

# COTANGENT SUMS RELATED TO THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS FOR VARIOUS SHIFTS OF THE ARGUMENT

HELMUT MAIER AND MICHAEL TH. RASSIAS

**ABSTRACT.** One of the approaches to the Riemann Hypothesis is the Nyman-Beurling criterion. Cotangent sums play a significant role. Here we investigate the values of these cotangent sums for various shifts of the argument.

**Key words:** Cotangent sums, equidistribution, moments, asymptotics, Estermann zeta function, Riemann zeta function, Riemann Hypothesis, exponential sums in finite fields.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 33B10, 41A60, 11M06, 28A25, 46E15, 60E10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In several papers ([10], [11], [12], [13], [14]) the authors have investigated the distribution of the cotangent sums

$$c_0\left(\frac{r}{b}\right) := -\sum_{m=1}^{b-1} \frac{m}{b} \cot\left(\frac{\pi mr}{b}\right),$$

where  $r, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq b$  and  $(r, b) = 1$ .

They could establish a link with the function  $g(\alpha)$ , defined by

**Definition 1.1.**

$$g(\alpha) := \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - 2\{l\alpha\}}{l}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

which is convergent for almost all  $\alpha$  (see [6]).

**Definition 1.2.** For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$F(z) := \text{meas}\{\alpha \in (0, 1) : g(\alpha) \leq z\},$$

where “meas” denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Let  $\mu$  be the uniquely defined positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the following property:

For  $\alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$\mu([\alpha, \beta]) = F(\beta) - F(\alpha).$$

We set

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \text{ compact set } \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } |f(x)| < \epsilon, \forall x \notin \mathcal{K}\}.$$

---

*Date:* June 5, 2021.

The second author in his thesis [16] (see also [10], Theorem 1.2) could establish the following result:

Let  $A_0, A_1$  be fixed constants, such that  $1/2 < A_0 < A_1 < 1$ . For all  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(A_1 - A_0)\phi(b)} \sum_{\substack{r : (r,b)=1 \\ A_0 b \leq r \leq A_1 b}} f\left(\frac{1}{b}c_0\left(\frac{r}{b}\right)\right) = \int f d\mu,$$

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  denotes the Euler phi-function.

Later S. Bettin [3] could replace the inequality  $1/2 < A_0 < A_1 < 1$  by  $0 < A_0 < A_1 \leq 1$ . In [15] the authors considered the distribution of the values of  $c_0$  for rational numbers with primes as numerator and a fixed prime as denominator and could prove a result analogous to (1.1) (Theorem 1.3 of [15]). Namely, they proved that for all  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , the following holds true:

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow +\infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} \frac{\log q}{(A_1 - A_0)q} \sum_{\substack{p : A_0 q \leq p \leq A_1 q \\ p \text{ prime}}} f\left(\frac{1}{q}c_0\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\right) = \int f d\mu.$$

The cotangent sum  $c_0(r/b)$  has gained importance in the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis through its relation with the Vasyunin sum, which is defined by:

$$V\left(\frac{r}{b}\right) := \sum_{m=1}^{b-1} \left\{ \frac{mr}{b} \right\} \cot\left(\frac{\pi mr}{b}\right),$$

where  $\{u\} := u - [u]$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We have (see [2], [4]) that

$$V\left(\frac{r}{b}\right) = -c_0\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{b}\right),$$

where  $\bar{r}$  is such that  $\bar{r}r \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ .

One has the following identity (see [2], [4]):

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi(rb)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{it} \frac{dt}{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} = \frac{\log 2\pi - \gamma}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{b}\right) + \frac{b-r}{2rb} \log \frac{r}{b} - \frac{\pi}{2rb} \left( V\left(\frac{r}{b}\right) + V\left(\frac{b}{r}\right) \right).$$

