

Domination properties and extension of positive compact operators on pre-Riesz spaces

Onno van Gaans^{*1} and Feng Zhang^{†1}

¹Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

September 18, 2018

Abstract

This paper concerns positive domination property of compact operators on pre-Riesz spaces. The method is embedding the pre-Riesz space to the Riesz completion. It extends the order continuous norms in pre-Riesz spaces to Riesz completions. The compactness of third power of a positive operator is obtained in a pre-Riesz space which has an order unit.

Keywords: Banach lattice; pre-Riesz space; order continuous norm; compact operator

AMS subject classification: 46B40, 47B60

1 Introduction

A classical question of positive compact operators between Banach lattices is that domination property. Due to P.G. Dodds and D.H. Fremlin [4], it is established that for Banach lattices X, Y such that X', Y both have order continuous norms, if a positive operator $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is dominated by a compact operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$, i.e. $0 \leq S \leq T$, then S is compact. This positive domination property is also proved by A.W. Wickstead [11] in the situations that either X' or Y is atomic with an order continuous norm. Moreover, due to C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw [2], if a positive operator on a Banach lattice is dominated by a compact operator, then its third power is a compact operator. Domination properties of positive strictly singular operators on Banach lattices are also studied by J. Flores, F.L. Hernandez and P. Tradacete in [12].

In this paper, we mainly consider the similar positive compact domination property in pre-Riesz spaces. Namely, we explore under which suitable conditions for pre-Riesz spaces X and Y , we have that every positive operator dominated by a

^{*}vangaans@math.leidenuniv.nl

[†]zhangfeng.0631@163.com

compact operator is compact. We also address the question whether a similar result concerning the third power of the operator is true for operators on pre-Riesz spaces.

To use the theory of pre-Riesz spaces and Riesz completions, one natural question is how we extend an order continuous norm on a pre-Riesz space to its Riesz completion. In Section 3, we will settle this by providing the condition that the pre-Riesz space is pervasive and Archimedean.

Section 4 is concerned with a unique extension of an operator defined on a pre-Riesz space to its Dedekind completion, based on norm denseness and by means of order continuous norms.

In Section 5, we investigate how the positive domination property of compact operators introduced by P.G. Dodds and D.H. Fremlin in [4], and the theory of the third power compact operators by C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw [2] can be generalized to pre-Riesz spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a real vector space, let $K \subset X$ be the positive cone ($x, y \in K, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ implies $\lambda x + \mu y \in K$, and $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$). The partial order in X is defined by $x \geq y$ if and only if $x - y \in K$. For a finite subset M of X the set of all upper bounds of M will be denoted by M^u . By X is *Archimedean* we mean if for every $x, y \in X$ with $nx \leq y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $x \leq 0$. X is called *directed* if for every $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \geq x$ and $z \geq y$. The space (X, K) is directed if and only if the cone K is *generating* in X , that is, $X = K - K$. A linear subspace $D \subset X$ is called *order dense* in X if for every $x \in X$ we have $x = \inf\{y \in D : y \geq x\}$.

Recall that partially ordered vector space X is called a *pre-Riesz space* if for every $x, y, z \in X$ the inclusion $\{x + y, x + z\}^u \subseteq \{y, z\}^u$ implies $x \in K$. A linear map $i: X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are partially ordered vector spaces, is called *bipositive* if for every $x \in X$ one has $0 \leq x$ if and only if $0 \leq i(x)$. Then one can always embed a pre-Riesz space as an order dense subspace of a vector lattice by the following theorem, which is due to [9, Corollaries 4.9-11 and Theorems 3.5, 3.7, 4.13].

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a partially ordered vector space. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) X is a pre-Riesz space.
- (ii) There exist a vector lattice Y and a bipositive linear map $i: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $i(X)$ is order dense in Y .
- (iii) There exist a vector lattice Y and a bipositive linear map $i: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $i(X)$ is order dense in Y and $i(X)$ generates Y as a vector lattice, i.e. for every $y \in Y$ there are $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in i(X)$ such that

$$y = \bigvee_{j=1}^m a_j - \bigvee_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

A pair (Y, i) as in (ii) is called a *vector lattice cover* of X , and all spaces Y as in (ii) are isomorphically determined as vector lattices, so we will say (Y, i) *the Riesz completion* of X , denoted by X^p .

In a partially ordered vector space X , a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \subset X$ is said to *order converge*, in short *o-converges*, to $x \in X$ if there exists a net $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in J} \subset X$ such that

for every $\beta \in J$ there is α_0 such that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ we have $\pm(x_\alpha - x) \leq y_\beta \downarrow 0$. We denote this by $x_\alpha \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x$. A seminorm p on X is said to be *order continuous* if $x_\alpha \overset{\circ}{\rightarrow} x$ implies $p(x_\alpha - x) \rightarrow 0$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X is called *semimonotone* if there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ with $0 \leq x \leq y$ one has $\|x\| \leq C\|y\|$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a directed partially ordered vector space with a seminorm p , then we say that p is *regular* if for every $x \in X$,

$$p(x) = \inf\{p(y) : y \in X, -y \leq x \leq y\}. \quad (1)$$

Let (X, τ) be a topological ordered vector space. We will use X' to denote the *topological dual* of (X, τ) , and X^\sim to denote the *order dual* of X . The topological dual X' of a locally convex-solid Riesz space (X, τ) is an ideal in its order dual X^\sim , [3, Theorem 3.49]. Consider a dual system $\langle X, X' \rangle$, a locally convex topology τ on X is said to be *consistent* with the dual system if the topological dual of (X, τ) is precisely X' .

