

New constructions of Hadamard matrices

Ka Hin Leung and Koji Momihara

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain a number of new infinite families of Hadamard matrices. Our constructions are based on four new constructions of difference families with four or eight blocks. By applying the Wallis-Whiteman array or the Kharaghani array to the difference families constructed, we obtain new Hadamard matrices of order $4(uv + 1)$ for $u = 2$ and $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$; and for $u \in \{3, 5\}$ and $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$. Here, $\Phi_1 = \{q^2 : q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ is a prime power}\}$, $\Phi_2 = \{n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \cup \{9n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$, $\Phi_3 = \{5\}$ and $\Phi_4 = \{13, 37\}$. Moreover, our construction also yields new Hadamard matrices of order $8(uv + 1)$ for any $u \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ and $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we fix the following notation. Let $(G, +)$ be an additively written abelian group of order v and let $G^* := G \setminus \{0_G\}$. For any subset D in G , we denote $D^{(-1)} := \{-x : x \in D\}$, $\overline{D} := G^* \setminus D$, and $D^c := G \setminus D$. Furthermore, we also denote $\sum_{x \in D} x \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ by D when there is no confusion.

Let B_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, be k_i -subsets of G and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Any subset A of G is said to be *symmetric* if $A = A^{(-1)}$. A family \mathcal{B} is said to be *symmetric* if each B_i is symmetric. \mathcal{B} is said to be a *difference family with parameters* $(v; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\ell; \lambda)$ in G if the list of differences “ $x - y, x, y \in B_i, x \neq y, i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ” represents every element of $G \setminus \{0_G\}$ exactly λ times; or equivalently

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} B_i B_i^{(-1)} = \lambda G + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i - \lambda \right) \cdot 0_G.$$

REMARK 1.1. To avoid possible confusion, we write $\lambda \cdot 0_G$ as the element in the group ring such that the coefficient of 0_G is λ . This notation will be used throughout this paper.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05B20, 05B10.

Ka Hin Leung was supported by Ministry of Education grant R-146-000-276-114.

Koji Momihara was supported by JSPS under Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 17K14236 and Scientific Research (B) 15H03636.

Each subset B_i is called a *block* of \mathcal{B} . If there is only one block in a difference family, the block is a *difference set*.

In this paper, our main idea is to construct a new difference family in $G \times G'$ from difference families in G . Thus, we need the difference families in G to satisfy certain properties. We first define the following:

DEFINITION 1.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ is a difference family in G .*

(i) For $\ell = 2, 4, 8$, \mathcal{B} is said to be of type H_ℓ^ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i - \ell(|G| + 1)/4 = \lambda$.*

(ii) For $\ell = 4$, \mathcal{B} is said to be of type H if $\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i - |G| = \lambda$.

Let us first explain how a difference family can be used to construct a Hadamard matrix. It is well known that if there is a difference family of type H in G , then we have a Hadamard matrix of order $4|G|$ by plugging the circulant $(-1, 1)$ matrices obtained from its blocks into the Goethals-Seidel array [4]. In literature, a number of difference families of type H have been extensively studied [6, 15, 19, 21, 23].

For any difference family of type H_ℓ^* in G for $\ell = 2$ or 4 , we construct a Hadamard matrix of order $\ell(|G| + 1)$ by plugging the circulant $(-1, 1)$ matrices obtained from its blocks into the Szekeres array [13, Theorem 2.4] or the Wallis-Whiteman array [13, Theorem 4.17], respectively. In the case where $\ell = 8$, we may use the Kharaghani array under the assumption that the circulant $(-1, 1)$ matrices M_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$, obtained from its blocks are amicable, i.e., $\sum_{i=0}^3 (M_{2i} M_{2i+1}^T - M_{2i+1} M_{2i}^T) = O$. (See, e.g., [5], [8, Lemma 4.20], [9, Page 12].) Note that the amicability condition becomes trivial if the difference family is symmetric. That explains why we are interested in difference families of type H_4^* and symmetric difference families of type H_8^* in this paper.

As mentioned before, if a difference family consists of only one block, the block is actually a difference set. However, the parameters of the single block must be of the form $(4n^2; 2n^2 - n; n^2 - n)$. In the literature, a difference set with parameters $(4n^2; 2n^2 - n; n^2 - n)$ is called a *Menon difference set*. For any Menon difference set in a group of order $4n^2$, we consider an incidence matrix of the design developed; by replacing all zero entries with -1 , we obtain a Hadamard matrix of order $4n^2$. The existence of Menon difference sets in abelian groups of order $4n^2$ with n odd have been extensively studied [2, 12, 14, 20, 22]. It is known that for any even $s \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, a Menon difference set in a group of order $4 \cdot 2^s k^4$ or $4 \cdot 9 \cdot 2^s k^4$ exists. Moreover, Turyn [12] has shown how to construct a Menon difference set in a group of order $4u^2 n^2$ from Menon difference sets in groups of order $4u^2$ and $4n^2$. It is then natural to ask if we can extend those results to difference families. It turns out that we need some extra conditions imposed on the difference families concerned. We first list the conditions required.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a difference family of type H in an abelian group G . We define conditions (c1)-(c5) as follows:

$$(c1) \quad D_0D_2^{(-1)} + D_2D_0^{(-1)} + D_1D_3^{(-1)} + D_3D_1^{(-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G|\right)G.$$

(c2) For each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, D_i is symmetric; and

$$D_0D_2^{(-1)} + D_2D_0^{(-1)} + D_1D_3^{(-1)} + D_3D_1^{(-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G|\right)G.$$

(c3) For each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, D_i is symmetric; and for $(h, i, j, k) = (0, 1, 2, 3), (0, 2, 1, 3), (0, 3, 1, 2)$,

$$D_hD_i^{(-1)} + D_iD_h^{(-1)} + D_jD_k^{(-1)} + D_kD_j^{(-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G|\right)G.$$

(c4) $2|(\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, i.e. all coefficients in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ are even.

(c5) $D_0D_2^{(-1)} = D_2D_0^{(-1)}$ and $D_1D_3^{(-1)} = D_3D_1^{(-1)}$.

It has been shown in [18] that a difference family of type H satisfying (c4) yields a ‘‘ T -matrix’’, which has played an important role in constructions of Hadamard matrices [11, 18]. It is also known that a difference family of type H satisfying (c1) yields a difference family with parameters $(2v; k_1, k_2; k_1 + k_2 - v)$ [16]. We may interpret that such a difference family with two blocks belongs to the class which is in between difference families of type H and Menon difference sets. First, the number of blocks for such a family is 2 whereas the number of blocks in a difference family of type H and a Menon difference sets are 4 and 1 respectively. Second, a difference family with parameters $(2v; k_1, k_2; k_1 + k_2 - v)$ can be viewed as subsets of a group of order $2v$ whereas a difference family of type H can be viewed as subsets of a group of order $4v$ and a Menon difference set sits in a group of order v .

The following ‘product constructions’ using difference families of type H were first studied in [16, 17, 18].

THEOREM 1.4. Let \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' be difference families of type H in an abelian group of order u and n respectively. If any of the following conditions is satisfied, then there exists a difference family of type H in an abelian group of order un .

