

ALMOST SQUARENESS AND STRONG DIAMETER TWO PROPERTY IN TENSOR PRODUCT SPACES

ABRAHAM RUEDA ZOCA

ABSTRACT. We study almost squareness and the strong diameter two property in the setting of projective (symmetric) tensor product. We prove that almost squareness is preserved by taking projective tensor product, providing non-trivial examples of ASQ projective tensor product spaces. Furthermore, we give sufficient conditions for a projective symmetric tensor product to have the strong diameter two property which extend most of the known results and provide new examples of such spaces with the strong diameter two property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a (real) Banach space with closed unit ball B_X and unit sphere S_X . We will say that

- (1) X has the *slice diameter two property* (slice-D2P) whenever each slice of B_X has diameter two.
- (2) X has the *diameter two property* (D2P) whenever each non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X has diameter two.
- (3) X has the *strong diameter two property* (SD2P) whenever each convex combination of slices of B_X has diameter two.

Similarly, for dual spaces one can define the w^* -LD2P, the w^* -D2P and the w^* -SD2P by replacing slices and weakly open subsets with weak* slices and weak* open subsets in the above definitions. It is known that all the above properties are different in an extreme way [8].

In [2, Section 5, (b)] it is wondered how are the diameter two properties, in general, preserved by taking tensor products spaces. In the case of the projective tensor product, it is straightforward to check that the slice-D2P is preserved by one factor by taking projective tensor product [2, Theorem 2.7, (i)]. The question for the SD2P is more subtle, for which it was proved in [11, Corollary 3.6] that the SD2P is preserved from both factors by taking

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20, 46B28; Secondary 46B04.

Key words and phrases. Projective tensor product; Projective symmetric tensor product; Diameter two properties, Almost squareness.

The research of the author was supported by MECO (Spain) FPU2016/00015, by MINECO (Spain) Grant MTM2015-65020-P and by Junta de Andalucía Grants FQM-0185.

projective tensor product but not from just one of them [22, Corollary 3.9]. See [19, 21] for further results in this line.

This nice behaviour of the diameter two properties in tensor product spaces motivated stability results of stronger properties, coming from [1], by tensor product spaces in [21]. According to [1], a Banach space X is

- (1) *locally almost square* (LASQ) if for every $x \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|x \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$.
- (2) *weakly almost square* (WASQ) if for every $x \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|x \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $y_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly.
- (3) *almost square* (ASQ) if for every $x_1, \dots, x_k \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|x_i \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

It is known that the sequence involved in the definition of ASQ can be chosen to be weakly-null [1, Theorem 2.8], so ASQ implies WASQ which in turn implies LASQ. Moreover, ASQ implies the SD2P, WASQ implies the D2P, and LASQ implies the LD2P, see [1].

In [21, Theorem 2.6] it is proved that almost squareness preserved by one factor by taking injective tensor product. In view of [10, Corollary 3.6], it is a natural question whether almost squareness is preserved from both factors by taking projective tensor product. However, to the best of our knowledge, it is even not known any non-trivial example of ASQ projective tensor product space (see [21, Remark 2.12]). We will prove in Theorem 2.1 that almost squareness is preserved from both factor by taking projective tensor product. This stability result will be proved by making use of classical Rademacher techniques [24, Section 2.5] employed for studying tensor diagonal bases.

In contrast with the projective tensor product, no stability result of diameter two properties is known for the projective symmetric tensor products. It is known that all the projective symmetric tensor products of a Banach space X has the D2P whenever X has an infinite-dimensional centralizer and B_X contain any extreme point [7, Corollary 2.6]. Furthermore, in [11, Theorem 3.3] it is proved that the all the projective symmetric tensor products of an ASQ Banach space enjoy the SD2P. In both cases, it is extremely important having a c_0 behaviour in which X has the SD2P because this allows us to construct weakly null sequences in the projective symmetric tensor product (see [11, Lemma 3.1]). Bearing this fact in mind we will introduce the *sequential strong diameter two property* (*sequential SD2P*) in Definition 2.6, a strengthening of the SD2P motivated by the *symmetric strong diameter two property* (see definition below) introduced in [3], proving that if a Banach space X has such property then every projective symmetric tensor product of X has the SD2P in Theorem 2.7. In spite of the fact that the sequential SD2P seems to be quite technical property to check in a Banach space, we will prove that it can be applied to several Banach spaces which

are well known to have the SD2P as are infinite-dimensional uniform algebras or Banach spaces with an infinite-dimensional centralizer and whose unit ball contains any extreme point, and also the space $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ whenever M is a metric space with infinitely-many cluster points. As a consequence of the previous examples, we will generalise the main results of [11, Section 3] and [7] as well as we will produce new examples of projective symmetric tensor product spaces enjoying the SD2P.

