# A class of non-weight modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras

Yumei Wang and Honglian Zhang\*

ABSTRACT. We construct and classify the free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0. Moreover, we show that the class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is nonexistent.

## 1. Introduction

In the 1960s, physicist Virasoro gave an important infinite dimensional Lie algebra–the Virasoro algebra with a basis  $\{L_n, C | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and the following relations

$$[L_n, L_m] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n} \frac{n^3 - n}{12}C, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$[L_n, C] = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is a universal central extension of the Witt algebra. The Virasoro algebra is closely related to string theory [17] and conformal field theory [15]. Additionally, the representation theory of the Virasoro algebra is widely applied in many branches of mathematics and physics, such as vertex algebras [7, 19] and quantum physics [10]. Furthermore, the generalizations of the Virasoro algebra are extensively studied, such as the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras [4, 16, 20], the Virasoro-like algebras [9, 14], the affine Virasoro algebras [8] and so on.

The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra is one of the natural generalizations of the Virasoro algebra, which was introduced by M. Henkel in [11] during his study of the free Schrödinger equations in non-equilibrium statistical physics. The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0 or  $\frac{1}{2}$  (c.f. [11], [13]) is an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a basis  $\{L_n, M_n, Y_p | n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{Z} + s\}$  and satisfying the following relations

$$[L_n, L_m] = (m - n)L_{m+n},$$
  

$$[L_n, Y_p] = (p - \frac{n}{2})Y_{p+n},$$
  

$$[L_n, M_m] = mM_{m+n},$$
  

$$[Y_p, Y_q] = (q - p)M_{p+q},$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B10, 17B65, 17B68.

Key words and phrases. the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, non-weight module, free module.

<sup>\*</sup> H. Zhang, Corresponding Author.

#### WANG AND ZHANG

$$[Y_p, M_m] = [M_n, M_m] = 0,$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z} + s$ . The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras play an important role in many fields of mathematics and physics. Furthermore, there were a number of works on the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras and their representations theory (see [4, 16, 20–22] ect.). Actually, the weight modules of the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras have been studied extensively. For instance, Li and Su studied the weight modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras in [16].

On the other hand, it is well known that the theory of non-weight modules has been extensively studied in the past few years. In [1], Batra and Mazorchuk gave a general setup for studying Whittaker modules, from which Nilsson considered to construct families of simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -modules. In [18], Nilsson determined and classified the free  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules of rank 1 over  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ , in which  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the standard Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ . Furthermore, the idea of Nilsson in [18] has been generalized and applied into many infinite dimensional algebras. The non-weight modules which are free of rank 1 over the Kac-Moody algebras and the classical Lie superalgebras were studied in [5] and [6] respectively. Moreover, such modules for the Virasoro algebra and its related algebras were investigated in [2] and [12]. In addition, Chen and Guo constructed the free modules of rank 1 and determined the simplicity over the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and the W(2, 2) algebra in [3].

Our goal of the present paper is to focus on the non-weight modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0 or  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We construct a class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ , denoted by  $\Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, we classify all such modules and obtain the main result of the present paper: Let  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ be the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0, if M is a free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ module of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ , then there exists some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $M \cong \Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$ . In addition, we prove that the class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$  is nonexistent.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we demonstrate the definition and properties of the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ . Besides, we construct a class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ . Section 3 is aimed to classify the free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ . In Section 4, we mainly prove the nonexistence of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some basic notations and useful results for our purpose. Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  stand for the set of all natural numbers, complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers, integers and nonzero integers, respectively. Note that we focus on the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0 in Section 2 and Section 3. The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{L_n, Y_n, M_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with the following relations

(2.1) 
$$[L_n, L_m] = (m-n)L_{m+n},$$

(2.2) 
$$[L_n, Y_m] = (m - \frac{n}{2})Y_{m+n},$$

$$(2.3) [L_n, M_m] = mM_{m+n},$$

(2.4) 
$$[Y_n, Y_m] = (m-n)M_{m+n},$$

(2.5) 
$$[Y_n, M_m] = [M_n, M_m] = 0,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

From the above relations (2.1)-(2.5), we obtain some important formulas that will be needed later.

**PROPOSITION** 2.1. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \ge 1$ , the following formulas hold:

(1) 
$$M_n L_0^i = (L_0 - n)^i M_n.$$
  
(2)  $M_n M_0^i = M_0^i M_n.$   
(3)  $M_n Y_0^i = Y_0^i M_n.$   
(4)  $Y_n L_0^i = (L_0 - n)^i Y_n.$   
(5)  $Y_n M_0^i = M_0^i Y_n.$   
(6)  $Y_n Y_0^i = Y_0^i Y_n - ni Y_0^{i-1} M_n.$   
(7)  $L_n L_0^i = (L_0 - n)^i L_n.$   
(8)  $L_n M_0^i = M_0^i L_n.$   
(9)  $L_n Y_0^i = Y_0^i L_n - \frac{ni}{2} Y_0^{i-1} Y_n + \frac{n^2 i (i-1)}{4} Y_0^{i-2} M_n.$ 

**PROOF.** Here we only check formula (6) and formula (9), others can be easily shown by induction on *i*. For formula (6), it is obvious for i = 1 according to  $Y_nY_0 = Y_0Y_n + [Y_n, Y_0] = Y_0Y_n - nM_n$ . Now assume that formula (6) holds for i = k - 1, that is,

$$Y_n Y_0^{k-1} = Y_0^{k-1} Y_n - (k-1)n Y_0^{k-2} M_n.$$

For i = k, by the inductive assumption we have

$$Y_n Y_0^k = Y_n Y_0^{k-1} Y_0$$
  
=  $Y_0^{k-1} Y_n Y_0 - (k-1)n Y_0^{k-2} M_n Y_0$   
=  $Y_0^k Y_n - n Y_0^{k-1} M_n - (k-1)n Y_0^{k-1} M_n$   
=  $Y_0^k Y_n - kn Y_0^{k-1} M_n$ ,

which implies that formula (6) holds.