- (1) [16] \mathcal{D} satisfies (c1) and \mathcal{D}' satisfies (c3).
- (2) [16] \mathcal{D} satisfies (c1) and \mathcal{D}' satisfies (c2).
- (3) [18] \mathcal{D} satisfies (c4) and \mathcal{D}' satisfies (c5).
- (4) [18] \mathcal{D} satisfies (c4) and \mathcal{D}' satisfies (c1).

Moreover, if (1) is satisfied, then there exists a difference family of type H that satisfies (c1).

REMARK 1.5. Note that Theorem 1.4 (2) is not stated explicitly in [16]. But it can be proved easily by using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [16].

Unfortunately, Theorem 1.4 is not always applicable. A somewhat different ‘product construction’ for “Paley type partial difference sets” has been considered by J. Polhill [7]. A *Paley type partial difference set* is a symmetric subset D of size $(|G| - 1)/2$ in a finite group G satisfying $D^2 = \frac{|G|-1}{2} \cdot 0_G - D + \frac{|G|-1}{4}G^*$. To construct Paley type partial difference sets in $G \times G'$, it is not sufficient just to make use of one Paley type partial difference sets in G and one in G' . Indeed, in Theorem 4.1 [7], one needs a difference family of type H and a Paley partial difference set in each group G and G' . On the other hand, if P is a Paley type partial difference set, then $\{P, \overline{P}\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H_2^* . Therefore, his construction can be viewed as a ‘product construction’ that involves two difference families, where one is of type H and the other is of type H_2^* . It is therefore natural to ask the following:

PROBLEM 1.6. *Is it possible to construct a difference family of type H_4^* or H_8^* in the group $G \times G'$ using two difference families of type H and H_4^* in each G and G' ?*

To address the problem above, we need to work with difference families that satisfy certain conditions.

DEFINITION 1.7. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a difference family of type H in a group G . We define conditions (d1)-(d5) as follows:*

- (d1) $0_G \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 D_i$.
- (d2) *There are subsets E_0, E_1 of G^* such that $D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3 = E_0 - \overline{E_0}$, $D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2 = E_1 - \overline{E_1}$, and the set of $E_0, E_1, \overline{E_0}, \overline{E_1}$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* .*
- (d3) $D_0 D_2^{(-1)} + D_2 D_0^{(-1)} + D_1 D_3^{(-1)} + D_3 D_1^{(-1)} = (\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1)G$.
- (d4) *The coefficient of each element $x \in G^*$ in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ is one or three, and the coefficient of 0_G in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ is even but not two in exactly one of $\sum_{i=0,1} D_i$, $\sum_{i=2,3} D_i$, $\sum_{i=0,3} D_i$ and $\sum_{i=1,2} D_i$.*
- (d5) $|D_0| + |D_3| = |D_1| + |D_2|$.

Our first goal is to show the following:

THEOREM 1.8. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a difference family of type H in a group G .*

- (1) *If \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3); and there exists a difference family of type H_4^* satisfies (c1) in G , then there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{D} is symmetric and it satisfies (d3) and there exists a difference family of type H_2^* in G , then there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_3$.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{D} is symmetric and it satisfies (d2) and (d5), then there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_5$.*
- (4) *If \mathcal{D} is symmetric and it satisfies (d2); and there exists a symmetric difference family \mathcal{S} of type H satisfying (d1) and (d2) in an abelian group N , then there exists a symmetric difference family of type H_8^* in an abelian group of order $G \times N$.*

Before we will prove Theorem 1.8 in Section 3, we will first study some general properties of difference families of type H in Section 2. In Section 4, we construct explicitly difference families of type H that can be used in Theorem 1.8. In the final section, we prove our main results concerning the existence of Hadamard matrices.

THEOREM 1.9. *Let $\Phi_1 = \{q^2 : q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ is a prime power}\}$, $\Phi_2 = \{n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \cup \{9n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$, $\Phi_3 = \{5\}$ and $\Phi_4 = \{13, 37\}$. Then, the following hold:*

- (1) *There exists a Hadamard matrix of order $4(2v+1)$ for $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$.*
- (2) *There exists a Hadamard matrix of order $4(3v+1)$ for $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*
- (3) *There exists a Hadamard matrix of order $4(5v+1)$ for $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*
- (4) *There exists a Hadamard matrix of order $8(uv+1)$ for $u \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ and $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*

It is generally difficult to construct Hadamard matrices of order $4p$ for an odd prime p . Note that all elements in $\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$ are odd. Thus, we may only apply Theorem 1.9 (1) to generate Hadamard matrices of order $4p$ where p is an odd prime. If $v \in \Phi_1$, then $3|(2v+1)$. Hence, we consider only those v in Φ_2 , i.e. $v = n^4$ or $9 \cdot n^4$ for an odd integer n . For odd $n < 300$, $2n^4 + 1$ is a prime for the following n ,

$$1, 3, 21, 45, 63, 81, 105, 153, 177, 201, 219, 225, 249, 279, 297.$$

Indeed, for odd $n < 1000$, there are altogether 32 such primes.

On the other hand, for odd $n < 1000$, there are altogether 74 primes of the form $2 \cdot 9n^4 + 1$. For odd $n < 300$, $2 \cdot 9n^4 + 1$ is a prime for the following n :

$$1, 3, 5, 31, 45, 55, 57, 71, 79, 89, 107, 109, 119, 123, 137, \\ 141, 159, 167, 173, 181, 197, 217, 255, 275, 285, 295.$$

At this point, we are not able to prove if the sets $\{2n^4 + 1 : n \text{ is odd}\}$ and $\{2 \cdot 9n^4 + 1 : n \text{ is odd}\}$ contain infinitely many primes though.

2. Symmetric difference families of type H that satisfy (d2)

In this section, we aim to study a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d2). Let us first give an example.

EXAMPLE 2.1. *Let $v = 5$. Define*

$$D_0 = \{0\}, D_1 = \{1, 4\}, D_2 = \{0\}, D_3 = \{2, 3\}.$$

Then, the family $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H in \mathbb{Z}_5 that satisfies (d2). Note that \mathcal{D} also satisfies (d5) but not (d1).

In view of the above example, it is then interesting to explore how condition (d2) and other conditions are related. It is straightforward to check the following:

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a symmetric difference family of type H satisfying (d2) in G and that $0_G \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 D_i$. Then $\mathcal{D}' = \{G \setminus D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d1) and (d2).*

From now on, we always assume $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H satisfying (d2) in G ; and we define E_i 's as defined in Definition 1.7. To simplify our notation, we set

$$W := D_0 D_2 + D_1 D_3;$$

$$X_0 := D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3;$$

$$X_1 := D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2;$$

$$T_0 := (G + D_2 - D_0)E_0 + (G - D_2 + D_0)\overline{E}_0 + (G + D_1 - D_3)E_1 + (G - D_1 + D_3)\overline{E}_1;$$

$$T_1 := (G + D_3 - D_1)E_0 + (G - D_3 + D_1)\overline{E}_0 + (G + D_2 - D_0)E_1 + (G - D_2 + D_0)\overline{E}_1;$$

$$T_2 := (G + D_0 - D_2)E_0 + (G - D_0 + D_2)\overline{E}_0 + (G + D_3 - D_1)E_1 + (G - D_3 + D_1)\overline{E}_1;$$

$$T_3 := (G + D_1 - D_3)E_0 + (G - D_1 + D_3)\overline{E}_0 + (G + D_0 - D_2)E_1 + (G - D_0 + D_2)\overline{E}_1$$

throughout this section.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose \mathcal{D} is symmetric and of type H . Then \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2) if and only if \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3) and (d4).*

