

Positive solutions of semipositone elliptic problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities*

Kanishka Perera

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
kperera@fit.edu

Inbo Sim

Department of Mathematics
University of Ulsan
Ulsan 44610, Republic of Korea
ibsim@ulsan.ac.kr

Abstract

We prove the existence of a positive solution to a semipositone N -Laplacian problem with a critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearity. The proof is based on obtaining uniform $C^{1,\alpha}$ a priori estimates via a compactness argument. Our result is new even in the semilinear case $N = 2$, and our arguments can easily be adapted to obtain positive solutions of more general semipositone problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities.

**MSC2010*: Primary 35J92, Secondary 35B33, 35B09, 35B45

Key Words and Phrases: semipositone N -Laplacian problems, critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities, positive solutions, uniform $C^{1,\alpha}$ a priori estimates

1 Introduction

Elliptic problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities have been widely investigated in the literature. We refer the reader to the survey paper of de Figueiredo et al. [4] for an overview of recent results on Trudinger-Moser type inequalities and related critical problems. A model critical problem of this type is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda |u|^{N-2} u e^{\beta |u|^{N'}} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, $\Delta_N u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u)$ is the N -Laplacian of u , $N' = N/(N-1)$, and $\lambda, \beta > 0$. This problem is a natural analog of the Brézis-Nirenberg problem for the p -Laplacian in the borderline case $p = N$, where the critical growth is of exponential type and is governed by the Trudinger-Moser inequality

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \|u\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_N |u|^{N'}} dx < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx \right)^{1/N},$$

$\alpha_N = N \omega_{N-1}^{1/(N-1)}$, and ω_{N-1} is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N (see Trudinger [11] and Moser [10]). A result of Adimurthi [1] gives a positive solution of this problem for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta_N$ in Ω (see also do Ó [9]). Theorem 1.4 in de Figueiredo et al. [2, 3] gives a nontrivial solution for $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ in the semilinear case $N = 2$. More recently, Yang and Perera [13] obtained a nontrivial solution in the general quasilinear case $N \geq 3$ when $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is not an eigenvalue.

In the present paper we study the related semipositone problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda u^{N-1} e^{\beta u^{N'}} - \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mu > 0$. Since $-\mu < 0$, $u = 0$ is not a subsolution of this problem, which makes finding a positive solution rather difficult (see Lions [7]). This compounds the usual difficulties arising from the lack of compactness associated with critical growth problems. Our main result here is that this problem has a weak positive solution for all sufficiently small μ when $\lambda < \lambda_1$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, then there exists $\mu^* > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$, problem (1.2) has a weak solution $u_\mu \in C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

This result seems to be new even in the semilinear case $N = 2$. The outline of the proof is as follows. We consider the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda f(u^+) - \mu g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $f(t) = t^{N-1}e^{\beta t^{N'}}$ for $t \geq 0$, $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$, and

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq -1 \\ 1+t, & -1 < t < 0 \\ 1, & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Weak solutions of this problem coincide with critical points of the C^1 -functional

$$E_\mu(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{|\nabla u|^N}{N} - \lambda F(u^+) + \mu G(u) \right] dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega),$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The functional E_μ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \neq 0$ satisfying

$$c < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} - \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega|,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N , and it follows from the mountain pass theorem that E_μ has a uniformly positive critical level below this threshold for compactness for all sufficiently small $\mu > 0$ (see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2). This part of

the proof is more or less standard. The novelty of the paper lies in the fact that the solution u_μ of the modified problem (1.3) thus obtained is positive, and hence solves our original problem (1.2), if μ is further restricted. Note that this does not follow from standard arguments based on the maximum principle since the perturbation term $-\mu < 0$. This is precisely the main difficulty in finding positive solutions of semipositone problems as was pointed out in Lions [7].

We will prove that for every sequence $\mu_j > 0$, $\mu_j \rightarrow 0$, a subsequence of $u_j = u_{\mu_j}$ is positive in Ω . The idea of the proof is to show that a subsequence of u_j converges in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to a solution of the limit problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda f(u^+) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

This requires a uniform $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ estimate of u_j for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. It is well-known that each u_j belongs to $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. However, proving that the sequence (u_j) remains bounded in $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a nontrivial task in the critical case. We will obtain the required estimate by proving the following compactness result, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. *If $\mu_j > 0$, $\mu_j \rightarrow \mu \geq 0$, $(u_j) \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, and*

$$E_{\mu_j}(u_j) \rightarrow c, \quad E'_{\mu_j}(u_j) \rightarrow 0$$

for some $c \neq 0$ satisfying

$$c < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} - \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega|, \tag{1.4}$$

then a subsequence of (u_j) converges to a critical point of E_μ at the level c .