Terms of the form (1.2) appear in the Nyman-Beurling-Baéz-Duarte-Vasyunin approach to the Riemann Hypothesis (see [1], [2]). The Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} d_N = 0,$$

where

$$d_N^2 := \inf_{D_N} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| 1 - \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) D_N\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{\frac{1}{4} + t^2},$$

the infimum being taken over all Dirichlet polynomials

$$D_N(s) := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

In this paper we simultaneously consider the values of  $c_0$  for various shifts of the argument. We consider general numerators as well as prime numerators. For simplicity the denominator will be a fixed prime  $q$ . Our main results are the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A_0, A_1$  be fixed constants, such that  $1/2 < A_0 < A_1 < 1$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_L$  be distinct non-negative integers. Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  ( $L \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then we have:*

(i)

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow +\infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{r: A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q} \frac{1}{(A_1 - A_0)^L} \prod_{l=1}^L f_l \left( c_0 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) \right) = \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int f_l d\mu \right).$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow +\infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} \frac{\log q}{q} \sum_{\substack{p: A_0 q \leq p \leq A_1 q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{(A_1 - A_0)^L} \prod_{l=1}^L f_l \left( c_0 \left( \frac{p + a_l}{q} \right) \right) = \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int f_l d\mu \right).$$

The proof of (ii) can be obtained from the proof of (i) by only minor changes. We give only a detailed proof of (i) and sketch the changes needed for the proof of (ii).

## 2. OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

Several fundamental ideas already appear in the paper [10] and in the thesis [16]. The key to the treatment of the sum  $c_0(r/q)$  lies in its relation to the sum

$$Q \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) := \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \cot \left( \frac{\pi m r}{q} \right) \left\lfloor \frac{m r}{q} \right\rfloor.$$

The second author in his thesis [16] established the following (see also Proposition 1.8 of [10]):

$$(2.1) \quad c_0 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) = \frac{1}{r} c_0 \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) - \frac{1}{r} Q \left( \frac{r}{q} \right).$$

We now have to consider simultaneously the values

$$f_1 \left( Q \left( \frac{r + a_1}{q} \right) \right), \dots, f_L \left( Q \left( \frac{r + a_L}{q} \right) \right).$$

By the Weierstrass approximation theorem this question may be reduced to the study of the joint distribution of the products

$$(2.2) \quad \prod (k_1, \dots, k_L) := Q \left( \frac{r + a_1}{q} \right)^{k_1} \cdots Q \left( \frac{r + a_L}{q} \right)^{k_L}$$

for  $L$ -tuplets  $(k_1, \dots, k_L)$  of non-negative integers.

In a similar fashion as in the previous papers, we shall break up the range of summation into subintervals in which

$$\left\lfloor \frac{(r + a_l)m}{q} \right\rfloor$$

assumes constant values.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  we set:

$$S_j^{(l)} := \{(r + a_l)m : qj \leq (r + a_l)m < q(j + 1)\}$$

and write

$$S_j^{(l)} := \{qj + s_j^{(l)}, qj + s_j^{(l)} + (r + a_l), \dots, qj + s_j^{(l)} + (r + a_l)d_j^{(l)}\}.$$

We also define  $t_j^{(l)}$  by

$$qj + s_j^{(l)} + d_j^{(l)}(r + a_l) + t_j^{(l)} := q(j + 1).$$

In [10] and [15] the map

$$j \longrightarrow s_j$$

and its inverse

$$s \longrightarrow j(s)$$

were very important.

They are now replaced by  $L$  maps

$$j \longrightarrow s_j^{(l)} \quad (1 \leq l \leq L)$$

and their inverses

$$s \longrightarrow j_l(s)$$

We also have  $L$  pairs of congruences

$$(2.2) \quad s_j^{(l)} \equiv -qj \pmod{(r + a_l)} \quad \text{and} \quad t_j^{(l)} \equiv q(j + 1) \pmod{(r + a_l)}.$$

Each of the sums

$$Q\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right)$$

is dominated by small values of  $s_j^{(l)}$  and  $t_j^{(l)}$  because of the poles of the function  $\cot(\pi x)$  at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ . We denote this partial sum by

$$Q_0\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right)$$

and thus we obtain the decomposition

$$Q\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right) = Q_0\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right) + Q_1\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right).$$

The function  $\cot(\pi x)$  is antisymmetric

$$\cot(\pi(1 - x)) = -\cot(\pi x).$$

Therefore there will be considerable cancellation in the sums

$$Q_1\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right),$$

which thus will be small.

