

On the abelian complexity of generalized Thue-Morse sequences

Jin Chen^{a,*}, Zhi-Xiong Wen^b

^aCollege of Science, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China.

^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China.

Abstract

In this paper, we study the abelian complexity $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ of generalized Thue-Morse sequences $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ for every integer $k \geq 2$. We obtain the exact value of $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ for every integer $n \geq k$. Consequently, $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ is ultimately periodic with period k . Moreover, we show that the abelian complexities of a class of infinite sequences are automatic sequences.

Keywords: generalized Thue-Morse sequence, abelian complexity, automatic sequence
2010 MSC: 11B85

1. Introduction

Recently the study of the abelian complexity of infinite words was initiated by G. Richomme, K. Saari, and L. Q. Zamboni [11]. For example, the abelian complexity functions of some notable sequences, such as the Thue-Morse sequence and all Sturmian sequences, were studied in [11] and [4] respectively. There are also many other works including the unbounded abelian complexity, see [3, 5, 7, 9, 10] and references therein.

Fixed an integer $k \geq 2$. Let σ_k be the morphism $0 \mapsto 01 \cdots (k-1), 1 \mapsto 12 \cdots (k-1)0, \dots, k-1 \mapsto (k-1)0 \cdots (k-2)$ on $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\mathbf{t}^{(k)} := \sigma_k^\infty(0)$. The infinite sequence $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ is a generalized Thue-Morse sequence with respect to k . Trivially, $\mathbf{t}^{(2)}$ is the infamous Thue-Morse sequence. Further, $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ is k -automatic and uniformly recurrent (see [6]). Recall that a sequence $\mathbf{w} = w_0 w_1 w_2 \cdots$ is a k -automatic sequence if its k -kernel $\{(w_{k^e n + c})_{n \geq 0} \mid e \geq 0, 0 \leq c < k^e\}$ is finite. If the \mathbb{Z} -module generated by its k -kernel is finitely generated, then \mathbf{w} is a k -regular sequence.

Adamczewski [1] obtained sufficient and necessary conditions for bounded abelian complexity. There is the natural question of finding some optimal condition for the periodic or automatic abelian complexity. The abelian complexity of $\mathbf{t}^{(3)}$ has been studied by Kaboré and Kientéga [8], in which they show that $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(3)})$ is ultimately periodic with period 3. In this paper, we are interested in the abelian complexity function $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ for every $n \geq k \geq 2$. The explicit value is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For all integer $n \geq k \geq 2$, if $n \equiv r \pmod k$, then we have*

^{*}This work was supported by NSFC (Nos. 11701202, 11431007, 11871295), the Youth innovation talent project of colleges and universities in Guangdong (No. 2016KQNCX229). Many thanks to the referees for a very careful reading and useful suggestions.

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: cj@mail.hzau.edu.cn (Jin Chen), zhi-xiong.wen@hust.edu.cn (Zhi-Xiong Wen)

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}k(k^2 - 1) + 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } r = 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}k(k - 1)^2 + k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } r \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}k^3 + 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } r = 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}k(k - 1)^2 + \frac{5}{4}k & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } r \neq 0 \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{4}k^2(k - 2) + k & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } r \neq 0 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. $\{\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is ultimately periodic with period k .

In fact, we may generalize Corollary 1 as described below in Theorem 2, without the need to compute the exact value of the abelian complexity. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be two alphabets. Given any uniformly recurrent k -automatic sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}_1^{\mathbb{N}}$, define a projection $\pi : \mathcal{A}_1^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}_2^*$ satisfying that

$$\text{for every pair of letters } a, b \in \mathcal{A}_1, \pi(a) \sim_{ab} \pi(b)$$

where \sim_{ab} will be defined in Section 2. Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For every infinite sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}_1^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that the corresponding boundary sequence $\{\partial \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})\}_{n \geq 2}$ (defined in Section 2) is k -automatic, let $\mathbf{u} = \pi(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{A}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be defined as prescribed above, then the abelian complexity $\{\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{u})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a k -automatic sequence.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and notations. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2.

