

ON THE HOMOGENIZATION OF RANDOM STATIONARY ELLIPTIC OPERATORS IN DIVERGENCE FORM

ARIANNA GIUNTI, JUAN J. L. VELÁZQUEZ

ABSTRACT. In this note we comment on the homogenization of a random elliptic operator in divergence form $-\nabla \cdot a \nabla$, where the coefficient field a is distributed according to a stationary, but not necessarily ergodic, probability measure \mathbb{P} . We generalize the well-known case for \mathbb{P} stationary and ergodic by showing that the operator $-\nabla \cdot a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla$ almost surely homogenizes to a constant-coefficient, random operator $-\nabla \cdot A_h \nabla$. Furthermore, we use a disintegration formula for \mathbb{P} with respect to a family of ergodic and stationary probability measures to show that the law of A_h may be obtained by using the standard homogenization results on each probability measure of the previous family. We finally provide a more explicit formula for A_h in the case of coefficient fields which are a function of a stationary Gaussian field.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note provides a remark on the homogenization of random elliptic operators in divergence form $-\nabla \cdot a \nabla$, where the coefficient field $a : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is symmetric, uniformly elliptic and distributed according to a probability measure \mathbb{P} which is invariant with respect to the translations $a(\cdot + x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We do not assume that \mathbb{P} is ergodic.

In the case of stationary and ergodic measures, it is well-established that for \mathbb{P} -almost every realization of the coefficient field a , for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0^+$ the rescaled operator $-\nabla \cdot a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla$ homogenizes to $-\nabla \cdot A_h \nabla$. The homogenized coefficient A_h is constant, deterministic and satisfies the same ellipticity bounds. Qualitative stochastic homogenization, namely the convergence of solutions u_ε associated to $-\nabla \cdot a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla$ to the solution u_h associated to $-\nabla \cdot A_h \nabla$, has been obtained in [18] and [21]; in the last two decades, a large literature has been developed to upgrade these results into quantitative estimates on the convergence of u_ε to u_h (e.g. [1, 2, 3, 5, 13, 14]). This has led, in addition, to an exhaustive understanding of the fluctuations structure of u_ε and of other meaningful quantities related to the random operator $-\nabla \cdot a \nabla$ [1, 9, 10, 17].

As is well-known in classical stochastic homogenization [18, 21], namely when the measure \mathbb{P} is stationary and ergodic, the homogenized matrix A_h may be identified with the large-scale limit of the spacial averages of suitable stationary random fields, namely the flux of the correctors $a(e_i + \nabla \phi_i)$ (see (2.8) and (2.7)). We refer to [4, 6, 12, 16, 20] for an extensive study of the correctors and their properties. This characterization of A_h allows to appeal to Birkhoff's ergodic theorem (see e.g. [19]) and infer that A_h is well-defined for \mathbb{P} -almost every realization of a and, by the ergodicity assumption, that A_h does not depend on a . It is thus intuitive to expect that, if only the ergodicity assumption on \mathbb{P} fails, one still obtains a homogenization result for $-\nabla \cdot a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla$, this time with the homogenized coefficient $A_h = A_h(a)$ being a random matrix. The first result contained in this note gives a rigorous derivation of this argument (see Theorem 2.1).

If we denote by Ω the space of realizations of a and by $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the associated probability space, Birkhoff's ergodic theorem also implies that the random matrix A_h is measurable with respect to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ generated by the subsets of Ω which are translation invariant. In other words, in the case of stationary measures, the homogenization process does not remove the randomness from A_h but leads nonetheless to a reduction in its complexity. We give a further result in this direction by relying on some techniques coming from statistics and dynamical systems that allow to write \mathbb{P} as a disintegration with respect to a family of stationary and ergodic probability measures. More precisely, if \mathbb{P} is a stationary probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , then for all $B \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \int_{\Omega_0} \mathbb{P}_\xi(B) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\xi), \quad (1.1)$$

where $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0)$ is a measurable space, $\{P_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega_0}$ is a family of ergodic and stationary probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is a probability measure on (Ω_0, \mathcal{I}) (see [7, 15] and Lemma 2.2). More precisely, the set Ω_0 is obtained as a quotient Ω / \sim with respect to a suitable equivalence relation \sim , and \mathcal{I}_0 is isomorphic to the σ -algebra \mathcal{I} of the sets of Ω invariant under translations. Using (1.1), we show that A_h may be identified with a random variable on $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and that we may simply obtain the realization $A_h(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \Omega_0$ by appealing to the standard homogenization result for the ergodic and stationary measure $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_\xi)$ (Corollary 2.3).

As an application of the previous result, we study the case of coefficient fields a which are Gaussian related, namely when a is a function of a stationary Gaussian field. The Gaussian setting allows to obtain a more explicit disintegration of \mathbb{P} and an explicit formula for Ω_0 and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ which characterize the law of the random matrix A_h (Corollary 2.6).