Definition 2.3. Let X be a Riesz space, and let X' be an ideal of X^\sim separating the points of X . Then the pair $\langle X, X' \rangle$, under its natural duality $\langle x, x' \rangle := x'(x)$, is said to be a *Riesz dual system*.

Recall that a subset A in a topological vector space (X, τ) is called τ -*totally bounded*, if for every τ -neighborhood V of zero there is a finite subset Φ of A such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \Phi} (x + V) = \Phi + V$.

For a Riesz space X and its order dual X^\sim , the *absolute weak topology* on X is defined by a collection of seminorms p_f via the formula

$$p_f(x) = |f|(|x|), \quad x \in X, f \in X^\sim,$$

and it is denoted by $|\sigma|(X, X^\sim)$. For a nonempty subset A of X^\sim , the *absolute weak topology generated by A* on X is the locally convex solid topology on X generated by the seminorms p_f defined via the formula

$$p_f(x) = |f|(|x|), \quad x \in X, f \in A.$$

Also, recall that for a subset D of a topological vector space (X, τ) , the *restriction topology*, in short r -topology, on D is such that $U \subseteq D$ is r -open if and only if there exists $V \subseteq X$ which is τ -open and $U = V \cap D$. For a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in D and $x \in D$, we then have $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{r} x$ in D if and only if $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ in X .

Definition 2.4. A Banach lattice X is said to be

- (1) an *AL-space* if $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in X^+$ with $x \wedge y = 0$ and
- (2) an *AM-space* if $\|x \vee y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x, y \in X^+$ with $x \wedge y = 0$.

3 Extension of order continuous norms

On vector lattices, regular seminorms and Riesz seminorms coincide, this result is due to [8, Theorem 3.40]. Moreover, due to [8, Theorem 3.43 and Corollary 3.45], one can extend the seminorm on a pre-Riesz space in the following way.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, K) be a directed partially ordered vector space with a seminorm p . Let Y be a directed partially ordered vector space and $i: X \rightarrow Y$ a bipoisitive linear map, such that $i(X)$ is majorizing in Y . Define

$$p_r(y) := \inf\{p(x) : x \in X \text{ such that } -i(x) \leq y \leq i(x)\}, y \in Y. \quad (2)$$

The following statements hold.

- (i) p_r is the greatest regular seminorm on Y with $p_r \leq p$ on K .
- (ii) $p_r = p$ on K if and only if p is monotone. Moreover, if p is monotone, then $p_r \geq \frac{1}{2}p$.
- (iii) $p_r = p$ on X if and only if p is regular.

Based on Zorn's lemma, it is shown that for a positive decreasing net in the Riesz completion (X^ρ, i) of a pre-Riesz space X , if its infimum exists, then there exists a downward directed net in $i(X)^+$ such that their infimum are equal. This is due to [5, Lemma 3.7.11].

Lemma 3.2. Let X be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space and (Y, i) a vector lattice cover of X . Let $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a net in Y such that $y_\alpha \downarrow 0$. There exists a net $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$ in X with $x_\beta \downarrow 0$ and such that for every $\beta \in J$ there exists $\alpha_0 \in I$ such that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ we have $i(x_\beta) \geq y_\alpha$.

This lemma will be used to prove the following theorem, which extends order continuous norms on pre-Riesz spaces.

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space, p a semimonotone seminorm on X , and (Y, i) a vector lattice cover of X . Let p_r on Y be defined by

$$p_r(y) := \inf\{p(x) : x \in X \text{ such that } -i(x) \leq y \leq i(x)\}, y \in Y.$$

If p on X is order continuous, then p_r is an order continuous seminorm on Y as well.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 (i) it follows that p_r is a seminorm on Y . We show that p_r is order continuous.

Assume that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} x$ in Y , i.e. there exists a net $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in B} \subset Y$ such that for every β there is α_0 such that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ we have $\pm(x_\alpha - x) \leq y_\beta \downarrow 0$. As by Theorem 3.1 (i) the seminorm p_r is regular we have $p_r(x_\alpha - x) \leq p_r(y_\beta)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a net $(z_\gamma)_\gamma \subset X$ such that for every γ there is β_0 such that for every $\beta \geq \beta_0$ we have $i(z_\gamma) \geq y_\beta$ and $z_\gamma \downarrow 0$. Since p is order continuous, $p(z_\gamma) \downarrow 0$. Since p is semimonotone, there is a constant C such that $p_r(i(v)) \leq Cp(v)$ for every $0 \leq v \in X$. Then $p_r(x_\alpha - x) \leq p_r(y_\beta) \leq p_r(i(z_\gamma)) \leq Cp(z_\gamma) \rightarrow 0$. Hence p_r is order continuous on Y . \square

The following result due to J. van Waaij [10, Theorem 4.15, Corollary 4.16]. It will be used to prove the extension result of order continuous norm on the Dedekind completion of pre-Riesz spaces.

