

RATIONAL SINGULARITIES, QUIVER MOMENT MAPS, AND REPRESENTATIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS

NERO BUDUR

ABSTRACT. We prove using jet schemes that the zero loci of the moment maps for the quivers with one vertex and at least two loops have rational singularities. This implies that the spaces of representations of the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus at least two have rational singularities. This has consequences for the numbers of irreducible representations of the special linear groups over the integers and over the p -adic integers.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quiver moment maps	5
3. Jets along zeros of moment maps	17
4. GL_n -representations of surface groups	21
5. SL_n -representations of surface groups	23
6. Consequences	25
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbf{C})$ be the space of $n \times n$ matrices of complex numbers. We prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $g \geq 2$. The set*

$$X = \{(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbf{C})^{\times 2g} \mid [x_1, y_1] + \dots + [x_g, y_g] = 0\},$$

where $[x, y] = xy - yx$, is a variety with rational singularities for all $n \geq 1$.

Throughout this article, a variety over an algebraically closed field is an integral scheme of finite type.

The variety X from Theorem 1.1 is the zero locus of the moment map of the quiver with one vertex and g loops. In this context, Crawley-Boevey [CB01] showed that X with its natural scheme structure is a complete intersection variety for $g \geq 2$. More recently, Aizenbud-Avni [AA16] showed that X has rational singularities for $g \geq 12$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 here is different than theirs and works for all $g \geq 2$. This the main result of the article, the rest of the results being corollaries.

Key words and phrases. Representation; special linear group; surface group; rational singularity; quiver moment map; jet scheme.

Rational singularities are a natural class of mild singularities. For example, the rational double points of surfaces are classified by the ADE diagrams. While the definition is technical, the importance of rational singularities lies in the abundance of applications they entail. We will see that the theorem has several applications, among which is a bound for the number of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of different steps:

- We use a criterion due to Mustață [Mu01] saying that a locally complete intersection variety of dimension d has rational singularities if and only if for all $m \geq 1$ the dimension of the scheme of m -jets passing through the singular locus is smaller than $d(m+1)$.
- The theorem is proven in terms of quivers. For a quiver with the set of directed arrows Q and a dimension vector α on its set of vertices, we consider the zero locus of the associated moment map

$$X(Q, \alpha) = \{(x_a, x_{a^*})_{a \in Q} \mid \sum_{a \in Q} (x_a x_{a^*} - x_{a^*} x_a) = 0\}$$

where x_a and x_{a^*} are matrices representing linear transformations along the arrow a and backwards, along an added reverse arrow a^* , between vector spaces of ranks specified by α .

- The proof now is inspired by the proof of normality for the Madsen-Weinstein reductions for quiver representations by Crawley-Boevey [CB03]. Namely, we stratify $X(Q, \alpha)$ by the representation type of the semisimplification of each representation in $X(Q, \alpha)$. Applying Luna slice theorem, locally at the semisimple points of each stratum, $X(Q, \alpha)$ is up to a smooth factor the germ at 0 of $X(Q', \alpha')$ for a new quiver and dimension vector (Q', α') depending only on the representation type corresponding to the stratum.
- We have a distinguished stratum, $Z(Q, \alpha)$, consisting of all the representations in $X(Q, \alpha)$ with semisimplification having the same representation type as $0 \in X(Q, \alpha)$. The stratum $Z(Q, \alpha)$ should be viewed as the “most singular” stratum of $X(Q, \alpha)$. Using Mustață’s criterion we show that: if $\dim Z(Q', \alpha') < 2p_Q(\alpha)$ for all (Q', α') arising as above from (Q, α) , where $p_Q(\alpha)$ is determined from the combinatorics of the quiver, then $X(Q, \alpha)$ has rational singularities. The crucial argument is that one can easily bound the dimension of the set of m -jets over the trivial representation for any $X(Q, \alpha)$.
- The bound $\dim Z(Q, \alpha) < 2p_Q(\alpha)$, as well as the property that $X(Q, \alpha)$ has rational singularities, does not hold for all (Q, α) . We show that the bound holds for all (Q', α') obtained from the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops. This relies on [CB03] as well.

Theorem 1.1 will allow us to prove:

Theorem 1.2. *Let C_g be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})) = \{(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})^{\times 2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g x_i y_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1} = I\}$$

is a variety with rational singularities.

By $\pi_1(C_g)$ we denote the fundamental group of C_g based at a fixed point. Simpson [Si94] showed that $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}))$ with its natural scheme structure is a normal complete intersection variety for $g \geq 2$.

Reducedness in the case $g = 1$ of both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is an old still open conjecture.

To conclude the second theorem from the first we use an observation of Kaledin-Lehn-Sorger [KLS06]. Namely, the local structure of $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}))$ at semisimple representations is modelled by zero loci of moment maps of quivers.

The last theorem implies:

Theorem 1.3. *Let C_g be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then*

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})) = \{(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})^{\times 2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g x_i y_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1} = I\}$$

is a variety with rational singularities.

The proof shows also that the natural scheme structure is reduced, a fact which seems to be missing from the literature in full generality, according to A. Sikora. The reduced scheme structure of $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C}))$ was shown to be a complete intersection variety for $g \geq 2$ in [RBC96].

For $g \geq 12$, Theorem 1.3 is proven in [AA16]. Their proof uses p -adic group theory to show rational singularities beyond the trivial representation. The proof we give here is entirely geometric and it applies to $g \geq 2$.

Since the natural scheme structure on the varieties from Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 is reduced, and since all these schemes are defined over \mathbf{Q} , by general scheme theory it follows that the \mathbf{Q} -schemes naturally associated to these sets are \mathbf{Q} -varieties with only rational singularities. Here a \mathbf{Q} -variety V is a geometrically irreducible, reduced, separated scheme of finite type over \mathbf{Q} , and V has rational singularities if for any resolution of singularities $f : W \rightarrow V$ over \mathbf{Q} , the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow Rf_* \mathcal{O}_V$ is a quasi-isomorphism. This generalization has the following consequences by Aizenbud-Avni [AA16, AA18], see Section 6.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. There exist a positive real number C and a natural number m_0 such that for all integers $m \geq m_0$, the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible complex representations of dimension at most m of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ is less or equal to Cm^2 .*

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and p a prime number. There exists a positive real number C such that for all integers m , the number of isomorphism classes of continuous irreducible m -dimensional complex representations of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is less than Cm^2 .*

These theorems improve the bound Cm^{22} of [AA16, AA18] to the quadratic bound. The theorems follow from the next two, more general results. See Section 6 for the definition of the abscissa of convergence α of a group.

Theorem 1.6. *For every non-archimedean local field F containing \mathbf{Q} and every compact open subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_n(F)$, the abscissa $\alpha(\Gamma) < 2$.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let n be a positive integer. Then there exists a finite set S of prime numbers such that for every global field k of characteristic not in S and every finite set T of places of k containing all Archimedean ones,*

$$\alpha(\mathrm{SL}_n(O_{k,T})) \leq 2,$$

where

$$O_{k,T} = \{x \in k \mid \forall v \notin T, \|x\|_v \leq 1\},$$

with the exception of the case $n = 2$ and k equal to \mathbf{Q} or an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} . In particular,

$$\alpha(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})) \leq 2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

In [AA16, AA18], the abscissae in these theorems have been bounded above by 22, instead of 2.

Another consequence of Theorem 1.3 is:

Theorem 1.8. *Let $g \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be integers. The map of \mathbf{Q} -varieties*

$$\mathrm{SL}_n^{\times 2g} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n, \quad (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^g x_i y_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1}$$

is flat and the inverse image of every $z \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ is a variety with rational singularities.

This theorem has been proven in [AA16] for $g \geq 12$.

Theorems 1.4-1.8, together with Theorem 1.3 which implies them, were claimed as stated here in [BZo18]. However, [BZo18] contains a gap in an argument involving p -adic group theory which has not been fixed yet, see [BZo18']. Theorem 1.3 here implies that all the claims of [BZo18, §1] nevertheless hold, except [BZo18, Proposition 1.5] which remains open.

In connection with the last theorem, we can add:

Theorem 1.9. *Let $g \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be integers.*

(a) *The map of \mathbf{Q} -varieties*

$$\mathrm{Mat}(n)^{\times 2g} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}(n), \quad (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g [x_i, y_i]$$

is flat and the inverse image of every point $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbf{C})$ in some open neighborhood of 0 is a variety with rational singularities.

(b) *The map of \mathbf{Q} -varieties*

$$\mathrm{GL}_n^{\times 2g} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n, \quad (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^g x_i y_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1}$$

has the following property over a open neighborhood of $I \in \mathrm{GL}_n$: it is flat and the inverse image of every $z \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ is a variety with rational singularities.

The flatness in (a) is a particular case of [CB01, Theorem 1.1] for the quiver with one vertex and g loops. Hence (a) follows from Theorem 1.1 here and the open nature of rational singularities in flat families [El78, Théorème 4]. It is showed in [AA16, 2.3.1] that (a) implies (b).

