

A Note on Commuting Involution Graphs in Affine Coxeter Groups

By Sarah Hart and Amal Sbeiti Clarke

Birkbeck Mathematical Sciences Preprint Series

Preprint Number 43

www.bbk.ac.uk/ems/research/pure/preprints

A Note on Commuting Involution Graphs in Affine Coxeter Groups

Sarah Hart and Amal Sbeiti Clarke

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and X a set of involutions of G. The commuting involution graph $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is the graph whose vertex set is X, with vertices x, y joined by an edge whenever x and y commute. These graphs have been studied for a wide variety of groups, usually with X being either a conjugacy class or the set of all involutions. Perhaps the most well-known example of their use was in the work of Fischer on 3-transposition groups [5]. In a series of papers, Bates et al looked at connectedness and diameters of commuting involution graphs in the case where X is a conjugacy class of involutions and G is the symmetric group [1], a finite Coxeter group [2], a linear group [3], or a sporadic simple group [4]. In particular, for finite Coxeter groups they gave necessary and sufficient conditions under which the commuting involution graphs are connected, along with bounds for the diameters in the connected cases. For the symmetric group, where $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected the diameter is at most 4. For finite Coxeter groups this bound increases to 5. In [9], affine Coxeter groups of type \tilde{A}_n were considered. Here, the diameter of any connected commuting involution graph is at most 6.

More recently, Hart and Sbeiti Clarke considered the remaining classical Weyl groups (see [7], [6] and [11]). They showed that if G is a classical affine Weyl group, then if $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected, its diameter exceeds the rank of G by at most 1. The obvious next question is: what happens in the exceptional affine groups? The purpose of this short note is to establish some general results for commuting involution graphs in affine Coxeter groups, and to deal with types \tilde{F}_4 and \tilde{G}_2 . Types $\tilde{E}_6 \tilde{E}_7$ and \tilde{E}_8 are more substantial and these will be addressed in a forthcoming paper.

Section 2 contains preliminaries and general results. Section 3 deals with \tilde{F}_4 and \tilde{G}_2 .

2 Preliminaries and General Results

Let W be a finite Weyl group with root system Φ in a Euclidean vector space $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, and Φ^{\vee} the set of coroots $\alpha^{\vee} = \frac{2\alpha}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$, for $\alpha \in \Phi$. The affine Weyl group \tilde{W} is the semidirect

product of W with translation group Z of the coroot lattice $L(\Phi^{\vee})$ of W. We often express roots and coroots in terms of the standard basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, and we will, by a slight abuse of notation, write elements of Z simply as vectors – in other words we will identify Z with $L(\Phi^{\vee})$. For any $w \in \tilde{W}$, w is written in the form (a, \mathbf{u}) where $a \in W$ and $\mathbf{u} \in Z$. See, for example, [8, Chapter 4] for more detail.

For $a, b \in W$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in Z$ we have

$$(a, \mathbf{u})(b, \mathbf{v}) = (ab, \mathbf{u}^b + \mathbf{v}).$$

We have $(a, \mathbf{u})^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -\mathbf{u}^{a^{-1}})$. In \tilde{W} , the element (a, \mathbf{u}) is conjugate to (b, \mathbf{v}) via some (g, \mathbf{w}) if and only if

$$(b, \mathbf{v}) = (a, \mathbf{u})^{(g, \mathbf{w})} = (g^{-1}ag, \mathbf{u}^g + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^{g^{-1}ag}).$$

The reflections of \tilde{W} are the affine reflections $s_{\alpha,k}$ ($\alpha \in \Phi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Recall that, for **v** in V,

$$s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - 2\frac{\langle \alpha, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha = \mathbf{v} - \langle \alpha, \mathbf{v} \rangle \alpha^{\vee}$$
$$s_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - 2\frac{(\langle \alpha, \mathbf{v} \rangle - k)}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha = s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) + k\alpha^{\vee}.$$

If R is a set of simple reflections for W and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the highest root (that is, the root with the highest coefficient sum when expressed as a linear combination of simple roots), then it can be shown that $R \cup \{s_{\tilde{\alpha},1}\}$ is a set of simple reflections for \tilde{W} .

Finally, we write Diam $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ for the diameter of $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ when $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is a connected graph, in other words the maximum distance d(x, y) between any $x, y \in X$ in the graph.

