

WEIGHT-PARTIALLY GREEDY BASES AND WEIGHT-PROPERTY (A)

DIVYA KHURANA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, motivated by the notion of w -Property (A) defined in [2], we introduce the notions of w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A). We also introduce the notions of w -partially greedy basis (using a characterization of partially greedy basis from [4]) and w -reverse partially greedy basis. The main aim of this paper is to study (i) some characterizations of w -partially greedy and w -reverse partially greedy basis (ii) conditions on the weight sequences when w -left Property (A) and (or) w -right Property (A) implies w -Property (A).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real Banach space with a normalized (Schauder) basis (e_n) and biorthogonal functionals (e_n^*) . If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of A and $1_A = \sum_{i \in A} e_i$. For $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ we write $A < B$ if $\max A < \min B$, if $x \in X$ then we write $\text{supp}(x) = \{n : e_n^*(x) \neq 0\}$, $P_A(x) = \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x)e_i$ and $P_{A^c}(x) = I - P_A(x)$. Set $\mathbb{N}^m = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : |A| = m\}$, $\mathbb{N}^{<\infty} = \cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}^m$, $\mathcal{A} = \{(\varepsilon_i) : \varepsilon_i = 1 \text{ or } \varepsilon_i = -1 \text{ for all } i\}$ and $1_{\varepsilon A} = \sum_{i \in A} \varepsilon_i e_i$ for $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$.

The **Thresholding Greedy Algorithm (TGA)** was introduced by Konyagin and Temlyakov ([7]). This algorithm is defined as follow. For $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Lambda_m(x)$ be the set of any m -indices such that

$$\min_{n \in \Lambda_m(x)} |e_n^*(x)| \geq \max_{n \notin \Lambda_m(x)} |e_n^*(x)|.$$

Then $G_m(x) = \sum_{n \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_n^*(x)e_n$ is called an m -th greedy approximant to x .

Konyagin and Temlyakov in [7] defined a basis (e_n) to be **C -greedy** if for all $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \inf \left\{ \left\| x - \sum_{i \in A} a_i e_i \right\| : |A| = m, a_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in A \right\}.$$

They showed that greedy bases are characterized by unconditionality and democratic property. Recall that a basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is unconditional if any rearrangement of the series $x = \sum_{n \geq 1} e_n^*(x)e_n$ converges in norm to x for all $x \in X$. A basis (e_n) is said to be

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B15; 41A65.

Key words and phrases. w -left Property (A), w -right Property (A), w -partially greedy basis, w -reverse partially greedy basis.

This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant Number–137/16).

democratic if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ where $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and $|A| \leq |B|$.

Konyagin and Temlyakov ([7]) also introduced the notion of **quasi-greedy** basis. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to be C -quasi-greedy if $\|G_m(x)\| \leq C\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let C_q be the least constant among all such C . Later, Wojtaszczyk [8] proved that a basis is quasi-greedy if $G_m(x) \rightarrow x$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \in X$.

Dilworth et al. [3] introduced the notions of **almost greedy** basis and **partially greedy** basis. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to be C -**almost greedy** if

$$\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : |A| \leq m\}$$

for all $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

A basis (e_n) is said to be C -**partially greedy** if for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1) \quad \|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} e_n^*(x)e_n \right\|.$$

In [3] the authors proved that almost greedy bases are characterized by quasi-greediness and democracy and partially greedy bases are characterized by quasi-greediness and the **conservative** property. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space is said to be conservative if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ whenever $A < B$ and $|A| \leq |B|$.

If $x \in X$ then a **greedy ordering** for x is a 1-1 map $\rho : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{supp}(x) \subset \rho(\mathbb{N})$ and if $j < k$, then $|e_{\rho(j)}^*(x)| \geq |e_{\rho(k)}^*(x)|$. Note that if x has infinite support and the nonzero basis coefficients of x are distinct then x has a unique greedy ordering.

If ρ is a greedy ordering for $x \in X$, then $\Lambda_m(x) = \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m)\}$ and we denote $\alpha_m(x) = \min \Lambda_m(x)$, $\beta_m(x) = \max \Lambda_m(x)$.

Recently, in [4], the authors gave another characterization of partially greedy basis and introduced the notion of reverse partially greedy basis. They proved that a basis (e_n) is **partially greedy** if and only if there exists a constant C such that

$$(2) \quad \|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : |A| \leq m, A < \alpha_m(x)\}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Throughout this paper we will use this characterization of the partially greedy bases from [4].

A basis (e_n) is said to be C -**reverse partially greedy** if for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(3) \quad \|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : |A| \leq m, A > \beta_m(x)\}.$$

A weight sequence $w = (w_n)$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. For any $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we write $w(A) = \sum_{i \in A} w_i$.

