

SIDON SETS AND 2-CAPS IN \mathbb{F}_3^n

YIXUAN HUANG, MICHAEL TAIT, AND ROBERT WON

ABSTRACT. For each natural number d , we introduce the concept of a d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n . A set of points in \mathbb{F}_3^n is called a d -cap if, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$, no $k + 2$ of the points lie on a k -dimensional flat. This generalizes the notion of a cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n . We prove that the 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n are exactly the Sidon sets in \mathbb{F}_3^n and study the problem of determining the size of the largest 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, let \mathbb{F}_q denote the field with q elements and let \mathbb{F}_q^n denote n -dimensional affine space over \mathbb{F}_q . A *cap* in \mathbb{F}_3^n is a collection of points such that no three are collinear. Although this definition is geometric, there is an equivalent definition that is arithmetic: a set of points C is a cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n if and only if C contains no three-term arithmetic progressions.

Here, we consider natural generalizations of caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n . For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we call a set of points a d -cap if, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$, no $k + 2$ of the points lie on a k -dimensional flat. With this definition, a 1-cap corresponds to the usual definition of a cap. We also remark that if C is a set of points in \mathbb{F}_3^n , then the points of C are in general linear position if and only if C is an $(n - 1)$ -cap.

Let $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ denote the maximal size of a 1-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n . In general, it is a difficult problem to determine $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ —in fact, the exact answer is known only when $n \leq 6$. Table 1 lists the best known upper and lower bounds on $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ for $n \leq 10$ [11]. It is also known that in dimension $n \leq 6$, maximal 1-caps are equivalent up to affine transformation [4, 9, 10].

Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Lower bound	2	4	9	20	45	112	236	496	1064	2240
Upper bound	2	4	9	20	45	112	291	771	2070	5619

TABLE 1. The best known bounds for the size of a maximal 1-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n .

The asymptotic bounds on $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ are well-studied. In [5], Edel showed that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_3(r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n))}{n} \geq 0.724851$$

and consequently that $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ is $\Omega(2.2174^n)$ (using Hardy-Littlewood's Ω notation). In more recent breakthrough work [6], Ellenberg and Gijswijt (adapting a method of Croot, Lev, and Pach in [3]) proved that $r(1, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ is $o(2.756^n)$.

In this paper, we focus on the study of 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n . We show that there is an equivalent arithmetic formulation of the definition of a 2-cap. In particular, the 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n are exactly the Sidon sets in \mathbb{F}_3^n , which are important objects in combinatorial number theory (we refer the interested reader to the survey [8] and the references therein). Using this definition, we are able to compute the exact maximal size of a 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n when n is even. We also examine 2-caps in low dimension when n is odd, in particular considering dimension $n = 3, 5$, and 7 .

Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	n even	n odd
Lower bound	2	3	5	9	13	27	33	81	$3^{n/2}$	$3^{(n-1)/2} + 1$
Upper bound	2	3	5	9	13	27	47	81	$3^{n/2}$	$\lceil 3^{n/2} \rceil$

TABLE 2. Bounds for the size of a maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n .

Table 2 lists the bounds we obtain for the size of a maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n . The values in dimension 3, 5, and 7 are given by Theorems 3.9 and 3.10, and Proposition 3.12, respectively. The bounds for even dimension follow from Theorem 3.4. The upper bound in odd dimension n follows from Proposition 3.3 and the lower bound is given by adding one affinely independent point to the construction in dimension $n - 1$. Knowing the exact value in even dimension also allows us to conclude that asymptotically, the maximal size of a 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n is $\Theta(3^{n/2})$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank W. Frank Moore for suggesting the project, as well as the anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions. Yixuan Huang was supported by a Wake Forest Research Fellowship during the summer of 2018 and Michael Tait was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1606350.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we establish basic notation, definitions, and background. The set of natural numbers is denoted $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Throughout, d and n will always denote natural numbers. An element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ will be written as a row vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ with each $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. We will sometimes order the vectors of \mathbb{F}_3^n lexicographically—i.e., by regarding them as ternary strings. We use the notation $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ to denote the n standard basis vectors in an n -dimensional vector space.