Notation: Throughout the paper we will only consider real Banach spaces. Given a Banach space X , by a *slice of B_X* we will mean a set of the form

$$S(B_X, f, \alpha) := \{x \in B_X : f(x) > 1 - \alpha\},$$

where $f \in S_{X^*}$ and $\alpha > 0$. If X is a dual Banach space, the previous set will be a *weak-star slice* if f belongs to the predual of X . For else standard notation about Banach spaces we refer to [6]. According to [3] a Banach space X is said to have the *symmetric strong diameter two property* (SSD2P) if, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, slices S_1, \dots, S_n of B_X and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $x_i \in S_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $y \in B_X$ such that $x_i \pm y \in S_i$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\|y\| > 1 - \varepsilon$. It is clear that ASQ Banach spaces enjoy the SSD2P and that the SSD2P implies the SD2P. It is known that the converse of the above implications do not hold [18].

Given two Banach spaces X and Y we will denote by $L(X, Y)$ the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . Recall that the *projective tensor product* of X and Y , denoted by $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$, is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ under the norm given by

$$\|u\| := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

It is known that $B_{X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y) = \overline{\text{conv}}(S_X \otimes S_Y)$ [24, Proposition 2.2]. Moreover, given Banach spaces X and Y , it is well known that $(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* = L(X, Y^*)$ (see [24] for background).

We pass now to projective symmetric tensor products. Given a Banach space X , we define the (N -fold) *projective symmetric tensor product* of X , denoted by $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X$, as the completion of the space $\otimes^{s, N} X$ under the norm

$$\|u\| := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \|x_i\|^N : u := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^N, n \in \mathbb{N}, x_i \in X \right\}.$$

The dual, $(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X)^* = \mathcal{P}^N(X)$, is the Banach space of N -homogeneous continuous polynomials on X , and notice that $B_{\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X} = \overline{\text{co}}(\{x^N : x \in S_X\})$ (see [15] for background). We will denote by $P^N(X, Y)$ the space of all N -homogeneous polynomials from X to Y .

Given a metric space M we will denote by $B(x, r) := \{y \in M : d(x, y) < r\}$, where $x \in M$ and $r > 0$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We will begin this section with a study of how almost squareness is preserved by taking projective tensor product. This study is motivated by the fact that the SD2P is preserved by taking projective tensor product from both factors [10, Corollary 3.6] and by [21, Remark 2.12], where it is asked whether there are two Banach spaces X and Y such that $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is ASQ and such that $\min\{\dim(X), \dim(Y)\} \geq 2$. The following theorem gives a large class of such non-trivial examples.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. If X and Y are ASQ then so is $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.*

For the proof we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. Pick $x, y \in S_X, u, v \in S_Y$ such that*

$$\|x \pm y\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon, \|u \pm v\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

hold. Then

$$\|x \otimes u \pm y \otimes v\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2.$$

Proof. Pick $x, y \in S_X, u, v \in S_Y$ as in the hypotheses of the lemma. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes u + y \otimes v &= \frac{1}{4}((x + y) \otimes (u + v) \\ &\quad + (-x + y) \otimes (-u + v) \\ &\quad + (x - y) \otimes (u - v) \\ &\quad + (-x - y) \otimes (-u - v)), \end{aligned}$$

from where the triangle inequality implies

$$\|x \otimes u + y \otimes v\| \leq \frac{1}{4}(4(1 + \varepsilon)^2) = (1 + \varepsilon)^2,$$

as desired. The other inequality follows in a similar way. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in S_{X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, and let us find $z \in S_{X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ such that $\|z_i + z\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. To this aim, since $B_{X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y} = \overline{\text{co}}(S_X \otimes S_Y)$, then we can find, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, elements of $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| z_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} x_{ij} \otimes y_{ij} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{ij} \in S_X, y_{ij} \in S_Y$ holds for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ and $\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in_i} \in [0, 1]$ satisfy that $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} = 1$. Now, since X is ASQ we can find $x \in S_X$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|x_{ij} \pm x\| < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Furthermore, since Y is ASQ, we can find $y \in S_Y$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|y_{ij} \pm y\| < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Define $z := x \otimes y \in S_X \otimes S_Y$. Given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ then Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\|x_{ij} \otimes y_{ij} + x \otimes y\| < 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

by using (2.2) and (2.3). Consequently, given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_i + z\| &\stackrel{(2.1)}{<} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} x_{ij} \otimes y_{ij} + x \otimes y \right\| \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} (x_{ij} \otimes y_{ij} + x \otimes y) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} \|x_{ij} \otimes y_{ij} + x \otimes y\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ was arbitrary we conclude the desired result. ■

Remark 2.3. In [21, Remark 2.12] it is asked whether there is any non-trivial example of an ASQ projective tensor product space. Theorem 2.1 goes further and even provides a stability result of such property.

