

Extensions of Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal modules

Lamei Yuan*, Kaijing Ling

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

E-mails: lmyuan@hit.edu.cn, kjling_edu@126.com

Abstract: In this paper, we study extensions between two finite irreducible conformal modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra and the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra. Also, we classify all finite nontrivial irreducible conformal modules over the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra. As a byproduct, we obtain a classification of extensions of Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal modules.

Key words: Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra, extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra, conformal module, extension

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B65, 17B68

1 Introduction

Lie conformal algebras (LCAs), introduced by V. Kac, represent an axiomatic description of operator product expansion (OPE) in conformal field theory. In particular, λ -brackets arise as generating functions for the singular part of the OPE. The structure, cohomology and representation theory of LCAs was developed by V. Kac and his coworkers in the late 1990s ([1–6]), and non-semisimple LCAs associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of Virasoro type were studied recently in [10–15]. As pointed out in [2], conformal modules of LCAs are not completely reducible in general. Therefore it is necessary to study the extension problem. Extensions between two finite irreducible conformal modules over the Virasoro, the current and the Neveu-Schwarz and the semi-direct sum of the Virasoro and the current conformal algebras were classified by S.-J. Cheng, V. Kac and M. Wakimoto in [3,4]. By using their techniques, Ngau Lam solved the extension problem for the supercurrent conformal algebras in [9].

In this paper, we aim to study extensions of modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra and the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra, which were introduced in [10] as Lie conformal algebras associated to the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra and the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, respectively. The Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra is defined as a finite free Lie conformal algebra $SV = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]M \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]Y$ endowed with the following nontrivial λ -brackets

$$[L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \quad [L_\lambda Y] = (\partial + \frac{3}{2}\lambda)Y, \quad [L_\lambda M] = (\partial + \lambda)M, \quad [Y_\lambda Y] = (\partial + 2\lambda)M, \quad (1.1)$$

whereas the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra is a finite free Lie conformal algebra $\widetilde{SV} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]M \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]Y \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]N$ endowed with the nontrivial λ -brackets defined by (1.1), together with the following nontrivial ones

$$[L_\lambda N] = (\partial + \lambda)N, \quad [N_\lambda M] = 2M, \quad [N_\lambda Y] = Y. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that both SV and \widetilde{SV} are non-semisimple LCAs, and they contain the Virasoro conformal algebra Vir as a subalgebra, which is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module generated by L such that

$$\text{Vir} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L, \quad [L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L. \quad (1.3)$$

*Corresponding author: lmyuan@hit.edu.cn (Lamei Yuan)

Moreover, the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra contains the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra as a subalgebra, which is generated by L and N as a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module and satisfies the following λ -brackets

$$[L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \quad [L_\lambda N] = (\partial + \lambda)N, \quad [N_\lambda L] = \lambda N, \quad [N_\lambda N] = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Therefore, the classification of extensions of Virasoro conformal modules obtained in [3] will be used in our study, and one will see that our result can be directly applied to the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first review the notion of a Lie conformal algebra, and that of an extended annihilation algebra. The definition of conformal modules and their extensions over a Lie conformal algebra will be also recalled. Then we list some known results, including the classification of finite nontrivial irreducible conformal modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra (see Proposition 2.10) and the classification of extensions of modules over the Virasoro conformal algebra (see Theorems 2.6-2.8). These results will be important for the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we study extensions between two finite irreducible conformal modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra. Three types of extensions of Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal modules will be discussed. Explicitly, there is a one-dimensional conformal module involved in the first two types, whereas both modules involved in the third type are non-one-dimensional. In Section 4, we classify and construct all finite irreducible conformal modules over the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra by using the equivalent language of LCAs and extended annihilation algebras, and some techniques developed in [2, 13]. Section 5 is then devoted to a classification of extensions of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal modules, and the results will be applied to the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra in the last section.

Throughout the paper, all vector spaces, tensor products, and algebras are assumed to be over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . In addition to the standard notation \mathbb{Z} , we use \mathbb{Z}^+ (resp. \mathbb{C}^*) to denote the set of nonnegative integers (resp. nonzero complex numbers).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definition of Lie conformal algebras, annihilation algebras, conformal modules and their extensions, and some known results that we need in this paper. For more details, the reader is referred to [2, 3, 6, 13].

