

CONFORMALLY COVARIANT BI-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS FOR DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

SALEM BEN SAÏD, JEAN-LOUIS CLERC AND KHALID KOUFANY

ABSTRACT. The classical Rankin-Cohen brackets are bi-differential operators from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ into $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. They are covariant for the (diagonal) action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ through principal series representations. We construct generalizations of these operators, replacing \mathbb{R} by \mathbb{R}^n , the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by the group $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, n+1)$ viewed as the conformal group of \mathbb{R}^n , and functions by differential forms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background on differential forms	2
3. The main identities for Riesz distributions on differential forms	4
4. Background on the conformal group of \mathbb{R}^n	14
5. The principal series representations of $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, n+1)$ on the space of differential forms	17
6. The covariance property of the source operator	19
7. Conformally covariant bi-differential operators on differential forms	21
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

The *Rankin-Cohen brackets* are the most famous examples of conformally covariant bi-differential operators. For a presentation of these operators from our point of view based on harmonic analysis of the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we refer the reader to the introduction of [2]. In [15] Ovsienko and Redou introduced their analogs for conformal analysis on \mathbb{R}^n .

A new construction of these covariant bi-differential operators was proposed by Beckmann and the second present author in [1], where (although implicitly) the *source operator* method was introduced. In our situation, the source operator is a differential operator on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, covariant for the diagonal action of the conformal group $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, n+1)$. The covariant bi-differential operators are obtained by composing the source operator with the restriction map from $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to the diagonal. This technique has shown to be

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A85. Secondary 58J70, 22E46, 58A10.

Key words and phrases. Differential forms, conformal group, covariant bi-differential operators, principal series, Riesz distribution.

very efficient to produce new examples of covariant differential operators in many different contexts. In [2], we constructed covariant bi-differential operators in the context of *simple real Jordan algebras*. The article [3] contains an alternative construction of the covariant differential operators introduced by Juhl [9] in the context of the restriction of \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . In the same geometric context, Fischmann, Ørsted and Somberg [5] recently obtained a new construction of the covariant differential operators for differential forms, previously obtained by Kobayashi, Kubo and Pevzner in [14] and by Fischmann, Juhl and Somberg in [6]. Finally, it is worthwhile mentioning that a more general notion of *symmetry breaking operators* (not necessarily differential) has been studied by Kobayashi and his collaborators (see, e.g., [11], [12], [13]).

In the present paper, we construct bi-differential operators acting on spaces of differential forms which are covariant for the conformal group of \mathbb{R}^n ; more precisely for the group $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$. To build these bi-differential operators, we use again the *source operator* method. The source operators are constructed as a composition of the multiplication operator by the function $\|x - y\|^2$ (using its transformation rule under the action of the conformal group) and classical Knapp-Stein intertwining operators. These intertwining operators on differential forms were studied recently in [4, 5] and some of their results are used (and sometimes reproved) in the present article.

The construction relies ultimately on two *main identities* stated in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 (see also Theorem 3.4), the second one being the Euclidean Fourier transform of the first one. As they involve purely Euclidean harmonic analysis they are presented in Section 3, independently of the conformal context. In Section 4 we give some background on the conformal group of \mathbb{R}^n needed to describe the *noncompact model* for the principal series representations of $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$ in Section 5. The conformal properties of the source operator are given in Section 6, where harmonic analysis of the group $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$ plays a crucial role.

The corresponding covariant bi-differential operators are constructed in Section 7. The lack of a manageable decomposition of the tensor product $\sigma_k \otimes \sigma_\ell$, $0 \leq k, \ell \leq n$, where σ_k denotes the representation of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ on the spacecomplex-valued Λ^k of complex-valued alternating k -forms on \mathbb{R}^n , prevents us from giving explicit formulas for the corresponding bi-differential operators, but this can be done at least for the Cartan factor $\Lambda^{k+\ell}$ with $0 \leq k + \ell \leq n$, appearing in $\Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$, (see (7.1)).

2. BACKGROUND ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n and $\| \cdot \|$ the corresponding norm. Let (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) be the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n and let $(e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*)$ be its dual basis.

For $0 \leq k \leq n$, we denote by $\Lambda^k = \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^{n*}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the vector space of complex-valued alternating multilinear k -forms on \mathbb{R}^n . A basis of the space Λ^k is given by

$$\{e_I^* := e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^* : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

If $\omega \in \Lambda^k$ and $\eta \in \Lambda^\ell$, then $\omega \wedge \eta \in \Lambda^{k+\ell}$. Furthermore,

$$\omega \wedge \eta = (-1)^{k\ell} \eta \wedge \omega. \quad (2.1)$$

The exterior algebra $\Lambda := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^k = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Lambda^k$ is an associative algebra, graded with respect to the degree k .

The interior product of a k -form ω with a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the $(k-1)$ -form defined by

$$\iota_x \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = \omega(x, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

Moreover,

$$\iota_{e_j}(e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^*) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq \text{any } i_r \\ (-1)^{r-1} e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_r}^*} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^* & \text{if } j = i_r, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where the ‘‘cap’’ over $e_{i_r}^*$ means that it is deleted from the exterior product. One may check that

$$\iota_x \iota_y + \iota_y \iota_x = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the exterior product of a k -form ω with the linear form x^* is the $(k+1)$ -form defined by

$$\varepsilon_x \omega = x^* \wedge \omega.$$

From the associativity of the wedge product and (2.1), it follows

$$\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y + \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_x = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

There is the following useful anticommutation relation

$$\varepsilon_x \iota_y + \iota_y \varepsilon_x = \langle x, y \rangle \text{Id}_{\Lambda}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.5)$$

This is a fairly straightforward consequence of (2.2). Further, by (2.5), (2.4) and (2.3) we have

$$\varepsilon_x \iota_y \varepsilon_x = \langle x, y \rangle \varepsilon_x, \quad \iota_y \varepsilon_x \iota_y = \langle x, y \rangle \iota_y.$$

We denote by ι_j the interior product with the basis vector e_j and by ε_j the exterior products with e_j^* . The following lemma is needed for later use.