For formula (9), we first have  $L_nY_0 = Y_0L_n + [L_n, Y_0] = Y_0L_n - \frac{n}{2}Y_n$ , formula (9) holds for i = 1. Next suppose that formula (9) holds for i = k - 1, one has

$$L_n Y_0^{k-1} = Y_0^{k-1} L_n - \frac{(k-1)n}{2} Y_0^{k-2} Y_n + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)n^2}{4} Y_0^{k-3} M_n.$$

For i = k, we immediately obtain that

$$\begin{split} L_n Y_0^k &= L_n Y_0^{k-1} Y_0 \\ &= Y_0^{k-1} L_n Y_0 - \frac{(k-1)n}{2} Y_0^{k-2} Y_n Y_0 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)n^2}{4} Y_0^{k-3} M_n Y_0 \\ &= Y_0^k L_n - \frac{n}{2} Y_0^{k-1} Y_n - \frac{(k-1)n}{2} Y_0^{k-1} Y_n + \frac{(k-1)n^2}{2} Y_0^{k-2} M_n \\ &+ \frac{(k-1)(k-2)n^2}{4} Y_0^{k-2} M_n \\ &= Y_0^k L_n - \frac{nk}{2} Y_0^{k-1} Y_n + \frac{k(k-1)n^2}{4} Y_0^{k-2} M_n. \end{split}$$

Therefore formula (9) holds.

**REMARK** 2.2. Note that these formulas in Proposition 2.1 also hold in the case of i = 0.

**DEFINITION** 2.3. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , define the action of a basis of the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$  on  $\Phi(\lambda, \alpha) := \mathbb{C}[s, t, v]$ , in which  $\mathbb{C}[s, t, v]$  is the polynomial algebra in three indeterminates s, t and v, as follows:

(2.6)  

$$L_m \cdot f(s,t,v) = \lambda^m \left( (s+m\alpha)f(s-m,t,v) - \frac{m}{2}v\frac{\partial f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v} + \frac{m^2}{4}t\frac{\partial^2 f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v^2} \right),$$

(2.7) 
$$M_m \cdot f(s,t,v) = \lambda^m t f(s-m,t,v),$$
  
(2.8)  $Y_m \cdot f(s,t,v) = \lambda^m \left( v f(s-m,t,v) - mt \frac{\partial f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v} \right),$ 

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f(s, t, v) \in \mathbb{C}[s, t, v]$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$  is a class of free modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$  under the action defined by (2.6)-(2.8).

**PROOF.** For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , according to (2.6), one has the following

$$\begin{split} L_n \cdot L_m \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ = & L_n \cdot \left[ \lambda^m \left( (s+m\alpha)f(s-m,t,v) - \frac{m}{2}v \frac{\partial f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v} + \frac{m^2}{4}t \frac{\partial^2 f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v^2} \right) \right] \\ = & \lambda^{m+n} \left\{ (s+n\alpha)(s-n+m\alpha) f(s-m-n,t,v) + \left[ -\frac{n}{2}v(s-n+m\alpha) \right. \\ & \left. -\frac{m}{2}(s+n\alpha)v + \frac{mn}{4}v \right] \frac{\partial f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \left[ \frac{n^2}{4}t(s-n+m\alpha) \right. \\ & \left. +\frac{mn}{4}v^2 - \frac{mn^2}{4}t + \frac{m^2}{4}(s+n\alpha)t \right] \frac{\partial^2 f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^2} \\ & \left. + \left[ -\frac{mn^2}{8}vt - \frac{m^2n}{8}vt \right] \frac{\partial^3 f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^3} \\ & \left. + \frac{m^2n^2}{16}t^2 \frac{\partial^4 f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^4} \right\}, \end{split}$$

5

which implies that

$$\begin{split} & L_n \cdot L_m \cdot f(s,t,v) - L_m \cdot L_n \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ &= \lambda^{m+n} \bigg\{ (m-n) \Big[ \big( s + (m+n)\alpha \big) f(s-m-n,t,v) - \frac{(m+n)}{2} v \frac{\partial f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v} \\ &+ \frac{(m+n)^2}{4} t \frac{\partial^2 f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^2} \Big] \bigg\} \\ &= (m-n) L_{m+n} \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ &= [L_n, L_m] \cdot f(s,t,v). \end{split}$$

By (2.6) and (2.8), one easily gets

$$L_{n} \cdot Y_{m} \cdot f(s,t,v)$$

$$= L_{n} \cdot \left[\lambda^{m} \left(vf(s-m,t,v) - mt\frac{\partial f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v}\right)\right]$$

$$= \lambda^{m+n} \left\{ \left((s+n\alpha)v - \frac{n}{2}v\right)f(s-m-n,t,v) + \left(-\frac{n}{2}v^{2} + \frac{n^{2}}{2}t - m(s+n\alpha)t\right) \right.$$

$$\frac{\partial f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}vt + \frac{mn}{2}vt\right)\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}$$

$$(2.9) \qquad -\frac{mn^{2}}{4}t^{2}\frac{\partial^{3}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{3}}\right\}.$$