Finally, the Isosingularity Principle of Simpson [Si94, Theorem 10.6] applies to transfer the rational singularities property from representations spaces of fundamental groups to the de Rham and Dolbeault analogs, we refer to [Si94] for the precise definitions:

Theorem 1.10. *Let $g \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be integers. Let G be GL_n or SL_n . Let C_g be a compact Riemann surface and $x \in C_g$ a fixed point. Let $R_{DR}(C_g, G)$ be the fine moduli space of principal G -bundles with integrable connection and a frame over x . Let $R_{Dol}(C_g, G)$ be the fine moduli space of principal Higgs bundles for the group G with a frame over x , which are semistable with vanishing rational Chern classes. Then $R_{DR}(C_g, G)$ and $R_{Dol}(C_g, G)$ have rational singularities over \mathbf{C} .*

Since GIT quotients of rational singularities are also rational singularities, one obtains as corollaries of our results that for $g \geq 2$ and $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ the following schemes have rational singularities: the Mardsen-Weinstein reduction $X // G$, the moduli of local systems $M_B(C_g, G)$, the moduli of bundles with integrable connections $M_{DR}(C_g, G)$, and the moduli of Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes $M_{Dol}(C_g, G)$. This is already known from the fact that all these spaces have symplectic singularities, see [CB03, Corollary 8.4], [BS16], [Ti17], and our results give a different explanation.

In Section 2, we recall the description of the étale slices for zeros of moment maps of quivers due to Crawley-Boevey, and prove some preliminary results on the quiver Q . In Section 3, the heart of the article, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 using deformation theory. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 6 we address Theorems 1.4-1.8.

Acknowledgement. We thank M. Zordan, R. Zhao, and the referees for useful comments. The author was partly sponsored by the Methusalem grant METH/15/026 from KU Leuven, and by the research projects G0B2115N, G0F4216N, G097819N from the Research Foundation of Flanders.

2. QUIVER MOMENT MAPS

We work over a fixed algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. A quiver Q is a set of directed arrows between a set I of vertices. The representations of Q of dimension vector $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^I \setminus \{0\}$ are the elements of

$$\mathrm{Rep}(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q} \mathrm{Mat}(\alpha_{h(a)} \times \alpha_{t(a)}, k),$$

where $h(a)$ is the head vertex of the arrow a , and $t(a)$ is the tail. The group

$$G(\alpha) = \left(\prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{GL}_{\alpha_i}(k) \right) / k^*$$

acts by conjugation.

A morphism between two quiver representations $x \in \mathrm{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$ and $y \in \mathrm{Rep}(Q, \beta)$ is an element

$$f \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Mat}(\alpha_i \times \beta_i, k)$$

such that $fx = yf$. That is, $f_{h(a)}x_a = y_a f_{t(a)}$ for all $a \in Q$.

The orbits of $G(\alpha)$ on $\mathrm{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$ correspond to isomorphism classes of representations.

The category of representations of a quiver Q is equivalent to the category of left kQ -modules, where kQ is the path algebra of Q .

A representation in $\text{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$ is called simple if the associated kQ -module is simple, and semisimple if it is a direct sum of simple representations.

Let $p_Q(\alpha) = 1 - \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_Q$, where

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_Q = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i - \sum_{a \in Q} \alpha_{t(a)} \beta_{h(a)}.$$

is the pairing on \mathbf{Z}^I associated to Q . We also define $(\alpha, \beta)_Q = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_Q + \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle_Q$.

The double of Q is the quiver \overline{Q} obtained by adjoining a reverse arrow a^* for each $a \in Q$. Note that

$$\dim \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha) = 2(\alpha \cdot \alpha - 1 + p_Q(\alpha)).$$

There is a $G(\alpha)$ -equivariant moment map

$$\mu : \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha) \longrightarrow \text{End}(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Mat}(\alpha_i, k)$$

defined by

$$x \mapsto \sum_{a \in Q} [x_a, x_{a^*}]$$

where $[x, y] = xy - yx$. We denote the zero locus by

$$X(Q, \alpha) = \mu^{-1}(0)$$

and we consider it as a closed subscheme; it does not depend on the orientation of the arrows of Q (see [CBH98, Lemma 2.2]). The underlying reduced subscheme of $X(Q, \alpha)$ is irreducible, but not always a normal variety; see [CB03, §1].

The affine quotient

$$M(Q, \alpha) = X(Q, \alpha) // G(\alpha)$$

parametrises isomorphism classes of semisimple representations in $X(Q, \alpha)$, or, equivalently, the closed orbits of $G(\alpha)$ on $X(Q, \alpha)$. Denote by

$$q : X(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow M(Q, \alpha)$$

the quotient morphism. Every fiber of q contains a unique closed orbit.

We will use later the following results of Crawley-Boevey from Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4, Lemma 6.5, and Proof of Corollary 1.4 on p289 of [CB01]:

Theorem 2.1. (*Crawley-Boevey*) *If $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains a simple representation in $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha)$, then:*

- (a) $X(Q, \alpha)$ is a reduced and irreducible complete intersection of dimension $\alpha \cdot \alpha - 1 + 2p_Q(\alpha)$,
- (b) the general element of $X(Q, \alpha)$ is a simple representation,
- (c) the dimension of $M(Q, \alpha)$ is $2p_Q(\alpha)$,
- (d) $p_Q(\alpha) > 0$ if and only if $M(Q, \alpha)$ contains an open dense subset of isomorphism classes of simple representations.
- (e) The simple representations in $X(Q, \alpha)$ are smooth points.

Given a semisimple representation $x \in X(Q, \alpha)$ of \overline{Q} , we can decompose it into its simple components

$$x \simeq x_1^{\oplus e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_r^{\oplus e_r},$$

where x_j are non-isomorphic simple representations. If $\beta^{(j)}$ is the dimension vector of x_j , one says that x has representation type

$$\tau = (e_1, \beta^{(1)}; \cdots; e_r, \beta^{(r)}),$$

and that τ occurs as a representation type in $X(Q, \alpha)$. Set

$$e = (e_1, \dots, e_r).$$

Then $G(e)$ is a conjugate of $G(\alpha)_x$, the stabilizer of x under the action of $G(\alpha)$, for a suitable embedding of $G(e)$ in $G(\alpha)$, [LP90, Theorem 2]. One obtains a finite stratification into disjoint irreducible locally closed subsets

$$M(Q, \alpha) = \coprod_{\tau} M(Q, \alpha)_{\tau},$$

where $M(Q, \alpha)_{\tau}$ is the subset of isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of type τ , see [CB01, §11]. This is the same as the stratification according to conjugacy classes of stabilizers of the semisimple representations, see [LP90, Theorem 2] and [Ma08, Theorem 5.4]:

Theorem 2.2. (i) *The assignments $\tau \leftarrow x \mapsto G(\alpha)_x$ give a one-to-one correspondence between the set of representation types τ occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$ and the set of conjugacy classes of stabilizers of semisimple points in $X(Q, \alpha)$.*

(ii) *For τ and τ' representations types corresponding to conjugacy classes of stabilizers H and H' , respectively, define*

$$\tau' \leq \tau \iff H \text{ is conjugate to a subgroup of } H'.$$

Then

$$\overline{M(Q, \alpha)_{\tau}} = \coprod_{\tau' \leq \tau} M(Q, \alpha)_{\tau'}.$$

By Crawley-Boevey, the local structure at a semisimple representation of $X(Q, \alpha)$ reduces to that at the origin with respect to a modified quiver and dimension vector. To state this properly, we need to recall some definitions.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X . Let H be a reductive subgroup of G . Let S be a locally closed affine H -invariant subvariety of X . One defines $G \times_H S$ and a G -equivariant morphism

$$G \times_H S \rightarrow X$$

as follows. Define an action of H on $G \times S$ by $h(g, s) = (gh^{-1}, hs)$. Then the map $G \times S \rightarrow X$ sending (g, s) to gs is H -equivariant, where H acts trivially on X . Then

$$G \times_H S = (G \times S) // H \rightarrow X$$

is the associated morphism of affine quotients. Then $G \times_H S$ is an étale-locally trivial fibration with fiber type S and base the homogeneous space G/H isomorphic as a variety

with any orbit of the action by H on G via right translations. Taking further quotients by the G -action, one has a morphism

$$(G \times_H S) // G = S // H \rightarrow X // G,$$

cf. [Lu73, I.3].

Definition 2.4. Let G be a reductive group acting on affine varieties X and Y , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -equivariant morphism. We say f is *strongly étale* if

- $f/G : X // G \rightarrow Y // G$ is étale, and
- $f, f/G$, and the quotient morphisms induce a G -isomorphism $X \simeq Y \times_{Y//G} (X // G)$.

Proposition 2.5. ([Dr04, Proposition 4.15]) *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly étale G -morphism then:*

- f is étale and surjective,
- for every $u \in X // G$, f induces an isomorphism from the inverse image of u in X to the inverse image of $f(u)$ in Y .
- for every $x \in X$, the restriction of f to Gx is injective, and Gx is closed if and only if $Gf(x)$ is closed.

Lemma 2.6. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly étale G -morphism and $x \in X$, then $G_x = G_{f(x)}$.*

Proof. Since f is G -equivariant, $G_x \subset G_{f(x)}$. Conversely, if $g \in G_{f(x)}$, then $f(x) = gf(x) = f(gx)$. By the above proposition, f is injective on orbits. Hence $x = gx$, and $g \in G_x$. \square

Definition 2.7. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X . Let $x \in X$ be a point with closed orbit. The stabilizer G_x of x is a reductive subgroup of G . An *étale slice* is a G_x -invariant locally closed affine subvariety S of X containing x such that the induced G -equivariant morphism

$$\psi : G \times_{G_x} S \rightarrow X$$

is strongly étale onto its image which is a G -saturated affine open subset U of X .