Proposition 3.4. For an Archimedean pre-Riesz space X , let (X^ρ, i) be the Riesz completion. The following are equivalent.

- (i) X is pervasive.
- (ii) For all $0 < y \in X^\rho$, it holds $y = \sup\{x \in i(X) : 0 < x \leq y\}$.

Corollary 3.5. Let X be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space with an order continuous semimonotone seminorm $\|\cdot\|_X$. Let (X^δ, j) be the Dedekind completion of X , and define $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ on X^δ by

$$\|y\|_{X^\delta} := \inf\{\|x\|_X : x \in X \text{ such that } -j(x) \leq y \leq j(x)\}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ is an order continuous seminorm and $(j(X), \|\cdot\|_X)$ is norm dense in $(X^\delta, \|\cdot\|_{X^\delta})$. Furthermore, $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ extends $\|\cdot\|_X$ if (and only if) $\|\cdot\|_X$ is regular.

Proof. The order continuity of $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ is same with Theorem 3.3. It remains to show that $(j(X), \|\cdot\|_X)$ is norm dense in $(X^\delta, \|\cdot\|_{X^\delta})$. Let (X^ρ, i) be the Riesz completion of X . For every $y \in X^\rho$, according to Lemma 3.2, there is a net (x_α) in $i(X)$ with $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} y$. By Theorem 3.3, $\|\cdot\|_{X^\rho}$ is order continuous, and then $\|x_\alpha - y\|_{X^\rho} \rightarrow 0$, so that $i(X)$ is norm dense in X^ρ . Let $y \in (X^\delta)^+$, and $D := \{x \in X^\rho : 0 \leq x \leq y\}$. Since X^ρ is pervasive in X^δ and X^ρ is Archimedean, hence, by Proposition 3.4, we have D is upward directed and $\sup D = y$. Define the net $(z_\mu)_\mu$ by $z_\mu := \mu$, $\mu \in D$. Therefore $z_\mu \xrightarrow{o} y$ and $\|z_\mu - y\|_{X^\delta} \rightarrow 0$. Thus we conclude X^ρ is norm dense in X^δ . It follows that $j(X)$ is norm dense in X^δ .

Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 (iii) the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ extends $\|\cdot\|_X$ if (and only if) $\|\cdot\|_X$ is regular. \square

4 Extension of compact operators

In this section, we will show that a compact operator on a pre-Riesz space X with a suitable order continuous norm can be extended to a compact operator on the Dedekind completion X^δ of X . To make sure that the norm on X^δ indeed is an extension of the order continuous norm on X , the norm of X is required to be regular. Then we will use Corollary 3.5 to extend the operator, see the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space equipped with an order continuous regular seminorm. Let (X^δ, i) be the Dedekind completion of X . Let Y be a Banach lattice with an order continuous norm. If T is a bounded operator in $L(X, Y)$, then there exists a unique bounded linear extension $\widehat{T} \in L(X^\delta, Y)$. If T is compact, then \widehat{T} is compact as well.

Proof. Recall that by Theorem 3.1 (i) there exists the greatest regular seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ on X^δ which extends $\|\cdot\|_X$. Due to Corollary 3.5, $i(X)$ is dense with respect to the seminorm in X^δ . So there exists $\widehat{T} \in L(X^\delta, Y)$ which uniquely extends T by means of for $z \in X^\delta$, $\widehat{T}z = \lim \widehat{T}(i(z_n)) = \lim T(z_n)$, where $i(z_n) \subseteq i(X)$ norm converges to z .

Let $(x_n)_n$ be a norm bounded sequence in X^δ . It follows from the norm denseness of $i(X)$ in X^δ that there exists a norm bounded sequence $(y_n)_n$ in X such that $\|i(y_n) - x_n\|_{X^\delta} < \frac{1}{n}$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As T is compact, $(Ty_n)_n$ has a convergent subsequence $(Ty_{n_k})_{n_k}$. So there exists $y \in Y$ such that for $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\|Ty_{n_k} - y\|_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

For the subsequence $(\widehat{T}x_{n_k})$ of $(\widehat{T}x_n)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{T}x_{n_k} - Ty_{n_k} \right\|_Y &= \left\| \widehat{T}x_{n_k} - \widehat{T}(i(y_{n_k})) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \left\| \widehat{T} \right\| \|x_{n_k} - i(y_{n_k})\|_{X^\delta} \\ &\leq \left\| \widehat{T} \right\| \frac{1}{n_k} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\left\| \widehat{T}x_{n_k} - y \right\|_Y \leq \left\| \widehat{T}x_{n_k} - Ty_{n_k} \right\|_Y + \|Ty_{n_k} - y\|_Y \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So $(\widehat{T}x_n)$ has a convergent subsequence, and hence \widehat{T} is compact. \square

5 Compact domination results in pre-Riesz spaces

In this section, we will study two results concerning the domination property of positive compact operators on the setting of pre-Riesz spaces. We will consider appropriate norms and use Riesz completions or Dedekind completions of pre-Riesz spaces.