Similarly, it immediately holds that

$$Y_{m} \cdot L_{n} \cdot f(s,t,v)$$

$$= Y_{m} \cdot \left[\lambda^{n} \left((s+n\alpha)f(s-n,t,v) - \frac{n}{2}v\frac{\partial f(s-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \frac{n^{2}}{4}t\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \lambda^{m+n} \left\{v(s-m+n\alpha)f(s-m-n,t,v) + \left(-mt(s-m+n\alpha) - \frac{n}{2}v^{2} + \frac{n}{2}mt\right) - \frac{\partial f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \left(\frac{n}{2}mtv + \frac{n^{2}}{4}vt\right)\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}\right\}$$

$$(2.10) \quad -\frac{n^{2}}{4}mt^{2}\frac{\partial^{3}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{3}}\right\}.$$

Subtracting (2.10) from (2.9) gives rise to

$$\begin{split} & L_n \cdot Y_m \cdot f(s,t,v) - Y_m \cdot L_n \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ &= \lambda^{m+n} \left\{ (m - \frac{n}{2}) \left[ vf(s - m - n,t,v) - (m + n)t \frac{\partial f(s - m - n,t,v)}{\partial v} \right] \right\} \\ &= (m - \frac{n}{2}) Y_{m+n} \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ &= [L_n,Y_m] \cdot f(s,t,v). \end{split}$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), one obtains

(2.11)  

$$L_{n} \cdot M_{m} \cdot f(s, t, v) = L_{n} \cdot \left[\lambda^{m} t f(s-m, t, v)\right]$$

$$= \lambda^{m+n} \left\{ (s+n\alpha) t f(s-m-n, t, v) - \frac{n}{2} v t \frac{\partial f(s-m-n, t, v)}{\partial v} + \frac{n^{2}}{4} t^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f(s-m-n, t, v)}{\partial v^{2}} \right\}.$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that

$$M_{m} \cdot L_{n} \cdot f(s,t,v)$$

$$= M_{m} \cdot \left[\lambda^{n} \left((s+n\alpha)f(s-n,t,v) - \frac{n}{2}v\frac{\partial f(s-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \frac{n^{2}}{4}t\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \lambda^{m+n} \left\{t(s-m+n\alpha)f(s-m-n,t,v) - \frac{n}{2}tv\frac{\partial f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v} + \frac{n^{2}}{4}t^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}\right\}.$$

$$(2.12) \qquad + \frac{n^{2}}{4}t^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}f(s-m-n,t,v)}{\partial v^{2}}\right\}.$$

Considering (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$L_n \cdot M_m \cdot f(s, t, v) - M_m \cdot L_n \cdot f(s, t, v)$$
$$= \lambda^{m+n} m t f(s - m - n, t, v)$$
$$= m M_{m+n} \cdot f(s, t, v)$$
$$= [L_n, M_m] \cdot f(s, t, v).$$

It follows from (2.8)

$$\begin{split} &Y_n \cdot Y_m \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ =& Y_n \cdot \left[ \lambda^m \left( v f(s-m,t,v) - mt \frac{\partial f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v} \right) \right] \\ =& \lambda^{m+n} \bigg\{ \left( v^2 - mt \right) f(s-m-n,t,v) + mnt \frac{\partial^2 f(s-m,t,v)}{\partial v^2} \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$Y_n \cdot Y_m \cdot f(s,t,v) - Y_m \cdot Y_n \cdot f(s,t,v)$$
  
= $\lambda^{m+n} (v^2 - mt - v^2 + nt) f(s - m - n, t, v)$   
= $(n - m)M_{m+n} \cdot f(s,t,v)$   
= $[Y_n, Y_m] \cdot f(s,t,v).$ 

Finally, due to (2.8) and (2.7), it is straightforward to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n \cdot M_m \cdot f(s,t,v) &- M_m \cdot Y_n \cdot f(s,t,v) \\ = & Y_n \cdot \left[ \lambda^m t f(s-m,t,v) \right] - M_m \cdot \left[ \lambda^n \left( v f(s-n,t,v) - nt \frac{\partial f(s-n,t,v)}{\partial v} \right) \right] \\ = & 0 = \left[ Y_n, M_m \right] \cdot f(s,t,v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_n \cdot M_m \cdot f(s, t, v) - M_m \cdot M_n \cdot f(s, t, v)$$
  
= $M_n \cdot (\lambda^m t f(s - m, t, v)) - M_m \cdot (\lambda^n t f(s - n, t, v))$   
= $0 = [M_n, M_m] \cdot f(s, t, v).$ 

This completes the proof.

**REMARK** 2.5. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$  is reducible over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0 as a consequence of Definition 2.3. In fact, it is effortless to get that  $t^i \mathbb{C}[s, t, v]$  is a submodule of  $\Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## **3.** Modules over $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$

In this section, we classify the free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ . Indeed, we get the following main result.

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$  be the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for s = 0, if there exists a free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -module of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(0)$ , denoted by M, then

$$M \cong \Phi(\lambda, \alpha)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first show several important lemmas.

LEMMA 3.2. Let M be a free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_0)$ -module of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(0)$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , assume that

$$L_m \cdot 1 = g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0),$$
  

$$M_m \cdot 1 = a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0),$$
  

$$Y_m \cdot 1 = p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0),$$

in which  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ ,  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in M$ , then  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$  and  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$  completely determine the action of  $L_m$ ,  $M_m$  and  $Y_m$ on M.