We begin with an observation about connectedness. For an element $x = (a, \mathbf{u})$ in a conjugacy class X of \tilde{W} , we define $\hat{x} = a$. Then let \hat{X} be the conjugacy class of \hat{x} in W. Clearly if $x, y \in X$, then $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \hat{X}$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $x, y \in X$. If $d(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = k$, then $d(x, y) \ge k$. If $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$ is disconnected, then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected.

Proof. The result follows immediately from the observation that if x commutes with y in \tilde{W} , then \hat{x} commutes with \hat{y} in W.

Definition 2.2. Let W be an arbitrary Coxeter group, with R the set of simple reflections. Two subsets I and J of R are W-equivalent if there exists $w \in W$ such that $I^w = J$.

In the next result, we use the notation w_I for the longest element of a finite standard parabolic subgroup W_I .

Theorem 2.3 (Richardson [10]). Let W be an arbitrary Coxeter group, with R the set of simple reflections. Let $g \in W$ be an involution. Then there exists $I \subseteq R$ such that w_I is central in W_I , and g is conjugate to w_I . In addition, for $I, J \subseteq R, w_I$ is conjugate to w_J if and only if I and J are W-equivalent.

For the rest of this paper, W will always denote a finite Weyl group with root system Φ , with \tilde{W} its corresponding affine Weyl group. We will use the convention that a Weyl group of type Γ will be denoted $W(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the associated Coxeter graph. The next two lemmas give conditions under which reflections w and w' of \tilde{W} commute.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$. Then s_{α} commutes with s_{β} if and only if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ or $\alpha = \pm \beta$.

Proof Consider $\mathbf{v} \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$. A quick calculation shows that

$$s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \frac{2\langle \mathbf{v}, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha - \frac{2\langle \mathbf{v}, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \beta + \frac{4\langle \mathbf{v}, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \beta;$$

$$s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \frac{2\langle \mathbf{v}, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha - \frac{2\langle \mathbf{v}, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \beta + \frac{4\langle \mathbf{v}, \beta \rangle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \alpha.$$

Hence $s_{\alpha}s_{\beta} = s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}$ if and only if either $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ or $\langle \mathbf{v}, \beta \rangle \alpha = \langle \mathbf{v}, \alpha \rangle \beta$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$. One of the properties of root systems is that for any root γ we have $\langle \gamma \rangle \cap \Phi = \{\pm \gamma\}$. Therefore $s_{\alpha}s_{\beta} = s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ or $\alpha = \pm \beta$.

Lemma 2.5. For all positive roots α and β , and all integers k and l, the affine reflections $s_{\alpha,k}$ and $s_{\beta,l}$ commute if and only if either $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$, or $\alpha = \beta$ and k = l.

Proof. Let α and β be positive roots, with k and l integers. Then

$$s_{\alpha,k}s_{\beta,l}(\mathbf{v}) = s_{\alpha,k}(s_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) + l\beta^{\vee}) = s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) + s_{\alpha}(l\beta^{\vee}) + k\alpha^{\vee}$$
$$= s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) + k\alpha^{\vee} + l\beta^{\vee} - l\langle\alpha,\beta^{\vee}\rangle\alpha^{\vee};$$
$$s_{\beta,l}s_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{v}) = s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) + k\alpha^{\vee} + l\beta^{\vee} - k\langle\alpha^{\vee},\beta\rangle\beta^{\vee}.$$

Consequently $s_{\alpha,k}s_{\beta,l} = s_{\beta,l}s_{\alpha,k}$ precisely when, for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$, we have

$$s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) - l\langle \alpha, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \alpha^{\vee} = s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) - k\langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle \beta^{\vee}.$$

In particular, setting $\mathbf{v} = 0$ we get $l\langle \alpha, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \alpha^{\vee} = k \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle \beta^{\vee}$, which implies that either $\alpha = \beta$ or $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$. If $\alpha = \beta$, we get $s_{\alpha,k}s_{\alpha,l}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + (l-k)\alpha^{\vee}$, whereas $s_{\alpha,l}s_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + (k-l)\alpha^{\vee}$. Therefore, $s_{\alpha,k}$ commutes with $s_{\alpha,l}$ if and only if k = l. On the other hand, if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ and so $s_{\alpha}s_{\beta} = s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}$. Thus $s_{\alpha,k}s_{\beta,l} = s_{\beta,l}s_{\alpha,k}$.

