

Infinite classes of strongly regular graphs derived from $GL(n, F_2)^*$

Lu Lu, Qiongxian Huang[†], Jiangxia Hou

College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, P.R.China

Abstract It is known that the automorphism group of the elementary abelian 2-group Z_2^n is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL(n, F_2)$ of degree n over F_2 . Let W be the collection of permutation matrices of order n . It is clear that $W \leq GL(n, F_2)$. In virtue of this, we consider the Cayley graph $Cay(Z_2^n, S)$, where S is the union of some orbits under the action of W . We call such graphs the orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n . In this paper, we give eight infinite families of strongly regular graphs among orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n , in which six families are new as we know. By the way, we formulate the spectra of orbit Cayley graphs as well.

Keywords: Cayley graph; Strongly regular graph; Vector space; Galois field

AMS subject classifications: 05A19; 05C50; 05C25

1 Introduction

Let Γ be a graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. The *adjacency matrix* of Γ , denoted by $A(\Gamma) = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$, is the $n \times n$ matrix such that the $a_{i,j} = 1$ if $v_i \sim v_j$ and 0 otherwise. The eigenvalues of $A(\Gamma)$ are called the *eigenvalues* of Γ and the multiset of such eigenvalues together with their multiplicities is called the *spectrum* of Γ , denoted by $\text{Spec}(\Gamma)$. The *distance* $d(v_i, v_j)$ (or d_{ij} for short) between two vertices v_i and v_j is the length of a shortest path from v_i to v_j . The largest distance in Γ is the *diameter* of Γ , denoted by $d(\Gamma)$, that is, $d(\Gamma) = \max\{d(v_i, v_j) \mid v_i, v_j \in V\}$. For $1 \leq l \leq d(\Gamma)$, let $N^{(l)}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid d(v_i, v_j) = l\}$. Therefore, $V = N^{(1)}(v_i) \cup \dots \cup N^{(d(\Gamma))}(v_i)$ is a partition of V for any vertex v_i . Particularly, we always write $N(v_i)$ for $N^{(1)}(v_i)$, which is just the neighborhood of v_i . Moreover, the size of $N(v_i)$ is the *degree* of v_i , denoted by $d(v_i)$. A bijection $\sigma: V \rightarrow V$ is an *automorphism* of Γ if $v_i^\sigma \sim v_j^\sigma$ if and only if $v_i \sim v_j$. The set of all automorphisms forms the *automorphism group* of Γ , denoted by $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The graph Γ is *vertex transitive* if for any vertex v_i there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $v_1^\sigma = v_i$.

*This work is supported by NSFC Grant No. 11671344.

[†]Corresponding author.

Email: lulu549588@hotmail.com (L. Lu), huangqx@xju.edu.cn (Q. Huang), jxhou@xju.edu.cn (J. Hou)

In 1970, Doob [8] started the investigation of graphs with few distinct eigenvalues. Such graphs has been proved to possess nice combinatorial properties and rich structures [7]. It is clear that the connected graphs with exactly two distinct eigenvalues are complete graphs. However, it is far from been solving to characterize the graphs with exactly three distinct eigenvalues. The non-regular graphs with three distinct eigenvalues are studied by many mathematicians, see, for example, [7] and [5]. A connected regular graph with exactly three distinct eigenvalues must be a so called strongly regular graph [12]. A *strongly regular graph* with parameters (n, r, λ, μ) is an r regular graph on n vertices in which any two adjacent vertices have exactly λ common neighbors and any two non-adjacent vertices have exactly μ common neighbours. The characterization of strongly regular graphs is a classical problem in algebraic graph theory which has caused wide public attention and numerous results are obtained, especially, on the strongly regular Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to [4, 9, 11, 17] and references therein. Let G be a group and S a subset of G . The *Cayley graph* $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is the graph such that $V(X) = G$ and two vertices x and y are adjacent if $x^{-1}y \in S$. If S contains no identity element of G and $S^{-1} = S$, then X is an undirected simple graph. The *circulant graphs* are the Cayley graphs over cyclic groups. The complete characterization of strongly regular circulant graphs are independently given by Bridges and Mena [3], Ma [14], and partially by Marušič [15]. For other abelian groups, Leifman and Muzychuk [13] classified strongly regular Cayley graphs on $Z_{p^n} \times Z_{p^n}$ for a prime p . Recently, the complete characterization of *minimal Cayley graphs* (that is Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ such that S is a minimal generating set of G) over abelian groups was given by [16].

In this paper, we focus on the Cayley graphs over the elementary abelian 2-group Z_2^n . It is clear that the automorphism group of Z_2^n is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL(n, F_2)$. Let W be the collection of permutation matrices of order n . It is clear that $W \leq GL(n, F_2)$. Note that Z_2^n can be viewed as the vector space of dimension n over the field F_2 . Therefore, each element v in Z_2^n is a vector $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$ such that $a_i \in F_2$. Let $|v|$ be the number of 1's in v , that is, $|v| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. Denote by $O_i = \{v \in Z_2^n \mid |v| = i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that $Pv \in O_i$ for any $P \in W$ and $v \in O_i$. Moreover, for any two vectors $u, v \in O_i$, there exists $P \in W$ such that $Pu = v$. Therefore, each O_i is an orbit of Z_2^n under the action of W . A Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ is called an *orbit Cayley graph* if S is the union of some O_i . In this paper, we present a simpler criterion for a Cayley graph to be strongly regular. By using this criterion and some combination identities, we construct eight infinite families of strongly regular graphs among the orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n , in which six families are new as we know. By the way, we formulate the spectra of orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n . At last, we propose two research problems.