**PROOF.** Now take any  $u(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0} a_{i,j,k} L_0^i M_0^j Y_0^k \in M$  and according to formulas (7)-(9) in Proposition 2.1, one has

$$\begin{split} L_m \cdot u(L_0, M_0, Y_0) &= L_m \cdot \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} L_0^i M_0^j Y_0^k \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} (L_0 - m)^i M_0^j \left[ Y_0^k g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) - \frac{mk}{2} Y_0^{k-1} p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \right. \\ &+ \frac{k(k-1)m^2}{4} Y_0^{k-2} a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \right] \\ &= u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0) g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) - \frac{m}{2} \frac{\partial u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0)}{\partial Y_0} p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \\ &+ \frac{m^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0)}{\partial Y_0^2} a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0). \end{split}$$

By formulas (4)-(6) in Proposition 2.1, it is easy to deduce that

$$Y_m \cdot u(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = Y_m \cdot \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} L_0^i M_0^j Y_0^k$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} (L_0 - m)^i M_0^j \left[ Y_0^k p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) - mk Y_0^{k-1} a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \right]$$
  
= 
$$u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0) p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) - m \frac{\partial u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0)}{\partial Y_0} a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0).$$

Using formulas (1)-(3) in Proposition 2.1, one gets

(3.1)  
$$M_m \cdot u(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = M_m \cdot \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} L_0^i M_0^j Y_0^k$$
$$= \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} (L_0 - m)^i M_0^j Y_0^k a_m (L_0, M_0, Y_0)$$
$$= u(L_0 - m, M_0, Y_0) a_m (L_0, M_0, Y_0).$$

As a consequence, Lemma 3.2 holds.

LEMMA 3.3.  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , then  $M_m \cdot M = 0$  owing to (3.1). Now according to relation (2.3), one has

$$mM_0 \cdot M = [L_{-m}, M_m] \cdot M = 0.$$

It suggests that  $M_0 \cdot M = 0$ , hence  $a_0(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = 0$ , which is a contradiction because of  $a_0(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = M_0$ . Therefore, Lemma 3.3 holds.

LEMMA 3.4. For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0]$ .

**PROOF.** For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , now assume that  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{k_m} b_{m,i} L_0^i$ , in which  $b_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0]$ ,  $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b_{m,k_m} \neq 0$ . Due to relation (2.5) and Proposition 2.1, one obtains the following

$$0 = [M_n, M_m] \cdot 1$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=0}^{k_m} b_{m,i} (L_0 - n)^i \sum_{j=0}^{k_n} b_{n,j} L_0^j - \sum_{j=0}^{k_n} b_{n,j} (L_0 - m)^j \sum_{i=0}^{k_m} b_{m,i} L_0^i$   
=  $b_{m,k_m} b_{n,k_n} (mk_n - nk_m) L_0^{k_m + k_n - 1} \pmod{\underset{i=0}{\overset{k_m + k_n - 2}{\bigoplus}} \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0] L_0^i),$ 

yielding that  $mk_n - nk_m = 0$ , thus  $k_m = mk_1$ . If  $k_1 > 0$ , then it is nonsense for  $k_m$  when m is negative, which forces  $k_1 = 0$ , hence  $k_m = 0$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , therefore  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0]$ .

LEMMA 3.5. For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0]$  and  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ .

**PROOF.** For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , according to Lemma 3.4 assume that  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} l_{m,i} Y_0^i$ , where  $l_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ ,  $h_m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l_{m,h_m} \neq 0$ . Moreover, let  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_m} d_{m,i} L_0^i$ , in which  $d_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, M_0]$ ,  $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d_{m,t_m} \neq 0$ . Applying relation (2.5) and Proposition 2.1, one has

$$0 = [Y_n, M_m] \cdot 1$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{h_m} l_{m,i} \left( Y_0^i \sum_{j=0}^{t_n} d_{n,j} L_0^j - ni Y_0^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{h_n} l_{n,j} Y_0^j \right)$$

$$(3.2) \qquad -\sum_{j=0}^{t_n} d_{n,j} (L_0 - m)^j \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} l_{m,i} Y_0^i \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} \sum_{j=0}^{t_n} l_{m,i} d_{n,j} L_0^j Y_0^i - \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} \sum_{j=0}^{h_n} l_{m,i} l_{n,j} n i Y_0^{i-1} Y_0^j \\ - \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} \sum_{j=0}^{t_n} l_{m,i} d_{n,j} (L_0 - m)^j Y_0^i.$$

Observing all terms of  $L_0$  in (3.2), one knows that  $t_n \leq 1$ , that is  $t_n = 0$  or 1.

We claim that  $t_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, suppose  $t_k = 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , then (3.2) becomes

$$0 = m \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} l_{m,i} d_{k,1} Y_0^i - k \sum_{i=1}^{h_m} \sum_{j=0}^{h_k} l_{m,i} l_{k,j} i Y_0^{i-1} Y_0^j,$$

taking m = k and m = -k respectively, one has

$$0 = k \sum_{i=0}^{h_k} l_{k,i} d_{k,1} Y_0^i - k \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} \sum_{j=0}^{h_k} l_{k,i} l_{k,j} i Y_0^{i-1} Y_0^j$$
$$= k \sum_{i=0}^{h_k} l_{k,i} d_{k,1} Y_0^i - k \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} \sum_{i=0}^{h_k} l_{k,j} l_{k,i} j Y_0^{j-1} Y_0^i$$
$$= k \sum_{i=0}^{h_k} l_{k,i} Y_0^i \left( d_{k,1} - \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} l_{k,j} j Y_0^{j-1} \right)$$