This theorem implies that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} e^{b|u_j|^{N'}} dx < \infty$$

for all b (see Lemma 3.4). This together with the Hölder inequality implies that $f(u_j^+)$ is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$ for all $s > 1$, so (u_j) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ by Guedda and Véron [5, Proposition 1.3]. The global regularity result in Lieberman [6] then gives the desired $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ estimate.

Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 2 and Theorem 1.1 in Section 3. In closing the introduction we remark that we have confined ourselves to the model problem (1.2) only for the sake of simplicity. The arguments given in this paper can easily be adapted to obtain positive solutions of more general semipositone problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. First we collect some elementary estimates for easy reference.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $t \geq 0$,*

$$(i) \quad F(t) \leq \frac{N-1}{\beta N} \frac{tf(t)}{t^{N/(N-1)}},$$

$$(ii) \quad F(t) \leq F(1) + \frac{N-1}{N(N+\beta-1)} tf(t),$$

$$(iii) \quad F(t) \leq \frac{1}{N} tf(t),$$

$$(iv) \quad F(t) \leq \frac{1}{N} t^N + \frac{\beta}{N} t^{N^2/(N-1)} e^{\beta t^{N'}},$$

$$(v) \quad F(t) \geq \frac{1}{N} t^N + \frac{\beta(N-1)}{N^2} t^{N^2/(N-1)}.$$

Proof. (i). Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq \frac{N-1}{\beta N} t^{N-N/(N-1)} e^{\beta t^{N'}} - \frac{N-2}{\beta} \int_0^t s^{N-N/(N-1)-1} e^{\beta s^{N'}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{N-1}{\beta N} \frac{t^N e^{\beta t^{N'}}}{t^{N/(N-1)}} \\ &= \frac{N-1}{\beta N} \frac{tf(t)}{t^{N/(N-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). For $t \leq 1$, $F(t) \leq F(1)$. For $t > 1$, $F(t) = F(1) + \int_1^t f(s) ds$. Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^t f(s) ds &\leq \frac{1}{N} t^N e^{\beta t^{N'}} - \frac{\beta}{N-1} \int_1^t s^{N-1+N/(N-1)} e^{\beta s^{N'}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} tf(t) - \frac{\beta}{N-1} \int_1^t f(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\int_1^t f(s) ds \leq \frac{N-1}{N(N+\beta-1)} tf(t)$.

(iii). Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \frac{1}{N} t^N e^{\beta t^{N'}} - \frac{\beta}{N-1} \int_0^t s^{N+N/(N-1)-1} e^{\beta s^{N'}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} t f(t). \end{aligned}$$

(iv). Since $e^t \leq 1 + te^t$ for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left(1 + \beta s^{N/(N-1)} e^{\beta s^{N'}}\right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} t^N \left(1 + \beta t^{N/(N-1)} e^{\beta t^{N'}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(v). Since $e^t \geq 1 + t$ for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\geq \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left(1 + \beta s^{N/(N-1)}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{N} t^N + \frac{\beta(N-1)}{N^2} t^{N^2/(N-1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If (u_j) is a sequence in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ converging a.e. to $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(u^+) dx.$$

Proof. For $M > 0$, write

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx = \int_{\{u_j^+ < M\}} F(u_j^+) dx + \int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} F(u_j^+) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i) and (2.1),

$$\int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} F(u_j^+) dx \leq \frac{N-1}{\beta N M^{N/(N-1)}} \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx = O\left(\frac{1}{M^{N/(N-1)}}\right) \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx = \int_{\{u_j^+ < M\}} F(u_j^+) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{M^{N/(N-1)}}\right),$$

and the conclusion follows by first letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and then letting $M \rightarrow \infty$. \square

We will also need the following result of Lions [8] (see *Remark I.18 (i)*).