Remark 2.4. In [11, Question 4.3] it is asked whether almost squareness is preserved by taking projective symmetric tensor product. To the best of our knowledge, this question is still open. Notice that, in order to give a positive answer, an extension of Lemma 2.2 to the projective symmetric tensor product is needed. However, it is not obvious that such result extends to the symmetric case except to the case of two factors. Indeed, given a Banach space X , consider $Y := \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, 2} X$. Then, given $x, y \in S_X$, it follows from classical addition formula [15, pp. 8] that the following equality

$$x^2 + y^2 = \frac{(x+y)^2 + (x-y)^2}{2},$$

holds in Y , from where similar techniques to the ones of the proof of Theorem 2.1 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be an ASQ Banach space. Then $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, 2} X$ is ASQ.*

Now we turn to find a sufficient condition for a projective symmetric tensor product to have the SD2P. To this end, we need to consider a strengthening of the SSD2P. Notice that in [18, Theorem 2.1] it is proved that a Banach space X has the SSD2P if, and only if, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_X$ we can find nets $\{y_\alpha^i\}, \{z_\alpha\}$ in S_X such that $\{y_\alpha^i\} \rightarrow x_i$ and $\{z_\alpha\} \rightarrow 0$ weakly, and $\|y_\alpha^i \pm z_\alpha\| \rightarrow 1$.

Let us now consider the following definition.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a Banach space. We say that X has the *sequential strong diameter two property (sequential SD2P)* if, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x_1, \dots, x_N \in S_X$, there are sequences $\{y_n^i\}, \{z_n\}$ of S_X for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\{x_i - y_n^i\}$ is either norm-null or equivalent to the c_0 basis for every i , $\{z_n\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis and $\|y_n^i \pm z_n\| \rightarrow 1$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

The definition of the sequential SD2P encodes, roughly speaking, a c_0 way in which a Banach space X has the SD2P. The reason why we look for such behaviour is that, given a Banach space X , if a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis then, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$, it follows that $P(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, so we get that $\{x_n^N\}$ is weakly null in $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X$ (see [11, Lemma 3.1] for details). Notice that this has been the key idea to proving [7, Proposition 2.4] as well as [11, Theorem 3.3].

On the other hand, note that sequential SD2P is formally stronger than the SSD2P, but we do not know whether they are equivalent. It is clear that sequential SD2P implies the containment of c_0 , but it is not known whether all the Banach spaces with the SSD2P contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 [18, Question 6.1]. We will give examples of Banach spaces with the sequential SD2P in Section 3

Our interest in the sequential SD2P comes from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a Banach space and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If X has the sequential SD2P then, for every $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}(^N X)}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $f \in S_{X^*}$ such that, if we define $Q := f^N \in \mathcal{P}(^N X)$, we have that*

$$\|P_i + Q\| > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Pick $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}(^N X)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\|P_i\| = 1$ then we can find $x_i \in S_X$ such that $P_i(x_i) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By assumptions we can find sequences $\{y_k^i\}$ and $\{z_k\}$ in the unit sphere such $\{x_i - y_k^i\}$ is either norm-null or equivalent to the c_0 basis for every i , $\{z_k\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis and $\|y_k^i \pm z_k\| \rightarrow 1$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Now pick an arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Notice that if $\{x_i - y_k^i\}$ is norm null then $P_i(x_i - y_k^i) \rightarrow 0$ because of the continuity of P_i . On the other hand, if $\{x_i - y_k^i\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis then $P_i(x_i - y_k^i) \rightarrow 0$ by [11, Lemma 3.1]. Consequently, by [14, Lemma 1.1] we get that $P_i(y_k^i) \rightarrow P_i(x_i)$. Since $\{z_k\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis again an application of [11, Lemma 3.1] and [14, Lemma 1.1] implies