There is one case we can deal with that occurs in several groups.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose W is a finite Weyl group that has a central involution \tilde{w} . Now suppose x is an involution in the corresponding affine Weyl group \tilde{W} such that $x = (\tilde{w}, \mathbf{u})$ for some $\mathbf{u} \in Z$, and write $X = x^{\tilde{W}}$, the conjugacy class in \tilde{W} of x. Then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected.

Proof. Since \tilde{w} is central in W, every conjugate of x in \tilde{W} has the form $y = (\tilde{w}, \mathbf{v})$ for some \mathbf{u} in Z. Moreover \tilde{w} acts as -1 on the root system, and hence on Z. Now

$$xy = (\tilde{w}, \mathbf{u})(\tilde{w}, \mathbf{v}) = (\tilde{w}^2, \mathbf{u}^{\tilde{w}} + \mathbf{v}) = (1, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}).$$

Thus xy is translation through $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$. Now x commutes with y precisely when $(xy)^2 = 1$, and hence x commutes with y if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$, meaning x does not commute with any other member of its conjugacy class. Therefore $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is completely disconnected. \Box

Next we have a result which proves connectedness in certain circumstances. We write $x \leftrightarrow y$ to mean xy = yx.

Proposition 2.7. Let X be a conjugacy class of involutions in \tilde{W} that contains $(a, \mathbf{0})$ for some involution a of W. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$ is connected with diameter d, and further that there is an integer k such that whenever $(a, \mathbf{u}) \in X$, there is some $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$ such that $d((a, \mathbf{u}), (\hat{x}, \mathbf{0})) \leq k$ in $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most d+k.

Proof. Let $(b, \mathbf{v}) \in X$. Then b is conjugate to a in W. That is, there is some $g \in W$ with $b = a^g$, meaning that $(b, \mathbf{v})^{g^{-1}}$ is of the form (a, \mathbf{u}) for an appropriate \mathbf{u} . By hypothesis then, there is a path $(a, \mathbf{u}) = x_0 \leftrightarrow x_1 \cdots \leftrightarrow x_m = (\hat{x}, \mathbf{0})$ of elements x_i of X, with $m \leq k$. But this implies that there is a path $x_0^g \leftrightarrow x_1^g \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow x_m^g$ in the commuting involution graph. Writing $y = \hat{x}^g$, and noting that $x_0^g = (b, \mathbf{v})$, we obtain $d((b, \mathbf{v}), (y, \mathbf{0})) \leq k$. Now $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$ is connected with diameter d. Thus $d(y, a) \leq d$ in $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$. Hence $d((y, \mathbf{0}), (a, \mathbf{0})) \leq d$ in $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$. Therefore $d((b, \mathbf{v}), (a, \mathbf{0})) \leq d + k$ and since this holds for all (b, \mathbf{v}) in X, we deduce that $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most d + k.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose $a \in W_I$ for some $I \subseteq R$. If (a, \mathbf{u}) is an involution, with $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{r \in R} u_r \alpha_r$, then a is an involution and $u_r = 0$ whenever $r \notin I$. Moreover, let J be the set of reflections s of R that commute with all r in I. If $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{s \in J} v_s \alpha_s$, then $\mathbf{v}^b = \mathbf{v}$ for all $b \in W_I$.

Proof. We have $(a, \mathbf{u})(a, \mathbf{u}) = (a^2, \mathbf{u}^a + \mathbf{u})$. If (a, \mathbf{u}) is an involution, then a is an involution and $\mathbf{u}^a + \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$. Now a is a product of elements of I. We have $\mathbf{v}^r = \mathbf{v} - \langle \mathbf{v}, \alpha_r \rangle \alpha_r^{\vee}$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in Z$ and $r \in R$. Hence, inductively, $\mathbf{u}^a = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \langle \alpha_r : r \in I \rangle$. So $\mathbf{u}^a + \mathbf{u} = 2\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}$. For this to equal zero, clearly $\mathbf{u} \in \langle \alpha_r : r \in I \rangle$. That is, $u_r = 0$ whenever $r \notin I$. For the second part, observe that for any $r \in I$ and $s \in J$ we have $\langle \alpha_r, \alpha_s \rangle = 0$. Therefore $\mathbf{v}^r = \mathbf{v}$ for all $r \in I$. Hence $\mathbf{v}^b = \mathbf{u}$ for all $b \in W_I$.

