

Graphs with no K_9^- minor are 10-colorable

Martin Rolek*

Department of Mathematics

College of William & Mary

Williamsburg, VA 23185

March 26, 2018

Abstract

Hadwiger's conjecture claims that any graph with no K_t minor is $(t - 1)$ -colorable. This has been proved for $t \leq 6$, but remains open for $t \geq 7$. As a variant of this conjecture, graphs with no K_t^- minor have been considered, where K_t^- denotes the complete graph with two edges removed. It has been shown that graphs with no K_t^- minor are $(2t - 8)$ -colorable for $t \in \{7, 8\}$ [6, 12]. In this paper, we extend this result to the case $t = 9$ and show that graphs with no K_9^- minor are 10-colorable.

Keywords: graph minor, vertex coloring, Hadwiger's conjecture

1 Introduction

All graphs considered are simple and finite. We use $V(G)$, $|G|$, $E(G)$, $e(G)$, $\delta(G)$, $\alpha(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ to denote the vertex set, number of vertices, edge set, number of edges, minimum

*E-mail address: msrolek@wm.edu.

degree, independence number, and chromatic number of a graph G , respectively. Given $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S , and by $G - S$ the subgraph $G[V(G) \setminus S]$ of G . If $S = \{y\}$, we simply write $G - y$ in the latter case. G contains H as a minor, denoted by $G \geq H$, if H can be obtained from G by some sequence of vertex deletions, edge deletions, and edge contractions.

The famous Hadwiger's conjecture [5] claims that every graph with no K_t minor is $(t-1)$ -colorable for $t \geq 1$. The conjecture has been shown true for $t \leq 6$. The cases $t \leq 3$ are easy to show. The case $t = 4$ was shown by both Hadwiger [5] and Dirac [3], and a short alternative proof has also been given by Woodall [14]. Wagner [13] proved that the case $t = 5$ is equivalent to the Four Color Theorem, and most recently Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [10] showed the same for the case $t = 6$ in 1993. For $t \geq 7$, the conjecture remains open, though there have been some partial results for small values of t . Graphs with no K_t minor for $t \in \{7, 8\}$ were shown to be $(2t - 6)$ -colorable by Albar and Gonçalves [1]. The present author and Song [12] extended this result to the case $t = 9$, and provided an alternative, computer-free proof for the cases $t \in \{7, 8\}$. In fact, the following conjecture was posed and subsequent theorem was proved in [12].

Conjecture 1.1 (Rolek and Song [12]) *For every $t \geq 1$, every graph on n vertices with at least $(t - 2)n - \binom{t-1}{2} + 1$ edges either has a K_t minor or is $(t - 1)$ -colorable.*

Theorem 1.2 (Rolek and Song [12]) *For $t \geq 6$, if Conjecture 1.1 is true, then every graph with no K_t minor is $(2t - 6)$ -colorable.*

As a weaker variant of Hadwiger's conjecture, in this paper we will investigate graphs with no $K_t^=$ minor, where $K_t^=$ denotes the graph obtained from K_t by deleting two edges. Note that there are two nonisomorphic graphs $K_t^=$, depending on whether the removed edges share a common end or not. Let $\mathcal{K}_t^=$ denote the family consisting of the two nonisomorphic graphs $K_t^=$. Throughout this paper, we will use the following conventions. We say a graph G

has no K_t^- minor if G does not contain K as a minor for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_t^-$, and we say that G has a K_t^- minor if G contains K as a minor for some $K \in \mathcal{K}_t^-$. Jakobsen [6] proved the extremal function for K_7^- minors, an easy consequence of which is that graphs with no K_7^- minor are 6-colorable. We note that this result is best possible, as evidenced by the 6-chromatic, K_7^- minor free graph K_6 . The present author and Song [12] showed that graphs with no K_8^- minor are 8-colorable. The main result of this paper is the following next case.

Theorem 1.3 *Every graph with no K_9^- minor is 10-colorable.*

To prove Theorem 1.3, we will need the following extremal function for K_9^- minors proved by the present author in [11].

