

SQUARE-ROOT CANCELLATION FOR SUMS OF FACTORIZATION FUNCTIONS OVER SHORT INTERVALS IN FUNCTION FIELDS

WILL SAWIN

ABSTRACT. We present new estimates for sums of the divisor function, and other similar arithmetic functions, in short intervals over function fields. (When the intervals are long, one obtains a good estimate from the Riemann hypothesis.) We obtain an estimate that approaches square root cancellation as long as the characteristic of the finite field is relatively large. This is done by a geometric method, inspired by work of Hast and Matei, where we calculate the singular locus of a variety whose \mathbb{F}_q -points control this sum. This has applications to highly unbalanced moments of L -functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study cancellation of sums of arithmetic functions of polynomials over a finite field in “short intervals” - that is, the sum over the set of monic polynomials with a fixed set of leading terms. For the divisor function, our estimates approach square-root cancellation over finite fields of sufficiently large characteristic.

Throughout this paper, we work over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p . For a natural number k , define the k th divisor function $d_k(f)$ as

$$d_k(f) = \left| \left\{ f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \mid f_1, \dots, f_k \text{ monic, } \prod_{i=1}^k f_i = f \right\} \right|$$

for a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Corollary 4.6). *For natural numbers n, h, k with $h < n$ and f a monic polynomial of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \deg g < h}} d_k(f+g) - \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} q^h \right| \leq 3 \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} (k+2)^{2n-h} q^{\frac{1}{2}(h + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-h}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

Note that the error term is $O\left((q^h)^{1/2} (q^n)^\epsilon\right)$ as long as p and $q^{\frac{1}{\log k+2}}$ are large with respect to $1/\epsilon$.

Note also that the asymptotic result

$$\sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \deg g < h}} d_k(f) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} q^h$$

follows as long as n goes to ∞ while $\frac{h}{n} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{p} + 2 \log_q(k+2)}{\frac{p-1}{p} + 2 \log_q(k+2)} - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Because we can think of q^n as the size of the relevant numbers and q^h as the length of the short interval, this cutoff is analogous to, over the integers, an asymptotic in short intervals of length $X^{\delta+o(1)}$ for $\delta = \frac{\frac{1}{p} + 2 \log_q(k+2)}{\frac{p-1}{p} + 2 \log_q(k+2)}$ going to 0 as p goes to ∞ .

Over the integers, it is reasonable to expect an asymptotic formula for divisor sums in short intervals of length X^δ for any fixed $\delta > 0$. For $k = 2$ this is known for $\delta > \frac{131}{416}$ Huxley [2003], for $k = 3$ we can take $\delta > \frac{43}{96}$ Kolesnik [1981], for $k = 4$ we can take $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, for $k = 5$ we can take $\delta > \frac{11}{20}$, and there are further estimates for greater k [Ivić, 2003, Chapter 13]. These estimates all arise from bounds for the error term in the generalized Dirichlet divisor problem (as discussed in [Garaev, Luca, and Nowak, 2006, remark on p. 2], which improves the bound coming from Dirichlet by a logarithmic factor for $k = 4$ - seemingly the only improvement known for any k). Given an optimal estimate for the generalized Dirichlet divisor problem, we could take any $\delta > \frac{k-1}{2k}$ (see [Titchmarsh, 1986, p. 320] for discussion of this). Theorem 1.1 gives a better range than even this optimal estimate as long as $p > (k+2)^{O(1)}$.

One could also compare Theorem 1.1 to an asymptotic for sums of divisor functions in arithmetic progressions with modulus a large power of a small prime, as the place at ∞ in function fields behaves more like a small non-archimedean place than the place at ∞ of the rationals. For this problem, bounds in progressions of length slightly less than $X^{1/3}$ are known for $k = 2$ [Khan, 2016] [Liu et al., 2018].

The variance of the sum of the divisor function in short intervals was calculated by Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon, and Rudnick [2018] in the $q \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Thus, their result controls the average size of the error term for this sum, while Corollary 4.6 controls the worst case. A worst case bound with a savings of \sqrt{q} was proven by Bank, Bary-Soroker, and Rosenzweig [2015] for the occurrence of any polynomial factorization type in short intervals, which would in particular imply a similar estimate for the divisor function. For the Möbius function, a worst case result was proved for q large with respect to the characteristic by Sawin and Shusterman [2019], giving power savings, but not square-root cancellation.

Similar estimates hold for divisor-like arithmetic functions where we weigh the contribution of a given factorization of a polynomial by some function of the degrees of the factors. For arbitrary “factorization functions”, such as the Möbius and von Mangoldt functions, our results approach square root cancellation in the large q limit, although they are not yet nontrivial in the large n limit. Specifically, we have

Let μ be the function field Möbius function

$$\mu(f) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r & f = \prod_{i=1}^r \pi_i \text{ with } \pi_i \text{ monic, irreducible, distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and Λ the function field von Mangoldt function.

$$\Lambda(f) = \begin{cases} \deg \pi & f = \pi^r, \pi \text{ monic, irreducible, } r \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Corollary 4.7). *Keep the notation of Theorem 1.1. We have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \deg g < h}} \mu(f+g) \right| \leq 3(n+2)^{2n-h} q^{\frac{1}{2}(h + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-h}{p} \rfloor + 1)}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \deg g < h}} \Lambda(f+g) - q^n \right| \leq 6(n+2)^{2n-h} q^{\frac{1}{2}(h + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-h}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

These bounds (and the corresponding bounds for functions associated to any irreducible representation of S_n) improve on Bank, Bary-Soroker, and Rosenzweig [2015], giving a greater power of q savings, as long as $h > 5$ so that $h - (\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-h}{p} \rfloor) \geq \lfloor \frac{h}{2} \rfloor \geq 3$.

One could compare also the work of Carmon [2019] counting squarefree polynomials in short intervals, although that problem is very different as squarefree polynomials are counted by a sieve and the problem is to optimize the error term in the sieve, whereas here there is no analytic approach to obtain large savings and we need to develop a completely geometric approach.

Over the integers, we expect asymptotics for von Mangoldt sums in short intervals of size X^δ , and cancellation for Möbius sums in short intervals of size X^δ , for any fixed $\delta > 0$. For the Möbius function one could even conjecture this for intervals of size a constant power of $\log X$, but this is known to be false for the von Mangoldt function Meier [1985]. For von Mangoldt, this is known for $\delta \geq 7/12$ Huxley [1972], Heath-Brown [1988]. For Möbius, it is not known for any δ , though we could take $\delta > 1/2$ under the Riemann hypothesis - as we could for any of these problems.

Using the divisor sum estimate, we also obtain estimates for certain moments of L -functions in the large conductor limit. Specifically, let us say that a Dirichlet character mod u^{m+1} , $\chi : (\mathbb{F}_q[u]/u^{m+1})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is even if it is trivial on \mathbb{F}_q^\times and primitive if it is non-trivial on $1 + u^m \mathbb{F}_q[u]$. Let $S_{m,q}$ be the set of primitive even Dirichlet characters mod u^{m+1} . We have $|S_{m,q}| = q^m - q^{m-1}$. For $\chi \in S_{m,q}$, let

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[u] \\ \text{monic} \\ \gcd(f, u) = 1}} \chi(f) q^{-(\deg f)s}.$$

Then we have estimates for the moments of the L -functions $L(s, \chi)$ as χ varies over $S_{m,q}$. (After applying the field automorphism $T \mapsto T^{-1}$, these give Hecke characters constant on short intervals, explaining the relation).