Definition 2.1. A Lie conformal algebra R is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module endowed with a \mathbb{C} -bilinear map

$$R \otimes R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes R, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto [a_\lambda b],$$

called the λ -bracket, and satisfying the following axioms ($a, b, c \in R$),

$$[\partial a_\lambda b] = -\lambda [a_\lambda b], \quad [a_\lambda \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda)[a_\lambda b] \quad (\text{conformal sesquilinearity}), \quad (2.1)$$

$$[a_\lambda b] = -[b_{-\lambda-\partial} a] \quad (\text{skew-symmetry}), \quad (2.2)$$

$$[a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] = [[a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c] + [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]] \quad (\text{Jacobi identity}). \quad (2.3)$$

Let R be a Lie conformal algebra. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we can define the j -product $a_{(j)}b$ of any two elements $a, b \in R$ by the following generating series:

$$[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (a_{(j)}b) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the following axioms of j -products hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{(n)}b &= 0, \text{ for } n \gg 0; \\
(\partial a)_{(n)}b &= -na_{(n-1)}b; \\
a_{(n)}b &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (-1)^{n+j+1} \frac{\partial^j}{j!} b_{(n+j)}a; \\
[a_{(m)}, b_{(n)}] &= \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)}b)_{(m+n-j)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Actually, one can also define Lie conformal algebras using the language of j -products (c.f. [6]).

Consider the space $\tilde{R} = R \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ with $\tilde{\partial} = \partial \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_t$, where id appearing on the left (resp. right) of \otimes is the identity operator acting on R (resp. $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$). This space is called the *affinization* of R . Its generating elements can be written as $a \otimes t^m$, where $a \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For clarity, we will use the notation $\tilde{R} = R[t, t^{-1}]$, at^m for its elements and $\tilde{\partial} = \partial + \partial_t$. By (2.5), we obtain a well defined commutation relation on \tilde{R} :

$$[at^m, bt^n] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)}b)t^{m+n-j}, \quad \forall at^m, bt^n \in \tilde{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

which gives \tilde{R} a structure of algebra, denoted by $(\tilde{R}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. It can be verified that the subspace $\tilde{\partial}\tilde{R}$ spanned by elements of the form $\{(\partial a)t^n + nat^{n-1} | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $(\tilde{R}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Set

$$\text{Lie}(R) = \tilde{R}/\tilde{\partial}\tilde{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $a_{(m)}$ denote the image of at^m in $\text{Lie}(R)$. Then $(\partial a)_{(n)} = -na_{(n-1)}$. Define a bracket on $\text{Lie}(R)$ by

$$[a_{(m)}, b_{(n)}] = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)}b)_{(m+n-j)}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $a, b \in R$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can check that $(\text{Lie}(R), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra with respect to (2.8). Note that $\text{Lie}(R)$ admits a derivation ∂ defined by $\partial(a_{(n)}) = -na_{(n-1)}$, for $a \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Lie subalgebra $\text{Lie}(R)^+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_{(m)} | a \in R, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is called the *annihilation algebra* of R . The *extended annihilation algebra* $\text{Lie}(R)^e$ is defined as the semidirect product of the 1-dimensional Lie subalgebra $\mathbb{C}\partial$ and $\text{Lie}(R)^+$ with the action $[\partial, a_{(n)}] = -na_{(n-1)}$.

Definition 2.2. A *conformal module* V over a Lie conformal algebra R is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module endowed with a \mathbb{C} -linear map $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$, $a \mapsto a_\lambda$, satisfying the following conditions for all $a, b \in R$:

$$[a_\lambda, b_\mu] = a_\lambda b_\mu - b_\mu a_\lambda = [a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (\partial a)_\lambda = [\partial, a_\lambda] = -\lambda a_\lambda.$$

A conformal module V over a Lie conformal algebra R is called *finite* if V is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$. A conformal module V over a Lie conformal algebra R is called *irreducible* if there is no nontrivial invariant subspace.

Let V be a conformal module over a Lie conformal algebra R . An element v in V is called an *invariant* if $R_\lambda v = 0$. Denote by V^0 the subspace of invariants of V . It is easy to see that V^0 is a conformal submodule of V . If $V^0 = V$, then V is called a *trivial R -module*. A trivial module just admits the structure of a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module. The vector space \mathbb{C} is viewed as a trivial module with trivial actions of both ∂ and R . For any fixed complex constant α , there is a natural trivial R -module $\mathbb{C}c_\alpha$, such that $\mathbb{C}c_\alpha = \mathbb{C}$ and $\partial v = \alpha v$, $R_\lambda v = 0$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}c_\alpha$. The modules $\mathbb{C}c_\alpha$ (with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$) exhaust all trivial irreducible R -modules.