Lemma 2.9. Let (a, \mathbf{u}) be an involution in \tilde{W} , with $X = (a, \mathbf{u})^{\tilde{W}}$. Suppose b commutes with a in W, where $b \in a^W$. Then there is $(b, \mathbf{v}) \in X$ such that $(a, \mathbf{u}) \leftrightarrow (b, \mathbf{v})$.

Proof. Since b is conjugate in W to a, there is $g \in W$ with $b = g^{-1}ag$. Let $\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^g)$. Then

$$(a, \mathbf{u})^{(g, \mathbf{w})} = (g^{-1}ag, \mathbf{u}^g + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^{g^{-1}ag}) = (b, \mathbf{u}^g + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^b).$$

Set $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^g + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^b$. We claim that $(a, \mathbf{u}) \leftrightarrow (b, \mathbf{v})$. Certainly $(b, \mathbf{v}) \in X$. Note that since (a, \mathbf{u}) is an involution, $\mathbf{u}^a = -\mathbf{u}$; also since $g^{-1}ag = b$ we have gb = ag and so $\mathbf{u}^{gb} = \mathbf{u}^{ag} = -\mathbf{u}^g$. Thus

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^g + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^b = \mathbf{u}^g + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^g) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}^b - \mathbf{u}^{g^b})$$

= $\mathbf{u}^g + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^g - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^b - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^g$
= $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^b).$

Now

$$(a, \mathbf{u})(b, \mathbf{v}) = (ab, \mathbf{u}^b + \mathbf{v}) = (ab, \mathbf{u}^b + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^b)) = (ab, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^b))$$

$$(b, \mathbf{v})(a, \mathbf{u}) = (ba, \mathbf{v}^a + \mathbf{u}) = (ab, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^a - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^{ba} + \mathbf{u}) = (ab, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{ab})) = (ab, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^b)).$$

Thus $(a, \mathbf{u}) \leftrightarrow (b, \mathbf{v})$, as required.

Proposition 2.10. Let X be a conjugacy class of involutions in \tilde{W} containing (a, \mathbf{u}) . Suppose there is an integer k such that whenever $(a, \mathbf{u}') \in X$, we have $d((a, \mathbf{u}'), (a, \mathbf{u})) \leq k$, and also that $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$ is connected with diameter d. Then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most d + k.

Proof. Let $(b, \mathbf{v}) \in X$. By hypothesis $\mathcal{C}(W, \hat{X})$ is connected with diameter d, meaning $d(a, b) \leq d$. Hence, by Lemma 2.9, there is a corresponding path $(b, \mathbf{v}) \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow (a, \mathbf{u}')$, for appropriate \mathbf{u}' , in $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ of length at most d. By hypothesis $d((a, \mathbf{u}'), (a, \mathbf{u})) \leq k$. Therefore, $d((b, \mathbf{v}), (a, \mathbf{u})) \leq d + k$.

3 Types \tilde{F}_4 and \tilde{G}_2

Let W be of type F_4 , with associated root system Φ in \mathbb{R}^4 and simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$. The root system Φ consists of the 24 long roots $\pm e_i \pm e_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le 4)$ and 24 short roots: eight of the form $\pm e_i$ and sixteen of the form $\frac{1}{2}(\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4)$. We may set $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4), \alpha_2 = e_4, \alpha_3 = e_3 - e_4$ and $\alpha_4 = e_2 - e_3$. The highest root $\tilde{\alpha}$ is then $e_1 + e_2$. Then $W = \langle s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_3}, s_{\alpha_4} \rangle$ and for the simple reflections of \tilde{W} we can take $r_i = (s_{\alpha_i}, 0)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $r_5 = (s_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \tilde{\alpha}^{\vee})$. The Coxeter graph for \tilde{W} is as shown in Figure 1. (The subgroup $\langle r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \rangle$ is of course isomorphic to W and we may for convenience identify it with W on occasion.)