We conclude this introduction by mentioning that in [8] a homogenization result for random *free-discontinuity functionals* has also been obtained in the setting of stationary measures which are not assumed to be ergodic.

2. NOTATION AND ABSTRACT RESULT

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space equipped with a group of transformations $\{\tau_x : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$, $d \geq 2$, with respect to which the measure \mathbb{P} is stationary, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P} \circ \tau_x = \mathbb{P} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume that \mathcal{F} is countably generated and that for all $B \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} \int |1_B(\tau_x \omega) - 1_B(\omega)| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

From this it follows that the joint map $\tau : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \Omega$, $\tau(x, \omega) = \tau_x \omega$ is measurable with respect to the tensor σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} and of the Lebesgue measurable sets of \mathbb{R}^d .

In addition, the assumptions on \mathcal{F} also imply that the spaces $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ are separable for all $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and that the maps $T_x : L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T_x F := F \circ \tau_x$ are strongly continuous for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. For $F \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, we define

$$\langle F \rangle := \int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}$ denote the space of symmetric $d \times d$ real matrices and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be as above. We define the random coefficient field a as follows: Let $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}$ be a (measurable, matrix-valued) random variable satisfying for $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ and \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq \xi \cdot A(\omega) \xi \leq \Lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.4)$$

We set

$$a : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}, \quad a(\omega, x) = T_x A(\omega) = A(\tau_x \omega). \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the operator $-\nabla \cdot a \nabla$ is bounded and uniformly elliptic.

We emphasize that we do not require that \mathbb{P} is ergodic: By Birkhoff's ergodic theorem [19] we have that for any $F \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < R} F(\tau_x \omega) dx = \langle F | \mathcal{I} \rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

where the right-hand side is the conditional expectation of F with respect to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ generated by the sets

$$A \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \tau_x A = A \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We recall that in the ergodic case, i.e. when \mathcal{I} is trivial and the right-hand side of (2.6) is given by $\langle F \rangle$, it is well-known [18, 21] that the operator $-\nabla \cdot a(\omega, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla$ homogenizes \mathbb{P} -almost surely to the operator $-\nabla \cdot A_h \nabla$. The matrix $A_h \in \mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}$ is constant and deterministic and is given by the formula

$$e_i \cdot A_h e_j = \langle (e_i + \nabla \phi_i(0)) \cdot A(e_j + \nabla \phi_j(0)) \rangle, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (2.7)$$

Here, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$ the random fields $\phi_i(\omega, \cdot) \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are the *first-order correctors* [14, 18, 21] satisfying for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot a(\omega, x) \nabla(\phi_i(\omega, x) + x_i) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} R^{-2} \int_{|x| < R} |\phi_i(\omega, x) - \int_{|y| < R} \phi_i(\omega, y) dy|^2 dx &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the functions $\phi_i(\omega, \cdot)$ are uniquely defined up to a random variable.

2.1. Abstract results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be as above and let a be as in (2.5). Then, there exists a random variable $A_h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}$ such that for any bounded open set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in H^{-1}(D)$ and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the solutions to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot a(\omega, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon(x) = f(x) & \text{in } D \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

converge weakly in $H_0^1(D)$ to the (random) solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot A_h(\omega) \nabla u_h(\omega, x) = f(x) & \text{in } D \\ u_h(\omega, x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$e_i \cdot A_h(\omega) e_j = \langle (e_i + \nabla \phi_i(0)) \cdot A(e_i + \nabla \phi_j(0)) | \mathcal{I} \rangle, \quad (2.9)$$

where each $\phi_i(\omega, \cdot)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ satisfies (2.8) with respect to the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

The term on the right-hand side of (2.9) admits a further reformulation in terms of the ergodic decomposition for the measure \mathbb{P} . This is a standard result in the theory of asymptotically mean stationary processes (see, e.g., [15][Chapter 7, Theorem 7.4.1]):

Lemma 2.2 (Ergodic decomposition). *There exist a family $\{\mathbb{P}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega_0}$ of ergodic and stationary probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and a probability space $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ such that the measure \mathbb{P} admits the disintegration*

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathbb{P}_\xi(d\omega) \right) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\xi) \quad \forall F \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}). \quad (2.10)$$

Furthermore, there exists a measurable map

$$\Pi : (\Omega, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0)$$

such that for every $F \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the conditional expectation $\langle F | \mathcal{I} \rangle$ may be identified with a random variable in $L^1(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ via the relation

$$\langle F | \mathcal{I} \rangle(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} F(\tilde{\omega}) P_{\Pi(\omega)}(d\tilde{\omega}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}\text{-almost every } \omega \in \Omega. \quad (2.11)$$