2. Preliminaries

An *alphabet* \mathcal{A} is a finite and non-empty set (of symbols) whose elements are called *letters*. A (finite) *word* over the alphabet \mathcal{A} is a concatenation of letters in \mathcal{A} . The concatenation of two words $u = u_0u_1 \cdots u_m$ and $v = v_0v_1 \cdots v_n$ is the word $uv = u_0u_1 \cdots u_mv_0v_1 \cdots v_n$. The set of all finite words over \mathcal{A} including the *empty word* ε is denoted by \mathcal{A}^* . An infinite word \mathbf{w} is an infinite sequence of letters in \mathcal{A} . The set of all infinite words over \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let Σ_k be $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ for every $k \geq 1$.

The *length* of a finite word $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$, denoted by $|w|$, is the number of letters contained in w . We set $|\varepsilon| = 0$. For any word $u \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and any letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $|u|_a$ denote the number of occurrences of a in u .

A word w is a factor of a finite (or an infinite) word v , written by $w \prec v$ if there exist a finite word x and a finite (or an infinite) word y such that $v = xwy$. When $x = \varepsilon$, w is called a *prefix* of v , denoted by $w \triangleleft v$; when $y = \varepsilon$, w is called a *suffix* of v , denoted by $w \triangleright v$. For a finite word $u = u_0 \cdots u_{n-1}$, denote by $u[i, j]$ the factor of u from the position i to j , i.e., $u[i, j] = u_{i-1} \cdots u_{j-1}$. Fixed an integer $k \geq 2$, let $u \bmod k := (u_0 \bmod k)(u_1 \bmod k) \cdots (u_{n-1} \bmod k)$.

For a real number x , let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ (resp. $\lceil x \rceil$) be the greatest (resp. smallest) integer that is less (resp. larger) than or equal to x .

2.1. Abelian complexity

Given an alphabet \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathbf{w} = w_0w_1w_2 \cdots \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite word. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})$ the set of all factors of \mathbf{w} of length n , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w}) := \{w_iw_{i+1} \cdots w_{i+n-1} : i \geq 0\}.$$

In fact, when \mathbf{w} is a finite word, $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})$ is still well defined. Write $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}} := \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})$. The *subword complexity function* $\rho_n(\mathbf{w})$ of \mathbf{w} is defined by

$$\rho_n(\mathbf{w}) := \#\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w}).$$

Fixed the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a_0, \dots, a_{q-1}\}$ and a factor v of an infinite word \mathbf{w} , the Parikh vector of v is the q -uplet

$$\psi(v) := (|v|_{a_0}, |v|_{a_1}, \dots, |v|_{a_{q-1}}).$$

Denote by $\Psi_n(\mathbf{w})$, the set of the Parikh vectors of the factors of length n of \mathbf{w} :

$$\Psi_n(\mathbf{w}) := \{\psi(v) : v \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})\}.$$

The *abelian complexity function* of \mathbf{w} is defined by

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{w}) := \#\Psi_n(\mathbf{w}).$$

In fact, we could give another definition of the abelian complexity function induced by the abelian equivalence relation. For the infinite word \mathbf{w} , given two factors u, v we say u is *abelian equivalent* to v (write $u \sim_{ab} v$) if $\psi(u) = \psi(v)$. Now the abelian complexity function of \mathbf{w} can be defined as follows:

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{w}) := \#\{\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})/\sim_{ab}\}.$$

In addition, before the proof of the main theorems, we give some notations which will be important through all the paper. Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite word, given a factor $u = u_0 \cdots u_{n-1}$, denote by ∂u the *1-length boundary word* consisting of the first and last letter of u ,

$$\partial u = u_0 u_{n-1}.$$

For the sake of completeness, let ∂u be itself u when $|u| \leq 1$. Define the set of the *boundary words* of factors with length n of \mathbf{w} by

$$\partial \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w}) := \{\partial u : u \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})\}.$$