By the binomial theorem the products

$$\prod(k_1, \dots, k_L)$$

in (2.1) will be linear combinations of products of the form

$$(2.3) \quad Q_{\epsilon_1}\left(\frac{r + a_{l_1}}{q}\right)^{h_1} \cdots Q_{\epsilon_M}\left(\frac{r + a_{l_M}}{q}\right)^{h_M}$$

with  $\epsilon_g \in \{0, 1\}$ .

We shall show that only the products with  $\epsilon_g = 0$  for  $1 \leq g \leq M$  will give a substantial contribution.

The asymptotic size of these products will be determined by localising the solutions of the congruences (2.2) simultaneously for all  $l$ . Whereas in [10] Kloosterman sums could be used for this localisation, here we need results on more general exponential sums in finite fields, due to Bombieri [5].

The contribution of the other products in (2.3) is small, since at least one  $\epsilon_g = 1$ . For the discussion of these factors

$$Q_1 \left( \frac{r + a_{l_g}}{q} \right)^{h_g}$$

we can refer to results of [10].

### 3. EXPONENTIAL SUMS IN FINITE FIELDS

The next result is due to Bombieri [5]. We largely adopt the definitions and results of this paper.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $q = p^m$  be a prime power,  $k$  the finite field with  $q$  elements. Let  $X$  be a complete non-singular curve of genus  $g$ , defined over  $k$ .*

*Let  $R(x) \in k(x)$  be a rational function on  $X$ , satisfying the condition*

$$(3.1) \quad R(x) \neq h^p - h \quad \text{for } h \in \bar{k}(x),$$

*$\bar{k}$  being the algebraic closure of  $k$ .*

We may identify  $R(x)$  with a rational map

$$R : X \rightarrow A^1$$

of  $X$  into the affine line  $A^1$  defined over  $k$ .

Let  $X_m$  be the set of points of  $X$  defined over  $F_{q^m}$  (the field of  $q^m$  elements), thus we may speak about the value of the function  $R(x)$  at a point  $x$  of the curve  $X$ . Let

$$S_m(R, X) := \sum_{x \in X_m} e \left( \frac{\sigma R(x)}{q^m} \right),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the absolute trace from  $F_{q^m}$  to  $k$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have*

$$|S_m(R, X)| \leq (d_1^2 + 2d_1d_2 - 3d_1)(\sqrt{q})^m + d_1^2.$$

*Proof.* This is Theorem 6 of [5]. □

We now have the following Corollary:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $q$  be a prime number. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_L$  be distinct non-negative integers,  $n, m_1, \dots, m_L$  integers not all 0,*

$$R(x) = nx + \frac{m_1}{x + a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_L}{x + a_L}.$$

*Then we have:*

$$\sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ x \neq -a_l, 1 \leq l \leq L}}^{q-1} e \left( \frac{R(x)}{q} \right) = O(q^{1/2}).$$

The constant implied by the  $O$ -symbol may depend on  $L$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_r$  be the finite field with  $r$  elements and  $\psi$  be a non-trivial additive character over  $\mathbb{F}_r$ ,  $f$  a rational function of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)},$$

$P$  and  $Q$  relatively prime monic polynomials,

$$S(f; r, x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \psi(f(p)).$$

( $p$  denotes the  $p$ -fold sum of the element 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_r$ ).

Then we have

$$S(f; r, x) \ll r^{3/16+\epsilon} x^{25/32}.$$

The implied constant depends only on  $\epsilon$  and the degrees of  $P$  and  $Q$ .

*Proof.* This is due to Fouvry and Michel [7]. □

#### 4. LOCALIZATIONS OF THE SOLUTIONS OF THE CONGRUENCES

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $q$  be prime. Let  $1/2 < A_0 < A_1 < 1$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $q^*(r; l)$  be defined by  $qq^*(r; l) \equiv 1 \pmod{(r + a_l)}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$\alpha_l + \delta < 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq L.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L, \delta) &:= \left| \left\{ r : r \in \mathbb{N}, A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q, \alpha_l \leq \frac{q^*(r; l)}{r} \leq \alpha_l + \delta \right\} \right| \\ &= \delta^L (A_1 - A_0) q (1 + o(1)), \quad q \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In the sequel we assume  $1 \leq l \leq L$ . We let  $(r + a_l)^*$  be determined by

$$(r + a_l)(r + a_l)^* \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

The Diophantine equation

$$qx + (r + a_l)y = 1$$

has exactly one solution  $(x_{0,l}, y_{0,l})$  with

$$-\left\lfloor \frac{r + a_l}{2} \right\rfloor < x_{0,l} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r + a_l}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad -\left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor < y_{0,l} \leq \frac{q}{2}$$