that $P_i(y_k^i + z_k) \rightarrow P_i(x_i) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Taking into account that $\|y_k^i \pm z_k\| \rightarrow 1$ we can find k large enough so that $\|y_k^i \pm z_k\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $P_i(y_k^i + z_k) > 1 - \varepsilon$ hold. Pick $f \in S_{X^*}$ such that $f(z_k) = 1$ and define $Q := f^N \in S_{\mathcal{P}(^N X)}$. In order to finish the proof let us prove that

$$\|P_i + Q\| > \frac{1 - \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon)^N}{(1 + \varepsilon)^N},$$

which implies the thesis of the theorem from the arbitrariness of ε and since the definition of Q does not depend on i . To this end, notice that

$$1 + \varepsilon > \|y_k^i \pm z_k\| \geq |f(y_k^i) \pm f(z_k)|.$$

Now, if we make a correct choice of sign, we conclude that

$$1 + \varepsilon > |f(y_k^i)| + |f(z_k)| = 1 + |f(y_k^i)|,$$

from where we obtain that $|f(y_k^i)| < \varepsilon$. Taking into account that $\|y_k^i \pm z_k\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_i + Q\| &> \frac{P_i(y_k^i + z_k) + Q(y_k^i + z_k)}{\|y_k^i + z_k\|^N} > \frac{1 - \varepsilon + (f(z_k) + f(y_k^i))^N}{\|y_k^i + z_k\|^N} \\ &> \frac{1 - \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon)^N}{\|y_k^i + z_k\|^N} \\ &> \frac{1 - \varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon)^N}{(1 + \varepsilon)^N}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Recall that the norm of a Banach space X is said to be *octahedral* if, for every finite-dimensional subspace Y of X and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in S_X$ such that

$$\|y + \lambda x\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)(\|y\| + |\lambda|)$$

holds for every $y \in Y$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. From an isomorphic point of view, it is known that a Banach space X admits an equivalent octahedral norm if, and only if, X contains an isomorphic copy of ℓ_1 [17]. From an isometric point of view, the norm of a Banach space X is octahedral if, and only if, X^* has the w^* -SD2P [9, Theorem 2.1]. As a consequence of a weak-star density argument, a Banach space X has the SD2P if, and only if, the norm of X^* is octahedral [9, Corollary 2.2]. Notice that Theorem 2.7 implies that if a Banach space X has the sequential SD2P then the norm of $\mathcal{P}(^N X)$ is octahedral. Bearing the above facts in mind we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a Banach space with the sequential SD2P and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

- (1) *The norm of $\mathcal{P}(^N X)$ is octahedral. Equivalently, $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X$ has the SD2P.*
- (2) *If Y is a Banach space with an octahedral norm, then the norm of $\mathcal{P}(^N X, Y)$ is octahedral.*

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.7, [19, Proposition 2.1] and [9, Corollary 2.2]. To get the second assertion, notice that $\mathcal{P}({}^N X, Y)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X, Y)$ [15]. Now, since the norms of $(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X)^* = \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$ and Y are octahedral, so is the norm of $L(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X, Y)$ by [10, Theorem 3.5], and we are done. ■

We will end this section giving an extension of Theorem 2.7 which will allow us to improve the main result of [7]. In order to do so, we need to introduce a bit of notation. Given a Banach space X , a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$, then P can be extended in a canonical way (the so-called Aron-Berner extension) to a polynomial $\widehat{P} \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X^{**})$ such that $\|\widehat{P}\| = \|P\|$ (see [7, 13]). Taking into account such extension we can improve Theorem 2.7 in the following sense.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a Banach space such that X^{**} has the sequential SD2P and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}({}^N X)}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $f \in S_{X^*}$ such that, if we define $Q := f^N \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$, we have that*

$$\|P_i + Q\| > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Given $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}({}^N X)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we get, in view of the properties of the Aron-Berner extensions of the previous polynomials and by Theorem 2.7, that we can find an element $x^{***} \in S_{X^{***}}$ such that, defining $R := (x^{***})^N \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X^{**})$, we get that

$$\|\widehat{P}_i + R\| > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now, given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ choose $x_i^{**} \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that $\widehat{P}_i(x_i^{**}) + (x_i^{**})^N > 2 - \varepsilon$. From weak-star denseness of S_{X^*} in $S_{X^{***}}$ we can find $f \in S_{X^*}$ such that $\widehat{P}_i(x_i^{**}) + f(x_i^{**})^N > 2 - \varepsilon$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now, fix an arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and find, by [13, Theorem 1], a net $\{x_s^i\} \in S_X$ such that $P(x_s^i) \rightarrow \widehat{P}(x_i^{**})$ holds for all $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$. Pick s large enough so that $P_i(x_s^i) + (f(x_s^i))^N > 2 - \varepsilon$. Hence, if we define $Q := f^N \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$, we get

$$\|P_i + Q\| > P_i(x_s^i) + (f(x_s^i))^N > 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude the proposition. ■

Remark 2.10. In contrast with what is known for the SD2P and the SSD2P, we do not know whether the sequential SD2P passes from a bidual Banach space X^{**} to X . That is the reason why Proposition 2.9 has been proved by making use of theory of approximation of polynomials.