3. Abelian complexity of $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$

First we give some notations and lemmas. For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, set $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, \dots, k-1$. Let

$$S_n(\mathbf{w}) := \bigcup_{ab \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_{r+k}(\sigma_k(ab))$$

and

$$G_n(\mathbf{w}) := \bigcup_{ab \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{m+2}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_r(\sigma_k(ab)[k-r+2, k+r-1])$$

Lemma 1. *For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, set $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, \dots, k-1$. If $r \leq 1$, then we have*

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \#\{\psi(u) : u \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\}.$$

Otherwise, if $r \geq 2$, then we have

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \#\{\psi(u) \cup (\mathbb{I}_k + \psi(v)) : u \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}), v \in G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_k = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is the vector of length k with all the element being the same 1.

Proof. For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, set $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, \dots, k - 1$. Given any factor u of length n of $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$, there exists a factorization (maybe not unique) such that

$$u = \alpha \sigma_k(v) \beta$$

for some factors α, v, β satisfying that $|v| = m - 1$, $|\alpha| + |\beta| = k + r$. We can assume that, if $r \neq 0$, then both α and β are not the empty word. In fact, if $r > 0$ and $\alpha = \varepsilon$, then $|\beta| = k + r$ and let $\beta = \sigma_k(a)\beta'$ for some letter a . Set $v = v_0 \cdots v_{m-2}$, now we could set the new prefix $\alpha' = \sigma(v_0)$ and $v' = v_1 \cdots v_{m-2}a$, then

$$u = \alpha' \sigma_k(v') \beta'.$$

It is trivial that

$$\psi(u) = \psi(\alpha) + \psi(\sigma_k(v)) + \psi(\beta) = \psi(\alpha\beta) + (m - 1)\mathbb{I}_k.$$

(1) If $r \leq 1$, the length of the preimage of α and β are both 1 except in the case that α or β is the empty word. In other words, $\alpha \triangleright \sigma_k(a)$, $\beta \triangleleft \sigma_k(b)$ for some letters $a, b \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$. Consequently, $\alpha\beta$ is a factor of length $k + r$ of $\sigma(ab)$ where $ab \in \partial\mathcal{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$. At the same time, it is easy to know that all the possible $\alpha\beta$ is exactly the factor set of length $k + r$ of $\sigma_k(ab)$ for the above ab even if $r = 0$. i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) &= \#\{\psi(u) : u \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \\ &= \#\{\psi(\alpha\beta) : \alpha\sigma_k(v)\beta \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \\ &= \#\{\psi(\alpha\beta) : \alpha\beta \prec \sigma_k(ab) \text{ with } ab \in \partial\mathcal{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}), |\alpha\beta| = k + r\} \\ &= \#\{\psi(v) : v \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

(2) If $r \geq 2$, the length vector set of preimage of α and β is $\{(1, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2)\}$. When the length vector is $(1, 1)$, we have the same argument with the above case $r \leq 1$. It is sufficient to consider the case where the length vector is $(1, 2)$, since $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$ are symmetric case.

Now assume that $|\beta| > k$ and $1 \leq |\alpha| < r$, and

$$\alpha \triangleright \sigma_k(a), \beta = \sigma_k(b)\beta' \text{ with } \beta' \triangleleft \sigma_k(c)$$

for some letters $a, b, c \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$. Note that the value of the letter b does not affect the Parikh vector of $\alpha\beta$, and that the word ac is a boundary word of a factor of length $m + 2$ of $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$. At the same time, since we have that $|\alpha| + |\beta'| = r$, the length of β' ranges from 1 to $r - 1$. In other words,

$$\alpha\beta' \prec \sigma_k(ac)[k - r + 2, k + r - 1].$$

Moreover, all the possible $\alpha\beta'$ is the set of factors of length r of the form $\sigma_k(ac)[k - r + 2, k + r - 1]$. For the length vector $(2, 1)$, we can apply the same reasoning. Overall, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) &= \#\{\psi(u) : u \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \\ &= \#\{\psi(v) \cup \psi(0u) : v \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}), u \in G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \\ &= \#\{\psi(v) \cup (\mathbb{I}_k + \psi(u)) : v \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}), u \in G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})\} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