Recall that a G -saturated set is the inverse image of a subset of $X // G$ under the quotient morphism $X \rightarrow X // G$.

By the main result of Luna [Lu73] étale slices always exist. Since the orbit $G(\alpha)x$ is isomorphic to the homogeneous space $G(\alpha)/G(\alpha)_x$ of the action of $G(\alpha)_x$ on $G(\alpha)$ by right translations, ψ induces locally in the étale topology an isomorphism

$$(X, x) \simeq (G(\alpha)x, x) \times (S, x).$$

Definition 2.8. Given a semisimple representation $x \in X(Q, \alpha)$ of representation type

$$\tau = (e, \beta) = (e_1, \beta^{(1)}; \dots; e_r, \beta^{(r)}),$$

define a new quiver Q_τ with r vertices and whose double \overline{Q}_τ has $2p_Q(\beta^{(i)})$ loops at vertex i , and $-(\beta^{(i)}, \beta^{(j)})_Q$ arrows from i to j if $i \neq j$.

Theorem 2.9. ([CB03, §4]) *Let $x \in X(Q, \alpha)$ be a semisimple representation of type $\tau = (e, \beta)$. There exists a morphism*

$$f : S \rightarrow X(Q_\tau, e)$$

from an étale slice S for $X(Q, \alpha)$ at x , sending x to 0, such that f is equivariant via the canonical isomorphism $G(\alpha)_x \simeq G(e)$, and the restriction of f is strongly étale from an open $G(\alpha)_x$ -saturated neighborhood of x onto an open $G(e)$ -saturated neighborhood of 0.

Proof. In the proof of [CB03, Lemma 4.4], and with notation from there, the lemma from [Lu73, p95] is applied to $\phi : U \rightarrow V$. The conclusion from this lemma is stronger than as stated by Crawley-Boevey: namely not only $\phi'/G : U' // G \rightarrow V' // G$ étale, but also $\phi' : U' \rightarrow V'$ is an étale, and $U' \rightarrow V' \times_{V' // G} U' // G$ is an isomorphism. Since U' is an open G -saturated subset of $G \times_{G_x} C'$ (where C' denotes the set $\mu_x^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$), by [Lu73, Lemme, p87] one has that $U' = G \times_{G_x} S'$ for some open subset S' of C' . Define S to be the image of S' under the translation map $C' \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)$ sending c to $x + c$. The remaining properties making S an étale slice are already stated in [CB03, Lemma 4.4].

The morphism f (in our notation) is constructed in [CB03, Lemmas 4.8 and 3.3]. Note that by applying the Fundamental Lemma of [Lu73, p94] to the morphism $\nu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow W$ in the proof of [CB03, Lemma 4.8] (instead of [Lu73, Lemme 3, p93]), one obtains the stronger conclusion that f is strongly étale locally x . \square

A direct implication of this theorem is:

Corollary 2.10. *Let Q be a quiver with dimension vector α . Let $x \in X(Q, \alpha)$ be a semisimple representation of type $\tau = (e, \beta)$. The morphism f from Theorem 2.9 induces locally in the étale topology isomorphisms*

$$(X(Q, \alpha), x) \simeq (G(\alpha)x, x) \times (X(Q_\tau, e), 0)$$

and

$$(M(Q, \alpha), q(x)) \simeq (M(Q_\tau, e), q_\tau(0)),$$

where $q_\tau : X(Q_\tau, e) \rightarrow M(Q_\tau, e)$ is the quotient morphism.

Next, we show that the process of defining new quivers with dimension vectors via Definition 2.8 does not introduce anything new after repeating it in the following sense:

Proposition 2.11. *For a quiver Q with dimension vector α , let $\tau = (e, \beta)$ be a representation type occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$.*

(i) *There is a one-to-one correspondence compatible with the partial order from Theorem 2.2 between the representation types $\tau'' \geq \tau$ occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$ and the representation types τ' occurring in $X(Q_\tau, e)$.*

(ii) *Moreover, if $\tau'' = (e'', \beta'')$ corresponds to $\tau' = (e', \beta')$, then*

$$X((Q_\tau)_{\tau'}, e') = X(Q_{\tau''}, e'').$$

Proof. Let $X = X(Q, \alpha)$, $M = M(Q, \alpha)$, and $G = G(\alpha)$. Let x be a semisimple point in X of representation type τ , and let U be an open saturated affine neighborhood of x . We can then localize the description of

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\tau'' \text{ occurs in } X \mid \tau'' \geq \tau\},$$

that is, we claim that

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\tau'' \text{ occurs in } U \mid \tau'' \geq \tau\}.$$

Indeed, the inclusion \supset is obvious, and for the other inclusion suppose that $\tau'' \geq \tau$ but τ'' does not occur in U . Since U is saturated, $q(U)$ is open in M and intersects M_τ

non-trivially, with M_τ as in Theorem 2.2. By assumption, the closure $\overline{M_{\tau''}}$ is contained in the closed subset $M \setminus q(U)$ of M . However $q(U) \cap M_\tau \subset M_\tau \subset \overline{M_{\tau''}}$ by Theorem 2.2. This is a contradiction. This localization principle will be used a few times in this paper.

By Theorem 2.2, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the representation types $\tau'' \geq \tau$ occurring in U and the conjugacy classes of stabilizers of semisimple points in U conjugate to a subgroup of $H := G(\alpha)_x$.

Let S be an étale slice at x as in Theorem 2.9. We take from now on U to be the open affine G -saturated neighborhood of x in X obtained as the image of

$$\psi : G \times_H S \rightarrow X$$

which is strongly étale onto U , with notation as in Definition 2.7.

By [Dr04, Proposition 5.5], for every semisimple x'' in U , the stabilizer H'' of x'' is the conjugate in G of a subgroup of H . Together with the previous remark, we have so far that \mathcal{S} is into one-to-one correspondence with the G -conjugacy classes of stabilizers of semisimple points of U .

Since $(G \times_H S) // G = S // H$, the G -conjugacy classes of stabilizers of semisimple points of U are into one-to-one correspondence with the H -conjugacy classes of stabilizers at the points of S with closed H -orbit, by [Dr04, Proposition 4.9 -3].

Let W be an open H -saturated affine neighborhood of x in S . Since $W // H \subset S // H$ is open, it is étale over its image under ψ/G in M which is also open in M . This allows us to transfer the localization principle above from M to S , that is, to conclude that the H -conjugacy classes of stabilizers at the points of S with closed H -orbit are the same as the conjugacy classes of stabilizers at the points of W with closed H -orbit.

Consider now the morphism $f : S \rightarrow X(Q_\tau, e)$ from Theorem 2.9. Let $W \subset S$ and $V \subset X(Q_\tau, e)$ be open, saturated for the action of $H \simeq G(e)$, affine neighborhoods of x and 0, respectively, for which the restriction $f|_W : W \rightarrow V$ is strongly étale. By Lemma 2.6, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the conjugacy classes of H -stabilizers at the points of W with closed H -orbit and the conjugacy classes of $G(e)$ -stabilizers at the points of V with closed $G(e)$ -orbit, i.e. semisimple points of $X(Q_\tau, e)$ lying in V . By the localization principle from above applied to $X(Q_\tau, e)$, this is further into one-to-one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of the stabilizers of the semisimple points of $X(Q_\tau, e)$. Finally, applying Theorem 2.2, this proves (i).

For part (ii), write

$$\tau = (e, \beta) = (e_i, \beta^{(i)})_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

and

$$\tau' = (e', \beta') = (e'_j, (\beta')^{(j)})_{1 \leq j \leq r'}$$

with $\beta^{(i)} \in \mathbf{N}^I$ and $(\beta')^{(j)} = ((\beta')_1^{(j)}, \dots, (\beta')_r^{(j)}) \in \mathbf{N}^r$. Then

$$\nu = (e'_j, \sum_{i=1}^r (\beta')_i^{(j)} \cdot \beta^{(i)})_{1 \leq j \leq r'}$$

is a semisimple representation type $\geq \tau$ in $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha)$, by combinatorial description of the partial order \geq on representation types from [LP90, Theorem 2]. Moreover, $G(e)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of the G -stabilizer of x in X , and $G(e')$ is conjugate to a

subgroup of the $G(e)$ -stabilizer of 0 in $X(Q_\tau, e)$, using the embeddings $G(e) \subset G$ and $G(e') \subset G(e)$ from *loc. cit.* Hence, by the correspondence from part (i), ν corresponds to τ and so it occurs also in X . Therefore $\nu = \tau''$. With the explicit description of τ'' in hand, it is now straight-forward to check that $X((Q_\tau)_{\tau'}, e') = X(Q_{\tau''}, e'')$ directly from Definition 2.8. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . Let $\tau = (e, \beta)$ be a representation type occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$.*

(i) *If $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains a simple representation, then $X(Q_\tau, e)$ also contains a simple representation.*

(ii) *Moreover, in this case*

$$p_{Q_\tau}(e) = p_Q(\alpha).$$

Proof. Since simple representations correspond to semisimple points with trivial stabilizers, Theorem 2.2 implies that part (i) is a particular case of Proposition 2.11.

