

NOTIONS OF INFINITY IN QUANTUM PHYSICS

FERNANDO LLEDÓ¹ AND DIEGO MARTÍNEZ²

Dedicated to Alberto Ibort on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. In this article we will review some notions of infiniteness that appear in Hilbert space operators and operator algebras. These include proper infiniteness, Murray von Neumann's classification into type *I* and type *III* factors and the class of Følner C^* -algebras that capture some aspects of amenability. We will also mention how these notions reappear in the description of certain mathematical aspects of quantum mechanics, quantum field theory and the theory of superselection sectors. We also show that the algebra of the canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR-algebra) is in the class of Følner C^* -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we will review some situations in which different notions of infinity manifest in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. To begin let us recall some reasonable and basic definitions of finiteness in set theory (cf., [29, Introduction]). A set X can be called *finite* if any of these conditions holds:

- (F1)** there is a bijection $\varphi: X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (F2)** there does not exist a (disjoint) partition $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ such that $|X| = |X_1| = |X_2|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a set;
- (F3)** every injective map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

The characterization **(F1)** uses the external structure of the natural numbers and is constructive, while **(F2)** identifies finiteness through the absence of a certain kind of decomposition, which resembles a *paradoxical* decomposition. The last item **(F3)** refers to Dedekind's definition of finiteness and is intrinsic to the structure. All these ideas and, in particular, their negation, reappear in a very natural way in the context of linear operators and operator algebras. This is how they also enter in the description of some aspects of Quantum Theory.

For infinite sets on which, in addition, a dynamic is defined one can further classify the system according to the dichotomy *amenable* versus *paradoxical*. It must be highlighted that *dynamics* is here understood in a wide sense, such as the action of a group on a space or as the action of an algebra on itself by left multiplication. The idea of amenability was introduced in the context of group actions by von Neumann in 1929 (cf. [37]) and its absence in the action of the rotation group on the unit ball $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ was recognized as a fundamental reason that explains the possibility of paradoxically decomposing B_1 . This fact eventually came to be known as the *Banach-Tarski paradox* (cf., [44, 38, 41]). Since then this dichotomy amenable versus paradoxical has enriched many other fields including algebras, metric spaces and operator algebras. Roughly speaking, amenable structures have an internal approximation in terms of finite substructures (the so-called *Følner sequences*) that have controlled growth with respect to the dynamics considered. It is therefore clear that all finite structures are normally amenable,

Date: September 17, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 81T05, 43A05, 47L40.

Key words and phrases. amenability, proper infiniteness, quantum physics, CAR-algebra.

Supported by research projects MTM2017-84098-P and Severo Ochoa SEV-2015-0554 of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competition (MINECO), Spain.

while infinite structures might be or not. We refer to [29, 15, 16, 18, 23, 26, 1, 2] for additional motivation and results on this body of work.

The aim of this article is to review some results showing the different *degrees of infiniteness* that appears in some situations in Quantum Theory. We also bring into this analysis the class of Følner C^* -algebras that capture some aspects of amenability in the context of operator algebras. These algebras can be characterized in terms of a sequence of unital completely positive linear maps into matrices which are asymptotically multiplicative. We will show that the CAR-algebra is in this class. We begin reviewing some notions of infiniteness that appear in the description of Hilbert space operators and operator algebras. In particular we introduce notions of proper infiniteness and Murray von Neumann's classification into type *I* and type *III* factors. We also recall some important results in local quantum physics in relation to this topic, in particular, Borchers property or the construction of the field algebra in the theory of superselection sectors.

2. OPERATORS AND OPERATOR ALGEBRAS IN HILBERT SPACES

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Given an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, its *operator norm* is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \|T\| := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| ,$$

where $\|x\|$ is the Hilbert space norm of the vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ induced by the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Example 2.1. (i) If $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$. In this case, it is well known that any isometry is necessarily a unitary, i.e., for any $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $M^*M = \mathbb{1}$, then $MM^* = \mathbb{1}$. This realizes Dedekind's notion **(F3)** of finiteness in the context of linear maps, since any injective map must as well be surjective.

