

Sparse Representations for Uncertainty Quantification of a Coupled Field-Circuit Problem

Roland Pulch and Sebastian Schöps

Abstract We consider a model of an electric circuit, where differential algebraic equations for a circuit part are coupled to partial differential equations for an electromagnetic field part. An uncertainty quantification is performed by changing physical parameters into random variables. A random quantity of interest is expanded into the (generalised) polynomial chaos using orthogonal basis polynomials. We investigate the determination of sparse representations, where just a few basis polynomials are required for a sufficiently accurate approximation. Furthermore, we apply model order reduction with proper orthogonal decomposition to obtain a low-dimensional representation in an alternative basis.

1 Introduction

In science and engineering, complex applications require an advanced modelling by multiphysics systems or coupled systems. We examine a coupled field-circuit problem of an electric circuit, where differential algebraic equations (DAEs) for circuit components are combined with partial differential equations (PDEs) for electromagnetic components, see [8].

Uncertainty quantification (UQ) investigates the impact of variations in input parameters on a quantity of interest (QoI). Often parameters are remodelled into random variables. The random QoI can be expanded in the (generalised) polynomial chaos, where orthogonal basis polynomials are involved, see [12]. Sparse representations aim for a reduced set of basis functions with a given accuracy of approximation. Many methods for sparse representations have been derived and studied, see [2, 3, 5] and the references therein. Alternatively, methods of model order re-

R. Pulch: Universität Greifswald, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Walther-Rathenau-Str. 47, 17489 Greifswald, Germany, e-mail: roland.pulch@uni-greifswald.de
S. Schöps: Technische Universität Darmstadt, Centre for Computational Engineering, Dolivostr. 15, 64293 Darmstadt, Germany, e-mail: schoeps@temf.tu-darmstadt.de

duction (MOR) yield low-dimensional (dense) approximations of the random QoI, see [6, 7].

We apply this UQ concept to the coupled field-circuit problem [11]. On the one hand, sparse representations are determined by neglecting basis functions with small coefficients. On the other hand, MOR using proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) identifies a low-dimensional approximation in an alternative basis. Our aim is to obtain approximations with as few basis functions as possible, while still maintaining some accuracy. Computational effort during the offline phase, i.e., evaluations of the multiphysics systems, is not saved by the proposed methods. However, the online evaluation cost of the polynomials can be reduced in the first approach.




Fig. 1 Diagram of rectifier circuit. A PDE model is used for the components in dashed box.

2 Coupled Field-Circuit Problem

We investigate the rectifier circuit depicted in Fig. 1. The model consists of a circuit part and a field part. Modified nodal analysis (MNA) [4] produces a system of DAEs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_C \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{q}_C(\mathbf{u}, t) + \mathbf{A}_R \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{u}, t) + \mathbf{A}_L \mathbf{j}_L + \mathbf{A}_V \mathbf{j}_V + \mathbf{A}_M \mathbf{j}_M + \mathbf{A}_D \mathbf{j}_D + \mathbf{A}_I \mathbf{i}(t) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \boldsymbol{\phi}_L(\mathbf{j}_L, t) - \mathbf{A}_L^\top \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{A}_V^\top \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}(t) &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with incidence matrices \mathbf{A}_* , node voltages $\mathbf{u}(t)$, branch currents $\mathbf{j}_L(t)$, $\mathbf{j}_V(t)$, sources $\mathbf{i}(t)$, $\mathbf{v}(t)$ and constitutive relations $\mathbf{q}_C(\cdot, t)$, $\mathbf{r}_C(\cdot, t)$, $\boldsymbol{\phi}_L(\cdot, t)$. Initial values are considered in the time interval $t \in [t_0, t_{\text{end}}]$. We apply Shockley's model

$$j_{D,k} = I_{S,k} \left(\exp \left(\mathbf{A}_{D,k}^\top \mathbf{u} / U_{\text{TH},k} \right) - 1 \right), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2)$$