Theorem 1.4 (Rolek [11]) *If G is a graph with $|G| \geq 8$ and at least $6|G| - 20$ edges, then either $G \geq K_9^-$ or G is a $(K_8, K_{2,2,2,2,2}, 5)$ -cockade.*

A $(K_8, K_{2,2,2,2,2}, 5)$ -cockade is a graph built up from disjoint copies of K_8 and $K_{2,2,2,2,2}$ by identifying cliques of size 5. It is easy to see that any $(K_8, K_{2,2,2,2,2}, 5)$ -cockade is 8-colorable.

We will additionally need the following, which is an abridged version of a key lemma used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 (see Lemma 2.9 in [11]). We note that Lemma 1.5 has been proved by a computer search.

Lemma 1.5 (Rolek [11]) *If G is a graph with $|G| = 11$ and $\delta(G) \geq 6$, then there exists $y \in V(G)$ such that $G - y \geq K_7^-$.*

In our proof of Theorem 1.3, we will investigate a minimum counterexample, chosen among all such graphs to be contraction-critical. A graph G is k -contraction-critical if $\chi(G) = k$, and any proper minor of G is $(k-1)$ -colorable. Hadwiger's conjecture is equivalent to the claim that the only k -contraction-critical graph is the complete graph K_k . Non-complete contraction-critical graphs were first studied by Dirac [4], and the following is a useful consequence of his initial work on the subject.

Lemma 1.6 *If G is k -contraction-critical, then for any $x \in V(G)$, $\alpha(G[N(x)]) \leq d(x) - k + 2$.*

It was also shown by Dirac [4] that 5-contraction-critical graphs are 5-connected. This was extended by Mader [9], who showed both that 6-contraction-critical graphs are 6-connected, and the following deep result.

Theorem 1.7 (Mader [9]) *For $k \geq 7$, any k -contraction-critical graph is 7-connected.*

It seems difficult to improve Theorem 1.7 for small values of k . Some improvements for larger k have been found, e.g. [7, 8], with the best current result by Chen, Hu, and Song [2] who showed that any k -contraction-critical graph is $\lceil k/6 \rceil$ -connected. In proving Theorem 1.3, we will consider 11-contraction-critical graphs, and it follows that these graphs will be 7-connected. In order to prove Theorem 1.3 using connectivity arguments alone, 7-connectivity would not be sufficient. Instead, we use the following method introduced by the present author and Song in [12], which can connect specified nonadjacent vertices in a neighborhood via Kempe chains, or color alternating paths.

Lemma 1.8 (Rolek and Song [12]) *Let G be a k -contraction-critical graph. Let $x \in V(G)$ be a vertex of degree $k + s$ with $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = s + 2$, and let $S \subset N(x)$ be an independent set with $|S| = s + 2$, where $k \geq 4$ and $s \geq 0$ are integers. Let M be a set of missing edges of $G[N(x) \setminus S]$. Then there exists a collection $\{P_{uv} : uv \in M\}$ of paths in G such that for each $uv \in M$, P_{uv} has ends $\{u, v\}$ and all its internal vertices in $G - N[x]$. Moreover, if the vertices u, v, w , and z are distinct with $uv, wz \in M$, then the paths P_{uv} and P_{wz} are vertex-disjoint.*

2 Proofs

In this section, we first prove two useful lemmas. We then conclude the section with the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a 7-connected graph with $|G| \geq 9$. If G has two different K_7 subgraphs, then $G \geq K_9^-$.*

Proof. Let $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G[U_1]$ and $G[U_2]$ are isomorphic to K_7 , and $U_1 \neq U_2$. If $|U_1 \cap U_2| = 6$, let $x \in V(G) \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$. Then there exist seven paths P_1, \dots, P_7 , with one end x and the other end in $U_1 \cup U_2$, which are disjoint except for their common end. By contracting each of these paths to a single edge, we obtain a K_9^- minor in G . Thus we may assume $|U_1 \cap U_2| \leq 5$. Now there exist seven paths P_1, \dots, P_7 with one end in U_1 and the other end in U_2 . Possibly, some P_i consist of only a single vertex, and we may assume any such path has $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. By contracting each of P_1, \dots, P_5 to a single vertex and P_6, P_7 to a single edge, we again obtain a K_9^- minor in G . ■

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose G is an 11-contraction-critical graph. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in N(x)$ such that $d(x) = 11$ and $G[N(x)] - y \geq K_7^-$, then $G \geq K_9^-$.*