In [6] the authors studied weight-greedy bases. Recently, in [5] and [2], the authors studied weight-almost greedy bases and weight-partially greedy bases (using the definition from [3]). In [6], [5] and [2] the authors proved a criterion for weight-greedy, weight-almost greedy and

weight-partially greedy bases similar to the one for greedy, almost greedy and partially greedy bases respectively. In [2] the notion of w -Property (A) was introduced and a characterization of (i) w -greedy bases in terms of unconditionality and w -Property (A) (ii) w -almost greedy bases in terms of quasi-greediness and w -Property (A) was proved.

In this paper we will introduce the notion of w -partially greedy bases (using the characterization (2)) and w -reverse partially greedy bases. In section 2 we will prove that a basis is w -partially greedy (w -reverse partially greedy) if and only if it is both quasi-greedy and w -conservative (w -reverse conservative). In section 3 we will introduce the notion of w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A). We will prove another characterization of w -partially greedy (w -reverse partially greedy) bases, precisely, we will prove that a basis is w -partially greedy (w -reverse partially greedy) if and only if it is quasi-greedy and satisfies w -left Property (A) (w -right Property (A)). In this section, we will also consider some conditions on the weight sequences such that any basis satisfying both w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) satisfies w -Property (A). In the last section we will study w -conservative and w -reverse conservative basis. w -conservative and w -reverse conservative properties are weaker conditions than w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) respectively.

Let

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^L(x) = \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}, w(A) \leq w(\Lambda_m(x)), A < \alpha_m(x)\}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^R(x) = \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}, w(A) \leq w(\Lambda_m(x)), \beta_m(x) < A\}$$

for any $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. We say that a basis (e_n) is C - w -partially greedy if

$$(6) \quad \|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^L(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.2. We say that a basis (e_n) is C - w -reverse partially greedy if

$$(7) \quad \|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^R(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let C_p , C_{rp} be the least constants satisfying (6) and (7) respectively.

Definition 1.3. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to be w -democratic if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ whenever $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and $w(A) \leq w(B)$.

Definition 1.4. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to be w -conservative (w -reverse conservative) if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ whenever $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A < B$ ($B < A$) and $w(A) \leq w(B)$.

If $w = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ then $w(A) = |A|$ for any $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and in this case w -partially greedy (w -reverse partially greedy, w -democratic, w -conservative, w -reverse conservative) basis is partially greedy (reverse partially greedy, democratic, conservative, reverse conservative) basis.

We now recall a few results related to quasi-greedy bases from [3].

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that (e_n) is a K -quasi-greedy basis and $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$. Then, for every choice of scalars $(a_i)_{i \in A}$ we have*

$$(8) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in A} a_j e_j \right\| \leq 2K \max_{j \in A} |a_j| \left\| \sum_{j \in A} e_j \right\|.$$

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose that (e_n) is a K -quasi-greedy basis and $x \in X$ has greedy ordering ρ . Then*

$$(9) \quad |e_{\rho(m)}^*(x)| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m e_{\rho(i)} \right\| \leq 4K^2 \|x\|.$$

2. WEIGHT-PARTIALLY AND WEIGHT-REVERSE PARTIALLY GREEDY BASES

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose (e_n) is a basis of a Banach space X . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) (e_n) is w -partially greedy.
- (b) (e_n) is quasi-greedy and w -conservative.

Proof. We first prove that (a) implies (e_n) is w -conservative. Let (e_n) be C_p - w -partially greedy, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < B$ and $w(A) \leq w(B)$. Consider $x = 1_A + (1 + \varepsilon)1_B$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $G_{|B|}(x) = (1 + \varepsilon)1_B$. Now from (6), we have $\|1_A\| \leq C_p \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_{|B|}(x))}^L(x) \leq C_p(1 + \varepsilon)\|1_B\|$. Thus, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get (e_n) is w -conservative. Clearly (a) implies that $\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C_p \|x\|$ and hence the basis is quasi-greedy.

Now we will prove that (b) implies (a). Let the basis (e_n) be C_q -quasi-greedy and w -conservative with constant C . Let $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_m(x) = \sum_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_i^*(x) e_i$.