(ii) If $\mathcal{H} \cong \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ (the Hilbert space of square summable sequences), denote its canonical basis by $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. The infinite dimension of the Hilbert space has now several consequences that can be understood as a linear analogy to Hilbert's Hotel. The following examples of non-unitary isometries can be understood as a negation of the finiteness condition **(F3)** in the linear context.

a) *Unilateral shift*: Let $Se_i := e_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $S \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$. Then

we have $S^*S = \mathbb{1}$, but $SS^* = \mathbb{1} - P_0$, where $P_0(\cdot) := \langle e_0, \cdot \rangle e_0$ is the range one projection onto the linear subspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot e_0$. In this case one says that $\mathbb{1}$ is an infinite projection (see Definition 2.2 below).

b) *Generators of the Cuntz algebra*: define $S_1e_i := e_{2i}$ and $S_2e_i := e_{2i+1}$. These are isometries (i.e., $S_1^*S_1 = S_2^*S_2 = \mathbb{1}$) and satisfy, in addition,

$$S_1^*S_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_1S_1^* + S_2S_2^* = \mathbb{1} .$$

In other words, the ranges of S_1 and S_2 are infinite dimensional and mutually orthogonal subspaces of $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$, giving a negation of the finiteness condition **(F2)**. In this case one says that $\mathbb{1}$ is a properly infinite projection (see Definition 2.2 below).

(iii) *Partial isometries*: A linear map $V: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a partial isometry if V^*V is an orthogonal projection, which is called *domain projection*. This condition directly implies that VV^* is also a projection, the so-called *range projection*. These partial isometries are a generalization of the notion of isometry.

Next we introduce two types of operator algebras that will be important for this article, namely, C^* - and von Neumann algebras. General references on this topic are, e.g., [17] or

[12, Chapter 2]. We call a *-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a *C*-algebra* if it is closed with respect to the uniform topology, i.e., the topology defined by the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ (cf., Eq. (2.1)). Important examples of C*-algebras are those generated by isometries having mutually orthogonal ranges. For $n \geq 2$, the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is the essentially unique C*-algebra generated by isometries S_1, \dots, S_n satisfying

$$S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = \mathbb{1}.$$

Example 2.1 shows how these isometries can be realized as elements of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$.

A unital *-subalgebra $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *von Neumann algebra* if it is closed under the weak operator topology. A useful and alternative way to understand this class of algebras is through the notion of commutant of a set of operators. If \mathcal{S} is a self-adjoint subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (i.e., if $S \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $S^* \in \mathcal{S}$), then we denote by \mathcal{S}' the *commutant* of \mathcal{S} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., the set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with all elements in \mathcal{S} . Von Neumann's celebrated bicommutant theorem shows that a unital *-subalgebra $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra iff $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}''$. Therefore, if \mathcal{S} is a self-adjoint subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then \mathcal{S}'' is the smallest von Neumann algebra containing \mathcal{S} . A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} is called a *factor* if it has a trivial center, i.e., if $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}' = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}$.

Any von Neumann algebra is generated as a norm-closed space by the set of its projections, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$. Therefore, the classification we are interested in of von Neumann algebras is based on the classification of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$. For the purpose of this article, it is enough to assume that the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} is a (nonzero) factor, since general von Neumann algebras can be canonically decomposed into factors.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{N} be a factor and denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ its lattice of orthogonal projections in \mathcal{N} . All the following definitions are *modulo* \mathcal{N} , that is, depend on \mathcal{N} . For $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ we say

- (i) P is *minimal* if $P \neq 0$ and for any projection $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, $P_0 \leq P$ implies either $P_0 = 0$ or $P_0 = P$.
- (ii) $P \sim Q$ if there exists a partial isometry $V \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $P = V^*V$ and $Q = VV^*$. The relation \sim is called also Murray von Neumann equivalence.
- (iii) P is *finite* (mod \mathcal{N}) if the only projection $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ with $P \sim P_0 \leq P$ is the projection P itself.

If P is not finite then it is called *infinite* (mod \mathcal{N}). That is, there is a $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $P \sim P_0 < P$, namely, P is equivalent to a proper subprojection of itself.

P is *properly infinite* if there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $P \sim P_1 \sim P_2$, $P_1 + P_2 \leq P$ and $P_1 P_2 = 0$, i.e., $P_1 \mathcal{H} \perp P_2 \mathcal{H}$.

- (iv) A factor \mathcal{N} is called *finite* (respectively, *infinite* or *properly infinite*) if $\mathbb{1}$ is a finite (respectively, infinite or properly infinite) projection.

Remark 2.3. a) The definition of finite, infinite and properly infinite projections can be stated similarly in the context of C*-algebras. It is clear from Example 2.1 that $\mathbb{1} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite projection. On the contrary $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ is an infinite C*-algebra via the equivalence $\mathbb{1} \sim \mathbb{1} - P_0 < \mathbb{1}$.