for the four diodes with parameters $I_{S,k}$, $U_{\text{TH},k}$, where $\mathbf{A}_{D,k}$ denotes the k th column of \mathbf{A}_D . We involve a refined model for the transformer (dashed box in Fig. 1) given by the two-dimensional (2D) magnetostatic approximation of Maxwell's equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}(\|\nabla A(t, \mathbf{x})\|, \mathbf{x}) \nabla A(t, \mathbf{x})) &= \boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{j}_M(t) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \Lambda \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{x}) A(t, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{A}_M^\top \mathbf{u}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

on the spatial domain $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The magnetic vector potential $A : [t_0, t_{\text{end}}] \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is unknown. The lumped currents and voltages are distributed and integrated by the winding function $\boldsymbol{\chi} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, see [9]. The reluctivity is $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$. In the iron core region, it reads as $\mathbf{v}(B, \mathbf{x}) = v(B)\mathbf{I}_2$ (identity matrix \mathbf{I}_2) using Brauer's model

$$v(B) = \kappa_1 \exp(\kappa_2 B^2) + \kappa_3 \quad (4)$$

with the magnetic field $B = \|\nabla A\|$ and the parameters $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$. A finite element method yields a nonlinear system of algebraic equations. More details on this coupled problem can be found in [8]. Now we define the output voltage as QoI.

3 Stochastic Model

We consider uncertainties in $q = 11$ parameters: the parameters of Shockley's model (2) for each diode separately (8 parameters) and the three parameters of Brauer's model (4). We describe the uncertainties by independent uniform probability distributions with 20% variation around each mean value. The random variables are $\mathbf{p} : \Omega \rightarrow \Pi$ with event space Ω and parameter domain $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. The joint probability density function is constant on the cuboid Π . Let $y : [t_0, t_{\text{end}}] \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the random output voltage (QoI) of the coupled problem.

The expected value of a function $f : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ reads as

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \frac{1}{\text{volume}(\Pi)} \int_{\Pi} f(\mathbf{p}) \, d\mathbf{p}. \quad (5)$$

The expected value (5) implies an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}[fg]$ for two square-integrable functions. The accompanying norm is $\|f\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$. We define the basis polynomials $(\Phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\Phi_i : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi_i(\mathbf{p}) = \phi_{i_1}(p_1)\phi_{i_2}(p_2) \cdots \phi_{i_q}(p_q)$, where ϕ_ℓ denotes the (normalised) Legendre polynomial of degree ℓ . There is a one-to-one mapping between the indices i and the multi-indices i_1, \dots, i_q . It follows that $(\Phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ represents a complete orthonormal system satisfying $\langle \Phi_i, \Phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

We assume that the random process $y(t, \cdot)$ is square-integrable for each t . Consequently, the (generalised) polynomial chaos expansion

$$y(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i(t) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}) \quad (6)$$

exists pointwise for each t . The coefficient functions are given by the inner products $w_i(t) = \langle y(t, \cdot), \Phi_i(\cdot) \rangle$. The infinite series (6) is truncated to a finite sum

$$\tilde{y}^{(I)}(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{w}_i(t) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}) \quad (7)$$

with a finite index set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and approximations \tilde{w}_i of the coefficients. Typically, all polynomials up to a total degree d are included in an index set I^d . The number of basis polynomials becomes $|I^d| = \frac{(d+q)!}{d!q!}$.

Stochastic collocation techniques yield approximations of the unknown coefficient functions, see [12]. A quadrature rule is determined by nodes $\{\mathbf{p}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}^{(s)}\} \subset \Pi$ and weights $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. The approximations become

$$\tilde{w}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j y(t, \mathbf{p}^{(j)}) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}^{(j)}) \quad (8)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and w.l.o.g. $I^d = \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus the coupled problem (1),(3) has to be solved s -times for different realisations of the parameters.

