

Branching random walk in random environment with random absorption wall

You Lv*

School of science of mathematics, Beijing Normal University,
Beijing 100875, P. R. China.

Abstract: We consider the branching random walk in random environment with a random absorption wall. When we add this barrier, we discuss some topics related to the survival probability. We assume that the random environment is i.i.d., K_i is a particular i.i.d. random walk depend on the random environment \mathcal{L} . Let the random barrier function (the random absorption wall) is $g_i(\mathcal{L}) := ai^\alpha - K_i$, where i present the generation. We show that there exists a critical value $a_c > 0$ such that if $a > a_c, \alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, the survival probability is positive almost surely and if $a < a_c, \alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, the survival probability is zero almost surely. Moreover, if we denote Z_n is the total populations in n -th generation in the new system (with barrier), under some conditions, we show $\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)/n^{1/3}$ will converges to a negative constant almost surely if $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$.

Keywords: Branching random walk, random environment, barrier.

2000 Mathematics Subjects Classification: 60J80

1. Introduction

The model named branching random walk on \mathbb{R} with random environment in time (BRWre) has been introduced in [4] and [10]. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, \dots)$ be an i.i.d. random sequence of point process law which is also called the environment sequence. More precisely, $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n, \dots)$ is an i.i.d sequence of random variables take values in the space of the distributions on the set of point processes on \mathbb{R} . After giving a realization $(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n, \dots)$ of \mathcal{L} , a time-inhomogeneous branching random walk is driven by the following way. It starts with one individual located at the origin at time 0. This individual dies at time 1 giving birth to children and the children's position is according to the point process L_1 . Similarly, at each time n every individual alive at generation $n - 1$ dies and gives birth to children, and the position of the children with respect to their parent are given by the point process L_n . We denote by \mathbf{T} the (random) genealogical tree of the process. For a given

*Email: youlv@mail.bnu.edu.cn

individual $u \in \mathbf{T}$ we write $V(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ for the position of u and $|u|$ for the generation at which u is alive. The pair (\mathbf{T}, V) is called the branching random walk with i.i.d. random environment \mathcal{L} . Conditionally on a realization environment sequence \mathcal{L} , we denote $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for the law of this BRWre (\mathbf{T}, V) and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for the corresponding expectation. The joint probability of the environment and the branching random walk is written \mathbb{P} , with the corresponding expectation \mathbb{E} .

Now we add an absorbing barrier to the BRWre. For a realization of environment \mathcal{L} , we write the barrier function $g_{\mathcal{L}}(i)$. At generation i , we erase all the individuals whose position is strictly larger than $g_{\mathcal{L}}(i)$ and its descendants. We denote the new system $(\mathbf{T}, V, g_{\mathcal{L}}(i))$. we call it branching random walk with i.i.d. random environment and random absorbing barrier. This paper is focused on the survival or extinction problem when we add an absorbing barrier and the speed of extinction when we add a barrier which makes the system $(\mathbf{T}, V, g_{\mathcal{L}}(i))$ extinct.

When the environment space is degenerate, in other word, the branching random walk is time-homogeneous, the absorbing barrier problem has been researched by many scholars. Under the boundary case, Biggins et al [2] shows that if we let $g(i) = ai$, then the system $(\mathbf{T}, V, g(i))$ will survival if $a > 0$ and extinct if $a \leq 0$. Jaffuel [5] gives a refinement order of critical barrier function, that is, if we let $g(i) = ai^{\frac{1}{3}}$, then there exist an $a_c > 0$ such that the system $(\mathbf{T}, V, g(i))$ will survival if $a > a_c$ and extinct if $a < a_c$. Furthermore, [1] gives the speed of extinction when we take $g(i) \equiv 0$ and assume that the branching mechanism is b ($b > 2$) binary tree.

For the model BRWre, Huang and Liu [4] proved that the maximal displacement in the process grows at ballistic speed almost surely, and obtained central limit theorems and large deviations principles for the counting measure of the process. Mallein [10] gives a more precise expression for the asymptotic behaviour of maximal displacement which we will state in detail postponed.

2. Basic assumption and main result

First, we give some notations for the model BRWre. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\kappa_n(\theta) := \ln \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{l \in L_n} e^{-\theta l} \right), \quad \theta \in [0, +\infty)$$

be the log-Laplace transform of the point process L_n , which is a point process according to the law \mathcal{L}_n . We should notice that for fixed θ $\kappa_n(\theta)$ is also a random variable defined on environment space. Furthermore, $\{\kappa_n(\theta), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an i.i.d. random sequence since the environment sequence is i.i.d.. We assume that $\mathbf{E}(\kappa_n^-(\theta)) < +\infty$ for all $\theta \geq 0$. Hence we can well define the function $\kappa : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ by $\kappa(\theta) := \mathbb{E}(\kappa_n(\theta))$. Then we can introduce four basic assumption in this paper.

Condition 1: We assume that the interval $\Upsilon := \{\theta : |\kappa(\theta)| < \infty, \kappa''(\theta) \text{ exists}\}$ has

an non-empty inner and we can find a $\vartheta > 0$ such that

$$\kappa(\vartheta) = \vartheta \kappa'(\vartheta). \quad (2.1)$$

Condition 2: There exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha_1 |\vartheta \kappa'_1(\vartheta) - \kappa_1(\vartheta)|}) < +\infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Denote $l_\vartheta := l + \kappa'_1(\vartheta)$, we have $\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$

$$\frac{\alpha_2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{l \in L_1} |l_\vartheta|^3 e^{\alpha_2 |l_\vartheta| - \vartheta l} \right)}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{l \in L_1} e^{-\vartheta l} \right)} \leq \kappa''_1(\vartheta). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\mathbb{P}(\kappa''_1(\vartheta) > 0) > 0.$$

There is also a condition 2 also has a more concise (but more stronger in fact) substitution. We write them as condition 2'.