A k -dimensional affine subspace of a vector space is called a k -dimensional *flat*. In particular, a 1-dimensional flat is also called a *line*. In the affine space \mathbb{F}_3^n , every line consists of the points $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} + 2\mathbf{b}\}$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ where $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence, the lines in \mathbb{F}_3^n correspond to three-term arithmetic progressions. It is easy to see that three distinct points in \mathbb{F}_3^n are collinear if and only if they sum to $\mathbf{0}$. Likewise, a 2-dimensional flat is called a *plane*. Any three non-collinear points determine a unique plane. For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}_3^k$ with $k < n$. The subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n whose first k entries are a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k is an $(n - k)$ -dimensional flat which we call *the \mathbf{a} -affine subspace* of \mathbb{F}_3^n .

Two subsets C and D of a vector space are called *affinely equivalent* if there exists an invertible affine transformation T such that $T(C) = D$. It is clear that affine equivalence determines an equivalence relation on the power set of a vector space. Given a set of points X in a vector space, its affine span is given by the set of all affine combinations of points of X . A set X is called *affinely independent* if no proper subset of X has the same affine span as X . Equivalently, $\{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ is affinely independent if and only if $\{\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_0\}$ is linearly independent.

Definition 2.1. A subset C of \mathbb{F}_3^n is called a d -cap if, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$, no $k + 2$ points of C lie on a k -dimensional flat. Equivalently, C is a d -cap if and only if any subset of C of size at most $d + 2$ is affinely independent. A d -cap is called *complete* if it is not a proper subset of another d -cap and is called *maximal* if it is of the largest possible cardinality.

As mentioned in the introduction, a 1-cap is a classical cap. We will denote the size of a maximal d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n by $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$. We remark that since invertible affine transformations preserve affine independence, the image of a d -cap under an invertible affine transformation is again a d -cap. As a warm-up, we prove some basic facts about maximal d -caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n .

Lemma 2.2. *We have that $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \geq n + 1$ with equality if $n \leq d$.*

Proof. The set $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is an affinely independent subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n of size $n + 1$ and hence is a d -cap for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \geq n + 1$.

Now suppose $n \leq d$. Since, by definition, a d -cap must be an n -cap, we have that $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \leq r(n, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$. A maximal affinely independent set in \mathbb{F}_3^n has size $n + 1$ so $r(n, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \leq n + 1$, and so $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n) = n + 1$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *When $n \leq d$, all maximal d -caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n are affinely equivalent.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, when $n \leq d$, a maximal d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n is a maximal affinely independent set, i.e., an affine basis of \mathbb{F}_3^n . All affine bases in an affine space are equivalent up to affine transformation. \square

Lemma 2.4. For fixed d , $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ is a non-decreasing function of n and for fixed n , $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ is a non-increasing function of d .

Proof. Since \mathbb{F}_3^{n-1} is an affine subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n , a d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^{n-1} naturally embeds as a d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n . Hence $r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^{n-1}) \leq r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ so the first statement follows. The second statement follows since, by definition, a d -cap in \mathbb{F}_3^n must be a $(d-1)$ -cap. Hence, $r(d-1, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \geq r(d, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$. \square

3. 2-CAPS IN \mathbb{F}_3^n

We now restrict our attention to the study of 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n . Our first observation is that in \mathbb{F}_3^n , the definition of a 2-cap is equivalent to the definition of a Sidon set.

Definition 3.1. Let G be an abelian group. A subset $A \subseteq G$ is called a *Sidon set* if, whenever $a + b = c + d$ with $a, b, c, d \in A$, the pair (a, b) is a permutation of the pair (c, d) .

Theorem 3.2. A subset C of \mathbb{F}_3^n is a 2-cap if and only if it is a Sidon set.

Proof. First suppose that C is not a 2-cap. Then C contains three points which are collinear or C contains four points which are coplanar. If C contains three distinct collinear points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ then $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ and hence $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}$ so C is not a Sidon set.