Lemma 2.1. *On Λ^k we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \iota_j = k \text{Id}_{\Lambda}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \iota_j \varepsilon_j = (n-k) \text{Id}_{\Lambda}.$$

Proof. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In view of (2.2) and the fact that $e_j^* \wedge e_j^* = 0$ for every j , clearly we have

$$\left(\sum_{j \in I} \varepsilon_j \iota_j \right) e_I^* = k e_I^*, \quad \left(\sum_{j \in I} \iota_j \varepsilon_j \right) e_I^* = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\left(\sum_{j \notin I} \varepsilon_j \iota_j \right) e_I^* = 0, \quad \left(\sum_{j \notin I} \iota_j \varepsilon_j \right) e_I^* = (n-k) e_I^*.$$

The lemma is now a matter of putting pieces together. \square

From now on, we will identify the dual of \mathbb{R}^n with \mathbb{R}^n . For $0 \leq k \leq n$, let

$$\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^k) \simeq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be the space of smooth complex-valued differential forms of degree k on \mathbb{R}^n . An element of $\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be uniquely represented as

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \omega_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(x) e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the coefficients ω_I are complex-valued smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, $\mathcal{E}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The direct sum

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is the linear space of all smooth differential forms.

From the properties of the interior product ι_x and the exterior product ε_x on Λ^k which we discussed above, one gets analogue properties on $\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We now define the exterior differential $d : \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$d = \sum_{m=1}^n \varepsilon_m \partial_m,$$

and the co-differential $\delta : \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\delta = - \sum_{m=1}^n \iota_m \partial_m,$$

where ∂_m is the directional derivative in the direction of the basis vector e_m . We set $\delta = 0$ on $\mathcal{E}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In the light of (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), direct computations show $d \circ d = \delta \circ \delta = 0$ and

$$d \iota_j + \iota_j d = \partial_j, \quad \delta \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_j \delta = -\partial_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n. \quad (2.7)$$

We close this section by introducing the Hodge Laplacian on differential forms, defined by

$$\Delta := -(d\delta + \delta d) = \sum_{m=1}^n \partial_m^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Henceforth we will denote the Hodge Laplacian by $Q(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ where

$$Q(x) := x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2. \quad (2.9)$$

3. THE MAIN IDENTITIES FOR RIESZ DISTRIBUTIONS ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

For s a complex parameter, consider for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the formula

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_s, \varphi \rangle = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-n-s} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+s}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \|x\|^s dx. \quad (3.1)$$

For $-n < \operatorname{Re} s < 0$, this formula defines a tempered distribution depending holomorphically on s . The normalization factor is chosen for convenience, as we shall see below (see

(3.2)). By standard argument (see [7]) it can be analytically continued to \mathbb{C} , yielding a meromorphic family of tempered distributions, called the *Riesz distributions*.

We follow the following convention for the Fourier transform on Schwartz functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} dx,$$

which extends to the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is known (see [7] or [16, p. 38]) that the image of \mathcal{R}_s by the Fourier transform is

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_s)(\xi) = \|\xi\|^{-n-s}. \quad (3.2)$$

The classical Riesz distributions offer a good motivation for defining Riesz distributions for differential forms on \mathbb{R}^n with coefficients in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$) be the space of differential k -forms represented as in (2.6) with coefficients ω_{i_1, \dots, i_k} in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$). We pin down that we may extend the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} on the space $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by acting on the coefficients ω_{i_1, \dots, i_k} of the form (2.6).

For $0 \leq k \leq n$ and a complex parameter s , let \mathcal{R}_s^k be the *Riesz distribution on differential forms* defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_s^k, \omega \rangle = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-s-n+1} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{s}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{s+n}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{s-2} (\boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x) \omega(x) dx, \quad (3.3)$$

with $\omega \in \mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $-n < \operatorname{Re} s < 0$, this formula defines a tempered distribution depending holomorphically on s . By [4, §3.2], \mathcal{R}_s^k can be analytically continued to \mathbb{C} , giving a meromorphic family of tempered distributions. When $k = 0$, the identity $\boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x = \|x\|^2 \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ implies immediately that \mathcal{R}_s^0 is nothing but the classical Riesz distribution (3.1).

In [4, Theorem 3.2] the authors proved that the Fourier transform of \mathcal{R}_s^k is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_s^k)(\xi) = \|\xi\|^{-s-n-2} \left(-(s+2k) \boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\xi + (s+2n-2k) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\xi \boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi \right) \quad (3.4)$$

for s not a pole. Due to the facts $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\xi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\xi = \|\xi\|^2 \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{SE}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that (3.4) for $k = 0$ coincides with (3.2).

In order to simplify notation, it is convenient to let

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^k := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-s-n}^k) \quad (3.5)$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = \|x\|^{s-2} \left((s+n-2k) \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - (s-n+2k) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right).$$

We should point that in all arguments below we first prove the desired result when the complex parameter s is so that everything makes sense, and then we extend it meromorphically to the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

We shall need the following crucial result (which might be of some interest in its own right):

Theorem 3.1. *The distribution \mathcal{Z}_s^k satisfies the following properties:*

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \left(s x_j \text{Id} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = s(s+n) \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x), \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$a_{k,s} = \frac{s+n-2k}{s+n-2k-2}, \quad b_{k,s} = \frac{s-n+2k}{s-n+2k-2}, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$c_{k,s} = \frac{2s}{s+n-2k-2}, \quad d_{k,s} = \frac{2s}{s-n+2k-2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Above $Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$ denotes the Hodge Laplacian (see (2.8)).

Proof. (1) On one hand, we may rewrite $\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x)$ as

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = \|x\|^2 \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) - 2s(s+n) \|x\|^{s-2} (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x).$$

On the other hand, using the fact $\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x = \|x\|^2 \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$, we may rewrite the term $\|x\|^2 (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x)$ as follows:

$$\|x\|^2 (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x) = \left((s+n-2k-2) \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - (s-n+2k-2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right) \left(a'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$a'_{k,s} = \frac{1}{s+n-2k-2}, \quad b'_{k,s} = \frac{1}{s-n+2k-2}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = \|x\|^2 \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) + 2 \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) (a'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x).$$

Using again the identity $\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x = \|x\|^2 \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to deduce the first statement.

(2) First we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x) &= \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j = x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j + \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x) &= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\iota}_x + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j = x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j - \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j, \end{aligned}$$

where, for abbreviation, $\boldsymbol{\iota}_j$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j$) denotes $\boldsymbol{\iota}_{e_j}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{e_j}$). Above we have used the identity (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) &= (s-2)x_j \|x\|^{s-4} \left((s+n-2k)\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - (s-n+2k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right) \\
&+ \|x\|^{s-2} \left((s+n-2k)(x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j + \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j) - (s-n+2k)(x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j - \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j) \right) \\
&= (s-2)x_j \|x\|^{s-4} \left(((s-2+n-2k)\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - (s-2-n+2k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x) + 2(\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x) \right) \\
&+ \|x\|^{s-2} \left(2(n-2k)x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} + 2s(\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j) \right) \\
&= (s-2)x_j \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) + 2x_j \|x\|^{s-4} \left((n-2k)\|x\|^2 \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} + (s-2)(\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j) \right) \\
&+ 2s\|x\|^{s-2} (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j) \\
&= (s-2)x_j \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) + 2x_j \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) + 2s\|x\|^{s-2} (\boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Now using again the trick (3.11) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = sx_j \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) + 2s \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) (a'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + b'_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j),$$

and (3.7) follows.