PROOF. Suppose \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2). Then $X_0 = E_0 - \overline{E}_0$, $X_1 = E_1 - \overline{E}_1$, and the set of $E_0, E_1, \overline{E}_0, \overline{E}_1$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* . It is clear that \mathcal{D} satisfies (d4). Observe that all D_i 's are symmetric. Hence,

$$(2.1) \quad 4W = 2 \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 - X_0^2 - X_1^2 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 - (E_0 - \overline{E}_0)^2 - (E_1 - \overline{E}_1)^2.$$

By assumption, \mathcal{D} is of type H , therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) \cdot 0_G + \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| \right) G^*.$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (E_0 - \overline{E}_0)^2 + (E_1 - \overline{E}_1)^2 &= \sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E}_i^2) - 2 \sum_{i=0,1} E_i \overline{E}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E}_i^2) + \left(\sum_{i=0,1} (E_i + \overline{E}_i)^2 - \sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E}_i^2) \right) \\ &= 2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G - 2G^*. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), it is easy to check that (d3) is satisfied.

Conversely, we assume \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3) and (d4). By (d3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=0,1} X_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 - 4W = (2|G| - 2) \cdot 0_G - 2G^*.$$

We consider the coefficients a_x, b_x of any $x \in G$ in $D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3$ and $D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2$ respectively. By condition (d4), if $x = 0_G$, $a_x = b_x = 0$. If $x \neq 0_G$, then $a_x = \pm 1$ and $b_x = \pm 1$. Thus, there are symmetric subsets E_0, E_1 of G^* such that $X = E_0 - \overline{E_0}$ and $X_1 = E_1 - \overline{E_1}$. Furthermore, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=0,1} X_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E_i}^2) - 2 \cdot 0_G - 2(|G| - 2)G.$$

Then, combining (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E_i}^2) = (2|G| - 2) \cdot 0_G + (|G| - 3)G^*.$$

Therefore, the set of $E_0, E_1, \overline{E_0}, \overline{E_1}$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* in G . \square

LEMMA 2.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d2) in G . Then*

$$T_0 = T_1 = (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 1)G^* \text{ and } T_2 = T_3 = 3(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 3)G^*.$$

PROOF. The proof for obtaining all T_i 's are similar. Here, we just work with T_0 . By (d2), we see that

$$D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3 = E_0 - \overline{E_0} \text{ and } D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2 = E_1 - \overline{E_1}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} T_0 &= (|E_0| + |E_1| + |\overline{E_0}| + |\overline{E_1}|)G + (D_2 - D_0)(E_0 - \overline{E_0}) + (D_1 - D_3)(E_1 - \overline{E_1}) \\ &= 2(|G| - 1)G - (D_0 - D_2)(D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3) - (D_3 - D_1)(D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2) \\ &= 2(|G| - 1)G + 2W - \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 = (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 1)G^*. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality in (2.6) follows from Proposition 2.3 and the assumption that \mathcal{D} is of type H . \square

It turns out that a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d2) can be constructed using a family of symmetric subsets that satisfies certain conditions.

DEFINITION 2.5. *We say that a family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ of symmetric subsets of G is a **building family** if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a1) $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$;
- (a2) $\bigcup_{i=0}^7 A_i = G \setminus \{0_G\}$;

- (a3) the set of $\bigcup_{i=0,1,6,7} A_i$, $\bigcup_{i=2,3,4,5} A_i$, $\bigcup_{i=0,3,5,6} A_i$, $\bigcup_{i=1,2,4,7} A_i$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* in G ;
- (a4) $\sum_{i=0}^7 A_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^7 A_i A_{i+4} = (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i - \sum_{i=4}^7 A_i$, where the subscript of A_{i+4} is reduced modulo 8.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Define

$$A_0 = \{(0, 1), (0, 2)\}, A_1 = \{(1, 0), (2, 0)\}, A_2 = \{(2, 1), (1, 2)\}, A_3 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2)\}$$

and $A_4 = A_5 = A_6 = A_7 = \emptyset$. Then, the family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ is a building family.

Davis and Jedwab [3] defined the notion of covering extended building sets in an abelian group and they are used to construct a difference set. Here, a building family in G turns out to be a key component in our construction of symmetric difference family of type H .

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{D_0, D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ be families of symmetric subsets in G such that

$$D_0 = A_4 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3, D_1 = A_5 \cup A_0 \cup A_2 \cup A_3, D_2 = A_6 \cup A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_3, D_3 = A_7 \cup A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a building family if and only if \mathcal{D} is a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d1) and (d2).

PROOF. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} be as defined above. First, we prove two claims.

Claim 1. Suppose \mathcal{A} satisfies (a2). Then $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ forms a symmetric difference family of type H if and only if (a4) is satisfied.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 &= \sum_{i=4}^7 A_i^2 + 3 \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=0;i \neq j}^3 A_i A_j + 2 \sum_{i,j=0;i \neq j}^3 A_i A_{j+4} \\ (2.7) \quad &= \sum_{i=0}^7 A_i^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 A_i \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=0;i \neq j}^3 A_i A_{j+4}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & \left[\sum_{i=0}^7 A_i A_{i+4} + (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i - \sum_{i=4}^7 A_i \right] + 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 A_i \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=0;i \neq j}^3 A_i A_{j+4} \\ &= (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i - \sum_{i=4}^7 A_i + 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 A_i \right) G^* \\ &= (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |A_i| \right) G - \sum_{i=0}^7 A_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) \cdot 0_G + \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| \right) G^* \end{aligned}$$

since \mathcal{A} satisfies (a1), (a2) and $\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^3 |A_i|$.

Now, by comparing the two sums (2.7) and (2.8), it is then easy to see that \mathcal{D} is a symmetric difference family of type H if and only if (a4) is satisfied.

Claim 2. Suppose \mathcal{A} satisfies (a1) and (a2). Then (a3) holds for \mathcal{A} if and only if \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2).

By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3 &= \sum_{i=2,3,4,5} A_i - \sum_{i=0,1,6,7} A_i, \\ D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2 &= \sum_{i=1,2,4,7} A_i - \sum_{i=0,3,5,6} A_i. \end{aligned}$$

As \mathcal{A} satisfies (a1) and (a2), we may write

$$E_0 = \bigcup_{i=2,3,4,5} A_i \text{ and } E_1 = \bigcup_{i=1,2,4,7} A_i.$$

Our claim is now clear.

Now, assume \mathcal{A} is a building family. By Claims 1 and 2, \mathcal{D} is a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d2). Finally, (d1) follows easily from (a2).

