

GLOBAL INVERTIBILITY OF MAPPINGS BETWEEN BANACH SPACES AND APPLICATIONS TO NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

MAREK GALEWSKI AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

ABSTRACT. We provide sufficient conditions for a mapping between two Banach spaces to be a diffeomorphism using the approach of an auxiliary functional and also by the aid of a duality mapping corresponding to a normalization function. We simplify and generalize our previous results. Applications to algebraic equations and to integro-differential systems are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this work is to present a scheme allowing for a mapping between two Banach spaces which defines a local diffeomorphism, to become a global one. The methods applied are those of critical point theory. The main idea behind the approach presented here is already well known, i.e. adding some conditions which make a local diffeomorphism (i.e. invertibility around each point) a global one (i.e. a mapping between whole spaces). It seems this was started by Hadamard [12] and later developed by several authors in a number of works, out of which we single out the following:

- (i) [31] for some overview of invertibility results and some general up-to-date version of the Hadamard-Lévy Theorem (see [19, 26]);
- (ii) [16] for a general result by which we compare our approach in [11], showing that these two do not overlap;
- (iii) [7] for a development of higher-order invertibility conditions;
- (iv) [18] for the metric space setting;
- (v) [28] for some invertibility on a finite-dimensional space.

Our inspiration lies in the works [15, 13, 14] which we somewhat improve and generalize while retaining their main methodology. Although we use known approaches and techniques, we put emphasis on presenting the scheme, which while being intuitive in the case of functions of one variable, becomes more involved when the dimension is enlarged to certain $n \geq 2$ and even next, to an infinite setting. We underline that the simple remarks working on the real line can be suitably extended to cover other cases of domains (finite and infinite). In this work we will concentrate on the case of mappings between Banach spaces and our aim is to make, with the aid of some additional assumption, the local invertibility condition a global one, using some already developed tools. Thus we will somewhat simplify known proofs and provide some insight into understanding of the tools used, and introduce new notions and approaches. We still believe it is of some importance to collect in one work certain results and present them in a more uniform manner.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R50, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Global diffeomorphism; local diffeomorphism; algebraic equation; mountain pass lemma; integro-differential system.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we remark on the scheme leading to producing a global diffeomorphism out of a local one in the spirit of the Hadamard Theorem. In Section 3 we provide necessary background with which we can proceed to the infinite-dimensional space setting. We present two approaches leading to global diffeomorphism between two Banach spaces with the aid of an auxiliary functional and a duality mapping. In the last section we provide some applications to algebraic equations, first in a finite-dimensional setting and then to the integro-differential systems.

2. AN OUTLINE OF RESULTS IN THE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SETTING

We start by recalling that a C^1 -mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally invertible and its inverse function is also C^1 provided that $\det f'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The last assumption means that $f'(x)$ is invertible for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. is a linear mapping which is a bijection between \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n . Let us first consider C^1 -functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Of course, such a function need not be globally invertible as a simple example of arctan shows. On the other hand, a C^1 -function $f(x) = x^3$ is globally invertible while its inverse is not C^1 . This function is not locally C^1 -invertible around 0. At the same time, function $f(x) = x^3 + x$ is locally (and globally) invertible. We see that $|f_i(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. Such a property is called coercivity of a functional $x \rightarrow |f(x)|$ and together with continuity it says that this functional has at least one argument of a minimum. This is a version of the celebrated Weierstrass Theorem since coercivity of $|f|$ yields that for any $d \in \mathbb{R}$, the set S^d is bounded, where

$$S^d = \{u \in E : |f(u)| \leq d\}.$$

Since continuity provides that such sets are closed, we are done. In fact, it is easy to see that:

A locally invertible C^1 -function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $f'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$) such that $|f(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ is globally invertible, that is $f^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined and C^1 .

It is easy to prove this result considering an auxiliary functional $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(x) = |f(x) - y|^2$$

for a fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This functional is also coercive and contrary to $x \rightarrow |f(x)|$, it is C^1 . Thus for a fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$ functional g has an argument of a minimum \bar{x} which by Fermat's rule satisfies equation

$$(f(\bar{x}) - y)f'(\bar{x}) = 0$$

and since $f'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we see that $f(\bar{x}) = y$ which solves the problem of f being onto. Now we concentrate on the question of f being injective. This is done by the help of Rolle's Theorem which, as Jean Mawhin points out in his celebrated book [23], serves as an introductory Mountain Pass Theorem. Thus assume that for some y there exist x_1, x_2 such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$. We see that for functional g it holds that $g(x_1) = g(x_2) = 0$. Thus, by Rolle's Theorem on interval (x_1, x_2) we must have at least one point x_3 such that $g'(x_3) = 0$. This point, with the aid of the Weierstrass Theorem, can be chosen as a point of a maximum over $[x_1, x_2]$. Thus we see that $g(x_3) > 0$ and on the other hand, by the Fermat rule $g(x_3) = 0$ since $g'(x_3) = 0$ implies $g(x_3) = 0$. A contradiction completes this simple proof.