(3) The definition of $\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x)$ and the intertwining property $Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \circ \mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F} \circ \|\cdot\|^2$ imply

$$Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) = -\mathcal{F}(\|\cdot\|^2 \mathcal{R}_{-s-n}^k)(x) = s(s+n) \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-s-n+2}^k)(x) = s(s+n) \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x).$$

□

For $0 \leq k, \ell \leq n$, we define the space $\mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ as the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with values in $\Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$. More generally, denote by $\mathcal{DE}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{SE}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$) the space of differential forms of bidegree (k, ℓ) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$)

Theorem 3.2. *For every $\omega \in \mathcal{SE}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the following formula holds true*

$$Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \right) = \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) D_{s,t}^{k,\ell} \omega(x, y),$$

where $D_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ is the differential operator on (k, ℓ) -differential forms given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,t}^{k,\ell} &= \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \right) \circ Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \right) \circ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right) \otimes \left(t y_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \circ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(t y_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \\ &+ s(s+n) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \right) + t(t+n) \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $a_{k,s}$, $b_{k,s}$, $c_{k,s}$ and $d_{k,s}$ are given by (3.9) and (3.10) (and similarly when the subscripts k, s are replaced by ℓ, t).

Proof. A routine calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \right) &= \left(Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \right) \omega(x, y) \\ &+ \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \omega(x, y) + \tilde{Q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{Q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \omega(x, y) + \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \omega(x, y) \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \omega(x, y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \omega(x, y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, in view of the identities (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \right) \omega(x, y) \\
&= Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) + \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \\
&= s(s+n) \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) + t(t+n) \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \omega(x, y) \\
&\quad - 2 \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \\
&\quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(t y_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \right\} \omega(x, y) \\
&= \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \\
&\quad \left\{ s(s+n) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \right) + t(t+n) \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s x_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(t y_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \right\} \omega(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, the identity (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \omega(x, y) \\
&= \mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \left\{ \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\nu}_x \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\nu}_y \right) Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right\} \omega(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using again (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_s^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_t^\ell(y) \omega(x, y)) &= 2\mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \left\{ \right. \\
&\sum_{j=1}^n \left(sx_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}(x, y) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^n \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right) \otimes \left(ty_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y_j}(x, y) \\
&- \sum_{j=1}^n \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right) \otimes \left(ty_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}(x, y) \\
&\left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(sx_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y_j}(x, y) \right\} \\
&= 2\mathcal{Z}_{s-2}^k(x) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{t-2}^\ell(y) \left\{ \right. \\
&\sum_{j=1}^n \left(sx_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} + c_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \otimes \left(a_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y + b_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \omega(x, y) \\
&\left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(a_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + b_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \right) \otimes \left(ty_j \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} + c_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\iota}_y \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + d_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \boldsymbol{\iota}_j \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \omega(x, y) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$J_s^k \omega(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{R}_s^k(x-y) \omega(y) dy, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.12)$$

We may see J_s^k as a convolution operator with the distribution \mathcal{R}_s^k ,

$$J_s^k \omega = \mathcal{R}_s^k * \omega.$$

So (3.12) defines a meromorphic family of operators from $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall the following formulas for the Fourier transform :

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y_j} \right) (x) = -\sqrt{-1} x_j \mathcal{F}(\omega)(x), \quad \mathcal{F}(y_j \omega)(x) = -\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathcal{F}(\omega)(x) \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{d}\omega)(x) = -\sqrt{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \mathcal{F}\omega(x), \quad \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_y \omega)(x) = -\sqrt{-1} \boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{F}(\omega)(x) \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\delta}\omega)(x) = \sqrt{-1} \boldsymbol{\iota}_x \mathcal{F}(\omega)(x), \quad \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\iota}_y \omega)(x) = \sqrt{-1} \boldsymbol{\delta}\mathcal{F}(\omega)(x). \quad (3.15)$$

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\alpha_{k,s} = (s+n-2k)(s-n+2k-2) \quad \beta_{k,s} = (s-n+2k)(s+n-2k-2) \quad (3.16)$$

$$\gamma_{k,s} = 2s(s-n+2k-2) \quad \delta_{k,s} = 2s(s+n-2k-2) \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\kappa_{k,s} = (s-n+2k-2)(s+n-2k-2) \quad (3.18)$$

Theorem 3.3. *The following identity holds true*

$$-\kappa_{k,s}\kappa_{\ell,t}\|x-y\|^2(J_{-s-n}^k \otimes J_{-t-n}^\ell) = (J_{-s-n+2}^k \otimes J_{-t-n+2}^\ell) \circ E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$$

where $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ is the differential operator with polynomial coefficients in x, y (and also in s, t) defined on (k, ℓ) -differential forms by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,t}^{k,\ell} &= -(\alpha_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}) \otimes (\alpha_{\ell,t}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{\ell,t}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}) \circ \|x-y\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s\kappa_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \gamma_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + \delta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes (\alpha_{\ell,t}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{\ell,t}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}) \circ (x_j - y_j) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\alpha_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \left(t\kappa_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \gamma_{\ell,t}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + \delta_{\ell,t}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \circ (x_j - y_j) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s\kappa_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \gamma_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + \delta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(t\kappa_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \gamma_{\ell,t}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j + \delta_{\ell,t}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \\ &\quad + s(s+n)\kappa_{k,s} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} \kappa_{\ell,t} \otimes (\alpha_{\ell,t}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{\ell,t}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}) + t(t+n)\kappa_{\ell,t} (\alpha_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

This is merely the Fourier transform version of Theorem 3.2, using (3.5) and formulas (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15). We omit details.

Next, we need to rewrite $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in its normal form (i.e. multiplications *after* differentiations). Before stating the result, let us introduce for $0 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ the following differential operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{k,s} &:= \alpha_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\delta d} + \beta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\delta}, \\ \nabla_{k,s,j} &:= \left(2\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - 4(n-2k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j\boldsymbol{\delta} + 4(n-2k)\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) - \left(s\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_{k,s}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j\boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{k,s}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \\ &= (2-s)\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - (4(n-2k) + \gamma_{k,s})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j\boldsymbol{\delta} + (4(n-2k) - \delta_{k,s})\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \\ &= (2-s) \left[(s+n-2k)(s-n+2k-2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + 2(s-n+2k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j\boldsymbol{\delta} + 2(s+n-2k)\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{k,s}$, $\beta_{k,s}$, $\gamma_{k,s}$ and $\delta_{k,s}$ are given by (3.9) and (3.10). Similarly, we introduce the operators $\square_{\ell,t}$ and $\nabla_{\ell,t,j}$ with respect to the y -variable.