2 Orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n

We start with the connectivities of orbit Cayley graphs. Since $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, O_0)$ is the union of 2^n copies of loops and $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, O_n)$ is the union of 2^{n-1} copies of K_2 , we exclude them when we consider the connectivity of an orbit Cayley graph.

Lemma 1. *For $i \notin \{0, n\}$, the set O_i is a generating set of Z_2^n if and only if i is odd.*

Proof. If i is even, then O_i generates a subgroup in which each element v satisfies that $|v|$ is even. The necessity follows. Now suppose that i is an odd. If $i = 1$ then O_1 is exactly

a base of Z_2^n , and so is a generating set. If $i = 3$, then O_3 contains $x_1 = (1110 \cdots 0)^T$, $x_2 = (1101 \cdots 0)^T$ and $x_3 = (1011 \cdots 0)^T$. We have $e_1 = (1000 \cdots 0)^T = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Since O_3 is an orbit under W , we have $O_1 \subset \langle O_3 \rangle$. Thus O_3 generates Z_2^n . Similarly we can prove that O_i generates Z_2^n for other odd number. \square

It is well known that a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if and only if S is a generating set of G , that is, $\langle S \rangle = G$. The following result is immediate from Lemma 1.

Corollary 1. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ ($S \neq O_0$ or O_n) is connected if and only if S contains O_i for some odd i .*

In what follows, we focus on the spectra of connected orbit Cayley graphs. Note that the eigenvalues of Cayley graphs over an abelian group have been given by Babai.

Lemma 2 ([2]). *Let G be an abelian group of order n and S a subset of G such that $1 \notin S$ and $S^{-1} = S$. If χ_1, \dots, χ_n are all irreducible characters of G , then the eigenvalues of the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ are $\lambda_i = \sum_{s \in S} \chi_i(s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

To get the eigenvalues of orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n , we should know the irreducible characters of Z_2^n .

Lemma 3 ([18]). *The irreducible characters of Z_2^n are χ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} for $i_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, where $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(v) = (-1)^{a_1 i_1 + \dots + a_n i_n}$ for $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in Z_2^n$.*

For a group G and a subset T , denote by $\chi(T) = \sum_{t \in T} \chi(t)$ for a character χ . By immediate calculation, we get the following result.

Lemma 4. *Let χ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} be an irreducible character of Z_2^n and O_i an orbit. If $i_1 + \dots + i_n = k$,*

$$\text{then } \chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(O_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j.$$

Proof. By definition, we have $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(O_i) = \sum_{v \in O_i} \chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(v)$. Denote by $\Lambda_j^i = \{v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in O_i \mid a_1 i_1 + \dots + a_n i_n = j\}$. Therefore, for each $v \in \Lambda_j^i$, we have $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(v) = (-1)^j$ and thus $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(O_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{r(i,k)} |\Lambda_j^i| (-1)^j$, where $r(i, k) = \min\{i, k\}$. It is not hard to verify that $|\Lambda_j^i| = \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j}$. Thus, we have

$$\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(O_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{r(i,k)} \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j.$$

\square

Theorem 1. *Let $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ be an orbit Cayley graph over Z_2^n . If $S = \cup_{i \in I} O_i$ for some subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ consist of $\lambda_k(S)$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where*

$$\lambda_k(S) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, the eigenvalues of $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ are given by

$$\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(S) = \sum_{i \in I} \chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(O_i)$$

where χ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are irreducible characters of Z_2^n . Denote by $\Upsilon_k = \{\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \mid i_1 + \dots + i_n = k\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. By Lemma 4, we have

$$\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(S) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j$$

for any $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \Upsilon_k$. It means that all characters in Υ_k correspond to the same eigenvalue, denoted by λ_k . Note that $|\Upsilon_k| = \binom{n}{k}$. It means that the multiplicity of λ_k is $\binom{n}{k}$. It completes the proof. \square

At a glance of Theorem 1, one may think that any orbit Cayley graph over Z_2^n has exactly $n + 1$ distinct eigenvalues. However, the values λ_k and $\lambda_{k'}$ may be the same for distinct integers k and k' . Thus, Theorem 1 just implies the following result.

Corollary 2. *If $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ is an orbit Cayley graph, then it has at most $n + 1$ distinct eigenvalues.*

Note that, if $S = Z_2^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then the orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ is isomorphic to the complete graph, which has exactly two distinct eigenvalues. In general, we pose the following problem.

Problem 1. *If there exists an orbit Cayley graph over Z_2^n with exactly m distinct eigenvalues for any integer m such that $2 \leq m \leq n + 1$?*

The answer is positive for $m = 2$. The following examples imply that the answer is also positive for $m = n + 1$, $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$ and 3, respectively. Note that, if an r -regular graph has eigenvalues $r > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, then its complement has eigenvalues $n - 1 - r > -1 - \lambda_n > \dots > -1 - \lambda_2$ [6].