Condition 2': There exists $C \in \mathbb{R}, c, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\max\{\kappa_1(\vartheta + \lambda\vartheta) - (1 + \lambda)\kappa_1(\vartheta), \kappa_1(\vartheta - \lambda\vartheta) - (1 - \lambda)\kappa_1(\vartheta)\} < C, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\kappa''_1(\vartheta) > c, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \quad (2.5)$$

Condition 3: Assume that $\kappa(0) > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\sharp L_1 \sum_{l \in L_1} e^{-\kappa_1(\vartheta) - \vartheta l}) < +\infty$. That is to say, we assume that the underlying branching process with random environment is supercritical, and the last condition is:

Condition 4: There exists $x < 0, A \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left[\ln \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} (1_{\{\sum_{l \in L_1} \leq A\}} \sum_{l \in L_1} 1_{\{\vartheta l + \kappa_1(\vartheta) \in [x, 0]\}}) \right]^8 \right) < +\infty. \quad (2.6)$$

By condition 2 or 2' we can know

$$\sigma_Q^2 := \vartheta^2 \mathbb{E}(\kappa''(\vartheta)) \in (0, +\infty), \sigma_A^2 := \mathbb{E}((\kappa_1(\vartheta) - \vartheta \kappa'_1(\vartheta))^2) \in [0, +\infty). \quad (2.7)$$

We can only see more information contained in condition 1-4 after introducing the many-to-one formula in section 3. We denote the function γ is what we have defined in [6, Theorem 2.1]. That is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\ln P^0(\forall_{s \leq t} B_s \in [-\frac{1}{2} + \beta W_s, \frac{1}{2} + \beta W_s] | W)}{t} = \gamma(\beta), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where B, W are two independent standard Brownian motions. From now on, we let $\beta := \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}$ and denote σ_Q by σ for simplicity.

Theorem 2.1 *Define*

$$X_n(\mathcal{L}) := \#\{|u| = n : \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \leq ai^{1/3} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}\},$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}, \text{survive}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(\exists u \in \mathcal{T}_{\infty}, \forall i \geq 1, V(u_i) \leq ai^{1/3} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta})$$

Under the condition 1-4, denote $K_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \kappa_j(\vartheta)$, The following statement is true.

a). When $a > \frac{3\sqrt[3]{6\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}}{2\vartheta}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}, \text{survive}} > 0$, \mathbb{P} - a.s.. Moreover, the function

$$\vartheta a = \vartheta b + \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{b^2\vartheta^2}$$

has two solution b_1, b_2 , For any given $b \in (b_1, b_2)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a large enough M . we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln X_{M^k}(\mathcal{L})}{M^{\frac{k}{3}}} \geq b_2\vartheta - \epsilon \right) > 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s..}$$

b). When $a < \frac{3\sqrt[3]{6\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}}{2\vartheta}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}, \text{survive}} = 0$. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(X_n(\mathcal{L}) > 0)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} = c$, \mathbb{P} - a.s., where c is a negative constant dependent on a .

Theorem 2.2 *Define $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfied that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{i \leq n} g(i)}{n^{1/3}} = 0$, $X_n(\mathcal{L})$ is the surviving population of the generation n in the system $(\mathbf{T}, V, g_{\mathcal{L}}(i))$. That is to say,*

$$X_n(\mathcal{L}) := \#\{|u| = n : \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \leq g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}\}.$$

Under the condition 1-4, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(X_n(\mathcal{L}) > 0)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} = -\sqrt[3]{3\sigma^2\gamma(\beta)}$. \mathbb{P} - a.s..

Remark 2.1 Under some assumptions, according the result of [10], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\min_{|u|=n} V(x) + \frac{K_n}{\vartheta}}{\ln n} = c, \quad \text{in Probability}$$

We can see in the random environment, the first order of asymptotic behavior of the leftmost position is a random walk, that is why we set the barrier function like $g_{\mathcal{L}}(i) = g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}$.

3. Some useful lemma

Now we introduce some useful lemmas.

Bivariate version many-to-one formula in random environment

Many to one formula is essential in studies of extremal behaviour of branching random walks. The random environment version of Many to one formula has been first introduced in [8]. When the environment is degenerate, the bivariate version many-to-one formula can be found in [3]. In this paper we need a bivariate version

many-to-one formula in random environment. For every $n \geq 1$, we write L_n for a realisation of the point process with law \mathcal{L}_n . Let (X_i, ξ_i) be a random variable taking values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that for any measurable nonnegative function f ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(X_i \leq x, \xi_i \leq A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(1_{\{\sum_{l \in L_i} 1 \leq A\}} \sum_{l \in L_i} 1_{\{l \leq x\}} e^{-\theta l - \kappa_i(\theta)}). \quad (3.1)$$

So in quenched sense, $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent random variables. We set $S_n = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, S_0 = 0$. For the inhomogeneous, we need to introduce the shift operator \mathfrak{T} , define

$$\mathfrak{T}\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3, \dots), \quad \mathfrak{T}_k := \mathfrak{T}^{*k}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_0\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}.$$

That is to say $\mathfrak{T}_k\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_{k+1}, \mathcal{L}_{k+2}, \dots)$. we use $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k$ to present the distribution of $(\mathcal{T}, V, \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{T}_k\mathcal{L}})$. The corresponding expectation of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k$ is $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^k$. For the consistency of the notation, we agree $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{k+i}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k$. Writing $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to present $\{\xi_{k+n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k$. Hence we can write (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(X_{i+1} \leq x, \xi_{i+1} \leq A) &= \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^i(X_1 \leq x, \xi_1 \leq A) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^i(1_{\{\sum_{l \in L_1} 1 \leq A\}} \sum_{l \in L_1} 1_{\{l \leq x\}} e^{-\theta l - \kappa_{i+1}(\theta)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The following fomula give the relationship between BRWre and RWre.