The next corollary relies on the previous decomposition of \mathbb{P} to show that the random homogenized matrix of Theorem 2.1 may be obtained by fixing the element $\xi \in \Omega_0$ and applying on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_\xi)$ the standard homogenization results [14, 18, 21] for stationary and ergodic measures. For $\xi \in \Omega_0$ fixed, let indeed $a_{h, \xi}$ be the deterministic homogenized matrix obtained by means of classical homogenization and defined as

$$e_i \cdot a_{h, \xi} e_j = \int_{\Omega} (e_i + \nabla \phi_{\xi, i}(0, \omega)) \cdot a(\omega) (e_j + \nabla \phi_{\xi, j}(0, \omega)) \mathbb{P}_\xi(d\omega),$$

with $\phi_{i, \xi}$ the correctors solving (2.8) with respect to $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_\xi)$. Then:

Corollary 2.3. *Let A_h be the homogenized matrix introduced in Theorem 2.1 and let Π be the projection map of Lemma 2.10. Then, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ and all $\omega \in \Pi^{-1}(\xi)$ we have*

$$A_h(\omega) = a_{h, \xi}. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, for all $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}})$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega : A_h(\omega) \in B\}) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\{\xi : a_{h, \xi} \in B\}).$$

As we show in the next section, this abstract result admits a more explicit formulation in the case of coefficients being generated by a stationary Gaussian field.

Remark 2.4. Convex combination of stationary measures. Lemma 2.2 is a generalization of the fact that stationarity is closed under convex combination and that ergodic measures are extremal points of any convex set. More precisely, let $\{\mathbb{P}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be $N < +\infty$ be distinct stationary and ergodic probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , with \mathcal{F} countably generated. Let \mathbb{P} be the measure obtained as the convex combination:

$$\mathbb{P} = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \mathbb{P}_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k = 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1. \quad (2.13)$$

It is easy to check that \mathbb{P} is a stationary probability measure. Moreover, \mathbb{P} is ergodic if and only if it is an extremal point, i.e. there exists $\alpha_k = 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

We argue the only non trivial implication of the previous statement: Let us assume that \mathbb{P} is ergodic. We show that if there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$, then the ergodicity property is contradicted. Indeed, by the last two conditions in (2.13), the previous assumption implies that there exists another $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $j \neq i$, such that $\alpha_j \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, since all the measures are distinct, we may find a set $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}_i(B) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_i(B) \neq \mathbb{P}_j(B)$. By Birkhoff's theorem and the assumption on the ergodicity of each measure \mathbb{P}_k , it follows that the set

$$A = \{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < R} T_x \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_i(B)\}$$

satisfies $\mathbb{P}_i(A) = 1$, $\mathbb{P}_j(A) = 0$. Note that the set $A \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, we have by (2.13) that

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \alpha_i + \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ k \neq i, j}}^N \alpha_k \mathbb{P}_k(A).$$

Since we assumed that $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in (0, 1)$, \mathbb{P}_k are probability measures and $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k = 1$, we infer that $\mathbb{P}(A) \in (0, 1)$. This yields a contradiction.

Similarly, we note that since \mathcal{F} is countably generated, i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, also the sets

$$C_i = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < R} T_x \mathbf{1}_{B_n}(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_i(B_n)\}$$

satisfy $\mathbb{P}_i(C_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. In particular, $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N$ they provide a \mathbb{P} -essential partition for Ω in each one of which the limit of the spacial averages are given by integration in \mathbb{P}_i . Hence, in this easy case the set Ω_0 of Lemma 2.2 is just $\Omega_0 = \{1, \dots, N\}$, the family of ergodic probabilities is $\{\mathbb{P}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is the measure on $\mathcal{I} = \sigma(\{1, \dots, N\})$ (uniquely) defined by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(i) = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

2.2. Application to stationary Gaussian fields. The results of this section rely on [24][Theorem 5 and Theorem 6]. For $d \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$, let X be a stationary \mathbb{R}^n -valued Gaussian field on \mathbb{R}^d having continuous trajectories, i.e. the space of trajectories is given by $\Omega = C^0(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with \mathcal{F} the σ -algebra of the cylindrical sets. We assume that the group of transformations $\{\tau_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ acts on each trajectory in Ω as $\tau_x X(\cdot) = X(\cdot + x)$. With this choice of \mathcal{F} and Ω condition (2.3) is satisfied.