The classical domination property of compact operators between Banach lattices by [3, Theorem 5.20], which reads as follows.

Theorem 5.1. (Dodds-Fremlin) Let X be a Banach lattice, Y a Banach lattice with X' and Y having order continuous norms. If a positive operator $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is dominated by a compact operator, then S is a compact operator.

Remark 5.2. This result is proved originally by P.G. Dodds and D.H. Fremlin in [4]. An easier accessible proof is given in [3, Theorem 5.20]. In fact, note that in the proof of [3, Theorem 5.20], it does not use the norm completeness of X . As a consequence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. Let X be a normed Riesz space, Y a Banach lattice with X' and Y having order continuous norms. If a positive operator $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is dominated by a compact operator, then S is a compact operator.

Now our aim comes down to extending Corollary 5.3 to pre-Riesz spaces. We firstly consider the domain space X to be a pre-Riesz space, and we suppose it is pervasive and Archimedean. Alternatively, one could consider the order continuous regular seminorm on X , then use Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 5.3 to obtain compactness of S .

Theorem 5.4. Let X be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space equipped with an order continuous regular norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, (X^δ, i) the Dedekind completion of X . Let Y be a Banach lattice with an order continuous norm. Let T in $L(X, Y)$ be a positive compact operator and assume that $(X^\delta)'$ has an order continuous norm. If $S \in L(X, Y)$ with $0 \leq S \leq T$, then S is compact.

Proof. Recall that by Theorem 3.1 (i) there exists the greatest regular seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ on X^δ defined by the formula (2), which extending the norm on X . By Theorem 4.1 there exists a unique bounded linear extension \widehat{T} of T on X^δ , which

is compact. In fact, for $x \in X^\delta$, \widehat{T} is given by $\widehat{T}x = \lim \widehat{T}(i(x_n)) = \lim Tx_n$, where $i(x_n)_n \subseteq i(X)$ norm converges to x . We define \widehat{S} in a similar way. So for $x \in (X^\delta)^+$ we have $(x_n)_n \subseteq X^+$ and $Sx_n \leq Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\widehat{S}x = \lim Sx_n \leq \lim Tx_n = \widehat{T}x.$$

The positivity of \widehat{S} is clear. It is clear that $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ is monotone. Moreover, it is a norm. In fact, let $z \in X^\delta$ and $z \neq 0$. Since X is pervasive, there exists $y \in X$ with $0 < i(y) \leq |z|$. Then $\|z\|_{X^\delta} = \||z|\|_{X^\delta} \geq \|i(y)\|_{X^\delta}$. By Theorem 3.1 (iii) we have $\|y\|_Y = \|i(y)\|_{Y^\delta}$ for every $y \in Y$. Hence, $\|z\|_{X^\delta} > 0$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|_{X^\delta}$ is a Riesz norm.

Thus we could use Corollary 5.3 to conclude that \widehat{S} is compact. Hence $S = \widehat{S}|_X$ is compact. \square

For an operator between two pre-Riesz spaces X and Y , we could extend it to the Riesz completion. To use the method in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we also need that the norms of $(X^\delta)'$ and Y^δ are order continuous. But it is difficult to characterize the space structure of dual spaces of pre-Riesz spaces, for example, whether $(X')^\rho$ equals $(X^\rho)'$ or not. Fortunately, if we suppose that the codomain space Y is a directed Archimedean partially ordered vector space and complete with respect to the regular norm, then it has a Dedekind completion which is norm complete as well. Then we can embed Y into the Dedekind completion, and use Theorem 5.4. See the following two theorems.

Theorem 5.5. Let X be a directed Archimedean partially ordered vector space with a regular norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that X^+ is $\|\cdot\|$ -closed. Let (X^δ, i) be the Dedekind completion of X , and define for $y \in X^\delta$,

$$\|y\|_r := \inf\{\|x\| : x \in X^+, -i(x) \leq y \leq i(x)\}.$$

If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete, then $(X^\delta, \|\cdot\|_r)$ is complete.