We are aware that the above argumentation is far too sophisticated for a single variable setting since under the above assumptions it is strictly monotone. But this very proof has the advantage of a generalization to maps between Euclidean spaces and further between Banach spaces, provided that we properly understand how the Weierstrass Theorem is generalized and what are the analogies between all notions involved. Moreover, the additional assumption that $\|f(x)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ answers the following question for a C^1 -mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

What assumptions should be imposed on the mapping f to become a global diffeomorphism from local one?

As for the known result we recall the Hadamard Theorem, see [17, Theorem 5.4].

Theorem 2.1. *Let X, Y be finite dimensional Euclidean spaces. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C^1 -mapping such that*

- $f'(x)$ is invertible for any $x \in X$,
- $\|f(x)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Then f is a diffeomorphism.

The proof this theorem uses the approach sketched above. Of course, Rolle's Theorem cannot be used here. Instead the following three critical points theorem is applied.

Theorem 2.2 (Finite-Dimensional MPT, Courant [17, Theorem 5.2]). *Suppose that a C^1 -functional $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is coercive and possesses two distinct strict relative minima x_1 and x_2 . Then f possesses a third critical point x_3 , distinct from x_1 and x_2 , which is not a relative minimizer, that is, in every neighborhood of x_3 , there exists a point x such that $f(x) < f(x_3)$.*

The above theorem inspired Idczak, Skowron and Walczak [15] to obtain a version of it for mappings between a Banach and a Hilbert space, and it further inspired us to investigate if a Hilbert space can be replaced by a Banach space [8, 9]. In all this papers a Mountain Pass Theorem is used which requires some refined estimation of auxiliary functional around 0. In this work we aim to follow strictly the pattern described above. Since we see that the points x_1 and x_2 which we use for contradiction are in fact points of strict local minima (otherwise we arrive at a contradiction with a local diffeomorphism), we cannot use the classical Mountain Pass Theorem but instead the three critical points version due to Pucci and Serrin [27].

We would like to make some remarks at the end of this section. The global inversion result which we have described has also a simple application as the solvability tool of nonlinear equations of the following type

$$f(x) = y$$

where f satisfies the mentioned assumptions. Since the solvability part is reached via the Weierstrass Theorem and requires that some auxiliary functional should have an argument of a minimum, it follows that a lower semicontinuous weakly differentiable functional which has invertible weak derivative would be sufficient. Thus we have the following easy tool:

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a weakly differentiable mapping such that $\det f'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that functional $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$g(x) = \|f(x)\|^2$ is lower semicontinuous and coercive. Then for any fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ equation $f(x) = y$ has at least one solution.

We see that by our assumption, functional $g(x) := \|f(x) - y\|^2$ is lower semicontinuous and coercive for any fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus the assertion follows easily. This result has no meaning in case of $n = 1$ since both types of differentiability coincide there.

3. SOME REMARKS ON THE INFINITE SETTING

It is well known that, as in the case of \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 2$, there are two basic concepts of differentiability for operators and functionals. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, and assume that U is an open subset of X . A mapping $f : U \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable at $x_0 \in U$ if there exists a continuous linear operator $f'_G(x_0) : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $h \in X$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t} = f'_G(x_0)h.$$

The operator $f'_G(x_0)$ is called the Gâteaux derivative of f at x_0 . We will denote it in the sequel by f' .

An operator $f : U \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at $x_0 \in U$ if there exists a continuous linear operator $f'(x_0) : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)h\|}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

The operator $f'(x_0)$ is called the Fréchet derivative of operator f at x_0 . When F is Fréchet differentiable it is also continuous and Gâteaux differentiable. A mapping f is continuously Fréchet differentiable if $f' : X \ni x \mapsto f'(x) \in L(X, Y)$ is continuous in the respective topologies. If f is continuously Gâteaux differentiable then it is also continuously Fréchet differentiable and thus it is called C^1 . The most common way to prove the Fréchet differentiability is that one shows that f is continuously Gâteaux differentiable. In fact, for critical point theory tools either the functional usually must be C^1 or locally Lipschitz and so it is no surprise that Gâteaux differentiability is only an auxiliary tool.

A continuously Fréchet differentiable map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a diffeomorphism if it is a bijection and its inverse $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable as well. Recalling the Inverse Function Theorem, a continuously Fréchet differentiable mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for any $x \in X$ the derivative is surjective, i.e. $f'(x)X = Y$ and invertible, i.e. there exists a constant $\alpha_x > 0$ such that

$$\|f'(x)h\| \geq \alpha_x \|h\|$$

defines a local diffeomorphism. We will write that $f'(x) \in \text{Isom}(X, Y)$ for mappings with such properties. When $f'(x) \in \text{Isom}(X, Y)$ for each $x \in X$, this means that for each point x in X , there exists an open set U containing x , such that $f(U)$ is open in Y and $f|_U : U \rightarrow f(U)$ is a diffeomorphism. If f is a diffeomorphism it obviously defines a local diffeomorphism. Thus the main problem to be overcome is to make a local diffeomorphism into a global one.

Let E be a Banach space. A Gateaux differentiable functional $J : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if every sequence (u_n) such that $(J(u_n))$ is bounded and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, has a convergent subsequence. The following links the Palais-Smale condition with coercivity [17].