Conversely, we assume $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H in G satisfying (d1) and (d2). By Claims 1 and 2, it suffices to show that (a1) and (a2) hold. Note that

$$A_i = \bigcap_{j=0:j \neq i}^3 D_j, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$A_i = \bigcap_{j=0:j \neq i-4}^3 (G^* \setminus D_j), \quad i = 4, 5, 6, 7.$$

It is clear that

$$A_i \cap A_j = \bigcap_{h=0}^3 D_h = \emptyset, \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, i \neq j,$$

$$A_i \cap A_j = \bigcap_{h=0}^3 (G^* \setminus D_h) = G^* \setminus \bigcup_{h=0}^3 D_h = \emptyset, \quad i, j = 4, 5, 6, 7, i \neq j,$$

and

$$A_i \cap A_j = \left(G \setminus \bigcup_{h=0:h \neq i}^3 D_h \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{h=0:h \neq j}^3 D_h \right) = \emptyset, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, j = 4, 5, 6, 7.$$

Hence, (a1) holds. Next, for any $x \in G^*$, the coefficient of x in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ is either 1 or 3 as (d2) is satisfied. If the coefficient of x in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ is 1, then $x \in \bigcup_{i=4}^7 A_i$. On the other hand, if the coefficient of x in $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i$ is 3, then $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^3 A_i$. Hence, $\bigcup_{i=0}^7 A_i = G^*$ and (a2) is satisfied. \square

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i = 0, \dots, 7\}$ be a building family of an abelian group G . Then,*

$$U := (A_0 - A_4)(A_6 - A_2) + (A_1 - A_5)(A_7 - A_3) = -\sum_{i=0}^3 A_i.$$

PROOF. We continue with our notations used in Proposition 2.7. Then, we have

$$X_0 = E_0 - \overline{E_0} = \sum_{i=0,1,6,7} A_i - \sum_{i=2,3,4,5} A_i \text{ and } X_1 = E_1 - \overline{E_1} = \sum_{i=0,3,5,6} A_i - \sum_{i=1,2,4,7} A_i.$$

By Proposition 2.7, \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2). Hence, by (2.2), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i=0,1} X_i^2 = 2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G - 2G^*.$$

On the other hand, by expanding X_0^2 and X_1^2 , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0,1} X_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^7 A_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^7 A_i A_{i+4} + 4U.$$

Then, by (a4) and (a1), we get

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{i=0,1} X_i^2 = 2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + 2 \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i - 2 \sum_{i=4}^7 A_i + 4U = 2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + 4 \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i - 2G^* + 4U.$$

Hence, by (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain $U = -\sum_{i=0}^3 A_i$. \square

To recap, we have shown that if \mathcal{D} is a symmetric difference family of type H in G , then we have

- (a) \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2) if and only if it satisfies both (d3) and (d4).
- (b) \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2) if and only if \mathcal{A} defined in Proposition 2.7 is a building family.

As we will show in the next section, condition (d3) and the notion of building family play a very important role in constructing difference families from a group G to a group $G \times G'$.

3. Constructing difference families in $G \times G'$ from difference families in G, G'

In this section, we exploit a similar idea used in Polhill's paper to construct difference families of type H_4^* in $G \times G'$ for some suitable group G' . Our constructions are based on the existence of difference families in G that satisfy certain conditions. First, we consider difference families of type H in G that satisfy (d3).

EXAMPLE 3.1. (a) *Let $v = 13$. Define*

$$D_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9\}, D_1 = \{1, 12, 3, 4, 9, 10\}, D_2 = \{0, 2, 5, 6\}, D_3 = \{0, 1, 3, 9\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a difference family of type H in \mathbb{Z}_{13} that satisfies (d3).

(b) Let $v = 37$ and ω be a suitable primitive element in \mathbb{F}_{37} , define

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \{0\} \cup \{\omega^{i+12j} : i \in \{0, 3, 7, 8, 10\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}, \\ D_1 &= \{\omega^{i+12j} : i \in \{0, 1, 7, 8, 10\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}, \\ D_2 &= \{0\} \cup \{\omega^{i+12j} : i \in \{0, 1, 5, 7, 9\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}, \\ D_3 &= \{\omega^{i+12j} : i \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a difference family of type H in \mathbb{Z}_{37} that satisfies (d3).

Note that in both examples above, the difference family \mathcal{D} constructed is not symmetric.

For convenience, we will abuse the usage of \times in $\mathbb{Z}[G \times G']$. For any $X = \sum a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ and $Y = \sum b_h h \in \mathbb{Z}[G']$, we define $X \times Y = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G'} a_g b_h (g, h) \in \mathbb{Z}[G \times G']$. Clearly, for any subsets X_1, X_2 of G and Y_1, Y_2 of G' , $X_1 \times Y_1$ and $X_2 \times Y_2$ are subsets in $G \times G'$. In $\mathbb{Z}[G \times G']$,

$$(X_1 \times Y_1) \cdot (X_2 \times Y_2) = (X_1 \cdot X_2) \times (Y_1 \cdot Y_2).$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a difference family of type H in an abelian group G that satisfies (d3). If there exists a difference family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ of type H_4^* in an abelian group G satisfying (c1), then there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

PROOF. Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= (D_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (D_2^c \times \{1\}), \\ B_1 &= (D_1 \times \{0\}) \cup (D_3^c \times \{1\}), \\ B_2 &= (S_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (S_2 \times \{1\}), \\ B_3 &= (S_1 \times \{0\}) \cup (S_3 \times \{1\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i B_i^{(-1)} = X \times 0 + Y \times 1$ where

$$X = \left(\sum_{i=0,1} D_i D_i^{(-1)} + \sum_{i=2,3} D_i^c D_i^{c(-1)} + \sum_{i=0}^3 S_i S_i^{(-1)} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= 2(|D_0| + |D_1|)G - D_2 D_0^{(-1)} - D_0 D_2^{(-1)} - D_3 D_1^{(-1)} - D_1 D_3^{(-1)} \\ &\quad + S_0 S_2^{(-1)} + S_2 S_0^{(-1)} + S_1 S_3^{(-1)} + S_3 S_1^{(-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

As \mathcal{D} is a type H difference family,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,1} D_i D_i^{(-1)} + \sum_{i=2,3} D_i^c D_i^{c(-1)} &= \sum_{i=0}^3 D_i D_i^{(-1)} + 2(|G| - |D_2| - |D_3|)G \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| + |G| - 2|D_2| - 2|D_3| \right) G + |G| \cdot 0_G. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{S} is of type H_4^* ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 S_i S_i^{(-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |S_i| - |G| - 1 \right) G + (|G| + 1) \cdot 0_G.$$

Hence,

$$X = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 (|D_i| + |S_i|) - 2|D_2| - 2|D_3| - 1 \right) G + (2|G| + 1) \cdot 0_G.$$

Furthermore, as \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3) and \mathcal{S} satisfies (c1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= 2(|D_0| + |D_1|)G - \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1 \right) G + \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |S_i| - |G| \right) G \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 (|D_i| + |S_i|) - 1 - 2|D_2| - 2|D_3| \right) G. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 |B_i| - |G \times \mathbb{Z}_2| - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^3 (|D_i| + |S_i|) - 2|D_2| - 2|D_3| - 1.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. \square