Proof. The proof is similar to [8, Theorem 2.12]. Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete. Let $(y_n)_n$ be a sequence in X^δ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \|y_n\|_r < \infty$. We show that there exists $y \in X^\delta$ such that $\left\|y - \sum_{n=1}^N y_n\right\|_r \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Take $x_n \in X^+$ with $-i(x_n) \leq y_n \leq i(x_n)$ and $\|y_n\|_r \geq \|x_n\| - \frac{1}{4^n}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \|x_n\| < \infty$. Since X is norm complete, $u := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n x_n$ exists in X . As for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $x_n \in X^+$ and X^+ is closed, we have $u \in X^+$ and $u \geq \sum_{n=1}^m 2^n x_n \geq 2^m x_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now for $N > M$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^N y_n - \sum_{n=1}^M y_n = \sum_{n=M+1}^N y_n \leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N i(x_n) \leq \left(\sum_{n=M+1}^N 2^{-n}\right) i(u)$, and $\sum_{n=1}^N y_n - \sum_{n=1}^M y_n \geq -\left(\sum_{n=M+1}^N 2^{-n}\right) i(u)$. Since $\sum_{n=M+1}^N 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ when $M, N \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n\right)_N$ is a relatively uniformly Cauchy sequence. Since X^δ is Dedekind complete and hence relatively uniformly complete, there exists $y \in X^\delta$ and there is a sequence $(\lambda_N)_N$ of reals with $\lambda_N \rightarrow 0$ such that $-\lambda_N i(u) \leq y - \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \leq \lambda_N i(u)$. Then $\left\|y - \sum_{n=1}^N y_n\right\|_r \leq \lambda_N \|u\| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $(X^\delta, \|\cdot\|_r)$ is complete. \square

Then we will proof the positive domination property of compact operators on pre-Riesz spaces in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. Let X be a pervasive Archimedean pre-Riesz space equipped with an order continuous regular norm. Let Y be a directed Archimedean pre-Riesz space with an order continuous regular norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$ that Y is norm complete. Let X^δ be the Dedekind completion of X and $(X^\delta)'$ has order continuous norm. If a positive operator $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is dominated by T , i.e. $0 \leq S \leq T$, and T is compact, then S is compact as well.

Proof. Let Y^δ be the Dedekind completion of Y , and $i: Y \rightarrow Y^\delta$ be the natural embedding map. Since i is bipositive, we have $0 \leq i \circ S \leq i \circ T$ from X to Y^δ . As i is continuous and T is compact, $i \circ T$ is compact. By Theorem 3.1 (i) there exists the greatest regular seminorm on Y^δ (in fact, since Y has a norm, the seminorm on Y^δ is a norm) extending the norm on Y . Because Y has order continuous norm, by Theorem 3.3 the space Y^δ has order continuous norm as well, and by Theorem 5.5 the space Y^δ is norm complete. It follows from Theorem 5.4 that $i \circ S$ is compact. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a norm bounded sequence in X , then $(i \circ S)(x_n)_n$ has a norm convergent subsequence $(i \circ S)(x_{n_k})_{n_k}$ in Y^δ . Then $(i \circ S)(x_{n_k})_{n_k}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so $S(x_{n_k})_{n_k}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since $\|\cdot\|_Y$ is regular, by Theorem 3.1 (iii) we have $\|y\|_Y = \|i(y)\|_{Y^\delta}$ for every $y \in Y$. As Y is norm complete, $S(x_{n_k})$ is convergent in Y . Hence S is compact. \square

By the following example, we show that a directed Archimedean pre-Riesz space which has an order continuous norm and complete with respect to this norm.

Example 5.7. Let $X = \{x \in l^1: x^{(1)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x^{(k)}\}$. Then X is not a Riesz space, but a directed Archimedean pre-Riesz space. As X is a closed subspace of $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$, it is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$. Suppose that (x_n) be a sequence in X with $x_n \downarrow 0$. From $\|\cdot\|_1$ is order continuous, it follows that $\|x_n\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_n^{(k)} = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_n^{(k)} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$.

Beside the domination property of compact operators as in Theorem 5.1, there is also a result in [3, Theorem 5.13] which clarifies the positive domination property of third power of compact operators on Banach lattices, see the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. (Aliprantis-Burkinshaw) If a positive operator S on a Banach lattice is dominated by a compact operator, then S^3 is a compact operator.

We will show that similar result in pre-Riesz spaces by using the theory of AM-space, provided that the pre-Riesz space has an order unit and an order unit norm.

Let us recall some know results first. The following two results can be found in [3, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.10].

Theorem 5.9. Let $T: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ be an operator between two topological vector spaces. If T is continuous on the τ -bounded subsets of X , then T carries τ -totally bounded sets to ξ -totally bounded sets.

Theorem 5.10. (Dodds-Fremlin) Let X and Y be two Riesz spaces with Y Dedekind complete. If τ is an order continuous locally convex solid topology on Y , then for each $x \in X^+$, the set

$$B = \{T \in L_b(X, Y): T[0, x] \text{ is } \tau\text{-totally bounded}\}$$

is a band in $L_b(X, Y)$.

The next result is due to S. Kaplan [6, Theorem 3.50].

Theorem 5.11. (Kaplan) Let X be a Riesz space, and let A be a subset of X^\sim separating the points of X . Then the topological dual of $(X, |\sigma|(X, A))$ is precisely the ideal generated by A in X^\sim .