and

$$0 = -k \sum_{i=0}^{h_{-k}} l_{-k,i} d_{k,1} Y_0^i - k \sum_{i=1}^{h_{-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{h_k} l_{-k,i} l_{k,j} i Y_0^{i-1} Y_0^j$$
$$= -k \sum_{i=0}^{h_{-k}} l_{-k,i} \left( d_{k,1} Y_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{h_k} l_{k,j} i Y_0^j \right) Y_0^{i-1},$$

thus  $d_{k,1} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} l_{k,j} j Y_0^{j-1}$  and  $d_{k,1} Y_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{h_k} l_{k,j} h_{-k} Y_0^j = 0$  which suggests  $l_{k,0} h_{-k} = 0$ , thereby  $d_{k,1} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_k} l_{k,j} j Y_0^{j-1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{h_k} l_{k,j} h_{-k} Y_0^{j-1} \neq 0$ , which is impossible. Therefore, we get  $t_n = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In addition, for  $n \neq 0$  and  $t_n = 0$ , it is easy to find that (3.2) becomes

$$0 = -n \sum_{i=0}^{h_m} \sum_{j=0}^{h_n} l_{m,i} l_{n,j} i Y_0^{i-1} Y_0^j,$$

whence  $h_m = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ .

LEMMA 3.6.  $deg_{Y_0}p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**PROOF.** For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  assume that  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{f_m} q_{m,i} Y_0^i$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} M_0^i$ , in which  $q_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0], s_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $q_{m,f_m} \neq 0, s_{m,e_m} \neq 0$ ,  $f_m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

9

 $e_m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Due to relation (2.4), one easily finds

(3.3) 
$$[Y_n, Y_m] \cdot 1 = (m-n)M_{m+n} \cdot 1.$$

By straightforward calculations, the left hand side of (3.3) is

$$Y_{n}Y_{m} \cdot 1 - Y_{m}Y_{n} \cdot 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{f_{m}} q_{m,i} \left(Y_{0}^{i}Y_{n} \cdot 1 - niY_{0}^{i-1}M_{n} \cdot 1\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{f_{n}} q_{n,j} \left(Y_{0}^{j}Y_{m} \cdot 1 - mjY_{0}^{j-1}M_{m} \cdot 1\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{f_{m}} q_{m,i} \left(Y_{0}^{i}\sum_{j=0}^{f_{n}} q_{n,j}Y_{0}^{j} - niY_{0}^{i-1}\sum_{k=0}^{e_{n}} s_{n,k}M_{0}^{k}\right)$$

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{f_{n}} q_{n,j} \left(Y_{0}^{j}\sum_{i=0}^{f_{m}} q_{m,i}Y_{0}^{i} - mjY_{0}^{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{e_{m}} s_{m,k}M_{0}^{k}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{f_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{e_{m}} s_{m,k}q_{n,j}mjY_{0}^{j-1}M_{0}^{k} - \sum_{i=0}^{f_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{e_{n}} s_{n,k}q_{m,i}niY_{0}^{i-1}M_{0}^{k}.$$

While the right hand side of (3.3) does not contain the terms of  $Y_0$  and is not zero from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.3, thus we have  $f_n = f_m \neq 0$ , thereby one directly obtains  $f_m = f_0 = 1$ .

LEMMA 3.7. For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has  $deg_{L_0}g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = 1$  and  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[L_0, M_0]$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $g_n(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{r_n} c_{n,i} L_0^i$ , in which  $c_{n,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0, Y_0]$  and  $c_{n,r_n} \neq 0$ ,  $r_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thanks to Lemma 3.5, for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  assume that  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} M_0^i$ , where  $s_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s_{m,e_m} \neq 0$ ,  $e_m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Owing to relation (2.3), it is easy to see that

(3.4) 
$$[L_n, M_m] \cdot 1 = mM_{m+n} \cdot 1$$

Then the left hand side of (3.4) directly becomes

$$L_n M_m \cdot 1 - M_m L_n \cdot 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} M_0^i \sum_{j=0}^{r_n} c_{n,j} L_0^j - \sum_{j=0}^{r_n} c_{n,j} (L_0 - m)^j \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} M_0^i$$

$$(3.5) = -\sum_{i=0}^{e_m} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} s_{m,i} c_{n,j} M_0^i \left( -jm L_0^{j-1} + C_j^2 (-m)^2 L_0^{j-2} + \dots + C_j^j (-m)^j \right).$$

Note that the right hand side of (3.4) does not contain the terms of  $L_0$ , thereby one deduces that  $r_n \leq 1$ . If  $r_k = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , then the left hand side of (3.4) vanishes, however the right hand side of (3.4) is not equal to zero if  $m \neq 0$  as a result of Lemma 3.3, hence  $r_n = 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In addition, it is clearly that the right hand side of (3.4) does not contain the terms of  $Y_0$  according to Lemma 3.5, hence from (3.5) one knows  $c_{n,1} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ .

Next suppose that  $g_n(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = c_{n,1}L_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i}Y_0^i$ , in which  $c_{n,1} \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_{n,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0], \alpha_{n,\lambda_n} \neq 0, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the one hand,

$$\begin{split} &L_n L_m \cdot 1 - L_m L_n \cdot 1 \\ &= L_n (c_{m,1} L_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_m} \alpha_{m,i} Y_0^i) - L_m (c_{n,1} L_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i} Y_0^i) \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i} (Y_0^i L_m \cdot 1 - \frac{mi}{2} Y_0^{i-1} Y_m \cdot 1 + \frac{m^2 i (i-1)}{4} Y_0^{i-2} M_m \cdot 1) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_m} \alpha_{m,i} (Y_0^i L_n \cdot 1 - \frac{ni}{2} Y_0^{i-1} Y_n \cdot 1 + \frac{n^2 i (i-1)}{4} Y_0^{i-2} M_n \cdot 1) \\ &+ c_{m,1} (L_0 - n) L_n \cdot 1 - c_{n,1} (L_0 - m) L_m \cdot 1 \\ &= m c_{n,1} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_m} \alpha_{m,i} Y_0^i - n c_{m,1} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i} Y_0^i - \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_m} \alpha_{m,i} \frac{ni}{2} Y_0^{i-1} Y_n \cdot 1 \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i} \frac{mi}{2} Y_0^{i-1} Y_m \cdot 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_m} \alpha_{m,i} \frac{n^2 i (i-1)}{4} Y_0^{i-2} M_n \cdot 1 \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_n} \alpha_{n,i} \frac{m^2 i (i-1)}{4} Y_0^{i-2} M_m \cdot 1 + (m-n) c_{m,1} c_{n,1} L_0, \end{split}$$

on the other hand,

$$(m-n)L_{m+n} \cdot 1$$
  
= $(m-n)(c_{m+n,1}L_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda_{m+n}} \alpha_{m+n,i}Y_0^i).$ 

According to relation (2.1), it is obvious that  $\lambda_m = \lambda_n = \lambda_{m+n} = \lambda_0 = 0$ , that is,  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{C}[L_0, M_0].$ 

From what has been discussed above, now we turn to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## The Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 suggests that  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$  and  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$  completely determine the action of  $L_m, M_m$  and  $Y_m$  on M. Thus the next task is just to determine  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ , and  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

According to Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.6, here we need to rewrite the preceding assumptions. For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , suppose that

(3.6) 
$$g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = a_m + b_m L_0,$$

(3.7) 
$$p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = c_m + d_m Y_0,$$

in which  $a_m, b_m, c_m, d_m \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$  and  $b_m \neq 0, d_m \neq 0$ . In particular,  $a_0 = c_0 = 0$  and  $b_0 = d_0 = 1$ . Thanks to relation (2.1), one gets directly

(3.8) 
$$[L_n, L_m] \cdot 1 = (m-n)L_{m+n} \cdot 1.$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.8), one immediately finds that the left hand side of (3.8) becomes

$$L_n L_m \cdot 1 - L_m L_n \cdot 1$$

#### WANG AND ZHANG

(3.9) 
$$= L_n(a_m + b_m L_0) - L_m(a_n + b_n L_0)$$
$$= ma_m b_n - na_n b_m + (m - n)b_n b_m L_0$$

and the right hand side of (3.8) becomes

(3.10) 
$$(m-n)L_{m+n} \cdot 1 = (m-n)(a_{m+n} + b_{m+n}L_0)$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $L_0$  of (3.9) and (3.10), one has  $b_n b_m = b_{m+n}$ , yielding that  $b_m = \lambda^m (\lambda := b_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, observing the constant term in (3.9) and (3.10), one obtains that  $ma_m b_n - na_n b_m = (m - n)a_{m+n}$ . Choosing m = 1 in the formula gives  $\lambda^n a_1 - n\lambda a_n = (1 - n)a_{n+1}$ , from which we have  $a_m = m\lambda^m \alpha$  by induction on n, where  $\alpha = \frac{a_1}{\lambda}$  and  $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore,  $g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \lambda^m(L_0 + m\alpha)$ .

Similarly, according to relation (2.2), it is effortless to see that

(3.11) 
$$[L_n, Y_m] \cdot 1 = (m - \frac{n}{2})Y_{m+n} \cdot 1.$$

Thanks to (3.6) and (3.7) it is easy to deduce that the left hand side of (3.11) is

(3.12)  

$$L_{n}Y_{m} \cdot 1 - Y_{m}L_{n} \cdot 1$$

$$= L_{n}(c_{m} + d_{m}Y_{0}) - Y_{m}(a_{n} + b_{n}L_{0})$$

$$= mc_{m}b_{n} - \frac{n}{2}d_{m}c_{n} + mb_{n}d_{m}Y_{0} - \frac{n}{2}d_{m}d_{n}Y_{0}$$

and applying (3.7) one finds that the right hand side of (3.11) becomes

(3.13) 
$$(m - \frac{n}{2})Y_{m+n} \cdot 1 = (m - \frac{n}{2})(c_{m+n} + d_{m+n}Y_0)$$

Hence  $mc_mb_n - \frac{n}{2}d_mc_n = (m - \frac{n}{2})c_{m+n}$  and  $mb_nd_m - \frac{n}{2}d_md_n = (m - \frac{n}{2})d_{m+n}$ . Taking m = 1 in the latter formula one gets  $\lambda^n d_1 - \frac{n}{2}d_1d_n = (1 - \frac{n}{2})d_{n+1}$ , which implies that  $d_m = \lambda^m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Besides, choosing  $m = \pm 1$  in the former formula gives

(3.14) 
$$\lambda^n c_1 - \frac{n}{2}\lambda c_n = (1 - \frac{n}{2})c_{n+1}$$

and

(3.15) 
$$-c_{-1}\lambda^n - \frac{n}{2}\lambda^{-1}c_n = (-1 - \frac{n}{2})c_{n-1}.$$

Setting  $n = \pm 1$  in (3.14), one deduces that  $c_2 = \lambda c_1$  and  $c_{-1} = -2\lambda^{-2}c_1$ . In addition, taking n = 2 in (3.15), one easily has

$$-c_{-1}\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-1}c_2 = -2c_1.$$

It yields that  $c_2 = 4\lambda c_1$ , whence  $c_1 = 0$ . Thus from (3.14) or (3.15), it is easy to find that  $c_m = 0$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \lambda^m Y_0$ .