If $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < \alpha_m(x)$ and $w(A) \leq w(\Lambda_m(x))$, then

$$x - G_m(x) = x - \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i - \sum_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_i^*(x) e_i + \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i.$$

We can write

$$\sum_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_i^*(x) e_i = G_m \left(x - \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i \right),$$

and hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\| \leq C_q \left\| x - \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\|.$$

From (8), (9) and the w -conservative property we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\| &\leq 2C_q \max_{i \in A} |e_i^*(x)| \|1_A\| \\ &\leq 2C_q C \min_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} |e_i^*(x)| \|1_{\Lambda_m(x)}\| \\ &\leq 8C_q^3 C \left\| \sum_{i \in \Lambda_m(x)} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\| \\ &\leq 8C_q^4 C \left\| x - \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq (1 + C_q + 8C_q^4 C) \|x - \sum_{i \in A} e_i^*(x) e_i\|$. \square

Remark 2.2. In [2] a basis (e_n) of a Banach space X was defined to be w -partially greedy if for all m, r such that $w(\{1, \dots, m\}) \leq w(\Lambda_r(x))$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|x - G_r(x)\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} e_i^*(x) e_i \right\|$$

It was proved in [2] that a basis is w -partially greedy if and only if it is quasi-greedy and w -conservative. Thus from Theorem 2.1 it follows that the w -partially greedy basis considered in this paper is equivalent to the one considered in [2].

Similar arguments as in Theorem 2.1 yields the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose (e_n) is a basis of a Banach space X . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) (e_n) is w -reverse partially greedy.
- (b) (e_n) is quasi-greedy and w -reverse conservative.

3. WEIGHT-LEFT PROPERTY (A) AND WEIGHT-RIGHT PROPERTY (A)

Following notion of w -Property (A) was introduced in [2].

Definition 3.1. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to have w -Property (A) if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$(10) \quad \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$$

for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Motivated by this notion of w -Property (A), we now give the definitions of w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A).

Definition 3.2. We say a basis (e_n) of a Banach space X satisfies w -left Property (A) if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$$

for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 3.3. A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is said to have *w-right Property (A)* if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$(12) \quad \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$$

for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $B < A$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let C_a, C_{la}, C_{ra} be the least constants satisfying (10), (11) and (12) respectively. We will write (e_n) satisfies *C_a-w-Property (A)*, *C_{la}-w-left Property (A)* and *C_{ra}-w-right Property (A)*.

Remark 3.4. Observe that any basis satisfying *w-Property (A)*, *w-left Property (A)*, *w-right Property (A)* is respectively *w-democratic*, *w-conservative* and *w-reverse conservative*.

For $w = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ we will write *w-Property (A)* (*w-left Property (A)*, *w-right Property (A)*) as *Property (A)* (*left Property (A)*, *right Property (A)*). While considering *w-right Property (A)* and *w-reverse conservative* bases for any $B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, we will always include the empty set in the collection $\{A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty} : B < A, w(A) \leq w(B)\}$.

Remark 3.5. Albiac and Wojtaszczyk introduced the following notion of *Property (A)* (we will refer to it as classical *Property (A)*) in [1] to study a characterization of 1-greedy basis. A basis (e_n) has classical *Property (A)* if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$$

for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $|A| = |B|$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$. In this paper we are dealing only with the Schauder basis so the *Property (A)* is equivalent to the classical *Property (A)*.

Example 3.6. We present examples of basis which satisfy *left Property (A)* or *right Property (A)* but does not satisfy *Property (A)*.

- (a) Let $p = (p_n)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers and $M_n(t) = t^{p_n}$ for $t \geq 0$. Let X_p be the corresponding modular sequence space, that is, X_p is the Banach space consisting of all sequences $x = (x_n)$ with $\sum (\frac{|x_n|}{\lambda})^{p_n} < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and the norm on X_p is defined as

$$\|x\|_p = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum \left(\frac{|x_n|}{\lambda} \right)^{p_n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $B < A$, $|A| \leq |B|$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$. Then clearly $\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\|_p \leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|_p$, thus the canonical basis (e_n) of X_p satisfies *right Property (A)* and its easy to observe that (e_n) does not satisfy *left Property (A)*.

(b) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $F_n := \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : |A| \leq n!, n! \leq A\}$ and $F := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$.

Observe that the set F is closed under spreading to the right: in fact, if $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $A \in F$ and $\min A \leq \min B$, then $B \in F$.

We define a norm on c_{00} (the space of all sequences of real numbers with finitely many non-zero terms) as follows

$$\|x\| = \max\{(|x|, 1_A) : A \in F\}$$

for $x \in c_{00}$. Let X be the completion of c_{00} in this norm. The canonical basis (e_n) of X is normalized and 1-unconditional.

From the right spreading property of F , it follows that $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$, where $y = \sum a_i e_{n_i}$ is a spread of $x = \sum a_i e_i$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$. In particular, if $A < B$ and $|A| \leq |B|$ then for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$ we get $\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$. Thus (e_n) satisfies left Property (A).

Observe that, $\|1_{[1, n!]} \| = (n-1)!$, while $\|1_{[n!+1, 2n!]} \| = n!$. So (e_n) is not a democratic basis and hence (e_n) cannot satisfy Property (A).