The following result is due to [7, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a Lie conformal algebra and V an R -module.*

- 1) *If $\partial v = av$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in V$, then $R_\lambda v = 0$.*
- 2) *If V is a finite conformal module without any nonzero invariants, then V is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module.*

An element $v \in V$ is called a *torsion element* if there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ such that $p(\partial)v = 0$. For any $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module V , there exists a nonzero torsion element if and only if there exists a nonzero $v \in V$ such that $\partial v = av$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. A finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module V is free if and only if 0 is the only torsion element of V .

We deduce from the above discussions the following important result.

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be a Lie conformal algebra. Then every finite nontrivial irreducible R -module has no nonzero torsion element and is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module.*

Assume that V is a conformal module over R . We can also define *j -actions* of R on V using the following generating series

$$a_\lambda v = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (a_{(j)} v) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}. \quad (2.9)$$

The j -actions satisfy relations similar to those in (2.5).

It is immediate to see that a conformal module V over a Lie conformal algebra R is the same as a module over the extended annihilation algebra $Lie(R)^e$ satisfying the local nilpotent condition

$$a_{(n)} v = 0, \text{ for } v \in V, a \in R, n \gg 0. \quad (2.10)$$

A $Lie(R)^e$ -module satisfying this condition is called *conformal*.

Definition 2.5. *Let V and W be two modules over a Lie conformal algebra (or a Lie algebra) R . An extension of W by V is an exact sequence of R -modules of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} W \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Two extensions $0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} W \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{i'} E' \xrightarrow{p'} W \longrightarrow 0$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & W & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow 1_V & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow 1_W & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i'} & E' & \xrightarrow{p'} & W & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where $1_V : V \rightarrow V$ and $1_W : W \rightarrow W$ are the respective identity maps and $\psi : E \rightarrow E'$ is a homomorphism of modules.

The direct sum of modules $V \oplus W$ obviously gives rise to an extension. Extensions equivalent to it are called *trivial extensions*. In general, an extension can be thought of as the direct sum of vector spaces $E = V \oplus W$, where V is a submodule of E , while for w in W we have:

$$a \cdot w = aw + \phi_a(w), \quad a \in R,$$

where $\phi_a : W \rightarrow V$ is a linear map satisfying the cocycle condition:

$$\phi_{[a,b]}(w) = \phi_a(bw) + a\phi_b(w) - \phi_b(aw) - b\phi_a(w), \quad b \in R.$$

The set of these cocycles form a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Cocycles equivalent to the trivial extension are called *coboundaries*. They form a subspace and the quotient space by it is denoted by $\text{Ext}(W, V)$.

It was shown in [2] that all free nontrivial Virasoro conformal modules of rank one over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are the following ones ($\Delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$):

$$M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta, \quad L_\lambda v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta. \quad (2.12)$$

The module $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ is irreducible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$. The module $M(\alpha, 0)$ contains a unique nontrivial submodule $(\partial + \alpha)M(\alpha, 0)$ isomorphic to $M(\alpha, 1)$. Moreover, the modules $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ exhaust all finite non-1-dimensional irreducible Virasoro conformal modules. Therefore $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ with $\Delta \neq 0$, together with the one-dimensional modules $\mathbb{C}c_\beta$ ($\beta \in \mathbb{C}$), form a complete list of finite irreducible conformal modules over the Virasoro conformal algebra.

In [3], extensions over the finite irreducible Virasoro conformal modules of the following three types have been classified ($\Delta, \bar{\Delta} \in \mathbb{C}^*$):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.15)$$

We list the corresponding results in the following three theorems for later use.

Theorem 2.6. *Nontrivial extensions of the form (2.13) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$ or 2 . In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by*

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\lambda)c_\gamma,$$

where

$$(i) \quad f(\lambda) = c_2\lambda^2, \text{ for } \Delta = 1 \text{ and } c_2 \neq 0.$$

$$(ii) \quad f(\lambda) = c_3\lambda^3, \text{ for } \Delta = 2 \text{ and } c_3 \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, all trivial cocycles are given by scalar multiples of the polynomial $f(\lambda) = \alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda$.