Theorem 3.4. *The operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in Theorem 3.3 can be rewritten in the following normal form:*

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{s,t}^{k,\ell} &= -\|x - y\|^2 \square_{k,s} \otimes \square_{\ell,t} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \{ \nabla_{k,s,j} \otimes \square_{\ell,t} - \square_{k,s} \otimes \nabla_{\ell,t,j} \} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \nabla_{k,s,j} \otimes \nabla_{\ell,t,j} \\
&+ (t-2)(t-n-2)(t-n+2\ell)(t+n-2\ell) \square_{k,s} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} \\
&+ (s-2)(s-n-2)(s-n+2k)(s+n-2k) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} \otimes \square_{\ell,t}. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is straightforward, but long and tedious. We first need some elementary formulas .

Lemma 3.5. *Let ω be a k -form. Then, for fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathbf{d}(x_j - y_j)\omega &= (x_j - y_j) \delta \mathbf{d}\omega - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \omega - \varepsilon_j \delta \omega + \mathbf{d}\iota_j \omega \\
\mathbf{d}\delta(x_j - y_j)\omega &= (x_j - y_j) \mathbf{d}\delta \omega + \varepsilon_j \delta \omega - \mathbf{d}\iota_j \omega \\
\delta \mathbf{d}\|x - y\|^2 \omega &= \|x - y\|^2 \delta \mathbf{d}\omega + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \left(-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon_j \delta + \mathbf{d}\iota_j \right) \omega - 2(n-k)\omega \\
\mathbf{d}\delta\|x - y\|^2 \omega &= \|x - y\|^2 \mathbf{d}\delta \omega + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) (\varepsilon_j \delta - \mathbf{d}\iota_j) \omega - 2k\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Derivations are taken with respect to the x -variable.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the identities

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}(x_j - y_j)\omega = \varepsilon_j \omega + (x_j - y_j) \mathbf{d}\omega \\ \delta(x_j - y_j)\omega = -\iota_j \omega + (x_j - y_j) \delta \omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}\|x - y\|^2 \omega = \|x - y\|^2 \mathbf{d}\omega + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \varepsilon_j \omega \\ \delta\|x - y\|^2 \omega = \|x - y\|^2 \delta \omega - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \iota_j \omega. \end{cases}$$

□

We may now start the proof of Theorem 3.4. In the light of Lemma 3.5 (and its version with respect to y) together with the anti-commutator laws in (2.7) we arrive at the expressions below for the first three terms of the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in Theorem 3.3. The

first term of the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in (3.19) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\|x - y\|^2 \left(\alpha_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \left(\alpha_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \\
& + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \left(-2(n-2k)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \otimes \left(\alpha_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \\
& - 4 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \left(\alpha_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \left(-2(n-2\ell)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \\
& + 8 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(-2(n-2k)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \otimes \left(-2(n-2\ell)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \\
& + 2((n-\ell)\alpha_{\ell,t} + \ell\beta_{\ell,t}) \left(\alpha_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} \\
& + 2((n-k)\alpha_{k,s} + k\beta_{k,s}) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k} \otimes \left(\alpha_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The second term of the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in (3.19) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \left(s\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(\alpha_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \\
& - 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(-2(n-2\ell)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \\
& - 2(nsk_{k,s} + (n-k)\gamma_{k,s} + k\delta_{k,s}) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \left(\alpha_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the third term of the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in (3.19) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) \left(\alpha_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \left(t\alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \gamma_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \\
& - 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(-2(n-2k)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} - \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) + \alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \otimes \left(t\alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \gamma_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \\
& - 2(n\tau k_{\ell,t} + (n-\ell)\gamma_{\ell,t} + \ell\delta_{\ell,t}) \left(\alpha_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} + \beta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is worthwhile noting that by the anti-commutator laws in (2.7) we may rewrite the fourth term of the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in (3.19) as:

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s\alpha_{k,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \gamma_{k,s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{k,s} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right) \otimes \left(t\alpha_{\ell,t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \gamma_{\ell,t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j \boldsymbol{\delta} + \delta_{\ell,t} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j \right).$$

It remains to sum up all terms to finish the poof of Theorem 3.4

We close this section by writing the operator $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ in the particular case $k = \ell = 0$. Here the operator $E_{s,t}^{0,0}$ will act on the space $\mathcal{SE}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\boldsymbol{\delta} = 0$

and $\iota_j = 0$ on scalar functions, the operators $\square_{0,s}$ and $\nabla_{0,s,j}$ reduce to

$$\square_{0,s} = \alpha_{0,s} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{d} = -\alpha_{0,s} Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right),$$

(see (2.8)), and

$$\nabla_{0,s,j} = (2-s)\alpha_{0,s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Similar identities hold with respect to y . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,t}^{0,0} &= \alpha_{0,s} \alpha_{0,t} \left\{ -\|x-y\|^2 Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (t-2)(x_j - y_j) Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right. \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (s-2)(x_j - y_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) - (t-2)(t-n) Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (s-2)(t-2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - (s-2)(s-n) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \right\} \\ &= (s+n)(s-n-2)(t+n)(t-n-2) \times \\ &\quad \left\{ -\|x-y\|^2 Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (t-2)(x_j - y_j) Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right. \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (s-2)(x_j - y_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) - (t-2)(t-n) Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (s-2)(t-2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - (s-2)(s-n) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to the normalization constant $(s+n)(s-n-2)(t+n)(t-n-2)$ and the change of variables s by $2s$ and t by $2t$, the operator $E_{s,t}^{0,0}$ coincides with the differential operator obtained in [2, Proposition 10.3] to build covariant bi-differential operators under the (diagonal) action of the Lie group $O(n+1, 1)$.

4. BACKGROUND ON THE CONFORMAL GROUP OF \mathbb{R}^n

Let $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ be the $n+2$ -dimensional real vector space equipped with the Lorentzian quadratic form

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}] = x_0^2 - (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

Let Ξ be the isotropic cone defined by

$$\Xi = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \setminus \{0\} : [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}] = 0\}.$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, denote by $[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{R}^* \mathbf{x}$ the ray through \mathbf{x} and consider the space of isotropic rays, i.e. the quotient space Ξ/\mathbb{R}^* .