We have

$$(4.1) \quad q^*(r; l) \equiv x_{0,l} \pmod{(r + a_l)},$$

$$(r + a_l)^* \equiv y_{0,l} \pmod{q}.$$

Therefore, for  $\beta_l \in (-1/2, 1/2)$  and  $\delta > 0$  with

$$\beta_l + \delta < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_l - \delta > -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq L$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \left| \left\{ r : A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q, \frac{y_{0,l}}{q} \in [\beta_l, \beta_l + \delta] \right\} \right| \\
&= \left| \left\{ r : A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q, \frac{x_{0,l}}{r} \in [-(\beta_l + \delta), -\beta_l] \right\} \right| + O(1) \\
&= \left| \left\{ r : A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q, \frac{q^*(r, l)}{r} \pmod{1} \in [-(\beta_l + \delta), -\beta_l] \right\} \right| + O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{q^*(r, l)}{r} \pmod{1} \in [-(\beta_l + \delta), -\beta_l]$$

stands for

$$\frac{q^*(r, l)}{r} \in \begin{cases} [-(\beta_l + \delta), -\beta_l] + 1, & \text{if } \beta_l \geq 0, \\ [-(\beta_l + \delta), -\beta_l], & \text{if } \beta_l < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Delta > 0$ , such that  $\beta_l + \delta + \Delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq v_l \leq \Delta$ .

We define the function

$$(4.3) \quad \chi_{1,l}(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [\beta_l + \Delta - v, \beta_l + \delta - \Delta + v), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \chi_{2,l}(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [\beta_l - \Delta - v, \beta_l + \delta - \Delta - v), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

as well as the functions  $\lambda_{1,l}$ ,  $\lambda_{2,l}$  by

$$\lambda_{i,l}(u) := \Delta^{-1} \int_0^\Delta \chi_{i,l}(u, v) dv \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Let the function

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\chi}_l(r, \beta) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \in [\beta_l, \beta_l + \delta], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lambda_{i,l}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  is obtained from  $\chi_{i,l}$  by averaging over  $r$  and since  $0 \leq \chi_{i,l}(u, v) \leq 1$  it follows that  $0 \leq \lambda_{i,l}(u) \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

From (4.3) we have

$$\lambda_{1,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) = 0, \quad \text{if } \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \notin [\beta_l, \beta_l + \delta).$$

Similarly, from (4.4) we have

$$\lambda_{2,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) = 1, \quad \text{if } \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \notin [\beta_l, \beta_l + \delta).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \lambda_{1,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) \leq \tilde{\chi}_l(r, \beta) \leq \lambda_{2,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right).$$

We have the Fourier expansion

$$\lambda_{i,l}(u) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l(n) e(nu).$$

The Fourier coefficients  $a_l(n)$  are computed as follows:

For  $i = 1$ :

$$a_l(0) = \Delta^{-1} \int_0^\Delta \left( \int_{\beta_l - \Delta + v}^{\beta_l + \delta + \Delta - v} 1 \, du \right) dv = \delta + \Delta,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} a_l(n) &= \Delta^{-1} \int_0^\Delta \left( \int_{\beta_l - \Delta + v}^{\beta_l + \delta + \Delta - v} e(-nu) \, du \right) dv \\ &= \Delta^{-1} \int_0^\Delta -\frac{1}{2\pi in} (e(-n(\beta_l + \delta + \Delta - v)) - e(-n(\beta_l - \Delta + v))) \, dv \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \Delta^{-1} (e(-n(\beta_l + \delta)) - e(-n(\beta_l + \delta + \Delta)) - e(-n\beta_l) + e(-n(\beta_l - \Delta))). \end{aligned}$$

From the above and an analogous computation, for  $i = 2$  we obtain

$$a_l(0) = \delta + R_{1,l}, \text{ where } |R_{1,l}| \leq \Delta$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad a_l(n) = \begin{cases} O(\Delta), & \text{if } |n| \leq \Delta^{-1}, \\ O(\Delta^{-1}n^2), & \text{if } |n| > \Delta^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Delta_1 > 0$ , such that  $A_0 - \Delta_1 > 1/2$ ,  $A_1 + \Delta_1 < 1$  and  $0 \leq v \leq \Delta_1$ .