Theorem 2.7. *Nontrivial extensions of Virasoro conformal modules of the form (2.14) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. These extensions are given (up to equivalence) by*

$$L_\lambda c_\gamma = f(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad \partial c_\gamma = \gamma c_\gamma + h(\partial)v_\Delta,$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial) = a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem 2.8. *Nontrivial extensions of Virasoro conformal modules of the form (2.15) exist only if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by*

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}},$$

where the values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with the corresponding polynomials $f(\partial, \lambda)$ whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extensions are listed as follows ($\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$):

- (i) $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}, f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1\lambda, (c_0, c_1) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2, f(\partial, \lambda) = \lambda^2(2\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$.
- (iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 3, f(\partial, \lambda) = \bar{\partial}\lambda^2(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$.
- (iv) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 4, f(\partial, \lambda) = \lambda^2(4\bar{\partial}^3 + 6\bar{\partial}^2\lambda - \bar{\partial}\lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3)$.
- (v) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -4), f(\partial, \lambda) = \bar{\partial}^4\lambda^2 - 10\bar{\partial}^2\lambda^4 - 17\bar{\partial}\lambda^5 - 8\lambda^6$.
- (vi) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, -\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}), f(\partial, \lambda) = \bar{\partial}^4\lambda^3 - (2\bar{\Delta} + 3)\bar{\partial}^3\lambda^4 - 3\bar{\Delta}\bar{\partial}^2\lambda^5 - (3\bar{\Delta} + 1)\bar{\partial}\lambda^6 - (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28})\lambda^7$.

Remark 2.9. We rewrite [4, Theorem 2.4] (see also [3, Theorem 3.2]) as Theorem 2.8 by removing the cases $\Delta = 0$ or $\bar{\Delta} = 0$. The reason is that both $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ and $M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta})$ in (2.15) are irreducible Virasoro conformal modules.

All finite nontrivial irreducible conformal modules over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra were classified in [13], and the corresponding results are the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Any finite nontrivial irreducible conformal module over the Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra is of the form*

$$V(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta, \quad L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta, \quad M_\lambda v_\Delta = Y_\lambda v_\Delta = 0,$$

where $\alpha, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Delta \neq 0$.

Remark 2.11. We see from Proposition 2.10 that a finite nontrivial irreducible Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal module just admits the structure of a Virasoro conformal module. However, one will see in Section 3 that there are much more nontrivial extensions of Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal modules than that of Virasoro conformal modules.

3 Extensions of conformal SV-modules

In this section, we study extensions of conformal SV-modules of the following three types:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E_3 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $V(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ and $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Delta}}$ are irreducible conformal SV-modules from Proposition 2.10, and $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma$ is a one-dimensional SV-module. For each type, we will first describe the trivial extensions and then we classify all the nontrivial cases by giving the formula of the trivial (resp. nontrivial) cocycles.

By Definition 2.2, an SV-module structure on V is given by $L_\lambda, M_\lambda, Y_\lambda \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)[\lambda]$ such that

$$[L_\lambda, L_\mu] = (\lambda - \mu)L_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$[L_\lambda, Y_\mu] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right)Y_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$[L_\lambda, M_\mu] = -\mu M_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$[Y_\lambda, Y_\mu] = (\lambda - \mu)M_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$[\partial, L_\lambda] = -\lambda L_\lambda, \quad (3.8)$$

$$[\partial, Y_\lambda] = -\lambda Y_\lambda, \quad (3.9)$$

$$[\partial, M_\lambda] = -\lambda M_\lambda, \quad (3.10)$$

$$[Y_\lambda, M_\mu] = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$[M_\lambda, M_\mu] = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

We first consider extensions of conformal SV-modules of the form (3.1). As a module over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$, E_1 in (3.1) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma \oplus V(\alpha, \Delta)$, where $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma$ is an SV-submodule, and $V(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ such that the following identities hold in E_1 :

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad M_\lambda v_\Delta = g(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad Y_\lambda v_\Delta = h(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad (3.13)$$

where $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \lambda^n$, $g(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n \lambda^n$ and $h(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n \lambda^n \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$.