Example 1. We consider the orbit Cayley graph $X = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ where $S = Z_2^n \setminus (\{\mathbf{0}\} \cup O_1)$. It is clear that $\overline{X} = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, O_1)$. By Theorem 1, the spectrum of \overline{X} consists of

$$\lambda_k(O_1) = \sum_{j=0}^1 \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j = \binom{k}{0} \binom{n-k}{1} - \binom{k}{1} \binom{n-k}{0} = n - 2k$$

with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Note that \overline{X} is n -regular. The spectrum of X consists of $\mu_0 = 2^n - 1 - n$ with multiplicity 1 and $\mu_k = 2k - 1 - n$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore, X and \overline{X} are both connected orbit Cayley graphs having $n + 1$ distinct eigenvalues.

Example 2. We consider the orbit Cayley graph $X = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, O_2)$. By Corollary 1, X is disconnected and thus \overline{X} is connected. By Theorem 1, the eigenvalues of X consist of

$$\lambda_k(O_2) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{i-j} (-1)^j = \frac{n(n-1) - 4k(n-k)}{2}$$

with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore, the eigenvalues of \overline{X} consist of $\mu_0 = 2^n - 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ with multiplicity 1 and $\mu_k = -1 - \frac{n(n-1)-4k(n-k)}{2}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that $\lambda_k = -1 - \frac{n(n-1)-4k(n-k)}{2} = -1 - \frac{n(n-1)-4k'(n-k')}{2} = \lambda_{k'}$ if and only if $k' = k$ or $k' = n - k$. It means that the connected orbit graph \overline{X} has exactly $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$ eigenvalues.

Example 3. We consider the orbit Cayley graph $X = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ where $S = \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} O_i$. It is clear that $\overline{X} = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, O_n)$ which is the union of 2^{n-1} copies of K_2 . Therefore, the spectrum of \overline{X} consists of ± 1 with multiplicity 2^{n-1} and thus the spectrum of X consists of $2^n - 2$ with multiplicity 1, 0 with multiplicity 2^{n-1} and -2 with multiplicity $2^{n-1} - 1$. It follows that X has exactly three distinct eigenvalues.

Note that the Cayley graphs having three distinct eigenvalues are strongly regular graphs. However, it is not easy to characterize the strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs by immediately calculating their spectra by applying Theorem 1. In the rest of this paper, we will characterize some strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs by analyzing their structures. We need some combination identities.

3 Combination identities

In this part, we shall give some combination identities, which will be used to prove our main results. Throughout this paper, we always set $\binom{s}{t} = 0$ if $t < 0$ or $t > s$.

Lemma 5. For a positive integer n , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i+1} = 2^{n-1}.$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{cases} (1+1)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i} + \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i+1} \\ (1-1)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i} - \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i+1} \end{cases}$$

By summation the two equations, we get $2 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i} = 2^n$ and thus $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i} = 2^{n-1}$. Subtracting the second equation from the first one, we have $2 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i+1} = 2^n$ and thus $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{2i+1} = 2^{n-1}$. \square

Lemma 6. For a positive integer m , we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j} = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+2} = 2^{4m-2} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+3} = 2^{4m-2} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let i be the imaginary unit. Note that $1 + i = \sqrt{2}e^{\pi i/4}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{2m} \cos m\pi + (2^{2m} \sin m\pi)i = 2^{2m} e^{m\pi i} = (\sqrt{2}e^{\pi i/4})^{4m} = (1 + i)^{4m} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+2} i^2 + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+1} i + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+3} i^3 \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+3} \right) i. \end{aligned}$$

It leads to that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+2} = 2^{2m} \cos m\pi = (-1)^m 2^{2m} \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+3} = 2^{2m} \sin m\pi = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

From Lemma 5, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+2} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+1} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m}{4j+3} = 2^{4m-1}. \quad (2)$$

Thus, the result follows from (1) and (2). \square

Similarly, by respectively considering $(1 + i)^{4m+1}$, $(1 + i)^{4m+2}$ and $(1 + i)^{4m+3}$, we get the following result.

Lemma 7. For a positive integer m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} = 2^{4m-1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}; \\ (b) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} = 2^{4m-1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}; \\ (c) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+2}{4j} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+2}{4j+2} = 2^{4m}; \\ (d) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+2}{4j+1} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (e) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+2}{4j+3} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (f) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+3}{4j} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+3}{4j+3} = 2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (g) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+3}{4j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+3}{4j+2} = 2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As similar as the proof of Lemma 6, we have

$$2^{2m} \cos m\pi + (2^{2m} \cos m\pi)i = (\sqrt{2}e^{\pi i/4})^{4m+1} = (1 + i)^{4m+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} i^2 + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} i + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} i^3 \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} \right) i.
\end{aligned}$$

It leads to that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} = 2^{2m} \cos m\pi = (-1)^m 2^{2m} \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} = 2^{2m} \cos m\pi = (-1)^m 2^{2m}. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 5, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} = 2^{4m}.$$

Therefore, we have $\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+2} = 2^{4m-1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$ and $\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4j+3} = 2^{4m-1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$. It follows (a) and (b).

Similarly, we get (c) (d) and (e) when we consider $(1+i)^{4m+2}$ and get (f) and (g) when we consider $(1+i)^{4m+3}$. \square

By applying Lemmas 6 and 7, we get the following combination identity.

