

UNISTRUCTURALITY OF CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

PEIGEN CAO FANG LI

ABSTRACT. We prove that any skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra is unistructural, which is a conjecture by Assem, Schiffler, and Shramchenko. As a corollary, we obtain that a cluster automorphism of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is just an automorphism of the ambient field \mathcal{F} which restricts to a permutation of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a subalgebra of an ambient field \mathcal{F} generated by certain combinatorially defined generators (i.e., *cluster variables*), which are grouped into overlapping *clusters*. Roughly speaking, a cluster algebra is a commutative algebra with an extra combinatorial structure. Assem, Schiffler, and Shramchenko conjectured that

Conjecture 1.1. ([1, Conjecture 1.2]) *The set of cluster variables uniquely determines the cluster algebra structure, i.e., any cluster algebra is unistructural (see Definition 2.5 for details).*

This is a very interesting conjecture in the following sense. We know that a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a commutative algebra with an extra combinatorial structure. As an algebra, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is generated by the set of cluster variables. So the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is uniquely determined by the set of cluster variables. The above conjecture predicts that the combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ can be also uniquely determined by the set of cluster variables.

Conjecture 1.1 has been affirmed for cluster algebras of Dynkin type or rank 2 in [1], for cluster algebras of type \tilde{A} in [2]. Recently, Bazier-Matte and Plamondon have affirmed Conjecture 1.1 for cluster algebras from surfaces without punctures in [3]. Note that all cluster algebras considered above are *with trivial coefficients*.

In this paper, we will affirm Conjecture 1.1 for any skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras *with general coefficients*. The following is our main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Any skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra is unistructural.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic definitions, notations and known results are introduced. In Section 3, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cluster algebras. Recall that $(\mathbb{P}, \oplus, \cdot)$ is a **semifield** if (\mathbb{P}, \cdot) is an abelian multiplicative group endowed with a binary operation of auxiliary addition \oplus which is commutative, associative, and distributive with respect to the multiplication \cdot in \mathbb{P} .

Let $Trop(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ be a free abelian group generated by $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$. We define the addition \oplus in $Trop(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ by $\prod_i y_i^{a_i} \oplus \prod_i y_i^{b_i} = \prod_i y_i^{\min(a_i, b_i)}$, then $(Trop(y_1, \dots, y_m), \oplus)$ is a semifield, which is called a **tropical semifield**.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 13F60, 05E40

Keywords: Cluster algebras, Unistructurality

The multiplicative group of any semifield \mathbb{P} is torsion-free [7], hence its group ring $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ is a domain. We take an ambient field \mathcal{F} to be the field of rational functions in n independent variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$.

An integer matrix $B_{n \times n} = (b_{ij})$ is called **skew-symmetrizable** if there is a positive integer diagonal matrix S such that SB is skew-symmetric, where S is said to be a **skew-symmetrizer** of B .

Definition 2.1. A seed in \mathcal{F} is a triplet $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ such that

(i) $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a free generating set of \mathcal{F} over $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$. We call \mathbf{x} the **cluster** and x_1, \dots, x_n the **cluster variables** of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$.

(ii) $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{P} . We call y_1, \dots, y_n the **coefficients** of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$.

(iii) $B = (b_{ij})$ is a skew-symmetrizable matrix, called an **exchange matrix**.

Let $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ be a seed in \mathcal{F} , one can associate binomials F_1, \dots, F_n defined by

$$F_k = \frac{y_k}{1 \oplus y_k} \prod_{b_{ik} > 0} x_i^{b_{ik}} + \frac{y_k}{1 \oplus y_k} \prod_{b_{ik} < 0} x_i^{-b_{ik}}.$$

F_1, \dots, F_n are called the **exchange binomials** of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ be a seed in \mathcal{F} , and F_1, \dots, F_n be the exchange polynomials of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$. Define the **mutation** of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ in the direction $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ as a new triple $\mu_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B) = (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}', B')$ in \mathcal{F} given by

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij}, & i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ b_{ij} + \text{sgn}(b_{ik}) \max(b_{ik} b_{kj}, 0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$x'_i = \begin{cases} x_i, & \text{if } i \neq k \\ F_k/x_k, & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases} \text{ and } y'_i = \begin{cases} y_k^{-1}, & i = k \\ y_i y_k^{\max(b_{ki}, 0)} (1 \oplus y_k)^{-b_{ki}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be seen that $\mu_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$ is also a seed and $\mu_k(\mu_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)) = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, B)$.