Lemma 2.3. *If (u_j) is a sequence in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ with $\|u_j\| = 1$ for all j and converging a.e. to a nonzero function $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} e^{b|u_j|^{N'}} dx < \infty$$

for all $b < \alpha_N/(1 - \|u\|^N)^{1/(N-1)}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have

$$E_{\mu_j}(u_j) = \frac{1}{N} \|u_j\|^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx + \mu_j \int_{\Omega} G(u_j) dx = c + o(1) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$E'_{\mu_j}(u_j) u_j = \|u_j\|^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx + \mu_j \int_{\Omega} u_j g(u_j) dx = o(\|u_j\|). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx \leq F(1) |\Omega| + \frac{N-1}{N(N+\beta-1)} \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx$$

by Lemma 2.1 (ii), (μ_j) is bounded, and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u_j g(u_j) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_j| dx, \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} G(u_j) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_j| dx, \quad (2.4)$$

it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that (u_j) is bounded in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Hence a renamed subsequence converges to some u weakly in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$, and a.e. in Ω . Moreover,

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx < \infty \quad (2.5)$$

by (2.3) and (2.4), and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(u^+) dx \quad (2.6)$$

by Lemma 2.2. Clearly,

$$\mu_j \int_{\Omega} u_j g(u_j) dx \rightarrow \mu \int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx, \quad \mu_j \int_{\Omega} G(u_j) dx \rightarrow \mu \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx. \quad (2.7)$$

We claim that the weak limit u is nonzero. Suppose $u = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u_j^+) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \mu_j \int_{\Omega} u_j g(u_j) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \mu_j \int_{\Omega} G(u_j) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.8)$$

by (2.6) and (2.7), and hence $c > 0$ and

$$\|u_j\| \rightarrow (Nc)^{1/N}$$

by (2.2). Let $(Nc)^{1/(N-1)} < \gamma < \alpha_N/\beta$. Then $\|u_j\| \leq \gamma^{(N-1)/N}$ for all $j \geq j_0$ for some j_0 . Let $q = \alpha_N/\beta\gamma > 1$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{Np} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{q\beta|u_j|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/q},$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. The first integral on the right-hand side converges to zero since $u = 0$, while the second integral is bounded for $j \geq j_0$ since $q\beta|u_j|^{N'} = \alpha_N|\tilde{u}_j|^{N'}$ with $\tilde{u}_j = u_j/\gamma^{(N-1)/N}$ satisfying $\|\tilde{u}_j\| \leq 1$, so

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $u_j \rightarrow 0$ by (2.3) and (2.8), and hence $c = 0$ by (2.2) and (2.8), a contradiction. So u is nonzero.

Since $E'_{\mu_j}(u_j) \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{N-2} \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_j^+) v dx + \mu_j \int_{\Omega} g(u_j) v dx \rightarrow 0$$

for all $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. For $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 2.2 using the estimate

$$\left| \int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} f(u_j^+) v dx \right| \leq \frac{\sup |v|}{M} \int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx$$

and (2.5) shows that $\int_{\Omega} f(u_j^+) v dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(u^+) v dx$, and $\mu_j \int_{\Omega} g(u_j) v dx \rightarrow \mu \int_{\Omega} g(u) v dx$ since g is bounded, so

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u^+) v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} g(u) v dx.$$

Then this holds for all $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ by density, and taking $v = u$ gives

$$\|u\|^N = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^+ f(u^+) dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Next we claim that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u^+ f(u^+) dx. \quad (2.10)$$

We have

$$u_j^+ f(u_j^+) \leq |u_j|^N e^{\beta |u_j|^{N'}} = |u_j|^N e^{\beta \|u_j\|^{N'} |\tilde{u}_j|^{N'}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\tilde{u}_j = u_j / \|u_j\|$. Setting $\kappa = \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u^+) dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx$,

$$\|u_j\|^N \rightarrow N(c + \kappa)$$

by (2.2), (2.6), and (2.7), so \tilde{u}_j converges a.e. to $\tilde{u} = u / [N(c + \kappa)]^{1/N}$. Then

$$\|u_j\|^N (1 - \|\tilde{u}\|^N) \rightarrow N(c + \kappa) - \|u\|^N. \quad (2.12)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (iii),

$$\int_{\Omega} u^+ f(u^+) dx \geq N \int_{\Omega} F(u^+) dx,$$

and it is easily seen that $tg(t) \leq N(G(t) + 1/2)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx \leq N \left(\int_{\Omega} G(u) dx + \frac{1}{2} |\Omega| \right),$$