Theorem 2. For two positive integers k and m such that $k \leq m$, we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j+1} = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Note that $\{j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq 2k\} = \{2i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{2i+1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i} \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4(t-i)+1} + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i+2} \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4(t-i)-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i} \sum_{t'=-i}^{m-i} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'+1} + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i+2} \sum_{t'=-i}^{m-i} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'+1} + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i+2} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'-1},
\end{aligned}$$

the third equality holds because $\binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'+1} = 0$ and $\binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'-1} = 0$ if $t' < 0$ or $t' > m - k$. From Lemmas 6 and 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'+1} + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{4k}{4i+2} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k} \binom{4(m-k)+1}{4t'-1} \\ &= (2^{4k-2} + (-1)^k 2^{2k-1})(2^{4(m-k)-1} + (-1)^{m-k} 2^{2(m-k)-1}) \\ & \quad + (2^{4k-2} - (-1)^k 2^{2k-1})(2^{4(m-k)-1} - (-1)^{m-k} 2^{2(m-k)-1}) \\ &= 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof. \square

By the similar methods, we obtain the following combination identities.

Theorem 3. For two positive integers k and m such that $k \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}; \\ (ii) \quad & \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}; \\ (iii) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1} = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}; \\ (iv) \quad & \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+3}{4t-2j+1} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (v) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (vi) \quad & \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (vii) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j-1} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (viii) \quad & \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+3}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (ix) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (x) \quad & 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j-1} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}; \\ (xi) \quad & \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j+3} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As similar as the proof of Theorem 2, we prove these identities by two steps. At first, by using $\{j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq 2k\} = \{2i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{2i+1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$, the left side of each identity can be written as the sum of two parts. Next, by using the identities in Lemmas 6 and 7, we obtain the identity.

For example, the left side of (i) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i} \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{4(m-k)-1}{4(t-i)} + \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i+2} \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{4(m-k)-1}{4(t-i)-2} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k-1} \binom{4(m-k-1)+3}{4t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i+2} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k-1} \binom{4(m-k-1)+3}{4t'+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 (a) (b) (f) and (g), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k-1} \binom{4(m-k-1)+3}{4t'} + \sum_{i=0}^{4k} \binom{4k+1}{4i+2} \sum_{t'=0}^{m-k-1} \binom{4(m-k-1)+3}{4t'+2} \\
&= (2^{4k-1} + (-1)^k 2^{2k-1})(2^{4(m-k-1)+1} - (-1)^{m-k-1} 2^{2(m-k-1)}) \\
&\quad + (2^{4k-1} - (-1)^k 2^{2k-1})(2^{4(m-k-1)+1} + (-1)^{m-k-1} 2^{2(m-k-1)}) \\
&= 2^{4m-3} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It leads to (i).

By these same processes, one can verify the other identities one by one. \square

At last, we mention that (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3 and the identity of Theorem 2 give four distinct combination representations but achieve the same value $2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$; the next four identities and the last four identities of Theorem 3 also give four distinct combination representations but achieve the same values $2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}$ and $2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}$, respectively. Such a properties are important to verify strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs in the next section.

4 Strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs

A connected r -regular graph on n vertices is said to be *strongly regular* with parameter (n, r, λ, μ) if two vertices x and y have λ common neighbors whenever they are adjacent and μ common neighbors whenever they are not adjacent. A strongly regular graph is said to be *trivial* if its complement is disconnected. It is clear that the complement of a trivial strongly regular graph is the union of some isomorphic complete graphs [12]. We first characterize the trivial strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n .

Theorem 4. Let $S^- = \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} O_i$ and $S^o = \cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} O_{2i-1}$ be two subsets of Z_2^n . The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ is a trivial strongly regular Cayley graph if and only if $S \in \{S^-, S^o\}$. Furthermore, $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)$ is $(2^n, 2^n - 2, 2^n - 4, 2^n - 2)$ -strongly regular and $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^o)$ is $(2^n, 2^{n-1}, 0, 2^{n-1})$ -strongly regular.

Proof. We have proved that $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)$ is a trivial strongly regular graph in Example 3. Similarly, one can verify that the complement of $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^o)$ is $2K_{2^{n-1}}$ and thus it is a trivial strongly regular graph. Therefore, the sufficiency follows and we shall show the necessity in what follows. Let $X = \text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S)$ be a trivial strongly regular orbit Cayley

graph. Therefore, \overline{X} is disconnected and thus $\overline{S} = Z_2^n \setminus (\{\mathbf{0}\} \cup S)$ does not contain O_i for any odd i or $\overline{S} = O_n$. If the latter occurs, then we have $S = S^-$. If the former occurs, then \overline{S} is the union of some O_{2i} . In fact, \overline{S} contains all O_i for even i since otherwise the component $\text{Cay}(\langle \overline{S} \rangle, \overline{S})$ of \overline{X} cannot be complete. It follows that $S = S^o$. Besides, one can easily get the parameters of $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)$ and $\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)$ because $\overline{\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)} = 2^{n-1}K_2$ and $\overline{\text{Cay}(Z_2^n, S^-)} = 2K_{2^{n-1}}$. \square

In what follows, we consider the non-trivial strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs. At first we give a criterion for a vertex transitive graph to be strongly regular.