Now consider the dual norm $\|\cdot\|^*$. Since $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ it follows easily that $\|x\|^* \geq \|y\|^*$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|^*$ satisfies right Property (A). But in this case $\|1_{[1, n!]} \| = (n-1)!$ implies $\|1_{[1, n!]} \|^* \geq n$ while $\|1_{[n!+1, 2n!]} \|^* = 1$ since $[n!+1, 2n!] \in F_n$. So the dual norm is not democratic and hence it cannot satisfy Property (A).

Example 3.7. Now we shall present an example of a basis which is conservative but does not satisfies left Property (A). Let (a_i) be a sequence of natural numbers such that

$$a_{2i} = i \text{ and } a_{2i-1} = i \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define a norm on c_{00} as follows

$$\|x\| = \sup_N \left| \sum_1^N a_i x_i \right|$$

for $x \in c_{00}$. Let X be the completion of c_{00} in this norm. The canonical basis (e_n) of X is normalized basis. Clearly (e_n) is conservative but is not a democratic basis.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be any even number, $A = \{n+1, \dots, 2n\}$ and $B = \{2n+2, 2n+4, \dots, 4n-2, 4n\}$. Choose $x \in X$ with $\text{supp}(x) = \{2n+1, 2n+3, \dots, 4n-3, 4n-1\}$ and $x_i = -1$ for all $i \in \text{supp}(x)$. Now for any $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varepsilon_i, \eta_i = 1$ for all i we get

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{3n}{2} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\|x + 1_{\eta B}\| = 2n.$$

Thus (e_n) does not satisfies left Property (A).

We now give characterization of w -partially greedy bases in terms of quasi-greedy property and w -left Property (A).

Proposition 3.8. *Let (e_n) be a basis of a Banach space X . If (e_n) is C_p - w -partially greedy, then (e_n) is $(C_p + 1)$ -quasi-greedy and has C_p - w -left Property (A).*

Proof. Let (e_n) be a w -partially greedy basis with constant C_p . Thus

$$\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C_p \inf\{\|x - P_A(x)\| : A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}, w(A) \leq w(\Lambda_m(x)), A < \alpha_m(x)\}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we take $A = \emptyset$, then we get $\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq C_p \|x\|$ and hence $\|G_m(x)\| \leq (C_p + 1)\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$. If we take $y = x + t1_{\varepsilon A} + (t + \delta)1_{\eta B}$ for any $\delta > 0$, then $\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| = \|y - G_{|B|}(y)\| \leq C_p \|y - t1_{\varepsilon A}\| = \|x + (t + \delta)1_{\eta B}\|$. This gives that (e_n) satisfies C_p - w -left Property (A). \square

From Remark 3.4 it is clear that w -left Property (A) implies w -conservative property. Thus from Theorem 2.1 it follows that if a basis (e_n) is quasi-greedy and has w -left Property (A) then (e_n) is w -partially greedy. We now prove this result using the arguments similar to [2] to get better estimates in terms of the constant.

Proposition 3.9. *A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X satisfies w -left Property (A) with constant C_{la} if and only if*

$$(13) \quad \|x\| \leq C_{la} \|x - P_A(x) + 1_{\eta B}\|$$

where $x \in X$, $\sup_j |e_j^*(x)| \leq 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. First observe that [2, Lemma 2.4] implies for the proof of the result it is sufficient to consider only finitely supported $x \in X$.

Let (e_n) has w -left Property (A) with constant C_{la} , $x \in X$, $\sup_j |e_j^*(x)| \leq 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$. From the w -left Property (A) of the basis we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{A^c}(x) + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq C_{la} \|P_{A^c}(x) + 1_{\eta B}\| \\ &= C_{la} \|x - P_A(x) + 1_{\eta B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since x belongs to the convex hull of $\{P_{A^c}(x) + 1_{\varepsilon A} : \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}\}$, so we get

$$\|x\| \leq C_{la} \|x - P_A(x) + 1_{\eta B}\|.$$

Conversely, for any $x \in X$ with $\sup_j |e_j^*(x)| \leq 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$, consider $y = x + 1_{\varepsilon A}$. Now from (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &= \|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C_{la}\|y - P_A(y) + 1_{\eta B}\| \\ &= C_{la}\|x + 1_{\eta B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly we can prove the following results for a basis with w -right Property (A).

Proposition 3.10. *A basis (e_n) of a Banach space X satisfies C_{ra} - w -right Property (A) if and only if*

$$(14) \quad \|x\| \leq C_{ra}\|x - P_A(x) + 1_{\eta B}\|$$

where $x \in X$, $\sup_j |e_j^*(x)| \leq 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $B < A$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Before proving the next result we first recall the following result from [2].