•				
r_1	r_2	r_3	r_4	r_5

Figure 1: Coxeter graph for type \tilde{F}_4

Information about the commuting involution graphs of W was obtained in [2]. There are seven conjugacy classes of involutions in W. Let $a = (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3})^2$. Then $\mathcal{C}(W, X)$ is connected with diameter 2. Apart from this, and the graph consisting of just the central

Graph	Representative I	Underlying class in W
A_1	$\{r_1\}$	A_1
A_1	$\{r_3\}$	A_1
A_{1}^{2}	$\{r_1, r_3\}$	A_{1}^{2}
A_1^2	$\{r_3, r_5\}$	B_2
B_2	$\{r_2, r_3\}$	B_2
B_3	$\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$	B_3
B_3	$\{r_2, r_3, r_4\}$	B_3
A_{1}^{3}	$\{r_1, r_3, r_5\}$	B_3
$B_2 \times A_1$	$\{r_2, r_3, r_5\}$	B_3
F_4	$\{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$	F_4
B_4	$\{r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5\}$	F_4
$B_3 \times A_1$	$\{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_5\}$	F_4

Table 1: Conjugacy classes in F_4

involution, all the other commuting involution graphs are disconnected. See [2] for more details.

Now let us consider the affine group \hat{W} . By Theorem 2.3, every involution conjugacy class corresponds to a standard parabolic subgroup W_I with a central involution w_I . We can identify the possibilities by finding subgraphs of the Coxeter graph for F_4 which correspond to Coxeter groups having nontrivial centres. Table 1 shows, for each subgraph giving rise to a distinct involution conjugacy class X, a representative $I \subseteq \{r_1, \ldots, r_5\}$ for which $w_I \in X$, along with the name, in the third column, of the Coxeter graph for the underlying class X in W. To determine the Coxeter graph corresponding to X, note that if $I \subseteq W$, then \hat{X} has the same graph as X. If $x = (a, \mathbf{u})$ is a product of k reflections in \tilde{W} , then a must be a product of k reflections in W, so, for example, the conjugacy class of \hat{W} corresponding to the B_4 graph must have the class corresponding to F_4 as its underlying class in W. The only instance where this does not immediately tell us the type of the underlying class is the case of A_1^2 when $I = \{r_3, r_5\}$. Here, the underlying class might be type B_2 or type A_1^2 . Note that $r_3 = s_{e_3-e_4}$ and $r_5 = s_{e_1+e_2,1}$. So the underlying class is the conjugacy class of $s_{e_3-e_4}s_{e_1+e_2}$ in W. One can check that $r_1r_4r_3r_2r_3r_4(e_1+e_2) = e_3+e_4$ and $r_1r_4r_3r_2r_3r_4(e_3-e_4) = e_3 - e_4$. Hence $(s_{e_3-e_4}s_{e_1+e_2})^{r_4r_3r_2r_3r_4r_1} = s_{e_3-e_4}s_{e_3+e_4} = s_{e_3}s_{e_4} = s_{e_3}s_{e_4}$ $r_3r_2r_3r_2 = (r_3r_2)^2$. Consequently the underlying conjugacy class in this case is of type B_2 .

Theorem 3.1. Let \tilde{W} be of type \tilde{F}_4 , with graph shown in Figure 1. If X is the conjugacy class of $(r_2r_3)^2$ or r_3r_5 in \tilde{F}_4 , then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most 4. Otherwise, $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected.

Proof. Let X be a conjugacy class in \tilde{W} . If the underlying class in W is anything other than type B_2 or F_4 , then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected, by Lemma 2.1. If the underlying class is

type F_4 then $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected by Lemma 2.6. So we are reduced to the case where the underlying class in W is type B_2 . There are two classes in \tilde{W} where this happens, one containing $(r_2r_3)^2 = ((s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3})^2, \mathbf{0})$, and one containing $r_3r_5 = ((s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3})^2, \tilde{\alpha}^{\vee})$. Let X be the conjugacy class of $(r_2r_3)^2$ in \tilde{W} ; its underlying class \hat{X} in W is of type