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below and satisfies (PS) condition. Then J is coercive.*

The converse statement (coercivity implying the Palais-Smale condition) is valid only in a finite-dimensional space. We note that with the aid of the Palais-Smale condition, we can formulate a version of the critical point theorem which serves as a counterpart of a direct method in the calculus of variation. Namely, it can be applied when a functional is not weakly l.s.c. or else we can consider it as some generalization of the Weierstrass Theorem.

Theorem 3.2 ([17, Proposition 10.1]). *Let E be a Banach space and $J : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^1 -functional which satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose in addition that J is bounded from below. Then the infimum of J is achieved at some point $u_0 \in E$ and u_0 is a critical point of J , i.e. $J'(u_0) = 0$.*

Now we have enough background to present the main result from [15]. They used the Mountain Pass Lemma (which can be cited for example in the following form).

Theorem 3.3 (Mountain Pass Theorem). *Let E be a Banach space and assume that $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Assume that*

$$(3.1) \quad \inf_{\|x\|=r} J(x) \geq \max\{J(0), J(e)\},$$

where $0 < r < \|e\|$ and $e \in E$. Then J has a non-zero critical point x_0 . If moreover, $\inf_{\|x\|=r} J(x) > \max\{J(0), J(e)\}$, then $x_0 \neq e$.

In [15], using ideas contained in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (see again [17] for some nice version of the proof or our introductory remarks), the authors proved the following result concerning diffeomorphism between a Banach and a Hilbert space.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a real Banach space and H a real Hilbert space. If $f : X \rightarrow H$ is a C^1 -mapping such that:*

- for any $y \in H$ the functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|f(x) - y\|^2$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition,

- for any $x \in X$, $f'(x) \in \text{Isom}(X, H)$,

then f is a diffeomorphism.

The question arises whether the Hilbert space H in the formulation of the above theorem can be replaced by a Banach space. This question is of some importance since one would expect diffeomorphism to act between two Hilbert spaces or else two Banach spaces rather than between a Hilbert and a Banach space. The applications given in [15] work when both X and H are Hilbert spaces. We see that given a Hilbert space H , the relation $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$ can be treated as $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle x, x \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product. The other point of view is to treat $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$ as a potential of a duality mapping between H and H^* and then look at the composition of identity with some C^1 -functional which is zero only at 0 and with derivative sharing the same property. These observations allow us to easily generalize the mentioned result to a more general setting.

3.1. An approach by an auxiliary functional. The version of the Mountain Pass Theorem which we use is sort of a counterpart of a three critical points theorem which is actually what is applied for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.5 ([27, Theorem 2]). *Let X be a Banach space and let $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -functional satisfying the Palais-Smale condition with 0_X its strict local minimum. If there exists $e \neq 0_X$ such that $J(e) \leq J(0_X)$, then there is a critical point \bar{x} of J , with $J(\bar{x}) > J(0_X)$, which is not a local minimum.*

These observations will lead us towards obtaining the counterpart of Theorem 3.4 in a Banach space setting as well as related implicit function results in the spirit of our introductory remarks. The application of Theorem 3.3 requires making an estimate of the action functional on the sphere centered at 0, while the application of Theorem 3.5 yields that some points define local minima. But the latter property is easy to check since this functional is nonnegative and being zero at some set other than an isolated singleton means that the local invertibility is violated. Our main result now reads as follows and it is based on the main result from [8] which we improve by getting rid of one of the assumptions and by using a suitably shortened proof.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X, Y be real Banach spaces. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C^1 -mapping, $\eta : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a C^1 -functional and that the following conditions hold*

- (A1) $(\eta(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0)$ and $(\eta'(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0)$;
- (A2) for any $y \in Y$ the functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\varphi(x) = \eta(f(x) - y)$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition;

- (A3) $f'(x) \in \text{Isom}(X, Y)$ for any $x \in X$.

Then f is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. We follow some ideas from [1] with the necessary modifications. In view of the remarks made above, condition (A3) implies that f is a local diffeomorphism. Thus it is sufficient to show that f is onto and one-to-one.

First, we show that f is onto. Let us fix any point $y \in Y$. Observe that φ is a composition of two C^1 -mappings, thus $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, φ is bounded from below and it satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Thus it follows from Theorem 3.2 that there exists at least one argument of a minimum which we denote by \bar{x} . We see by the chain rule for Fréchet derivatives and by Fermat's Principle that

$$\varphi'(\bar{x}) = \eta'(f(\bar{x}) - y) \circ f'(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Since by (A3) mapping $f'(\bar{x})$ is invertible, we see that $\eta'(f(\bar{x}) - y) = 0$. Now it follows by (A1) that

$$f(\bar{x}) - y = 0.$$

Thus f is surjective.