In presence of simple representations, by Theorem 2.1 we have then

$$\dim M(Q, \alpha) = 2p_Q(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim M(Q_\tau, e) = 2p_{Q_\tau}(e).$$

and both are irreducible varieties. Part (ii) then follows from the étale-local isomorphism

$$(M(Q, \alpha), q(x)) \simeq (M(Q_\tau, e), q_\tau(0))$$

from Corollary 2.10. \square

Definition 2.13. Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . We denote the locus in $X(Q, \alpha)$ fixed by the action of $G(\alpha)$ by

$$F(Q, \alpha) := X(Q, \alpha)^{G(\alpha)}.$$

Lemma 2.14. *Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . Then:*

(i) *$F(Q, \alpha)$ is the set of $x \in \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha)$ such that x_a and x_{a^*} are scalar matrices when $a \in Q$ is a loop at a vertex, and x_a and x_{a^*} are 0 otherwise.*

(ii) *$F(Q, \alpha)$ is the set of semisimple points $x \in X(Q, \alpha)$ with representation type $(\alpha_i, \epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$, where ϵ_i are the standard coordinate vectors on \mathbf{Z}^I .*

Proof. (i) If x is fixed by all $g \in G(\alpha)$, then x_a is a square matrix equal to all its conjugates if a is a loop, and it is a matrix equal to all the matrices similar to it, if a is not a loop. The same for a^* . Clearly this locus is in the zero locus of the moment map.

(ii) Since $(\alpha_i, \epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$ is the representation type of the zero representation in $X(Q, \alpha)$, this is a particular case of Theorem 2.2. \square

Definition 2.15. Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . We denote by $Z(Q, \alpha)$ the subset of $X(Q, \alpha)$ consisting of all points with orbit closure containing a fixed point under the action of $G(\alpha)$. That is,

$$Z(Q, \alpha) := q^{-1}(q(F(Q, \alpha)))$$

where $q : X(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow M(Q, \alpha)$ is the affine quotient morphism.

Lemma 2.16. *Let Q be any quiver with a dimension vector α . Assume that*

$$(1) \quad \dim q^{-1}(q(0)) < 2(p_Q(\alpha) - \#(\text{loops in } Q)).$$

Then $\dim Z(Q, \alpha) < 2p_Q(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let X, Z, F be $X(Q, \alpha), Z(Q, \alpha), F(Q, \alpha)$, respectively. Since the first étale-local isomorphisms of Corollary 2.10 actually holds above open saturated affine neighborhoods as provided by Theorem 2.9, we have for $x \in F$

$$\dim q^{-1}(q(x)) = \dim q^{-1}(q(0))$$

by Proposition 2.5. Therefore $\dim Z \leq \dim F + \dim q^{-1}(q(0))$. Lemma 2.14 implies that $\dim F$ is twice the number of loops in Q . Together with (1) one obtains that $\dim Z < 2p_Q(\alpha)$. \square

Remark 2.17. We will prove below in Proposition 2.23 that (1) holds for (Q_τ, e) with $\tau = (e, \beta)$ arising from semisimple, but not simple, representations in the zero locus of the moment map for the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops. In order to extend Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.7 below on rational singularities of $X(Q, \alpha)$ to a bigger class of quivers and dimension vectors, it would be helpful to understand for which other (Q, α) the inequality (1) holds.

Definition 2.18. Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . Let M_τ be the subset of $M = M(Q, \alpha)$ consisting of isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of type τ , as in Theorem 2.2. We define

$$X_\tau := q^{-1}(M_\tau),$$

that is, X_τ is the locally closed subset of X consisting of points x with orbit closure containing a semisimple representation of type τ . For example, $X_\tau = Z(Q, \alpha)$ if $\tau = (\alpha_i, \epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$, by Lemma 2.14.

Proposition 2.19. *Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α . Let $X = X(Q, \alpha)$ and let X_τ be as above, for a representation type $\tau = (e, \beta)$ occurring in X . The isomorphism from Corollary 2.10 restricts locally in the étale topology to an isomorphism*

$$(X_\tau, x) \simeq (G(\alpha)x, x) \times (Z(Q_\tau, e), 0).$$

Proof. Let $\tau' = (e_i, \epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$, so that τ' is the representation type of $0 \in X(Q_\tau, e)$ by Lemma 2.14. Then by Proposition 2.11, to τ' corresponds the representation type $\tau'' = \tau$ in X . Hence the étale-local isomorphism $(M, q(x)) \simeq (M(Q_\tau, e), q_\tau(0))$ from Corollary 2.10 restricts to an étale-local isomorphism $(M_\tau, q(x)) \simeq (M(Q_\tau, e)_{\tau'}, q_\tau(0))$ with $M = M(Q, \alpha)$. The conclusion now follows from the first isomorphism from Corollary 2.10, via the strongly étale property for f from which this isomorphism was derived, together with the identification $Z(Q_\tau, e) = q_\tau^{-1}(M(Q_\tau, e)_{\tau'})$. \square

We recall next a bound on the size of nilpotent cones available for all quivers due to Crawley-Boevey. Afterwards, we show that this bound is particularly useful for the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops.

Definition 2.20. [CB03, §6] Let Q be a quiver. Let T_1, \dots, T_r be a collection of non-isomorphic simple representations of \overline{Q} of dimensions $\beta^{(1)}, \dots, \beta^{(r)}$. Assume that all T_i lie in the zero locus of the moment map (for their corresponding dimension), that is $T_i \in X(Q, \beta^{(i)})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let M be in $X(Q, \alpha)$. We say that M has *top-type* $(j_1, m_1; \dots, j_h, m_h)$ with respect to T_1, \dots, T_r if:

- $h \geq 0$, j_1, \dots, j_h are integers in $\{1, \dots, r\}$, m_1, \dots, m_h are positive integers, and

- there is a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_h = M$$

by sub-representations such that $M_s/M_{s-1} \simeq T_{j_s}^{\oplus m_s}$ and $\dim \text{Hom}(M_s, T_{j_s}) = m_s$ for each s .

If $(j_1, m_1; \dots, j_h, m_h)$ is a top-type, and $1 \leq s \leq h$, define z_s to be zero if $p_Q(\beta^{(j_s)}) = 0$ or there is no $k < s$ with $j_k = j_s$, and otherwise to be equal to m_k for the largest $k < s$ with $j_k = j_s$.

Remark 2.21. (i) The definition from [CB03] uses the variety $\text{Rep}(\Pi, \alpha)$ of representations of the preprojective algebra Π of Q . However, the category of Π -modules is equivalent with the category of representations of the double quiver \overline{Q} with zero image under the moment map by [CBH98, p.16]. In particular $\text{Rep}(\Pi, \alpha) = X(Q, \alpha)$ and the group action on both sides is the same. Moreover, if M is a representation of \overline{Q} lying in $X(Q, \alpha)$ and $M' \subset M$ is a sub-representation, say of dimension β , then M' must lie in $X(Q, \beta)$. Hence the definition from above agrees with that from [CB03].

(ii) The following remark is also made at the beginning of [CB03, Proof of Theorem 6.3]; we rephrase it slightly. Let $q : X(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow M(Q, \alpha)$ be the affine quotient morphism. Let x be a semisimple representation in $X(Q, \alpha)$. The points in the fiber $q^{-1}(q(x))$ correspond to representations in $X(Q, \alpha)$ with semisimplification isomorphic to x . Thus every element in $q^{-1}(q(x))$ admits a top-type with respect to the collection of simple factors of x .

Proposition 2.22. [CB03, Lemma 6.2] *For a quiver Q and a collection T_1, \dots, T_r of non-isomorphic simple representations of \overline{Q} lying in the zero locus of the moment maps for the corresponding dimensions $\beta^{(1)}, \dots, \beta^{(r)}$, the subset of $X(Q, \alpha)$ consisting of those elements with top-type $(j_1, m_1; \dots, j_h, m_h)$ with respect to T_1, \dots, T_r is constructible and has dimension at most*

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha - 1 + p_Q(\alpha) + \sum_{s=1}^h m_s z_s - \sum_{s=1}^h m_s^2 p_Q(\beta^{(j_s)}).$$

We apply this result to obtain:

Proposition 2.23. *Let Q be the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\tau = (e_i, \beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ be a representation type occurring in $X(Q, n)$. Assume that $\tau \neq (1, n)$, that is, τ is the type of a semisimple but not simple representation. Let*

$$q_\tau : X(Q_\tau, e) \rightarrow M(Q_\tau, e)$$

be the affine quotient morphism. Then

$$\dim q_\tau^{-1}(0) < 2p_Q(n) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r p_Q(\beta_i).$$

Proof. In this case, one can work out the right-hand side to be $2(g-1)(n^2 - \sum_i \beta_i^2)$.

Step 1. To bound the left-hand side, we will use Proposition 2.22. Considering the trivial representation 0 in $X(Q_\tau, e)$, there is a decomposition

$$(2) \quad 0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r T_i^{\oplus e_i}$$

where T_i are the simple (i.e. irreducible) non-isomorphic trivial (i.e. zero) representations of \overline{Q}_τ of dimension vectors ϵ_i , with ϵ_i denoting as before the standard coordinate vectors in \mathbf{Z}^r . In particular, $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ is the representation type of the trivial representation in $X(Q_\tau, e)$. The fiber $q_\tau^{-1}(0)$ consists of the representations in $X(Q_\tau, e)$ with semisimplification precisely $0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r T_i^{\oplus \epsilon_i}$. Hence each element in $q_\tau^{-1}(0)$ admits a top-type with respect to T_1, \dots, T_r . Then, by Proposition 2.22, $\dim q_\tau^{-1}(0)$ is at most the maximum value among the quantities

$$(3) \quad e \cdot e - 1 + p_{Q_\tau}(e) + \sum_{s=1}^h m_s z_s - \sum_{s=1}^h m_s^2 p_{Q_\tau}(\epsilon_{j_s})$$

for top-types $(j_1, m_1; \dots; j_h, m_h)$ with respect to T_1, \dots, T_r . Here $j_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and m_s positive natural numbers, and

$$z_s = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p_{Q_\tau}(\epsilon_{j_s}) = 0, \text{ or if } \nexists k < s \text{ with } j_k = j_s, \\ m_k, & \text{for the largest } k < s \text{ with } j_k = j_s. \end{cases}$$

By definition of top-type, this is the data coming from an element $M \in q_\tau^{-1}(0)$ with a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_h = M$ by sub-representations $M_s/M_{s-1} \simeq T_{j_s}^{\oplus m_s}$ and such that $\dim \text{Hom}(M_s, T_{j_s}) = m_s$ for all s .