Now it remains to consider how to determine  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0)$ . For  $m \neq 0$ , continue to use the assumption  $a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} M_0^i$ , in which  $s_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s_{m,e_m} \neq 0$ . Due to relation (2.3), it is clearly to see that

(3.16) 
$$[L_n, M_m] \cdot 1 = m M_{m+n} \cdot 1.$$

Now calculating the left hand side of (3.16), one always has

$$L_n M_m \cdot 1 - M_m L_n \cdot 1$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} \lambda^n (L_0 + n\alpha) M_0^i - \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} \lambda^n (L_0 - m + n\alpha) M_0^i$   
=  $m \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} \lambda^n M_0^i$ ,

thus one immediately finds that

(3.17) 
$$m \sum_{i=0}^{e_m} s_{m,i} \lambda^n M_0^i = m \sum_{i=0}^{e_{m+n}} s_{m+n,i} M_0^i.$$

Whence one knows  $e_m = e_{m+n} = e_0 = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore (3.17) becomes

$$m\lambda^n(s_{m,0} + s_{m,1}M_0) = m(s_{m+n,0} + s_{m+n,1}M_0)$$

Hence one always has  $s_{m+n,0} = \lambda^n s_{m,0}$  and  $s_{m+n,1} = \lambda^n s_{m,1}$ , from which it is direct to obtain that  $s_{m,0} = \lambda^m s_{0,0} = 0$  and  $s_{m,1} = \lambda^m s_{0,1} = \lambda^m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By putting everything together, it can be seen that

$$g_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \lambda^m (L_0 + m\alpha),$$
  

$$p_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \lambda^m Y_0,$$
  

$$a_m(L_0, M_0, Y_0) = \lambda^m M_0,$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus according to Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.1 holds.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Modules over $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$

In this section, we focus on the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and show that the class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$  is nonexistent.

The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$  is an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a basis

$$\{L_n, M_n, Y_p | n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$$

and has the following relations

(4.1) 
$$[L_n, L_m] = (m-n)L_{m+n},$$

(4.2) 
$$[L_n, Y_p] = (p - \frac{n}{2})Y_{p+n}$$

$$(4.3) [L_n, M_m] = mM_{m+n},$$

(4.4) 
$$[Y_p, Y_q] = (q - p)M_{p+q},$$

(4.5) 
$$[Y_p, M_m] = [M_n, M_m] = 0,$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, p, q \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ .

From the above relations (4.1)-(4.5), there are some useful formulas that are similar to Proposition 2.1.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $i \ge 0$ , the following formulas hold:

(1)  $M_n L_0^i = (L_0 - n)^i M_n.$ (2)  $M_n M_0^i = M_0^i M_n.$ (3)  $Y_p L_0^i = (L_0 - p)^i Y_p.$ (4)  $Y_p M_0^i = M_0^i Y_p.$ (5)  $L_n L_0^i = (L_0 - n)^i L_n.$ (6)  $L_n M_0^i = M_0^i L_n.$ 

It is straightforward to check these formulas by induction on i for all  $i \ge 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$  be the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(s)$  for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  the set of all free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$ , then

$$\mathcal{M} = \emptyset$$
.

**PROOF.** Assume on the contrary that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  and let  $N \in \mathcal{M}$ , so  $N = U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ . Take any  $w(L_0, M_0) = \sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{i,j} L_0^i M_0^j \in N$  and suppose that  $L_m \cdot 1 = g_m(L_0, M_0),$   $M_m \cdot 1 = a_m(L_0, M_0),$  $Y_p \cdot 1 = h_p(L_0, M_0),$ 

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $g_m(L_0, M_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0)$ ,  $h_p(L_0, M_0) \in N$ .

Next we will prove that  $g_m(L_0, M_0), a_m(L_0, M_0)$  and  $h_p(L_0, M_0)$  completely determine the action of  $L_m, M_m$  and  $Y_p$  on N.

It follows from formulas (5)-(6) in Proposition4.1

(4.6)  

$$L_m \cdot w(L_0, M_0) = L_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{i,j} L_0^i M_0^j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k \ge 0} a_{i,j,k} (L_0 - m)^i M_0^j g_m(L_0, M_0)$$

$$= w(L_0 - m, M_0) g_m(L_0, M_0).$$

According to formulas (3)-(4) in Proposition 4.1, one always has

$$Y_{p} \cdot w(L_{0}, M_{0}) = Y_{p} \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{i,j} L_{0}^{i} M_{0}^{j}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{i,j} (L_{0} - p)^{i} M_{0}^{j} h_{p} (L_{0}, M_{0})$$
$$= w(L_{0} - p, M_{0}) h_{p} (L_{0}, M_{0}).$$

By formulas (1)-(2) in Proposition4.1, it is easy to obtain that

(4.

$$M_m \cdot w(L_0, M_0) = M_m \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{i,j} L_0^i M_0^j\right)$$

(4.8) 
$$= \sum_{i,j\geq 0} a_{i,j} (L_0 - m)^i M_0^j a_m (L_0, M_0) \\= w(L_0 - m, M_0) a_m (L_0, M_0).$$

The above three formulas (4.6)-(4.8) suggest that one only needs to consider how to determine  $g_m(L_0, M_0)$ ,  $a_m(L_0, M_0)$ , and  $h_p(L_0, M_0)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  if one wants to know the action of  $L_m, M_m$  and  $Y_p$  on M. Whence we will discuss how to determine them in the next step.