Let X be centered. We recall that for a given Gaussian field X , the autocorrelation matrix is given by

$$C(x) := \langle X(x) \otimes X(0) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note that by stationarity we have that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\langle X(x) \otimes X(y) \rangle = C(x - y), \quad C(x) = C^t(-x). \quad (2.14)$$

For $C \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by Bochner's theorem [26][Chapter XI, Section 14] we may write

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \hat{C}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\hat{C}(\xi)$, usually known as *spectral measure*, is a positive definite $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ -valued measure on \mathbb{R}^d . We remark that by (2.14) it is easy to check that \hat{C} satisfies

$$\hat{C}(\xi) = \hat{C}(\xi)^*, \quad \hat{C}(\xi) = \hat{C}(-\xi)^t. \quad (2.15)$$

In the case of stationary Gaussian field, the ergodicity of the process X under the translation group $\{\tau_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ is equivalent to requiring that spectral measure \hat{C} does not have an atomic part [7, 11]. This and (2.15) yield that for any stationary Gaussian field, the non-ergodic behaviour is related to the presence in \hat{C} of linear combinations of the form

$$\alpha_0 \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta_{-\omega_i} + \alpha_i^t \delta_{\omega_i}, \quad (2.16)$$

for a positive-definite $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, hermitian matrices $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$. We remark that the terms in the sum above may also be infinite, i.e. $N = +\infty$, but from now on we restrict ourselves to the case $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

The special structure of Gaussian fields allows to extract a more explicit formulation for the σ -algebra \mathcal{I} of the invariant sets. As we show in the proof of the next statement, the presence of the non-zero atoms in the spectral measure \hat{C} corresponds to cosine terms in the process X . This yields that the large-scale behaviour of X and the σ -algebra \mathcal{I} of the invariant sets crucially depend on possible resonances between the frequencies of oscillations. To this purpose, for any collection of values $\Omega = \{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$, with $1 \leq N < +\infty$, in (2.16), we introduce the subset of \mathbb{Z}^N defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \omega_i = 0\}.$$

Remark 2.5. If \mathcal{R}_Ω is non-trivial, then we may always write

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(v^1, \dots, v^r), \quad (2.17)$$

for $1 \leq r \leq N - 1$ and with $\{v^1, \dots, v^r\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the condition of linear integer-independence

$$\sum_{j=1}^r m_j v^j = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m_j = 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r.$$

This results follows from the classical theory of linear diophantine equations: In fact, up to a permutation of the elements in Ω , we may always assume that there exists an index $1 \leq M \leq N$ such that the values $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_M$ are all rationally incommensurable and, if $M < N$, that for all $j = M + 1, \dots, N$ we have $\omega_j = \sum_{i=1}^M q_i^j \omega_i$ for a unique M -tuple $(q_1^j, \dots, q_M^j) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. By using this decomposition, solving $\sum_{i=1}^N k_i \omega_i = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ reduces to solving the system of M equations with rational coefficients $k_i - \sum_{j=M+1}^N q_i^j k_j = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, M$, for the N integer variables k_1, \dots, k_N . This system has at most $N - M$ linearly integer-independent solutions $v^1, \dots, v^{N-M} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ [23][Chapter 4, Corollary 4.1c and formula (6)]. Since $N - M \leq N - 1$, identity (2.17) is obtained.

For $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}}$ continuous and pointwise elliptic in the sense of (2.4), we define

$$A(X) := F \circ X(0), \quad a(X, x) = F \circ X(x). \quad (2.18)$$

In the sake of a leaner notation, we state the following corollary in the special case $n = 1$, i.e. when the Gaussian field X is real-valued, and comment afterwards on the generalization of this result to $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a stationary, centered, Gaussian field having continuous correlation function C and spectral measure \hat{C} with an atomic part given by (2.16) for $N < +\infty$. Let a be defined as in (2.18). Then*

- (a) *If $\mathcal{R}_\Omega = \{0\}$, i.e. the values $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are rationally incommensurable, then for every $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{M}_{d, \text{sym}})$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega : A_h(\omega) \in B\}) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^N : a_{h, (x, r)} \in B\}),$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(dx, dr) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\alpha_0^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha_0^2}} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{r_i e^{-\frac{r_i^2}{\alpha_i^2}}}{\alpha_i^2} dx dr_1 \cdots dr_N,$$

where $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^N$ are the amplitudes in (2.16). In other words, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is the probability measure associated to an independent Gaussian random variable and N independent Rayleigh random variables.

(b) If, otherwise, $r \geq 1$ in (2.17), then for every $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{M}_{d,\text{sym}})$ we have as well

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega : A_h(\omega) \in B\}) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\{(x, r, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^N \times \mathbb{R}^r : a_{h,(x,r,\eta)} \in B\}).$$

Here,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(dx, dr, d\eta) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_1(dx, dr) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_2(d\eta)$$

with $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_1$ as in case (a) and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_2$ the probability associated to the vector $\eta = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ obtained for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ as

$$\eta_j = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i^j \phi_i \quad \text{mod}(2\pi),$$

for v^j as in (2.17) and $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ independent random variables which are uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi)$.

The analogue of the previous result holds also in the higher-dimensional case $n \geq 1$, provided the random variables x, r_1, \dots, r_N are $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ -valued with each component independent and distributed as in the case $n = 1$ above.

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We resort to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the ergodic case [13, 14, 18] and show that only few modifications are needed in order to adapt it to our setting.