so it follows from (2.9) that $\|u\|^N \geq N(\kappa - (\mu/2) |\Omega|)$. Hence

$$N(c + \kappa) - \|u\|^N \leq N \left(c + \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega| \right) < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} \quad (2.13)$$

by (1.4). We are done if $\|\tilde{u}\| = 1$, so suppose $\|\tilde{u}\| \neq 1$ and let

$$\frac{[N(c + (\mu/2)|\Omega|)]^{1/(N-1)}}{(1 - \|\tilde{u}\|^N)^{1/(N-1)}} < \tilde{\gamma} - 2\varepsilon < \tilde{\gamma} < \frac{\alpha_N/\beta}{(1 - \|\tilde{u}\|^N)^{1/(N-1)}}.$$

Then $\|u_j\|^{N/(N-1)} \leq \tilde{\gamma} - 2\varepsilon$ for all $j \geq j_0$ for some j_0 by (2.12) and (2.13), and

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta\tilde{\gamma}|u_j|^{N'}} dx < \infty \tag{2.14}$$

by Lemma 2.3. For $M > 0$ and $j \geq j_0$, (2.11) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} u_j^+ f(u_j^+) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} u_j^N e^{\beta(\tilde{\gamma}-2\varepsilon)\tilde{u}_j^{N'}} dx \\ & = \|u_j\|^N \int_{\{u_j^+ \geq M\}} \tilde{u}_j^N e^{-\varepsilon\beta\tilde{u}_j^{N'}} e^{-\varepsilon\beta(u_j/\|u_j\|)^{N'}} e^{\beta\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{u}_j^{N'}} dx \\ & \leq \left(\max_{t>0} t^N e^{-\varepsilon\beta t^{N'}} \right) \|u_j\|^N e^{-\varepsilon\beta(M/\|u_j\|)^{N'}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{u}_j^{N'}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression goes to zero as $M \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in j since $\|u_j\|$ is bounded and (2.14) holds, so (2.10) now follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Now it follows from (2.3), (2.10), (2.7), and (2.9) that

$$\|u_j\|^N \rightarrow \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^+ f(u^+) dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx = \|u\|^N,$$

and hence $\|u_j\| \rightarrow \|u\|$. So $u_j \rightarrow u$ by the uniform convexity of $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Clearly, $E_{\mu}(u) = c$ and $E'_{\mu}(u) = 0$. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that E_{μ} satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or the $(PS)_c$ condition for short, if every sequence (u_j) in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ such that $E_{\mu}(u_j) \rightarrow c$ and $E'_{\mu}(u_j) \rightarrow 0$, called a $(PS)_c$ sequence, has a convergent subsequence. The following lemma is immediate from the general compactness result in Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. E_μ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \neq 0$ satisfying

$$c < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} - \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega|.$$

First we show that E_μ has a uniformly positive mountain pass level below the threshold for compactness given in Lemma 3.1 for all sufficiently small $\mu > 0$. We may assume that $0 \in \Omega$ without loss of generality. Take $r > 0$ so small that $\overline{B_r(0)} \subset \Omega$ and let

$$v_j(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}^{1/N}} \begin{cases} (\log j)^{(N-1)/N}, & |x| \leq r/j \\ \frac{\log(r/|x|)}{(\log j)^{1/N}}, & r/j < |x| < r \\ 0, & |x| \geq r. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $v_j \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ with $\|v_j\| = 1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx = O(1/\log j) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exist $\mu_0, \rho, c_0 > 0$, $j_0 \geq 2$, $R > \rho$, and $\vartheta < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1}$ such that the following hold for all $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$:*

- (i) $\|u\| = \rho \implies E_\mu(u) \geq c_0$,
- (ii) $E_\mu(Rv_{j_0}) \leq 0$,
- (iii) denoting by $\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = Rv_{j_0} \right\}$ the class of paths joining the origin to Rv_{j_0} ,

$$c_0 \leq c_\mu := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma([0,1])} E_\mu(u) \leq \vartheta + C_\lambda \mu^{N'}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $C_\lambda = (1 - 1/N) |\Omega| / \lambda^{1/(N-1)}$,

- (iv) E_μ has a critical point u_μ at the level c_μ .