4 Sparse Approximation

The aim is to find an index set $J \subset I^d$ with $|J| \ll |I^d|$ for fixed total degree d , while the error is still below some threshold. The total error $y - \tilde{y}^{(J)}$ consists of three parts: (i) the truncation error ($\mathbb{N} \rightarrow I^d$), (ii) the error of the numerical method ($w_i \rightarrow \tilde{w}_i$), and (iii) the additional sparsification error ($I^d \rightarrow J$). We assume that the errors (i) and (ii) are sufficiently small and focus on the error (iii).

The relative L^2 -error of the sparsification reads as

$$E(t; J) = \frac{\left\| \tilde{y}^{(I^d)}(t, \cdot) - \tilde{y}^{(J)}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2}}{\left\| \tilde{y}^{(I^d)}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2}} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I^d \setminus J} \tilde{w}_i(t)^2}{\sum_{i \in I^d} \tilde{w}_i(t)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9)$$

for each t including the coefficients (8). Given an error tolerance $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain an optimal index set

$$J_t = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ |J'| : J' \subseteq I^d \text{ and } E(t, J') < \varepsilon \right\} \quad (10)$$

with respect to the error (9) for each time point. A global index set is given by

$$\hat{J} = \bigcup_{t \in [t_0, t_{\text{end}}]} J_t, \quad (11)$$

which is sufficiently accurate with respect to the tolerance ε for all times. More details can be found in [6].

5 Model Order Reduction

Alternatively, we use an MOR with proper orthogonal decomposition (POD), see [1], to determine a low-dimensional approximation of the polynomial surrogate. Let $I^d = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = (\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m)^\top$. A transient simulation of the coupled problem yields the coefficients (8) by the stochastic collocation technique. We collect snapshots $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t_0), \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t_1), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t_{k-1})$ for discrete time points in a matrix $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$. A singular value decomposition yields

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{USV}^\top \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{S} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{\min\{m,k\}})$$

including the singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots$ and orthogonal matrices $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$. Let $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ be the columns of the matrix \mathbf{U} . We arrange the orthogonal projection matrix $\mathbf{P}_r = (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ ($\mathbf{P}_r^\top \mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{I}_r$) for each dimension $r \leq \min\{m, k\}$. Given $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the best approximation with respect to the reduced basis reads as $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_r(t) = \mathbf{P}_r^\top \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t)$ for any t . Vice versa, we obtain the approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(t) \approx \mathbf{P}_r \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_r(t)$ for given $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_r(t)$ and any t .

We define the (dense) low-dimensional approximation, cf. (7),

$$\tilde{y}^{(I^d)}(t, \mathbf{p}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^r u_{ij} \tilde{w}_j(t) \right] \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{j=1}^r \tilde{w}_j(t) \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^m u_{ij} \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}) \right]}_{=: \Psi_j(\mathbf{p})} \quad (12)$$

with the new orthonormal basis polynomials $\{\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_r\}$ and associated coefficients $\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_r$. An error estimate for an approximation of this kind is given in [7].

6 Numerical Results

In the coupled problem (1),(3), we supply a harmonic oscillation with period $T = 0.02$ as input voltage. We use the Stroud-5 quadrature rule with $s = 243$ nodes, which is exact for all polynomials up to total degree 5, see [10]. In each node, we perform a monolithic time integration in $[0, 2T]$ by the implicit Euler method. The step size $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$ is used in time, whereas a smaller step size does hardly change the numerical results. This time integration yields $k = 401$ snapshots in equidistant time points. We choose the polynomial degree $d = 3$, i.e., $|I^3| = m = 364$ due to $q = 11$ random parameters.