Also in this case we rely on the construction of the sub-linear corrector $\phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ satisfying (2.8). More precisely, for every $i = 1, \dots, d$, we construct a random variable $\chi_i \in [L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})]^d$ which satisfies

$$\langle \chi_i | \mathcal{I} \rangle = \langle \chi_i \rangle = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

and such that

$$\nabla \phi_i(\omega, x) = \chi_i(\tau_x \omega), \quad (3.20)$$

with ϕ_i solving (2.8) for \mathbb{P} almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

To prove the existence of χ as above, we modify the argument of [13] and enumerate below only the (few) steps which require a non-trivial adaptation to our setting. Let $i = 1, \dots, d$ be fixed and let us write ϕ instead of ϕ_i . Moreover, since no ambiguity on the measure \mathbb{P} considered occurs, we write $L^p(\Omega)$ instead of $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For any $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $T_x F := F \circ \tau_x$. Thanks to (2.2) and (2.3), the group of transformations $\{T_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ provides a unitary and strongly continuous group of operators on $L^2(\Omega)$. We may thus denote by D_j , $j = 1, \dots, d$, the infinitesimal generators of $T_{x \cdot e_j}$ [22][Subsection VIII.4], namely

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0^+} \frac{T_{he_j} - I}{h} = D_j \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D} := \cap_{j=1}^d \mathcal{D}(D_j) \subseteq L^2(\Omega)$ the domain of the operator $D := (D_1, \dots, D_d)$ and note that, again by (2.3), this set is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$.

We set

$$U := \{\xi \in L^2(\Omega) : T_x \xi = \xi \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d\},$$

$$V(\Omega) := \overline{\{D\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{D}\}}^{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Then, since

$$U = \{\xi \in L^2(\Omega) : D\xi = 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)\},$$

it follows that

$$V(\Omega) \subseteq (U^\perp)^d.$$

and that any element $\Psi \in V(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\langle \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | \mathcal{I} \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we define $\Psi \in V(\Omega)$ as the Lax-Milgram solution of

$$\langle \Psi \cdot A\chi \rangle = \langle \Psi \cdot Ae_i \rangle, \quad \forall \Psi \in V(\Omega), \quad (3.21)$$

with $A \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as in (2.5).

With this definition of $\chi \in V(\Omega)$ as the solution of (3.21), the same arguments used in [14] yield (3.20) and the first line of (2.8). To conclude the proof of (2.8), we first observe that by $\chi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the first identity in (3.20), Neumann's ergodic theorem [19][Theorem 1.4] yields also that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \langle |\int_{|x| < R} \nabla \phi(\omega, x)|^2 \rangle = 0.$$

From this identity we may argue exactly as in [13][Proof of Corollary 1] and obtain also the last sub-linearity property in (2.8).

Equipped with the correctors $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ as above, we argue as in the ergodic case to show Theorem 2.1: By (3.20) and Birkhoff's ergodic theorem we have indeed that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ and every $R > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x| < R} |\nabla \phi_i(\omega, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})|^2 = \langle |\chi|^2 | \mathcal{I} \rangle.$$

Furthermore, another application of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem together with a standard separability argument implies that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ and every $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int \rho(x) \nabla \phi_i(\omega, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) = \langle \chi_i | \mathcal{I} \rangle \int \rho(x) dx \stackrel{(3.19)}{=} 0.$$

These two limits yield for the whole family $\varepsilon \downarrow 0^+$

$$\nabla \phi_i(\omega, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, for any bounded domain $D \subseteq B_R$, for some $R > 0$, the functions

$$w_i^\varepsilon(\omega, x) := x_i + \varepsilon(\phi_i(\omega, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - \int_{|y| < R} \phi_i(\omega, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}) dy)$$

satisfy for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$w_i^\varepsilon(\omega, \cdot) \rightharpoonup x_i \text{ in } H^1(D). \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.20) and the stationarity of a , we may argue similarly to obtain that for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$e_j \cdot a(\omega, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla w_i^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup A_{h,ij}(\omega) \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (3.23)$$

We remark that the identification of the above limit with $A_{h,ij}$ as in (2.9) follows by

$$\langle \chi_j \cdot A(e_i + \chi_i) | \mathcal{I} \rangle = 0.$$

This identity is implied in turn by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, (2.8) and the bounds (2.4) for a after taking the limit $R \uparrow +\infty$ in the estimate

$$|\int_{|x| < R} \nabla \phi_j \cdot a(e_i + \phi_i)| \leq CR^{-1} \left(\int_{|x| < 2R} |\phi_j - \int_{|x| < 2R} \phi_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \int |\chi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here, the constant $C = C(d) < +\infty$. This estimate in turn easily follows by testing equation (2.8) for ϕ_i with $\eta_R(\phi_j - \int_{|x| < 2R} \phi_j)$, where η_R is a cut-off function for $\{|x| < R\}$ in $\{|x| < 2R\}$.