Lemma 3.11. *Let (e_n) be a C_q -quasi-greedy basis of a Banach space X , $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$. If T_λ is an operator defined on X by*

$$T_\lambda(x) = \sum_{i \in A} \lambda \text{sgn}(e_i^*(x))e_i + \sum_{i \in A^c} e_i^*(x)e_i$$

where $A = \{i : \lambda < |e_i^*(x)|\}$, then $\|T_\lambda(x)\| \leq (C_q + 1)\|x\|$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let (e_n) be a basis of a Banach space X .*

- (a) *If (e_n) is C_p - w -partially greedy, then (e_n) is $(C_p + 1)$ -quasi-greedy and has C_p - w -left Property (A).*
- (b) *If (e_n) has C_{la} - w -left Property (A) and is C_q -quasi-greedy then (e_n) is $(C_q + 1)C_{la}$ - w -partially greedy.*

Proof. (a) follows from Proposition 3.8.

Let $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, choose $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < \Lambda_m(x)$, $w(A) \leq w(\Lambda_m(x))$ such that $\|x - P_A(x)\| < \tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^L(x) + \varepsilon$. Fix $t = |e_{\rho(m)}^*(x)|$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\eta_j = \text{sgn}(e_j^*(x))$ for all j , then from Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 3.11 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - G_m(x)\| &\leq C_{la}\|x - P_{\Lambda_m(x)} - P_A(x) + t1_{\eta\Lambda_m(x)}\| \\ &= C_{la}\|P_{(A \cup \Lambda_m(x))^c}(x - P_A(x)) + t1_{\eta\Lambda_m(x)}\| \\ &= C_{la}\|T_t(I - P_A)(x)\| \\ &\leq (C_q + 1)C_{la}\|x - P_A(x)\| \\ &< (C_q + 1)C_{la}(\tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^L(x) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

From this we can conclude that $\|x - G_m(x)\| \leq (C_q + 1)C_{la}\tilde{\sigma}_{w(\Lambda_m(x))}^L(x)$ and thus the basis is $(C_q + 1)C_{la}$ - w -partially greedy. □

In a similar fashion we can prove the following characterization of w -reverse partially greedy basis.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (e_n) be a basis of a Banach space X .*

- (a) *If (e_n) is C_{rp} - w -reverse partially greedy, then (e_n) is $(C_{rp} + 1)$ -quasi-greedy and has C_{rp} - w -left Property (A).*
- (b) *If (e_n) has C_{ra} - w -right Property (A) and is C_q -quasi-greedy then (e_n) is $(C_q + 1)C_{ra}$ - w -reverse partially greedy.*

We now prove that if a basis (e_n) of a Banach space X satisfies both left Property (A) and right Property (A) then the basis satisfies Property (A). If X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space then for given $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^m$ we can find $C \in \mathbb{N}^m$ with $A < C$ and $B < C$. Now by the left Property (A) and right Property (A) of the basis it can be easily proved that the basis satisfies Property (A). We now give another proof of this fact which has the advantage of working for a *finite* basis as well.

Lemma 3.14. *Let (e_n) be a basis of Banach space X . If (e_n) satisfies both left Property (A) and right Property (A) then the basis satisfies Property (A).*

Proof. Let C be a constant such that for any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$, $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $|A| \leq |B|$ we have

$$\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$$

where either $A < B$ or $B > A$.

Observe that to prove Property (A) it is sufficient to consider any two disjoint sets A, B of same cardinality. Choose any $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$, $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$ and any two disjoint sets $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^m$ with $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_m\}$. If $A < B$ or $B < A$, then $\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$ and $\|x + t1_{\eta B}\| \leq C\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\|$. So, for the rest of the proof we assume that this is not the case.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $a_1 < b_m$. If we compare a_2 with b_{m-1} then there can be two possibilities: $a_2 < b_{m-1}$ or $a_2 > b_{m-1}$. If $a_2 > b_{m-1}$ then we will stop the process; otherwise we will continue in the same manner. By the assumptions on the sets A, B we can find the first j , $1 \leq j < m$, such that $a_{j+1} > b_{m-j}$.