We will finish with a further generalisation of Theorem 2.7, which will allow us to improve [7, Theorem 3.2]. In order to do so, we need to introduce

the following notation, coming from [7, Section 3]. Given a Banach space, we consider the sequence of all its even duals

$$X \subseteq X^{**} \subseteq X^{(4)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq X^{(2n)} \subseteq \dots,$$

and consider $X^{(\infty)}$ as the completion of the normed space $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{(2n)}$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the previous sequence joint with the Aron-Berner extension of a polynomial defines the following chain of isometric embeddings

$$\mathcal{P}({}^N X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}({}^N X^{**}) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X^{(2n)}) \subseteq \dots,$$

which gives rise to a completion to

$$\mathcal{P}({}^N X) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{P}({}^N X^{(2n)}) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{P}({}^N X^{(\infty)}).$$

This inclusion is defined as follows: given a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$ and $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{(2n)}$ we define $P(x) = P^{(2n)}(x)$ if $x \in X^{(2n)}$. This defines a continuous polynomial on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{(2n)}$, which extends in a unique way by continuity to a polynomial $\bar{P} : X^{(\infty)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is an element of $\mathcal{P}({}^N X^{(\infty)})$. Clearly, $\|\bar{P}\| = \|P\|$ holds since the Aron-Berner extension is an isometric embedding.

Now we are ready to prove the following extension of Theorem 2.7, following the lines of [7, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 2.11. *Let X be a Banach space and let $Y := X^{(\infty)}$. Assume that, for every $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}({}^N Y)}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an element $\varphi \in S_{Y^*}$ such that*

$$\|P_i + \varphi^N\| > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the norm of $\mathcal{P}({}^N X)$ is octahedral.

Proof. Let $P_1, \dots, P_n \in S_{\mathcal{P}({}^N X)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by \bar{P}_i its canonical extension to $S_{\mathcal{P}({}^N Y)}$. By assumptions we can find $\varphi \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$\|\bar{P}_i + \varphi^N\| > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ pick $z_i \in B_Y$ such that $\bar{P}_i(z_i) + \varphi(z_i)^N > 2 - \varepsilon$. Now, from a denseness argument we can assume with no loss of generality that $z_i \in X^{(2m)}$ for certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $B_{(X^*)^{(\infty)}}$ is weak-star dense in B_{Y^*} by [7, Proposition 3.1] we can find $k \geq m$ and $\phi \in S_{X^{(2k+1)}}$ such that $\bar{P}_i(z_i) + \phi(z_i)^N > 2 - \varepsilon$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If we consider $z_i \in X^{(2m)} \subseteq X^{(2k)}$ and taking into account the definition of \bar{P} we get that

$$\|P_i^{(2k)} + \phi^N\| \geq P_i^{(2k)}(z_i) + \phi(z_i)^N > 2 - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now, an inductive argument similar to that of the proof of Proposition 2.9 yields an element $f \in B_{X^*}$ such that $\|P_i + f^N\| > 2 - \varepsilon$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and the proof is finished. ■

3. APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let us exhibit examples of Banach spaces with the sequential SD2P to get examples of projective symmetric tensor product spaces with the SD2P. To begin with, we will generalise two known results about the diameter two properties in the projective symmetric tensor products.

Example 3.1. It is clear that ASQ Banach space enjoy the sequential SD2P, so Corollary 2.8 generalises the main results of [11, Section 3].

Example 3.2. All the Banach spaces X whose centralizer is infinite-dimensional and $\text{ext}(B_X) \neq \emptyset$ have the sequential SD2P (it follows from the proof of [5, Lemma 2.2]). Indeed, given such a Banach space X , $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_X$ and $p \in \text{ext}(B_X)$, then it remains to follow word-by-word the proof of [5, Lemma 2.2] and define $y_n^i := (1 - f_n)x_i$ and $z_n := f_n p$ to get the desired result.