Now we argue by contradiction that f is one-to-one. Suppose there are x_1 and x_2 , $x_1 \neq x_2$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$, such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. We will apply Theorem 3.5. Thus we put $e = x_1 - x_2$ and define functional $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\psi(x) = \eta(f(x + x_2) - f(x_1)).$$

By (A2), functional ψ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Next, we see by a direct calculation that $\psi(e) = \psi(0) = 0$. Moreover, 0 is a strict local minimum of ψ , since

otherwise, in any neighbourhood of 0 we would have a nonzero x with $f(x + x_2) = f(x_1)$ and this would contradict the fact that f defines a local diffeomorphism. Thus by Theorem 3.5 we note that ψ has a critical point v such that $\psi(v) > 0$. Since v is a critical point we have

$$\psi'(v) = \eta'(f(v + x_2) - f(x_1)) \circ f'(v + x_2) = 0.$$

Since $f'(v + x_2)$ is invertible, we see that $\eta'(f(v + x_2) - f(x_1)) = 0$. So by the assumption (A1) we calculate $f(v + x_2) - f(x_1) = 0$. This means that $\psi(v) = 0$ which is impossible. \square

Next we state our result with some remarks.

Remark 3.7. We see that by putting $\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2$ we easily obtain Theorem 3.4 from Theorem 3.6. Moreover, in [8] the following condition is additionally assumed:

(A4) there exist positive constants α, c, M such that

$$\eta(x) \geq c\|x\|^\alpha \quad \text{for } \|x\| \leq M.$$

We do not need this condition now since we use a different tool for the proof the main result. Moreover, the proof becomes considerably simpler and the result is now a full counterpart of the Hilbert space setting case.

We conclude with an example of a functional η satisfying our assumptions. Let us take for $p \geq 2$ a uniformly convex Banach space

$$W_0^{1,p}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) := W_0^{1,p}$$

consisting of absolutely continuous functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ and $x' \in L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ considered with the usual norm

$$\|x\|_{W_0^{1,p}} = \left(\int_0^1 |x'(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad x \in W_0^{1,p}.$$

We also refer to [3] for some background on this space.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that $2 \leq p < +\infty$. A functional $f : L^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula $f(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 |u(s)|^p ds = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{L^p}^p$ is continuously differentiable and for any $u \in L^p$ we have*

$$f'(u)v = \int_0^1 |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)v(t) dt \quad \text{for } v \in L^p.$$

Remark 3.9. For the case $p = 2$ the assertion of Lemma 3.8 follows from the properties of the scalar product. For the case $2 < p < +\infty$, it follows from Lemma 3.8 and from the chain rule, that a functional $h : W_0^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad h(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^p dt$$

is continuously differentiable and for any $u \in W_0^{1,p}$ we have

$$h'(u)v = \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^{p-2} u'(t)v'(t) dt \quad \text{for } v \in W_0^{1,p}.$$

Thus we see that both f and h above provide us with examples.

3.2. An approach by a duality mapping. In this section we improve results from [9] by extending them to cover the case of any duality mapping relative to some increasing function and not to the special function $t \rightarrow |t|^{p-1}$ for $p > 1$, as provided therein. The second improvement is the simplification of the proof in the spirit described above.

A normed linear space E is called strictly convex if the unit sphere contains no line segments on its surface, i.e., condition $\|x\| = 1, \|y\| = 1, x \neq y$ implies that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(x + y) \right\| < 1$$

or in other words, that the unit sphere is a strictly convex set. The space E is called uniformly convex, if for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\|x\| = 1, \|y\| = 1$ and $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$, then $\|x + y\| \leq 2(1 - \delta(\varepsilon))$. A uniformly convex space is necessarily strictly convex and reflexive.

We recall from [4] the notion of duality mapping from E to E^* relative to a normalization function. In the sequel we shall write duality mapping with the understanding that we mean a duality mapping relative to some normalization function. A continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a normalization function if it is strictly increasing, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(r) \rightarrow \infty$ with $r \rightarrow \infty$. A duality mapping on E corresponding to a normalization function φ is an operator $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ such that for all $u \in E$ and $u^* \in A(u)$,

$$\|A(u)\|_* = \varphi(\|u\|), \quad \langle u^*, u \rangle = \|u^*\|_* \|u\|.$$

Some remarks are in order, especially those concerning the assumptions on a duality mapping. We recall from [4] that

- (i) for each $u \in E$, $A(u)$ is a bounded, closed and convex subset of E^* ;
- (ii) A is monotone

$$\langle u_1^* - u_2^*, u_1 - u_2 \rangle \geq (\varphi(\|u_1\|) - \varphi(\|u_2\|))(\|u_1\| - \|u_2\|)$$

for each $u_1, u_2 \in E$, $u_1^* \in A(u_1), u_2^* \in A(u_2)$;

- (iii) for each $u \in E$, $A(u) = \partial\psi(u)$, where $\partial\psi(\cdot) : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ denotes the subdifferential in the sense of convex analysis of the functional $\psi(u) = \int_0^{\|u\|} \varphi(t) dt$, i.e.

$$\partial\psi(u) = \{u^* \in E^* : \psi(y) - \psi(u) \geq \langle u^*, y - u \rangle_{E^*, E} \text{ for all } y \in E\};$$

- (iv) if E^* is strictly convex, then $\text{card}(A(u)) = 1$, for all $x \in E$;
- (v) when E is reflexive and strictly convex, then operator $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ is demicontinuous, which means that if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in E then $A(x_n) \rightarrow A(x)$ in E^* .