Proof. Set $\rho = \|u\|$ and $\tilde{u} = u/\rho$. By Lemma 2.1 (iv) and since

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^N dx},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(u^+) dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{N} |u|^N + \frac{\beta}{N} |u|^{N^2/(N-1)} e^{\beta |u|^{N'}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N\lambda_1} \|u\|^N + \frac{\beta}{N} |u|_{2N^2/(N-1)}^{N^2/(N-1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2\beta |u|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\rho^N}{N\lambda_1} + \frac{\beta \rho^{N^2/(N-1)}}{N} |\tilde{u}|_{2N^2/(N-1)}^{N^2/(N-1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2\beta \rho^{N'} |\tilde{u}|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\rho^N}{N\lambda_1} + O(\rho^{N^2/(N-1)}) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2N^2/(N-1)}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} e^{2\beta \rho^{N'} |\tilde{u}|^{N'}} dx$ is bounded by (1.1) when $2\beta \rho^{N'} \leq \alpha_N$. Since $G(t) \geq -1/2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$E_{\mu}(u) \geq \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) \rho^N + O(\rho^{N^2/(N-1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega|.$$

Since $\lambda < \lambda_1$, (i) follows from this for sufficiently small $\rho, \mu, c_0 > 0$.

Since $v_j \geq 0$,

$$E_{\mu}(tv_j) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{t^N}{N} |\nabla v_j|^N - \lambda F(tv_j) + \mu tv_j \right] dx$$

for $t \geq 0$. By the Hölder and Young's inequalities,

$$\mu t \int_{\Omega} v_j dx \leq \mu t |\Omega|^{1-1/N} \left(\int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx \right)^{1/N} \leq C_{\lambda} \mu^{N'} + \frac{\lambda t^N}{N} \int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx,$$

so

$$E_{\mu}(tv_j) \leq H_j(t) + C_{\lambda} \mu^{N'},$$

where

$$H_j(t) = \frac{t^N}{N} \left(1 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx \right) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(tv_j) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (v),

$$\int_{\Omega} F(tv_j) dx \geq \frac{t^N}{N} \int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx + \frac{\beta(N-1)}{N^2} t^{N^2/(N-1)} \int_{\Omega} v_j^{N^2/(N-1)} dx,$$

so

$$H_j(t) \leq \frac{t^N}{N} - \frac{\lambda\beta(N-1)}{N^2} t^{N^2/(N-1)} \int_{\Omega} v_j^{N^2/(N-1)} dx \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

So to prove (ii) and (iii), it suffices to show that $\exists j_0 \geq 2$ such that

$$\vartheta := \sup_{t \geq 0} H_{j_0}(t) < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1}.$$

Suppose $\sup_{t \geq 0} H_j(t) \geq (\alpha_N/\beta)^{N-1}/N$ for all j . Since $H_j(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (3.3), there exists $t_j \geq 0$ such that

$$H_j(t_j) = \frac{t_j^N}{N} (1 + \varepsilon_j) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(t_j v_j) dx = \sup_{t \geq 0} H_j(t) \geq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$H'_j(t_j) = t_j^{N-1} \left(1 + \varepsilon_j - \lambda \int_{\Omega} v_j^N e^{\beta t_j^{N'} v_j^{N'}} dx \right) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_j = \lambda \int_{\Omega} v_j^N dx.$$

Since $F(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, (3.4) gives

$$\beta t_j^{N'} \geq \frac{\alpha_N}{1 + \varepsilon_j},$$

and then (3.5) gives

$$\frac{1 + \varepsilon_j}{\lambda} = \int_{\Omega} v_j^N e^{\beta t_j^{N'} v_j^{N'}} dx \geq \int_{B_{r/j}(0)} v_j^N e^{\alpha_N v_j^{N'}/(1+\varepsilon_j)} dx = \frac{r^N}{N} \frac{(\log j)^{N-1}}{j^{N\varepsilon_j/(1+\varepsilon_j)}}. \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.1), $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ and

$$j^{N\varepsilon_j/(1+\varepsilon_j)} \leq j^{N\varepsilon_j} = e^{N\varepsilon_j \log j} = O(1),$$

so (3.6) is impossible for large j .