According to Lemma 1, $\{\partial\mathcal{F}_m(\mathbf{w})\}_{m \geq 2}$ is the key point to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. For the generalized Thue-Morse sequence $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ and every integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\partial \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \Sigma_k^2.$$

Proof. We shall prove by induction by n . Obviously this lemma holds for $n = 2, \dots, k$. Suppose it holds for all $i \leq n$, we will show it holds for $n + 1$. Set $n + 1 = mk + r$ with $1 \leq m$ and $1 \leq r \leq k$, there exists factors of length $m + 1$ in the form of aub where ab is a non-empty word with $|ab|=2$. By the assumption, ab could go through all the words in $\Sigma_k^{|ab|}$. We know that for every letter (integer) $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$,

$$\sigma_k(i) = i(i + 1) \cdots (i + k - 1) \pmod{k}.$$

Now we consider the finite word $v = \sigma_k(aub)[1, n + 1]$, which begins with a and ends with $(b + r - 1) \pmod{k}$. It follows that this lemma holds for $n + 1$ in this case. \square

For simplicity, let $|V|_i$ be the number of occurrences of i as an entry of the finite dimensional vector V .

Lemma 3. For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, if $n = km$ for some $m \geq 1$, then we have

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} k(k - 2t + 1) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{4}k^3 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{4}k(k^2 - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, when $r = 0$, it suffices to consider $S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$. In this case, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2} \mathcal{F}_k(\sigma_k(ab)) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2, 1 \leq t \leq k+1} \{\sigma_k(ab)[t, t + k - 1]\}.$$

For every $u \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, $|u|_i \leq 2$ for every $i \in \Sigma_k$. The only Parikh vector without 2 is \mathbb{I}_k . It suffices to consider the number and the position of 2s in the elements of Parikh vectors since the numbers of 2 and 0 are the same. In fact, for every $u \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, write

$$u = \alpha\beta = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{k-t} = (\alpha_1 \cdots (\alpha_1 + t - 1)\beta_1 \cdots (\beta_1 + k - t - 1) \pmod{k})$$

where $\alpha \triangleright \sigma(a), \beta \triangleright \sigma(b)$ for some letters a, b .

$$|\psi(u)|_0 + |\psi(u)|_1 + |\psi(u)|_2 = k$$

and

$$|\psi(u)|_1 + 2|\psi(u)|_2 = k,$$

which implies $|\psi(u)|_2 = |\psi(u)|_0$. We put the k elements of a Parikh vector into a circle, as seen in Figure 1. With the help of that figure, it is not hard to know that the positions of the letters 2 and 0 are both a consecutive block in this circle. The length of 2s' block ranges from 1 to $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. For every fixed length t of 2s' block there are k positions to place the consecutive 2s' block of length t . Next we only need to place the consecutive block of 0s of length t in the left $(k - t)$ positions. Hence the number of Parikh vectors with at least one element 2 is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} k(k - 2t + 1).$$

Adding the Parikh vector \mathbb{I}_k , we complete the proof. \square




Figure 1: Parikh vector circle over Σ_k

Lemma 4. For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, if $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 1, \dots, k-1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) &= k + \frac{1}{2}k(r-1)(k-r-1) + \sum_{\tau=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} k(r-2\tau+1) + \sum_{t=1+r}^{\lfloor \frac{k+r}{2} \rfloor} k(k+r-2t+1) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}k(k-1)^2 + k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{4}k(k-1)^2 + \frac{5}{4}k & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } r \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{4}k^2(k-2) + k & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Taking into account Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we divide the proof into two subcases.