As a result of the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.12. Let X be a Riesz space and $\langle X, X' \rangle$ a Riesz dual system. Then the topological dual of $(X, |\sigma|(X, X'))$ is precisely X' , and $|\sigma|(X, X')$ is consistent with $\langle X, X' \rangle$.

Let us recall some known results with respect to the Riesz dual system. The following two are due to [3, Theorem 3.57 and Theorem 3.54].

Theorem 5.13. For a Riesz dual system $\langle X, X' \rangle$, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) X is Dedekind complete and $\sigma(X, X')$ is order continuous.
- (ii) X is an ideal of X'' .

Theorem 5.14. For a Riesz dual system $\langle X, X' \rangle$, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) Every consistent locally convex solid topology on X is order continuous.
- (ii) $\sigma(X, X')$ is order continuous.

Viewing the pre-Riesz space X as an order dense subspace of its Riesz completion (X^ρ, i) . Our next goal is to establish the fact that if a positive operator from X to X is dominated by a compact operator, then it is continuous from the r -topology of $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$ to the norm topology. We will use $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} x$ to denote that x_α converges to x in X with respect to the weak topology, i.e. the $\sigma(X, X')$ -topology. See the following lemma.

Lemma 5.15. Let X be an Archimedean pre-Riesz space endowed with a monotone norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators satisfying $0 \leq S \leq T$ with T compact. Let (X^ρ, i) be the Riesz completion of X with the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\rho}$ given by (2). Assume that there exists a positive compact operator $\widehat{T}: X^\rho \rightarrow X^\rho$ such that $\widehat{T} \circ i = i \circ T$. Then S is continuous for the r -topology of $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$ on norm bounded subsets of X to the norm topology.

Proof. Let $(x_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a norm bounded net in X with $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{r} 0$. It is enough to show that $\|S(x_\alpha)\|_X \rightarrow 0$ holds. To this end, from assumption of $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{r} 0$ in X it follows that $i(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')} 0$ in X^ρ , which means that for every $f \in (X^\rho)'$, we have $|f||i(x_\alpha)| \rightarrow 0$. It follows from $0 \leq |f||i(x_\alpha)| \leq |f||i(x_\alpha)|$ that $|i(x_\alpha)| \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X^ρ .

Since \widehat{T} is compact and $(|i(x_\alpha)|)_\alpha$ is norm bounded, $\left(\widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|)\right)_\alpha$ has a norm convergent subnet $\left(\widehat{T}y_\beta\right)_\beta$ such that $\widehat{T}y_\beta \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{X^\rho}} z$ for some $z \in X^\rho$, and then $\widehat{T}y_\beta \xrightarrow{w} z$. As for every $f \in (X^\rho)'$ we have $\langle f, \widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|) \rangle = \langle \widehat{T}^*f, |i(x_\alpha)| \rangle \rightarrow 0$. So $\widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and then $z = 0$. Hence $\left(\widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|)\right)_\alpha$ has a subnet which converges in norm to 0. Similarly, every subnet of $\left(\widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|)\right)_\alpha$ has a subnet that norm converges to 0. Therefore, $\left\|\widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|)\right\|_{X^\rho} \rightarrow 0$.

Since $0 \leq S \leq T$ and i is positive, we have $0 \leq \widehat{S} \circ i \leq \widehat{T} \circ i$. According to Theorem 3.1 (ii) we have that $\|x\|_X \leq 2\|i(x)\|_{X^\rho}$ for every $x \in X$. Thus, we have

$$\|S(x_\alpha)\|_X \leq 2 \left\| (\widehat{S} \circ i)(x_\alpha) \right\|_{X^\rho} \leq 2 \left\| \widehat{S}(|i(x_\alpha)|) \right\|_{X^\rho} \leq 2 \left\| \widehat{T}(|i(x_\alpha)|) \right\|_{X^\rho} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence S is continuous. \square

We continue with a approximation property on the Riesz completion of a pre-Riesz space with respect to the regular norm.

Lemma 5.16. Let X, Y be two normed pre-Riesz spaces with a regular norm on Y , and $S, T: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $0 \leq S \leq T$. Let (Y^ρ, i) be the Riesz completion of Y . If T sends a subset A of X^+ to a norm totally bounded set, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in (Y^\rho)^+$ such that for all $x \in A$ we have

$$\|(i(Sx) - u)^+\|_{Y^\rho} \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 one can define the seminorm on Y^ρ by

$$\|y\|_{Y^\rho} := \inf\{\|x\|_Y : x \in Y, -i(x) \leq y \leq i(x)\}, y \in Y^\rho.$$