Definition 2.3. A **cluster pattern** \mathcal{S} is an assignment of a seed $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$ to every vertex t of the n -regular tree \mathbb{T}_n , such that $(\mathbf{x}_{t'}, \mathbf{y}_{t'}, B_{t'}) = \mu_k(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$ for any edge $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$.

In the sequel, we always denote by $\mathbf{x}_t = \{x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t}\}$, $\mathbf{y}_t = \{y_{1;t}, \dots, y_{n;t}\}$ and $B_t = (b_{ij}^t)$.

- Let \mathcal{S} be a cluster pattern, the **cluster algebra** $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ associated with \mathcal{S} is the $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ -subalgebra of the field \mathcal{F} generated by all cluster variables of \mathcal{S} .
- If \mathcal{S} is cluster pattern with the coefficients in $\text{Trop}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$, the corresponding cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is said to be a **cluster algebra of geometric type**.
- If \mathcal{S} is cluster pattern with the coefficients in $\text{Trop}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and there exists a seed $(\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0})$ such that $y_{i;t_0} = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the corresponding cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is called a **cluster algebra with principal coefficients at t_0** .

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra with a seed $(\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0})$.

(i) ([7, Theorem 3.1], *Laurent phenomenon*) Any cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ -linear combination of Laurent monomials in \mathbf{x}_{t_0} .

(ii) ([11], *Positive Laurent phenomenon*) Any cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{P}$ -linear combination of Laurent monomials in \mathbf{x}_{t_0} .

Recall that the **exchange graph** $\mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a graph satisfying that

- the set of vertices of $\mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ is in bijection with the set of clusters of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$;

- two vertices joined by an edge if and only if the corresponding two clusters differ by a single cluster variable.

For a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, we denote by $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S})$ the set of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

Definition 2.5. [1] *A cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is **unistructural** if for any cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}')$, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}')$ implies that the two cluster algebras have the same set of clusters and $\mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})) = \mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}'))$.*

2.2. The enough g -pairs property. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a cluster algebra with principal coefficients at t_0 , one can give a \mathbb{Z}^n -grading of $\mathbb{Z}[x_{1;t_0}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{n;t_0}^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ as follows:

$$\deg(x_{i;t_0}) = \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \deg(y_j) = -\mathbf{b}_j,$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is the i -th column vector of I_n , and \mathbf{b}_j is the j -th column vector of B_{t_0} , $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. As shown in [10] every cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is homogeneous with respect to this \mathbb{Z}^n -grading. The g -vector $g(x_{i;t})$ of a cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ is defined to be its degree with respect to the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading and we write $g(x_{i;t}) = (g_{1i}^t, g_{2i}^t, \dots, g_{ni}^t)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let \mathbf{x}_t be a cluster of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, the matrix $G_t = (g(x_{1;t}), \dots, g(x_{n;t}))$ is called the G -matrix of \mathbf{x}_t .

Denote by $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i;t}^{a_i}$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, which is a Laurent monomial in \mathbf{x}_t . If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}}$ is called a **cluster monomial** in \mathbf{x}_t .

Clearly, any Laurent monomial $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}}$ is also homogeneous with respect to the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading. The degree of $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}}$ is $G_t \mathbf{a} =: g(\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}})$, which is called the g -vector of $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{a}}$.