Step 2. We use the special case we are in to simplify (3). Namely, $p_{Q_\tau}(e) = p_Q(n) = 1 + (g-1)n^2$ and $p_{Q_\tau}(\epsilon_i) = 1 + (g-1)\beta_i^2$. We plug this into (3) and we want to check that (3) is strictly smaller than $2(g-1)(n^2 - \sum_i \beta_i^2)$. That is, we want that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r e_i^2 + \sum_{s=1}^h m_s z_s - \sum_{s=1}^h m_s^2 (1 + (g-1)\beta_{j_s}^2) < (g-1)n^2 - 2(g-1) \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i^2.$$

Despite of the complicated description of the set of all possible top-types, the only information we will use now to draw our conclusion from (4) is that the number of copies of T_i 's in a filtration giving rise to a top-type has to match the number of copies in the decomposition (2), namely, that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$e_i = \sum_{s \text{ with } j_s=i} m_s.$$

This gives the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{i=1}^r e_i \beta_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s: j_s=i} m_s \beta_i = \sum_s m_s \beta_{j_s} \\ \sum_{i=1}^r e_i^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{s: j_s=i} m_s \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We use these equalities to phrase (4) only in terms of j_s, m_s, z_s, β_i , and g , eliminating the terms n and e_i . We single out g together with its coefficient, place it on the right-hand side, and move the other summands from one side to the other until they achieve a positive sign. Then (4) becomes equivalent to

$$\sum_i \left(\sum_{s: j_s=i} m_s \right)^2 + \sum_s m_s z_s + \sum_s m_s^2 \beta_{j_s}^2 + \left(\sum_s m_s \beta_{j_s} \right)^2 <$$

$$< \sum_s m_s^2 + 2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 + g \left[\sum_s m_s^2 \beta_{j_s}^2 + \left(\sum_s m_s \beta_{j_s} \right)^2 - 2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 \right].$$

Since all T_i must appear in the semisimplification of M , the set $\{\beta_{j_s} \mid s\}$ is the whole set $\{\beta_i \mid i\}$ with repetitions allowed. Since $m_s, \beta_i > 0$, the coefficient of g must be non-negative. Hence it is enough if we prove the inequality for $g = 2$. That is, we need to show that

$$(5) \quad \sum_i \left(\sum_{s: j_s=i} m_s \right)^2 + \sum_s m_s z_s + 2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 < \sum_s m_s^2 + \sum_s m_s^2 \beta_{j_s}^2 + \left(\sum_s m_s \beta_{j_s} \right)^2.$$

Step 3. By the definition of z_s , the inequality is equivalent with the one where the top-type is rearranged so that

$$1 = j_1 = \dots = j_{s_1}; 2 = j_{s_1+1} = \dots = j_{s_2}; \dots; r = j_{s_{r-1}+1} = \dots = j_{s_r} = j_h.$$

Then the sequence z_1, \dots, z_h is the sequence

$$0, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{s_1-1}; 0, m_{s_1+1}, \dots, m_{s_2-1}; \dots; 0, m_{s_{r-1}+1}, \dots, m_{s_r-1}.$$

With this, (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m_1 + \dots + m_{s_1})^2 + \dots + (m_{s_{r-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_r})^2] + \\ & + [(m_2 m_1 + m_3 m_2 + \dots + m_{s_1} m_{s_1-1}) + \dots + (m_{s_{r-1}+2} m_{s_{r-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_r} m_{s_r-1})] + \\ & + 2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 < [m_1^2 + \dots + m_{s_r}^2] + \\ & + [\beta_1^2 (m_1^2 + \dots + m_{s_1}^2) + \dots + \beta_r^2 (m_{s_{r-1}+1}^2 + \dots + m_{s_r}^2)] + \\ & + [\beta_1 (m_1 + \dots + m_{s_1}) + \dots + \beta_r (m_{s_{r-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_r})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

By bounding the second line of summands via

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 m_1 + \dots + m_{s_1} m_{s_1-1} & \leq \frac{1}{2} (m_2^2 + m_1^2 + \dots + m_{s_1}^2 + m_{s_1-1}^2) = \\ & = m_1^2 + \dots + m_{s_1}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (m_1^2 + m_{s_1}^2), \end{aligned}$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m_1 + \dots + m_{s_1})^2 + \dots + (m_{s_{r-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_r})^2] + 2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 < \\ & \frac{1}{2} [m_1^2 + m_{s_1}^2 + \dots + m_{s_{r-1}+1}^2 + m_{s_r}^2] + \\ & + [\beta_1^2 (m_1^2 + \dots + m_{s_1}^2) + \dots + \beta_r^2 (m_{s_{r-1}+1}^2 + \dots + m_{s_r}^2)] + \\ & + [\beta_1 (m_1 + \dots + m_{s_1}) + \dots + \beta_r (m_{s_{r-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_r})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$A_i = m_{s_{i-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_i},$$

the inequality is implied by

$$(6) \quad A_1^2 + \dots + A_r^2 + \beta_1^2 + \dots + \beta_r^2 < [\beta_1 A_1 + \dots + \beta_r A_r]^2$$

if we can show that this last one is true. Using that

$$x^2 + y^2 \leq x^2 y^2 + 1 \quad \text{for integers } x, y \geq 1,$$

the left-hand side of (6) is at most

$$\beta_1^2 A_1^2 + \dots + \beta_r^2 A_r^2 + r.$$

If $r \geq 2$,

$$r \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i A_i \beta_j A_j.$$

Therefore in this case

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^2 + \dots + A_r^2 + \beta_1^2 + \dots + \beta_r^2 &\leq \beta_1^2 A_1^2 + \dots + \beta_r^2 A_r^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i A_i \beta_j A_j = \\ &= [\beta_1 A_1 + \dots + \beta_r A_r]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (6) for this case. If $r = 1$, (6) reduces to $A_1^2 + \beta_1^2 < \beta_1^2 A_1^2$. By assumption, $A_1, \beta_1 > 1$, so the inequality holds in this case as well. \square

Proposition 2.24. *Let Q be the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\tau = (e_i, \beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ be a representation type occurring in $X(Q, n)$ with $\tau \neq (1, n)$, that is, τ is the representation type of a semisimple but not simple representation. Then*

$$\dim Z(Q_\tau, e) < 2p_Q(n).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.16 and Proposition 2.23, since $\sum_{i=1}^r p_Q(\beta_i)$ is the number of loops in Q_τ by definition of Q_τ . \square

Remark 2.25. The inequality does not hold for $\tau = (1, n)$. Indeed, in this case $Z(Q_\tau, e)$ consists of the simple locus in $X(Q, n)$, which by Theorem 2.1 is open and of dimension $n^2 - 1 + 2p_Q(n)$.

Proposition 2.24 has the following more explicit equivalent formulation:

Proposition 2.26. *Let \mathcal{C} be the class of quivers parametrized up to the direction of the arrows by the set*

$$\bigcup_{r > 0} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{> 0}^r,$$

such that a quiver Q associated to $(g, \beta) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{> 0}^r$ has: r vertices, $1 + (g-1)\beta_i^2$ loops at the vertex i , and $(g-1)\beta_i\beta_j$ arrows between the vertices i and j with $i \neq j$, there being no restriction on the direction of these arrows. Then, for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{> 0}^r$, excluding the case $r = \alpha = 1$,

$$\dim Z(Q, \alpha) < 2p_Q(\alpha).$$

Proof. Let Q_g be the quiver with 1 vertex and $g > 1$ loops. For an integer $m > 1$, $p_Q(m) = 1 + (g-1)m^2 > 0$. So by Theorem 2.1, $X(Q_g, m)$ has lots of simple representations. By taking direct sums of simple representations of various dimensions, one has that an equivalent condition for $\tau = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ to be a representation type occurring in $X(Q_g, n)$ is that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \beta_i = n$. One applies now Proposition 2.24 with $\alpha_i = e_i$ and the explicit description in this case of Q_τ from Definition 2.8. \square

3. JETS ALONG ZEROS OF MOMENT MAPS

We fix as before an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. For X a variety over k and an integer $m \geq 1$, we let

$$\pi_m : X_m \rightarrow X$$

denote the projection from the m -jet scheme. Recall that the m -jets are the elements of

$$X_m(k) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-sch}}(\text{Spec}(k[t]/t^{m+1}), X).$$

If $X \subset \mathbf{A}^n$ is given by $f_1(x) = \dots = f_r(x) = 0$ with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $f_i \in k[x]$, then X_m is given in $\mathbf{A}^{n(m+1)}$ with coordinates $x, x', x'', \dots, x^{(m)}$, where $x^{(j)} = (x_1^{(j)}, \dots, x_n^{(j)})$, by

$$f_1(x(t)) \equiv \dots \equiv f_r(x(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{m+1}}$$

with $x_i(t) = x_i + x'_i t + x''_i t^2 + \dots + x_i^{(m)} t^m$.