We see by [25, Proposition 2.8] that since A is demicontinuous, we obtain that functional ψ is differentiable in the sense of Gâteaux and operator A being its derivative.

Lemma 3.10. *Assume that E is a reflexive Banach space with a strictly convex dual E^* . Then the duality mapping $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ corresponding to a normalization function φ is single-valued and the functional ψ is differentiable in the sense of Gâteaux with A being its Gâteaux derivative.*

Proof. The duality mapping A is now single valued and its potential, i.e. ψ , has a single-valued subdifferential which is demicontinuous. Now the argument given prior to the formulation finishes the proof. \square

In view of Lemma 3.10, it is apparent that assuming the continuous differentiability of a potential of a duality mapping is not a very restrictive condition. Indeed, in order to get some reasonable result we will have to assume that the duality mapping has the potential which is continuously differentiable. This is necessary in order to obtain that the functional $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$h(x) = \psi(f(x) - y)$$

is continuously differentiable. Moreover, functional ψ can be considered as a potential of a duality mapping A in case it is single-valued. In this case we write $A : Y \rightarrow Y^*$ and by writing $A : Y \rightarrow Y^*$ we implicitly assume that A is single valued. We will follow this observation in the sequel. We formulate our second global diffeomorphism result.

Theorem 3.11. *Let X, Y be a real Banach spaces. Let the potential ψ of a duality mapping $A : Y \rightarrow Y^*$ corresponding to a normalization function φ be continuously Gâteaux differentiable. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C^1 -mapping such that:*

(A5) *for any $y \in Y$ the functional $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula*

$$h(x) = \psi(f(x) - y)$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition,

(A6) *$f'(x) \in \text{Isom}(X, Y)$ for any $x \in X$.*

Then f is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. Let us fix a point $y \in Y$. Functional h is a composition of two C^1 -mappings, so it is C^1 itself. Moreover, h is bounded from below and it satisfies the Palais-Smale condition by (A5). Thus it follows from Theorem 3.2 that there exists an argument of a minimum which we denote by \bar{x} . We see by the chain rule and Fermat's Principle and by the assumptions on a duality mapping that

$$0 = h'(\bar{x}) = A(f(\bar{x}) - y) \circ f'(\bar{x}).$$

Since by (A6), mapping $f'(\bar{x})$ is invertible, we get that $A(f(\bar{x}) - y) = 0$. Now, by the property that $\|A(u)\|_* = \varphi(\|u\|)$ we note that

$$\|A(f(\bar{x}) - y)\|_* = \varphi(\|f(\bar{x}) - y\|).$$

So it follows, since $\varphi(0) = 0$ and since φ is strictly increasing, that

$$f(\bar{x}) - y = 0,$$

which proves the existence of $x \in X$ for every $y \in Y$, such that $f(\bar{x}) = y$. The uniqueness can be shown by contradiction exactly as before. \square

Now we provide a simple example of a space and a duality mapping for which the assumptions of the above result hold.

Let us define a single valued operator $A : W_0^{1,p} \rightarrow (W_0^{1,p})^*$ such that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^{p-2} u'(t) v'(t) dt.$$

It follows from Remark 3.9 that if $2 \leq p < +\infty$, then A is a potential operator and its C^1 -potential is h defined by (3.2). Therefore by the cited remarks from [4] we get that a duality mapping on $W_0^{1,p}$ corresponding to a normalization function $t \rightarrow t^{p-1}$ is defined by A .

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. Applications to algebraic equations. We conclude this paper with some applications to the unique solvability of nonlinear equations of the form $Ax = F(x)$ where A is a nonsingular matrix and F is a C^1 nonlinear operator. Some motivation to extend existence tools for such equations can be found in [2, 21, 22, 24]. We consider the problem

$$(4.1) \quad Ax = F(x),$$

where A is an $n \times n$ matrix (possibly singular) and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 -mapping. We consider \mathbb{R}^n with the Euclidean norm in both, theoretical results and in the example which follows.

To apply Theorem 2.1 to the solvability of (4.1) we need some assumptions. Let us recall that if A^* denotes the transpose of matrix A , then A^*A is symmetric and positive semidefinite. Let $\delta_{\max}(A)$ denote the greatest of the singular values of A , i.e. the eigenvalues of $A^T A$, with the obvious meaning of $\delta_{\min}(A)$. We assume that A is different from the *zero* matrix, so that both mentioned values are positive.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 -mapping and the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *either there exists a constant $0 < a < \delta_{\min}(A)$ such that*

$$\|F(x)\| \leq a\|x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large norm, or else there exists a constant $b > \delta_{\max}(A)$ such that

$$\|F(x)\| \geq b\|x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large norm;

- (ii) *$\det(A - F'(x)) \neq 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Then Problem (4.1) has exactly one solution for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. We put $\varphi(x) = Ax - F(x)$. From the first possibility of assumption (ii) it follows for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x)\| &= \|Ax - F(x)\| \geq \|Ax\| - \|F(x)\| \\ &\geq \sqrt{\langle A^*Ax, x \rangle} - a\|x\| \geq (\delta_{\min}(A) - a)\|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function φ is coercive. Since $\det \varphi'(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it follows by Theorem 2.1 that φ is a global homeomorphism and thus equation (4.1) has exactly one solution for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The second case of assumption (ii) follows likewise. \square

Remark 4.2. We note that to obtain coercivity of the function φ in the above theorem we can employ the following assumption instead of (ii),

- (iia) *either there exist constants $\alpha > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$\|F(x)\| \leq \alpha\|x\|^\gamma$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large norm, or

- (iib) *there exist constants $\beta > 0$, $\theta > 1$ such that*

$$\|F(x)\| \geq \beta\|x\|^\theta$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large norm.