Lemma 8. *Let Γ be a connected vertex transitive graph on n vertices. Then Γ is strongly regular if and only if the partition $\Pi : V(\Gamma) = \{v\} \cup N(v) \cup N^2(v)$ is an equitable partition for some $v \in V(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. It is known that if Γ is strongly regular, then the partition $\Pi : V(\Gamma) = \{v\} \cup N(v) \cup N^2(v)$ is equitable for any $v \in V(\Gamma)$. The necessity follows. In what follows, we show the sufficiency. It is clear that Γ is r -regular for some r because it is vertex transitive. Since Π is an equitable partition, assume the quotient matrix is

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b_{12} + b_{13} = b_{21} + b_{22} + b_{23} = b_{31} + b_{32} + b_{33} = r$. For any two vertex x, y such that $x \sim y$, there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $x^\sigma = v$ and thus $y^\sigma = v_1$ for some $v_1 \in N(v)$. Therefore, we have $|N(x) \cap N(y)| = |(N(x) \cap N(y))^\sigma| = |N(x^\sigma) \cap N(y^\sigma)| = |N(v) \cap N(v_1)| = b_{22}$. Similarly, for any two vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $x \not\sim y$, we have $|N(x) \cap N(y)| = |N(v) \cap N(v_2)| = b_{32}$, where $y^\tau = v_2 \in N^2(v)$ for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $x^\tau = v$. It means that Γ is a (n, r, b_{22}, b_{32}) -strongly regular graph. \square

For a subset S of a group G , let $\overline{S} = G \setminus (S \cup \{1\})$. Denote by $C(v, S) = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in S \text{ and } v = xy\}$. By applying Lemma 8, we get a criterion for a Cayley graph to be strongly regular.

Theorem 5. *Let S be a subset of a group G such that the identity element $1 \notin S$ and $S = S^{-1}$. Then the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is $(|G|, |S|, \lambda, \mu)$ -strongly regular if and only if $|C(v, S)| = \lambda$ for any $v \in S$ and $|C(v', S)| = \mu$ for any $v' \in \overline{S}$.*

Proof. At first, we will verify that $|N(v) \cap S| = |C(v, S)|$ for any $v \in G$. On the one hand, for any $x \in N(v) \cap S$, we have $x^{-1}v = y \in S$, that is, $v = xy$. It means that $(x, y) \in C(v, S)$ and thus $|N(v) \cap S| \leq |C(v, S)|$. On the other hand, for any $(x, y) \in C(v, S)$, we have $x, y \in S$ and $v = xy$, that is, $x^{-1}v = y \in S$. It means that $x \in N(v) \cap S$ and thus $|C(v, S)| \leq |N(v) \cap S|$.

Assume that $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is $(|G|, |S|, \lambda, \mu)$ -strongly regular. For any $v \in S$, we have $v \sim 1$ and thus $|N(v) \cap N(1)| = \lambda$. Therefore, by noticing $N(1) = S$, we have $|C(v, S)| = |N(v) \cap S| = |N(v) \cap N(1)| = \lambda$. For any $v' \in \overline{S}$, we have $v' \not\sim 1$ and thus $|N(v') \cap N(1)| = \mu$. Therefore, by noticing $N(1) = S$, we have $|C(v', S)| = |N(v') \cap S| = |N(v') \cap N(1)| = \mu$.

Conversely, assume that $|C(v, S)| = \lambda$ for any $v \in S$ and $|C(v', S)| = \mu$ for any $v' \in \overline{S}$. It is clear that $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is vertex transitive and $\Pi : V(X) = \{1\} \cup S \cup \overline{S}$ is a partition.

Moreover, we have $|N(v) \cap S| = |C(v, S)| = \lambda$ for any $v \in S$ and $|N(v') \cap S| = |C(v', S)| = \mu$ for any $v' \in \bar{S}$. Therefore, we see that Π is an equitable partition with quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |S| & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & |S| - 1 - \lambda \\ 0 & \mu & |S| - \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \{1\} \\ S \\ \bar{S} \end{matrix}.$$

Thus, Lemma 8 implies that X is $(|G|, |S|, \lambda, \mu)$ -strongly regular. \square

Remark 1. Easily to show that a vertex-transitive graph with diameter two is strongly regular if it is arc-transitive. Lemma 8 gives a sufficient and necessary condition for a vertex transitive graph to be strongly regular, and Theorem 5 also gives a sufficient and necessary condition for a Cayley graph to be strongly regular. Such a sufficient and necessary condition is essentially to verify whether $V(X) = \{1\} \cup N(1) \cup N^2(1)$ is an equitable partition, which is really weaker than the arc-transitivity.

Now we focus on the orbit Cayley graphs over Z_2^n . Recall that O_i are the orbits of Z_2^n under the action of W for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Denote by $S_0 = \cup_{i \neq 0, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} O_i$, $S_1 = \cup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} O_i$, $S_2 = \cup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} O_i$ and $S_3 = \cup_{i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} O_i$.

Lemma 9. *Let v be an element of Z_2^{4m} . If $v \in S_0 \cup S_1$ then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 2.$$

Proof. We divide two cases to discuss.

Case 1. $v \in S_0$.

In this case, assume that $v = 4k$ where $1 \leq k \leq m$. For $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$, we have $v = x + y$ and thus $x, y \in S_0$ or $x, y \in S_1$. Suppose that x has i 's coordinates of 1 coinciding with that of v , that is, $i = v^T x$.