As $\|\cdot\|_Y$ is regular, it is obvious that $\|i(y)\|_{Y^\rho} = \|y\|_Y$ for $y \in Y$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since T sends a subset A of X^+ to a norm totally bounded set of Y , there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ such that for all $x \in A$ we have $\|Tx - Tx_j\|_Y < \epsilon$ for some j . Put $u = (i \circ T) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \in (Y^\rho)^+$, then for $x \in A$ and j with $\|Tx - Tx_j\|_Y < \epsilon$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (i(Sx) - u)^+ &= \left(i(Sx - T \sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \right)^+ \\ &\leq \left(i(Tx - T \sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \right)^+ \\ &\leq (i(Tx - Tx_j))^+ \\ &\leq \left| (i(Tx - Tx_j))^+ \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since regular norms are monotone we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|(i(Sx) - u)^+\|_{Y^\rho} &\leq \|i(Tx - Tx_j)\|_{Y^\rho} \\ &= \|i(Tx - Tx_j)\|_{Y^\rho} \\ &= \|Tx - Tx_j\|_Y \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have completed the proof. \square

Next, we will show that for every Archimedean Riesz space X with an order unit u and an order unit norm $\|\cdot\|_u$, $\langle X'', X' \rangle$ is a Riesz dual system. To this end we need to show that X' is an ideal of $(X'')^\sim$ and use the theory of AL-spaces and AM-spaces.

The next lemma can be found in [7, Proposition 1.4.7].

Lemma 5.17. Let X be an Archimedean Riesz space with an order unit u and an order unit norm $\|\cdot\|_u$. Then $(X', \|\cdot\|)$ is an AL-space.

The following result is from [1, Corollary 3.7].

Corollary 5.18. Every AL-space has order continuous norm.

The next result is due to Nakano, see [3, Theorem 4.9].

Theorem 5.19. For a Banach lattice X the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) X has order continuous norm.
- (ii) X is an ideal of X'' .

We cite the following result by G. Birkhoff, see [3, Corollary 4.5].

Corollary 5.20. The norm dual of a Banach lattice X coincides with its order dual, i.e., $X' = X^\sim$.

Lemma 5.21. Let X be an Archimedean Riesz space with an order unit norm. Then $\langle X'', X' \rangle$ is a Riesz dual system.

Proof. By Lemma 5.17, X' is an AL-space. By Corollary 5.18, every AL-space has an order continuous norm, so by Theorem 5.19 X' is an ideal of X''' . Since X'' is a Banach lattice, it follows from Corollary 5.20 that $X''' = (X'')^\sim$. Hence X' is an ideal in $(X'')^\sim$. Moreover, let $x \in X''$ with $x \neq 0$. Then there exists some $f \in X'$ satisfying $f(x) = x(f) \neq 0$.

Hence $\langle X'', X' \rangle$ is a Riesz dual system. \square

Since a pre-Riesz space with an order unit and an order unit norm need not be norm complete, we can not use [3, Theorem 5.11] directly (in there it is required for the spaces to be Banach lattices). The next lemma is a modification of [3, Theorem 5.11], provided that the range space has an order unit and an order unit norm.

Lemma 5.22. Let X, Y be two normed Riesz spaces such that Y has an order unit and equipped with an order unit norm. Let $S, T: X \rightarrow Y$ be two positive operators with $0 \leq S \leq T$. If $T[0, x]$ is $|\sigma|(Y, Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$, then $S[0, x]$ is likewise $|\sigma|(Y, Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.21, the pair $\langle Y'', Y' \rangle$ is a Riesz dual system. Since Y'' is an ideal of Y'' , it follows from Theorem 5.13 that $\sigma(Y'', Y')$ is an order continuous topology on Y'' . By Theorem 5.14 we therefore have that every consistent locally convex solid topology on Y'' is order continuous. Observe that Corollary 5.12 it yields that $|\sigma|(Y'', Y')$ is consistent, and then $|\sigma|(Y'', Y')$ is an order continuous locally convex solid topology.

Let us view S, T as two operators from X to Y'' . As $T[0, x]$ is $|\sigma|(Y, Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$, it has $T[0, x]$ is $|\sigma|(Y'', Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$. Since Y equipped with an order unit norm, we have Y' is Dedekind complete. Hence, it follows from Theorem 5.10 that $S[0, x]$ is $|\sigma|(Y'', Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$. So $S[0, x]$ is $|\sigma|(Y, Y')$ -totally bounded for each $x \in X^+$. \square

We are now in the position to extend the result of Theorem 5.8 to a setting of pre-Riesz space. To this end, first notice that for every order unit e in a pre-Riesz space X with the Riesz completion (X^ρ, i) , the element $i(e)$ is an order unit in X^ρ .

Theorem 5.23. Let X be an Archimedean pre-Riesz space with an order unit e and the order unit norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ such that X is norm complete. Let $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy $0 \leq S \leq T$, and let T be compact. Assume that there exists a positive compact operator $\widehat{T}: X^\rho \rightarrow X^\rho$ such that $\widehat{T} \circ i = i \circ T$, where (X^ρ, i) is the Riesz completion of X equipped with the order unit norm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\rho}$. Then S^3 is compact.