Now we provide some examples of problems which we can consider by the above method.

Example 4.3. Consider the indefinite matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$F(x, y) = (x^3 + y + 1, 6x + y + y^3 + 1).$$

On \mathbb{R}^2 consider the Euclidean norm, $\|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. We recall that $\|(x, y)\| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt[6]{x^6 + y^6}$. Note that $F(x, y) = (x^3, y^3) + (0, 6x) + (y, y) + (1, 1)$. Let

$$\varphi(x, y) = F(x, y) - A(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x, y)\| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(x, y)\|^3 - 6\sqrt{2} \|(x, y)\| - \sqrt{2} - \|A\| \|(x, y)\| \\ &= \|(x, y)\| \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(x, y)\|^2 - (6\sqrt{2} + \|A\|) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\|(x, y)\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above sequence of inequalities it follows that φ is coercive.

One can easily see that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$F'(x, y) - A = \begin{bmatrix} 3x^2 + 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3y^2 + 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\det(F'(x, y) - A) > 0$, we see that the problem $Ax = F(x)$ has exactly one (nontrivial) solution.

4.2. Application to an integro-differential system. In this section we propose some improvement of results from [9] as far as the growth assumptions and methods of the proof are concerned. Namely, we use the Bielecki type norm on the underlying space instead of the regular one. Since the proofs do not differ that much apart from some estimation, we provide only the main differences referring to [9] for the more detailed reasoning. We were inspired by [20] to come up with these results.

Prior to formulating the problem under consideration we introduce some required function space setting. We introduce

$$W^{1,p}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n) = \{x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is absolutely continuous, } x' \in L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

Here x' denotes the a.e. derivative of x . Further, we denote $L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ by L^p and $W^{1,p}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ by $W^{1,p}$. The $W^{1,p}$ space is equipped with the usual norm $\|x\|_{W^{1,p}}^p = \|x\|_{L^p}^p + \|x'\|_{L^p}^p$. The Sobolev space is defined as

$$\tilde{W}_0^{1,p}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n) = \{x \in W^{1,p}, x(0) = 0\}$$

and it is equipped with the norm

$$(4.2) \quad \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p}} = \left(\int_0^1 |x'(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad x \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$$

equivalent to $\|x\|_{W^{1,p}}$. By definition, for any $p > 1$, we have the following chain of embeddings

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{W}_0^{1,p} \hookrightarrow W^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L^p.$$

There exists a constant C such that for any $u \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}[0,1]} \leq C \|u'\|_{L^p[0,1]}.$$

The space $\tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$ is uniformly convex.

In the literature, the existence of the solution to integro-differential equation is obtained by the Banach fixed point theorem or another type of fixed point theorem, see [29, 30].

Let us formulate a nonlinear integro-differential equation with variable integration limit with an initial condition, which reads as follows

$$(4.4) \quad x'(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau = y(t), \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1],$$

$$(4.5) \quad x(0) = 0,$$

where $y \in L^p$ is fixed for the time being.

Now we impose assumptions on the nonlinear term. These ensure that the problem is well posed in the sense that the solution to (4.4)-(4.5) exists, it is unique and the solution operator depends in a differentiable manner on a parameter y provided we allow it to vary. This implies that problem (4.4)-(4.5) is well posed in the sense of Hadamard.

Let $P_\Delta = \{(t, \tau) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]; \tau \leq t\}$. We assume that function $\Phi : P_\Delta \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (A7) $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot, x)$ is measurable on P_Δ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Phi(t, \tau, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}^n for a.e. $(t, \tau) \in P_\Delta$;
- (A8) there exist functions $a, b \in L^p(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ such that

$$|\Phi(t, \tau, x)| \leq a(t, \tau)|x| + b(t, \tau)$$

for a.e. $(t, \tau) \in P_\Delta$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and there exists a constant $\bar{a} > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t a^p(t, \tau) d\tau \leq \bar{a}^p$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$.

- (A9) there exist functions $c \in L^p(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$, $\alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\Phi_x(t, \tau, x)| \leq c(t, \tau)\alpha(|x|)$$

for a.e. $(t, \tau) \in P_\Delta$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; moreover

$$\int_0^t c^q(t, \tau) d\tau \leq C, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

Remark 4.4. In [9] it was assumed that

$$\|a\|_{L^p(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R})} < 2^{-\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

which considerably restricts the growth.