Lemma 3.1 (many-to-one) *For any $k, n, A_i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq n$ and any measurable non-negative function $f : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^k \left[\sum_{|u|=n} f(V(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq n) \mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma(u_{i-1}) \leq A_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^k \left[e^{\vartheta S_n + \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{k+i}(\vartheta)} f(S_i, 1 \leq i \leq n) \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_i \leq A_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}} \right] \cdot \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(u)$ present the children number of u .

The proof of this lemma can be done by induction on n , which is standard, just like the proof of [12, Theorem 1.1]. here we omit it.

Mogul'skiĭ estimation Mogul'skiĭ estimation is also an essential tool in the barrier problem of Branching random walk. Mogul'skiĭ estimation had first introduced in [11]. Mallein [9] gives the time inhomogeneous version of Mogul'skiĭ estimation. Here we give the random environment version of Mogul'skiĭ estimation (Lemma 3.2), the proof of Lemma 3.2 can be found in [7]. Let T_n is a random walk with i.i.d. random environment in time and satisfied the following assumption. We use $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n, \dots)$ to present the random environment. Denote

$$M_n := \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(T_n), \quad U_n := T_n - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(T_n), \quad \Gamma_n := \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(U_n^2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(T_n^2) - M_n^2.$$

- (H1) $\mathbb{E}M_1 = 0, \sigma_A^2 := \mathbb{E}(M_1^2) \in [0, +\infty), \sigma_Q^2 := \mathbb{E}(U_1^2) = \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_1) \in (0, +\infty)$.
- (H2) There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$, such that $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda_1 |M_1|}) < +\infty$.
- (H3) There exist $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}_\mu(e^{\lambda_2 |U_1|}) \leq \lambda_3 \leq \mathbb{E}_\mu(U_1^2)$ almost surely.
- (H4) $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive sequence such that for any $\varrho > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n^\varrho}{r_n} = 0$. $f(n)$ is an positive integer-valued function such that for any $\kappa > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(n)}{e^{n^\kappa}} = 0$.

Let ξ_i be a positive random variable whose law is only determined by the i -th element μ_i in a realistic of environment μ . Moreover, conditioned on a given environment realization μ , $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an independent positive random sequence. We can also know for any measurable function η , $\{\mathbb{E}_\mu(\eta(\xi_i))\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. random sequence in the environment space since μ is i.i.d..

Lemma 3.2 *Under the assumption $\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) < +\infty$ and (H1)-(H4), let $g(s), h(s)$ be two continue functions on $[0, 1]$ and $g(s) < h(s)$ for any $s \in [0, 1]$. $g(0) < a_0 \leq b_0 < h(0), g(1) \leq a' < b' \leq h(1)$. Denote $C_{g,h}^{z_1, z_2} := \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{1}{[h(s)-g(s)]^2} ds$, then for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then $\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(\forall 0 \leq i \leq n \frac{T_{f(n)+i}}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i}{n}), h(\frac{i}{n})] | T_{f(n)} = x)}{n^{1-2\alpha}} &\leq -C_{g,h}^{0,1} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}), \\ \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{x \in [a_0 n^\alpha, b_0 n^\alpha]} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\forall 0 \leq i \leq n, T_{f(n)+i} \in [g(\frac{i}{n})n^\alpha, h(\frac{i}{n})n^\alpha] \mid T_{f(n)} = x\right)}{n^{1-2\alpha}} &\geq -C_{g,h}^{0,1} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.1 *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.2, $0 \leq l < m \leq N$. $\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(\forall lk \leq i \leq mk \frac{T_i}{(Nk)^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i}{Nk}), h(\frac{i}{Nk})] | T_{lk} = x)}{(Nk)^{1-2\alpha}} &\leq -C_{g,h}^{\frac{l}{N}, \frac{m}{N}} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}), \\ \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{x \in [a_0 n^\alpha, b_0 n^\alpha]} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu\left(\forall lk \leq i \leq mk \frac{T_i}{(Nk)^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i}{Nk}), h(\frac{i}{Nk})], \frac{T_{mk}}{(Nk)^\alpha} \in [a', b'], \xi_i \leq r_{Nk} \mid T_{lk} = x\right)}{(Nk)^{1-2\alpha}} &\geq -C_{g,h}^{\frac{l}{N}, \frac{m}{N}} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.1

When $l = 0, m = N$, it has contained in Lemma 3.2. Let $n := mk - lk, f(n) = \frac{ln}{m-l} = lk$. we note that $\frac{T_i}{(Nk)^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i}{Nk}), h(\frac{i}{Nk})]$ is equal to

$$\frac{T_i}{(mk - lk)^\alpha} \in \left[\left(\frac{Nk}{mk - lk} \right)^\alpha g\left(\frac{i - lk + lk}{mk - lk} \frac{mk - lk}{Nk} \right), \left(\frac{Nk}{mk - lk} \right)^\alpha h\left(\frac{i - lk + lk}{mk - lk} \frac{mk - lk}{Nk} \right) \right].$$

We still let $\beta := \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}$ and denote σ_Q by σ for simplicity. Let $X := (x + \frac{lk}{mk-lk}) \frac{mk-lk}{Nk}$, by lemma 3.2 we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(\forall lk \leq i \leq mk \frac{T_i}{(Nk)^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i}{Nk}), h(\frac{i}{Nk})] | T_{lk} = x)}{(mk-lk)^{1-2\alpha}} \frac{(mk-lk)^{1-2\alpha}}{(Nk)^{1-2\alpha}} \\ &= \gamma(\beta) \sigma^2 \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{mk-lk}{Nk} \right)^\alpha (h(X) - g(X)) \right]^{-2} dx \times \frac{(mk-lk)^{1-2\alpha}}{(Nk)^{1-2\alpha}} \\ &= \gamma(\beta) \sigma^2 \int_0^1 [h(X) - g(X)]^{-2} dX \\ &= \gamma(\beta) \sigma^2 \int_{\frac{l}{N}}^{\frac{m}{N}} (h(x) - g(x))^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is considering the case $h(0) = b_0$.