The following lemma gives the trivial extensions of the form (3.1). We will omit similar calculations in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1. *All trivial extensions of the form (3.1) are of the form (3.13), where $f(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda$, and $g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that (3.1) represents a trivial cocycle. This means that the exact sequence (3.1) is split and hence there exists $v' = \varphi(\partial)v_\Delta + bc_\gamma \in E_1$, where $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \neq \varphi(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$, such that

$$L_\lambda v' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\varphi(\partial)v_\Delta + b(\gamma + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad Y_\lambda v' = M_\lambda v' = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.13) that

$$L_\lambda v' = \varphi(\partial + \lambda)((\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\lambda)c_\gamma), \quad Y_\lambda v' = \varphi(\partial + \lambda)g(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad M_\lambda v' = \varphi(\partial + \lambda)h(\lambda)c_\gamma.$$

Comparing both expressions for $L_\lambda v'$, $Y_\lambda v'$ and $M_\lambda v'$, respectively, we obtain that $\varphi(\partial)$ is a nonzero constant, $f(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda$, and $g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Nontrivial extensions of conformal SV-modules of the form (3.1) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, and $\Delta = 1, 2$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by (3.13), where*

$$(i) \quad \Delta = 1, \quad g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0, \quad f(\lambda) = a_2\lambda^2, \quad \text{and } a_2 \neq 0.$$

$$(ii) \quad \Delta = 2, \quad g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0, \quad f(\lambda) = a_3\lambda^3, \quad \text{and } a_3 \neq 0.$$

$$(iii) \quad \Delta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) = 0, \quad h(\lambda) = b_0, \quad \text{and } b_0 \neq 0.$$

In particular, the space $\text{Ext}(V(\alpha, \Delta), \mathbb{C}c_{-\alpha})$ is 1-dimensional in cases (i)–(iii).

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ” It follows from Lemma 3.1.

“ \Rightarrow ” Suppose that E_1 is a nontrivial extension. Applying both sides of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) to v_Δ gives

$$(\lambda - \mu)f(\lambda + \mu) = (\gamma + \lambda + \alpha + \Delta\mu)f(\lambda) - (\gamma + \mu + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)f(\mu), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right)h(\lambda + \mu) = -(\gamma + \mu + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)h(\mu), \quad (3.15)$$

$$(\lambda - \mu)g(\lambda + \mu) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Obviously, $g(\lambda) = 0$ by (3.16). Setting $\lambda = 0$ in (3.15) gives

$$(\alpha + \gamma)h(\mu) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

If $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, then $h(\mu) = 0$ by (3.17). Setting $\mu = 0$ in (3.14) gives $f(\lambda) = \frac{f(0)}{\alpha + \gamma}(\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda)$. By Lemma 3.1, E_1 is trivial. A contradiction. Hence $\alpha + \gamma = 0$.

Putting $\mu = 0$ in (3.15) gives $h(\lambda) = -2\Delta h(0)$. This implies that $h(\lambda) = b_0$ for some $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $b_0 \neq 0$, then $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ and it is easy to check that $f(\lambda) = \lambda$ is a unique (up to a scalar) solution to (3.14). However, it is a trivial cocycle by Lemma 3.1. Thus we can assume that $f(\lambda) = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 again, the corresponding extension is nontrivial in the case when $b_0 \neq 0$ and $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$. If $b_0 = 0$, then it reduces to the Virasoro case and thus the results follow from Theorem 2.6. \square

Next we consider extensions of conformal SV-modules of the form (3.2). As a vector space, E_2 is isomorphic to $V(\alpha, \Delta) \oplus \mathbb{C}c_\gamma$. Here $V(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ is an SV-submodule and the action on c_γ is given by

$$L_\lambda c_\gamma = f(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad M_\lambda c_\gamma = g(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad Y_\lambda c_\gamma = h(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad \partial c_\gamma = \gamma c_\gamma + a(\partial)v_\Delta, \quad (3.18)$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda), g(\partial, \lambda), h(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$ and $a(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$.