Thus we can write

$A = A_1 \cup A_2$ and $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ where $|A_i| = |B_i|$, $A_1 < B_1$, $A_2 > B_2$, $A_1 = \{a_1 < \dots < a_j\} < B_1 = \{b_{m-j+1} < \dots < b_m\}$ and $A_2 = \{a_{j+1} < \dots < a_m\} > B_2 = \{b_1 < \dots < b_{m-j}\}$

Let $x_1 = \sum_{i > \min B_1} e_i^*(x)e_i$, $x_2 = x - x_1$, $y_1 = \sum_{i < \max A_1} e_i^*(x)e_i$ and $y_2 = x - y_1$. Then

$$\|x_i + t1_{\varepsilon A_i}\| \leq C\|x_i + t1_{\eta B_i}\|, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

and

$$\|y_i + t1_{\eta B_i}\| \leq C\|y_i + t1_{\varepsilon A_i}\|, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq \|x_1 + t1_{\varepsilon A_1}\| + \|x_2 + t1_{\varepsilon A_2}\| \\ &\leq C(\|x_1 + t1_{\eta B_1}\| + \|x_2 + t1_{\eta B_2}\|) \\ &\leq C(2K_b + 1)\|x + t1_{\eta B}\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| &\leq \|y_1 + t1_{\eta B_1}\| + \|y_2 + t1_{\eta B_2}\| \\ &\leq C(\|y_1 + t1_{\varepsilon A_1}\| + \|y_2 + t1_{\varepsilon A_2}\|) \\ &\leq C(2K_b + 1)\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \end{aligned}$$

where K_b is the basis constant for (e_n) . □

Consider any weight sequence $w = (w_n)$ bounded away from 0 and ∞ . For such a weight sequence we now prove that any basis satisfying both w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) satisfies w -Property (A). If X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space then this result can be proved by a simple argument. But we will present a proof which works for both finite and infinite-dimensional Banach spaces.

To prove this result we first extend the idea used in [5, Proposition 4.9] to prove that in this case any basis (e_n) has w -left Property (A) (w -right Property (A)) if and only if (e_n) has left Property (A) (right Property (A)).

Proposition 3.15. *Let w be a weight sequence with $0 < \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n < \infty$. Then (e_n) has w -left Property (A) if and only if (e_n) has left Property (A).*

Proof. For simplicity we assume that $0 < \alpha = \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n = 1$.

Let (e_n) satisfies w -left Property (A) with constant C_{la} . Let $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$, $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathbb{A}$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < B$, $|A| = |B|$ and $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$.

If $w(A) \leq w(B)$ then from the w -left Property (A) of the basis we get

$$\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C_{la}\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|.$$

Now consider the case when $w(B) \leq w(A)$. If in this case $w(A) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}$ then $|A|, |B| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha^2}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + \|t1_{\varepsilon A}\| + \|t1_{\eta B}\| \\ &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + \frac{4}{\alpha^2}t \\ &= \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + \frac{4}{\alpha^2}\|t1_{\eta B}\| \\ &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + \frac{8K_b}{\alpha^2}\|x + t1_{\eta B}\| \end{aligned}$$

where K_b is the basis constant of (e_n) and $b \in B$.

If $w(A) > \frac{2}{\alpha}$ then $2 < \alpha w(A) \leq \alpha|A| = \alpha|B| \leq w(B)$. Thus we can partition A into N sets A_1, \dots, A_N satisfying $w(A_i) \leq w(B) \leq w(A_i) + 1$, hence $w(A_i) \geq \frac{w(B)}{2}$ and

$$N \leq \frac{w(A)}{\frac{w(B)}{2}} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}.$$

Now by combining the facts that $A_i < B$, $w(A_i) \leq w(B)$ with the w -left Property (A) of the basis we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq \sum_1^N \left\| \frac{x}{N} + t1_{\varepsilon A_i} \right\| \\ &\leq C_{la} N \left\| \frac{x}{N} + t1_{\eta B} \right\| \\ &\leq C_{la} (N \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + (N-1) \|x\|) \\ &\leq C_{la} (N \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + C_{la} (N-1) \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|) \\ &\leq \frac{4C_{la}^2}{\alpha} \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that the basis satisfies left Property (A).

Let (e_n) satisfies left Property (A) with constant C . Choose $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$, $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A < B$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$ and $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. Then $|A| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} |B|$ and we can partition A into N sets A_1, \dots, A_N with $|A_i| \leq |B|$, $A_i < B$ and $N \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Now from the left Property (A) of the basis we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq \sum_1^N \left\| \frac{x}{N} + t1_{\varepsilon A_i} \right\| \\ &\leq CN \left\| \frac{x}{N} + t1_{\eta B} \right\| \\ &\leq C(N \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + (N-1) \|x\|) \\ &\leq C(N \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + C(N-1) \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|) \\ &\leq 2C^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \|x + t1_{\eta B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (e_n) satisfies w -left Property (A). □

Proposition 3.16. *Let w be a weight sequence with $0 < \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n < \infty$. Then (e_n) satisfies w -right Property (A) if and only if (e_n) satisfies right Property (A).*

Theorem 3.17. *Let w be a weight sequence with $0 < \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n < \infty$. If a basis (e_n) satisfies both w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) then the basis satisfies w -Property (A).*

Proof. Let $0 < \alpha = \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n = 1$ and (e_n) satisfies both w -left Property (A), w -right Property (A). Then from Propositions 3.15, 3.16 and Lemma 3.14, it follows that the basis satisfies Property (A). Let C be the constant for Property (A).