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , assume that  $a_m(L_0, M_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{k'_m} b'_{m,i} L_0^i$ , in which  $b'_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ ,  $k'_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $b'_{m,k'_m} \neq 0$ . According to relation (4.5) and Proposition 4.1, one obtains  $k'_m = 0$ referring to the proof of Lemma 3.4, therefore  $a_m(L_0, M_0) \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ .

In addition, we claim that  $a_m(L_0, M_0) \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  such that  $a_m(L_0, M_0) = 0$ , then  $M_m \cdot M = 0$  due to formula (4.8). According to relation (4.3), one immediately deduces that

$$mM_0 \cdot M = [L_{-m}, M_m] \cdot M = 0,$$

which implies that  $a_0(L_0, M_0) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ , suppose that  $a_m(L_0, M_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{e'_m} s'_{m,i} M_0^i$  and  $h_p(L_0, M_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{t'_p} d'_{p,i} L_0^i$ , in which  $s'_{m,i} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $e'_m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s'_{m,e'_m} \neq 0$  and  $d'_{p,i} \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ ,  $t'_p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d'_{p,t'_p} \neq 0$ . Combining relation (4.5) with Proposition 4.1, one has

$$0 = [Y_p, M_m] \cdot 1$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=0}^{e'_m} s'_{m,i} M_0^i \sum_{j=0}^{t'_p} d'_{p,j} L_0^j - \sum_{j=0}^{t'_p} d'_{p,j} (L_0 - m)^j \sum_{i=0}^{e'_m} s'_{m,i} M_0^i$   
=  $-\sum_{i=0}^{e'_m} \sum_{j=0}^{t'_p} s'_{m,i} d'_{p,j} M_0^i \left( -jm L_0^{j-1} + C_j^2 (-m)^2 L_0^{j-2} + \dots + C_j^j (-m)^j \right),$ 

hence  $t'_p = 0$ , that is  $h_p(L_0, M_0) \in \mathbb{C}[M_0]$ . Thus from (4) in Proposition 4.1 and relation (4.4), we always have

$$[Y_p, Y_q] \cdot 1 = 0 \neq (q - p)M_{p+q}$$

for  $p \neq q$ , which is impossible.

From what has been discussed above, we draw a conclusion that the class of free  $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0)$ -modules of rank 1 over the algebra  $\mathfrak{sv}(\frac{1}{2})$  is not existent, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11871325 and 11726016).

#### WANG AND ZHANG

#### References

- [1] Batra P., Mazorchuk V., Block and modules for Whittaker pairs, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 1552-1568.
- [2] Chen Q., Cai Y., Module over algebras related to the Virasoro algebra, Internat. J. Math. 26 (2015), 99-155.
- [3] Chen H., Guo X., Non-weight modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and the W algebra W(2, 2), J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), 1750097.
- [4] Chen H., Hong Y., Su Y., A family of new simple modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018), 900-913.
- [5] Cai Y., Tan H., Zhao K., Module structure on  $U(\mathfrak{h})$  for Kac-Moody algebras, arXiv:1606.01891v2.
- [6] Cai Y., Zhao K., Module structure on  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  for basic Lie superalgebras, *Toyama Math. J.* 37 (2015), 55-72.
- [7] Frenkel I., Zhu M., Vertex algebras associated to modified regular representations of the Virasoro algebra, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 3468-3507.
- [8] Gao Y., Hu N., Liu D., Representations of the affine-Virasoro algebra of type A<sub>1</sub>, J. Geom. Phys. 106 (2016), 102-107.
- [9] Guo X., Liu X., Wei Z., Irreducible weight modules for the Virasoro-like algebra and its q-analog, *Comm. Algebra* 45 (2017), 666-676.
- [10] Goddard P., Olive D., Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* 1 (1986), 303-414.
- [11] Henkel M., Schrödinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems, J. Statist. Phys. 75 (1994), 1023-1061.
- [12] Han J., Chen Q., Su Y., Modules over algebra Vir(a, b), Linear Algebra Appl. 515 (2017), 11-23.
- [13] Henkel M., Unterberger J., Schrödinger invariance and space-time symmetries, Nucl. Phys. B 660 (2003), 407-435.
- [14] Jiang J., Lin W., Partial classification of cuspidal simple modules for Virasoro-like algebra, J. Algebra 464 (2016), 266-278.
- [15] Krohn M., Flohr M., Ghost systems revisited: modified Virasoro generators and logarithmic conformal field theories, J. High Energy Phys. 7 (2003), 431-449.
- [16] Li J., Su Y., Representations of the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), 053512.
- [17] Mertes N., Schnabl, M., String field representation of the Virasoro algebra, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2016), 1-14.
- [18] Nilsson J., Simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ -module structures on  $U(\mathfrak{h})$ , J. Algebra 424 (2015), 294-329.
- [19] Radobolja G., Application of vertex algebras to the structure theory of certain representations over the Virasoro algebra, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 17 (2014), 1013-1034.
- [20] Wang D., Yu X., Biderivations and linear commuting maps on the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013), 2166-2173.
- [21] Zhang X., Tan S., Unitary representations for the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013), 1250132.
- [22] Zhang X., Tan S., Whittaker modules for the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, arXiv:0812.3245.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA *E-mail address*: yumeiwang@shu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA *E-mail address*: hlzhangmath@shu.edu.cn