In order to apply the above result, we need a difference family of type H_4^* satisfying (c1). One easy way to construct such families is by using Paley type partial difference sets.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let P be a Paley type partial difference set in G . Then the family*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{P, P \cup \{0_G\}, P \cup \{0_G\}, G \setminus P\}$$

is a difference family of type H_4^ that satisfies (c1).*

In particular, there is a difference family of type H_4^* satisfying (c1) for $|G| = 13, 37$. At the same time, we have shown in Example 3.1 that there exist difference families of type H that satisfy (d3). We have thus shown the following:

PROPOSITION 3.4. *There exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $\mathbb{Z}_v \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $v = 13, 37$.*

Next, we consider the case when $G' = \mathbb{Z}_3$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H in an abelian group G satisfying (d3). If there exists a difference family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i : i = 0, 1\}$ of type H_2^* in an abelian group G . Then, there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_3$.*

PROOF. Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= (D_0 \times \{1\}) \cup (D_2^c \times \{2\}) \cup (S_0 \times \{0\}), \\ B_1 &= (D_3 \times \{1\}) \cup (D_1^c \times \{2\}) \cup (S_1 \times \{0\}), \\ B_2 &= (D_0^c \times \{1\}) \cup (D_2 \times \{2\}) \cup (S_0 \times \{0\}), \\ B_3 &= (D_3^c \times \{1\}) \cup (D_1 \times \{2\}) \cup (S_1 \times \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the D_i 's are symmetric, it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^3 B_i B_i^{(-1)} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 (D_i^2 + D_i^{c2}) + 2 \sum_{i=0,1} S_i S_i^{(-1)} \right) \times \{0\} \\ &\quad + (D_2^c D_0 + D_0^c D_2 + D_1^c D_3 + D_3^c D_1) \times \{1, 2\} \\ &\quad + (S_0^{(-1)}(D_0 + D_0^c) + S_1^{(-1)}(D_3 + D_3^c) + S_0(D_2 + D_2^c) + S_1(D_1 + D_1^c)) \times \{1\} \\ &\quad + (S_0(D_0 + D_0^c) + S_1(D_3 + D_3^c) + S_0^{(-1)}(D_2 + D_2^c) + S_1^{(-1)}(D_1 + D_1^c)) \times \{2\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the assumptions for \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 (D_i^2 + D_i^{c2}) + 2 \sum_{i=0,1} S_i S_i^{(-1)} = \left(2 \sum_{i=0,1} |S_i| + |G| - 1 \right) G^* + \left(4|G| + 2 \sum_{i=0,1} |S_i| \right) \cdot 0_G.$$

Furthermore, as \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3), we have

$$D_2^c D_0 + D_0^c D_2 + D_1^c D_3 + D_3^c D_1 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) G - \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1 \right) G = (|G| - 1)G.$$

Finally, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_0^{(-1)}(D_0 + D_0^c) + S_1^{(-1)}(D_3 + D_3^c) + S_0(D_2 + D_2^c) + S_1(D_1 + D_1^c) \\
&= S_0(D_0 + D_0^c) + S_1(D_3 + D_3^c) + S_0^{(-1)}(D_2 + D_2^c) + S_1^{(-1)}(D_1 + D_1^c) = 2\left(\sum_{i=0,1} |S_i|\right)G.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i B_i^{(-1)} = (4|G| + 2 \sum_{i=0,1} |S_i|) \cdot 0_{G \times \mathbb{Z}_3} + (2 \sum_{i=0,1} |S_i| + |G| - 1)(G \times \mathbb{Z}_3)^*.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Unfortunately, condition (d3) is not sufficient to deal with other G' . When $G' = \mathbb{Z}_5$, we need a stronger condition on \mathcal{D} .

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H in an abelian group G satisfying (d2) and (d5). Then, there exists a difference family of type H_4^* in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_5$.*

PROOF. Let $I_0 = \{1, 2\}$, $I_1 = \{3, 4\}$, $I_2 = \{2, 4\}$, and $I_3 = \{1, 3\}$. Furthermore, we let E_0, E_1 be as defined in condition (d2). We define

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 &= (D_0 \times I_0) \cup (D_2^c \times I_1) \cup (\overline{E}_0 \times \{0\}), \\
B_1 &= (D_3 \times I_0) \cup (D_1^c \times I_1) \cup (\overline{E}_1 \times \{0\}), \\
B_2 &= (D_0^c \times I_2) \cup (D_2 \times I_3) \cup (E_0 \times \{0\}), \\
B_3 &= (D_3^c \times I_2) \cup (D_1 \times I_3) \cup (E_1 \times \{0\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that D_i 's and E_i 's are symmetric. For convenience, we write

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i B_i^{(-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^6 X_i \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= (D_0^2 + D_3^2) \times (I_0 I_0^{(-1)} + I_2 I_2^{(-1)}) + (D_1^2 + D_2^2) \times (I_1 I_1^{(-1)} + I_3 I_3^{(-1)}) \\
X_2 &= ((|D_0| + |D_3|)G - D_0 D_2 - D_1 D_3) \times (I_0 I_1^{(-1)} + I_1 I_0^{(-1)}) \\
X_3 &= ((|D_1| + |D_2|)G - D_0 D_2 - D_1 D_3) \times (I_2 I_3^{(-1)} + I_3 I_2^{(-1)}) \\
X_4 &= \left(2|G| - 2 \sum_{i=1,2} |D_i|\right)G \times I_1 I_1^{(-1)} + \left(2|G| - 2 \sum_{i=0,3} |D_i|\right)G \times I_2 I_2^{(-1)} \\
X_5 &= [\overline{E}_0(D_0 + D_2^c) + \overline{E}_1(D_3 + D_1^c) + E_0(D_2 + D_0^c) + E_1(D_1 + D_3^c)] \times \mathbb{Z}_5^* \\
X_6 &= \sum_{i=0,1} (E_i^2 + \overline{E}_i^2) \times 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $I_0I_0^{(-1)} + I_2I_2^{(-1)} = I_1I_1^{(-1)} + I_3I_3^{(-1)} = 4 \cdot 0 + \mathbb{Z}_5^*$ and \mathcal{D} forms a difference family of type H ,

$$X_1 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2 \right) \times (4 \cdot 0 + \mathbb{Z}_5^*) = \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) \cdot 0_G + \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| \right) G^* \right] \times (4 \cdot 0 + \mathbb{Z}_5^*).$$

Note that $|D_0| + |D_3| = |D_1| + |D_2|$, and $I_0I_1^{(-1)} + I_1I_0^{(-1)} + I_2I_3^{(-1)} + I_3I_2^{(-1)} = 4\mathbb{Z}_5^*$. Moreover, \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3) by Proposition 2.3. Hence,

$$2(D_0D_2 + D_1D_3) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1 \right) G.$$

Therefore,

$$X_2 + X_3 = \frac{1}{2}(|G| - 1)G \times (I_2I_3^{(-1)} + I_3I_2^{(-1)}) = 2(|G| - 1)(G \times \mathbb{Z}_5^*).$$

Again, as $|D_0| + |D_3| = |D_1| + |D_2|$,

$$2|G| - 2 \sum_{i=1,2} |D_i| = 2|G| - 2 \sum_{i=0,3} |D_i| = 2|G| - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|.$$