Convergences (3.22) and (3.23) allow us to apply Tartar's Div-Curl lemma [25][Chapter 7, Lemma 7.2] as in the ergodic case and conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We begin by constructing the family $\{\mathbb{P}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega_0}$ of stationary and ergodic measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) : Let \mathcal{S} be a countable collection of sets generating \mathcal{F} . By Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, we may define the probability measure \mathbb{P}_ω on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) as

$$\mathbb{P}_\omega(B) := \lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < R} \mathbf{1}_B(\tau_x \omega) dx, \quad B \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.24)$$

Since each probability measure is uniquely defined by its value on the generating set \mathcal{S} , it is immediate to check that \mathbb{P}_ω is stationary. In addition, since if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ then the limit above exists for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and coincides with $\mathbf{1}_I(\omega)$, from definition (3.24) it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}_\omega(I) = \mathbf{1}_I(\omega) \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (3.25)$$

Equivalently, \mathbb{P}_ω is ergodic with respect to $\{\tau_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$.

Let $\Sigma_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ be the (\mathbb{P} -zero measure set) of elements $\omega \in \Omega$ for which \mathbb{P}_ω defined in (3.24) does not exist. We introduce the equivalence relation on Ω

$$\omega \sim \tilde{\omega} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega = \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\omega}} \text{ or } \omega, \tilde{\omega} \in \Sigma_0, \quad (3.26)$$

and define the quotient space $\Omega_0 := \Omega / \sim$ and the projection operator

$$\Pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_0, \quad \omega \mapsto \xi = \{\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega : \tilde{\omega} \sim \omega\}. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence, thanks to (3.24), $\{\mathbb{P}_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega} = \{\mathbb{P}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega_0}$ is a family of ergodic and stationary probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Rigorously, the probability P_ξ corresponding to $\xi = \Pi(\Sigma_0) \in \Omega_0$ is not well-defined. However, since we take as measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ the push-forward $\mathbb{P} \circ \Pi^{-1}$, it follows that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Pi(\Sigma_0)) = 0$ and thus that in the decomposition (2.10) the measure $\mathbb{P}_{\Pi(\Sigma_0)}$ is negligible.

We now define the σ -algebra \mathcal{I}_0 as the image of \mathcal{I} under Π , i.e.

$$\mathcal{I}_0 := \{\Pi(I) : I \in \mathcal{I}\}, \quad \Pi(I) := \{\Pi(\omega) : \omega \in I\} \subseteq \Omega_0,$$

and argue that the above definition is well-posed and that \mathcal{I}_0 is a σ -algebra isomorphic to \mathcal{I} in the sense that

$$I = \Pi^{-1} \circ \Pi(I),$$

for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$. To do so, it suffices to observe that for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\xi \in \Omega_0$

$$\Pi^{-1}(\xi) \subseteq I \Leftrightarrow \Pi^{-1}(\xi) \cap I = \emptyset.$$

The \Rightarrow implication is trivial. For the \Leftarrow implication we observe that whenever $\omega \in \Pi^{-1}(\xi) \cap I$, then by (3.26) and (3.25) for every $\tilde{\omega} \in \Pi^{-1}(\xi)$ we have that $\mathbf{1}_I(\tilde{\omega}) = \mathbf{1}_I(\omega) = 1$.

From the previous argument and the fact that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, it follows that the map Π is measurable from (Ω, \mathcal{I}) to $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0)$, as well as from (Ω, \mathcal{F}) to $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0)$. We define the probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0)$ as the push-forward of \mathbb{P} under Π , i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \circ \Pi^{-1}. \quad (3.28)$$

With these definitions of $\{\mathbb{P}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Omega_0}$ and $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{I}_0, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, it remains to establish (2.10), (2.11). We begin with (2.11) and use a standard approximation argument: Let $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem we may construct for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ a probability measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\omega$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that for all $B \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{A\}$ it holds

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\omega(B) = \lim_{R \uparrow +\infty} \int_{|x| < R} T_x \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) dx = \langle \mathbf{1}_B | \mathcal{I} \rangle.$$

Since $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\omega$ and \mathbb{P}_ω coincide on the set of generators \mathcal{S} , it follows by uniqueness that $\mathbb{P}_\omega(A) = \langle A | \mathcal{I} \rangle$ for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore,

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_A \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle A | \mathcal{I} \rangle P(d\omega) = \int_\Omega \mathbb{P}_\omega(A) P(d\omega).$$

By arguing similarly and using (2.3), for every $F \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ we have

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_\Omega \left(\int_\Omega F(\tilde{\omega}) \mathbb{P}_{\Pi(\omega)}(d\tilde{\omega}) \right) \mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