Figure 2 (left) shows the maximum of the coefficients (8) in time. All coefficients of degree three are (at least) three orders of magnitudes smaller than the coefficient of degree zero. This property suggests that the relative truncation error is below 0.1%. Furthermore, Figure 2 (right) demonstrates a fast decay, which indicates some potential for a sparse approximation as described in Section 4. For given error tolerances $\varepsilon \in [10^{-4}, 10^{-1}]$, we determine the cardinalities $\max_{t \in [0, 2T]} |J_t|$ (pointwise)

and $|\hat{J}|$ (union) with the index sets (10) and (11), respectively. Figure 3 (left) illustrates the cardinalities in dependence on the error tolerances. The potential for a global sparse approximation using (11) is bad, because more than 80 basis polynomials are required.

Alternatively, we apply the POD technique from Section 5. We choose the reduced dimensions $r = 1, \dots, 20$ and obtain the approximations (12). Figure 3 (right) depicts the maximum in time of the relative L^2 -errors for these approximations. Now we achieve an efficient low-dimensional representation, where less than 20 basis polynomials ($r \ll m$) yield a small error.




Fig. 2 Maximum of $\{\tilde{w}_i(t) : t \in [0, 2T]\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 364$ with coefficients (8), left: dashed lines separate the coefficients of degree zero/one, two and three, right: coefficients in descending order.




Fig. 3 Relation between number of basis polynomials and error tolerance or maximum relative L^2 -errors, left: sparsification by neglecting basis polynomials, right: basis selection using POD.

7 Conclusions

We performed an UQ of a coupled DAE-PDE system modelling a field-circuit problem. Sparse approximations of the random QoI were identified using its orthogonal polynomial expansion. In this test example, an appropriate sparse representation could not be achieved on the global time interval by simply neglecting basis polynomials. Alternatively, we obtained an efficient low-dimensional approximation by changing to another orthogonal polynomial basis, which was identified via an MOR.

Acknowledgements The research of the second author is supported by the Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments and by the Graduate School of Computational Engineering at Technische Universität Darmstadt.

References

1. Antoulas, A.: Approximation of Large-Scale Dynamical Systems. SIAM Publications (2005)
2. Blatman, G., Sudret, B.: Adaptive sparse polynomial chaos expansion based on least angle regression. *J. Comput. Phys.* **230** (6), pp. 2345–2367 (2011)
3. Doostan, A., Owhadi, H.: A non-adapted sparse approximation of PDEs with stochastic inputs. *J. Comput. Phys.* **230** (8), pp. 3015–3034 (2011)
4. Ho, C.W., Ruehli, A., Brennan, P.: The modified nodal approach to network analysis. *IEEE Trans. Circ. Syst.* **22** (6), pp. 504–509 (1975)
5. Jakeman, J.D., Narayan, A., Zhou, T.: A generalized sampling and preconditioning scheme for sparse approximation of polynomial chaos expansions. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **39** (3), pp. A1114–A1144 (2017)
6. Pulch, R.: Model order reduction and low-dimensional representations for random linear dynamical systems. *Math. Comput. Simulat.* **144**, pp. 1–20 (2018)
7. Pulch, R.: Model order reduction for random nonlinear dynamical systems and low-dimensional representations for their quantities of interest. [arXiv:1704.02284](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.02284) (2017) to appear in: *Math. Comput. Simulat.*
8. Schöps, S.: Multiscale Modeling and Multirate Time-Integration of Field/Circuit Coupled Problems. VDI Verlag. Fortschritt-Berichte VDI, Reihe 21, Nr. 398 (2011)
9. Schöps, S., De Gersem, H., Weiland, T.: Winding functions in transient magnetoquasistatic field-circuit coupled simulations. *COMPEL* **29** (2), pp. 2063–2083 (2013)
10. Stroud, A.J.: Approximate Calculation of Multiple Integrals. Prentice-Hall, Inc. (1971)
11. Tsukerman, I.A., Konrad, A., Meunier, G., Sabonnadiere, J.C.: Coupled field-circuit problems: trends and accomplishments. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **29** (2), pp. 1701–1704 (1993)
12. Xiu, D.: Numerical Methods for Stochastic Computations: a Spectral Method Approach. Princeton University Press (2010)