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 5.5). *Let $m \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$ be natural numbers and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be complex numbers with nonnegative real part. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|S_{m,q}|} \sum_{\chi \in S_{m,q}} \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \chi)$$

$$= 1 + O_r \left(m^r (r+2)^{r(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(-m + \lfloor \frac{r(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)} \right).$$

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 5.6). *Let $m \geq 1, r \geq 0$, and $s \geq 1$ be natural numbers, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be complex numbers with nonnegative real part. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|S_{m,q}|} \sum_{\chi \in S_{m,q}} \epsilon_{\chi}^s \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \chi) = O_{r,s} \left(m^r (r+s+2)^{(r+s)(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(-m + \lfloor \frac{(r+s)(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)} \right).$$

The notation O_r and $O_{r,s}$ means an implicit constant depending only on r in Theorem 1.3 and depending only on r and s in Theorem 1.4.

Again, note that the error terms are $O\left((q^m)^{\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ as long as p and $q^{\frac{1}{(r+s)\log(r+s+2)}}$ are large with respect to ϵ .

To motivate 1.4, note that these types of moments twisted by powers of the ϵ -factor show up, over the integers, in proofs of the existence of L -functions in a given family whose critical values lie in a fixed angular sector. See [Blomer et al., 2018, §1.7] for an example of this.

Katz [2013] proved estimates for the distribution of the L -functions in this family, and thus in particular on its moments, with a savings of \sqrt{q} . (Though because he proved equidistribution only in the projective unitary group and not the full unitary group, the obtained estimates would have some additional averaging in the α_i variables.) Tamam [2014] proved estimates for the fourth absolute moment of the L -functions of a similar family, except with prime modulus, obtaining savings proportional to the degree of the modulus (i.e. logarithmic savings). Andrade and Yiasemides [2019] exactly evaluated the second moment for this family and other families of Dirichlet characters with squareful modulus, and computed the fourth moment for any family of primitive Dirichlet characters with saving about the square root of the degree. While there has been significant work on the moments of L -functions over function fields outside the large q aspect, including power savings results, we are not aware of any work dealing with arbitrarily high power moments.

Over the integers, an estimate with power savings for the moments Theorem 1.3, except to prime moduli instead of prime power, is known for $r \leq 3$. The case $r = 3$ is proven in [Zacharias, 2019], and the cases $r \leq 2$ require a simplified version of the same argument. The analogue of Theorem 1.4 for $r = 1$ and arbitrary s follows from the approximate functional equation and Deligne's bound for Kloosterman sums, for $r = 2$ and arbitrary s , to prime moduli, it follows from [Fouvry, Kowalski, and Michel, 2015] by the arguments of [Blomer et al., 2018, §4] (except applied to Eisenstein series rather than cusp forms). The prime power case seems less studied, but it may be possible to adapt the explicit formula of Romascavage III [2017] for the twisted second moment to a proof of the $r = 2$ and arbitrary s case.

These bounds arise from the increasingly common approach to analytic number theory over function fields that proceeds by controlling many different cohomology groups of a variety, often, though not always, using stable cohomology theory (see, e.g. [Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland, 2016] and [Shende and Tsimerman, 2017]). In this strategy, some desired sum or the solution of some counting problem over a polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is expressed as the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of some high-dimensional variety, say X . Using the Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed

point formula, this is viewed as the sum of traces of Frobenius on the cohomology groups of X . The high-degree cohomology groups, which can give the largest contribution, by Deligne's weight theory, are calculated, shown to vanish, or otherwise controlled, while the low-degree cohomology groups merely need to have their dimension bounded.

Our specific method is based on one applied by Hast and Matei [2018] to the moments of sums of arithmetic functions, including the divisor function, in short intervals. They observed that the relevant variety is an affine cone on a projective complete intersection, and used general results that estimate the high-degree cohomology of such a complete intersection in terms of the dimension δ of its singular locus. However, because δ was relatively large, the numerical result they obtained was of the same strength as the function field Riemann hypothesis. (More precisely, as they observe in [Hast and Matei, 2018], the bound they prove by this cohomological method follows also from work of Rodgers [2018], which itself relies primarily on equidistribution results of Katz [2013]. However, examining the argument of Rodgers [2018], to obtain a bound in the q aspect, only the Riemann hypothesis and not equidistribution is needed. Both papers go on to prove further results, respectively on the top nontrivial cohomology of the variety and on the asymptotics in the q aspect, that do not follow from the Riemann hypothesis in this way.)

Applying the same idea to sums of arithmetic functions in short intervals requires generalizing the results from affine cones to more general affine complete intersections, a straightforward étale cohomology calculation. Once this is done, we can show by a trick involving the logarithmic derivative (Lemma 2.3) that the dimension of the singular locus is much smaller than it was for the variety studied by Hast and Matei, and we instead obtain a result approaching square-root cancellation for the sum in short intervals (instead of the Riemann hypothesis, which gives square-root cancellation for twisted sums over all polynomials of a given degree, from which weaker bounds for sums in short intervals can be deduced).

We expect that these results can be generalized to sums in arithmetic progressions and to moments of L -functions over other families of Dirichlet characters. The main difficulty is finding a suitable compactification.

This research was partially conducted during the period I served as a Clay Research Fellow, and partially conducted during the period I was supported by Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zurich Foundation. I would like to thank Emmanuel Kowalski for helpful conversations, Jon Keating for the inspiration to attack this problem, Ofir Gorodetsky for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper, and Mark Shusterman, Philippe Michel, Valentin Blomer, and Djordje Milićević for information about prior work. I would also like to thank the four anonymous referees for their many useful comments.

2. GEOMETRIC SETUP

We work over a field κ . If the characteristic of κ is nonzero, let p be the characteristic of κ , and if the characteristic of κ is zero, let $p = \infty$. After this section, we will specialize to the case where κ is a finite field, and so p will be its characteristic.

Let n and m be natural numbers with $n \geq m$. We use \mathbf{c} to refer to a tuple (c_1, \dots, c_m) of elements of κ (or another field).

Let $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ be the closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_κ^n with variables (a_1, \dots, a_n) defined by the system of m equations

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) = 1 + c_1u + c_2u^2 + \dots + c_mu^m \pmod{u^{m+1}}$$

where u is a variable. (The equations are defined by equating the coefficients of each power of u on both sides.)

Let $\overline{X}_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ be its projective closure, i.e. the subspace of \mathbb{P}_κ^n with variables $(a_1 : \dots : a_n : z)$ defined by the system of m equations

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S|=r}} \prod_{i \in S} a_i = (-1)^r c_r z^r$$

for r from 1 to m . Let $D_{n,m,\mathbf{c}} = \overline{X} - X$ be the divisor defined by $z = 0$. We will drop these subscripts when the meaning is clear.

There is a natural map $\mu : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ that sends the point with coordinates (a_1, \dots, a_n) to the point whose coordinates are the coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$, in other words, the elementary symmetric polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_n . This map is finite - in fact, it is the quotient map by the S_n action on \mathbb{A}^n . It will often be convenient to calculate the dimension of spaces by analyzing their image under μ .