We now appeal to the definitions (3.27) and (3.28) to conclude that

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_{\Omega_0} \int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\xi),$$

i.e. formula (2.10). The proof of this lemma is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.3. By (2.9) of Theorem 2.1 and (2.11) of Lemma 2.2, we may rewrite for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\Pi(\omega) = \xi$

$$e_i \cdot A_h(\omega) e_j = e_i \cdot A_h(\xi) e_j = \int_{\Omega_0} (e_i + \nabla \phi_i(\omega, 0)) \cdot a(0)(e_j + \nabla \phi_j(\omega, 0)) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega). \quad (3.29)$$

It thus remains to show that in the right-hand side above we may substitute the random variables $\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j$ with $\nabla \phi_{\xi,i}, \nabla \phi_{\xi,j}$. To do so, we resort to the construction of ϕ obtained in the proof of Theorem 2.1 via the random variable $\chi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (see (3.20)). We also remark that the same holds for ϕ_{ξ} , where $\nabla \phi_{\xi} = \chi_{\xi}$ with $\chi_{\xi} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{\xi})$. This either follows directly from the homogenization results for ergodic measures [14][Chapter 6, Section 6.1], or by the exact same argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 for ϕ .

We fix an index $i = 1, \dots, d$ and drop it in the notation for ϕ_i . On the one hand, by (2.8), for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have that $\phi(\omega, x)$ solves (2.8). We use (2.10) of Lemma 2.2 to infer that also for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ and \mathbb{P}_{ξ} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the functions $\phi(\omega, \cdot)$ satisfy (2.8). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ the probability measure \mathbb{P}_{ξ} in (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is stationary and ergodic with respect to the translations $\{\tau_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$. We thus appeal to the standard results in homogenization [13, 14, 18], to infer that there exists a random field ϕ_{ξ} , having stationary gradient, solving (2.8) for \mathbb{P}_{ξ} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ and \mathbb{P}_{ξ} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have that the difference $\phi(\omega, \cdot) - \phi_{\xi}(\omega, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$-\nabla \cdot a(\omega, x) \nabla (\phi(\omega, x) - \phi_{\xi}(\omega, x)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This, together with the sub-linearity condition of (2.8) for both $\phi(\omega, \cdot)$ and $\phi_{\xi}(\omega, \cdot)$ implies

$$\nabla \phi(\omega, \cdot) = \nabla \phi_{\xi}(\omega, \cdot) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (3.30)$$

We now appeal to (3.20) for both the gradients $\nabla \phi, \nabla \phi_{\xi}$ to write

$$\nabla \phi(\omega, x) = \chi(\tau_x \omega), \quad \nabla \phi_{\xi}(\omega, x) = \chi_{\xi}(\tau_x \omega)$$

for $\chi \in [L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})]^d$ and $\chi_{\xi} \in [L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{\xi})]^d$. Note that again by (2.10), we have that for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\xi \in \Omega_0$ the random variable $\chi \in [L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{\xi})]^d$. This, the above identities and (3.30) imply that for all $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\psi \in [L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{\xi})]^d$

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(\omega) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) \chi(\tau_x \omega) dx \right) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(\omega) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) \chi_{\xi}(\tau_x \omega) dx \right) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega).$$

By stationarity of the measure \mathbb{P}_{ξ} this may be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) \psi(\tau_{-x} \omega) dx \right) \cdot \chi(\omega) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) \psi(\tau_{-x} \omega) dx \right) \cdot \chi_{\xi}(\omega) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega).$$

We now choose a sequence $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-d} \hat{\phi}(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$ with $\hat{\phi} \in C_0^{\infty}(B_1)$ a mollifier and appeal to (2.3) to conclude that for every $\psi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_{\xi})$

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(\omega) \cdot \chi(\omega) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(\omega) \cdot \chi_{\xi}(\omega) \mathbb{P}_{\xi}(d\omega).$$

In particular, by applying this identity twice, first with $\Psi = a\chi_{\xi}$ and secondly with $\Psi = a\chi$, we get that the right-hand side of (3.29) equals to the right-hand side of (2.12) in Corollary 2.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.6. Let us split the spectral measure into the two components

$$\hat{C}(\xi) = \hat{C}_c(\xi) + \hat{C}_a(\xi),$$

with the (positive) measure C_a being the purely atomic part (2.16). From this decomposition it follows that also X may be decomposed into the two independent processes $X = X_c + X_a$, having spectral

measure \hat{C}_c and \hat{C}_a , respectively. Moreover, the process X_c is ergodic since \hat{C}_c does not contain atoms [7, 11]. By (2.16), the correlation function of the process X_a may be written as

$$C(x) = C_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \cos(\omega_j \cdot x),$$

which corresponds to the centred stationary Gaussian process

$$X_a(x) = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N (\zeta_j \cos(\omega_j \cdot x) + \zeta'_j \sin(\omega_j \cdot x)) = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \operatorname{Re}((\zeta_j + i\zeta'_j)e^{i\omega_j \cdot x}),$$

for the independent random variables $x_0 \sim N(0, \alpha_0)$, $\zeta_j, \zeta'_j \sim N(0, \alpha_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. In particular, we remark that if we set $\zeta_j + i\zeta'_j = R_j e^{i\phi_j}$, then the above process may be also rewritten as

$$X_a(x) = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N R_j \cos(\omega_j \cdot x + \phi_j) \quad (3.31)$$

where all R_j, ϕ_j are independent and $R_j \sim \operatorname{Ray}(\alpha_j)$, $\phi_j \in U([0, 2\pi))$.