Theorem 2.6. [11, 4] *Different cluster monomials have different g -vectors.*

Let I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We say that (k_1, \dots, k_s) is an I -sequence, if $k_j \in I$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Definition 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra of rank n with initial seed at t_0 , and $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

(i) *We say that a seed $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t)$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is **connected with $(\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0})$ by an I -sequence**, if there exists an I -sequence (k_1, \dots, k_s) such that*

$$(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t, B_t) = \mu_{k_s} \cdots \mu_{k_2} \mu_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0}).$$

(ii) *We say that a cluster \mathbf{x}_t of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is **connected with \mathbf{x}_{t_0} by an I -sequence**, if there exists a seed containing the cluster \mathbf{x}_t such that this seed is connected with a seed containing the cluster \mathbf{x}_{t_0} by an I -sequence.*

Clearly, if the cluster \mathbf{x}_t is connected with \mathbf{x}_{t_0} by an I -sequence, then $x_{i;t} = x_{i;t_0}$ for $i \notin I$.

For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, we assume that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$. Let $\pi_I : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|I|} = \mathbb{R}^p$ be the canonical projection given by $\pi_I(\mathbf{m}) = (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_p})^\top$, for $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra of rank n with principal coefficients at t_0 , and I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

(i) *For two clusters $\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'}$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, the pair $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'})$ is called a **g -pair along I** , if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ is connected with \mathbf{x}_{t_0} by an I -sequence and
- for any cluster monomial $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{y}}$ in \mathbf{x}_t , there exists a cluster monomial $\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{y}'}$ in $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ with $v'_i = 0$ for $i \notin I$ such that

$$\pi_I(g(\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{y}})) = \pi_I(g(\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{y}'})),$$

where $g(\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{y}})$ and $g(\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{y}'})$ are g -vectors of the cluster monomials $\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{y}'}$ respectively.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is said to have the **enough g -pairs property** if for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and any cluster \mathbf{x}_t of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ such that $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'})$ is a g -pair along I .

Theorem 2.9. [4] Any skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ with principal coefficients at t_0 has the enough g -pairs property.

2.3. Compatibility degree on the set of cluster variables. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra, and $(\mathbf{x}_{t_0}, \mathbf{y}_{t_0}, B_{t_0})$ be a seed of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. By the Laurent phenomenon, any cluster variable x of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ has the form of $x = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V} c_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}_{t_0}^{\mathbf{v}}$, where V is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n , $0 \neq c_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$. Let $-d_j$ be the minimal exponent of $x_{j;t_0}$ appearing in the expansion $x = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V} c_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}_{t_0}^{\mathbf{v}}$. Then x has the form of

$$x = \frac{f(x_{1;t_0}, \dots, x_{n;t_0})}{x_{1;t_0}^{d_1} \cdots x_{n;t_0}^{d_n}},$$

where $f \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}[x_{1;t_0}, \dots, x_{n;t_0}]$ with $x_{j;t_0} \nmid f$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The vector

$$d^{t_0}(x) = (d_1^{t_0}(x), \dots, d_n^{t_0}(x))^{\top} := (d_1, \dots, d_n)^{\top}$$

is called the **denominator vector** (briefly, **d -vector**) of the cluster variable x with respect to \mathbf{x}_{t_0} .

Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra, and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S})$ be the set of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. In [4], we proved that there exists a well-defined function $d : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$, which is called the **compatibility degree**. For any two cluster variables $x_{i;t}$ and $x_{j;t_0}$, the value of $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t})$ is defined by the following steps:

- choose a cluster \mathbf{x}_{t_0} containing the cluster variable $x_{j;t_0}$;
- compute the d -vector of $x_{i;t}$ with respect to \mathbf{x}_{t_0} , say, $d^{t_0}(x_{i;t}) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)^{\top}$;
- $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) := d_j$, which is called the **compatibility degree of $x_{i;t}$ with respect to $x_{j;t_0}$** .

Remark 2.10. [4] The compatibility degree has the following properties:

(1) The value $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t})$ does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{x}_{t_0} , thus the compatibility degree function is well-defined.