Finally, the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_n (and any C*-algebra containing them), are *the* prototypes of properly infinite C*-algebras, since we have from Example 2.1 that $S_1 S_1^* + \dots + S_n S_n^* = \mathbb{1}$ while

$$\mathbb{1} = S_1^* S_1 = \dots = S_n^* S_n \quad \text{and} \quad S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1}.$$

- b) It follows from the definition that any minimal projection in a von Neumann algebra is automatically finite. The most prominent example of minimal projection is the range one projection $P_x(\cdot) := \langle x, \cdot \rangle x$, defined for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

It should be noted that if P is a minimal projection in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} , then the corner algebra is one-dimensional, i.e., $P\mathcal{N}P = \mathbb{C}P$. Moreover, all minimal projections are equivalent.

According to the properties of the lattice of projections we mention next some large subclasses of factors.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{N} be a factor and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ its lattice of projections.

- (i) \mathcal{N} is said to be of *type I* if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ contains a minimal nonzero projection.
- (ii) \mathcal{N} is said to be of *type III* if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ contains no nonzero finite projection.

Type *III* factors show, roughly speaking, the highest degree of infiniteness. In fact, for this class of algebras any nonzero projection admits the following halving property (which can be understood as a negation of **(F2)** in the linear context).

Lemma 2.5. Let \mathcal{N} be a factor and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ its lattice of projections. Then $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ is infinite if and only if P admits the following decomposition

$$P = (P - Q) + Q \quad \text{for some } Q \leq P \quad \text{and} \quad P \sim Q \sim (P - Q).$$

For simplicity we will focus in this article only on these two classes of factors. Type *II* factors (those having no minimal projections but having nonzero finite projections) are also important in describing certain aspects quantum theory (see, e.g., [30, 36]).

3. FØLNER C*-ALGEBRAS

Motivated by the dichotomy amenable versus paradoxical in group theory we will introduce in this section the class of Følner C*-algebras. These algebras correspond to the amenable groups, in the sense of having a good internal approximation in terms of matrices that have controlled growth with respect to the dynamics given by the product. We will also define the notion of algebraic amenability and some relation to the class of Følner C*-algebras. These ideas will be used in the next section.

For the next definition, recall that a tracial state on a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is a positive and normalized functional $\tau: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the usual tracial property $\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. In the next definition we specify the subclass of amenable traces (see, e.g., [13, Chapter 6]).

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital and separable C*-algebra. \mathcal{A} is called a *Følner C*-algebra* if it has an *amenable trace* τ , i.e., a tracial state on \mathcal{A} that extends to a state ψ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that has \mathcal{A} in its centralizer, i.e.,

$$\tau = \psi|_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(XA) = \psi(AX), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

From this definition it follows immediately that any unital C*-subalgebra of a Følner C*-algebra is again in this class and that any finite dimensional algebra is a Følner C*-algebra, since the usual normalized trace of a matrix will do.

Remark 3.2. The state ψ in the preceding definition is called *hypertrace* in the literature and this class of algebras is also referred as weakly hypertracial (see [11] and references therein). The preceding definition is equivalent to the intrinsic definition of an abstract Følner C*-algebra \mathcal{A} in terms of a sequence of unital completely positive linear maps into matrices $\varphi_n: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{k(n)}$ which are asymptotically multiplicative. This approach shows explicitly the finite approximation scheme of this class of algebras (cf., [3, Theorem 4.3]). Moreover, this class of algebras are also relevant in problems of spectral approximation (cf., [4, 10, 33, 35]).

We will conclude by introducing the notion of algebraically amenable algebras. We will restrict to the case of subalgebras of C*-algebras, but the definition and results are true for arbitrary algebras over arbitrary fields (cf. [23, 1, 26]).

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a *-subalgebra of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . We call \mathfrak{A} algebraically amenable if there is a sequence $\{W_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of finite dimensional subspaces of \mathfrak{A} satisfying

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(AW_k + W_k)}{\dim(W_k)} = 1, \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Next we mention an important relation between algebraic amenability and the class of Følner C*-algebras. For a complete proof we refer to [2, Theorem 3.17].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a dense *-subalgebra of a unital separable C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . If \mathfrak{A} is algebraically amenable, then \mathcal{A} is a Følner C*-algebra.*

4. QUANTUM PHYSICS

In the mathematical description of a physical theory one needs to specify the set of observables, the set of states and, possibly, the family of symmetries of the theory, typically described in terms of a group action. For a description of a quantum theory (as opposed to a classical theory) one can use the language of *non-commutative* operator algebras and their state space. Symmetries are then incorporated to this setting via a representation of the corresponding group in terms of automorphisms of the operator algebra. These representations are typically implemented in terms of unitary representations of the group (see, e.g., [12, Chapters 2 and 3] or [31, Part I]). One of the conceptual advantages of (non-commutative) C*-algebras is the neat distinction between the abstract algebra, whose self-adjoint elements correspond to observables, and its state space and the corresponding representations on a concrete Hilbert space. This point of view particularly pays off in Quantum Field Theory, where there is an abundance of inequivalent representations associated with abstract observables (cf. [24]; see also Subsection 4.3 below).