If $x, y \in S_0$ then $|x| = 4t$ and $|y| = 4s$ for some $0 \leq t, s \leq m$ ($x, y \neq \mathbf{0}$). Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s = |y| = |v + x| = (4k - i) + (4t - i)$. It follows that i is even, say $i = 2j$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k, 2t\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined whenever x is chosen. Note that $x, y \neq \mathbf{0}$ and so $(v, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, v)$ should be excluded. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j} - 2 = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j} - 2$ pairs of such (x, y) as $\binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j} = 0$ if $j > r_{k,t}$.

If $x, y \in S_1$ then $|x| = 4t + 1$ and $|y| = 4s + 1$ for some $0 \leq t, s \leq m - 1$. Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s + 1 = |y| = |v + x| = (4k - i) + (4t + 1 - i)$. It follows that i is also even, say $i = 2j$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k, 2t\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t+1-2j}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined whenever x is chosen. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t+1-2j} = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t+1-2j}$ pairs of such (x, y) as $\binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t+1-2j} = 0$ if $j > r_{k,t}$.

By arguments above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k}{4t+1-2j} - 2 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j+1} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 2.$$

Case 2. $v \in S_1$.

In this case, assume that $|v| = 4k + 1$ where $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. For $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$, we have $v = x + y$ and thus $x \in S_0, y \in S_1$ or $x \in S_1, y \in S_0$. Suppose that x has i 's coordinates of 1 coinciding with that of v , that is, $i = v^T x$.

If $x \in S_0$ and $y \in S_1$ then $|x| = 4t$ and $y = 4s + 1$ for some $0 \leq t \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq m - 1$. Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s + 1 = |y| = |v + x| = (4k + 1 - i) + (4t - i)$. It follows that i is even, say $i = 2j$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k, 2t\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined when x is chosen. Note that $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and so $(\mathbf{0}, v)$ should be excluded. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 1 = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of such (x, y) as $\binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} = 0$ if $j > r_{k,t}$. By the symmetry of x and y , there are also $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y, x \in S_1$ and $y \in S_0$.

By arguments above, we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 2.$$

By Theorem 3 (i), we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 2.$$

It completes the proof. □

Lemma 10. *Let v be an element of Z_2^{4m} . If $v \in \overline{S_0 \cup S_1} = S_2 \cup S_3$, then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}.$$

Proof. We divide two cases to discuss.

Case 1. $v \in S_2$.

In this case, assume that $|v| = 4k + 2$ where $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. For $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$, we have $v = x + y$ and thus $x, y \in S_0$ or $x, y \in S_1$. Suppose that x has i 's coordinates of 1 coinciding with that of v , that is, $i = v^T x$.

If $x, y \in S_0$ then $|x| = 4t$ and $|y| = 4s$ for some $0 \leq t, s \leq m$. Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s = |y| = |v + x| = (4k + 2 - i) + (4t - i)$. It follows that i is odd, say $i = 2j + 1$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k, 2t\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j-1}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined whenever x is chosen. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j-1} = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of such (x, y) .

If $x, y \in S_1$ then $|x| = 4t + 1$ and $|y| = 4s + 1$ for some $0 \leq t, s \leq m$. Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s + 1 = |y| = |v + x| = (4k + 2 - i) + (4t + 1 - i)$. It follows that i is also odd, say $i = 2j + 1$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k, 2t\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined whenever x is chosen. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j} = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j}$ pairs of such (x, y) .

By arguments above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j-1} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-2}{4t-2j} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 (ii), we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}.$$

Case 2. $v \in S_3$.

In this case, assume that $|v| = 4k + 3$ where $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. For $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$, we have $v = x + y$ and thus $x \in S_0, y \in S_1$ or $x \in S_1, y \in S_0$. Suppose that x has i 's coordinates of 1 coinciding with that of v , that is, $i = v^T x$.

If $x \in S_0$ and $y \in S_1$ then $|x| = 4t$ and $|y| = 4s + 1$ for some $0 \leq t \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq m - 1$. Note that $y = v + x$ due to $v = x + y$. By our assumption, $4s + 1 = |y| = |v + x| = (4k + 3 - i) + (4t - i)$. It follows that i is odd, say $i = 2j + 1$ where $0 \leq j \leq r_{k,t} = \min\{2k + 1, 2t - 1\}$. Therefore, x has exactly $\binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1}$ possible choices and y is uniquely determined whenever x is chosen. Thus, there are exactly $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k,t}} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1} = \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of such (x, y) . By the symmetry of x and y , there are also $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x \in S_1$ and $y \in S_0$.

By arguments above, we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-3}{4t-2j-1}.$$

By Theorem 3 (iii), we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}.$$

It completes the proof. \square

Note that, by Lemma 7 (a), $|S_0 \cup S_1| = \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{4m}{4i} + \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{4m}{4i+1} = \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\binom{4m}{4i} + \binom{4m}{4i+1} \right) - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{4m+1}{4i+1} - 1 = 2^{4m-1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 1$. Combining Lemmas 9, 10 and Theorem 5, we have the following result.

Theorem 6. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m}, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m}, 2^{4m-1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 1, 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 2, 2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1})$$

for any positive integer m .

Note that the complement of a non-trivial (n, r, λ, μ) -strongly regular graph is an $(n, n - 1 - r, n - 2 - 2r + \mu, n - 2r + \lambda)$ -strongly regular [12]. Since $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m}, S_0 \cup S_1) = \text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m}, S_2 \cup S_3)$, we get the following result.