(2) $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) = -1$ if and only if $d(x_{i;t}, x_{j;t_0}) = -1$, and if and only if $x_{j;t_0} = x_{i;t}$;

(3) $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) = 0$ if and only if $d(x_{i;t}, x_{j;t_0}) = 0$, and if and only if $x_{j;t_0} \neq x_{i;t}$ and there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing both $x_{j;t_0}$ and $x_{i;t}$;

(4) By (2), (3) and $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) \geq -1$, we know that $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) \leq 0$ if and only if $d(x_{i;t}, x_{j;t_0}) \leq 0$, if and only if there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing both $x_{j;t_0}$ and $x_{i;t}$.

(5) By (4), we know that $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) > 0$ if and only if $d(x_{i;t}, x_{j;t_0}) > 0$, if and only if there exists no cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing both $x_{j;t_0}$ and $x_{i;t}$.

We say that $x_{i;t}$ and $x_{j;t_0}$ are **d -compatible** if $d(x_{j;t_0}, x_{i;t}) \leq 0$, i.e., if there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing both $x_{j;t_0}$ and $x_{i;t}$. A subset M of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a **d -compatible set** if any two cluster variables in this set are d -compatible.

There is another type of compatible sets, which we call c -compatible sets. A subset M of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is a **c -compatible set** if there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ such that $M \subseteq \mathbf{x}_{t'}$. Roughly speaking, c -compatibility is just compatibility with respect to clusters.

Theorem 2.11. [4] Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra, and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S})$ be the set of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Then

(i) a subset M of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is a d -compatible set if and only if it is a c -compatible set, i.e., M is a subset of some cluster of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

(ii) a subset M of $\mathcal{X}(S)$ is a maximal d -compatible set if and only if it is a maximal c -compatible set, i.e., M is a cluster of $\mathcal{A}(S)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra with principal coefficients at t_0 , then for any cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ and any initial cluster variable $x_{k;t_0}$, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing $x_{k;t_0}$ and a Laurent monomial F appearing in the Laurent expansion of $x_{i;t}$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ such that the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The exponent of $x_{j;t'}$ in F are nonnegative for any $j \neq k$.*
- (ii) (a) *If the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is positive, then $x_{i;t} = x_{k;t'}$;*
 (b) *If the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is zero, then $x_{i;t} \in \mathbf{x}_{t'}$ and $x_{i;t} \neq x_{k;t'}$;*
 (c) *If $x_{i;t} \notin \mathbf{x}_{t'}$, then the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is negative.*

Proof. This lemma essentially due to Lemma [4, Lemma 5.2], but with different presentation. For the convenience of the readers, we give a complete proof for this lemma.

(i). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $k = n$. By Theorem 2.9, $\mathcal{A}(S)$ has the enough g -pairs property, so for the cluster \mathbf{x}_t and $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ such that $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'})$ is a g -pair along I . Consider the Laurent expansion of $x_{i;t}$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$, by [4, Theorem] this expansion has the form of

$$x_{i;t} = \mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{r}} \left(1 + \sum_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{u}} \right),$$

where $c_{\mathbf{v}} \geq 0$ and \mathbf{r} satisfies $g(x_{i;t}) = G_{t'} \mathbf{r}$. Denote by $g(x_{i;t}) = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)^{\top}$.

We will show that $F = \mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{r}}$ satisfies the requirements in Lemma 3.1.

Since $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'})$ is a g -pair along $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we know that for the cluster variable $x_{i;t}$ (as a cluster monomial in \mathbf{x}_t), there exists a cluster monomial $\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{v}'}$, with $v'_j = 0$ is zero for $j \notin I$, i.e., $v'_n = 0$ such that

$$\pi_I(g(x_{i;t})) = \pi_I(g(\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{v}'})) = \pi_I(G_{t'} \mathbf{v}').$$

Because $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t'})$ is a g -pair along $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we know that $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ is connected with \mathbf{x}_{t_0} by an $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ -sequence, and the G -matrix of $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ has the form of $G_{t'} = \begin{pmatrix} G(t') & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$\pi_I(g(x_{i;t})) = \pi_I(g(\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{v}'})) = \pi_I(G_{t'} \mathbf{v}')$ just means that

$$(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})^{\top} = G(t')(v'_1, \dots, v'_{n-1})^{\top}.$$