We will use the following relationship between jets schemes and rational singularities due to M. Mustașă:

Theorem 3.1. ([Mu01, Propositions 1.4 and 1.5]) *Let X be a locally complete intersection variety. The following are equivalent for $m \geq 1$:*

- (i) X_m is irreducible,
- (ii) $\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}}) < (\dim X)(m+1)$,
- (iii) X_m is a locally complete intersection variety of dimension $\leq (\dim X)(m+1)$.

Theorem 3.2. ([Mu01, Theorems 0.1 and 3.3]) *Let X be a locally complete intersection variety. The following are also equivalent:*

- (a) the conditions (i)-(iii) are fulfilled for all $m \geq 1$,
- (b) X has rational singularities,
- (c) X has canonical singularities.

Lemma 3.3. *For a quiver Q and dimension vector α , let $\pi_m : X(Q, \alpha)_m \rightarrow X(Q, \alpha)$ be the projection from the m -jet scheme, $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m^{-1}(F(Q, \alpha)) \simeq \begin{cases} F(Q, \alpha) \times X(Q, \alpha)_{m-2} \times \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha) & \text{if } m \geq 2, \\ F(Q, \alpha) \times \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, \alpha) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As before, $F(Q, \alpha)$ denotes the locus in $X(Q, \alpha)$ fixed by the action of $G(\alpha)$. The m -jet scheme $X(Q, \alpha)_m$ is the subscheme of

$$\bigoplus_{a \in Q} (\text{Mat}(\alpha_{h(a)} \times \alpha_{t(a)}, k[t]/t^{m+1}) \oplus \text{Mat}(\alpha_{h(a^*)} \times \alpha_{t(a^*)}, k[t]/t^{m+1}))$$

defined by

$$\sum_{a \in Q} [x(t)_a, x(t)_{a^*}] = 0 \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Mat}(\alpha_i, k[t]/t^{m+1}).$$

Writing $x(t)_a = \sum_{j=0}^m x_{a,j} t^j$ with $x_{a,j}$ a matrix over k , this is equivalent with the system of equations

$$\sum_{a \in Q} [x_{a,0}, x_{a^*,0}] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in Q} ([x_{a,0}, x_{a^*,1}] + [x_{a,1}, x_{a^*,0}]) &= 0 \\ \sum_{a \in Q} ([x_{a,0}, x_{a^*,2}] + [x_{a,1}, x_{a^*,1}] + [x_{a,2}, x_{a^*,0}]) &= 0 \\ &\dots \\ \sum_{a \in Q} ([x_{a,0}, x_{a^*,m}] + [x_{a,1}, x_{a^*,m-1}] + \dots + [x_{a,m-1}, x_{a^*,1}] + [x_{a,m}, x_{a^*,0}]) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $x(t) = (x(t)_a, x(t)_{a^*})_{a \in Q}$ is an m -jet in $X(Q, \alpha)_m$ whose projection is the fixed point

$$x_0 = \pi_m(x(t)) = (x_{a,0}, x_{a^*,0})_{a \in Q},$$

then all the commutator terms involving $x_{a,0}$ or $x_{a^*,0}$ are zero, by Lemma 2.14. The conclusion follows. \square

As before, let $Z(Q, \alpha)$ denote the subset of points in $X(Q, \alpha)$ with $G(\alpha)$ -orbit closure containing a $G(\alpha)$ -fixed point.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Q be a quiver. Let α be a dimension vector with $p_Q(\alpha) > 0$. Assume that $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains a simple representation, and that for all semisimple but not simple representation types $\tau = (e, \beta)$ occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$,*

$$\dim Z(Q_\tau, e) < 2p_Q(\alpha).$$

Then $X(Q, \alpha)$ has rational singularities.

Proof. For this proof we will use $X = X(Q, \alpha)$, $M = M(Q, \alpha)$, and $G = G(\alpha)$ to ease the notation.

By Theorem 2.1, X is a complete intersection variety, smooth at the simple representations. Therefore we can use Theorem 3.2 to show that X has rational singularities. Namely, letting $\pi_m : X_m \rightarrow X$ be the projection from the m -th jet scheme, we will show that

$$(7) \quad \dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_{sing}) < (\dim X)(m + 1)$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

Since X_{sing} is contained in the union over all non-simple representation types $\tau = (e, \beta)$ occurring in X of the strata X_τ from Definition 2.18, it is enough to show that

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) < (\dim X)(m + 1)$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

Let $x \in X_\tau$ be a semisimple representation. Then we have the étale-local isomorphisms

$$(8) \quad (X, x) \simeq (Gx, x) \times (X(Q_\tau, e), 0)$$

over saturated open affine neighborhoods restricting to an étale-local isomorphism

$$(X_\tau, x) \simeq (Gx, x) \times (Z(Q_\tau, e), 0)$$

by Proposition 2.19. Recall that the formation of m -jets commutes with taking étale covers, see [Mu01, Proposition 1.1]. Hence there is an étale-local isomorphism

$$(9) \quad \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) \simeq (Gx)_m \times \pi_{\tau, m}^{-1}(Z(Q_\tau, e))$$

above the saturated open affine neighborhoods from (8), where $(Gx)_m$ is the m -jet scheme of the orbit Gx , and $\pi_{\tau,m} : X(Q_\tau, e)_m \rightarrow X(Q_\tau, e)$ is the projection from the m -jet scheme of $X(Q_\tau, e)$. We stress that in (9) the étale-local isomorphism might not even be defined globally on some étale covers.

We will compute dimensions in (9). In doing so, we do not have to restrict above a saturated affine neighborhood of $x \in X$ since X_τ is saturated by definition, and there is an étale-local isomorphism $(X, x) \simeq (X, x')$ over saturated affine neighborhoods for any $x' \in X_\tau$ semisimple by (8). Similarly, in computing the dimension on the right-hand side, we do not have to restrict above a saturated affine neighborhood of 0 in $X(Q_\tau, e)$.

Since Gx is smooth irreducible,

$$\dim(Gx)_m = (\dim Gx)(m + 1).$$

Thus,

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) = (\dim Gx)(m + 1) + \dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(Z(Q_\tau, e)).$$

Since $(X(Q_\tau, e), y) \simeq (X(Q_\tau, e), 0)$ for all semisimple $y \in Z(Q_\tau, e)$, we have $\dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(y) = \dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(0)$. Since the dimensions of the fibers of $\pi_{\tau,m}$ obey upper semi-continuity, see [Mu02, Proposition 2.3], we have then

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) \leq (\dim Gx)(m + 1) + \dim Z(Q_\tau, e) + \dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(0).$$

By assumption,

$$\dim Z(Q_\tau, e) < 2p_Q(\alpha) = \dim M,$$

the last equality being true by Theorem 2.1. Hence

$$(10) \quad \dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) < (\dim Gx)(m + 1) + \dim M + \dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(0).$$

Consider the case $m = 1$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(0) = \dim \text{Rep}(\overline{Q_\tau}, e) = 2(e \cdot e - 1 + p_{Q_\tau}(e)).$$

We have $\dim G_x = \dim G(e) = e \cdot e - 1$, and $2p_{Q_\tau}(e) = 2p_Q(\alpha) = \dim M$ by Proposition 2.12. Hence

$$\dim \pi_{\tau,1}^{-1}(0) = 2 \dim G_x + \dim M,$$

and so (10) becomes

$$\dim \pi_1^{-1}(X_\tau) < 2(\dim Gx + \dim M + \dim G_x) = 2(\dim G + \dim M) = 2 \dim X,$$

the last equality being true by Theorem 2.1. This proves the case $m = 1$ of (7).

Let now $m \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$(11) \quad \dim \pi_{\tau,m}^{-1}(0) = \dim X(Q_\tau, e)_{m-2} + \dim \text{Rep}(\overline{Q_\tau}, e).$$

Every representation type that occurs in $X(Q_\tau, e)$ actually occurs in any $G(e)$ -saturated open affine neighborhood of $0 \in X(Q_\tau, e)$, cf. the first paragraph of the proof of Proposition 2.11. By using the group action, every point in $X(Q_\tau, e)$ outside the semisimple locus, has an open neighborhood isomorphic to an open neighborhood of a point arbitrarily close to the semisimple locus. Therefore the dimension of $X(Q_\tau, e)_{m-2}$ can be computed above any saturated open affine neighborhood of $0 \in X(Q_\tau, e)$. Hence, we can use (8), which gives us that

$$\dim X(Q_\tau, e)_{m-2} \leq \dim X_{m-2} - \dim(Gx)_{m-2} = \dim X_{m-2} - (\dim Gx)(m - 1).$$