Lemma 2.1. *The dimension of $\overline{X}_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ is $n - m$. In particular, $\overline{X}_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ is a complete intersection.*

Proof. It suffices to check that the affine cone on $\overline{X}_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ has dimension $n - m + 1$, or that the subscheme of this affine cone where z has any given fixed value has dimension $n - m$. To do this, because μ is finite, it suffices to show that the image of this subscheme under μ has dimension $n - m$. Because the equations defining this subscheme are given by setting the r 'th coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ to equal $c_r z^r$, the image of this subscheme under μ is contained in the space where the first m coordinates are set to $c_1 z, \dots, c_m z^m$. Because $m \leq n$, fixing m coordinates in n -dimensional affine space produces an $n - m$ -dimensional variety, as desired. \square

Let R be the locus in \overline{X} where $|\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}| \leq m - 1$. Note that because $n \geq m > m - 1$, this locus consists of points with some significant number of repetitions among the a_1, \dots, a_n .

Lemma 2.2. *The scheme \overline{X} is smooth, and D is a smooth divisor, away from R .*

Proof. At a point $(a_1 : \dots : a_n : z) \in \overline{X}$, the space \overline{X} is smooth and D is a smooth divisor unless some linear combination of the equations defining \overline{X} has vanishing derivatives with respect to the variables a_1, \dots, a_n .

So if (a_1, \dots, a_n, z) is singular then for some nonzero $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} F(a_1, \dots, a_n, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) = 0$$

for all j from 1 to n , where

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_n, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} \sum_{r=1}^m \lambda_r (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S|=r}} \prod_{i \in S} a_i$$

is a linear combination of the defining equations, ignoring the constant terms $c_r z^r$. Now fix a single j from 1 to n . Because F is the coefficient of u^m in

$$\left(\sum_{r=1}^m \lambda_r u^{m-r} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) \right),$$

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_j}$ is the coefficient of u^m in

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) \left(\sum_{r=1}^m \lambda_r u^{m-r} \right) \frac{-u}{1 - ua_j}.$$

Let d_i be such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) \left(\sum_{r=1}^m \lambda_r u^{m-r} \right) (-u) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i u^i.$$

Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_j}$ is the coefficient of u^m in

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i u^i}{1 - ua_j},$$

which is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m d_i a_j^{m-i}.$$

Letting

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i x^{m-i},$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_j} = g(a_j).$$

Because $(\sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^r \lambda_r u^{m-r})$ is not divisible by u^m ,

$$\left(\sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^r \lambda_r u^{m-r} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) \right) (-u)$$

is not divisible by u^{m+1} , so d_1, \dots, d_m do not all vanish and thus g is nonzero. If (a_1, \dots, a_n, z) is singular, then $g(a_j) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_j}$ vanishes for all j , so the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is contained in the set of roots of the polynomial g of degree $\leq m-1$; since this polynomial is nonzero, the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ has size $\leq m-1$, so (a_1, \dots, a_n, z) belongs to R . \square

Lemma 2.3. *The locus R has dimension at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor$, and $R \cap D$ has dimension at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor - 1$.*

Here if $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor = 0$, for instance when κ has characteristic 0, so $p = \infty$, the claim that $R \cap D$ has dimension -1 means that $R \cap D$ is empty.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $R \cap D$ has dimension at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor - 1$, because D is a projective hyperplane section of \overline{X} and so it follows that R has dimension at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor$. Fix a point $(a_1 : \dots, a_n : 0)$ in $R \cap D$ and observe that

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-a_i}{1 - ua_i}.$$

Because $z = 0$, we have $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) = 1$ modulo u^{m+1} , and so $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ is divisible by u^m . Hence the numerator of the left side is divisible by u^m while the denominator is prime to u . Hence the u -adic valuation of the left side is at least m . On the other hand, examining the right side, its denominator has degree at most $|\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}| \leq m - 1$ and it vanishes at ∞ , hence its numerator has degree $\leq m - 2$. Thus the u -adic valuation of the right side is at most $m - 2$ unless the right side vanishes. Because the first possibility is a contradiction, both sides vanish, and

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) = 0.$$

Hence, if κ has characteristic $p > 0$, $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ is a polynomial in u^p . Furthermore, because $(a_1 : \dots : a_n : 0)$ lies in \overline{X} , the coefficients of u up to u^m of $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ must vanish.

Thus $(a_1 : \dots : a_n : 0)$ lies in $R \cap D$ only if $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ is a polynomial in u^p whose coefficients of u up to u^m vanish. For (a_1, \dots, a_n) lying in the affine cone on $R \cap D$, the same condition holds. Now let us examine the image of this affine cone under μ . It consists of n -tuples whose i th coordinate vanishes $i \leq m$ or i is not divisible by p . The dimension of the space of such polynomials is the number of $m < i \leq n$ which are divisible by p , which is $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor$. Because μ is finite, the dimension of the affine cone is at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor$. Thus the dimension of $R \cap D$ is at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor - 1$, as desired.

If κ has characteristic zero, (1) implies that $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i) = 1$ and so $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$, meaning the affine cone on $D \cap R$ has dimension 0, $D \cap R$ is empty, and R has dimension zero. \square

The following lemma is a variant of [Hooley, 1991, appendix by Nicholas M. Katz, assertion (2) in proof of Theorem 1] and we prove it by adapting Katz's method.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \overline{X} be a complete intersection in projective space of dimension d defined over κ , let D be a hyperplane section in \overline{X} , and let $X = \overline{X} - D$. Let Z be the complement of the largest open subset of \overline{X} where \overline{X} is smooth and D is a smooth divisor. Let ℓ be a prime invertible in κ . Then $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = 0$ for $\dim Z + d + 1 < i < 2d$. If $\dim Z < d - 1$, then $H_c^{2d}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-d)$.*

Proof. Let us first check the claims in the case that Z is empty. By convention, in this case $\dim Z = -1$, so we must show that $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = 0$ for $d < i < 2d$ and $H_c^{2d}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-d)$.

Recall that for a smooth complete intersection \overline{X} of dimension d , the cohomology of X in degrees $> d$ is generated by the powers of the hyperplane class, which are nonzero exactly in the even degrees from 0 [Deligne and Katz, 1972, XI, Theorem 1.6 (i), (iii)]. To calculate $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$, we apply the excision [Artin et al., 1972, XVII, (5.1.16.2)] to the open set X of \overline{X} , obtaining a long exact sequence

$$(2) \quad H^{i-1}(\overline{X}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(D_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(\overline{X}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(D_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Applying our description of the cohomology of complete intersections to both \overline{X} and D , we see that the natural map $H^i(\overline{X}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(D_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is surjective for $i > d - 1$ because its image contains all powers of the hyperplane class, it is injective for $d < i < 2d$ because nonzero powers of the hyperplane class are sent to nonzero powers of the hyperplane class, and it is zero in degree $i = 2d$. From (2), it follows that $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$ for $d < i < 2d$ and $H_c^{2d}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H^{2d}(\overline{X}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is generated by the d th power of the hyperplane class and thus is $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(-d)$.

Now we prove the claims for an arbitrary complete intersection. A generic such complete intersection is smooth a generic hyperplane section is smooth as well. So we may find a one-parameter family $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_t$ of complete intersections containing a family of hyperplanes \mathcal{D}_t such that $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0 = \overline{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 = D$, such that \mathcal{X}_t is smooth and \mathcal{D}_t is a smooth divisor for generic t . (To do this, pick F_1, \dots, F_r the defining equations of \overline{X} , G_1, \dots, G_r the defining equations of a generic complete intersection, and let \mathcal{X}_t be defined by $(1-t)F_1 + tG_1, \dots, (1-t)F_r + tG_r$. Then because smoothness is an open condition, smoothness at $t = 1$ implies smoothness generically.)