By $g(x_{i;t}) = (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n)^{\top} = G_{t'} \mathbf{r}$, we get that

$$(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})^{\top} = G(t')(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})^{\top}.$$

It is known that $\det(G(t')) = \det(G_{t'}) = \pm 1$. Thus we get that

$$(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})^{\top} = (v'_1, \dots, v'_{n-1})^{\top} \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}.$$

(ii). (a) and (b): If $r_n \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $\mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{r}}$ is a cluster monomial in $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ having the same g -vector with the cluster variable $x_{i;t}$. By Theorem 2.6, we get that $x_{i;t} = \mathbf{x}_{t'}^{\mathbf{r}}$. Then by [4, Lemma 5.1], $x_{i;t}$ is a cluster variable in $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$. More precisely, if $r_n > 0$, then $x_{i;t} = x_{n;t'}$. If $r_n = 0$, then $x_{i;t} = x_{j;t'}$ for some $j \neq n$.

(c) follows from (a) and (b). □

The above lemma is about cluster algebras with principal coefficients, and we turn it into cluster algebras with general coefficients in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra, then for any two cluster variables $x_{i;t}$ and $x_{k;t_0}$, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ containing $x_{k;t_0}$ and a Laurent monomial F appearing in the Laurent expansion of $x_{i;t}$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ such that the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The exponent of $x_{j;t'}$ in F are nonnegative for any $j \neq k$.*
- (ii) (a) *If the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is positive, then $x_{i;t} = x_{k;t'}$;*
 (b) *If the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is zero, then $x_{i;t} \in \mathbf{x}_{t'}$ and $x_{i;t} \neq x_{k;t'}$;*
 (c) *If $x_{i;t} \notin \mathbf{x}_{t'}$, then the exponent of $x_{k;t'}$ in F is negative.*

Proof. Consider the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(S^{pr})$ with principal coefficients at t_0 and having the same exchange matrix with $\mathcal{A}(S)$ at t_0 . Then Lemma 3.1 holds for $\mathcal{A}(S^{pr})$, then the result follows from [10, Theorem 3.7]. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2: Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ and $\mathcal{A}(S')$ be two skew-symmetrizable cluster algebras having the same set of cluster variables, i.e., $\mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$. We need to show that $\mathcal{A}(S)$ and $\mathcal{A}(S')$ have the same set of clusters and $\mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(S)) = \mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(S'))$.

We first show that $x, z \in \mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$ are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S)$ if and only if they are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$.

Denote by $\mathbf{x}_t = \{x_{1;t}, \dots, x_{n;t}\}$ the cluster of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ at the vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ and by $\mathbf{z}_u = \{z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}\}$ the cluster of $\mathcal{A}(S')$ at the vertex $u \in \mathbb{T}_m$. (Note that there is no need for us to assume that $m = n$.)

Let $x, z \in \mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$ be two cluster variables, which are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$. Assume by contradiction that x and z are not d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S)$, i.e., there exists no cluster \mathbf{x}_t of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ containing both x and z . For the cluster variables z and x , applying Proposition 3.2 for $\mathcal{A}(S)$, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ containing x (say, $x = x_{1;t'}$), such that in the Laurent expansion of z with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$, there exists a Laurent monomial F satisfying that the exponent of $x_{j;t'}$ in F are nonnegative for any $j \neq 1$ and the exponent of $x = x_{1;t'}$ in F is negative (because there exists no cluster of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ containing both x and z , in particular, $z \notin \mathbf{x}_{t'}$). We can assume that $F = cx_{1;t'}^{-v_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_{i;t'}^{v_i}$ with $v_1 > 0, v_2, \dots, v_n \geq 0$ and $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{NP}$ for some semifield \mathbb{P} . Thus the Laurent expansion of z with respect to $\mathbf{x}_{t'}$ can be written as

$$z = F + \tilde{F}(x_{1;t'}, \dots, x_{n;t'}) = cx_{1;t'}^{-v_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_{i;t'}^{v_i} + \tilde{F}(x_{1;t'}, \dots, x_{n;t'}),$$

where \tilde{F} is a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients.