From the previous example we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space and assume that $Z(X^{(\infty)})$ is infinite-dimensional. Then $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi,s,N} X$ has the SD2P for every natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Note that, if we denote by $Y := X^{(\infty)}$, then the fact that $Z(Y)$ is infinite-dimensional implies that $Z(Y^{**})$ is infinite-dimensional by [20, Corollary I.3.15]. Now Theorem 2.7 together with Proposition 2.9 imply that X satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.11, so the norm of $\mathcal{P}^N X = (\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi,s,N} X)^*$ is octahedral or, equivalently, $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi,s,N} X$ has the SD2P for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, as desired. ■

Remark 3.4. The previous corollary implies [7, Theorem 3.2], where the authors obtained that $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi,s,N} X$ has the D2P under the same assumptions.

We pass now to exhibit two different examples of Banach spaces which enjoy the sequential SD2P, providing new examples of projective symmetric tensor product spaces enjoying the SD2P.

Example 3.5. Let M be a pointed metric space and $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ the space of all Lipschitz functions from M to \mathbb{R} which vanish at 0 equipped with the classical Lipschitz norm given by

$$\|f\| := \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)}.$$

Then $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ has the sequential SD2P if M' is infinite.

For the proof we will need the following lemma, which is an extension of [12, Lemma 1.5].

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a pointed metric space and let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in $S_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}$. Denote by $U_n := \{x \in M : f_n(x) \neq 0\}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

assume that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are elements $x_n \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_k$ such that

$$d(y, x_n) < d(y, x)$$

holds for every $y \in U_n$ and every $x \in \bigcup_{k \neq n} U_k$ (in particular the sequence $\{U_n\}$ is pairwise disjoint). Then the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis.

Proof. Consider $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $g := \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i f_i$. Since the sequence $\{U_n\}$ is pairwise disjoint then the proof of [12, Lemma 1.5] implies that

$$\|g\| \leq 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i|.$$

In order to get an inequality from below consider $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $|\lambda_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\lambda_j|$. Now, since $\|f_i\| = 1$, we can find $u, v \in M, u \neq v$ such that $\frac{f_i(u) - f_i(v)}{d(u, v)} > 1 - \varepsilon$. Notice that either u or v belongs to U_i . Assuming that $u \in U_i$ we have two possibilities:

- (1) $f_i(v) \neq 0$. This implies that $v \in U_i$ and, from the disjointness of the sequence $\{U_n\}$, we get that $f_j(u) = f_j(v) = 0$ holds for every $j \neq i$. Consequently

$$\|g\| \geq \frac{|g(u) - g(v)|}{d(u, v)} = |\lambda_i| \frac{|f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{d(u, v)} \geq |\lambda_i|(1 - \varepsilon).$$

- (2) $f_i(v) = 0$. Thus $f_i(u) > (1 - \varepsilon)d(u, v)$. Now if $v \notin \bigcup_{j \neq i} U_j$ then the same argument of (1) applies to get $\|g\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|\lambda_i|$. On the other hand, if $v \in U_j$ for some $j \neq i$ we have

$$\|g\| \geq \frac{|g(u) - g(x_i)|}{d(u, x_i)} \geq |\lambda_i| \frac{f_i(u)}{d(u, x_i)} > |\lambda_i| \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)d(u, v)}{d(u, x_i)} \geq |\lambda_i|(1 - \varepsilon),$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption on x_i .

In any case, from the arbitrariness of ε and the choice of λ_i we get that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i f_i \right\| \leq 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\lambda_i|,$$

and the lemma is proved. \blacksquare

Proof of Example 3.5. Notice that, since M' is infinite, we can inductively construct a sequence of pairwise disjoint balls $\{B(x_n, r_n)\}$ in M such that $x_n \in M'$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice now that we can assume, up considering close enough points to the center of the balls and considering smaller radii, that there exists $z_n \in M \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(x_n, r_n)$ such that

$$d(z_n, x) < d(x, y)$$

holds for every $x \in B(x_n, r_n)$ and every $y \in B(x_m, r_m)$ with $n \neq m$. Now the result follows repeating word-by-word the proof of [12, Lemma 5.4] by working with a sequence of balls $B(x_n, r'_n)$, for $r'_n < r_n$ small enough, and taking into account that the sequences involved in the proof are either null or equivalent to the c_0 basis in our case because of Lemma 3.6. ■

The following example is a generalisation of [23, Theorem 2.2], but the proof will be strongly based on its original proof.

Example 3.7. If $X \subseteq \mathcal{C}(K)$ is an infinite-dimensional uniform algebra, i.e. a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ which separates the points of K and contains the constant functions, then X enjoys the sequential SD2P.