Corollary 3.2

(H1) Let $\nu \in (\alpha, 1)$, $h(t)$ satisfied that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)-h(0)}{t^\nu} > -\infty$. There exist a pair of $x < y < 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}([-\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(T_1 \in [x, y], \xi_1 \leq A | T_0 = 0)]^8) < +\infty.$$

(H2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)-h(0)}{t^\alpha} := d > -\infty$. there exists $x < d$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}([-\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(T_1 \in [x, d], \xi_1 \leq A | T_0 = 0)]^8) < +\infty.$$

If assumption (H1) or (H2) holds, $\alpha \leq 1/3$, $a' < b'$. we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\frac{\forall f(n) \leq i \leq f(n)+n \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n})], \frac{T_{f(n)+n}}{n^\alpha} \in [a', b'], \xi_i \leq r_n | T_{f(n)} = h(0)n^\alpha \right)}{n^{1-2\alpha}} \geq -C_{g,h}^{0,1} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}).$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2 Without loss of generality, we assume that H1 holds. By $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)-h(0)}{t^\nu} > -\infty$, we have $h(t) - h(0) > -dt^\nu$ when t is small enough. Choose a $\delta > 0$ arbitrarily such that $\frac{g(0)-h(0)}{y} > \delta$. (Under assumption (H2), we should need $\frac{g(0)-h(0)}{d} > \delta$.) Let $N = \lfloor \delta n^\alpha \rfloor$. For the continuity of g , we can see for any small enough $\epsilon > 0$ such that $g(0) + \epsilon - h(0) \leq y\delta$ then we can find a large enough n such that for any $z \in [0, \frac{N}{n}]$, $g(z) \leq g(0) + \epsilon$. choose $x \in (\frac{g(0)+\epsilon-h(0)}{\delta}, y)$ then for any $i \in [f(n), f(n) + N]$ we have

$$[g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)]n^\alpha \leq x(i-f(n)) \leq y(i-f(n)) \leq [h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)]n^\alpha,$$

That is because of

$$y(i-f(n)) \leq d \frac{(i-f(n))^\nu n^\alpha}{n^\nu}, \quad y(i-f(n))^{1-\nu} \leq \frac{d}{n^{\nu-\alpha}}.$$

and

$$g\left(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}\right) - h(0) \leq g(0) + \epsilon - h(0) \leq x\delta < y\delta.$$

Let $x < x' < y' < y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\forall_{f(n) \leq i \leq f(n)+n} \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n})], T_{f(n)} = h(0)n^\alpha \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\forall_{f(n) \leq i \leq f(n)+n} \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)], T_{f(n)} = 0 \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_\mu (\forall_{f(n) \leq i \leq f(n)+N} T_i \in [x(i-f(n)), y(i-f(n))], \xi_i \leq r_n | T_{f(n)} = 0) \\ &\times \inf_{z \in [x'N, y'N]} \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\forall_{N \leq i-f(n) \leq n} \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)], T_{f(n)+N} = z \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{m=1}^N \mathbb{P}_\mu (T_{f(n)+m} \in [x, y], \xi_{f(n)+m} \leq r_n | T_{f(n)+m-1} = 0) \\ &\times \inf_{z \in [x'N, y'N]} \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\forall_{N \leq i-f(n) \leq n} \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)], T_{f(n)+N} = z \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let us analysis the last term of that above inequality. Notice that

$$\frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)] = \frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [g(\frac{i-f(n)-N+N}{n-N} \frac{n-N}{n}) - h(0)].$$

Hence the following two inequalities is equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_i}{(n-N)^\alpha} &\in \left[\frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)], \frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)] \right], \\ \frac{T_i}{(n-N)^\alpha} &\in \left[\frac{\frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} \left[g((\frac{i-f(n)-N}{n-N} + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}) - h(0) \right]}{\frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} \left[h((\frac{i-f(n)-N}{n-N} + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}) - h(0) \right]} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can see when i runs from $f(n) + N$ to $f(n) + n$, by lemma 3.2 it implies $g[(\frac{i-f(n)-N}{n-N} + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}]$ will become $g((x + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}) = g(x + (1-x) \frac{N}{n})$. And we also can see in the sense of $L^{+\infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^n &:= \frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [g((x + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}) - h(0)] \xrightarrow{L^{+\infty}} g(x) - h(0) \\ L_2^n &:= \frac{n^\alpha}{(n-N)^\alpha} [h((x + \frac{N}{n-N}) \frac{n-N}{n}) - h(0)] \xrightarrow{L^{+\infty}} h(x) - h(0) \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{z \in [x'N, y'N]} \mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\forall_{N \leq i-f(n) \leq n} \frac{T_i}{n^\alpha} \in [g(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0), h(\frac{i-f(n)}{n}) - h(0)], T_{f(n)+N} = z \right)}{n^{1-2\alpha}} \\ &\geq -C_{g,h}^{0,1} \sigma_Q^2 \gamma\left(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_Q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\mathbb{E}([-\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(T_1 \in [x, y], \xi_1 \leq A | T_0 = 0)]^8) < +\infty.$$

By 0-1 law and the fact $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{N}{n^{1-2\alpha}} = 0, (\alpha \in (0, 1/3])$ we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\ln \prod_{m=1}^N \mathbb{P}_\mu(T_{f(n)+m} \in [x, y], \xi_{f(n)+m} \leq r_n | T_{f(n)+m-1} = 0)}{n^{1-2\alpha}} \\ & > -\delta \mathbb{E}(-\ln \mathbb{P}_\mu(T_1 \in [x, y], \xi_1 \leq A | T_0 = 0)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we complete this proof.