The subspace $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} : x_0 = 0\}$ will be identified with \mathbb{R}^{n+1} under the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \ni x' \longmapsto (0, x') \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}.$$

Denote by S^n the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The map

$$S^n \ni x' \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^*(1, x')$$

yields an isomorphism of S^n with Ξ/\mathbb{R}^* ; the inverse isomorphism being described by

$$\Xi/\mathbb{R}^* \ni \mathbb{R}^*\mathbf{x} \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^*\mathbf{x} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}.$$

Let $G = \text{SO}_0(1, n+1)$ be the connected component of the identity in the group of isometries for the Lorentzian form on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$. Then G acts on Ξ and commutes with the action of \mathbb{R}^* on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$, so that G acts on Ξ/\mathbb{R}^* and yielding an action of G on S^n .

Let us give more details on the action of G on the unit sphere S^n . For $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_{n+1}) \in S^n$ and $g \in G$, observe that $(g(1, x'))_0 > 0$ and define $g(x') \in S^n$ by

$$(1, g(x')) = (g(1, x'))_0^{-1} g(1, x').$$

For $g \in G$ and $x' \in S^n$, set

$$c(g, x') = (g(1, x'))_0^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly $c(g, x')$ is a smooth and strictly positive function on $G \times S^n$. Moreover, the function c satisfies the cocycle property

$$c(g_1 g_2, x') = c(g_1, g_2(x')) c(g_2, x'), \quad g_1, g_2 \in G, x' \in S^n.$$

This action turns out to be *conformal* on S^n , i.e. for any $g \in G$, $x' \in S^n$ and arbitrary $\xi \in T_x S^n$, the differential $Dg(x')$ satisfies

$$\|Dg(x')\xi\| = c(g, x')\|\xi\|,$$

and the term $c(g, x')$ is called the *conformal factor* of g at x' .

Let $e_{n+1} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, and let

$$\kappa : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow S^n \setminus \{-e_{n+1}\}$$

defined by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto \left(\frac{2x_1}{1+|x|^2}, \dots, \frac{2x_n}{1+|x|^2}, \frac{1-|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \right)$$

be the inverse map of the stereographic projection. The action of G on S^n can be transferred to a rational action (not everywhere defined) on \mathbb{R}^n , for which we still use the notation $G \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (g, x) \longmapsto g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The map κ is conformal and hence, the rational action of G on \mathbb{R}^n transferred from its action on S^n is conformal. For $g \in G$ defined at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\Omega(g, x)$ the corresponding conformal factor. Below, among other things, we will find an expression for $\Omega(g, x)$.

Choose $e_{n+1} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ as origin on the sphere S^n , and let $[(1, e_{n+1})]$ be the corresponding isotropic ray. The stabilizer of $[(1, e_{n+1})]$ is a parabolic subgroup P of G , which has the Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$ with

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & m & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : m \in SO(n) \right\},$$

$$A = \left\{ a_t := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & & \sinh t & \\ & \text{id}_n & & \\ \sinh t & & \cosh t & \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$N = \left\{ n_x := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & x^t & -\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & \\ & x & \text{id}_n & -x \\ \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & x^t & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Denote by \overline{N} the opposite nilpotent subgroup,

$$\overline{N} = \left\{ \overline{n}_x := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & x^t & \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & \\ & x & \text{id}_n & x \\ -\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & -x^t & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 & \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

The origin e_{n+1} on the sphere S^n corresponds to the point $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and hence the parabolic subgroup P is the stabilizer of $\mathbf{0}$. The group $M \simeq SO(n)$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n by its natural action and A acts on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$a_t(x) = e^{-t}x, \quad a_t \in A.$$

The group \overline{N} acts on \mathbb{R}^n by translations,

$$\overline{n}_y(x) = x + y, \quad \overline{n}_y \in \overline{N}.$$

The explicit action of N on \mathbb{R}^n (which is rational) will not be needed, but it is easily verified that

$$Dn_y(\mathbf{0}) = \text{Id}_n, \quad n_y \in N.$$

Up to a closed subset of null Haar measure, the group G is equal to $\overline{N} \times M \times A \times N$. The corresponding decomposition of $g \in G$ is

$$g = \overline{n}(g)m(g)a_{t(g)}n(g).$$

An elementary computation gives

$$Dg(\mathbf{0}) = \text{Ad } m(g)(\mathbf{0})_{|\overline{n}} \circ \text{Ad } a_{t(g)}(\mathbf{0})_{|\overline{n}} = e^{-t(g)} m(g), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \text{Lie}(\bar{N})$.

Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $g \in G$ be defined at x . Since $g(x) = g\bar{n}_x(\mathbf{0})$, it follows from (4.2) that

$$Dg(x) = D(g\bar{n}_x)(\mathbf{0}) = e^{-t(g\bar{n}_x)} m(g\bar{n}_x). \quad (4.3)$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\Omega(g, x) = e^{-t(g\bar{n}_x)}, \quad g \in G, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.4)$$

We close this paragraph by the following standard result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $g \in G$ be defined at x and y . Then*

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|^2 = \Omega(g, x) \|x - y\|^2 \Omega(g, y). \quad (4.5)$$

5. THE PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATIONS OF $\text{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$ ON THE SPACE OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Let $\mathcal{E}^k(S^n)$ be the space of differential k -forms on the unit sphere S^n ($0 \leq k \leq n$). For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let ρ_λ^k be the representation of $G = \text{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$ on $\mathcal{E}^k(S^n)$ given by

$$\rho_\lambda^k(g)\omega(x') = c(g^{-1}, x')^\lambda (L_{g^{-1}}^*\omega)(x'), \quad g \in G, \omega \in \mathcal{E}^k(S^n),$$

where L_g is the diffeomorphism $x' \mapsto g(x')$ on S^n and L_g^* is the induced action on differential forms. Here $c(g^{-1}, x')$ is conformal factor given by (4.1).

Below we will describe the *noncompact model* for this series of representations, obtained from the present model through the stereographic projection.