Since $x = x_{1;t'}$ and z are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$, there exists a cluster \mathbf{z}_u of $\mathcal{A}(S')$ such that \mathbf{z}_u containing both $x = x_{1;t'}$ and z . Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x = x_{1;t'} = z_{1;u}$ and $z = z_{2;u}$. Consider the Laurent expansion of $x_{i;t'}$ with respect to \mathbf{z}_u ,

$$x_{i;t'} = \frac{g_i(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}{z_{1;u}^{d_{1i}} \dots z_{m;u}^{d_{mi}}},$$

where g_i is a polynomial in $z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}$ with positive coefficients and $z_{l;u} \nmid g_i$ for any l . By Remark 2.10, and $x_{i;t'} \neq x_{1;t'} = x = z_{1;u}$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$, we know that $d_{1i} \geq 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. So for each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exists a Laurent monomial G_i appearing in the expansion of $x_{i;t'}$ with respect to \mathbf{z}_u such that the exponent of $z_{1;u} = x$ is nonpositive in G_i , i.e., $x_{i;t'}$ has the form of

$$x_{i;t'} = G_i + \tilde{G}_i(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}) = c_i z_{1;u}^{-a_{1i}} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{a_{li}} + \tilde{G}_i(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}),$$

where \tilde{G}_i is a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients, and $a_{1i} \geq 0$, $0 \neq c_i \in \mathbb{NP}$ for some semifield \mathbb{P} .

Substituting $x_{1;t'} = x = z_{1;u}$ and $x_{i;t'} = c_i z_{1;u}^{-a_{1i}} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{a_{li}} + \tilde{G}_i(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{n;u})$ for $i \geq 2$ into

$$z = c x_{1;t'}^{-v_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_{i;t'}^{v_i} + \tilde{F}(x_{1;t'}, \dots, x_{n;t'}),$$

we obtain the Laurent expansion of $z = z_{2;u}$ with respect to \mathbf{z}_u , which has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2;u} = z &= c z_{1;u}^{-v_1} \prod_{i=2}^n (c_i z_{1;u}^{-a_{1i}} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{a_{li}})^{v_i} + R(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}) \\ &= c \prod_{i=2}^n c_i z_{1;u}^{-(v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n})} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{v_2 a_{l2} + \dots + v_n a_{ln}} + R(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}), \end{aligned}$$

where R can be written as $R = \frac{r_1(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}{r_2(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}$ with $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{NP}[z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}]$ for some semifield \mathbb{P} . Thus we get that

$$(1) \quad z_{2;u} - c \prod_{i=2}^n c_i z_{1;u}^{-(v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n})} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{v_2 a_{l2} + \dots + v_n a_{ln}} = \frac{r_1(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}{r_2(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}.$$

Note that there is a \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{RP}(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})$ given by

$$\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{P}; \\ z_{p;u} & \text{if } a = z_{p;u} \text{ for some } p = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

So the equality (1) in $\mathbb{RP}(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})$ induces an equality in $\mathbb{R}(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})$ by the action of the homomorphism φ . The following is the new equality.

$$(2) \quad z_{2;u} - \varphi\left(c \prod_{i=2}^n c_i z_{1;u}^{-(v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n})} \prod_{l=2}^m z_{l;u}^{v_2 a_{l2} + \dots + v_n a_{ln}}\right) = \frac{\varphi(r_1)(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})}{\varphi(r_2)(z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u})},$$

where $\varphi(r_1), \varphi(r_2) \in \mathbb{N}[z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}]$ and $\varphi(c \prod_{i=2}^n c_i) \geq 1$.