We define the functions

$$(4.8) \quad \chi_3(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [A_0 + v - \Delta_1, A_1 - v + \Delta_1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \chi_4(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [A_0 + \Delta_1 - v, A_1 + \Delta_1 + v], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

as well as the functions  $\lambda_3, \lambda_4$  by

$$\lambda_i(u) := \Delta_1^{-1} \int_0^{\Delta_1} \chi_i(u, v) \, dv \text{ for } i = 3, 4$$

Let the function

$$(4.10) \quad \chi^*(r, \beta) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A_0 \leq \frac{r}{q} \leq A_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 3, 4$  is obtained from  $\chi_i$  by averaging over  $r$  and since

$$0 \leq \chi_i(u, v) \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 3, 4$$

we obtain  $0 \leq \lambda_i(u) \leq 1$  for  $i = 3, 4$ .

From the above and an analogous computation, for  $i = 1, 2$  we obtain

$$a_l(0) = \delta + R_{1,l}, \text{ where } |R_{1,l}| \leq \Delta$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad a_l(n) := \begin{cases} O(\Delta), & \text{if } |n| \leq \Delta^{-1}, \\ O(\Delta^{-1}n^2), & \text{if } |n| > \Delta^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Delta_1 > 0$ , such that  $A_0 - \Delta_1 > 1/2$ ,  $A_1 + \Delta_1 < 1$  and  $0 \leq v \leq \Delta_1$ .

We define the functions

$$(4.8) \quad \chi_3(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [A_0 + v - \Delta_1, A_1 - v + \Delta_1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



and

$$(4.9) \quad \chi_4(u, v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [A_0 + \Delta_1 - v, A_1 + \Delta_1 + v], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

as well as the functions  $\lambda_3, \lambda_4$  by

$$\lambda_i(u) := \Delta_1^{-1} \int_0^{\Delta_1} \chi_i(u, v) dv \text{ for } i = 3, 4.$$

Let the function

$$(4.10) \quad \chi^*(r, \beta) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A_0 \leq \frac{r}{q} \leq A_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 3, 4$  is obtained from  $\chi_i$  by averaging over  $v$  and since

$$0 \leq \chi_i(u, v) \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 3, 4$$

we obtain

$$0 \leq \lambda_i(u) \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 3, 4.$$

From (4.8) we have

$$\lambda_3\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) = 0, \text{ if } \frac{r}{q} \notin (A_0, A_1).$$

From (4.9) we have

$$\lambda_3\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) = 1, \text{ if } \frac{r}{q} \in (A_0, A_1).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \lambda_3\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) \leq \chi^*(r, \beta) \leq \lambda_4\left(\frac{r}{q}\right).$$

By an analogous computation as for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  we obtain the Fourier expansions

$$\lambda_i(u) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c(n)e(nu), \text{ for } i = 3, 4,$$

with  $c(0) = A_1 - A_0 + R_2$ , where  $|R_2| \leq \Delta_1$  and

$$(4.12) \quad c(n) := \begin{cases} O(1), & \text{if } |n| \leq \Delta_1^{-1}, \\ O(\Delta_1^{-1}n^{-2}), & \text{if } |n| > \Delta_1^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

From (4.2), (4.5), (4.6), (4.10) and (4.11), setting  $\beta = -\alpha$ , we get the following

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \lambda_{1,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) \right) \lambda_3 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) \\ \leq N(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L, \delta) \leq \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \lambda_{2,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) \right) \lambda_4 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right).$$

We obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \lambda_{1,l} \left( \frac{(r+a_l)^*}{q} \right) \right) \lambda_3 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) \\ = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_L, n=-\infty}^{\infty} a(m_1)a(m_2) \cdots a(m_L)c(n)E(n, m_1, \dots, m_L, q),$$

with

$$E(n, m_1, \dots, m_L, q) := \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} e \left( \frac{nr + m_1(r + a_1)^* + \dots + m_L(r + a_L)^*}{q} \right).$$

For  $(n, m_1, \dots, m_L) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  we estimate  $E(n, m_1, \dots, m_L, q)$  by Lemma 3.3 and obtain

$$E(n, m_1, \dots, m_L, q) = O(q^{1/2}).$$

From (4.14) we get:

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \lambda_{1,l} \left( \frac{(r + a_l)^*}{q} \right) \right) \lambda_3 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) = (\delta + R_1)^L (A_1 - A_0 + R_2) q + o(q),$$

for  $|R_1| \leq \Delta$  and  $|R_2| \leq \Delta$ . The same computation also gives:

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \lambda_{2,l} \left( \frac{(r + a_l)^*}{q} \right) \right) \lambda_4 \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) = (\delta + R_1)^L (A_1 - A_0 + R_2) q + o(q).$$

Since  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_1$  can be chosen to be arbitrarily small, it follows that (4.15) and (4.16) imply Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

## 5. DECOMPOSITION OF THE SUMS $Q$

We start from the decompositions

$$Q \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} j \sum_{h=0}^{d_j} \cot \left( \pi \frac{s_j^{(l)} + hr}{q} \right).$$

We further decompose  $Q \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right)$  as in the following definition:

**Definition 5.1.**

$$(5.1) \quad Q \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) := Q_0 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) + Q_1 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right)$$

with

$$Q_0 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) := \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} * j \sum_{h=0}^{d_j^{(l)}} \cot \left( \pi \frac{s_j^{(l)} + hr}{q} \right)$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} *$  means that the sum is extended over all values of  $j$ , for which

$$\left\{ \frac{\theta j q}{r + a_j} \right\} \leq q^{-1} 2^{m_1}$$

for either  $\theta = 1$  or  $\theta = -1$ ,

$$Q_1 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) = Q \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right) - Q_0 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right),$$

$m_1$  is a fixed positive integer.

(For the conclusion of the proof we let  $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$ ).

The size of  $Q \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right)$  and also of  $c_0 \left( \frac{r + a_l}{q} \right)$  is essentially determined by  $Q_0$ , since  $Q_1$  is small, as we shall see in Section 7.

## 6. COMPARISON OF $Q_0$ AND $g$

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A_0q \leq r \leq A_1q$ . We set

$$\alpha^{(l)} := \alpha^{(l)}(r, q) = \frac{q_l^*}{r + a_l},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_l^* q &\equiv 1 \pmod{(r + a_l)}, \\ g(\alpha; m_1) &:= \sum_{s=1}^{2^{m_1}} \frac{1 - 2\{s\alpha\}}{s}, \\ Q(r, q, m_1, l) &:= \frac{rq}{\pi} g(\alpha^{(l)}; m_1). \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma shows, that  $Q_0\left(\frac{r+a_l}{q}\right)$  is well approximated by  $Q(r, q, m_1, l)$ .

**Lemma 6.2.**

$$Q_0\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right) = Q(r, q, m_1, l) + O(q2^{m_1}).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the result in the thesis of the second author [16] and in the paper [10], step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.15, if  $r$  is replaced by  $r + a_l$ .  $\square$

## 7. THE ESTIMATE OF $Q_1(p/q)$

**Lemma 7.1.** We have

$$Q_1\left(\frac{r + a_l}{q}\right) = O(q^2 2^{-m_1}).$$

*Proof.* From the formula (4.113) of [10] we have

$$Q_1\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) = O(q^2 2^{-m_1}).$$

Lemma 7.1 follows, if we replace  $r$  by  $r + a_l$ .  $\square$

## 8. THE JOINT MOMENTS OF THE SUMS $Q(r, q, m_1, l)$ AND $c_0\left(\frac{r+a_l}{q}\right)$

**Lemma 8.1.** We have

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} (A_1 - A_0)^{-L} q^{-L} \prod_{l=1}^L Q(r, q, m_1, l)^{k_l} = \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int_0^1 g(\alpha, m_1)^{k_l} d\alpha \right).$$