For any $k > 0$ let us define another form of the Bielecki type norm

$$(4.6) \quad \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}} = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x'|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

For $k = 0$ the above function defines a norm introduced by (4.2) and therefore hereafter we will skip index 0. It is easy to notice that

$$(4.7) \quad e^{-k/p} \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p}} \leq \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}} \leq \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p}}$$

For any $k > 0$ and $x \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$ we assert the following relations:

$$(4.8) \quad \|x\|_k \leq \frac{\|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}}}{k^{1/p}},$$

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \int_0^\cdot |x(\tau)| d\tau \right\|_k = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t |x(\tau)| d\tau \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{\|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}}}{k^{2/p}}$$

where the symbol $\int_0^\cdot u(\tau) d\tau$ denotes the function $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau$. Now let us prove the stated relations, starting with (4.8). Fix $k > 0$ and $x \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_k^p &= \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x(t)|^p dt = \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \left| \int_0^t x'(\tau) d\tau \right|^p dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \int_0^t |x'(\tau)|^p d\tau dt = \int_0^1 |x'(\tau)|^p \left(\int_\tau^1 e^{-kt} dt \right) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x'(t)|^p dt - \frac{e^{-k}}{k} \int_0^1 |x'(t)|^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{1 - e^{-k}}{k} \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x'(t)|^p dt \leq \frac{\|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}}^p}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us turn to the relation (4.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^\cdot |x(\tau)| d\tau \right\|_k^p &= \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t |x(\tau)| d\tau \right)^p dt \leq \int_0^1 e^{-kt} \left(\int_0^t |x(\tau)|^p d\tau \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 |x(\tau)|^p \left(\int_\tau^1 e^{-kt} dt \right) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x(t)|^p dt - \frac{e^{-k}}{k} \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{\|x\|_k^p}{k} \leq \frac{\|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}}^p}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To apply Theorem 3.6 we can define functional $\varphi : \tilde{W}_0^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= (1/p) \|f(x) - y\|_k^p \\ &= (1/p) \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x'(t) - y(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau|^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

We can define functional $\varphi : \tilde{W}_0^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= (1/p) \|f(x) - y\|_k^p \\ &= (1/p) \int_0^1 e^{-kt} |x'(t) - y(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau|^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Having in mind the relation (4.7), which states that L^p norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ and the Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ are equivalent, the following inequality can be deduced for any $x \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$:

$$(p\varphi(x))^{1/p} = \|x'(\cdot) - y(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot \Phi(\cdot, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\|_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \|x'\|_k - \|y\|_k - \left\| \int_0^\cdot \Phi(\cdot, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right\|_k \\
&\geq \|x'\|_k - \|y\|_k - \bar{a} \left\| \int_0^\cdot x(\tau) d\tau \right\|_k - \left\| \int_0^\cdot b(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \right\|_k \\
&\geq \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}} - \frac{\bar{a}}{k^{2/p}} \|x\|_{\tilde{W}_0^{1,p,k}} + d,
\end{aligned}$$

where $d = \|y\|_k - \left\| \int_0^\cdot b(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \right\|_k$. For sufficiently large $k > 0$, that is $k > \max\{1, \bar{a}^{\frac{k}{2}}\}$, we have the coercivity of functional φ .

Using the above estimates and exactly the same arguments as in [9], we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Under the above assumptions, for any fixed $y \in L^p$, problem (4.4)-(4.5) has a unique solution $x_y \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$. Moreover, the operator*

$$L^p \ni y \rightarrow x_y \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$$

which assigns to each $y \in L^p$ a solution to (4.4)-(4.5), is continuously differentiable.

We complete this section with an example of a nonlinear term satisfying our assumptions (A7)–(A9). Let us consider the function $\Phi : P_\Delta \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(t, \tau, x) = \alpha(t - \tau)^{5/2} \ln(1 + (t - \tau)^2 x^2)$$

for $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$, $t > \tau$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha > 0$ is fixed. Since $\ln(1 + s^2 z^2) \leq |s| + |z|$ for $s, z \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that

$$|\Phi(t, \tau, x)| \leq \alpha(t - \tau)^{5/2} |x| + \alpha(t - \tau)^{5/2}.$$

Let us put

$$a(t, \tau) = \alpha(t - \tau)^{5/2}$$

for $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$, $t > \tau$. Then by a direct calculation we obtain

$$\|a\|_{L^p(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R})}^p \leq \alpha^p \frac{4}{(5p+2)(5p+4)} =: \bar{a}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi_x(t, \tau, x)| &\leq \alpha(t - \tau)^{5/2} |x|, \\
\int_0^t c(t, \tau)^q d\tau &= 2^{-p} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{5q/2} d\tau = \frac{2^{1-p}}{5q+2} t^{(5q/2)+1} \leq \frac{2^{1-p}}{5q+2}, \quad t \in [0, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Φ satisfies assumptions (A7)–(A9). Theorem 3.6 shows that the initial-value problem

$$x'(t) + \int_0^t 2^{1-p} (t - \tau)^{1/2} \ln(1 + (t - \tau)^2 x^2) d\tau = y(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1]$$

has a unique solution $x_y \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$ for any fixed $y \in L^p$. Moreover, the mapping

$$L^p \ni y \rightarrow x_y \in \tilde{W}_0^{1,p}$$

is continuously differentiable.