Since $v_1 > 0$ and $v_i, a_{1i} \geq 0$, we get that $v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n} > 0$. Take $z_{1;u} = 1/2 = 2^{-1}$ and $z_{2;u} = z_{3;u} = \dots = z_{m;u} = 1$, the left side of the equality (2) is

$$1 - \varphi\left(c \prod_{i=2}^n c_i\right) 2^{(v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n})} \leq 1 - 2^{(v_1 + v_2 a_{12} + \dots + v_n a_{1n})} < 0,$$

but the right side of the equality (2) is nonnegative in this case, by $\varphi(r_1), \varphi(r_2) \in \mathbb{N}[z_{1;u}, \dots, z_{m;u}]$. This is a contradiction. So if x and z are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$, they must be d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S)$.

Similarly, we can show that if x and z are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S)$, they must be d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$.

Thus $x, z \in \mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$ are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S)$ if and only if they are d -compatible in $\mathcal{A}(S')$. So a subset $M \subseteq \mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$ is a maximal d -compatible set in $\mathcal{A}(S)$ if and only if it is a maximal d -compatible set in $\mathcal{A}(S')$. That is, a subset $M \subseteq \mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(S')$ is a cluster in $\mathcal{A}(S)$ if and only if it is a cluster in $\mathcal{A}(S')$, by Theorem 2.11. Hence, $\mathcal{A}(S)$ and $\mathcal{A}(S')$ have the same set of clusters.

Since the exchange graph of a cluster is uniquely determined by the set of clusters, we know that $\mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(S)) = \mathbf{EG}(\mathcal{A}(S'))$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ be a cluster algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{ZP} . A **cluster automorphism** of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is a \mathbb{ZP} -automorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S)$ mapping a cluster to a cluster and commuting with mutations.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}(S)$ be a skew-symmetrizable cluster algebra. Then $f : \mathcal{A}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S)$ is a cluster automorphism if and only if f is an automorphism of the ambient field \mathcal{F} which restricts to a permutation of the set of cluster variables.*

Proof. It is known that this result is true for unistructural cluster algebras by [1, Theorem 1.4]. Then it follows from Theorem 1.2. \square

We know that the definition of compatibility degree function on the set of cluster variables mainly depends on the cluster structure of a cluster algebra. By Theorem 1.2, the cluster structure of a cluster algebra is uniquely determined by the set of cluster variables, so the compatibility degree function is an intrinsic function on the set of cluster variables. It would be interesting to give a geometric or categorical explanation for compatibility degree function in general. Note that for cluster algebras of finite type or from surfaces, the compatibility degree function has nice explanation and one can refer to [8, 9, 5, 12, 6].

Acknowledgements: This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11671350 and No.11571173).

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Assem, R. Schiffler, and V. Shramchenko, Cluster automorphisms and compatibility of cluster variables, *Glasg. Math. J.* 56 (2014), no. 3, 705-720.
- [2] V. Bazier-Matte, Unistructurality of cluster algebras of type \tilde{A} , *J. Algebra* 464 (2016), 297-315.
- [3] V. Bazier-Matte and P.G. Plamondon, Unistructurality of cluster algebras from surfaces without punctures, arXiv:1809.02199.
- [4] P. Cao and F. Li, The enough g-pairs property and denominator vectors of cluster algebras, arXiv:1803.05281.
- [5] C. Ceballos and V. Pilaud, Denominator vectors and compatibility degrees in cluster algebras of finite type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), no. 2, 1421-1439.
- [6] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, and D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes. *Acta Math.*, 201(1):83C146, 2008.
- [7] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras. I. Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), no. 2, 497-529 (electronic).
- [8] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Y-systems and generalized associahedra, *Ann. of Math.* 158 (3) (2003) 977-1018.
- [9] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. *Invent. Math.*, 154 (2003), no.1, 63-121.
- [10] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients. *Compos. Math.* 143(2007), 112-164.
- [11] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich, Canonical bases for cluster algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31 (2018), 497-608.
- [12] B. Zhu, BGP-reflection functors and cluster combinatorics, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 209 (2007), no. 2, 497-506.

PEIGEN CAO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY (YUQUAN CAMPUS), HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: peigencao@126.com

FANG LI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY (YUQUAN CAMPUS), HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: fangli@zju.edu.cn