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.3. *All trivial extensions of the form (3.2) are given by (3.18), where (up to the same scalar) $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$ and $a(\partial) = (\partial - \gamma)\phi(\partial)$, with ϕ a polynomial.*

Theorem 3.4. *There are nontrivial extensions of SV-modules of the form (3.2) if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}c_{-\alpha}, V(\alpha, 1))) = 1$, and the only (up to a scalar) nontrivial extension is given by (3.18), where $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = a(\partial) = a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove the necessity. Suppose that E_2 in (3.2) is a nontrivial extension. Applying both sides of (3.4), (3.8)-(3.10) to c_γ gives the following functional equations:

$$(\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\mu)f(\partial + \mu, \lambda) = (\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu), \quad (3.19)$$

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)a(\partial + \lambda), \quad (3.20)$$

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)h(\partial, \lambda) = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)g(\partial, \lambda) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

We see from (3.21) and (3.22) that $h(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Setting $\mu = 0$ in (3.19) gives

$$(\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)f(\partial + \lambda, 0) = (\partial + \lambda + \alpha)f(\partial, \lambda). \quad (3.23)$$

If $(\partial + \lambda + \alpha) \mid f(\partial + \lambda, 0)$, then set $\phi(\partial + \lambda) = \frac{f(\partial + \lambda, 0)}{\partial + \lambda + \alpha}$. Hence $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$ by (3.23). Plugging this into (3.20), we obtain $a(\partial) = (\partial - \gamma)\phi(\partial)$. By Lemma 3.3, the corresponding extension is trivial. A contradiction. Therefore $(\partial + \lambda + \alpha) \nmid f(\partial + \lambda, 0)$. By (3.23) again, we have $(\partial + \lambda + \alpha) \mid (\partial + \lambda + \Delta\alpha)$. It follows that $\Delta = 1$ and thus $f(\partial, \lambda) = f(\partial + \lambda, 0)$. Substituting this into (3.20), we obtain $(\partial + \alpha + \lambda) \mid (\partial + \lambda - \gamma)$. Hence $\alpha = -\gamma$ and $a(\partial) = f(\partial, 0)$.

Suppose that $\deg(f) \geq 1$. In this case, there exist some $\tilde{f}(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$ and $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \lambda)\tilde{f}(\partial, \lambda) + a_0$. Since the polynomial $(\partial + \alpha + \lambda)\tilde{f}(\partial, \lambda)$ is divisible by $\partial + \alpha + \lambda$, it is a trivial cocycle by the discussions above. Therefore we may always assume that $f(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$. Then $a(\partial) = a_0$. The corresponding extension is nontrivial unless $a_0 = 0$. \square

Finally, we study extensions of conformal SV-modules of the form (3.3). As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, E_3 in (3.3) is isomorphic to $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \oplus V(\alpha, \Delta)$, where $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is an SV-submodule and $V(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ with the action of SV on the vector v_{Δ} given by

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad M_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = g(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad Y_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = h(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(\partial)\lambda^n$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n(\partial)\lambda^n$, $h(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\partial)\lambda^n$, $f_n(\partial), g_n(\partial), h_n(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ and $f_n(\partial) = g_n(\partial) = h_n(\partial) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *All trivial extensions of the form (3.3) are given by (3.24), where $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $(\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial) - (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, and where ϕ is a polynomial.*

Applying both sides of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) to v_{Δ} gives the following functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu) &= (\partial + \lambda + \Delta\mu + \alpha)f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) \\ &\quad - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda + \alpha)f(\partial, \mu) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right)h(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda + \bar{\alpha})h(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda + \alpha)h(\partial, \mu), \quad (3.26)$$

$$(\lambda - \mu)g(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

We see from (3.27) that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. The next task is to determine solutions to (3.25) and (3.26), and to find nontrivial cocycles corresponding to nontrivial extensions of the form (3.3).

If $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$, then (3.25) and (3.26) with $\lambda = 0$ imply that $f(\partial, \mu) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}((\partial + \Delta\mu + \alpha)f(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, 0))$ and $h(\partial, \mu) = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, the corresponding extension is trivial.

Thus we may assume from now on that $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ for our problem. As in [3], we put $\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$ and let $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = f(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$, $\bar{h}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = h(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$. For convenience, we will continue to write ∂ for $\bar{\partial}$, f for \bar{f} , and h for \bar{h} . But keep in mind in the case when $\alpha \neq 0$, we need to perform a shift by α in order to obtain the correct solution. Now we can rewrite (3.25) and (3.26) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu) &= (\partial + \lambda + \Delta\mu)f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) \\ &\quad - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)f(\partial, \mu) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu)f(\partial + \mu, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right)h(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)h(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)h(\partial, \mu). \quad (3.29)$$

Hence we may assume that $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $h(\partial, \lambda)$ are homogeneous in ∂ and λ .