(1) when $r = 1$, we only need to investigate $S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$. We have that

$$S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2} \mathcal{F}_{k+1}(\sigma_k(ab)) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2, 1 \leq t \leq k} \{\sigma_k(ab)[t, t+k]\}.$$

For every $u \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, $|u|_i \leq 2$ for every $i \in \Sigma_k$. Moreover, there is at least one element being 2 in $\psi(u)$. After putting the k elements of the Parikh vector into a circle, the position of 2 and 0 are both a consecutive block. If there is only one 2 as the element in $\psi(u)$, i.e., $|\psi(u)|_2 = 1$, then the possible number of such Parikh vectors is k , since $a\sigma_k(0) \in S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ for every $a \in \Sigma_k$. Now set $|\psi(u)|_2 = t$, we need to consider the t ranging from 2 to $\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor$. At the same time, we have that $|\psi(u)|_0 = t-1$. It is sufficient to place the consecutive 0s' block in the left $(k-t)$ positions. i.e., the number of Parikh vector having ≥ 2 consecutive 2s' block is

$$\sum_{t=2}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} k(k-2t+2).$$

Adding the k Parikh vectors with exactly one "2" as the element, the lemma holds.

(2) when $r > 1$, $S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ and $G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ are both important to the result.

$$S_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2} \mathcal{F}_{k+r}(\sigma_k(ab)) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2, 1 \leq t \leq k-r+1} \{\sigma_k(ab)[t, t+k+r-1]\}$$

and

$$G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2} \mathcal{F}_r(\sigma_k(ab)[k-r+2, k+r-1]).$$

Following from Lemma 1, for every word u in $G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, when computing the abelian complexity, \mathbb{I}_k should be added to $\psi(u)$. For simplicity, we consider the following set $G'_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ instead of $G_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$.

$$G'_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}) = \bigcup_{ab \in \Sigma_k^2} \mathcal{F}_{k+r}(\sigma_k(acb)[k-r+2, 2k+r-1]).$$

Note that the letter c can be arbitrary in Σ_k . Given any $u \in G'_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, write

$$\begin{aligned} u = \alpha\sigma_k(c)\beta &= \alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t\sigma_k(c)\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{r-t} \\ &= (\alpha_1 \cdots (\alpha_1 + t - 1)\sigma_k(c)\beta_1 \cdots (\beta_1 + r - t - 1) \pmod k) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \triangleright \sigma(a), \beta \triangleright \sigma(b)$ for some letters a, b .

(i) First we focus on the case that the maximal element of the Parikh vector is 2. For every word $u \in G'_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$, then the length of consecutive 2s' block is strictly less than $r+1$. Obviously the number of Parikh vectors with exactly r length of consecutive 2s' block as the element is k . For the word $u = \alpha\sigma_k(c)\beta$, we have

$$\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\} \cap \{\beta_i : 1 \leq i \leq r-t\} = \emptyset.$$

First we put α into the circle, the number of the choices for that being equal to k . We can assume β_1 and β_{r-t} both are not belongs to $\{\alpha_t + 1, \alpha_1 - 1\} \pmod k$. i.e., the gap between α and β is positive. Otherwise, $|\psi(u)|_2 = r$, which has been considered. There are $(k-t-2)$ positions for β . Hence all the possible number of Parikh vector is

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} k((k-t-2) - (r-t) + 1) = \frac{1}{2}k(r-1)(k-r-1).$$