Let X be the conjugacy class of $(r_2r_3)^2$ in W; its underlying class X in W is of type B_2 . Note that \hat{X} contains $((s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3})^2 = s_{e_3}s_{e_4}$ and thus also $s_{e_1}s_{e_2}$ (for example via the conjugating element $s_{e_1-e_3}s_{e_2-e_4}$). In Proposition 2.7, set $a = s_{e_1}s_{e_2}$ and $\hat{x} = s_{e_3}s_{e_4}$. Suppose $(a, \mathbf{u}) \in X$. Now $(a, \mathbf{u})(\hat{x}, \mathbf{0}) = (s_{e_1}s_{e_2}s_{e_3}s_{e_4}, \mathbf{v})$ for the appropriate \mathbf{v} . This is clearly an involution because $s_{e_1}s_{e_2}s_{e_3}s_{e_4}$ acts as -1 on Z. Thus $(\hat{x}, \mathbf{0})$ commutes with (a b u). Therefore we can apply Proposition 2.7 with k = 1 and d = 2, to see that $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most 3.

Now let X be the conjugacy class of r_3r_5 in W; again its underlying class in W is of type B_2 . Let $(a, \mathbf{u}), (a, \mathbf{u}') \in X$, where again $a = s_{e_3}s_{e_4}$. By Lemma 2.9 there is some $\mathbf{v} \in Z$ for which $(a, \mathbf{u}) \leftrightarrow (s_{e_1}s_{e_2}, \mathbf{v})$. But now $(a, \mathbf{u}')(s_{e_1}s_{e_2}, \mathbf{v}) = (s_{e_1}s_{e_2}s_{e_3}s_{e_4}, \mathbf{v}')$ for some \mathbf{v}' , which is an involution. Thus in Proposition 2.10 we have k = 2 and d = 2, meaning that $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{W}, X)$ is connected with diameter at most 4.

The Coxeter graph of type G_2 is as follows.



The subgraphs corresponding to parabolic subgroups W_I for which w_I is central are of types A_1 , $A_1 \times A_1$ or G_2 . It turns out that in all these cases, the commuting involution graphs are disconnected.

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a conjugacy class in the affine Coxeter group \hat{W} of type \hat{G}_2 . Then $C(\tilde{W}, X)$ is disconnected.

Proof. When \tilde{W} is of type \tilde{G}_2 , the underlying Weyl group W is dihedral of order 12. It has three conjugacy classes of involutions: two classes of reflections each with three elements, and one class consisting of the unique central involution \tilde{w} . The commuting involution graphs for the classes of reflections in W are completely disconnected. Now let $x \in X$. If \hat{x} is a reflection, then $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is disconnected by Lemma 2.1. Otherwise, $\hat{x} = \tilde{w}$, and so the disconnectedness of $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ follows from Proposition 2.6.

References

- [1] C. Bates, D. Bundy, P. Rowley and S. Perkins. *Commuting involution graphs for* symmetric groups, J. Algebra, 266(1) (2003), 133–153.
- [2] C. Bates, D. Bundy, P. Rowley and S. Perkins. *Commuting Involution Graphs for Finite Coxeter Groups*, J. Group Theory 6 (2003), 461–476.
- [3] C. Bates, D. Bundy, P. Rowley and S. Perkins. *Commuting involution graphs in special linear groups*. Comm. in Algebra, 32(11) (2004), 4179-4196.

- [4] C. Bates, D. Bundy, P. Rowley and S. Hart. Commuting involution graphs for sporadic simple groups. J. Algebra, 316(2) (2007), 849-868.
- [5] B. Fischer. Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions, I. Invent. Math. 13 (1971), 232-246.
- [6] S. Hart and A. Sbeiti Clarke. Commuting Involution Graphs for \tilde{C}_n , Comm. in Algebra 46 (9) (2018), 3965–3985.
- [7] S. Hart and A. Sbeiti Clarke. Commuting Involution Graphs in Classical Affine Groups, Birkbeck Mathematical Sciences Preprint Series No. 42 (2018). http://www.bbk.ac.uk/ems/research/pure/preprints
- [8] J.E. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29 (1990).
- [9] S. Perkins. Commuting Involution Graphs in the affine Weyl group A, Arch. Math. 86 (2006), no. 1,16-25.
- [10] R. W. Richardson. Conjugacy Classes of Involutions in Coxeter Groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 26 (1982), 1–15.
- [11] A. Sbeiti Clarke. Affine Coxeter Groups, Conjugacy Classes and Commuting Involution Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, Birkbeck (University of London), 2018.