4.1. Type I algebras and Quantum Mechanics. The most elementary example of a type I factor is $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is a finite or (separable) infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Many situations in Quantum Mechanics can be described in terms of this example. Pure states correspond in this context to minimal projections and mixed states are described in terms of normalized and positive trace class operators.

We begin by making precise the fact that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is, in fact, the prototype of this kind of factors. It is illustrative to give a sketch of the proof since it shows how the minimality condition is used.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a factor of type I. Then there exist separable Hilbert spaces \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 and a unitary $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$ with $UNU^* = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathbb{1}$.*

Proof. Let $\{P_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ be a maximal family of mutually orthogonal minimal projections. By maximality it follows that $\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} P_j \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, by minimality of projections, all P_i, P_j must be equivalent for any pair $i, j \in J$. Therefore, there are partial isometries $V_{1j} \in \mathcal{N}$ with $V_{1j} V_{1j}^* = P_1$ and $V_{1j}^* V_{1j} = P_j$, $j \in J$. This implies that \mathcal{N} is generated by the set $\{V_{1j} \mid j \in J\}$ since we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{N} \ni N = \sum_{i,j \in J} P_i N P_j = \sum_{i,j \in J} \lambda_{ij} V_{1i}^* V_{1j},$$

where the coefficients $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ are specified by the relation

$$V_{1i} P_i N P_j V_{1j}^* \in P_1 N P_1 = \mathbb{C} P_1,$$

which, again, uses the minimality of P_1 . In fact, note that

$$V_{1i} P_i N P_j V_{1j}^* = \lambda_{ij} P_1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad P_i N P_j = \lambda_{ij} V_{1i}^* P_1 V_{1j} = \lambda_{ij} V_{1i}^* V_{1j}.$$

Finally, consider the discrete set $\mathcal{J} = \{1, 2, \dots, |J|\}$ with $|J| \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and define the unitary map

$$U^*: \ell_2(\mathcal{J}, P_1 \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

by means of $U^*\xi := \sum_j V_{1j}^*\xi_j$, where $\xi = (\xi_j)_{j=1}^{|J|} \in \ell_2(\mathcal{J}, P_1\mathcal{H})$. Using now the equivalence $\ell_2(\mathcal{J}, P_1\mathcal{H}) \cong \ell_2(\mathcal{J}) \otimes P_1\mathcal{H}$ one can show that the algebra generated by $\{UV_{1j}U^* \mid j \in J\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathcal{J})) \otimes \mathbb{1}$, because

$$UV_{1i}^*U^*UV_{1j}U^* = UV_{1i}^*V_{1j}U^* \cong E_{ij} \otimes \mathbb{1}$$

where $\{E_{ij} \mid i, j \in J\}$ is a set of matrix units in $\ell_2(\mathcal{J})$. \square

Remark 4.2. From the results mentioned in Section 2 it is clear that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\dim\mathcal{H} = \infty$ is an infinite as well as properly infinite C^* -algebra. Nevertheless, observe that the structure of type I factors allows to have subalgebras of Følner type. For instance, take two non-commuting range one projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the von Neumann algebra generated by them will be finite-dimensional, and hence Følner. Note that this reasoning is not possible in the context type III von Neumann algebras.

4.2. The CAR-algebra. In this section we give a proof that the C^* -algebras associated to the canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR-algebras) are, in fact, Følner C^* -algebras. We begin by recalling its definition and some standard properties (see, e.g., [12, Section 5.2.2]).

Let \mathfrak{h} be a complex separable Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ the algebraically unique C^* -algebra generated by $\mathbb{1}$ and $a(f)$, $f \in \mathfrak{h}$, such that the following relations hold:

- (i) The map $\mathfrak{h} \ni f \mapsto a(f)$ is antilinear.
- (ii) $a(f_1)a(f_2) + a(f_2)a(f_1) = 0$, $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$.
- (iii) $a(f_1)a(f_2)^* + a(f_2)^*a(f_1) = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \mathbb{1}$, $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$.

The algebra $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ is simple, has a unique tracial state and satisfies $\|a(f)\| = \|f\|$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{h}$. In the proof of the next theorem we exploit the finite approximation structure of the CAR-algebra.