Therefore,

$$X_4 = \left(2|G| - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) G \times (I_1I_1^{(-1)} + I_2I_2^{(-1)}) = \left(2|G| - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| \right) G \times (4 \cdot 0 + \mathbb{Z}_5^*).$$

For X_5 , since \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2), it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\overline{E}_0(D_0 + D_2^c) + \overline{E}_1(D_3 + D_1^c) + E_0(D_2 + D_0^c) + E_1(D_1 + D_3^c) = T_0 = (|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 1)G^*.$$

Therefore,

$$X_5 = [(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 1)G^*] \times \mathbb{Z}_5^*.$$

Finally, as $E_0, E_1, \overline{E}_0, \overline{E}_2$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* , we get

$$X_6 = 2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (|G| - 3)(G^* \times \mathbb{Z}_5^*).$$

Summing up, we obtain $\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i B_i^{(-1)} = (10|G| - 2) \cdot 0_{G \times \mathbb{Z}_5} + (5|G| - 3)(G \times \mathbb{Z}_5)^*$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

It seems difficult to generalize the above constructions for other G' . However, instead of constructing symmetric difference families of type H_4^* , it is possible to construct symmetric difference families of type H_8^* under stronger assumptions. In the previous examples, we simply make use of the sets D_i or D_i^c . But in the next construction, we need to make use of a building family in G' .

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family in an abelian group G satisfying the (d2). If there exists a building family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ in an abelian group G' , then, there exists a symmetric difference family of type H_8^* in $G \times G'$.*

Proof. As \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2), we let E_0, E_1 be as defined in (d2). We define

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 &= (D_0 \times B_0) \cup (D_1 \times B_1) \cup (D_2^c \times B_2) \cup (D_3^c \times B_3) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_4) \cup (D_1^c \times B_5) \cup (D_2 \times B_6) \cup (D_3 \times B_7) \cup (\overline{E_0} \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_1 &= (D_0 \times B_1) \cup (D_1 \times B_4) \cup (D_2^c \times B_3) \cup (D_3^c \times B_6) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_5) \cup (D_1^c \times B_0) \cup (D_2 \times B_7) \cup (D_3 \times B_2) \cup (\overline{E_1} \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_2 &= (D_0 \times B_2) \cup (D_1 \times B_3) \cup (D_2^c \times B_0) \cup (D_3^c \times B_1) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_6) \cup (D_1^c \times B_7) \cup (D_2 \times B_4) \cup (D_3 \times B_5) \cup (\overline{E_0} \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_3 &= (D_0 \times B_3) \cup (D_1 \times B_6) \cup (D_2^c \times B_1) \cup (D_3^c \times B_4) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_7) \cup (D_1^c \times B_2) \cup (D_2 \times B_5) \cup (D_3 \times B_0) \cup (\overline{E_1} \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_4 &= (D_0 \times B_4) \cup (D_1 \times B_5) \cup (D_2^c \times B_6) \cup (D_3^c \times B_7) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_0) \cup (D_1^c \times B_1) \cup (D_2 \times B_2) \cup (D_3 \times B_3) \cup (E_0 \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_5 &= (D_0 \times B_5) \cup (D_1 \times B_0) \cup (D_2^c \times B_7) \cup (D_3^c \times B_2) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_1) \cup (D_1^c \times B_4) \cup (D_2 \times B_3) \cup (D_3 \times B_6) \cup (E_1 \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_6 &= (D_0 \times B_6) \cup (D_1 \times B_7) \cup (D_2^c \times B_4) \cup (D_3^c \times B_5) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_2) \cup (D_1^c \times B_3) \cup (D_2 \times B_0) \cup (D_3 \times B_1) \cup (E_0 \times \{0_{G'}\}), \\
C_7 &= (D_0 \times B_7) \cup (D_1 \times B_2) \cup (D_2^c \times B_5) \cup (D_3^c \times B_0) \\
&\quad \cup (D_0^c \times B_3) \cup (D_1^c \times B_6) \cup (D_2 \times B_1) \cup (D_3 \times B_4) \cup (E_1 \times \{0_{G'}\}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that each C_i is symmetric. We now prove that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^7 C_i^2 = 4(|G| \cdot |G'| - 1) \cdot 0_{G \times G'} + 2(|G| \cdot |G'| - 3)(G \times G')^*.$$

To simplify notations, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{i=0}^7 B_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^7 B_i B_{i+4}, \\
Q &= \left(\sum_{i=2,3,4,5} B_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0,1,6,7} B_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=0,3,5,6} B_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1,2,4,7} B_i \right), \\
R &= \left(\sum_{i=2,3,4,5} B_i \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=0,1,6,7} B_i \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=0,3,5,6} B_i \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1,2,4,7} B_i \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

As before, we let W, T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 be as defined in Section 2 and

$$U := (B_0 - B_4)(B_6 - B_2) + (B_1 - B_5)(B_7 - B_3).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^7 B_i^2 &= 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2\right) \times P + 8W \times U + 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|\right)G \times Q \\ &\quad + (2|G| - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|)G \times R + 2\left(\sum_{i=0,1} E_i^2 + \sum_{i=0,1} \overline{E}_i^2\right) \times 0_{G'} \\ &\quad + 2[T_0 \times (B_0 + B_2) + T_1 \times (B_1 + B_3) + T_2 \times (B_4 + B_6) + T_3 \times (B_5 + B_7)]. \end{aligned}$$

By (a4), we have

$$2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i^2\right) \times P = [2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|\right) \cdot 0_G + 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G|\right)G^*] \times [(|G'| - 1) \cdot 0_{G'} + \sum_{i=0}^3 B_i - \sum_{i=4}^7 B_i].$$

Next, by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$8W \times U = -4\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1\right)G \times \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i\right).$$

Furthermore, by (a3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|\right)G \times Q + \left(2v - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|\right)G \times R \\ &= 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| + |G|(|G'| - 3)\right)G \times (G')^* + 2(|G'| - 1)\left(2|G| - \sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i|\right)(G \times 0_{G'}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (d2),

$$2\left[\sum_{i=0,1} E_i^2 + \sum_{i=0,1} \overline{E}_i^2\right] \times 0_{G'} = [4(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + 2(|G| - 3)G^*] \times 0_{G'}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2[T_0 \times (B_0 + B_2) + T_1 \times (B_1 + B_3) + T_2 \times (B_4 + B_6) + T_3 \times (B_5 + B_7)] \\ &= [(2(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 1)G^*) \times \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i\right) + 2[3(|G| - 1) \cdot 0_G + (2|G| - 3)G^*] \times \left(\sum_{i=4}^7 B_i\right)]. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we obtain (3.1). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

In view of Theorems 3.2, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7, we have then completed the proof of Theorem 1.8.

4. Symmetric difference families of type H that satisfy (d1) and (d2)

To apply the constructions we obtain in the previous section, it remains to find groups that contain symmetric difference families of type H satisfying (d1) and (d2). In [15], the authors constructed difference families of type H in $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +)$ for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Our first result is to show that those difference families actually satisfy (d1) and (d2). Let us recall the construction.