4. Proof

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (a) Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$P_n(\mathcal{L}) := \mathbb{P}(\forall 1 \leq k \leq n, \#\{u \in \mathcal{T}_{M^k}, \forall i \leq M^k, V(u_i) \leq ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}\} \geq v_{k-1}).$$

z is a particle in this system such that $V(z) = aM^{\frac{k}{3}} - \frac{K_{M^k}}{\vartheta}, |z| = M^k$. Define

$$Z_k(\mathcal{L}) := \#\left\{u \in \mathcal{T}_{M^{(k+1)}} : \begin{array}{l} \forall M^k < i \leq M^{(k+1)}, V(u_i) \in [(a-b)i^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], \\ \gamma(u_{i-1}) \leq r_k, \quad u > z \end{array}\right\},$$

$$Y_k(\mathcal{L}) := X_{M^k}(\mathcal{L}) = \#\{u \in \mathcal{T}_{M^k}, \forall i \leq M^k, V(u_i) \leq ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}\}.$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{n+1}(\mathcal{L})}{P_n(\mathcal{L})} &:= \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(\forall 1 \leq k \leq n+1, Y_k(\mathcal{L}) \geq v_{k-1} | \forall 1 \leq k \leq n, Y_k(\mathcal{L}) \geq v_{k-1}) \\ &\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n(\mathcal{L}) < v_n)^{\lfloor v_{n-1} \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $A_k(\mathcal{L}) := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k(\mathcal{L}) \geq v_k)$, write $P_n(\mathcal{L})$ as P_n for simplicity, then we have

$$P_n \geq P_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - (1 - A_k)^{\lfloor v_{k-1} \rfloor}) \geq P_1 \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{-A_k \lfloor v_{k-1} \rfloor}).$$

Let $v_k := \theta \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)$, then we can see $P_1 > 0, \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}} - \text{a.s.}$. To prove Thmorem 2.1 (a), we only need to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} e^{-A_i \lfloor v_{i-1} \rfloor} < +\infty. \quad \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}} - \text{a.s.} \quad (4.1)$$

For simplicity, we denote $Z_n(\mathcal{L})$ by Z_n under the probability space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Note that $v_k := \theta \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)$, so we can use the Paley-Zygmund inequality to get the following inequality:

$$A_k := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k \geq v_k) \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^2(Z_k)}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k^2)}.$$

Define $d_k := M^{k+1} - M^k$, $I_i(\mathcal{L}) := [(a-b)i^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k^2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{\substack{u > z, v > z, \\ |u|=|v|=e^{M^{k+1}}}} \mathbf{1}_{\{M^k < i \leq M^{k+1}, V(u_i) \in I_i(\mathcal{L}), \gamma(u_{i-1}) \leq r_k\}} \right) := \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} B_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})$$

By second moment method, we have $B_{k,j}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k) + (r_k - 1)h_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)$. So we have

$$A_k \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)}{1 + (r_k - 1)h_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})}. \quad \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}} - \text{a.s.} \quad (4.2)$$

Let $I_{k,j}(\mathcal{L}) := [(a-b)(c_k + j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_{c_k+j}}{\vartheta}, a(c_k + j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_{c_k+j}}{\vartheta}]$, $c_k = M^k$, According to the assumption (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfied the conditions of Lemma 3.2. we can see

$$\begin{aligned} h_{k,j}(\mathcal{L}) &\leq \sup_{x \in I_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j} \left(\sum_{|y|=d_{k+1}-j} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, x+V(y_i) \in \left[(a-b)(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_{i+c_k+j}}{\vartheta}, \right. \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. a(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_{i+c_k+j}}{\vartheta} \right] \right\} \right) \\ &= \sup_{x \in I_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j} \left(e^{Td_{k+1}-j} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, \vartheta x + T_i \in \left[\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - K_{c_k+j}, \right. \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \vartheta a(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - K_{c_k+j} \right] \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in I_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - K_{c_k+j} - \vartheta x} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, \vartheta x + T_i \in \left[\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - K_{c_k+j}, \right. \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \vartheta a(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} - K_{c_k+j} \right] \right\} \right) \\ &\leq e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sup_{\substack{y \in [\vartheta(a-b)(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \vartheta a(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}]}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j,y} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, T_i \in \left[\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \right. \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \vartheta a(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Where $y := \vartheta x + K_{c_k+j} \in [\vartheta(a-b)(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \vartheta a(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}] := J_{k,j}$. We will divide

$d_k = M^{k+1} - M^k = M(M-1)M^{k-1}$. Denote $K(M) := M^2 - M - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{M^{k+1}-M^k} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sup_{y \in J_{k,j}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j,y} \left(\forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, T_i \in [\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \vartheta a(i+c_k+j)^{\frac{1}{3}}] \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{l=0}^{K(M)} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sum_{j=lM^{k-1}+1}^{lM^{k-1}+M^{k-1}} \sup_{y \in J_{k,j}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k+j,y} \left(\forall i \leq d_{k+1}-j, T_i \in J_{k,j+i} \right) \\
& := \sum_{l=0}^{K(M)} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sum_{j=lM^{k-1}+1}^{lM^{k-1}+M^{k-1}} H_j \\
& \leq \sum_{l=0}^{K(M)} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}} M^{k-1} H_{(l+1)M^{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see the H_j is non-decrease by Markov property. According to corollary 3.1 and the fact that $K(M)$ is a finite fixed number (not depend on k), we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \sum_{l=0}^{K(M)} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}} M^{k-1} H_{(l+1)M^{k-1}}}{d_k^{1/3}} \\
& = \max_{l \leq K(M)} \left[\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\vartheta a M^{\frac{k+1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{d_k^{1/3}} + \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln H_{(l+1)M^{k-1}}}{d_k^{1/3}} \right] \\
& = \max_{l \leq K(M)} \left[\vartheta a g_M(1) - \vartheta(a-b) g_M\left(\frac{l}{M^2-M}\right) - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \left(g_M(1) - g_M\left(\frac{l+1}{M^2-M}\right) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Where $g_M(x) := \left(x + \frac{1}{M-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\gamma_\sigma := \sigma_Q^2 \gamma(\sigma_A/\sigma_Q)$. Notice that for any $l \leq K(M)$, it is true that