*Proof.* We choose a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of the interval  $[0, 1]$ :

$$(8.1) \quad 0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} < \alpha_n = 1$$

and consider upper and lower Riemann sums of the  $L$ -dimensional Riemann integral

$$I := \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 g(x^{(1)}, m_1)^{k_1} \dots g(x^{(L)}, m_1)^{k_L} dx^{(1)} \dots dx^{(L)},$$

the upper sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U} \left( g(x^{(1)}, m_1)^{k_1}, \dots, g(x^{(L)}, m_1)^{k_L}; \mathcal{P} \right) \\
& := \sum_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{i_L=0}^{n-1} \sup_{(\alpha^{(i_1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(i_L)}) \in X_{l=1}^L [\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_l+1}]} \left( g(\alpha^{(i_1)}, m_1)^{k_1} \cdots g(\alpha^{(i_L)}, m_1)^{k_L} \right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{l=1}^L (\alpha_{i_l+1} - \alpha_{i_l})
\end{aligned}$$

and the lower sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L} \left( g(x^{(1)}, m_1)^{k_1}, \dots, g(x^{(L)}, m_1)^{k_L}; \mathcal{P} \right) \\
& := \sum_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{i_L=0}^{n-1} \inf_{(\alpha^{(i_1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(i_L)}) \in X_{l=1}^L [\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_l+1}]} \left( g(\alpha^{(i_1)}, m_1)^{k_1} \cdots g(\alpha^{(i_L)}, m_1)^{k_L} \right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{l=1}^L (\alpha_{i_l+1} - \alpha_{i_l})
\end{aligned}$$

The function  $g(x, m_1)$  is piecewise linear. Therefore the integral  $I$  exists. It is well known from the Theory of the Riemann-integral, that for given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a partition  $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon$  of the form (8.1), such that

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{L} \leq \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int_0^1 g(x, m_1)^{k_l} dx \right) \leq \mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{L} + \epsilon.$$

We now let

$$N_{i_1, \dots, i_L} := \left| \left\{ r : A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q, \frac{q^*(r + a_l)}{r} \in [\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_l+1}] \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq L \right\} \right|.$$

By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$N_{i_1, \dots, i_L} = \delta^L (A_1 - A_0) q (1 + o(1)).$$

From the asymptotics

$$\cot \left( \frac{\pi(qj + s_j^{(l)})}{q} \right) = \frac{q}{\pi} \frac{1}{s_j^{(l)}} (1 + o(1))$$

and

$$\cot \left( \frac{\pi(qj + d_j^{(l)}(r + a_l) + t_j^{(l)})}{q} \right) = -\frac{q}{\pi} \frac{1}{t_j^{(l)}} (1 + o(1))$$

we obtain (using the notation 2.3) the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q-1} \prod_{l=1}^L Q(r, q, m_1, l)^{k_l} \\
&= \sum_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{i_L=0}^{n-1} \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \sum_{j: \left\{ \frac{\theta_j q}{r+a_l} \right\} \in [\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_l+1}] \text{ for } \theta \in \{1, -1\}} \cot \left( \frac{\pi u_j^{(l)}}{q} \right)^{k_l} \right) \\
&\quad \text{(where we set } u_j^{(l)} = s_j^{(l)} \text{ if } \theta = 1, \text{ and } u_j^{(l)} = -t_j^{(l)} \text{ if } \theta = -1) \\
&= \left( \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int_0^1 g(\alpha, m_1)^{k_l} d\alpha \right) \right) q^L (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.2.** *We have*

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} (A_1 - A_0)^{-L} q^{-L} \prod_{l=1}^L Q \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right)^{k_l} = \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int_0^1 g(\alpha)^{k_l} d\alpha \right).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 8.1 by the use of the decomposition (5.1):

$$Q \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right) := Q_0 \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right) + Q_1 \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right),$$

from Lemma 6.2:

$$Q_0 \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right) = Q(r, q, m_1, l) + O(q2^{m_1}),$$