Let $q = 4m + 1$ be a prime power and let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Let N be a divisor of $q^2 - 1$. We define

$$C_i^{(N, q^2)} = \omega^i \langle \omega^N \rangle, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

and

$$D_0 := \left(\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{u=1}^3 C_{4\ell+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^m C_{4j-2}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right), \quad D_i = \omega^{(2m+1)i} D_0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It has been shown in [15] that $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ forms a difference family of type H in $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +)$. It is clear that each D_i is symmetric and $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 D_i$. Therefore, (d1) is satisfied. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 + D_1 - D_2 - D_3 &= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u=2,3} C_{4\ell+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} + \sum_{\ell=0}^m \sum_{u=0,1} C_{4j-2+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u=0,1} C_{4\ell+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{u=2,3} C_{4j-2+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right) \\ &= (C_2^{(4, q^2)} + C_{2m+3}^{(4, q^2)}) - (C_0^{(4, q^2)} + C_{2m+1}^{(4, q^2)}), \\ D_0 + D_3 - D_1 - D_2 &= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u=1,2} C_{4\ell+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{u=0,3} C_{4j-2+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u=0,3} C_{4\ell+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{u=1,2} C_{4j-2+(2m+1)u}^{(8m+4, q^2)} \right) \\ &= (C_2^{(4, q^2)} + C_{2m+1}^{(4, q^2)}) - (C_0^{(4, q^2)} + C_{2m+3}^{(4, q^2)}). \end{aligned}$$

It is known (cf. [23]) that the set of $E_0 = C_2^{(4, q^2)} \cup C_{2m+3}^{(4, q^2)}$, $\overline{E}_0 = C_0^{(4, q^2)} \cup C_{2m+1}^{(4, q^2)}$, $E_1 = C_2^{(4, q^2)} \cup C_{2m+1}^{(4, q^2)}$, $\overline{E}_1 = C_0^{(4, q^2)} \cup C_{2m+3}^{(4, q^2)}$ forms a difference family of type H_4^* in $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +)$. Hence, \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2). Note that all $|D_i|$'s are equal. Therefore, (d5) is satisfied. As \mathcal{D} is also symmetric, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that (d3) and (d4) are also satisfied. We have thus proved the following:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime power. Then, there exists a symmetric difference family of type H in $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +)$ that satisfies (d1)-(d5).*

Other constructions of symmetric difference family \mathcal{D} of type H in $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^4, +)$ are found in [2, 14, 20, 22].

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $G = (\mathbb{F}_9, +)$ or $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^4, +)$ where q is an odd prime power. There exists a symmetric difference family \mathcal{D} of type H in G such that the following conditions are satisfied.*

(i) For any $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $|D_i| = \frac{|G| - \sqrt{|G|}}{2}$.

- (ii) For any nontrivial character ψ of G , exactly one of the values $\psi(D_i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, is nonzero; and is equal to $\pm\sqrt{|G|}$.
- (iii) $H_0 + H_1 + H_4 + H_5 = G + 1 \cdot 0_G$ and $0_G \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 D_i$ or $0_G \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 D_i$ if we set

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= D_0 \cap D_1, H_1 = D_0^c \cap D_1^c, H_2 = D_0 \cap D_1^c, H_3 = D_0^c \cap D_1, \\ H_4 &= D_2 \cap D_3, H_5 = D_2^c \cap D_3^c, H_6 = D_2 \cap D_3^c, H_7 = D_2^c \cap D_3. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we may assume $0_G \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 D_i$ if $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^4, +)$ and $0_G \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 D_i$ if $G = (\mathbb{F}_9, +)$.

REMARK 4.3. (a) Note that by using conditions (i) and (ii) above and the Fourier transform, it is clear that \mathcal{D} satisfies (d3) as we have

$$2(D_0D_2 + D_1D_3) = (\sqrt{|G|} - 1)^2G = \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |D_i| - |G| + 1\right)G.$$

- (b) \mathcal{D} satisfies (d4) if condition (iii) is satisfied since $\sum_{i=0}^3 D_i = 2(H_0 + H_4) + H_2 + H_3 + H_6 + H_7 = 2(H_0 + H_4) + G^*$.
- (c) Therefore, by Proposition 2.3, \mathcal{D} satisfies (d2) if \mathcal{D} satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above.

In view of the above remark, we obtain another symmetric difference families of type H that satisfy (d2). However, we do not obtain new parameters from these families. To construct one with new parameters, we employ a standard technique.

Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i := i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ are symmetric difference families of type H in abelian groups G and N respectively. If both \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{F} satisfy conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 4.2, we then define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= H_1 \times F_0 + H_0 \times F_0^c + H_3 \times F_2 + H_2 \times F_2^c \\ B_1 &= H_1 \times F_1 + H_0 \times F_1^c + H_2 \times F_3 + H_3 \times F_3^c \\ B_2 &= H_6 \times F_0 + H_7 \times F_0^c + H_5 \times F_2 + H_4 \times F_2^c \\ B_3 &= H_7 \times F_1 + H_6 \times F_1^c + H_5 \times F_3 + H_4 \times F_3^c. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, \mathcal{B} satisfies condition (i). As shown in (cf. [7]), $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is indeed a symmetric difference family of type H in $G \times N$ satisfying condition (ii). We now check that

\mathcal{B} satisfies condition (iii) under the assumption that $0_N \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 F_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B_0 \cap B_1) + (B_0^c \cap B_1^c) + (B_2 \cap B_3) + (B_2^c \cap B_3^c) \\
&= H_1 \times (F_0 \cap F_1) + H_0 \times (F_0^c \cap F_1^c) + H_3 \times (F_2 \cap F_3) + H_2 \times (F_3 \cap F_2^c) \\
&\quad + H_1 \times (F_0^c \cap F_1^c) + H_0 \times (F_0 \cap F_1) + H_3 \times (F_2^c \cap F_3) + H_2 \times (F_3^c \cap F_2) \\
&\quad + H_6 \times (F_0 \cap F_1^c) + H_7 \times (F_0^c \cap F_1) + H_5 \times (F_2 \cap F_3) + H_4 \times (F_3^c \cap F_2^c) \\
&\quad + H_6 \times (F_0^c \cap F_1) + H_7 \times (F_0 \cap F_1^c) + H_5 \times (F_2^c \cap F_3^c) + H_4 \times (F_3 \cap F_2) \\
&= (H_0 + H_1) \times ((F_0 \cap F_1) \cup (F_0^c \cap F_1^c)) + (H_6 + H_7) \times ((F_0 \cap F_1^c) + (F_0^c \cap F_1)) \\
(4.1) \quad & + (H_2 + H_3) \times ((F_2^c \cap F_3) \cup (F_3^c \cap F_2)) + (H_4 + H_5) \times ((F_2 \cap F_3) + (F_3^c \cap F_2^c)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $H_0 + H_1 + H_4 + H_5 = G + 1 \cdot 0_G$ and $\sum_{i=0}^3 H_i = \sum_{i=4}^7 H_i = G$, we have

$$H_6 + H_7 = H_0 + H_1 - 1 \cdot 0_G \text{ and } H_2 + H_3 = H_4 + H_5 - 1 \cdot 0_G.$$

It then follows from (4.1) that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B_0 \cap B_1) \cup (B_0^c \cap B_1^c) \cup (B_2 \cap B_3) \cup (B_2^c \cap B_3^c) \\
&= (H_0 + H_1 + H_4 + H_5) \times N - 0_G \times ((F_2^c \cap F_3) \cup (F_3^c \cap F_2) \cup (F_0^c \cap F_1) \cup (F_1^c \cap F_0)) \\
&= (G + 1 \cdot 0_G) \times N - 0_G \times N^* = G \times N + 1 \cdot 0_{G \times N}
\end{aligned}$$

as $(F_2^c \cap F_3) \cup (F_3^c \cap F_2) \cup (F_0^c \cap F_1) \cup (F_1^c \cap F_0) = N^*$. Finally, we need to compute $\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i$. Observe that the coefficient of $0_{G \times N}$ in $\sum_{i=0}^3 B_i$ is zero if $0_G \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 D_i$ and four if $0_G \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 D_i$. That means $0_G \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 B_i$ or $0_G \in \bigcap_{i=0}^3 B_i$. Therefore, \mathcal{B} satisfies (iii).