Corollary 3. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{4m}, S_2 \cup S_3)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m}, 2^{4m-1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}, 2^{4m-2} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}, 2^{4m-2} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1})$$

for any positive integer m .

By similar methods, we consider the orbit Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_1)$ and $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_3)$.

Lemma 11. *Let v be an element of \mathbb{Z}_2^{4m+2} . If $v \in S_0 \cup S_1$, then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2.$$

Proof. As similar as the proof of Lemma 9, if $v \in S_0$, one can verify that there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t-2j} - 2$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$ such that $x, y \in S_0$ and there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t+1-2j}$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$ such that $x, y \in S_1$. Therefore, from Theorem (3) (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t-2j} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t+1-2j} - 2 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+3}{4t-2j+1} - 2 \\ &= 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

If $v \in S_1$, there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$ such that $x \in S_0$ and $y \in S_1$. By symmetry of x and y , there are also $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$ such that $y \in S_0$ and $x \in S_1$. Therefore, from Theorem 3 (v), we also have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} - 2 = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2.$$

It completes the proof. \square

Lemma 12. *Let v be an element of \mathbb{Z}_2^{4m+2} . If $v \in \overline{S_0 \cup S_1} = S_2 \cup S_3$, then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}.$$

Proof. As similar as the proof of Lemma 10, if $v \in S_2$, there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j-1} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j}$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$. By Theorem 3 (vi), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j-1} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j} \\ &= 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

If $v \in S_3$, there are $2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of $(x, y) \in C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)$. By Theorem 3 (vii), we also have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_1)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j-1} = 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}.$$

It completes the proof. \square

Note that, from Lemma 7 (g), $|S_0 \cup S_1| = \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{4m+2}{4i} + \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{4m+2}{4i+1} = \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\binom{4m+2}{4i} + \binom{4m+2}{4i+1} \right) - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{4m+3}{4i+1} = 2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1$. Combining Lemmas 11, 12 and Theorem 5, we get the following result.

Theorem 7. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m+2}, 2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1, 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2, 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m})$$

for any positive integer m .

Since $\overline{\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_1)} = \text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_2 \cup S_3)$, we have the following result.

Corollary 4. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_2 \cup S_3)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m+2}, 2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}, 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}, 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m})$$

for any positive integer m .

Lemma 13. *Let v be an element of Z_2^{4m+2} . If $v \in S_0 \cup S_3$, then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2.$$

Proof. If $v \in S_0$, one can verify that there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t-2j} - 2$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x, y \in S_0$, and there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t+3-2j}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x, y \in S_3$. Therefore, by Theorem 3 (viii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t-2j} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+2}{4t-2j+3} - 2 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k}{2j} \binom{4m-4k+3}{4t-2j} - 2 \\ &= 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

If $v \in S_3$, one can verify that there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x \in S_0, y \in S_3$, and there are also $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 1$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x \in S_3, y \in S_0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3 (ix), we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{4k+3}{2j} \binom{4m-4k-1}{4t-2j} - 2 = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2.$$

It completes the proof. \square

Lemma 14. *Let v be an element of Z_2^{4m+2} . If $v \in \overline{S_0 \cup S_3} = S_1 \cup S_2$, then*

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}.$$

Proof. If $v \in S_1$, there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x \in S_0, y \in S_3$, and there are also $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x \in S_3, y \in S_0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3 (x), we have

$$|C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| = 2 \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+1}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j-1} = 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}.$$

If $v \in S_2$, there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j-1}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x, y \in S_0$, and there are $\sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j+2}$ pairs of (x, y) such that $v = x + y$ and $x, y \in S_3$. Therefore, by Theorem 3 (xi), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C(v, S_0 \cup S_3)| &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j-1} + \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k}{4t-2j+2} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{4k+2}{2j+1} \binom{4m-4k+1}{4t-2j+3} \\ &= 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof. \square

Note that, from Lemma 7 (f), $|S_0 \cup S_3| = 2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1$. Combining Lemmas 13, 14 and Corollary 5, we get the following result.

Theorem 8. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_3)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m+2}, 2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1, 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2, 2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m})$$

for any positive integer m .

Since $\overline{\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_3)} = \text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_1 \cup S_2)$, we have the following result.

Corollary 5. *The orbit Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_1 \cup S_2)$ is strongly regular with parameter*

$$(2^{4m+2}, 2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}, 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}, 2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m})$$

for any positive integer m .

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have constructed six families of infinite non-trivial strongly regular graphs from the general linear group $GL(n, F_2)$, see Theorems 6, 7, 8 and Corollaries 3, 4 and 5. We collect them in Table 1, and especially for $m = 1$ and 2, we present these graphs

Table 1: The non-trivial strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs we have obtained.

Graphs	n	r	λ	μ
$Cay(Z_2^{4m}, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^{4m}	$2^{4m-1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 1$	$2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1} - 2$	$2^{4m-2} + (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$
$Cay(Z_2^{4m}, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^{4m}	$2^{4m-1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$	$2^{4m-2} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$	$2^{4m-2} - (-1)^m 2^{2m-1}$
$Cay(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^{4m+2}	$2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1$	$2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2$	$2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}$
$Cay(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^{4m+2}	$2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}$	$2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}$	$2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}$
$Cay(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_1 \cup S_2)$	2^{4m+2}	$2^{4m+1} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}$	$2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}$	$2^{4m} + (-1)^m 2^{2m}$
$Cay(Z_2^{4m+2}, S_0 \cup S_3)$	2^{4m+2}	$2^{4m+1} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 1$	$2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m} - 2$	$2^{4m} - (-1)^m 2^{2m}$

Table 2: The non-trivial strongly regular orbit Cayley graphs for $m = 1$ and 2.