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathfrak{h} be a complex separable Hilbert space. Then $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a Følner C^* -algebra and its unique tracial state is amenable.*

Proof. If $\dim \mathfrak{h} = n < \infty$, then $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h}) \cong M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$ and hence Følner because it is finite dimensional. If $\dim \mathfrak{h} = \infty$ we may describe the CAR-algebra as a uniformly hyper-finite algebra of type 2^∞ (see [17, III.5.4]). In fact, $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the inductive limit of finite-dimensional algebras $\mathfrak{A}_n \cong M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$ with injective embedding

$$\mathfrak{A}_n \ni A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{A}_{n+1}.$$

Consider the $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A} := \cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{A}_n$, which is dense in $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$. We will prove that \mathfrak{A} is algebraically amenable (cf. Definition 3.3) and therefore, by Theorem 3.4, we conclude that $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a Følner C^* -algebra. Define the finite dimensional subspaces (in fact subalgebras) $W_k := \mathfrak{A}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is contained in \mathfrak{A}_{k_0} for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we conclude that for any $k \geq k_0$ we have $AW_k \subset W_k$, and therefore $\dim(AW_k + W_k) = \dim(W_k)$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(AW_k + W_k)}{\dim(W_k)} = 1.$$

Finally, since $\text{CAR}(\mathfrak{h})$ has a unique tracial state it must be amenable. \square

4.3. Local Quantum Physics. In this subsection we address several manifestations of infinity that appear in quantum field theory. For this analysis we use the axiomatic approach proposed by Haag and Kastler in the sixties using the language of operator algebras (see, e.g., [28, 27, 40, 5]), usually known as Algebraic Quantum Field Theory or Local Quantum Physics. In this formulation the observables become the primary objects of the theory and are described by selfadjoint elements in an abstract C^* -algebra. Here one considers the observables to be localized in spacetime, which, in this article, we restrict to be the 4-dimensional Minkowski

space. The fundamental object of study is a *net of von Neumann algebras* labeled by spacetime regions in \mathbb{R}^4 . Concretely, we consider the *index set*

$$\mathcal{I} := \{\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \mathcal{O} \text{ open and bounded region in Minkowski space}\}$$

and a *net of von Neumann algebras* is denoted by

$$\mathcal{I} \ni \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) .$$

Associated with this net we can define the *global algebra* by $\mathcal{R} := \left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \right)''$.

We begin by recalling the axioms of the *vacuum representation*. The axioms specifying this representation of the net $\mathcal{I} \ni \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$ are physically motivated and have physical and mathematical consequences. These rules formalize general principles of relativistic quantum mechanics like, e.g., Poincaré covariance or causality. Characteristic for the vacuum state is its invariance under the Poincaré group and the (relativistic) spectrum condition.

(A1) *Isotony*: If $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2)$.

(A2) *Additivity*: If $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{O}_j$ then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) = \left(\bigcup_j \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_j) \right)''$.

(A2') *Weak additivity*: For each $\mathcal{O}_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ we have $\left(\bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{N}(a + \mathcal{O}_0) \right)'' = \mathcal{R}$.

(A3) *Causality*: If $\mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$ (i.e., \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are causally disjoint), then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2)'$.

(A4) *Covariance*: There is a strongly continuous unitary representation of the universal cover of the proper orthochronous Poincaré group $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R}^4 \rtimes \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $U: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}(g\mathcal{O}) = \alpha_g(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})) = U(g)\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})U(g)^{-1}, \quad \alpha_g \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{R}, \quad g \in \mathcal{G} .$$

(A5) *Spectrum condition*: The spectrum of the generators of the space-time translations is contained in the closed forward light cone, i.e.,

$$\sigma(U(\mathbb{R}^4)) \subset V_+ .$$

(A6) *Existence of a vacuum vector*: There exists a unit vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ (called the vacuum vector) such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \right) \Omega \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad U(g)\Omega = \Omega, \quad g \in \mathcal{G} .$$

For concrete examples of nets satisfying these axioms we refer to the free-net construction in [6, 32] as well as references therein. An immediate and surprising consequence of this set of axioms is the so-called *Reeh-Schlieder Theorem*.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{I} \ni \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a net satisfying the axioms of the vacuum representation. For every nonempty region $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}$ the vacuum vector Ω is cyclic and separating for $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$, i.e., the set $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})\Omega \subset \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} and, for any local operator $N \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$, one has that $N\Omega = 0$ implies $N = 0$.*

This result implies, in particular, that any nonzero local projection in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$ has (for any nonempty $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}$) a nonzero expectation value in the vacuum. Moreover, this result also shows that the vacuum in quantum field theory is entangled for any pair of local algebras $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1)$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ with $\mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$. We refer, e.g., to [5, §1.3] for a complete proof of the Reeh-Schlieder theorem which makes explicit use of the covariance axiom, weak additivity and the spectrum condition. For additional motivation, results and references see [39, 42].