Suppose therefore that no three points in C are collinear. Then C contains four coplanar points, say $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$. Every set of three distinct non-collinear points in \mathbb{F}_3^n lies on a unique 2-dimensional flat. In particular, the 2-dimensional flat F containing \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} , and \mathbf{c} is given by

$$F = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} & -\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \\ \hline \mathbf{c} & -\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} \\ \hline -\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c} & -\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and since we assumed that no three points in C are collinear, we must have that $\mathbf{d} = -\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$ or $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}$. In the first case, $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$, in the second case, $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}$, and in the third case $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$. In any case, C is not a Sidon set.

Conversely, suppose that C is not a Sidon set. Then either C contains three distinct points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ such that $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$, or C contains four distinct points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ such that $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}$. In the first case, $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ so C contains a line. In the second case, $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}$, so \mathbf{d} lies in the plane determined by \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} , and \mathbf{c} , and hence the four points are coplanar. In either case, C is not a 2-cap. \square

Since, in \mathbb{F}_3^n , 2-caps correspond to Sidon sets, we will use the terms interchangeably throughout. We obtain an upper bound on $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ by an easy counting argument (c.f. [2, Corollary 2.2]).

Proposition 3.3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \cdot (r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) - 1) \leq 3^n - 1$.

Proof. Suppose $C \subset \mathbb{F}_3^n$ is a 2-cap and hence, by Theorem 3.2, a Sidon set. For $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in C$, if $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{d}$ then $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}\} = \{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}\}$ and so we have either $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$, or else $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}$. Therefore, the set $\{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C, \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\}$ has size $|C|(|C| - 1)$. Since these differences are nonzero, we have

$$|C|(|C| - 1) \leq 3^n - 1. \quad \square$$

3.1. Even dimension.

Theorem 3.4. If n is even, then $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) = 3^{n/2}$.

Proof. First we will show the lower bound, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \geq 3^{n/2}$. Since \mathbb{F}_3^n is additively isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_3^{n/2} \times \mathbb{F}_3^{n/2}$, it suffices to construct a Sidon set of size $3^{n/2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_3^{n/2} \times \mathbb{F}_3^{n/2}$. As vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_3 , $\mathbb{F}_3^{n/2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}$, the finite field with $3^{n/2}$ elements. Hence, it suffices to construct a Sidon set of size $3^{n/2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}} \times \mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}$. This follows easily from the following claim (for a proof, see [1, Example 1]).

Claim. Let q be an odd prime power and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of order q . Then the set $\{(x, x^2) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ is a Sidon set in $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$.

It is clear that the set $\{(x, x^2) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}\}$ has size $3^{n/2}$ and so we have $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \geq 3^{n/2}$. For the upper bound, let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_3^n$ be a 2-cap. Since n is even, $3^{n/2}$ is an integer, and if $|C| \geq 3^{n/2} + 1$, this contradicts Proposition 3.3. Therefore, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n) \leq 3^{n/2}$. \square

Corollary 3.5. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^n)$ is $\Theta(3^{n/2})$.

The construction above can be leveraged into the following partitioning theorem.

Theorem 3.6. When n is even, there is a partition of \mathbb{F}_3^n into maximal 2-caps.

This serves as an analogue to similar results for 1-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^n . It is well-known that \mathbb{F}_3^3 can be partitioned into three maximal 1-caps of size 9. It is possible to partition \mathbb{F}_3^2 into a single point and two disjoint maximal 1-caps of size 4. Finally, [7, Theorem 3.3] shows that \mathbb{F}_3^4 can be partitioned into a single point and four disjoint maximal 1-caps of size 20.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Since translations of Sidon sets are also Sidon sets, for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}$ the set $S_a := \{(x, x^2 + a) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}\}$ is a maximal 2-cap. Since $(x, x^2 + a) = (y, y^2 + b)$ implies $x = y$ and hence $a = b$, we have that S_a and S_b are disjoint for $a \neq b$. Therefore, as a ranges over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n/2}}$ the sets S_a cover 3^n points and thus there is the claimed partition. \square

Question 3.7. By Corollary 2.3, all maximal 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^2 are affinely equivalent. Is this true in \mathbb{F}_3^n when n is even?

We remark that when $n = 4$, a computer program verified that all maximal 2-caps sum to $\mathbf{0}$. If a set of nine points sums to $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{F}_3^4 , then its image under any affine transformation will likewise sum to $\mathbf{0}$, so this is a necessary condition for all maximal 2-caps in \mathbb{F}_3^4 to be affinely equivalent.