Graphs	n	r	λ	μ	Graphs	n	r	λ	μ
$Cay(Z_2^4, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^4	5	0	2	$Cay(Z_2^8, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^8	135	70	72
$Cay(Z_2^4, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^4	10	6	6	$Cay(Z_2^8, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^8	120	56	56
$Cay(Z_2^6, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^6	27	10	12	$Cay(Z_2^{10}, S_0 \cup S_1)$	2^{10}	527	270	272
$Cay(Z_2^6, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^6	36	20	20	$Cay(Z_2^{10}, S_2 \cup S_3)$	2^{10}	496	240	240
$Cay(Z_2^6, S_1 \cup S_2)$	2^6	28	12	12	$Cay(Z_2^{10}, S_1 \cup S_2)$	2^{10}	528	272	272
$Cay(Z_2^6, S_0 \cup S_3)$	2^6	35	18	20	$Cay(Z_2^{10}, S_0 \cup S_3)$	2^{10}	495	238	240

in Table 2. Note that Brouwer <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/srg/srgtab.html> has listed all strongly regular graphs on at most 1300 vertices and thus the 12 graphs in Table 2 are contained in his collection. However, as we know, the six infinite families are new.

It is clear that if a Cayley graph is arc-transitive with diameter 2 then it must be strongly regular. However, it is always not easy to verify whether a Cayley graph is arc-transitive. In Theorem 5, we present a simpler criterion for a Cayley graph to be strongly regular, which is also applicative for non arc-transitive Cayley graphs. Note that the graph $Cay(Z_2^4, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is just the famous Clebsch graph, which is arc-transitive, and $Cay(Z_2^4, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is just the special case for $m = 1$ in the family of $Cay(Z_2^{4m}, S_0 \cup S_1)$. It is natural to ask whether $Cay(Z_2^{4m}, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is arc-transitive for any positive integer m . More general, we pose the following problem.

Problem 2. *Does all strongly regular graphs in the six families are arc-transitive ?*

The automorphism group of a graph always reflects some combinatoric properties of this graph. Therefore, many mathematicians would like to investigate the automorphism groups of graphs, especially the automorphism groups of Cayley graphs, see [10] and reference therein. Note that the automorphism group of the Clebsch graph $Cay(Z_2^4, S_0 \cup S_1)$ is isomorphic to the Coxeter group D_5 . In fact, every isomorphism between two connected induced subgraphs of the Clebsch graph can be extended to an automorphism of it. The following problem is proposed.

Problem 3. *Determine the automorphism groups of the strongly regular graphs in the six families.*

References

- [1] J.L. Alperin, R.B. Bell, *Group and Representations*, Springer (1995).
- [2] L. Babai, Spectra of Cayley graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 27 (1979) 180–189.
- [3] W.G. Bridges, R.A. Mena, Rational circulants with rational spectra and cyclic strongly regular graphs, *Ars Combin.* 8 (1979) 143–161.
- [4] R. Calderbank, W.M. Kantor, The geometry of two-weight codes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 18 (1986) 97–122.
- [5] X. M. Cheng, A. L. Gavrilyuk, G. R. W. Greaves, J. H. Koolen, Biregular graphs with three eigenvalues, *European J. Combinatorics* 56 (2016) 57–80.
- [6] D. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs-Theory and Application*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [7] E.R. van Dam, Nonregular graphs with three eigenvalues, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 73 (1998) 101–118.
- [8] M. Doob, Graphs with a small number of distinct eigenvalues, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 175 (1970) 104–110.
- [9] T. Feng, K. Momihara, Q. Xiang, Constructions of strongly regular Cayley graphs and skew Hadamard difference sets from cyclotomic classes, *Combinatorica* 35 (2015) 413–434.
- [10] Y.Q. Feng, Automorphism groups of Cayley graphs on symmetric groups with generating transposition sets, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 96 (2006) 67–72.
- [11] G. Ge, Q. Xiang, T. Yuan, Construction of strongly regular Cayley graphs using index four Gauss sums, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 37 (2013) 313–329.
- [12] C. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [13] Y. Leifman, M. Muzychuk, Strongly regular Cayley graphs over the group $Z_{p^n} \oplus Z_{p^n}$, *Discrete Math.* 305 (2005) 219–239.
- [14] S.L. Ma, Partial difference sets, *Discrete Math.* 52 (1984) 75–89.
- [15] D. Marušič, Strong regularity and circulant graphs, *Discrete Math.* 78 (1989) 119–125.
- [16] S. Miklavič, P. Šparl, On distance-regular Cayley graphs on abelian groups, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 108 (2014) 102–122.
- [17] K. Momihara, Strongly regular Cayley graphs, skew Hadamard difference sets, and rationality of relative Gauss sums, *Europ. J. Combin.* 34 (2013) 706–723.
- [18] J.P. Serre, *Linear representation of finite groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Translate from the second French edition by L. Scott, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. 42.