The next result is known as *Wightman's inequality*. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and denote by $\mathcal{O}_1 \Subset \mathcal{O}_2$ if $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ and the distance of \mathcal{O}_1 to the boundary of \mathcal{O}_2 is positive, i.e., $\text{dist}(\mathcal{O}_1, \partial\mathcal{O}_2) > 0$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{I} \ni \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a net satisfying the axioms of the vacuum representation and such that the global algebra \mathcal{R} is non-Abelian. Then for any $\mathcal{O}_1 \Subset \mathcal{O}_2$ we have that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2)$.*

This result implies that for each $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}$ the local algebras $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O})$ are necessarily infinite dimensional, since for $\mathcal{O}_1 \Subset \mathcal{O}_2$ we must have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1) < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2)$. A complete proof of Wightman’s inequality can be found in [5, §1.4] which uses explicitly the isotony axiom as well as covariance and weak additivity.

Local algebras are not only infinite dimensional, they are typically type *III* (showing the highest degree of infiniteness). The change in relativistic quantum mechanics to a net of algebras localized in spacetime regions $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}$ forces the radical change to type *III* (as opposed to a type *I* description in quantum mechanics). For specific regions such as a space-like wedge or for theories which, in addition, have conformal covariance, it can be even shown that the local algebras correspond to the unique hyperfinite type *III*₁ factor (see, e.g., [27, Section V.6] for details).

We conclude this section mentioning Borchers property which implies that, generically, local algebras are almost type *III*. This property, which is strongly based on the positivity of the energy, is enough in many applications. For a proof we refer to [5, §1.11 and 1.12]. Before stating the next result, recall from Section 2 that for a von Neumann algebra is of type *III* all nonzero projections are equivalent to $\mathbb{1}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{I} \ni \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a net satisfying the axioms of the vacuum representation, with unique vacuum vector Ω . Assume $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ satisfy $\mathcal{O}_1 \Subset \mathcal{O}_2$ and that there exists an $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}_1^\perp \cap \mathcal{O}_2$. Then for any nonzero projection $P \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_1)$ we have*

$$P \sim \mathbb{1} \text{ mod } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}_2) .$$

As an application of type *III* structure appearing in quantum field theory we refer, e.g., to the explanation of Fermi’s two atom system (cf. [14, 43]).

4.4. The theory of superselection sectors. The theory of superselection sectors allows from an analysis of a physically motivated family of states to understand three central aspects in elementary particle physics: the composition of charges, the classification of particle statistics and the charge conjugation. In this final subsection we will mention briefly the role that Cuntz algebras play in this frame, confirming again the importance of properly infinite C*-algebras in quantum field theory. The theory of superselection sectors as stated by the Doplicher-Haag-Roberts (DHR) selection criterion [27, 19, 20], is formulated in the frame of local quantum physics and led to a profound body of work, culminating in the general Doplicher-Roberts (DR) duality theory for compact groups [22].

The DHR criterion selects a distinguished class of “admissible” representations of a quasilo-cal algebra \mathcal{A} of observables, which has trivial center $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$. This class of representations specifies a so-called DR-category \mathcal{T} , which is a full subcategory of the category of endomorphisms of the C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, from this endomorphism category \mathcal{T} the DR-analysis constructs a C*-algebra $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{A}$ together with a compact group action $\alpha: \mathcal{G} \ni g \rightarrow \alpha_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ such that:

- \mathcal{A} is the fixed point algebra of this action;
- \mathcal{T} coincides with the category of all “canonical endomorphisms” of \mathcal{A} , associated with the pair $\{\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\}$.

Physically, \mathcal{F} is identified as a field algebra and \mathcal{G} with a global gauge group of the system. The pair $\{\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\}$, which we call *Hilbert C*-system* (see below for a precise definition), is uniquely determined by \mathcal{T} up to isomorphisms. Conversely, $\{\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\}$ determines uniquely its category of all canonical endomorphisms. Therefore $\{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\}$ can be seen as the abstract side

of the representation category of a compact group, while $\{\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\}$ corresponds to the concrete side of the representation category of \mathcal{G} , and, roughly, any irreducible representations of \mathcal{G} is explicitly realized within the Hilbert C^* -system. One can state the equivalence of the “selection principle”, given by \mathcal{T} and the “symmetry principle”, given by the compact group \mathcal{G} . This is one of the crucial theorems of the Doplicher-Roberts theory (see also [5, 25, 40] for additional results and motivation).