Let $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_j |e_j^*(x)|$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{A}$. $w(A) \leq w(B)$ gives $|A| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|B|$ and thus we can partition A into N sets A_1, \dots, A_N with $|A_i| \leq |B|$, $N \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Using the arguments similar to Proposition 3.15 we get $\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 2C^2(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|$ and this proves that the basis satisfies w -Property (A). \square

Next, we will prove the similar result for a weight sequence (w_n) with $\sum w_n = \infty$ and $\sup w_n < \infty$. We will consider some other conditions on the weight sequences in the next section for any basis satisfying weaker conditions than w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A).

Theorem 3.18. *Let w be a weight sequence with $\sum w_n = \infty$ and $\sup w_n < \infty$. If a basis (e_n) satisfies both w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) then (e_n) satisfies w -Property (A).*

Proof. Observe that from [2, Lemma 2.4] it follows that for the proof of this result it is sufficient to consider $x \in X$ with $\text{supp}(x) < \infty$. Let $x \in X$, $t \geq \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$, $\varepsilon, \eta, \gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $w(A) \leq w(B)$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. Let C be the constant for w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A).

If $w(B) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$ then from Proposition 4.1 it follows that $\|1_{\varepsilon A}\|, \|1_{\eta B}\| \leq 4C$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + \|t1_{\varepsilon A}\| + \|t1_{\eta B}\| \\ &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + 8Ct \\ &= \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + 8C\|t1_{\eta B}\| \\ &\leq \|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + 16CK_b\|x + t1_{\eta B}\| \end{aligned}$$

where K_b is the basis constant of (e_n) and $b \in B$.

If $w(B) > \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$ then $\sum w_n = \infty$ implies that we can choose $E \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F = \{n \cup E\} > (A \cup B)$, $w(E) \leq w(B) \leq w(F)$ and $\text{supp}(x) \cap F = \emptyset$. Observe that $w(A) \leq w(F)$ thus from the w -left Property (A) and w -right Property (A) of the basis we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq C\|x + t1_{\gamma F}\| \\ &\leq C\{\|x + t1_{\gamma E}\| + t\|e_n\|\} \\ &\leq C\{C\|x + t1_{\eta B}\| + 2K_b\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|\} \\ &= (C^2 + 2CK_b)\|x + t1_{\eta B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4. WEIGHT-CONSERVATIVE AND WEIGHT-REVERSE CONSERVATIVE BASES

First, we will prove the following improvement of [2, Proposition 3.10]. The proof of [2, Proposition 3.10] itself suggests that we do not need the full power of w -superdemocracy of

the basis (see [2, Definition 3.6]). The canonical basis of the space c_0 , the space of all real sequences converging to 0, is (e_n) where e_n has only one non zero entry at the n -th place and this entry has value equal to 1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (e_n) be a basis of a Banach space X .*

- (a) *If (e_n) is w -conservative basis with constant C and $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $w(A) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$ then $\max_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}} \|1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 4C$.*
- (b) *If $\sup w_n = \infty$ and (e_n) is w -conservative basis then (e_n) is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 .*
- (c) *If $\sum w_n < \infty$ and (e_n) is w -reverse conservative basis then (e_n) is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 .*
- (d) *If $\inf w_n = 0$ and (e_n) w -reverse conservative basis then (e_n) contains a subsequence which is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 .*

Proof. (a) For any $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $w(A) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$, we can choose $n_1 > n_0 > A$ such that $w(A) < w_{n_0} + w_{n_1}$. For any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ we can break A into two parts A^+ and A^- where $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all $i \in A^+$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$ for all $i \in A^-$. Since $A^+, A^- < \{n_0, n_1\}$ and $w(A^+), w(A^-) \leq w_{n_0} + w_{n_1}$ we get $\|1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq \|1_{A^+}\| + \|1_{A^-}\| \leq 2C\|e_{n_0} + e_{n_1}\| \leq 4C$.

(b) For any given $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ we can find $n > A$ with $w(n) > w(A)$. Thus for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ we get $\|1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 2C\|e_n\| \leq 2C$.

(c) Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n+1}^{\infty} w_i < w_1$. If $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $A > n$ then $A > 1$ and $w(A) < w_1$. Now from the w -reverse conservative property we can write $\|1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 2C\|e_1\| \leq 2C$ for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$.