Proof. Say $N(x) = \{y, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_9\}$. If $G[N(x)]$ contains a K_7 subgraph, then $G[N(x)]$ contains a K_8 subgraph, and in particular G contains two K_7 subgraphs. Since G is 11-contraction-critical, it is 7-connected by Theorem 1.7. Therefore $G \geq K_9^-$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus we may assume $G[N(x)]$ does not contain K_7 as a subgraph. In particular, we may assume that $G[\{u_0, \dots, u_9\}]$ contains two disjoint missing edges, say $u_0u_1, u_2u_3 \notin E(G)$. Note that by Lemma 1.6, $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$. By Lemma 1.8 applied to $N(x)$ a first time with $S = \{u_0, u_1\}$ and $M = \{yu_i \notin E(G) : 2 \leq i \leq 9\}$, and a second time with $S = \{u_2, u_3\}$ and $M = \{yu_i \notin E(G) : 0 \leq i \leq 1\}$, we obtain paths P_0, P_1, \dots, P_9 such that for $0 \leq i \leq 9$ the

path P_i has ends y and u_i , and P_i consists of only the edge yu_i if $yu_i \in E(G)$. Note that the paths P_i are not necessarily internally disjoint, but no path P_i has an internal vertex in $N(x)$. Now by contracting $G[N(x)] - y$ to obtain K_7^- , contracting each path P_i to a single edge by contracting onto y , and including x , we see that $G \geq K_9^-$. ■

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose G is a graph with no K_9^- minor such that $\chi(G) \geq 11$. We may assume that G is chosen to be contraction-critical. By Theorem 1.4, $e(G) \leq 6|G| - 20$, and it follows that $\delta(G) \leq 11$. Thus $\chi(G) \leq 12$. If $\chi(G) = 12$, then $\delta(G) = 11$, and it follows from Lemma 1.6 that $G[N(x)]$ is isomorphic to K_{11} for any $x \in V(G)$ with $d(x) = 11$, a contradiction. Therefore, G is 11-contraction-critical and $\delta(G) \geq 10$. If $\delta(G) = 10$, then from Lemma 1.6 we see $G[N(x)]$ is isomorphic to K_{10} for any any $x \in V(G)$ with $d(x) = 10$, again a contradiction. Therefore $\delta(G) = 11$, and once more from Lemma 1.6, it follows that $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$ for any $x \in V(G)$ with $d(x) = 11$.

Let $x \in V(G)$ such that $d(x) = 11$. We claim that $G[N(x)]$ contains K_6 as a subgraph. So suppose not. Since $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$, we must have $\delta(G[N(x)]) \geq 5$. If $\delta(G[N(x)]) \geq 6$, then it follows from Lemma 1.5 that there exists $y \in N(x)$ such that $G[N(x)] - y \geq K_7^-$, and so $G \geq K_9^-$ by Lemma 2.2, a contradiction. Therefore $\delta(G[N(x)]) = 5$. Now let $y_1 \in N(x)$ such that y_1 is adjacent to five vertices of $N(x)$. Say y_1 is adjacent to $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_6 \in N(x)$, and $N(x) \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_6\} = \{z_1, \dots, z_5\} = Z$. Since $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$, $G[Z]$ is isomorphic to K_5 . Since $G[N(x)]$ does not contain K_6 as a subgraph, we may assume $y_5y_6 \notin E(G)$. Then, since $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$, all other vertices of $N(x)$ are adjacent to at least one of y_5 or y_6 . Suppose $G[\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}]$ is not isomorphic to K_3 , say $y_2y_3 \notin E(G)$. Then, similarly, all other vertices of $N(x)$ are adjacent to at least one of y_2 or y_3 . In particular, at least three vertices of Z are adjacent to y_2 , say. But then by contracting $\{y_1, y_5, y_6\}$ to a single vertex, we see that $G[N(x)] - \{y_3, y_4\} \geq K_7^-$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $G \geq K_9^-$, a contradiction. Thus

$G[\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}]$ is isomorphic to K_3 .