By (i)–(iii), E_μ has the mountain pass geometry and the mountain pass level c_μ satisfies

$$0 < c_\mu \leq \vartheta + C_\lambda \mu^{N'} < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1} - \frac{\mu}{2} |\Omega|$$

for all sufficiently small $\mu > 0$, so E_μ satisfies the $(PS)_{c_\mu}$ condition by Lemma 3.1. So E_μ has a critical point u_μ at this level by the mountain pass theorem. \square

Now we show that u_μ is positive in Ω , and hence a weak solution of problem (1.2), for all sufficiently small $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$. It suffices to show that for every sequence $\mu_j > 0$, $\mu_j \rightarrow 0$, a subsequence of $u_j = u_{\mu_j}$ is positive in Ω . By (3.2), a renamed subsequence of c_{μ_j} converges to some c satisfying

$$0 < c < \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} \right)^{N-1}.$$

Then a renamed subsequence of (u_j) converges in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ to a critical point u of E_0 at the level c by Theorem 1.2. Since $c > 0$, u is nontrivial.

Lemma 3.3. *A further subsequence of (u_j) is bounded in $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u_j = \lambda f(u_j^+) - \mu_j g(u_j) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_j = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

it suffices to show that (u_j) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ by the global regularity result of Lieberman [6], and this will follow from Proposition 1.3 of Guedda and Véron [5] if we show that $f(u_j^+)$ is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$ for some $s > 1$.

Let $s > 1$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$\left(\int_\Omega |f(u_j^+)|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \leq \left(\int_\Omega |u_j|^p dx \right)^{(N-1)/p} \left(\int_\Omega e^{q\beta|u_j|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/q},$$

where $(N-1)/p + 1/q = 1/s$. The first integral on the right-hand side is bounded since $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$, and so is the second integral by Lemma 3.4 below. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If (u_j) is a convergent sequence in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} e^{b|u_j|^{N'}} dx < \infty$$

for all b .

Proof. The case $b \leq 0$ is trivial, so suppose $b > 0$ and let $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ be the limit of (u_j) . We have

$$|u_j|^{N'} \leq (|u| + |u_j - u|)^{N'} \leq 2^{N'} (|u|^{N'} + |u_j - u|^{N'}),$$

so

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{b|u_j|^{N'}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2^{N'+1}b|u|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2^{N'+1}b|u_j - u|^{N'}} dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

The first integral on the right-hand side is finite, and the second integral equals

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{2^{N'+1}b\|u_j - u\|^{N'}} |v_j|^{N'} dx,$$

where $v_j = (u_j - u)/\|u_j - u\|$. Since $\|v_j\| = 1$ and $\|u_j - u\| \rightarrow 0$, this integral is bounded by (1.1). \square

By Lemma 3.3, a renamed subsequence of u_j converges to u in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$. Since u is a nontrivial weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda (u^+)^{N-1} e^{\beta(u^+)^{N'}} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

$u > 0$ in Ω and its interior normal derivative $\partial u / \partial \nu > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ by the strong maximum principle and the Hopf lemma for the p -Laplacian (see Vázquez [12]). Since $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$, then $u_j > 0$ in Ω for all sufficiently large j . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement

The second author was supported by the 2018-0340 Research Fund of the University of Ulsan.

References

- [1] Adimurthi. Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n -Laplacian. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 17(3):393–413, 1990.
- [2] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, and B. Ruf. Elliptic equations in \mathbf{R}^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 3(2):139–153, 1995.
- [3] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, and B. Ruf. Corrigendum: “Elliptic equations in \mathbf{R}^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range”. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 4(2):203, 1996.
- [4] Djairo G. de Figueiredo, João Marcos do Ó, and Bernhard Ruf. Elliptic equations and systems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 30(2):455–476, 2011.
- [5] Mohammed Guedda and Laurent Véron. Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 13(8):879–902, 1989.
- [6] Gary M. Lieberman. Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 12(11):1203–1219, 1988.
- [7] P.-L. Lions. On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. *SIAM Rev.*, 24(4):441–467, 1982.
- [8] P.-L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1(1):145–201, 1985.
- [9] João Marcos B. do Ó. Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the N -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N with nonlinearities in the critical growth range. *Differential Integral Equations*, 9(5):967–979, 1996.
- [10] J. Moser. A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 20:1077–1092, 1970/71.
- [11] Neil S. Trudinger. On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17:473–483, 1967.
- [12] J. L. Vázquez. A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 12(3):191–202, 1984.

- [13] Yang Yang and Kanishka Perera. N -Laplacian problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 16(4):1123–1138, 2016.