for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$|K_z(w)|^2 \asymp \|K_z\|_\rho^2 \|K_w\|_\rho^2, \quad w \in E(z, \alpha), z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Then by Proposition 5.1 (a), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{T}_\mu(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |k_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w) = \|K_z\|_\rho^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |K_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \\ &\geq \|K_z\|_\rho^{-2} \int_{E(z, \alpha)} |K_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \\ &\asymp \int_{E(z, \alpha)} \|K_w\|_\rho^2 d\mu(w) \asymp \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(z).\end{aligned}$$

Since \widetilde{T}_μ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$, $\widehat{\mu}_\alpha$ is also in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda_\rho)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). For every orthonormal basis $\{e_l\}$ of A_ρ^2 , we have

$$\sum_l \langle T_\mu e_l, e_l \rangle_\rho^p = \sum_l \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^p. \quad (5.8)$$

By Lemma 5.3,

$$|e_l(z)|^2 \lesssim \frac{2^k}{(1-|z|)^n} \int_{E(z,\alpha)} |e_l(w)|^2 \rho(w) dv(w), \quad z \in \Omega_k.$$

By Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(z)|^2 d\mu(z) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(w)|^2 \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w) \rho(w) dv(w) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(w)|^2 \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w)^p \rho(w) dv(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(w)|^2 \rho(w) dv(w) \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |e_l(w)|^2 \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w)^p \rho(w) dv(w) \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Thus, (5.8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \langle T_\mu e_l, e_l \rangle_\rho^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_l |e_l(w)|^2 \right) \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w)^p \rho(w) dv(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K_w\|_\rho^2 \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w)^p \rho(w) dv(w) \\ &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \widehat{\mu}_\alpha(w)^p d\lambda_\rho(w) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a). \square

Remark 5.4. Let $1 < p < \infty$. In the case of large weighted Bergman spaces, Arroussi, Park and Pau proved in [1, Theorem 4.6] that

$$T_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_p \iff \widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{\mu(B(z, \varepsilon))}{(1-|z|)^{2n}} \text{ is in the corresponding weighted } L^p,$$

where $B(z, \varepsilon)$ is the Euclidean ball with center z and radius $\varepsilon(1-|z|)$. When the dimension $n = 1$, we can see that $\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon$ is in L^p if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_\varepsilon$ is in L^p . However, for $n > 1$, this equivalence is not true anymore.

Let us verify this. Choose $z_k \in \mathbb{B}_n$ such that $|z_k|$ tend to 1 sufficiently rapidly as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consider

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{B(z_k, \varepsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{B(z_k, 3\varepsilon)},$$

where $c_k > 0$ will be chosen later. We have

$$\mu \lesssim \widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \lesssim \mu^*$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{v(B(z_k, \varepsilon))}{v(E(z_k, \varepsilon))} \chi_{E(z_k, \varepsilon)} \lesssim \widehat{\mu}_\varepsilon \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{v(B(z_k, \varepsilon))}{v(E(z_k, \varepsilon))} \chi_{E(z_k, 3\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \in L^p \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^p v(B(z_k, \varepsilon)) < \infty,$$

and

$$\widehat{\mu}_\varepsilon \in L^p \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^p \frac{(v(B(z_k, \varepsilon)))^p}{(v(E(z_k, \varepsilon)))^{p-1}} < \infty.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_k^p (v(B(z_k, \varepsilon)))^p (v(E(z_k, \varepsilon)))^{1-p}}{c_k^p v(B(z_k, \varepsilon))} &= \left(\frac{v(B(z_k, \varepsilon))}{v(E(z_k, \varepsilon))} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\asymp (1 - |z_k|)^{(n-1)(p-1)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose c_k such that $\widehat{\mu}_\varepsilon \in L^p$ but $\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \notin L^p$. On the other hand, one can easily see that $\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \in L^p$ implies $\widehat{\mu}_\varepsilon \in L^p$.

Acknowledgments. I am deeply grateful to my advisors Professors Alexander Borichev and El Hassan Youssfi for their help and many suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Arroussi, I. Park and J. Pau, *Schatten class Toeplitz operators acting on large weighted Bergman spaces*, *Studia Mathematica* **229** (2015), no. 3, 203–221.
- [2] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 921–930.
- [3] L. Carleson, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1962), 547–559.
- [4] W. Hastings, *A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **52** (1975), 237–241.
- [5] P. Lin and R. Rochberg, *Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the weighted Bergman spaces with exponential type weights*, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **173** (1996), no. 1, 127–146.
- [6] D. Luecking, *A technique for characterizing Carleson measures on Bergman spaces*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **87** (1983), 656–660.
- [7] D. Luecking, *Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **73** (1987), 345–368.
- [8] J. Pau and R. Zhao, *Carleson measures and Toeplitz operators for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball*, *Michigan Math. J.* **64** (2015), no. 4, 759–796.
- [9] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227** (2014), no. 1066, 124 pp.
- [10] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, *Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis*, *Mathematische Annalen* **362** (2015), no. 1, 205–239.
- [11] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, *Trace class criteria for Toeplitz and composition operators on small Bergman spaces*, *Advances in Mathematics* **293** (2016), 606–643.
- [12] J. A. Peláez, J. Rättyä and K. Sierra, *Berezin Transform and Toeplitz Operators on Bergman Spaces Induced by Regular Weights*, *The Journal of Geometric Analysis* **28** (2018), 656–687.

- [13] K. Seip, *Interpolation and sampling in small Bergman spaces*, *Collectanea Mathematica* **64** (2013), no. 1, 61–72.
- [14] K. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 226, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [15] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd ed., *Mathematical surveys and monographs*, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITY, CNRS, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, I2M, MARSEILLE,
FRANCE

UNIVERSITY OF QUYNHON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 170 AN DUONG VUONG,
QUY NHON, VIETNAM

E-mail address: vanandkkh@gmail.com