$$g_M\left(\frac{l+1}{M^2-M}\right) - g_M\left(\frac{l}{M^2-M}\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{M^2-M}\right)^{1/3} = \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \sum_{l=0}^{K(M)} e^{\vartheta a c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta(a-b)(c_k+lM^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}} M^{k-1} H_{(l+1)M^{k-1}}}{d_k^{1/3}} \\
& \leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left[\left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) g_M(1) + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) \right) g_M(x) + \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}} \right]. \quad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Take $b \in (b_1, b_2)$, where b_1, b_2 is the two solutions of $\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) = 0$. Hence we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left[\left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) g_M(1) + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) \right) g_M(x) + \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}} \right] \\
&= \left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) g_M(1) + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) \right) g_M(0) + \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}} \\
&= \left[\left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) M^{1/3} + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} + \vartheta b - \vartheta a \right) + \frac{1}{M^{1/3}} \right] g_M(0).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above we can see

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left[\left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) g_M(1) + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) \right) g_M(x) + \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}} \right] > 0.$$

when M is large enough. That is to say, if we take $r_n = e^{n^{1/4}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln[1 + (r_k - 1)h_{k,j}(\mathcal{L})]}{d_k^{1/3}} \\
& \leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left[\left(\vartheta a - \frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} \right) g_M(1) + \left(\frac{3\gamma_\sigma}{\vartheta^2 b^2} - \vartheta(a-b) \right) g_M(x) + \frac{g_M(0)}{M^{1/3}} \right] \quad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us turn to the lower bound of the $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)$. We write $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{t,k}(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(\cdot | V(z) = k, |z| = t)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k, ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{Kc_k}{\vartheta}} \left(\sum_{u > z, |u| = e^{\lambda(k+1)}} \mathbf{1}_{\{e^{k\lambda} < i \leq e^{(k+1)\lambda}, V(u_i) \in I_i(\mathcal{L}), \gamma(u_{i-1}) \leq r_k\}} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k, \vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}} - Kc_k} \left(e^{T_{d_k} - [\vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}} - Kc_k]} \mathbf{1}_{\substack{0 < i \leq d_k, \xi_i \leq r_k, \\ T_i \in [\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}} - Kc_k, \vartheta a(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}} - Kc_k]}} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k, \vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}}} (e^{T_{d_k} - \vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}}} \mathbf{1}_{0 < i \leq d_k, \xi_i \leq r_k, T_i \in [\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \vartheta a(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}}]}) \\
&\geq e^{(\vartheta a - \epsilon)c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{c_k, \vartheta ac_k^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left(0 < i \leq d_k, \xi_i \leq r_k, \begin{array}{l} T_i \in [\vartheta(a-b)(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \vartheta a(i+c_k)^{\frac{1}{3}}] \\ , T_{d_k} \in [\vartheta(a-\epsilon)c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \vartheta ac_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}] \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By condition 4, we can utilize the Corollary 3.2 to get the following limit.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)}{d_k} \\
& \geq (a\vartheta - \epsilon) \left(\frac{M}{M-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - a\vartheta \left(\frac{1}{M-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \sigma^2 \gamma(\beta) \int_0^1 [b\vartheta(x + \frac{1}{M-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}]^{-2} dx \\
& = a\vartheta(g_M(1) - g_M(0)) - \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{b^2\vartheta^2}(g_M(1) - g_M(0)) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_k)]}{d_k} \geq a\vartheta(g_M(1) - g_M(0)) - \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{b^2\vartheta^2}(g_M(1) - g_M(0)).$$

Combining with (4.4) we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln A_k \lfloor v_{k-1} \rfloor}{d_k} \\ & \geq f(0)(\vartheta a - \vartheta b - \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{b^2\vartheta^2}) - f(0)o(M). \end{aligned}$$

We can see the when $b = \frac{\sqrt[3]{6\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}}{\vartheta}$, $\vartheta b + \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{b^2\vartheta^2}$ take its minimum value $\frac{3}{2}\sqrt[3]{6\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}$. So if $a > \frac{3\sqrt[3]{6\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}}{2\vartheta}$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln A_k \lfloor v_{k-1} \rfloor}{d_k} > 0$. $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$ - a.s.. Then we can see (4.1) holds, thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 (a).