Denote by $\mathcal{DE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of k -forms represented as in (2.6) with the complex-valued coefficients ω_{i_1, \dots, i_k} in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now, for $g \in G$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ let

$$\pi_\lambda^k(g)\omega(x) = \Omega(g^{-1}, x)^\lambda L_{g^{-1}}^*\omega(x), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\Omega(g^{-1}, x)$ is given by (4.4). This formula defines formally a representation of G . As it stands, the representation is not globally defined. In what follows, it will be enough to observe that for a relatively compact open subset U of \mathbb{R}^n , there exists a small neighborhood V of the neutral element in G (depending on U) such that for any $g \in V$, g^{-1} is defined on U . Hence, for any smooth differential k -form ω with $\text{Supp}(\omega) \subset U$, the object $\pi_\lambda^k(g)\omega$ is well defined, it belongs to $\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and has a compact support. This allows us to define the corresponding infinitesimal representation $d\pi_\lambda^k$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ by

$$d\pi_\lambda^k(X)\omega := \frac{d}{dt} \pi_\lambda^k(\exp(tX))\omega \Big|_{t=0}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The expression is well defined when $\omega \in \mathcal{DE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The operator $d\pi_\lambda^k(X)$ is a first order differential operator with polynomial coefficients and hence can be extended to $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The representations π_λ^k can also be viewed as principal series representations. Indeed, rewrite (5.1) as

$$\pi_\lambda^k(g)\omega(x) = \Omega(g^{-1}, x)^\lambda \omega(g^{-1}(x)) \circ Dg^{-1}(x),$$

where $\omega(g^{-1}(x)) \circ Dg^{-1}(x)$ is the k -form given by

$$\omega(g^{-1}(x)) \circ Dg^{-1}(x)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \omega(g^{-1}(x))(Dg^{-1}(x)v_1, \dots, Dg^{-1}(x)v_k).$$

Now using (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$\pi_\lambda^k(g)\omega(x) = e^{-(\lambda+k)t(g^{-1}\bar{n}_x)}\sigma_k(m(g^{-1}\bar{n}_x))^{-1}\omega(g^{-1}(x)), \quad (5.2)$$

where σ_k is the representation of $M = \mathrm{SO}(n)$ on $\Lambda^k = \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The presentation (5.2) is just the noncompact realization of a principal series representation (cf [10]). This yields the identification

$$\pi_\lambda^k \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_k \otimes \chi_{\lambda+k} \otimes 1), \quad (5.3)$$

where, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by χ_λ the character of A given by $\chi_\lambda(a_t) = e^{\lambda t}$.

We pin down that the representation σ_k is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, except for the case where n is even and $k = \frac{n}{2}$ (see [6, 8]), but for our purpose, this makes no difference.

The intertwining Knapp-Stein operators play a crucial role in semi-simple harmonic analysis. In the present situation they are given as follows (see [4]),

$$I_\lambda^k \omega(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k(x-y)\omega(y)dy, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k$ is the tempered distribution defined by (3.3). In the notations of the previous section, I_λ^k is nothing but the convolution operator J_s^k , defined in (3.12), with $s = -2n + 2\lambda$. The operators I_λ^k , defined first for $\frac{n}{2} < \mathrm{Re} \lambda < n$ so that the integral converges for $\omega \in \mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, can be analytically continued to the complex λ -plane as a meromorphic family of convolution operators by tempered distributions, thus mapping $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{E}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following (a priori formal) relation holds for any $g \in G$:

$$I_\lambda^k \circ \pi_\lambda^k(g) = \pi_{n-\lambda}^k(g) \circ I_\lambda^k. \quad (5.4)$$

The relation is first proved when $\frac{n}{2} < \mathrm{Re} \lambda < n$, and shown (using the covariance property (4.5) of $\|x-y\|^2$) to be valid for forms in $\mathcal{DE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and g in an appropriate small neighborhood of the neutral element of G . The corresponding infinitesimal form of the intertwining relation (5.4) is

$$I_\lambda^k \circ d\pi_\lambda^k(X) = d\pi_{n-\lambda}^k(X) \circ I_\lambda^k, \quad (5.5)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and valid on $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By analytic continuation, it is then extended meromorphically in λ .

The following property will be required later on.

Proposition 5.1. *For generic λ , the operator I_λ^k is injective on the space $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Since I_λ^k is the convolution product with the tempered distribution $\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k$, then saying that I_λ^k is injective is equivalent to prove that (for generic λ) the multiplication operator by the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k)$ is injective on $\mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall from (3.4) that, generically in λ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k)(x) = 2\|x\|^{n-2\lambda-2} \left((n-k-\lambda)\mathbf{t}_x \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x + (\lambda-k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_x \mathbf{t}_x \right).$$

Recall also from Section 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_x \varepsilon_x)^2 &= \|x\|^2 \iota_x \varepsilon_x, & (\varepsilon_x \iota_x)^2 &= \|x\|^2 \varepsilon_x \iota_x, \\ (\iota_x \varepsilon_x)(\varepsilon_x \iota_x) &= 0, & (\iota_x \varepsilon_x)^2 + (\varepsilon_x \iota_x)^2 &= \|x\|^4 \text{Id}_\Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

If we assume, in addition, that $n - k - \lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda - k \neq 0$, then the identities (5.6) imply that for $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ the operator

$$(n - k - \lambda) \iota_x \varepsilon_x + (\lambda - k) \varepsilon_x \iota_x$$

is invertible. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{SE}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and λ as above so that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k)(x)\omega(x) = 0$. As $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_{-2n+2\lambda}^k)(x)$ is invertible for $x \neq \mathbf{0}$, it follows that $\omega(x) = 0$ for $x \neq \mathbf{0}$, and therefore $\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . \square

6. THE COVARIANCE PROPERTY OF THE SOURCE OPERATOR

We can now start to give the conformal interpretation of Theorem 3.3. On one hand, we saw in the previous section that the convolution operators J_s^k are related to the Knapp-Stein intertwining operators. So it remains to understand the conformal property of the multiplication by $\|x - y\|^2$.

The group $G = \text{SO}_0(1, n + 1)$ acts rationally on the space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by the diagonal extension of its action on \mathbb{R}^n , and hence on $\mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, giving a realization of the tensor product representation $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$. More explicitly

$$\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell(g) \omega(x, y) = \Omega(g^{-1}, x)^\lambda \Omega(g^{-1}, y)^\mu L_{g^{-1}}^* \omega(x, y).$$

Define the multiplication operator $M : \mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$M\omega(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2 \omega(x, y).$$

The covariance property (4.5) of $\|x - y\|^2$ immediately implies the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *The operator M satisfies*

$$M \circ (\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell)(g) = (\pi_{\lambda-1}^k \otimes \pi_{\mu-1}^\ell)(g) \circ M.$$

Here again the relation is valid when applied to differential forms in $\mathcal{DE}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and g in a small enough neighborhood of the neutral element of G . The rigorous infinitesimal version reads as follows : For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$M \circ d(\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell)(X) = d(\pi_{\lambda-1}^k \otimes \pi_{\mu-1}^\ell)(X) \circ M,$$

where, by definition,

$$d(\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell)(X) := d\pi_\lambda^k(X) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes d\pi_\mu^\ell(X).$$