Acknowledgements. D. Repovš was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grants P1-0292, N1-0083, N1-0064, J1-8131, and J1-7025.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beldziński, M. Galewski, R. Stegliński; *Solvability of abstract semilinear equations by a global diffeomorphism theorem*, submitted, ArXiv: 1712.03493
- [2] G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci; *Infinitely many solutions for a boundary value problem with discontinuous nonlinearities*, Bound. Value Probl., **2009** (2009), 1-20.
- [3] H. Brézis; *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 2010.
- [4] G. Dinca, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin; *Variational and topological methods for Dirichlet problems with p -Laplacian*, Port. Math. (N.S.) **58** (2001), no. 3, 339–378.
- [5] I. Ekeland; *An inverse function theorem in Fréchet spaces*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire **28** (2011), no. 1, 91-105.
- [6] D.G. Figueredo; *Lectures on the Ekeland Variational Principle with Applications and De-tours*, Preliminary Lecture Notes, SISSA, 1988.
- [7] P. Fijałkowski; *A global inversion theorem for functions with singular points*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B **23** (2018), no. 1, 173-180.
- [8] E. Galewska, M. Galewski, E. Schmeidel; *Conditions for having a diffeomorphism between two Banach spaces*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2014** (2014), no. 99, 6 p.
- [9] M. Galewski, M. Koniorczyk; *On a global diffeomorphism between two Banach spaces and some application*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **52** (2015), no. 1, 65-86.
- [10] M. Galewski, M. Koniorczyk; *On a global implicit function theorem and some applications to integro-differential initial value problem*, Acta Math. Hungar. **148** (2016), no. 2, 257-278.
- [11] M. Galewski, M. Rădulescu; *On a global implicit function theorem for locally Lipschitz maps via non-smooth critical point theory*, accepted to Quaestiones Mathematicae 2017: 1–14, DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2017.1391353.
- [12] J. Hadamard; *Sur les Transformations Ponctuelles*, S. M. F. Bull. 34, 71-84 (1906).
- [13] D. Idczak; *A global implicit function theorem and its applications to functional equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B **19** (2014), no. 8, 2549-2556.
- [14] D. Idczak; *On a generalization of a global implicit function theorem*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **16** (2016), no. 1, 87-94.
- [15] D. Idczak, A. Skowron, S. Walczak; *On the diffeomorphisms between Banach and Hilbert spaces*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **12** (2012), no. 1, 89-100.
- [16] A. D. Ioffe; *Global surjection and global inverse mapping theorems in Banach spaces*, Rep. Moscow Refusnik Semin., Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **491** (1987), 181-188.
- [17] Y. Jabri; *The mountain pass theorem. Variants, generalizations and some applications*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 95. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [18] G. Katriel; *Mountain pass theorems and global homeomorphism theorems*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, **11** (1994), no. 2, 189-209.
- [19] P. Lévy; *Sur les fonctions de lignes implicites*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **48** (1920), 13–27.
- [20] M. Majewski; *Control system defined by some integral operator*, Opuscula Math. **37** (2017), no. 2, 313-325.
- [21] S. A. Marano, G. Molica Bisci, D. Motreanu; *Multiple solutions for a class of elliptic hemi-variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **337** (2008), 85-97.
- [22] N. Marcu, G. Molica Bisci; *Existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear discrete inclusions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2012** (2012), p. 1-13.
- [23] J. Mawhin; *Problèmes de Dirichlet Variationnels Non Linéaires*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, **104**, Montreal 1987.
- [24] G. Molica Bisci, D. Repovš; *Nonlinear Algebraic Systems with discontinuous terms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398** (2013), 846–856.
- [25] R. R. Phelps; *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, 2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1364. Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1993.
- [26] R. Plastock; *Homeomorphisms Between Banach Spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **200** (1974), 169–183.
- [27] P. Pucci, J. Serrin; *Extensions of the mountain pass theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 185-210.
- [28] M. Rădulescu, S. Rădulescu; *Local inversion theorems without assuming continuous differentiability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **138** (1989), no. 2, 581-590.

- [29] J. R. Wang, W. Wei; *Nonlinear delay integrodifferential systems with Caputo fractional derivative in infinite-dimensional spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math., **105** (2012), no. 3, 209–223.
- [30] J. Wang, W. Wei; *An application of measure of noncompactness in the study of integrodifferential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **158** (2012), 135–148.
- [31] G. Zampieri; *Diffeomorphisms with Banach space domains*, Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. **19** (1992), no. 10, 923–932.
- [32] E. Zeidler; *Applied Functional Analysis. Main Principles and Their Applications*, Applied Mathematical Sciences. 109. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. xvi, 404 p. (1995).

MAREK GALEWSKI

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LODZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WOLCZANSKA 215, 90-024
LODZ, POLAND

E-mail address: `marek.galewski@p.lodz.pl`

DUŠAN REPOVŠ

FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA,
SI-1000, SLOVENIA

E-mail address: `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`