We could apply the same argument of case (1) to obtain the number of Parikh vector having $\geq r+1$ consecutive 2s' blocks:

$$\sum_{t=1+r}^{\lfloor \frac{k+r}{2} \rfloor} k(k+r-2t+1).$$

Overall, the total number of Parikh vectors in this case is

$$k + \frac{1}{2}k(r-1)(k-r-1) + \sum_{t=1+r}^{\lfloor \frac{k+r}{2} \rfloor} k(k+r-2t+1).$$

(ii) Then the case that is left to consider is that the maximal element of the corresponding Parikh vector is 3. For the word $u = \alpha\sigma_k(c)\beta$, we have

$$\#\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\} \cap \{\beta_i : 1 \leq i \leq r-t\} := \tau > 0.$$

Moreover, $|\psi(u)|_3 = \tau$. Clearly, τ ranges from 1 to $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Also the positions of 3 and 1 both form consecutive blocks. In the same manner as before, we can obtain the number of Parikh vectors in this case, which is

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} k(r-2\tau+1).$$

We know that the subcase (i) and subcase (ii) do not intersect, which implies that this lemma holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 that Theorem 1 holds. \square

Richomme et al [11] obtain the sufficient and necessary condition for aperiodic sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \Sigma_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{w}) = \rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(2)})$. It is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1. *For the aperiodic sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $k \geq 3$, what is the sufficient and necessary condition for \mathbf{w} satisfying $\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{w}) = \rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$?*

4. Abelian complexities of a class of infinite sequences

Let $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an infinite sequence. Define the k -kernel of \mathbf{w} to be the set of subsequence

$$\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{w}) := \{(w_{k^e n + c})_{n \geq 0} \mid e \geq 0, 0 \leq c < k^e\}$$

Definition 1. Let $k \geq 2$, \mathbf{w} is k -automatic if and only if $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{w})$ is finite.

Lemma 5. [2, Theorem 6.8.2] *Consider a finite alphabet \mathcal{A} and $a > 0$. Let $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence taking values in \mathcal{A} such that $\{w_{an+i}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is k -automatic for $0 \leq i < a$. Then $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is itself k -automatic.*

Lemma 6. [2, Theorem 6.9.2] *Automatic sequences are closed under 1-uniform transducers.*

Following from Lemma 6, we have the following corollary which will be useful.

Corollary 2. *For every k -automatic sequence $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\{(w_n w_{n+1})\}_{n \geq 0} \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is also k -automatic.*

Recall that $\mathbf{u} = \pi(\mathbf{w})$ for some k -automatic sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}_1^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\pi : \mathcal{A}_1^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}_2^*$ satisfying that

$$\text{for every pair of letters } a, b \in \mathcal{A}_1, \pi(a) \sim_{ab} \pi(b)$$

For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, set $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, \dots, k-1$. Let

$$S'_n(\mathbf{w}) := \bigcup_{ab \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_{r+k}(\pi(ab))$$

and

$$G'_n(\mathbf{w}) := \bigcup_{ab \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{m+2}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_r(\pi(ab)[k-r+2, k+r-1])$$

We shall give the following lemma without the proof, since the method is the same as in Lemma 1, with $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ being replaced by \mathbf{w} .

Lemma 7. *For every $n \geq k \geq 2$, set $n = km + r$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, \dots, k-1$. If $r \leq 1$, then we have*

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{u}) = \#\{\psi(v) : v \in S'_n(\mathbf{w})\}.$$

Otherwise if $r \geq 2$, then we have

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{u}) = \#\{\psi(v) \cup (\psi(\pi(a)v')) : v \in S'_n(\mathbf{w}), v' \in G'_n(\mathbf{w})\}$$

where a is an arbitrary letter in \mathcal{A}_1 .