By induction on m , we can assume that

$$\dim \pi_{m-2}^{-1}(X_\tau) < (\dim X)(m-1)$$

for all semisimple but not simple representation type τ occurring in X . Since

$$\dim \pi_{m-2}^{-1}(X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}) = (\dim X)(m-1),$$

we have

$$\dim X_{m-2} \leq (\dim X)(m-1).$$

Therefore

$$\dim X(Q_\tau, e)_{m-2} \leq (\dim X - \dim Gx)(m-1).$$

With this and (11), we get from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_\tau) &< (\dim Gx)(m+1) + \dim M + (\dim X - \dim Gx)(m-1) + \\ &+ 2 \dim G_x + \dim M = (\dim G_x + \dim X)(m+1) = (\dim X)(m+1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let Q be a quiver with a dimension vector α such that $p_Q(\alpha) > 0$. Assume that $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains a simple representation, and that for all semisimple but not simple representation types $\tau = (e, \beta)$ occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$, the inequality (1) from Lemma 2.16 holds for (Q_τ, e) . Then $X(Q_\tau, e)$ has rational singularities for all representation types $\tau = (e, \beta)$ occurring in $X(Q, \alpha)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.12, Proposition 2.11, Lemma 2.16, we can apply Lemma 3.4 to $X(Q_\tau, e)$. □

A direct consequence of this is:

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a class of quivers with dimension vectors (Q, α) satisfying:*

- \mathcal{M} is closed under the operation of producing quivers with dimension vectors (Q_τ, e) via Definition 2.8; and
- For every (Q, α) in \mathcal{M} , $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains a simple representation and $p_Q(\alpha) > 0$; and
- For every (Q, α) in \mathcal{M} such that $X(Q, \alpha)$ contains semisimple but not simple representations, the statement (1) holds. That is, the dimension of the union of all $G(\alpha)$ -orbits in $X(Q, \alpha)$ whose closures contain the trivial representation is strictly smaller than

$$2p_Q(\alpha) - 2 \cdot \#(\text{loops in } Q).$$

Then $X(Q, \alpha)$ has rational singularities for every (Q, α) in \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 3.7. *For every quiver Q in \mathcal{C} , the class of quivers defined in Proposition 2.26, and for every dimension vector $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^r$ on the set of vertices of Q with non-zero dimension at each vertex, the variety $X(Q, \alpha)$ has rational singularities.*

Proof. Consider the class \mathcal{M} of quivers with dimension vectors (Q, α) such that Q is in \mathcal{C} and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^r$. By definition, \mathcal{M} the class obtained by applying Definition 2.8 to quivers with one vertex and at least two loops. By Proposition 2.11, \mathcal{M} is closed under the operation of producing new elements via Definition 2.8. Moreover, (1) holds for all (Q, α) in \mathcal{M} by Proposition 2.23. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops lies by definition in the class \mathcal{C} . The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.7. \square

4. GL_n -REPRESENTATIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS

In this section $k = \mathbf{C}$. For a compact Riemann surface C_g of genus g and a point $p \in C_g$ we will use the simpler notation

$$R(g, n) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g, p), \mathrm{GL}_n(k))$$

and

$$M(g, n) = R(g, n) // \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$$

for the affine quotient. The closed points of $M(g, n)$ are into 1-1 correspondence with the isomorphism classes of semisimple k -local systems of rank n on C_g . For $g \geq 2$, $R(g, n)$ is a normal complete intersection variety by [Si94, Theorem 11.1], while $M(g, n)$ is a symplectic variety by [BS16, Proposition 8.4], hence it has rational singularities.

We need the following from deformation theory, see for example the case $k = 0$ of [BW15, Lemma 7.10]:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $g \geq 2$. Let $\rho \in R(g, n)$ be a semisimple complex representation and $L \in M(g, n)$ the associated semisimple complex local system. Let*

$$\mu : \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(L, L), \quad \mu(e) = e \cup e.$$

There is an isomorphism of formal germs

$$\widehat{R(g, n)}_\rho \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_0 \times \widehat{\mu^{-1}(0)}_0,$$

for some affine space \mathfrak{h} .

Remark 4.2. (a) Recall that $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, L) = H^\bullet(C_g, L \otimes L^\vee)$.

(b) For two points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ on two algebraic varieties, the following are equivalent by Artin approximation: x and y have isomorphic complex analytic, or étale, or formal neighborhoods.

(c) The main ingredient of the proof of Proposition 4.1 is the formality of the differential graded Lie algebra controlling the deformation theory of a semisimple representation. This was proved by [Si92] using transcendental techniques, hence the reason for the field k to be \mathbf{C} .

The map μ is the moment map of a double quiver, see [KLS06, 3.1], where one has to replace Serre duality for coherent sheaves with duality for local systems [Di04, Corollary 3.3.12], or see [BS16, Theorem 8.6] for this version with local systems which we state now:

Proposition 4.3. *Let*

$$L \simeq L_1^{\oplus e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r^{\oplus e_r}$$

be a semisimple complex local system of representation type

$$\tau = (e_1, \beta_1; \cdots; e_r, \beta_r).$$

on C_g , with $\mathrm{rank}(L_i) = \beta_i$. Let Q be the quiver with one vertex and g loops. Consider the associated quiver Q_τ , dimension vector e , and group $G(e)$ as is Definition 2.8. Then:

- (a) $\text{Aut}(L)/k^* \simeq G(e)$.
(b) There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}^1(L, L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Rep}(\overline{Q}_\tau, e) \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_{Q_\tau, e} \\ \text{Ext}^2(L, L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{End}(e) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are $G(e)$ -equivariant isomorphisms.

- (c) There is a $G(e)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mu^{-1}(0) \simeq X(Q_\tau, e).$$

Proof. The quiver realizing this identification from [KLS06, BS16] is described as having r vertices and its double having $\dim \text{Ext}^1(L_i, L_j)$ arrows from i to j . The identification with Q_τ follows from Definition 2.8 and the equalities

$$\dim \text{Ext}^1(L_i, L_j) = \begin{cases} 2p_Q(\beta_i) & = 2(g-1)\beta_i^2 + 2, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -(\beta_i, \beta_j)_Q & = 2(g-1)\beta_i\beta_j, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We recall how one checks these equalities. One has

$$\chi(C_g, L_i^\vee \otimes L_j) = \text{rank}(L_i^\vee \otimes L_j)\chi(C_g) = \beta_i\beta_j 2(1-g)$$

by [Di04, Example 3.3.13]. On the other hand, by duality

$$h^2(C_g, L_i^\vee \otimes L_j) = h^0(C_g, L_i \otimes L_j^\vee) = \dim \text{Hom}(L_j, L_i).$$

This equals 1 if $i = j$, and it equals 0 if $i \neq j$ since the L_i are simple non-isomorphic local systems. Hence $h^1(C_g, L_i^\vee \otimes L_j) = \dim \text{Ext}^1(L_i, L_j)$ has the claimed value. \square

The previous two propositions imply:

Corollary 4.4. *Let $g \geq 2$. Let $\rho \in R(g, n)$ be a semisimple complex representation of type $\tau = (e_1, \beta_1; \dots; e_r, \beta_r)$. Let Q be the quiver with one vertex and g loops. There is an isomorphism of formal germs*

$$\widehat{R(g, n)}_\rho \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_0 \times \widehat{X(Q_\tau, e)}_0$$

for some affine space \mathfrak{h} .

Remark 4.5. In [KLS06, §3], the analog of this corollary for coherent sheaves on K3 surfaces was proved with some difficulty, see [KLS06, Propositions 3.6 and 3.8], since at the time, formality for polystable sheaves on K3 surfaces was not known. This formality property has been proven recently by Budur-Zhang [BZ18].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The moduli space $R(g, n)$ contains an open dense subsets of isomorphism classes of simple representations. In particular, by taking direct sums, $R(g, n)$ contains semisimple representations of type $\tau = (e_1, \beta_1; \dots; e_r, \beta_r)$ for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i b_i = n$ with $r, e_i, \beta_i \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$. These are also all the representation types occurring on $X(Q, n)$, where Q is the quiver with one vertex and g loops. The proof of Theorem 1.1 gives that all $X(Q_\tau, e)$ have rational singularities. By Corollary 4.4, this implies that all semisimple representations in $R(g, n)$ are rational singularities. So every semisimple representation in $R(g, n)$ has an open neighborhood which has rational

singularities. Using the group action to map isomorphically a neighborhood of any point to a neighborhood of a point arbitrarily close to a semisimple point, we conclude that $R(g, n)$ has rational singularities everywhere. \square

5. SL_n -REPRESENTATIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS

The sets $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C}))$ are the sets of complex points of two schemes of finite type over \mathbf{Q} which we denote by $R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n)$ and $R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n)$, respectively. The connection between them is given by the following, see [BS16, Lemma 8.17] for the complex points:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $g, n \geq 1$. There is an étale morphism of \mathbf{Q} -schemes*

$$R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n) \times_{\mathbf{Q}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} \rightarrow R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n),$$

where $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Q}[T, T^{-1}]$.

Proof. On the underlying sets of complex points, this is just the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})) \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^{2g} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})) \\ ((x_i, y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}, (\lambda_i, \mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}) &\mapsto (\lambda_i x_i, \mu_i y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the claim over \mathbf{Q} , we need to work with \mathbf{Q} -algebras though. Let

$$A = \mathbf{Q}[s_1^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_g^{\pm 1}, t_g^{\pm 1}]$$

be ring of regular functions on $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$. Define the morphism of \mathbf{Q} -algebras

$$m^\# : A \rightarrow A, \quad (s_i, t_i) \mapsto (s_i^n, t_i^n).$$

Let $m : (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$ be the corresponding morphism on spectra. Then m is an étale morphism sending a closed point $(\lambda_i, \mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ to $(\lambda_i^n, \mu_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$.