By symmetry, we may assume that y_5 has more neighbors in $\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}$ than y_6 . Then y_5 is adjacent to at least two vertices of $\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}$. We may further assume by symmetry that if y_5 and y_6 have the same number of neighbors in $\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}$, then y_6 has more neighbors in Z . In either case, y_6 is adjacent to at least three vertices of Z . Say $y_6z_1, y_6z_2, y_6z_3 \in E(G)$. Now, $G[\{y_1, \dots, y_5\}]$ is isomorphic to either K_5^- or K_5 . If the former, say $y_2y_5 \notin E(G)$. Then, similar to the above, $G[N(x)] - \{y_3, y_4\} \geq K_7^-$, as seen by contracting $\{y_1, y_2, y_5\}$ to a single vertex, and so we obtain a contradiction by Lemma 2.2 again. Hence we may assume $G[\{y_1, \dots, y_5\}]$ is isomorphic to K_5 . Since $G[N(x)]$ does not contain K_6 as a subgraph, we may assume $y_6z_5 \notin E(G)$, and so $y_5z_5 \in E(G)$ since $\alpha(G[N(x)]) = 2$. Since $\delta(G[N(x)]) = 5$, we may assume by symmetry that y_6 is adjacent to either y_4 or z_4 . By Lemma 1.8 applied with $S = \{y_5, y_6\}$ and $M = \{y_1z_1, y_2z_2, y_3z_3, y_4z_4, y_1z_2\}$, we obtain paths P_1, \dots, P_5 such that P_i has ends y_i, z_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, and P_5 has ends y_1, z_2 . Note that the paths P_3 and P_4 are each disjoint from all other paths, but P_1, P_2 , and P_5 are not necessarily internally disjoint. Let P_5^* be any subpath of P_5 with one end in $V(P_1)$, the other end in $V(P_2)$, and no internal vertices in $V(P_1) \cup V(P_2)$. We now contract the edge y_5z_5 and paths P_3 and P_4 each to a single vertex, contract P_5^* to a single edge, contract P_1 to a single edge by contracting onto y_1 , and contract P_2 to a single edge by contracting onto z_2 . Then, along with y_6 and x , we see that $G \geq K_9^-$, a contradiction. This proves the claim that $G[N(x)]$ must contain a K_6 subgraph, and therefore $G[N[x]]$ contains a K_7 subgraph.

Now since $e(G) \leq 6|G| - 20$, it follows that there are at least 40 vertices of degree 11 in G . In particular, there must be two vertices of degree 11 which are not adjacent, and so G contains two different K_7 subgraphs. Since G is 7-connected by Theorem 1.7, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $G \geq K_9^-$, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof. \blacksquare

References

- [1] B. Albar and D. Gonçalves, On triangles in K_r -minor free graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **88** (2018) 154–173.
- [2] G. Chen, Z. Hu, and F. Song, A new connectivity bound for linkages and its application to the Hadwiger’s conjecture, submitted for publication.
- [3] G. A. Dirac, A property of 4-chromatic graphs and some remarks on critical graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* **27** (1952) 85–92.
- [4] G. A. Dirac, “Trennende Knotenpunktmengeten und Reduzibilität abstrakter Graphen mit Anwendung auf das Vierfarbenproblem,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **204** (1960), 116–131.
- [5] H. Hadwiger, Über eine Klassifikation der Streckencomplexe, *Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich.* **88** (1943) 133–142.
- [6] I. T. Jakobsen, A homomorphism theorem with an application to the conjecture of Hadwiger, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **6** (1971) 151–160.
- [7] K. Kawarabayashi, “On the connectivity of minimum and minimal counterexamples to Hadwiger’s Conjecture,” *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B.* **97** (2007), 144–150.
- [8] K. Kawarabayashi and G. Yu, “Connectivities for k -knitted graphs and for minimal counterexamples to Hadwiger’s Conjecture,” *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B.* **103** (2013), 320–326.
- [9] W. Mader, “Über trennende Eckenmengen in homomorphiekritischen Graphen,” *Math. Ann.* **175** (1968), 243–252.
- [10] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, Hadwiger’s conjecture for K_6 -free graphs, *Combinatorica.* **13** (1993) 279–361.

- [11] M. Rolek, The extremal function for K_9^- minors, submitted.
- [12] M. Rolek and Z-X. Song, Coloring graphs with forbidden minors, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B.* 127 (2017) 14–31.
- [13] K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, *Math. Ann.* 114 (1937) 570–590.
- [14] D. R. Woodall, A Short Proof of a Theorem of Dirac's About Hadwiger's Conjecture, *J. Graph Theory.* 16 (1992) 79–80.