Recall from above that the Knapp-Stein intertwining operator I_λ^k is nothing but the convolution operator J_s^k with $s = -2n + 2\lambda$. For convenience let

$$F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell} := -E_{n-2\lambda, n-2\mu}^{k,\ell},$$

where $E_{s,t}^{k,\ell}$ is the differential operator (3.20). Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell} &= 16\|x-y\|^2\tilde{\square}_{k,\lambda}\otimes\tilde{\square}_{\ell,\mu} \\
&\quad -32\sum_{j=1}^n(x_j-y_j)\left\{(2\lambda-n+2)\tilde{\nabla}_{k,\lambda,j}\otimes\tilde{\square}_{\ell,\mu}-(2\mu-n+2)\tilde{\square}_{k,\lambda}\otimes\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell,\mu,j}\right\} \\
&\quad -32(2\lambda-n+2)(2\mu-n+2)\sum_{j=1}^n\tilde{\nabla}_{k,\lambda,j}\otimes\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell,\mu,j} \\
&\quad -32(2\mu-n+2)(\mu+1)(\mu-\ell)(\mu-n+\ell)\tilde{\square}_{k,\lambda}\otimes\text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^\ell} \\
&\quad -32(2\lambda-n+2)(\lambda+1)(\lambda-k)(\lambda-n+k)\text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^k}\otimes\tilde{\square}_{\ell,\mu},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\square}_{k,\lambda} &= (\lambda-n+k)(\lambda-k+1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{d}+(\lambda-n+k+1)(\lambda-k)\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\delta} \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{k,\lambda,j} &= (\lambda-n+k)(\lambda-k+1)\partial_{x_j}-(\lambda-k)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_j\boldsymbol{\delta}-(\lambda-n+k)\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\nu}_j,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\square}_{\ell,\mu}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell,\mu,j}$. We will call $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ the *source operator*.

Theorem 3.3 can now be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *The differential operator $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ acts on $\mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies*

$$\kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}M\circ(I_\lambda^k\otimes I_\mu^\ell)=(I_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes I_{\mu+1}^\ell)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell},$$

where $\kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}=16(\lambda-k+1)(\lambda-n+k+1)(\mu-\ell+1)(\mu-n+\ell+1)$.

Moreover, we have the following covariance property of the source operator $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$.

Theorem 6.3. *For all $\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}$ and for any $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, we have*

$$F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}\circ d(\pi_\lambda^k\otimes\pi_\mu^\ell)(X)=d(\pi_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes\pi_{\mu+1}^\ell)(X)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}.$$

Proof. In the light of Theorem 6.2, Proposition 6.1 and the identity (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(I_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes I_{\mu+1}^\ell)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}\circ d(\pi_\lambda^k\otimes\pi_\mu^\ell)(X) \\
&= \kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}M\circ(I_\lambda^k\otimes I_\mu^\ell)\circ d(\pi_\lambda^k\otimes\pi_\mu^\ell)(X) \\
&= \kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}M\circ d(\pi_{n-\lambda}^k\otimes\pi_{n-\mu}^\ell)(X)\circ(I_\lambda^k\otimes I_\mu^\ell) \\
&= \kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}d(\pi_{n-\lambda-1}^k\otimes\pi_{n-\mu-1}^\ell)(X)\circ M\circ(I_\lambda^k\otimes I_\mu^\ell) \\
&= d(\pi_{n-\lambda-1}^k\otimes\pi_{n-\mu-1}^\ell)(X)\circ(I_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes I_{\mu+1}^\ell)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell} \\
&= (I_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes I_{\mu+1}^\ell)\circ d(\pi_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes\pi_{\mu+1}^\ell)(X)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, use Proposition 5.1 to finish the proof. \square

As G is connected, the infinitesimal covariance property of the operator $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ implies first its covariance under the group G , that is

$$F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}\circ(\pi_\lambda^k\otimes\pi_\mu^\ell)(g)\omega=(\pi_{\lambda+1}^k\otimes\pi_{\mu+1}^\ell)(g)\circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}\omega,$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{DE}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in G$ is such that g^{-1} is defined on a neighborhood of the support of ω .

Remark 6.4. *Let us mention that improving on our results, it is possible to construct a differential operator $\tilde{F}_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ on $S^n \times S^n$, which admits $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ as its local expression on $S^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, -1)\} \times S^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, -1)\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and which is covariant for G with respect to $(\rho_\lambda^k \otimes \rho_\mu^\ell, \rho_{\lambda+1}^k \otimes \rho_{\mu+1}^\ell)$. We skip the proof as this corresponds to general standard results. See for instance Section 8.2 in [2] or Fact 3.3 in [14].*

It is possible to compose the source operators, to yield more covariant differential operators. Indeed, for arbitrary integer $m \geq 1$, we set

$$F_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell} := F_{\lambda+m-1,\mu+m-1}^{k,\ell} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\lambda+1,\mu+1}^{k,\ell} \circ F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}.$$

Then $F_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell}$ intertwines the representations $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$ and $\pi_{\lambda+m}^k \otimes \pi_{\mu+m}^\ell$.

The following statement gives another approach to these operators.

Proposition 6.5. *For any integer $m \geq 1$, we have*

$$\kappa_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell} M^m \circ (I_\lambda^k \otimes I_\mu^\ell) = (I_{\lambda+m}^k \otimes I_{\mu+m}^\ell) \circ F_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell},$$

where $\kappa_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell} = \kappa_{\lambda+m-1,\mu+m-1}^{k,\ell} \cdots \kappa_{\lambda+1,\mu+1}^{k,\ell} \kappa_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$ and $M^m = M \circ \cdots \circ M$, m -times.

Proof. For $m = 1$, this is Theorem 6.2. Assume $m \geq 2$. By induction on m we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell} M^m \circ (I_\lambda^k \otimes I_\mu^\ell) &= \kappa_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell} M \circ M^{m-1} \circ (I_\lambda^k \otimes I_\mu^\ell) \\ &= \kappa_{\lambda+m-1,\mu+m-1}^{k,\ell} M \circ (I_{\lambda+m-1}^k \otimes I_{\mu+m-1}^\ell) \circ F_{\lambda,\mu;m-1}^{k,\ell} \\ &= (I_{\lambda+m}^k \otimes I_{\mu+m}^\ell) \circ F_{\lambda+m-1,\mu+m-1}^{k,\ell} \circ F_{\lambda,\mu;m-1}^{k,\ell} \\ &= (I_{\lambda+m}^k \otimes I_{\mu+m}^\ell) \circ F_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

□

7. CONFORMALLY COVARIANT BI-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

From the source operators $F_{\lambda,\mu}^{k,\ell}$, one can construct covariant bi-differential operators under the action of $G = \text{SO}_0(1, n+1)$. First, introduce the *restriction map*

$$\mathbf{res} : \mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell)$$

defined by

$$(\mathbf{res} \omega)(x) = \omega(x, x),$$

where $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell)$ denotes the space of complex-valued smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n with values in $\Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$. Let G acts on $\mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$. Using the realization of π_λ^k and π_μ^ℓ as principal series representations (see (5.3)), the following result is immediate.