Let $j : \overline{\mathcal{X}} - \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ be the open immersion. We have $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H^i(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{0, \overline{\kappa}}, j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by definition.

We now use the theory of vanishing cycles from [Deligne and Katz, 1972, XIII, §2.1]. This gives a functor $R\Phi$ from ℓ -adic sheaves on a family \mathcal{X}_t over a curve to complexes of ℓ -adic sheaves on a special fiber X_0 . We have the long exact sequence [Deligne and Katz, 1972, XIII, (2.1.8.9)]

$$(3) \quad [H^*(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{0, \overline{\kappa}}, j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\eta}}, j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{0, \overline{\kappa}}, R\Phi j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

For any sheaf \mathcal{F} on a variety of dimension d , $\mathcal{F}[d]$ is semiperverse in the sense that its support in degree $-i$ has dimension $\leq i$, so $R\Phi \mathcal{F}[d]$ is semiperverse by [Illusie, 1994, Corollary 4.6] (though the case we need follows also from the argument of [Grothendieck, 1972, I, Theorem 4.2(ii)]), and thus $R\Phi j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell[d]$ is semiperverse.

For any open immersion j into a smooth variety whose complement is a normal crossings divisor, and lisse sheaf \mathcal{F} on the open set with tame monodromy around the normal crossings divisor, $R\Phi j_! \mathcal{F}$ vanishes [Deligne and Katz, 1972, XIII, Lemma 2.1.1]. Because j is the complement of a normal crossings divisor away from Z , and \mathbb{Q}_ℓ is always tame, $R\Phi j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is supported on Z .

Because $R\Phi j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell[d]$ is semiperverse and supported on Z , its cohomology is supported in degree $\leq \dim Z$ [Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne, and Gabber, 1982, 4.2.3], so $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{0, \overline{\kappa}}, R\Phi j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is supported in degree $\leq \dim Z + d$. Thus the first arrow of the long exact sequence (3) is an isomorphism in degrees $> \dim Z + d + 1$. Because

$$H^i(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\eta}}, j_! \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H_c^i(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

and the cohomology groups are as stated for $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}$, they are also as stated for X . \square

Note that S_n acts on $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ by permuting the coordinates a_1, \dots, a_n . This preserves the defining equations because they are symmetric in these coordinates. Hence S_n acts by functoriality on the cohomology groups $H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let ℓ be a prime invertible in κ and let $\mathbf{c} \in \kappa^m$ be a fixed tuple. We have $H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$ for $n - m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1 < i < 2(n - m)$.*

Furthermore, as long as $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1 < n - m$, we have $H_c^{2n-2m}(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-(n - m))$, and the action of S_n on this cohomology group is trivial.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the assumptions of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied, with dimension $\dim X = n - m$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, $\dim Z \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor$. Hence by Lemma 2.4, we get vanishing in the stated degrees, and also the calculation of the top cohomology. It remains to calculate the S_n action.

Let U be the (open) smooth locus of $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$. If $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1 < n - m$ then U is dense in $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3. Thus we have isomorphisms

$$(4) \quad H_c^{2n-2m}(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H_c^{2n-2m}(U_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = (H^0(U_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n - m)))^\vee.$$

by excision and Poincaré duality [Artin et al., 1972, XIX, Lemma 2.1 and (3.2.6.2)]. By Lemma 2.4, $H_c^{2n-2m}(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is one-dimensional, so $H^0(U_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n - m))$ is one-dimensional, and thus it must be generated by the global section $1 \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Because the smooth locus U is stable under automorphisms, it is in particular stable under S_n , so S_n also acts on U . The global section 1 is clearly S_n -invariant, so S_n acts trivially on $H^0(U_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n - m))$. Because the isomorphisms in (4) are canonical, they commute with the automorphisms in S_n , so S_n acts trivially on $H_c^{2n-2m}(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c},\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. \square

3. FACTORIZATION FUNCTIONS

We now explain the key definition of a factorization function on monic polynomials of degree n . We associate one such function to each representation π of S_n . Throughout, we fix a prime ℓ .

Definition 3.1. Fix π a representation of S_n , not necessarily irreducible, defined over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ .

For f a polynomial of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q , let V_f be the free \mathbb{Q}_ℓ vector space generated by tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^n$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i) = f$. Then V_f admits a natural S_n action by permuting the a_i , as well as an action of Frob_q by sending (a_1, \dots, a_n) to (a_1^q, \dots, a_n^q) , which commute.

Let Frob_q act trivially on π . This commutes with the S_n action.

Let

$$F_\pi(f) = \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (V_f \otimes \pi)^{S_n})$$

where the action of Frob_q on $(V_f \otimes \pi)^{S_n}$ is well-defined because the Frob_q and S_n -actions on $V_f \otimes \pi$ commute.

Lemma 3.2. *In the case when f is squarefree, we have $F_\pi(f) = \text{tr}(\sigma_q, \pi)$ where σ_q is an element of the conjugacy class of Frob_q acting on the roots of f .*

Proof. For such an f , we have an isomorphism $V_f \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell[S_n]$.

This is obtained by fixing a factorization $f = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i)$ and sending σ in S_n to $(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)})$. Having done this, the S_n action on V_f corresponds to the right S_n -action (by the inverse) on $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[S_n]$, and the action of Frob_q corresponds to the left action of the unique permutation $\sigma_q \in S_n$ with $a_{\sigma_q(i)} = a_i^q$. The conjugacy class of σ_q is the usual conjugacy class of Frobenius on S_n .

This gives an isomorphism $(V_f \otimes \pi)^{S_n} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_\ell[S_n] \otimes \pi)^{S_n} \cong \pi$. Under this isomorphism, the action of Frob_q on $(V_f \otimes \pi)^{S_n}$ is sent to the action of σ_q on π , because the isomorphism $\pi \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_\ell[S_n] \otimes \pi)^{S_n}$ sends $v \in \pi$ to $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} [\sigma] \otimes \sigma^{-1}(v)$ and so it sends $\sigma_q(v)$ to

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} [\sigma] \otimes \sigma^{-1}(\sigma_q(v)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} [\sigma_q \sigma] \otimes \sigma^{-1}(v) = \text{Frob}_q \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} [\sigma] \otimes \sigma^{-1}(v) \right).$$

Hence $F_\pi(f) = \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (V_f \otimes \pi)^{S_n}) = \text{tr}(\sigma_q, \pi)$. \square

The function F_π is from several perspectives the most natural extension of the character of π , evaluated on the conjugacy class of Frob_q , from squarefree polynomials to all polynomials. For instance, it agrees with some definitions previously in the literature:

Remark 3.3. Definition 3.1 is a special case of [Gadish, 2017, Definition 1.1 and Section 1.3]. In fact, the first step in the proof of Proposition 4.2 below is essentially a special case of [Gadish, 2017, Theorem A].

Remark 3.4. The functions F_π span the arithmetic functions of von Mangoldt type in the sense of [Hast and Matei, 2018, Definition 4.3].

Furthermore, many natural arithmetic functions can be expressed as F_π or as linear combinations of them.

Lemma 3.5. *Let sign be the sign representation of S_n . For f monic of degree n , we have*

$$\mu(f) = (-1)^n F_{\text{sign}}.$$

Proof. First we assume that f is not squarefree. In this case $\mu(f) = 0$, so it suffices to show that $(V_f \otimes \text{sign})^{S_n} = 0$. Because f has repeated roots, each basis vector of V_f corresponds to a tuple a_1, \dots, a_n with $a_i = a_j$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. A transposition in S_n that switches i and j fixes this basis vector but acts as -1 on sign . Thus the coefficient of this basis vector in any S_n -invariant element of $V_f \otimes \text{sign}$ is equal to minus itself, and vanishes. Because every coefficient of every S_n -invariant element of S_n -invariant element of $V_f \otimes \text{sign}$ vanishes, $V_f \otimes \text{sign} = 0$.