Before beginning with the proof, let us introduce some notation used in [23]. Given a uniform algebra on a compact space K , a point $x \in K$ is said to be a *strong boundary point* if, for every neighbourhood V of x and every $\delta > 0$, there exists $f \in S_X$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and $|f| < \delta$ on $K \setminus V$. The *Silov boundary* of X , denoted by ∂_X following the notation of [16], is the closure of the set of all strong boundary points. It is a fundamental result of the theory of uniform algebras that X can be indentified as an uniform algebra on its Silov boundary (see [23]). This fact allows us to assume, with no loss of generality, that the Silov boundary of X is dense in K .

Proof. First, assume that the set of isolated points of K is infinite. Then there exists a sequence of different isolated points $\{x_n\}$ in K and, since the set of strong boundary points is dense in K , then every x_n is a strong boundary point. Now pick $f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_X$. Given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ if the sequence $\{f_i(x_n)\}_n \rightarrow 0$ define $g_i^n = 0$. Otherwise, define $g_i^n(x_n) = f_i(x_n)$ and 0 otherwise (such construction can be done because x_n is an isolated strong boundary point for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Notice that, since the functions of $\{g_i^n\}_n$ have disjoint support, then $\{g_i^n\}_n$ is either norm-null or equivalent to the c_0 basis. Now define $h_i^n := f_i - g_i^n$, which verifies that $\|h_i^n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $h_i^n - f_i$ is either norm-null or equivalent to the c_0 basis. Now, defining $\phi_n := \chi_{\{x_n\}}$ we have that $\|\phi_n\| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\phi_n\}$ is isometric to the c_0 basis since the supports are pairwise disjoint and, by construction, $\|h_i^n \pm \phi_n\| \rightarrow 1$.

Now assume that the set of isolated points is finite, then K' is clopen and perfect. Thus we can find a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets $\{V_n\} \subseteq K'$, which are still open in K . By the denseness of the set of strong boundary points we can choose $t_n \in V_n$ being a strong boundary point for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick $f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_X$. Given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, if $\{f_i(t_n)\} \rightarrow 0$ then define g_n^i as the constant 0 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_i = 1$; otherwise assume, up taking a further subsequence, that $|f_i(t_n)| \geq \delta_i$, for some $\delta_i > 0$. Define $\delta := \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \delta_i$, choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and find a sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon$. Define, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $f_i(t_n)$ is not null, by using that t_n is a strong boundary point, a function $g_n^i \in X$ such

that $\|g_n^i\| = |f_i(t_n)|$, $g_n^i(t_n) = f_i(t_n)$ and that $|g_n^i(t)| < \varepsilon_n$ holds for every $t \in K \setminus V_n$. Finally consider $h_n^i := f_i - g_n^i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not difficult to prove that $\|h_n^i\| \rightarrow 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Furthermore, given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $g_n^i = f_i - h_n^i$ is either norm-null (in the case that $f_i(t_n) \rightarrow 0$) or equivalent to the c_0 basis (otherwise). In fact, given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $|f_i(t_n)| \geq \delta_i > 0$, select an arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $g := \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j g_j^i$. For a given $t \in K$ we have two possibilities:

- (1) There is $q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $t \in V_q$. Then, by the construction, $|g_j^i(t)| \leq \varepsilon_j$ for every $j \neq q$. Consequently, the triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| |g_j^i(t)| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j| \left(|g_q^i(t)| + \sum_{j \neq q} \varepsilon_j \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j|. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) If $t \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^p V_j$ then $|g_j^i(t)| \leq \varepsilon_j$ holds for every $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, and so similar estimates to the ones of the previous case yields that

$$|g(t)| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j| \varepsilon.$$

Hence, taking supremum in $t \in K$, we get that $\|\sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j g_j^i\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j|$.

In order to get an inequality from below choose $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that $|\lambda_q| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t_q)| &\geq |\lambda_q| |g_q^i(t_q)| - \sum_{j \neq q} |\lambda_j| |g_j^i(t_q)| \geq |\lambda_q| \delta - |\lambda_q| \sum_{j \neq q} \varepsilon_j \\ &\geq |\lambda_q| (\delta - \varepsilon) \\ &\geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j| \frac{\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j g_j^i \right\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\lambda_j|,$$

which proves that the sequence $\{g_j^i\}_j$ is equivalent to the c_0 basis.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find from the continuity of h_n^i an open set W_n such that $t_n \in W_n \subseteq V_n$ and such that $|h_n^i(t) - h_n^i(t_n)| < \varepsilon_n$ holds for every $t \in W_n$ and every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Using again that t_n is a strong boundary point find $\phi_n \in S_X$ such that $\phi(t_n) = 1$ and $|\phi_n(t)| < \varepsilon_n$ holds for all $t \in K \setminus W_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\{\phi_n\}$ is a sequence equivalent to the c_0 basis by a similar argument to that of the sequence $\{g_j^i\}_j$ given above.