We conclude explaining the structure of Hilbert C^* -systems. These are, roughly speaking, a very special type of C^* -dynamical system $(\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}})$ that, in addition, contain the information of the representation category of the compact group \mathcal{G} . We denote the dual object of \mathcal{G} by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, which is defined as the set of (unitary) equivalence classes of continuous irreducible unitary representations of \mathcal{G} (on complex separable Hilbert spaces). A Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is a unital C^* -algebra, is called *algebraic* if the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of \mathcal{H} is given by $\langle A, B \rangle \mathbb{1} := A^*B$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$. Henceforth, we consider only finite-dimensional algebraic Hilbert spaces. The support of \mathcal{H} is defined by $\text{supp } \mathcal{H} := \sum_{j=1}^d \Psi_j \Psi_j^*$, where $\{\Psi_j \mid j = 1, \dots, d\}$ is any orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . We consider here only algebraic Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\text{supp } \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{1}$. For any $D \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ consider the following projection on \mathcal{F}

$$\Pi_D(\cdot) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi_D(g) \alpha_g(\cdot) dg ,$$

where χ_D is the modified character of the class D , i.e., $\chi_D(g) := \dim(D) \text{Tr}(D(g))$. The subspaces Π_D , $D \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, are called spectral subspaces of \mathcal{F} . Note that if one chooses the trivial representation $\iota \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, then the corresponding spectral subspace is the fixed point algebra

$$\Pi_{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) := \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid \alpha_g(A) = A, g \in \mathcal{G}\} ,$$

which in our context turns out to coincide with the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Definition 4.7. A C^* -dynamical $\{\mathcal{F}, \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\}$ with a compact group \mathcal{G} is called a **Hilbert C^* -system** if for each $D \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ there is an algebraic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_D \subset \Pi_D \mathcal{F}$, such that $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}$ acts invariantly on \mathcal{H}_D , and the unitary representation $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{H}_D}}$ is in the equivalence class $D \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$.

Note that any algebraic Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_D , $D \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, generates a Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n with $n = \dim D$ which are all subalgebras of the field algebra \mathcal{F} . Moreover, any algebraic Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_D specifies a canonical endomorphism of the fixed point algebra by

$$\rho_D(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi_i A \Psi_i^* ,$$

where $\{\Psi_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is any orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_D . Since the $\text{supp } \mathcal{H}_D = \mathbb{1}$ the canonical endomorphisms are also unital, i.e., $\rho_D(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$.

Remark 4.8. In the DR-theory the center \mathcal{Z} of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} plays a special role. If \mathcal{A} corresponds to the inductive limit of a net of local C^* -algebras indexed by open and bounded regions of Minkowski space, then the triviality of the center of \mathcal{A} is a consequence of standard assumptions on the net of local C^* -algebras. But, in general, the C^* -algebra appearing in the DR-theorem does not need to be a quasilocal algebra and, in fact, one has to assume explicitly that $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ in this context (see [22, Theorem 6.1]). Therefore from a systematical point of view it is natural to study the properties and structural modifications of this rich theory if one assumes the presence of a nontrivial center $\mathcal{Z} \supset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$. From a physical point of view one can interpret the elements of the center \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{A} as classical observables contained in the quasilocal algebra. Nevertheless the effect of the presence of classical observables in superselection theory requires a more careful analysis of the corresponding fundamental axioms. We refer to [8, 7, 9]

for an analysis of the DR-duality theory in the case the relative commutant of the corresponding Hilbert C^* -system satisfies the following minimality condition:

$$\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z} .$$

Concrete realization of these systems in terms of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, a class of properly infinite C^* -algebras generalizing Cuntz algebras, can be found in [34].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara, K. Li, F. Lledó and J. Wu, *Amenability of coarse spaces and \mathbb{K} -algebras*, Bull. Math. Sci. **8** (2018) 257–306.
- [2] P. Ara, K. Li, F. Lledó and J. Wu, *Amenability and uniform Roe algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **459** (2018) 686–716.
- [3] P. Ara and F. Lledó, *Amenable traces and Følner C^* -algebras*, Expo. Math. **32** (2014) 161–177.
- [4] W. Arveson, *C^* -algebras and numerical linear algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994) 333–360.
- [5] H. Baumgärtel, *Operatoralgebraic Methods in Quantum Field Theory. A Series of Lectures*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [6] H. Baumgärtel, M. Jurke and F. Lledó, *On free nets over Minkowski space*, Rep. Math. Phys **35** (1995) 101–127.
- [7] H. Baumgärtel and F. Lledó, *Superselection structures for C^* -algebras with nontrivial center*, Rev. Math. Phys. **9** (1997) 785–819.
- [8] H. Baumgärtel and F. Lledó, *An application of DR-duality theory for compact groups to endomorphism categories of C^* -algebras with nontrivial center*, Fields Inst. Commun. **30** (2001) 1–10.
- [9] H. Baumgärtel and F. Lledó, *Duality of compact groups and Hilbert C^* -systems*, Int. J. Math. **15** (2004) 759–812.
- [10] E. Bédos, *On Følner nets, Szegő’s theorem and other eigenvalue distribution theorems*, Expo. Math. **15** (1997) 193–228. Erratum: Expo. Math. **15** (1997) 384.
- [11] E. Bédos, *Notes on hypertraces and C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **34** (1995) 285–306.
- [12] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Vols. 1 and 2*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [13] N.P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^* -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2008.
- [14] D. Buchholz and J. Yngvason, *There are no causality problems for Fermi’s two-atom system*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 613–616.
- [15] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, *Around amenability*, Pontryagin Conference, 8, Algebra (Moscow, 1998). J. Math. Sci. (New York) **106** (2001) 3145–3163.
- [16] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, R. Grigorchuk and P. de la Harpe, *Amenability and paradoxical decomposition for pseudogroups and for discrete metric spaces*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **224** (1999) 57–97.
(Updated version also under <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04212>)
- [17] K.R. Davidson, *C^* -Algebras by Example*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [18] M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957) 509–544.
- [19] S. Doplicher, R. Haag, and J.E. Roberts, *Fields, observables and gauge transformations I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **13** (1969) 1–23.
- [20] S. Doplicher, R. Haag, and J.E. Roberts, *Fields, observables and gauge transformations II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **15** (1969) 173–200.
- [21] S. Doplicher and J.E. Roberts, *Endomorphisms of C^* -algebras, cross products and duality for compact groups*, Ann. Math. **130** (1989) 75–119.
- [22] S. Doplicher and J.E. Roberts, *A new duality for compact groups*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989) 157–218.
- [23] G. Elek, *The amenability of affine algebras*, J. Algebra **264** (2003) 469–478.
- [24] G.G. Emch, *Algebraic Methods in Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1972.
- [25] K. Fredenhagen, *Observables, superselection sectors and gauge groups*, In *New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory*, J. Fröhlich et al. (ed.), Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [26] M. Gromov, *Topological Invariants of Dynamical Systems and Spaces of Holomorphic Maps: I*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **2** (1999) 323–415.
- [27] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [28] R. Haag and D. Kastler, *An algebraic approach to quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 848–861.
- [29] D. Kerr and H. Li, *Ergodic Theory. Independence and Dichotomies*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2016.

- [30] M. Keyl, T. Matsui, D. Schlingemann and R.F. Werner, *Entanglement, Haag-duality and type properties of infinite quantum spin chains*, Rev. Math. Phys. **18** (2006) 935–970.
- [31] N.P. Landsman, *Mathematical Topics between Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [32] F. Lledó, *Massless relativistic wave equations and quantum field theory*, Ann. H. Poincaré **5** (2004) 607–670.
- [33] F. Lledó, *On spectral approximation, Følner sequences and crossed products*, J. Approx. Theory **170** (2013) 155–171.
- [34] F. Lledó and E. Vasselli, *Realization of Hilbert C^* -systems in terms of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Int. J. Math. **20** (2009) 751–790.
- [35] F. Lledó and D. Yakubovich, *Følner sequences and finite operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **403** (2013) 464–476.
- [36] P. Naaijkens, *Localized endomorphisms in Kitaev's toric code on the plane*, Rev. Math. Phys., **23** (2011) 347–373, 2011
- [37] J. von Neumann, *Zur allgemeinen Theorie des Masses*, Fund. Math. **13** (1929) 73–116.
- [38] A.L. Paterson, *Amenability*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988.
- [39] M. Redhead, *More ado about nothing*, Fund. Phys. **25** (1995) 123–137.
- [40] J.E. Roberts, *More lectures on algebraic quantum field theory*, In *Noncommutative Geometry*, S. Doplicher and R. Longo (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1831, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [41] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [42] S. Summers, *Yet more ado about nothing*, In *Deep Beauty. Understanding the Quantum World Through Mathematical Innovation*, H. Halvorson (Ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011; pp. 317–341.
- [43] J. Yngvason, *The role of type III factors in quantum field theory*, Rep. Math. Phys. **55** (2005) 135–147.
- [44] G. Tomkowicz and S. Wagon, *The Banach-Tarski Paradox (second edition)*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY CARLOS III MADRID, AVDA. DE LA UNIVERSIDAD 30, 28911 LEGANÉS (MADRID), SPAIN AND INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (CSIC - UAM - UC3M - UCM).

E-mail address: flledo@math.uc3m.es

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY CARLOS III MADRID, AVDA. DE LA UNIVERSIDAD 30, 28911 LEGANÉS (MADRID), SPAIN AND INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (CSIC - UAM - UC3M - UCM).

E-mail address: lumartin@math.uc3m.es