Let B be the ring of regular functions on $R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n)$, that is,

$$B = \frac{\mathbf{Q}[X_i, Y_i, \det^{-1}(X_i), \det^{-1}(Y_i)]_{1 \leq i \leq g}}{\langle \prod_{i=1}^g [X_i, Y_i] - 1 \rangle}$$

where $X_i = ((X_i)_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$, $Y_i = ((Y_i)_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ are matrices of indeterminates. Define the \mathbf{Q} -algebra morphism

$$f^\# : A \rightarrow B, \quad (s_i, t_i) \mapsto (\det(X_i), \det(Y_i))$$

Let $f : R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$ be the corresponding morphism of \mathbf{Q} -schemes. It is given by

$$f : (g_i, h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mapsto (\det(g_i), \det(h_i))_{1 \leq i \leq g}$$

on closed points. Form the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \times_{(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} & \xrightarrow{m'} & R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \\ f' \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} & \xrightarrow{m} & (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}. \end{array}$$

We claim that there is an isomorphism of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$ -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n) \times_{\mathbf{Q}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} & \xrightarrow[g]{\sim} & R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \times_{(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow f' \\ & & (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} \end{array}$$

where p is the second projection. On closed points, g sends a point $((g_i, h_i), (\lambda_i, \mu_i))$ of $R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n) \times_{\mathbf{Q}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$ to the point $((\lambda_i g_i, \mu_i h_i), (\lambda_i, \mu_i))$ of $R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \times_{(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$.

To define g schematically, we define the corresponding \mathbf{Q} -algebra morphism $g^\#$. Let

$$C = \frac{B}{\langle \det(X_i) - 1, \det(Y_i) - 1 \rangle_{1 \leq i \leq g}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A.$$

Then

$$R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n) \times_{\mathbf{Q}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} = \mathrm{Spec}(C).$$

Let $D = B \otimes_A A$ via the A -algebra morphisms $f^\# : A \rightarrow B$ and $m^\# : A \rightarrow A$. Then

$$R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n) \times_{(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}} (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g} = \mathrm{Spec}(D).$$

Note that there is a natural isomorphism of A -algebras

$$D \simeq \frac{B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A}{\langle \det(X_i) - s_i^n, \det(Y_i) - t_i^n \rangle}$$

Define first a morphisms of A -algebras

$$g^\# : B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} A$$

by $X_i \mapsto s_i X_i$ (that is, with $s_i X_i$ viewed as a matrix), $Y_i \mapsto t_i Y_i$, $s_i \mapsto s_i$, $t_i \mapsto t_i$. This is clearly an isomorphism over A , since s_i and t_i are invertible and the inverse is given by $X_i \mapsto t_i^{-1} X_i$, $Y_i \mapsto t_i^{-1} Y_i$. Moreover, $g^\#(\det(X_i) - s_i^n) = s_i^n(\det(X_i) - 1)$, and similarly for Y_i . Hence the ideal generated by the image under $g^\#$ of the ideal $\langle \det(X_i) - s_i^n, \det(Y_i) - t_i^n \rangle$ is precisely the ideal $\langle \det(X_i) - 1, \det(Y_i) - 1 \rangle$, since s_i and t_i are invertible. It follows that $g^\#$ induces a well-defined A -algebra isomorphism $g^\# : D \xrightarrow{\sim} C$. Thus g is an isomorphism over $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2g}$.

The base change of an étale morphism is étale. Hence m' is étale. Then the composition

$$m' \circ g : R(n, \mathrm{SL}_d) \times_k (\mathbb{G}_m)^{2n} \rightarrow R(n, \mathrm{GL}_d)$$

is étale since g is an isomorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By general properties of schemes (see for example the proof of [BZ18, Lemma 3.2]), Theorem 1.2 implies that $R(g, \mathrm{GL}_n)$ is a \mathbf{Q} -variety with rational singularities for $g \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.1, the same is true for $R(g, \mathrm{SL}_n)$. This implies in particular Theorem 1.3 and it shows that the natural scheme structure on $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(C_g), \mathrm{SL}_n)$ is reduced for $g \geq 2$. \square

6. CONSEQUENCES

We recall the following terminology from Theorem 1.6. Let Γ be a topological group. The abscissa of convergence

$$\alpha(\Gamma)$$

is the smallest $s_0 \in \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that representation zeta function

$$\zeta_{\Gamma}(s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} r_m(\Gamma) m^{-s},$$

with $r_m(\Gamma)$ being the number of isomorphism classes of continuous irreducible m -dimensional complex representations of Γ , converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > s_0$.

In Theorem 1.7, the abscissa α is defined similarly but counting all the irreducible representations without restricting to the continuous ones.

In order for $\zeta_{\Gamma}(s)$ to be defined, $r_m(\Gamma)$ must be finite. For finitely generated pro-finite groups Γ , this property is equivalent to having finite abelianization by [JK06]. This is the case for the groups in Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. For arithmetic groups in higher rank semisimple groups, the finiteness of $r_m(\Gamma)$ is a consequence of Margulis superrigidity, see [B+02, p2]. This is the case for the groups in Theorems 1.4 and 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Follows from Theorem 1.6 and general facts about abscissae of convergence of Dirichlet generating functions. \square

Proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. They follow directly from Theorem 1.3 and [AA16, Theorem IV]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Follows from Theorem 1.3 together with [AA18, Theorem II]. See the comment following Corollary 1.4 in [BZo18]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Follows from Theorem 1.7 and general facts about abscissae of convergence of Dirichlet generating functions. \square

REFERENCES

- [AA16] A. Aizenbud, N. Avni, Representation growth and rational singularities of the moduli space of local systems. *Invent. Math.* 204 (2016), no. 1, 245-316. 1, 3, 4, 25
- [AA18] A. Aizenbud, N. Avni, Counting points of schemes over finite rings and counting representations of arithmetic lattices. *Duke Math. J.* 167, no. 14 (2018), 2721-2743. 3, 4, 25
- [B+02] H. Bass, A. Lubotzky, A. Magid, S. Mozes, The proalgebraic completion of rigid groups. *Geom. Dedicata* 95 (2002), 19-58. 25
- [BS16] G. Bellamy, T. Schedler, Symplectic resolutions of quiver varieties and character varieties. *arXiv:1602.00164*. 5, 21, 22, 23
- [BW15] N. Budur, B. Wang, Cohomology jump loci of differential graded Lie algebras. *Compos. Math.* 151 (2015), no. 8, 1499-1528. 21
- [BZ18] N. Budur, Z. Zhang, Formality conjecture for K3 surfaces. *Compos. Math.* 155 (2019), 902-911. 22, 24
- [BZo18] N. Budur, M. Zordan, On representation zeta functions for special linear groups. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*. doi:10.1093/imrn/rny057. 4, 25
- [BZo18'] N. Budur, M. Zordan, Erratum: "On representation zeta functions for special linear groups". *arXiv:1706.05525v4*. 4
- [CBH98] W. Crawley-Boevey, M. Holland, Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. *Duke Math. J.* 92 (1998), no. 3, 605-635. 6, 13

- [CB01] W. Crawley-Boevey, Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers. *Compos. Math.* 126 (2001), no. 3, 257-293. [1](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [CB03] W. Crawley-Boevey, Normality of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers. *Math. Ann.* 325 (2003), no. 1, 55-79. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#), [9](#), [12](#), [13](#)
- [Di04] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in topology*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xvi+236 pp. [21](#), [22](#)
- [Dr04] J.-M. Drézet, Luna's slice theorem and applications. *Algebraic group actions and quotients*, 39-89, Hindawi Publ. Corp., Cairo, 2004. [8](#), [10](#)
- [El78] R. Elkik, Singularités rationnelles et déformations. *Invent. Math.* 47 (1978), no. 2, 139-147. [4](#)
- [JK06] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, Zeta function of representations of compact p -adic analytic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19, no. 1 (2006): 91-118. [25](#)
- [KLS06] D. Kaledin, M. Lehn, Ch. Sorger, Singular symplectic moduli spaces. *Invent. Math.* 164 (2006), no. 3, 591-614. [3](#), [21](#), [22](#)
- [LP90] L. Le Bruyn, C. Procesi, Semisimple representations of quivers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 317 (1990), no. 2, 585-598. [7](#), [10](#)
- [Lu73] D. Luna, Slices étales. *Sur les groupes algébriques*, pp. 81-105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973. [8](#), [9](#)
- [Ma08] M. Martino, Stratifications of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers and deformations of symplectic quotient singularities. *Math. Z.* 258 (2008), no. 1, 1-28. [7](#)
- [Mu01] M. Mustață, Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities. With an appendix by David Eisenbud and Edward Frenkel. *Invent. Math.* 145 (2001), no. 3, 397-424. [2](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [Mu02] M. Mustață, Singularities of pairs via jet schemes. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), no. 3, 599-615. [19](#)
- [RBC96] A.S. Rapinchuk, V.V. Benyash-Krivetz, V.I. Chernousov, Representation varieties of the fundamental groups of compact orientable surfaces. *Israel J. Math.* 93 (1996), 29-71. [3](#)
- [Si92] C. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 75, (1992), 5-95. [21](#)
- [Si94] C. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 80 (1994), 5-79 (1995). [3](#), [5](#), [21](#)
- [Ti17] A. Tirelli, Symplectic resolutions for Higgs moduli spaces, arXiv:1701.07468. [5](#)

KU LEUVEN, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, B-3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM
E-mail address: `nero.budur@kuleuven.be`