Lemma 7.1, and by the use of the binomial theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ q \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{r: A_0 q \leq r \leq A_1 q} (A_1 - A_0)^{-(k_1 + \cdots + k_L)} \prod_{l=1}^L c_0 \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right)^{k_l} \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^L \left( \int_0^1 g(x)^{k_l} dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 8.2 and formula (2.1) by the use of the binomial theorem.  $\square$

## 9. CONCLUSION OF THE PROOF AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

By the Weierstrass approximation theorem, each of the functions  $f_l$  in Theorem 1.3 can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a polynomial

$$p_l(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{C_l} e_{j,l} x^j,$$

where  $C_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The product

$$\prod_{l=1}^L f_l \left( c_0 \left( \frac{r+a_l}{q} \right) \right)$$

then becomes the sum of products as considered in Lemma 8.3.

The Theorem follows from the fact that  $g$  has a continuous distribution function (Theorem 5.2 of [10]).

The proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii) can be obtained from the proof of (i) by applying Lemma 3.4 instead of Lemma 3.3 and making the obvious changes otherwise.

### Acknowledgments.

M. Th. Rassias: I would like to express my gratitude to the John S. Latsis Public Benefit Foundation for their financial support provided under the auspices of my current “Latsis Foundation Senior Fellowship” position.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bagchi, *On Nyman, Beurling and Baez-Duarte’s Hilbert space reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. 116(2)(2006), 137–146.
- [2] S. Bettin, *A generalization of Rademacher’s reciprocity law*, Acta Arithmetica, 159(4)(2013), 363–374.
- [3] S. Bettin, *On the distribution of a cotangent sum*, IMRN, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnv036, 2015.
- [4] S. Bettin and B. Conrey, *Period functions and cotangent sums*, Algebra & Number Theory 7(1)(2013), 215–242.
- [5] E. Bombieri, *On Exponential Sums in Finite Fields*, American Journal of Mathematics, 88(1)(1966), 71–105
- [6] R. de la Bretèche and G. Tenenbaum, *Séries trigonométriques à coefficients arithmétiques*, J. Anal. Math., 92(2004), 1–79.
- [7] E. Fouvry and Ph. Michel, *Sur certaines sommes d’exponentielles sur les nombres premiers*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 31(1998), 93–130.
- [8] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *The rate of growth of moments of certain cotangent sums*, Aequationes Mathematicae, 2015, DOI 10.1007/s00010-015-0361-3.
- [9] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *The order of magnitude for moments for certain cotangent sums*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 429(1)(2015), 576–590.
- [10] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *Generalizations of a cotangent sum associated to the Estermann zeta function*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 18(1)(2016), doi: 10.1142/S0219199715500789.
- [11] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *The order of magnitude for moments for certain cotangent sums*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 429(1)(2015), 576–590.
- [12] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *The rate of growth of moments of certain cotangent sums*, Aequationes Mathematicae, 2015, 90(3)(2016), 581–595.
- [13] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *Asymptotics for moments of certain cotangent sums*, Houston Journal of Mathematics (to appear).
- [14] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *The maximum of cotangent sums related to Estermann’s zeta function in rational numbers in short intervals*, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, 11(2017), 166–176.
- [15] H. Maier and M. Th. Rassias, *Distribution of a cotangent sum related to the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis*, preprint.
- [16] M. Th. Rassias, *Analytic investigation of cotangent sums related to the Riemann zeta function*, Doctoral Dissertation, ETH-Zürich, Switzerland, 2014.
- [17] M. Th. Rassias, *On a cotangent sum related to zeros of the Estermann zeta function*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 240(2014), 161–167. Character Sums, UNSW Sidney, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ULM, HELMHOLTZSTRASSE 18, 89081 ULM,  
GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* `helmut.maier@uni-ulm.de`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, CH-8057, ZURICH, SWITZERLAND &  
MOSCOW INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, 141700 DOLGOPRUDNY, INSTITUTSKIY PER,  
D. 9, RUSSIA & INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PROGRAM IN INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES, 1  
EINSTEIN DR, PRINCETON, NJ 08540, USA.

*E-mail address:* `michail.rassias@math.uzh.ch`