3.2. Odd dimension.

Lemma 3.8. *If $C = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$ is a 2-cap of size four in \mathbb{F}_3^n then $D = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}\}$ is a 2-cap of size five.*

Proof. First we note that the points of D are distinct since if, without loss of generality, $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a}$, this implies that \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} , and \mathbf{d} are collinear, which is impossible since C is a 2-cap.

Now, suppose for contradiction that D is not a 2-cap, so there exist some $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in D$ with $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w}$. Since C is a 2-cap, we may assume that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}$. Without loss of generality, we then have that one of the following occurs:

- (1) $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$. Then $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{d}$, which is impossible since C has size four.
- (2) $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{a} = 2\mathbf{b}$. Then $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}$, which is impossible since C is a 2-cap.
- (3) $2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$. Then $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$, which is impossible since C is a 2-cap.
- (4) $2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) = 2\mathbf{a}$. Then \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} , and \mathbf{d} are collinear, which is impossible since C is a 2-cap.

Hence, D is a 2-cap. □

Theorem 3.9. *In \mathbb{F}_3^3 , a maximal 2-cap has size 5, that is, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^3) = 5$. Further, all complete 2-caps are maximal and all maximal 2-caps are affinely equivalent.*

Proof. Since $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ is an affinely independent set in \mathbb{F}_3^3 , by Lemma 3.8, $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3\}$ is a 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^3 . Hence, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^3) \geq 5$. But by Proposition 3.3, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^3) < 6$ and hence $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^3) = 5$.

Let C be any complete 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^3 . Since \mathbb{F}_3^3 is a three-dimensional affine space, if $|C| \leq 3$, then \mathbb{F}_3^3 contains a point which is affinely independent from the points of C , so C cannot be complete. Hence, $|C| \geq 4$. But if $|C| = 4$ then by Lemma 3.8, C is not complete. Hence, $|C| = 5$, and any complete 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^3 is already maximal.

For the final claim, suppose C is a maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^3 . Pick any four points in C . Since these points are affinely independent, there exists an invertible affine transformation mapping these points

to the set $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$. Hence, we need only show that all maximal 2-caps containing $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ are affinely equivalent.

It is easy to verify that there are exactly five such maximal 2-caps, namely:

- (1) $C_1 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, (1, 1, 1)\}$,
- (2) $C_2 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, (1, 2, 2)\}$,
- (3) $C_3 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, (2, 1, 2)\}$,
- (4) $C_4 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, (2, 2, 1)\}$, and
- (5) $C_5 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, (2, 2, 2)\}$.

It suffices to exhibit an invertible affine transformation T_i mapping C_1 to C_i for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. We provide these T_i explicitly, writing $T_i(\mathbf{x}) = A_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i$ for an invertible matrix A_i and $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{F}_3^3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad A_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 (2) \quad A_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 (3) \quad A_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and} \\
 (4) \quad A_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.10. *A maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^5 has size 13, that is, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^5) = 13$.*

Proof. Let C be a maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^5 . By Theorem 3.4, $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^4) = 9$ so by Lemma 2.4 we may assume that $|C| \geq 9$. We will apply a sequence of affine transformations to C to conclude that lexicographically, the first points in C are $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ or $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2\}$.

Given any four affinely independent points, there exists an invertible affine transformation mapping them to $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4$, and \mathbf{e}_3 , so without loss of generality we may assume that C contains the subset $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3\}$. These points all lie in the $(0, 0)$ -affine subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^5 . Since $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^3) = 5$, the $(0, 0)$ -affine subspace contains four points or five points of C . If it contains five points, then by Theorem 3.9, we may apply an affine transformation (using a block matrix) and assume that the fifth point is $\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5$.