Let us prove that, for a given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $\|h_n^i \pm \phi_n\| \rightarrow 1$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in K$ we have two possibilities:

- (1) If $t \notin W_n$ then $|h_n^i(t) \pm \phi_n(t)| \leq \|h_n^i\| + \varepsilon_n$.
- (2) If $t \in W_n$ then $|h_n^i(t) \pm \phi_n(t)| \leq 1 + |h_n^i(t_n)| + |h_n^i(t) - h_n^i(t_n)| < 1 + \varepsilon_n + |h_n^i(t_n)|$.

So, taking supremum in t , we get that

$$\|h_n^i \pm \phi_n\| \leq \max\{\|h_n^i\| + \varepsilon_n, 1 + \varepsilon_n + |h_n^i(t_n)|\}.$$

From the construction of the sequence $\{h_n^i\}$ it follows that the previous maximum tends to 1, as desired. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Abrahamsen, J. Langemets, and V. Lima, *Almost square Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), 1549–1565.
- [2] T. A. Abrahamsen, V. Lima, and O. Nygaard, *Remarks on diameter 2 properties*, J. Conv. Anal. **20** (2013), no. 2, 439–452.
- [3] T.A. Abrahamsen, O. Nygaard and M. Pöldvere, *New applications of extremely regular function spaces*, preprint.
- [4] M.D. Acosta and J. Becerra Guerrero, *Weakly open sets in the unit ball of some Banach spaces and the centralizer*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 842–856.
- [5] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra Guerrero, A. Rodríguez Palacios, *Weakly open sets in the unit ball of the projective tensor product of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **383** (2011), 461–473.
- [6] F. Albiac and N. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 233, Springer-Verlag, New York 2006.
- [7] R.M. Aron and P.D. Berner, *A Hahn-Banach extension theorem for analytic mappings*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), 3–24.
- [8] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Extreme differences between weakly open subsets and convex combinations of slices in Banach spaces*, Adv. Math. **269** (2015), 56–70.
- [9] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Octahedral norms and convex combination of slices in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 2424–2435.
- [10] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Octahedral norms in spaces of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **427** (2015), 171–184.
- [11] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Some results on almost square Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **438** (2016), 1030–1040.
- [12] B. Cascales, R. Chiclana, L. García-Lirola, M. Martín and A. Rueda Zoca, *On strongly norm-attaining Lipschitz operators*, preprint.
- [13] A.M. Davie, T.W. Gamelin, *A theorem on polynomial-star approximation*, Proceedings of the AMS **106** (1989), 351–356.
- [14] J.D. Farmer and W.B. Johnson, *Polynomial Schur and polynomial Dunford-Pettis properties*, Contemp. Math. **144** (1993), 95–105.
- [15] K. Floret, *Natural norms on symmetric tensor products of normed spaces*, Note Math. **17** (1997), 153–188.
- [16] T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood N.J. (1969).
- [17] G. Godefroy, *Metric characterization of first Baire class linear forms and octahedral norms*, Studia Math. **95** (1989), 1–15.
- [18] R. Haller, J. Langemets, V. Lima and R. Nadel, *Symmetric strong diameter two property*, preprint.

- [19] R. Haller, J. Langemets, and M. Põldvere, *Rough norms in spaces of operators*, Math. Nachr. (2017), 1-11.
- [20] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1547, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1993.
- [21] J. Langemets, V. Lima and A. Rueda Zoca, *Almost square and octahedral norms in tensor product of Banach spaces*, RACSAM **111** (2017), 841–853.
- [22] J. Langemets, V. Lima and A. Rueda Zoca, *Octahedral norms in tensor products of Banach spaces*, Quarterly J. Math. **68** (2017), 1247–1260; doi:10.1093/qmath/hax020
- [23] O. Nygaard, D. Werner, *Slices in the unit ball of a uniform algebra*, Archiv Math. **76** (2001), 441–444.
- [24] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.

UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS
MATEMÁTICO, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN)

E-mail address: abrahamrueda@ugr.es

URL: <https://arzenglish.wordpress.com>