Proof. Let $U = \{x \in X: \|x\|_X \leq 1\}$ be the closed unit ball in X . Since the norm on X is an order unit norm, we have

$$\|x\|_X = \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+: -\lambda e \leq x \leq \lambda e\}, x \in X.$$

Let $x \in U$ be such that $\|x\|_X \leq 1$. Hence there exists $\lambda \leq 1$ with $-y \leq x \leq y$ and $y = \lambda e$. Due to $x = \frac{1}{2}(y+x) - \frac{1}{2}(y-x)$ it follows from $0 \leq y+x \leq 2y$ and $0 \leq y-x \leq 2y$ that $\|y+x\|_X \leq 2\|y\|_X \leq 2$ and $\|y-x\|_X \leq 2\|y\|_X \leq 2$, respectively. Thus $\frac{1}{2}(y+x), \frac{1}{2}(y-x) \in U \cap X^+$, and then $U \subseteq U^+ - U^+$ holds. Therefore, it is enough to show that $S^3(U^+)$ is a norm totally bounded set.

It is clear that $i(e)$ is an order unit in X^ρ , and the extension norm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\rho}$ is an order unit norm with respect to $i(e)$. By Lemma 5.16 there exists some $u \in (X^\rho)^+$ such that $\|(i(Sx) - u)^+\|_{X^\rho} \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \in U^+$. This implies that $0 \leq (i(Sx) - u)^+ \leq \epsilon i(e)$. Thus we have the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} i(Sx - \epsilon e) &= i(Sx) \wedge u + (i(Sx) - u)^+ - \epsilon i(e) \\ &\leq i(Sx) \wedge u \\ &\leq u. \end{aligned}$$

So $i(Sx) \in [0, u + \epsilon i(e)]$ for every $x \in U^+$. Take $v \in X$ with $i(v) \geq u$. Then for every $x \in U^+$ we have $i(Sx) \in [0, i(v + \epsilon e)]$. Hence

$$S(U^+) \subseteq [0, v + \epsilon e].$$

Therefore

$$S^2(U^+) \subseteq S[0, v + \epsilon e], \quad \text{and} \quad S^3(U^+) \subseteq S^2[0, v + \epsilon e]. \quad (*)$$

By Lemma 5.15 the operator S is continuous on norm bounded subsets of X with respect to the r -topology of the $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$ to the norm topology. By Theorem 5.9 the operator S maps totally bounded sets with respect to the r -topology of $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$ to norm totally bounded sets. Since $T[0, v + \epsilon e]$ is norm totally bounded, $T[0, v + \epsilon e]$ is totally bounded with respect to the $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$ topology. Hence, $T[0, v + \epsilon e]$ is totally bounded with respect to the r -topology of $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$. Then by Lemma 5.22 the set $S[0, v + \epsilon e]$ is likewise totally bounded with respect to the r -topology of $|\sigma|(X^\rho, (X^\rho)')$. Clearly, $S[0, v + \epsilon e] \subseteq [0, S(v + \epsilon e)]$. Therefore, $S^2[0, v + \epsilon e] = S(S[0, v + \epsilon e])$ is a norm totally bounded set. By the second inclusion of $(*)$ we have $S^3(U^+)$ is a norm totally bounded set, as desired. \square

Remark 5.24. In the view of Theorem 5.23, it is of interest to know under which condition such a positive compact operator \widehat{T} exists. By Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.6, we know that this is true for X with order continuous norm. However, if X is a Banach lattice with an order unit e , then it can be renormed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \inf\{\lambda > 0: |x| \leq \lambda e\},$$

and X becomes an AM-space. By Kakutani-Bohnenblust and M. Krein-S. Krein representation theorem [3, Theorem 4.29], we have X is lattice isometric to some $C(\Omega)$ for a (unique up to homeomorphism) Hausdorff compact topological space Ω , and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C(\Omega)$ is not order continuous. So it is still an open question which choice of a norm on X leads to a similar result as Theorem 5.23.

References

- [1] Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis. *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, volume 50. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2002.
- [2] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw. Positive compact operators on Banach lattices. *Math. Z.*, 174(3):289–298, 1980.
- [3] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw. *Positive Operators*. Academic Press, Inc., London, 1985.
- [4] P.G. Dodds and D.H. Fremlin. Compact operators in Banach lattices. *Israel J. Math.*, 34(4):287–320, 1979.
- [5] A. Kalauch and O. van Gaans. *Pre-Riesz Spaces*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [6] S. Kaplan. The second dual of the space of continuous functions, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 93(2):329–350, 1959.
- [7] P. Meyer-Nieberg. *Banach Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
- [8] O. van Gaans. *Seminorms on Ordered Vector Spaces*. PhD thesis, University of Nijmegen, 1999.
- [9] M. van Haandel. *Completions in Riesz Space Theory*. PhD thesis, University of Nijmegen, 1993.
- [10] J. van Waaij. Tensor products in Riesz space theory. Master’s thesis, Leiden University, 2013.
- [11] A.W. Wickstead. Extremal structure of cones of operators. *Q. J. Math.*, 32(2):239–253, 1981.
- [12] Flores, J., Hernández, F., Tradacete, P.: Domination problems for strictly singular operators and other related classes. *Positivity* **15**(4), 595–616 (2011)