Consider any other point $\mathbf{a} \in C$. Since \mathbf{a} is not in the $(0,0)$ -affine subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^5 , therefore $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{a}\}$ is an affinely independent set so there exists an affine transformation T fixing $\mathbf{0}$, \mathbf{e}_5 , \mathbf{e}_4 , and \mathbf{e}_3 and mapping \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{e}_2 . Notice that if T is given by multiplication by the invertible matrix A followed by addition by $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_3^5$, we have that

$$T(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) = A(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) + \mathbf{b} = T(\mathbf{0}) + T(\mathbf{e}_3) + T(\mathbf{e}_4) + T(\mathbf{e}_5) = \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5$$

so T fixes $\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5$.

Hence, up to affine equivalence, we may assume that the lexicographically earliest points in C are $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ or $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2\}$. A computer program was used to enumerate all possible complete 2-caps beginning with these sets of points. This verified that $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^5) = 13$. The C++ code for the program is available on the third author's professional website. \square

Remark 3.11. The maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^5 that is lexicographically earliest is explicitly given by the points: $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 1, 1, 2)$, $(0, 2, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 2, 2, 1, 2)$, $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1, 2, 1)$, $(2, 0, 1, 0, 2)$, $(2, 2, 0, 2, 2)$.

We conclude by giving bounds on $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^7)$.

Proposition 3.12. *One has that $33 \leq r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^7) \leq 47$.*

Proof. The upper bound on $r(2, \mathbb{F}_3^7)$ is a consequence of Proposition 3.3. For the lower bound, we constructed a 2-cap of size 33 by first embedding a maximal 2-cap in \mathbb{F}_3^6 as a 2-cap C of size 27 in \mathbb{F}_3^7 . We then used a computer program to enumerate all complete 2-caps containing C as a subset. The largest of these complete 2-caps has size 33. The lexicographically earliest one is given by the points:

$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$	$(0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$
$(0, 0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	$(0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	$(0, 0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0)$
$(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1)$	$(0, 1, 0, 2, 2, 2, 1)$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1)$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2)$	$(0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 2, 2)$
$(0, 1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 2)$	$(0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)$	$(0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 0)$	$(0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0)$	$(0, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2, 1)$
$(0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 2, 1)$	$(0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 0, 2)$	$(0, 2, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0)$	$(0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)$	$(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 1, 1)$
$(0, 2, 2, 1, 0, 2, 2)$	$(0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 2)$	$(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$	$(2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0)$
$(2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)$	$(2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	$(2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2)$		

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] Javier Cilleruelo. Combinatorial problems in finite fields and Sidon sets. *Combinatorica*, 32(5):497–511, 2012.
- [2] Javier Cilleruelo, Imre Ruzsa, and Carlos Vinuesa. Generalized Sidon sets. *Adv. Math.*, 225(5):2786–2807, 2010.

- [3] Ernie Croot, Vsevolod F. Lev, and Péter Pál Pach. Progression-free sets in \mathbb{Z}_4^n are exponentially small. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(1):331–337, 2017.
- [4] Y. Edel, S. Ferret, I. Landjev, and L. Storme. The classification of the largest caps in $AG(5, 3)$. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 99(1):95–110, 2002.
- [5] Yves Edel. Extensions of generalized product caps. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 31(1):5–14, 2004.
- [6] Jordan S. Ellenberg and Dion Gijswijt. On large subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no three-term arithmetic progression. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(1):339–343, 2017.
- [7] Michael Follett, Kyle Kalail, Elizabeth McMahon, Catherine Pelland, and Robert Won. Partitions of $AG(4, 3)$ into maximal caps. *Discrete Math.*, 337:1–8, 2014.
- [8] Kevin O’Bryant. A Complete Annotated Bibliography of Work Related to Sidon Sequences. *Electron. J. Combin.*, Dynamic Survey 11, 2004.
- [9] Giuseppe Pellegrino. Sul massimo ordine delle calotte in $S_{4,3}$. *Matematiche (Catania)*, 25:149–157 (1971), 1970.
- [10] Aaron Potechin. Maximal caps in $AG(6, 3)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 46(3):243–259, 2008.
- [11] Nina Versluis. *On The Cap Set Problem*. Bachelor thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2017.

WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27109
E-mail address: huany16@wfu.edu

CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PITTSBURGH, PA 15213
E-mail address: mtait@cmu.edu

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEATTLE, WA 98195
E-mail address: robwon@uw.edu