By Remark 4.3, the symmetric difference family \mathcal{B} satisfies (d2). However, it is not clear if it satisfies (d1). But in view of Proposition 2.2, we conclude that either \mathcal{B} or $\mathcal{B}' = \{G \times N - B_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d1) and (d2). Finally, as \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' satisfy (i), (d5) holds. To summarize, we have shown the following:

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Suppose there exist symmetric difference families $\mathcal{D} = \{D_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ of type H satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in abelian groups G and N , respectively. Furthermore, we assume $0_N \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^3 F_i$. Then, there exists a symmetric difference family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ of type H satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in $G \times N$. Moreover, either \mathcal{B} or $\mathcal{B}' = \{G \setminus B_i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d1)-(d5).*

By applying Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 repeatedly, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s be distinct primes and $G = N \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}^{4t_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2}^{4t_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_s}^{4t_s}$, where $N = \{0\}$ or \mathbb{Z}_3^2 . Then, there exists a symmetric difference family of type H in G satisfying (d1)-(d5).*

5. Conclusion

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 &= \{q^2 : q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ is a prime power}\}, \\ \Phi_2 &= \{n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \cup \{9n^4 \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}, \\ \Phi_3 &= \{5\}, \Phi_4 = \{13, 37\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$, it follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.5 that there exists a symmetric difference family of type H that satisfies (d1)-(d5). For $v \in \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$, difference families constructed explicitly in Examples 2.1 and 3.1 satisfy (d3).

On the other hand, it is known that a Paley type partial difference set P exists in an abelian group of order v for any $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$ (see, e.g., [7]). By Lemma 3.3, there exists a difference family of type H_4^* satisfying (c1) in an abelian group of order v . Also, $\{P, \bar{P}\}$ is a difference family of type H_2^* . Therefore, by applying Theorems 3.2, 3.5 and 3.6, we obtain the following:

THEOREM 5.1. *There exists a difference family of type H_4^* in an abelian group of order $2v$ for any $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3 \cup \Phi_4$.*

THEOREM 5.2. *There exists a difference family of type H_4^* in an abelian group of order $3v$ for any $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*

THEOREM 5.3. *There exists a difference family of type H_4^* in an abelian group of order $5v$ for any $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*

Note that in Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, $v \notin \Phi_4$ as the family constructed in Example 3.1 is not symmetric. The next result is now obvious in view of Theorem 3.7.

THEOREM 5.4. *There exists a symmetric difference family of type H_8^* in an abelian group of order uv for any $u \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ and $v \in \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$.*

Finally, by using the Wallis-Whiteman array together with the difference families in Theorems 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, we obtain (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 1.9. By using the Kharaghani array together with the difference families in Theorem 5.4, we obtain (4) in Theorem 1.9.

References

- [1] B. Berndt, R. Evans, K. S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, Wiley, 1997.
- [2] Y. Q. Chen, On the existence of abelian Hadamard difference sets and a new family of difference sets, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **3** (1997), 234–256.
- [3] J. Davis, J. Jedwab, A Unifying Construction for Difference Sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **80** (1997), 13-78

- [4] J.-M. Goethals, J. J. Seidel, Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal, *Canad. J. Math.*, **19** (1967), 1001–1010.
- [5] H. Kharaghani, Arrays for orthogonal designs, *J. Combin. Des.*, **8** (2000), 166–173.
- [6] K. H. Leung, S. L. Ma, B. Schmidt, New Hadamard matrices of order $4p^2$ obtained from Jacobi sums of order 16, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **113** (2006), 822–838.
- [7] J. Polhill, Paley partial difference sets in groups of order n^4 and $9n^4$ for any odd $n > 1$, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **117** (2010), 1027–1036.
- [8] J. Seberry, *Orthogonal Designs –Hadamard Matrices, Quadratic Forms and Algebras*, Springer, 2017.
- [9] J. Seberry, M. Yamada, Products of Hadamard matrices, Williamson matrices and other orthogonal matrices using M -structures, *Proceedings of Combinatorics Conference*, Kobe, Japan, Nov.,1989.
- [10] T. Storer, *Cyclotomy and Difference Sets*, Markham Publishing Company, 1967.
- [11] R. J. Turyn, Hadamard matrices, Baumert-Hall units, four symbol sequences, pulse compression, and surface wave encoding, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **16** (1974), 313–333.
- [12] R. J. Turyn, A special class of Williamson matrices and difference sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **36** (1984), 111–115.
- [13] W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street, J. S. Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room Squares, Sum-Free Sets, Hadamard Matrices*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **292**, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [14] M.-Y. Xia, Some infinite classes of special Williamson matrices and difference sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **61** (1992), 230–242.
- [15] M.-Y. Xia, G. Liu, An infinite class of supplementary difference sets and Williamson matrices, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **58** (1991), 310–317.
- [16] M.-Y. Xia, G. Liu, On the class \mathcal{H}_1^* , *Acta Math. Sci.*, **15** (1995), 361–369.
- [17] M.-Y. Xia, T. B. Xia, Hadamard matrices constructed from supplementary difference sets in the class \mathcal{H}_1 , *J. Combin. Des.*, **2** (1994), 325–339.
- [18] M.-Y. Xia, T. B. Xia, A family of C -partitions and T -matrices, *J. Combin. Des.*, **7** (1999), 269–281.
- [19] M.-Y. Xia, T. B. Xia, J. Seberry, J. Wu, An infinite family of Goethals-Seidel arrays, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **145** (2005), 498–504.
- [20] R. M. Wilson, Q. Xiang, Constructions of Hadamard difference sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **77** (1997), 148–160.
- [21] Q. Xiang, Difference families from lines and half lines, *Europ. J. Combin.*, **19** (1998), 395–400.
- [22] Q. Xiang, Y. Q. Chen, On Xia’s construction of Hadamard difference sets, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **2** (1996), 87–95.
- [23] M. Yamada, Supplementary difference sets and Jacobi sums, *Discrete Math.*, **103** (1992), 75–90.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, KENT RIDGE, SINGAPORE 119260, REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

E-mail address: matlkh@nus.edu.sg

DIVISION OF NATURAL SCIENCE,, FACULTY OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY, 2-40-1 KUROKAMI, KUMAMOTO 860-8555, JAPAN

E-mail address: momihara@educ.kumamoto-u.ac.jp