(d) Choose $(n_i)_i$ such that $\sum_i w_{n_i} < \infty$. From (c) it follows that (e_{n_i}) is equivalent to the canonical unit vector basis of c_0 . □

In [5] the authors proved that if $w \in c_0$ then any w -almost greedy basis is weakly null. We now prove the similar result for w -reverse conservative basis.

Corollary 4.2. *If $w \in c_0$ then any w -reverse conservative basis is weakly null.*

Proof. First observe that if $w \in c_0$ then any subsequence (w_{n_k}) contains a further sequence $(w_{n_{k_i}})$ such that $\sum_i w_{n_{k_i}} < \infty$. Also if (e_n) is w -reverse conservative basis then (e_{n_k}) is w' -reverse conservative where $w' = (w_{n_k})$.

Let $w \in c_0$ and (e_n) be a w -reverse conservative basis of X which is not weakly null. Then there exists a $f \in X^*$ such that $f(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$. We can find a subsequence (e_{n_k}) such that $|f(e_{n_k})| \rightarrow \delta > 0$. This implies that (e_{n_k}) doesn't contain any weakly null sequence and thus there is no further subsequence of (e_{n_k}) which is equivalent to the canonical unit vector basis of c_0 . Proposition 4.1(c) implies that this contradicts the w -reverse conservative property of (e_n) . □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $w \in c_0$ and (e_n) is both w -reverse conservative and conservative. Then (e_n) is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 .*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and C_1, C_2 be the constant for w -reverse conservative and conservative property respectively. Since $w \in c_0$, we can find a subsequence (e_{n_i}) such that $\sum_i e_{n_i} < \infty$. Choose $N > A$ such that $\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} w_{n_i} < w_1$. Now we can find a finite set $D \subset \{n_i : i \geq N+1\}$ with $|D| \geq |A|$ and $w(D) < w_1$.

From the conservative and w -reverse conservative property we have $\|1_A\| \leq C_2 \|1_D\| \leq C_1 C_2 \|e_1\| = C_1 C_2$.

Thus for any $A \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\|1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 2C_1 C_2$ and this concludes the proof. \square

Similar arguments as in section 3 yields the following results.

Theorem 4.4. *Let w be a weight sequence with $\sum w_n = \infty$ and $\sup w_n < \infty$. If a basis (e_n) is both w -conservative and w -reverse conservative then (e_n) is w -democratic.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let w be a weight sequence with $0 < \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n < \infty$. Then basis (e_n) of a Banach space X is w -conservative (w -reverse conservative) if and only if (e_n) is conservative (reverse conservative).*

Theorem 4.6. *Let w be a weight sequence with $0 < \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n < \infty$. If a basis (e_n) is both w -conservative and w -reverse conservative then (e_n) is w -democratic.*

Proof. Let (e_n) is both w -conservative, w -reverse conservative and $0 < \alpha = \inf w_n \leq \sup w_n = 1$. From Proposition 4.5 it follows that the basis is both conservative and reverse conservative. Now from [4, Lemma 2.8] it follows that the basis is democratic. Let C be the constant of democracy.

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ with $w(A) \leq w(B)$. Thus $|A| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|B|$ and we can write $A = \cup_1^N A_i$ where $|A_i| \leq |B|$ and $N \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Now from the democracy property of the basis we get

$$\|1_A\| \leq \sum_1^N \|1_{A_i}\| \leq CN \|1_B\| \leq C(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}) \|1_B\|$$

and this proves that the basis is w -democratic. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is thankful to Gideon Schechtman for numerous useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Characterization of 1-greedy basis*, J. Approx. Theory **138** (2006), no. 1, 65–86.
- [2] P.M. Berna, S.J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, T. Oikhberg and B. Wallis, *The weighted Property (A) and greedy algorithms*, arXiv:1803.05052.
- [3] S.J. Dilworth, N.J. Kalton, D. Kutzarova and V.N. Temlyakov, *The thresholding greedy algorithm, greedy basis, and duality*, Constr. Approx. **19** (2003), no. 4, 575–597.
- [4] S.J. Dilworth and Divya Khurana, *Characterizations of almost greedy and partially greedy bases*, arXiv:1805.06778.
- [5] S.J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, V.N. Temlyakov and B. Wallis, *Weighted almost greedy bases*, arXiv:1803.02932.

- [6] G. Kerkyacharian, D. Picard and V.N.Temlyakov, *Some inequities for the tensor product greedy bases and weight-greedy bases*, East. J. Approx. **12** (2006), 103–118.
- [7] S.V. Konyagin and V.N.Temlyakov, *A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*, East. J. Approx. **5** (1999), 365–379.
- [8] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems*, J. Approx. Theory **107** (2000), 293–314.

(Divya Khurana) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL, *E-mail* : divya.khurana@weizmann.ac.il, divyakhurana11@gmail.com