Now assume that f is squarefree. Then F_π is simply the sign of Frobenius in S_n , which is (-1) to the number of even cycles. Multiplying by $(-1)^n$, we obtain (-1) raised to the number of all cycles, which is (-1) raised to the number of prime factors of f , which by definition is the Möbius function. \square

Lemma 3.6. *For f monic of degree n , we have*

$$\Lambda(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i F_{\wedge^i(\text{std})} = F_{\oplus_{i \text{ even}} \wedge^i(\text{std})} - F_{\oplus_{i \text{ odd}} \wedge^i(\text{std})}.$$

This identity was explained to me by Vlad Matei.

Proof. First observe that $(V \otimes \wedge^i \text{std})^{S_n}$ is \wedge^i of the vector space of functions on the roots of f (ignoring multiplicity) that sum to zero. (This follows by induction from the fact that $(V \otimes \wedge^i(\text{std} + \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{S_n}$ is the space of antisymmetric functions on n -tuples of roots of f and thus is \wedge^i of the space of functions on the roots of f).

So $\sum_i (-1)^i F_{\wedge^i(\text{std})}(1)$ is the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius on the vector space of functions on the roots of f that sum to 0. Hence $\sum_i (-1)^i F_{\wedge^i(\text{std})}(1)$ vanishes if Frobenius fixes any function on the roots of f that sums to 0. This happens if Frobenius has more than one orbit on the roots of f . In other words, $\sum_i (-1)^i F_{\wedge^i(\text{std})}(1)$ vanishes when f is not a prime power. When f is the n/k th power of a prime of degree k , this vector space has dimension $(k-1)$ and the eigenvalues of Frobenius are all the nontrivial k th roots of unity, so the value of the characteristic polynomial is the evaluation of $(1-x^k)/(1-x)$ at 1, which is k . On the other hand, the von Mangoldt function is k in this case.

The last identity then follows from the additivity of F_π in the representation π , which follows from the additivity of the tensor product, cohomology, S_n -invariants, and trace operations used to define it. □

Rather than describing the divisor function as a factorization function directly, it will be more useful to express a certain variant of it:

Definition 3.7. For natural numbers n_1, \dots, n_k with $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$, let $d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f)$ be the function that takes a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n to the number of tuples g_1, \dots, g_k of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, with g_i of degree n_i for all i , such that $\prod_{i=1}^k g_i = f$.

It is clear from the definitions that, for f monic of degree n ,

$$(5) \quad d_k(f) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n}} d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f)$$

The individual terms $d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f)$ will be relevant in our proofs involving L -functions.

Lemma 3.8. For f monic of degree n have

$$F_{\text{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(f) = d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f).$$

Proof. Observe that $F_{\text{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n}}(f)$ is the trace of Frob_q on $(V_f \otimes \text{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{S_n} = V_f^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}$. Now $V_f^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}$ is the free vector space on the $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ -orbits of factorizations of f into linear factors, which are simply the factorizations of f into factors of degree n_1, \dots, n_k . The Frobenius element acts by permuting these, hence its trace is equal to the number of Frobenius-fixed factorizations, which is the number of factorizations defined over \mathbb{F}_q , as desired. □

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we work in the geometric setting of Section 2, but specialize the base field κ to a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . We fix a prime ℓ invertible in \mathbb{F}_q .

To combine the geometric setup of Section 2 with the factorization functions discussed in Section 3, which deal with monic polynomials, we introduce a change of variables. The key point is that the coefficients of the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ in u , in ascending order, are equal to the coefficients of the monic polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i)$, in descending order. Thus the map $\mu : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ defined in Section 2 as the map that sends (a_1, \dots, a_n) to the coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ua_i)$ is equal to the map that sends (a_1, \dots, a_n) to the coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i)$, as long as we interpret the coefficients in ascending and descending order respectively.

For $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}} = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \mid f = T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_m T^{n-m} + \dots\}.$$

We think of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}$ as a short interval in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Then $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Furthermore, because μ is the quotient map under S_n , the quotient $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n$ is the image of $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$ under μ , so $(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is exactly the set of monic polynomials $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}$.

(The reason for this change of variables is that working in the u variable simplifies the calculations in Section 2, while the T variable is needed here.)

We will now prove a bound for sums of factorization functions. The error term will depend on the following quantity, which we will bound afterwards:

Definition 4.1. For π a representation of S_n , let $B(\pi) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \dim \left((H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \pi)^{S_n} \right)$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $n > m$ be natural numbers. Let c_1, \dots, c_m be elements of \mathbb{F}_q and let π be a representation of S_n . Then,

$$\left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} F_\pi(f) - q^{n-m} \dim(\pi^{S_n}) \right| \leq B(\pi) q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

Proof. Let $\rho : X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n$ be the projection map. Equivalently, ρ is the restriction of μ to $X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}$. Then

$$(6) \quad (H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \pi)^{S_n} = (H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n, \rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \pi)^{S_n} = H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n, (\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \pi)^{S_n}).$$

Here the first identity follows from the Leray spectral sequence with compact supports [Artin et al., 1972, XVII, (5.1.8.2)] applied to ρ , using the fact that ρ is finite and so its higher cohomology vanishes, and the second uses the fact that étale cohomology is an additive functor and finite group actions are semisimple in characteristic zero so étale cohomology commutes with invariants under a finite group action.

By the Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point formula

$$(7) \quad \sum_i (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_q, H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n, (\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \pi)^{S_n})) = \sum_{x \in (X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n)(\mathbb{F}_q)} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_q, (\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \pi)_x^{S_n}).$$

We have seen earlier that $(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the short interval $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Thus to check that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{x \in X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}/S_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_q, (\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \pi)_x^{S_n}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} F_\pi(f)$$

it suffices to show that, for the point x corresponding to the polynomial f ,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_q, (\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \pi)_x^{S_n}) = F_\pi(f).$$

The stalk of $\rho_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ at f is the free vector space generated by the fiber of ρ over f . This free vector space is canonically isomorphic to V_f because the fiber over ρ consists of tuples $(a, \dots, a_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n (T - a_i) = f$. The natural actions of S_n and Frob_q on this vector space are by permutation on the fiber of ρ , which means that S_n acts by permuting the a_i s and Frob_q acts by raising each a_i to the q th power. This matches the actions we have defined for V_f .

Thus we obtain (8). Combining (8) with (6) and (7), we get

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} F_\pi(f) = \sum_i (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_q, (H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \pi)^{S_n}).$$

We have $H^{2(n-m)}(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-(n-m))$ with trivial S_n action. So the contribution of $i = 2(n-m)$ is $q^{n-m} \pi^{S_n}$.