By relying on (3.31) and the decomposition for X , we appeal to [24][Theorem 5 and Theorem 6] to identify the σ -algebra of the invariant sets \mathcal{I} in terms of the random variables in (3.31). This, together with Corollary 2.3, concludes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge support through the CRC 1060 (The Mathematics of Emergent Effects) that is funded through the German Science Foundation (DFG), and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics (HCM) at the University of Bonn.

REFERENCES

1. S. Armstrong, T. Kuusi, and J.-C. Mourrat, *The additive structure of elliptic homogenization*, Invent. Math. **208** (2017), no. 3, 999–1154.
2. S. N. Armstrong and J.-C. Mourrat, *Lipschitz regularity for elliptic equations with random coefficients*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **219** (2016), no. 1, 255–348.
3. S. N. Armstrong and C. K. Smart, *Quantitative stochastic homogenization of convex integral functionals*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **49** (2016), no. 2, 423–481.
4. P. Bella, B. Fehrman, J. Fischer, and F. Otto, *Stochastic homogenization of linear elliptic equations: Higher-order error estimates in weak norms via second-order correctors*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **49**(6), 4658–4703.
5. P. Bella, A. Giunti, and F. Otto, *Quantitative stochastic homogenization: local control of homogenization error through corrector*, Mathematics and Materials, Park City Mathematics Series, pp 299–327, 2017.
6. ———, *Effective multipoles in random media*, Preprint arXiv:1708.07672 (2017).
7. J. R. Blum and B. Eisenberg, *Conditions for metric transitivity for stationary gaussian processes on groups*, Ann. Math. Statist. **43** (1972), no. 5, 1737–1741.
8. F. Cagnetti, G. Dal Maso, L. Scardia, and C. Zepieri, *Stochastic homogenisation of free-discontinuity problems*, submitted, Preprint arXiv:1712.07272 (2017).
9. M. Duerinckx, A. Gloria, and F. Otto, *The structure of fluctuations in stochastic homogenization*, Preprint arXiv:1602.01717 (2016).
10. ———, *Robustness of the pathwise structure of fluctuations in stochastic homogenization*, Preprint arXiv:1807.11781 (2018).
11. B. Eisenberg, *A note on metric transitivity for stationary gaussian processes on groups*, Ann. Math. Statist. **43** (1972), no. 2, 683–687.
12. J. Fischer and F. Otto, *A higher-order large-scale regularity theory for random elliptic operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **41** (2016), no. 7, 1108–1148.
13. A. Gloria, S. Neukamm, and F. Otto, *A regularity theory for random elliptic operators*, Preprint arXiv:1409.2678 (2014).
14. ———, *Quantification of ergodicity in stochastic homogenization: optimal bounds via spectral gap on Glauber dynamics*, Invent. Math. **199** (2015), no. 2, 455–515. MR 3302119
15. R. M. Gray, *Probability, random processes, and ergodic properties*, Springer US, 2001.
16. Y. Gu, *High-order correctors and two-scale expansion in stochastic homogenization*, (2016), Preprint arXiv:1601.07958 (2016).
17. Y. Gu and J.-C. Mourrat, *Scaling limit of fluctuations in stochastic homogenization*, Multiscale Model. Simul. **14** (2016), no. 1, 452–481. MR 3477309
18. S M Kozlov, *Averaging of random operators*, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik **37** (1980), no. 2, 167.

19. Ulrich Krengel, *Ergodic theorems*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985, with a supplement by Antoine Brunel.
20. J.-C. Mourrat and F. Otto, *Correlation structure of the corrector in stochastic homogenization*, Ann. Probab. **44** (2016), no. 5, 3207–3233. MR 3551195
21. G. C. Papanicolaou and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Boundary value problems with rapidly oscillating random coefficients*, Random fields, Vol. I, II (Esztergom, 1979), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 27, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981, pp. 835–873.
22. M. Reed and B. Simon, *I: Functional analysis*, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Elsevier Science, 1981.
23. Alexander Schrijver, *Theory of linear and integer programming*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1986.
24. J. Ślęzak, *Asymptotic behaviour of time averages for non-ergodic Gaussian processes*, Annals of Physics **383** (2017), 285–311.
25. L. Tartar, *The general theory of homogenization*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2009, A personalized introduction.
26. K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.