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (b): the upper bound

Let $g(i) = ai^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $f(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continue non-negative function. Define

$$Z_n := \#\{|u| = n, \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \leq ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(\exists u : |u| = n, \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \leq ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n H_j + H.$$

Where

$$H_j := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\exists |u| = j : \forall i < j, \begin{matrix} V(u_i) \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}] \\ V(u_j) \leq aj^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{j}{n}) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta} \end{matrix}\right).$$

$$H := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\exists |u| = n : \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]\right).$$

By Markov inequality and many to one formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_j & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|u|=j} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i < j, V(u_i) \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], V(u_j) \leq aj^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{j}{n}) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta}\}}\right) \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(e^{T_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i < j, S_i \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], S_j \leq aj^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{j}{n}) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta}\}}\right) \\ & \leq e^{\vartheta aj^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{j}{n})} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\forall i < j, S_i \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]\right) \\ & = e^{\vartheta aj^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{j}{n})} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\forall i < j, T_i \in [\vartheta ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}), \vartheta ai^{\frac{1}{3}}]\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$H \leq e^{\vartheta g(n)} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\forall i \leq n, S_i \in [ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]\right).$$

For the monotonicity of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)$ we only need to consider $n := Nk, l \in [0, N - 1] \cap \mathbb{N}$. $f_{l,N} = \inf_{x \in [\frac{l}{N}, \frac{l+1}{N}]} f(x)$.

$$\sum_{i=kl+1}^{k(l+1)} H_i \leq k e^{\vartheta a((l+1)k)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta n^{\frac{1}{3}}f_{l,N}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\forall i \leq lk, T_i \in [\vartheta ai^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta n^{\frac{1}{3}}f(\frac{i}{n}), \vartheta ai^{\frac{1}{3}}]\right)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln(\sum_{i=kl+1}^{k(l+1)} H_i)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} &\leq \vartheta a \left(\frac{l+1}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta f_{l,N} - \gamma(\beta)\sigma^2 \int_0^{\frac{l}{N}} (\vartheta f(x))^{-2} dx \\ &\leq \vartheta a \left(\frac{l}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta f\left(\frac{l}{N}\right) - \gamma(\beta)\sigma^2 \int_0^{\frac{l}{N}} (\vartheta f(x))^{-2} dx + 2\varepsilon \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln H}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} &\leq \vartheta a - \gamma(\beta)\sigma^2 \int_0^1 (\vartheta f(x))^{-2} dx \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, We have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} [\vartheta a \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta f(\alpha) - \gamma(\beta)\sigma^2 \int_0^{\alpha} (\vartheta f(x))^{-2} dx].$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (b): the lower bound The method of this lower bound is similar with Theorem 2.1 (a). we can get

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \geq \inf_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} [\vartheta a \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} - \vartheta f(\alpha) - \gamma(\beta)\sigma^2 \int_0^{\alpha} (\vartheta f(x))^{-2} dx].$$

According to the discussion of Proposition 3.2-Proposition 3.6 in [5], we know there exists a function $f(x)$ define on $[0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 f^{-2}(x)dx < +\infty$, $f(1) = 0$, $f(0) > 0$ and

$$\vartheta a \alpha^{1/3} - \vartheta f(\alpha) - \frac{\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{\vartheta^2} \int_0^{\alpha} (f(x))^{-2} dx = -\vartheta f(0), \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Combining with (4.2) and (4.3), we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 (b).

Proof of Theorem 2.2: the upper bound

Let $f(n, k) := -d\sqrt[3]{n-k}$. We know

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(\exists u : |u| = n, V(u_i) \leq g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n H_j + H.$$

Where

$$H_j := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\exists |u| = j : \forall i < j, V(u_i) \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], V(u_j) \leq f(n, j) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta}\right).$$

$$H := \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\exists |u| = n : \forall i \leq n, V(u_i) \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]\right).$$

By Markov inequality and many to one formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_j &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|u|=j} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i < j, V(u_i) \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], V(u_j) \leq f(n, j) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta}\}}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(e^{T_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i < j, S_i \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], S_j \leq f(n, j) - \frac{K_j}{\vartheta}\}}\right) \\ &\leq e^{\vartheta f(n, j)} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\forall i < j, S_i \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}]\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$H \leq e^{\vartheta g(n)} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\forall i \leq n, S_i \in [f(n, i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}] \right).$$

For the monotonicity of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)$ we only need to consider $n := Nk, l \in [0, N - 1] \cap \mathbb{N}$.

$$\sum_{i=kl+1}^{k(l+1)} H_i \leq k e^{-\vartheta d \sqrt[3]{Nk-k(l+1)}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(\forall i \leq lk, T_i \in [\vartheta f(n, i), \vartheta g(i)])$$

By the random version of Mogul'skii estimation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \left(\sum_{i=kl+1}^{k(l+1)} H_i \right)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} &\leq -\vartheta d \sqrt[3]{1 - \frac{l+1}{N}} - \gamma(\beta) \sigma^2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} (\vartheta d \sqrt[3]{1-x})^{-2} dx \\ &\leq -\vartheta d \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{3\gamma(\beta) \sigma^2}{\vartheta^2 d^2} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{l}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln H}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq -\frac{3\gamma(\beta) \sigma^2}{\vartheta^2 d^2}.$$

Let $d := \frac{\sqrt[3]{3\gamma(\beta) \sigma^2}}{\vartheta}$, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq -\sqrt[3]{3\gamma(\beta) \sigma^2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: the lower bound

Define

$$\Theta := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{T} : \forall 1 \leq i \leq |u|, V(u_i) \in [f(|u|, i) - \varepsilon |u|^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}, g(i) - \frac{K_i}{\vartheta}], \gamma(u_{i-1}) \leq r_{|u|} \right\}.$$

$$Z_n(\Theta) := \sum_{|u|=n} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0) \geq \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n(\Theta) > 0) \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n(\Theta))^2}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n^2(\Theta))}.$$