For each other i , by [Deligne, 1980, Theorem 1], all eigenvalues of Frob_q on H_c^i are bounded by $q^{i/2}$, so the contribution of H_c^i is bounded by $q^{i/2} \dim((H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \pi)^{S_n})$. By Proposition 2.5, these cohomology groups vanish unless $i \leq n - m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1$. Summing over all i , we obtain the stated bound. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0$ be natural numbers summing to n and let π be a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Then for any \mathbf{c} , we have $B(\pi) \leq 3(k+2)^{n+m}$.*

Proof. Using Frobenius reciprocity and the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \right)^{S_n} \\ &= \left(H_c^i(X_{n,m,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \right)^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} = H_c^i(X_{n,m,(c_1, \dots, c_m)} / (S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}), \mathbb{Q}_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Now $X_{n,m,(c_1, \dots, c_m)} / (S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k})$ is the moduli space of k -tuples of monic polynomials, of degrees n_1, \dots, n_k whose product has leading terms $T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_m T^{n-m}$. This identification can be obtained by sending (a_1, \dots, a_n) to

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (T - a_i), \prod_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+2} (T - a_i), \dots, \prod_{i=n-n_k-1}^n (T - a_i) \right).$$

Thus $X_{n,m,(c_1, \dots, c_m)} / (S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k})$ is a variety defined by m equations of degree at most k in n variables.

The statement when $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ then follows from [Katz, 2001, Theorem 12] (specifically, we take $s = 0$, $f = 0$, $N = n$, $r = m$, and F_1, \dots, F_r to be these m equations).

For π a subrepresentation of the induced representation, it follows when we note subrepresentations of a finite group representation are automatically summands, hence the cohomology of π is a summand of the cohomology of the induced representation, and thus its Betti numbers are no greater. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let π be a subrepresentation of the regular representation of S_n . Then $B(\pi) \leq 3(n+2)^{n+m}$. In particular, this holds if π is any irreducible representation of S_n .*

Proof. The claim on subrepresentations of the regular representation follows from Proposition 4.3 taking $k = n, n_1, \dots, n_k = 1$. That every irreducible representation is a subrepresentation of the regular representation is standard in representation theory. \square

Theorem 4.5. *For natural numbers n, m with $n \geq m$, a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p , $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, and natural numbers n_1, \dots, n_k with $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$,*

$$\left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f) - q^{n-m} \right| \leq 3(k+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

Proof. If $n > m$, this follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, recalling from Lemma 3.8 that $F_{\text{Ind}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}^{S_n} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(f) = d_k^{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}(f)$.

In the case $n = m$, note that the only f appearing in the sum on the left is $T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_m$, whose $d_k^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ is between 0 and k^n , and q^{n-m} is 1, so the left side is at most $k^n - 1$, and the right side is $3(k+2)^{2n} \sqrt{q}$, so the inequality holds. \square

Corollary 4.6. *For natural numbers n, m with $n \geq m$, a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p , and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$\left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} d_k(f) - \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} q^{n-m} \right| \leq 3 \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} (k+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.5 by summing over all possible n_1, \dots, n_k . \square

We can prove similar results for the Möbius and von Mangoldt functions, using Corollary 4.4.

Corollary 4.7. *We have*

$$(9) \quad \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} \mu(f) \right| \leq 3(n+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}} \Lambda(f) - q^n \right| \leq 6(n+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}$$

Proof. (9) follows from Theorem 4.5 when we recall from Lemma 3.5 that μ is $(-1)^n F_\pi$ for π the sign representation, using Corollary 4.4 to bound $B(\pi)$.

For (10), we use almost the same strategy. First, we recall from Lemma 3.6 that

$$\Lambda = \sum_i (-1)^i F_{\wedge^i(\text{std})} = F_{\oplus_{i \text{ even}} \wedge^i(\text{std})} - F_{\oplus_{i \text{ odd}} \wedge^i(\text{std})}.$$

Next use the fact that both $\oplus_{i \text{ even}} \wedge^i(\text{std})$ and $\oplus_{i \text{ odd}} \wedge^i(\text{std})$ are sums of distinct irreducible representations, hence subrepresentations of the regular representation, so Corollary 4.4 may be applied to each in term. The main term in (10) comes from the trivial representation $\wedge^0(\text{std})$, as none of the other representations appearing have S_n -invariants. \square

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 stated in the introduction follow by setting $m = n - h$ and c_1, \dots, c_m to be the coefficients of T^{n-1}, \dots, T^{n-m} in f . Indeed, the set \mathcal{I}_c of polynomials whose leading terms are $T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_m T^{n-m}$ is exactly the set of polynomials $f + g$ with $\deg g < n - m = h$. We obtain the bounds stated in the introduction by substituting $n - h$ for m in the bounds stated in this section.

5. MOMENTS OF L-FUNCTIONS

Recall that we say $\chi : (\mathbb{F}_q[u]/u^{m+1})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is primitive and even if it is trivial on \mathbb{F}_q^\times but nontrivial on $1 + u^m \mathbb{F}_q$, and we let $S_{m,q}$ be the set of all primitive even characters. We have the L -function

$$(11) \quad L(s, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[u] \\ \text{monic} \\ \gcd(f, u) = 1}} \chi(f) q^{-s \deg f}.$$

Alternately, we can transform χ to a character of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ by setting $\psi(f) = \chi(f(u^{-1})u^{\deg f})$ for $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Let $S'_{m,q}$ be the set of characters ψ arising from $\chi \in S_{m,q}$ by this formula. Here the natural L -function is

$$(12) \quad L(s, \psi) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \text{monic}}} \psi(f) q^{-s \deg f}.$$

Such a character ψ depends only on the leading $m + 1$ coefficients of f .

Lemma 5.1. *For χ a primitive even character of $(\mathbb{F}_q[u]/u^{m+1})^\times$ and $\psi(f) = \chi(f(u^{-1})u^{\deg f})$, we have*

$$(13) \quad L(s, \psi) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-s}} L(s, \chi).$$

Proof. We can see this from the substitution $\sigma : f \mapsto f(u^{-1})u^{\deg f}/a_f$, where a_f is the lowest nonzero coefficient of f . We observe that every monic polynomial in T is sent by σ to a monic polynomial prime to u , and that the inverse image of a monic polynomial of degree d , prime to u under σ consists of a polynomial of degree d and one of each greater degree (its multiples by power of T). Thus, these two L -functions agree up to a factor of $1/(1 - q^{-s})$ which accounts for the multiples of a given polynomial by powers of T .

Alternately, viewing ψ and χ as idele class characters of the fields $\mathbb{F}_q(u)$ and $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ respectively, and defining the L -functions using the idele class group, this identity arises from the field isomorphism $u = T^{-1}$, with $\frac{1}{1-q^{-s}}$ being the local factor at ∞ . \square

Remark 5.2. While these two families of L -functions behave identically over function fields, they suggest different analogies over number fields.

The L -functions of Dirichlet characters χ of $\mathbb{F}_q[u]$ appear similar to the L -functions of Dirichlet characters of the integers modulo a large power of a small prime.

The characters ψ of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ depending only on the leading coefficients of f are ramified at ∞ , thus are an analogue of the characters $n \mapsto n^{it}$ of the integers, which are “ramified at ∞ ” in some sense, and so its moments might appear like the moments of the Riemann zeta function. However, the fact that the characters ψ are split at the prime T makes this more difficult, as if we fixed t to lie in the arithmetic progression $\frac{2\pi i}{\log 2}\mathbb{Z}$. The moments of ζ on arithmetic progressions have been studied over the integers [Li and Radziwiłł, 2015], though not as much as Dirichlet characters.

The comparison to Dirichlet characters probably provides the best analogy for the difficulty of the moments of this family by traditional analytic means, because the infinite place can behave differently over number fields and function fields.

Using the divisor function estimates above, we immediately obtain results on moments of L -functions for this family of characters. To do this, it is most convenient to work with the formulation in terms of ψ .