Proposition 7.1. *For any (λ, μ) the map \mathbf{res} intertwines the representations $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$ and $\text{Ind}_P^G((\sigma_k \otimes \sigma_\ell) \otimes \chi_{\lambda+\mu+k+\ell} \otimes 1)$.*

As a representation of $M = \mathrm{SO}(n)$, the representation $\sigma_k \otimes \sigma_\ell$ is in general not irreducible. Let Γ be a minimal invariant subspace of $\Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$ under the action of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. Let σ_Γ be the corresponding irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ on Γ and let p_Γ be the orthogonal projection on Γ . Define the map \mathbf{res}_Γ by

$$\mathbf{res}_\Gamma = p_\Gamma \circ \mathbf{res}.$$

We can refine the previous proposition as follows.

Proposition 7.2. *For any (λ, μ) the map \mathbf{res}_Γ intertwines the representations $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\Gamma \otimes \chi_{\lambda+\mu+k+\ell} \otimes 1)$.*

Now define the bi-differential operators

$$B_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell;\Gamma} : \mathcal{E}^{k,\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Gamma)$$

by

$$B_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell;\Gamma} := \mathbf{res}_\Gamma \circ F_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell},$$

where $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Gamma)$ denotes the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n with values in $\Gamma \subset \Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$.

Theorem 7.3. *The operator $B_{\lambda,\mu;m}^{k,\ell;\Gamma}$ is a bi-differential operator covariant with respect to $\pi_\lambda^k \otimes \pi_\mu^\ell$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\Gamma \otimes \chi_{\lambda+\mu+k+\ell+2m} \otimes 1)$.*

In some cases, it is possible to give an explicit expression for these covariant bi-differential operators. For instance, assume that $0 \leq k + \ell \leq n$, then the representation $\Lambda^{k+\ell}$ appears in the decomposition of the tensor product $\Lambda^k \otimes \Lambda^\ell$ with multiplicity one and the projection (up to a normalization factor) is given by

$$p_{\Lambda^{k+\ell}}(\omega \otimes \eta) = \omega \wedge \eta.$$

For $m = 1$, the bi-differential operator $B_{\lambda,\mu;1}^{k,\ell;\Gamma}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\lambda,\mu;1}^{k,\ell;\Gamma}(\omega \otimes \eta)(x) &= -32 \left\{ (2\mu - n + 2)(\mu + 1)(\mu - \ell)(\mu - n + \ell) \tilde{\square}_{k,\lambda} \omega(x) \wedge \eta(x) \right. \\ &\quad + (2\lambda - n + 2)(2\mu - n + 2) \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\nabla}_{k,\lambda,j} \omega(x) \wedge \tilde{\nabla}_{\ell,\mu,j} \eta(x) \\ &\quad \left. + (2\lambda - n + 2)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - k)(\lambda - n + k) \omega(x) \wedge \tilde{\square}_{\ell,\mu} \eta(x) \right\} \quad (7.1) \end{aligned}$$

If in addition $k = \ell = 0$, i.e. $\omega, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\lambda,\mu;1}^{0,0;\mathbb{C}}(\omega \otimes \eta)(x) &= -64(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - n)(\mu + 1)(\mu - n) \left\{ \mu \left(\mu - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \left(Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \omega \right) (x) \eta(x) \right. \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\lambda - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \left(\mu - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} \right) (x) \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_j} \right) (x) \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda \left(\lambda - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \omega(x) \left(Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \eta \right) (x) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Q is the quadratic form (2.9). Hence we recover the multidimensional Rankin-Cohen operators in [2, Section 10].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Beckmann and J.-L. Clerc, *Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi)-differential operators under the conformal group*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4341–4376
- [2] S. Ben Saïd, J.-L. Clerc and K. Koufany, *Conformally covariant bi-differential operators on a simple real Jordan algebra*, Int. Math. Res. Notes (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rny082>
- [3] J.-L. Clerc *Another approach to Juhl’s conformally covariant differential operators from S^n to S^{n-1}* , SIGMA 13 (2017), 026, 18 pages.
- [4] M. Fischmann and B. Ørsted, *A family of Riesz distributions for differential forms on Euclidean space*, arXiv:1702.00930v1 (2017)
- [5] M. Fischmann, B. Ørsted and P. Somberg, *Bernstein-Sato identities and conformal symmetry breaking operators*, arXiv: 1711.01546 (2017).
- [6] M. Fischmann, A. Juhl and P. Somberg, *Conformal symmetry breaking differential operators on differential forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (2018), to appear.
- [7] I. M Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions. Vol. 2. Spaces of fundamental and generalized functions*, Translated from the 1958 Russian original by Morris D. Friedman, Amiel Feinstein and Christian P. Peltzer. Reprint of the 1968 English translation. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2016. x+261 pp.
- [8] A. Ikeda and Y. Taniguchi, *Spectra of eigenforms of the Laplacian on S^n and $P^n(\mathbb{C})$* , Osaka J. Math. 15, (1978), 515–546.
- [9] A. Juhl, *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography*, Progress in Mathematics, **275**, Birkäuser Verlag (2009).
- [10] A.W. Knap, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1986).
- [11] T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **238** (2015).
- [12] T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups, II*, arXiv:1801.00158, 273 pages.
- [13] T. Kobayashi and M. Pevzner, *Differential symmetry breaking operators I. General theory and F-method*, Selecta Math. **12** (2016), 801–845, II. Rankin-Cohen operators for symmetric pairs, Selecta Math. **22** (2016), 847–911.
- [14] T. Kobayashi, T. Kubo and M. Pevzner, *Conformal symmetry breaking operators for differential forms on spheres*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2170, Springer (2016)
- [15] V. Ovsienko and P. Redou, *Generalized transvectants Rankin-Cohen brackets*, Lett. Math. Phys. **63** (2003), 19–28.
- [16] R. S. Strichartz, *A guide to distribution theory and Fourier transforms*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1994.

INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UMR CNRS 7502, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, CAMPUS AIGUILLETES - BP 70239, F-54506 VANDOEUVRE-LÈS-NANCY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: (Salem.Bensaid / Jean-Louis.Clerc / Khalid.Koufany) @univ-lorraine.fr

SALEM BEN SAID (*Current address*): DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES UNIVERSITY, AL AIN ABU DHABI, UAE.