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 5, it suffices to show that $\{w_{km+r}\}_{m \geq 0}$ is k -automatic for $0 \leq r < k$. If $r \leq 1$, then it follows from Lemma 7 that for every $n = km + r$ with $k \geq 2$ and $r = 0, 1$, we have

$$\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{u}) = \rho_{km+r}^{ab}(\mathbf{u}) = \# \left\{ \psi(v) : v \in \bigcup_{ab \in \partial F_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_{r+k}(\pi(ab)) \right\}.$$

This implies that the abelian complexity of length $km + r$ for u is uniquely dependent on the set $\partial F_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})$. Following from Lemma 6, $\{\rho_{km+r}^{ab}(\mathbf{u})\}_{m \geq 1}$ is k -automatic for $r = 0, 1$.

When $r \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 7 that for every $n = km + r$ with $k \geq 2$ and $r \in [2, k-1]$, we have

$$\rho_{km+r}^{ab}(\mathbf{u}) = \# \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \{ \psi(v) : v \in \bigcup_{ab \in \partial F_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_{r+k}(\pi(ab)) \} \\ & \cup \{ (\psi(\pi(a)v')) : v' \in \bigcup_{ab \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{m+2}(\mathbf{w})} \mathcal{F}_r(\pi(ab)[k-r+2, k+r-1]) \} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

This implies that the abelian complexity of length $km + r$ for u is uniquely dependent on the set $\partial F_{m+1}(\mathbf{w})$ and $\partial \mathcal{F}_{m+2}(\mathbf{w})$. By Lemma 6 and Corollary 2, $\{\rho_{km+r}^{ab}(\mathbf{u})\}_{m \geq 1}$ is k -automatic for $r \geq 2$. This completes the proof since the leading $k-1$ terms can not affect the k -automatic property. \square

Following from Lemma 2, $\partial \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{t}^{(k)})$ is periodic, which is a special ℓ -automatic sequence for any $\ell \geq 2$. This implies that Corollary 1 holds by Theorem 2. Since we know that the key point for Theorem 2 is the boundary sequences, it is significant to consider the infinite sequences for which the corresponding boundary sequence is automatic. Naturally we have the following Conjecture 1. As far as we know, this conjecture holds for the periodic sequences, the above $\mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ and Cantor sequence c which is the fixed point of the morphism $0 \mapsto 000, 1 \mapsto 101$.

Conjecture 1. *For every k -automatic sequence $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the corresponding boundary sequence $\{\partial \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{w})\}_{n \geq 2} \in (2^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is also k -automatic.*

References

References

- [1] B. Adamczewski, *Balances for fixed points of primitive substitutions*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 307(1) (2003) 47-75.
- [2] J. P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences, Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge, 2003.
- [3] L. Balková, K. Břinda and O. Turek. *Abelian complexity of infinite words associated with quadratic Parry numbers*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 412 (45) (2011) 6252-6260.
- [4] E. M. Coven and G. A. Hedlund, *Sequences with minimal block growth*, Math. Systems Theory 7 (1973) 138-153.
- [5] J. Chen, X.-T. Lü and W. Wu, *On the k -abelian complexity of the Cantor sequence*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 155 (2018) 287302.

- [6] N. P. Fogg, *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1794)* . Eds. Berth V., Ferenczi S., Mauduit C. and Siegel A.. Springer, Berlin, 2002
- [7] X.-T. Lü, J. Chen, Z.-X. Wen and W. Wu, *On the abelian complexity of the Rudin-Shapiro sequence*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 451 (2017) 822838.
- [8] I. Kaboré and B. Kientéga *Abelian Complexity of Thue-Morse Word over a Ternary Alphabet*. In International Conference on Combinatorics on Words (pp. 132-143). Springer, Cham (2017 Sep).
- [9] B. Madill and N. Rampersad. *The abelian complexity of the paperfolding word*, Discrete Math. 313 (7) (2013) 831-838.
- [10] G. Richomme, K. Saari and L. Q. Zamboni, *Balance and Abelian complexity of the Tribonacci word*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 45(2) (2010) 212-231.
- [11] G. Richomme, K. Saari and L. Zamboni, *Abelian complexity of minimal subshifts*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 83 (1) (2011) 79-95.