We first describe the basic properties of these L -functions:

Lemma 5.3. *For $\psi \in S_{m,q}$, $L(s, \psi)$ is a polynomial in q^{-s} of degree $m - 1$ and satisfies the functional equation*

$$(14) \quad L(s, \psi) = \epsilon_\psi q^{(m-1)(s-1/2)} L(1-s, \overline{\psi})$$

where

$$(15) \quad \epsilon_\psi = q^{-(m-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \text{monic} \\ \deg f = m-1}} \psi(f).$$

This is a special case of the standard [Rosen, 2002, Theorem 9.24A] (or [Andrade and Yiasemides, 2019, Proposition 3.9] for the analogue stated in terms of χ) but we review its short proof here.

Proof. To check that $L(s, \psi)$ is a polynomial, it suffices to check that the coefficient

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \text{monic} \\ \deg f = d}} \psi(f)$$

of q^{-ds} vanishes for $d \geq m$. This follows by orthogonality of characters because ψ is nontrivial and each possible set of leading $m + 1$ terms occurs equally often among the monic f .

For the functional equation, there are two approaches. First, we could use the result of Weil [1948] that the polynomial has degree exactly $m - 1$ and all roots of size \sqrt{q} , which

implies the functional equation. Alternately, the functional equation can be proved directly, by Fourier analysis, and it implies that the degree of the L -function is $m - 1$. \square

In the proofs of the results below, it will be convenient to use big O notation for polynomials, where $f = g + O(T^n)$ denotes that $f - g$ is a polynomial of degree at most n .

Lemma 5.4. *For f monic of degree n ,*

$$\sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \psi(f) = \begin{cases} q^m - q^{m-1} & \text{if } f = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \\ -q^{m-1} & \text{if } f \neq T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \text{ but } f = T^n + O(T^{n-m}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from the identities

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi: (\mathbb{F}_q[u]/u^{m+1})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \text{even}}} \chi(f(u)^{-1} u^{\deg f}) = \begin{cases} q^m & \text{if } f = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi: (\mathbb{F}_q[u]/u^m)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \text{even}}} \chi(f(u)^{-1} u^{\deg f}) = \begin{cases} q^{m-1} & \text{if } f = T^n + O(T^{n-m}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

both of which follow immediately from orthogonality of characters. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be complex numbers with nonnegative real part.*

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{|S'_{m,q}|} \sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(1/2 + \alpha_i)}} + O\left(m^r (r+2)^{r(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(-m + \lfloor \frac{r(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right).$$

To obtain Theorem 1.3 from (16), we use Lemma 5.1 to replace $L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi)$ with $L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \chi)$, which cancels the $\frac{1}{1 - q^{-(1/2 + \alpha_i)}}$ factors in the main term and introduces an $1 - q^{-(1/2 + \alpha_i)} = O(1)$ factor in the error term.

Proof. We have

$$(17) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}} \prod_{i=1}^r q^{-n_i(1/2 + \alpha_i)} \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \text{monic} \\ \deg(f_i) = n_i}} \psi(f_1 f_2 \dots f_r).$$

(We use Lemma 5.3 to deduce that it suffices to sum over $n_i \leq m - 1$.)

We introduce some notation to simplify this sum. Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$, $n = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, and $Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^r q^{-n_i(1/2 + \alpha_i)}$.

Summing (17) over $\psi \in S'_{m,q}$,

$$\sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \sum_{\substack{f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ \text{monic} \\ \deg(f_i) = n_i}} \sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \psi(f_1 f_2 \dots f_r).$$

By Lemma 5.4, splitting up the q^m and q^{m-1} parts, we obtain

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & q^m \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left| \left\{ f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \text{ monic} \mid \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^r f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \right\} \right| \\ & - q^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left| \left\{ f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \text{ monic} \mid \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^r f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m}) \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

For the first line of (18), if $n \leq m$, this equation is only satisfied if $f_i = T^{n_i}$ for all i . Hence the cardinality in question is 1, and the terms with $n \leq m$ contribute

$$(19) \quad q^m \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r \\ n \leq m}} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = q^m \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(1/2 + \alpha_i)}} + O(q^m m^{r-1} q^{-m/2}).$$

Each term in the first line of (18) with $n > m$ is a special case of the sum handled in Theorem 4.5 (where we take $c_1, \dots, c_m = 0$). Hence these terms contribute

$$(20) \quad q^m \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r \\ n > m}} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left(q^{n-m} + O\left((r+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)} \right) \right).$$

Similarly, for the second line of (18), the terms with $n \leq m-1$ contribute

$$(21) \quad q^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - q^{-n_i(1/2 + \alpha_i)}} + O(q^{m-1} m^{r-1} q^{-m/2})$$

and the terms with $n > m-1$ contribute

$$(22) \quad q^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r \\ n > m-1}} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left(q^{n+1-m} + O\left((r+2)^{n+m-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1-m + \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m-1}{p} \rfloor + 1)} \right) \right).$$

The $q^m q^{n-m}$ and $q^{m-1} q^{n+1-m}$ terms from (20) and (22) cancel, except when n is exactly m , in which case they do not cancel because (20) is a sum over $n > m$ and (22) is a sum over $n > m-1$. These uncanceled terms contribute $O(m^{r-1} q^{m/2})$. This leaves only the main terms of (19) and (21), which combine to give the main term of (16), and the error terms of all formulas. The error terms from (20) and (22) are of size

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^m \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} O\left(Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})(r+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-m+\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right) \\
(23) \quad &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} O\left((r+2)^{n+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m+\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right) \\
&= O\left(m^r (r+2)^{r(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m+\lfloor \frac{r(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the error terms arising from (19) and (21) are $O(q^{m/2} m^{r-1})$, they are also

$$O\left(m^r (r+2)^{r(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m+\lfloor \frac{r(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right),$$

so we obtain (16). \square

We can prove also a similar estimate for a moment twisted by a positive power of ϵ_ψ .

Corollary 5.6. *Let $m \geq 1, r \geq 0$, and $s \geq 1$ be natural numbers, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be complex numbers with nonnegative real part. Then*

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{|S'_{m,q}|} \sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \epsilon_\psi^s \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) = O\left(m^r (r+s+2)^{(r+s)(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(-m+\lfloor \frac{(r+s)(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}\right).$$

To obtain Theorem 1.4, we again use Lemma 5.1 to replace $L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi)$ with $L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \chi)$, which again introduces an $O(1)$ factor.

Proof. We use (15) to express ϵ_ψ as a sum over polynomials of degree $m-1$. To that end, it is convenient to write $n_{r+1}, \dots, n_{r+s} = m-1$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} n_i$. We also set $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_{r+s})$, and $Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = q^{-s(m-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^r q^{-n_i(1/2+\alpha_i)}$.