In this proof we write the so-called second moment method in more detail.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|u|=n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|u|=n} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|u|=k+1} Z_n^{u_{k+1}}(\Theta) Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{|u|=n} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}) = \sum_{|u|=k+1} Z_n^{u_{k+1}}(\Theta) Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}).$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{|u|=n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}) = \sum_{|u|=n} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}} (Z_n(\Theta) - \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}}) = Z_n^2(\Theta) - Z_n(\Theta).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_n^2(\Theta) &= Z_n(\Theta) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|u|=k+1} Z_n^{u_{k+1}}(\Theta) Z_n^{u_k}(\Theta, u_{k+1}) \\
&= Z_n(\Theta) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|v|=k} Z_n^v(\Theta) Z_n^{\overleftarrow{v}}(\Theta, v).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} Z_n^v(\Theta) Z_n^{\overleftarrow{v}}(\Theta, v)\right) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} Z_n^v(\Theta) Z_n^{\overleftarrow{v}}(\Theta, v) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right)\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[\sum_{v'=bro(v)} Z_n^v(\Theta) Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} \sum_{v'=bro(v)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^v(\Theta) Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} \sum_{v'=bro(v)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^v(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^v(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right] \sum_{v'=bro(v)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left((r_n - 1) \sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right] \sum_{|v|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^v(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq (r_n - 1) \sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(Z_n^{v'}(\Theta)\right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left[Z_n^v(\Theta) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right) \\
&\leq (r_n - 1) \sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(Z_n^{v'}(\Theta)\right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|v|=k} Z_n^v(\Theta)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n^2(\Theta)) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n(\Theta)) \left[1 + (r_n - 1) \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(Z_n^{v'}(\Theta)\right)\right]$$

Let $I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L}) = [f(n, k) - \varepsilon n^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{K_k}{\vartheta}, g(k) - \frac{K_k}{\vartheta}]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(Z_n^{v'}(\Theta)\right) \\
&\leq \sup_{V(v') \in I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|u|=n, u_k=v'} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \in \Theta\}}\right) \\
&\leq \sup_{V(v') \in I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\sum_{|u|=n, u_k=v'} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i \leq n-k, V(u_{k+i}) \in [f(n, i+k) - \frac{K_{i+k}}{\vartheta}, g(i+k) - \frac{K_{i+k}}{\vartheta}]\}}\right) \\
&= \sup_{x \in I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^k\left(\sum_{|y|=n-k} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i \leq n-k, V(y_i) \in [-x + f(n, i+k) - \frac{K_{i+k}}{\vartheta}, -x + g(i+k) - \frac{K_{i+k}}{\vartheta}]\}}\right) \\
&= \sup_{x \in I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^k\left(e^{T_{n-k}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i \leq n-k, \frac{T_i}{\vartheta} \in [-x + f(n, i+k) - \frac{K_k}{\vartheta}, -x + g(i+k) - \frac{K_k}{\vartheta}]\}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{|v'|=k} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(Z_n^{v'}(\Theta) \right) \\
 & \leq e^{\vartheta g(n) - \vartheta f(n,k)} \sup_{x \in I_{n,k}(\mathcal{L})} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k \left(\forall i \leq n-k, \frac{T_i}{\vartheta} + x + \frac{K_k}{\vartheta} \in [f(n, i+k), g(i+k)] \right) \\
 & \leq e^{\vartheta g(n) - \vartheta f(n,k)} \sup_{x \in [\vartheta f(n,k), \vartheta g(k)]} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k \left(\forall i \leq n-k, T_i \in [\vartheta f(n, i+k), \vartheta g(i+k)] | T_0 = x \right) \\
 & \leq e^{-\vartheta f(n,k)} \sup_{x \in [\vartheta f(n,k), 0]} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^k \left(\forall i \leq n-k, T_i \in [\vartheta f(n, i+k), 0] | T_0 = x \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that under $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{k,x}$ we have agreed that $T_n = \vartheta S_n + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} \kappa_i(\vartheta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0) \\
 & \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n(\Theta))}{1 + (r_n - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\vartheta f(n,j)} \sup_{x \in [\vartheta f(n,j), 0]} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{j,x} \left(\forall i \leq n-j, T_i \in [\vartheta f(n, i+j), 0] \right)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar with the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.1(a), take $\vartheta d = \frac{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}{d^2\vartheta^2}$, we can get $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(Z_n > 0)}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \geq -\sqrt[3]{3\gamma(\beta)\sigma^2}$. This is the end of the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgement

I want to thank my supervisor Wenming Hong for his constant concern on my work and giving me a good learning environment. I also want to thank Bastien Mallein for his great help on [6], which is a basis of this work.

References

- [1] E. Aïdékon and B. Jaffuel. Survival of branching random walks with absorption. Stochastic Process. Appl. 121:1901-1937, 2011.
- [2] J.D. Biggins, B.D. Lubachevsky, A. Shwartz, A. Weiss, A branching random walk with a barrier, Ann. Appl. Probab. 1: 573-581, 1991.
- [3] N. Gantert, Y. Hu and Z. Shi. Asymptotics for the survival probability in a killed branching random walk. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 47(1):111-129, 2011.
- [4] C. Huang and Q. Liu. Branching random walk with a random environment in time. ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1407.7623, 2014.
- [5] B. Jaffuel. The critical barrier for the survival of branching random walk with absorption. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 48(4):989-1009, 2012

- [6] Y. Lv. Brownian motion between two random trajectories. ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1802.03876v2, 2018.
- [7] Y. Lv. Small deviation for random walk with random environment in time. ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1803.08772, 2018.
- [8] B. Mallein. Maximal displacement in a branching random walk through interfaces. *Electron. J. Probab.* 68(20): 1-40, 2015.
- [9] B. Mallein. Maximal displacement of a branching random walk in time-inhomogeneous environment. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* (125) 3958-4019. 2015.
- [10] B. Mallein, P. Miłoś. Maximal displacement of a supercritical branching random walk in a time-inhomogeneous random environment. Accepted to *Stochastic Process. Appl.* ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1507.08835, 2016.
- [11] A.A.Mogul'skiĭ. Small deviations in the space of trajectories. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 19, 726-736, 1974.
- [12] Shi, Z. (2015). Branching random walks. *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XLII-2012. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2151*, Springer, Berlin.