It follows from (15) that

$$(25) \quad \epsilon_\psi^s \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \\ f_i \text{ monic} \\ \deg(f_i) = n_i}} \psi(f_1 f_2 \dots f_{r+s}).$$

Summing over ψ using Lemma 5.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & \sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \epsilon_\psi^s \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left(q^m \left| \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \mid f_i \text{ monic, } \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \right\} \right| \right. \\
& \quad \left. - q^{m-1} \left| \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \mid f_i \text{ monic, } \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m}) \right\} \right| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(27) \quad \left| \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \mid f_i \text{ monic, } \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m}) \right\} \right| = q^{n+(s-1)(m-1)}$$

because there is always exactly one value of f_{r+s} that satisfies the equation for any f_1, \dots, f_{r+s-1} . Hence we can simplify (26)

(28)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\psi \in S'_{m,q}} \epsilon_\psi^s \prod_{i=1}^r L(1/2 + \alpha_i, \psi) \\ = & \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^r} Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left(q^m \left| \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \mid f_i \text{ monic, } \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \right\} \right| - q^{n+s(m-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The term in parantheses in (28) is q^m times the left side of Theorem 4.5 with $k = r + s$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m = 0$. Applying Theorem 4.5, we see that in each term with $n + s(m-1) \geq m$, we have

$$(29) \quad \left| q^m \left| \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]^{r+s} \mid f_i \text{ monic, } \deg(f_i) = n_i, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} f_i = T^n + O(T^{n-m-1}) \right\} \right| - q^{n+s(m-1)} \right| \leq 3(r+s+2)^{n+s(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n+s(m-1)+m + \lfloor \frac{n+s(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

The product of (29) with $Q(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is at most

$$3(r+s+2)^{n+s(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m + \lfloor \frac{n+s(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

Summing over \mathbf{n} , we get

$$(30) \quad 3m^r (r+s+2)^{r+s(m-1)+m} q^{\frac{1}{2}(m + \lfloor \frac{(r+s)(m-1)}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

The only term where $n + s(m-1) < m$ occurs when $s = 1$ and $n_1, \dots, n_r = 0$. This term is simply $q^{(-m-1)/2}(q^m - q^{m-1})$ and is bounded by (30). Thus, we obtain (24). \square

REFERENCES

- J. C. Andrade and M. Yiasemides. The fourth power mean of Dirichlet L -functions in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. arXiv:1901.06295, 2019.
- Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier. *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie - 1963-64 - Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas - vol .3*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1972. <http://library.msri.org/books/sga/sga/pdf/sga4-3.pdf>.
- Efrat Bank, Lior Bary-Soroker, and Lior Rosenzweig. Prime polynomials in short intervals and in arithmetic progressions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(2), 2015. <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1422627049>.

- Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, Pierre Deligne, and Ofer Gabber. *Faisceaux Pervers*, volume 100 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, 1982. <http://publications.ias.edu/book/export/html/396>.
- Valentin Blomer, Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, Djordje Milićević, and Will Sawin. The second moment theory of families of L-functions. arXiv:1804.01450 (to appear in *Memoirs of the AMS*), 2018.
- Dan Carmon. On square-free values of large polynomials over the rational function field (with an appendix by alexei entin). *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 2019. doi:10.1017/S0305004119000392.
- Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil: II. *Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, 52: 137–252, 1980. http://www.numdam.org/item/PMIHES_1980__52__137_0.
- Pierre Deligne and Nicholas Katz. *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie - 1967-69 - Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique - (SGA 7) - vol. 2*, volume 340 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1972. <http://library.msri.org/books/sga/sga/pdf/sga7-2.pdf>.
- Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland. Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields. *Annals of Mathematics*, 183:729–786, 2016. doi: 10.4007/annals.2016.183.3.1.
- Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, and Philippe Michel. Algebraic twists of modular forms and Hecke orbits. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 25:580–657, 2015. doi: 10.1007/s00039-015-0310-2.
- Nir Gadish. A trace formula for the distribution of rational G -orbits in ramified covers, adapted to representation stability. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, 23:987–1011, 2017. <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2017/23-43p.pdf>.
- M. Z. Garaev, F. Luca, and W. G. Nowak. The divisor problem for $d_4(n)$ in short intervals. *Archiv der Mathematik*, pages 60–66, 2006. 10.1007/s00013-005-1447-2.
- Alexander Grothendieck. *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie - 1967-69 - Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique - (SGA 7) - vol. 1*, volume 288 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1972. <http://library.msri.org/books/sga/sga/pdf/sga7-1.pdf>.
- Daniel Rayor Hast and Vlad Matei. Higher moments of arithmetic functions in short intervals: a geometric perspective. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2018, 2018. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnx310.
- D.R. Heath-Brown. The number of primes in a short interval. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 389:22–63, 1988. <http://eudml.org/doc/153047>.
- C. Hooley. On the number of points on a complete intersection over a finite field. *Journal of Number Theory*, 38:338–358, 1991. doi: 10.1016/0022-314X(91)90023-5.
- M.N. Huxley. On the difference between consecutive primes. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 15: 164–170, 1972. http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?PPN356556735_0015.
- M.N. Huxley. Exponential sums and lattice points III. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(87):591–609, 2003. doi: 10.1112/S0024611503014485.
- Luc Illusie. Autour du théorème de monodromie locale. *Astérisque*, 223:9–57, 1994. http://www.numdam.org/item/AST_1994__223__9_0.

- Alexander Ivić. *The Riemann zeta-function*. Dover, 2003.
- Nicholas M. Katz. Sums of Betti numbers in arbitrary characteristic. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 7:29–44, 2001. <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/BettiSum14.pdf>.
- Nicholas M. Katz. Witt vectors and a question of Keating and Rudnick. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013(15):3613–3638, 2013. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns144. <http://imrn.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2012/06/20/imrn.rns144.abstract>.
- Jon Keating, Brad Rodgers, Edva Roditty-Gershon, and Zeev Rudnick. Sums of divisor functions in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ and matrix integrals. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 288:167–198, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s00209-017-1884-1.
- Rizwanur Khan. The divisor function in arithmetic progressions modulo prime powers. *Mathematika*, 62(3):898908, 2016. doi: 10.1112/S0025579316000024.
- G. Kolesnik. On the estimation of multiple exponential sums. In *Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory, Symposium Durham 1979 (Vol. 1)*, pages 231–246. Academic Press, 1981.
- Xiannan Li and Maksym Radziwiłł. The Riemann zeta function on vertical arithmetic progressions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(2):325–354, 2015. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnt197.
- Kui Liu, Igor E. Shparlinski, and Tianping Zhang. Divisor problem in arithmetic progressions modulo a prime power. *Advances in Mathematics*, 325:459–481, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2017.12.006.
- Helmut Meier. Primes in short intervals. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 32:221–225, 1985. doi: 10.1307/mmj/1029003189.
- Brad Rodgers. Arithmetic functions in short intervals and the symmetric group. *Algebra and Number Theory*, 12(5):1243–1279, 2018. doi: 10.2140/ant.2018.12.1243.
- Frank Romascavage III. *Explicit Formula for the Mean Square of Dirichlet L-Functions to Prime Power Moduli*. PhD thesis, Bryn Mawr, 2017. <https://repository.brynmawr.edu/dissertations/169/>.
- Michael Rosen. *Number Theory in Function Fields*, volume 210 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2002. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-6046-0.
- Will Sawin and Mark Shusterman. On the Chowla and twin primes conjectures over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. arXiv:1808.04001, 2019.
- Vivek Shende and Jacob Tsimerman. Equidistribution on the space of rank two vector bundles over the projective line. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 166(18):3461–3504, 2017. arXiv:1307.8237.
- Nattalie Tamam. The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions for the rational function field. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 10(01):183–218, 2014. doi:10.1142/S1793042113500899.
- E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of the Riemann zeta function*. Oxford University Press, 1986.
- André Weil. On some exponential sums. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 34(5):204–207, 1948. doi: 10.1073/pnas.34.5.204.
- Raphaël Zacharias. Simultaneous non-vanishing for Dirichlet L-functions. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 69(4):1459–1524, 2019. doi: 10.5802/aif.3275.