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (3.29) gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda h(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)h(\partial + \lambda, 0) - (\partial + \Delta\lambda)h(\partial, 0). \quad (3.30)$$

Write $h(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$. Substituting this into (3.30), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \left(\frac{a_i}{2}\right) \partial^{m-i} \lambda^{i+1} = a_0(\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)(\partial + \lambda)^m - a_0(\partial + \Delta\lambda)\partial^m. \quad (3.31)$$

If $a_0 = 0$, then we see from (3.31) that $a_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, and thus $h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. In this case, our problem reduces to the Virasoro case. It has been solved in [3], and the corresponding results are listed in Theorem 2.8.

In the following we assume that $a_0 \neq 0$, namely, $h(\partial, \lambda) \neq 0$. Comparing the coefficients of $\partial^m \lambda$ in (3.31), we obtain $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = m - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. However, it was shown in [3] that $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is a nonzero solution to (3.28). In other words, we always have $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ in the case when $h(\partial, \lambda) \neq 0$. Thus the rest of our task is to solve (3.29).

Equating the coefficients of $\partial^{m-i} \lambda^{i+1}$ in both sides of (3.31), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}a_i = a_0 \binom{m}{i+1} + a_0 \bar{\Delta} \binom{m}{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m. \quad (3.32)$$

Plugging $h(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$ into (3.29) gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right) \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} (\lambda + \mu)^i = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (\partial + \lambda)^{m-i} \mu^i - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \mu^i. \quad (3.33)$$

Assume that $m \geq 3$. Comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^m \mu$ in (3.33) gives $(\frac{m}{2} - 1)a_m = \bar{\Delta}a_1$ and hence by (3.32), we obtain $(\frac{m}{2} - 1)\bar{\Delta} = \left(\binom{m}{2} + m\bar{\Delta}\right)\bar{\Delta}$. Since $\bar{\Delta} \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{m}{2} - 1 = \binom{m}{2} + m\bar{\Delta}. \quad (3.34)$$

Furthermore, comparing the coefficients of $\partial\lambda^{m-1}\mu$ in (3.33) gives

$$\left(\frac{m-1}{2} - 1\right)a_{m-1} = (1 + (m-1)\bar{\Delta})a_1. \quad (3.35)$$

Using (3.32) again, we have

$$\left(\frac{m-1}{2} - 1\right)(1 + m\bar{\Delta}) = (1 + (m-1)\bar{\Delta})\left(\binom{m}{2} + m\bar{\Delta}\right). \quad (3.36)$$

Combining (3.34) with (3.36) implies $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$. Substituting this back into (3.34), we get $m = 1$ or 2 . This contradicts the assumption that $m \geq 3$.

Therefore, there are only three possible cases for m , namely, $m = 0, 1, 2$.

Suppose that $m = 0$. Then $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = \text{constant}$ is a solution to (3.29).

Next suppose that $m = 1$. Then $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}$. It is easily checked that $h(\partial, \lambda) = \partial + 2\bar{\Delta}\lambda$ is a unique, up to a constant, solution to (3.29).

Finally consider the case $m = 2$. By (3.32), we have $a_1 = 2a_0(1 + 2\bar{\Delta})$ and $a_2 = 2a_0\bar{\Delta}$. Thus we may assume that $h(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + (2 + 4\bar{\Delta})\partial\lambda + 2\bar{\Delta}\lambda^2$. Plugging this back into (3.29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \mu\right)(\partial^2 + (2 + 4\bar{\Delta})\partial(\lambda + \mu) + 2\bar{\Delta}(\lambda + \mu)^2) \\ &= (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)((\partial + \lambda)^2 + (2 + 4\bar{\Delta})(\partial + \lambda)\mu + 2\bar{\Delta}\mu^2) \\ & \quad - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)(\partial^2 + (2 + 4\bar{\Delta})\partial\mu + 2\bar{\Delta}\mu^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the fact that $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = \frac{3}{2}$, we can simplify the above equation to $(2 + 4\bar{\Delta})\bar{\Delta}\lambda^2\mu = 0$. This implies that $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$ since $\bar{\Delta} \neq 0$. Then it follows that $\Delta = 1$. Therefore, $h(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + (2 + 4\bar{\Delta})\partial\lambda + 2\bar{\Delta}\lambda^2$ is a unique (up to a constant) solution to (3.29) in the case when $\Delta = 1$ and $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$.

We have proved the following.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $h(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$ be a nontrivial solution to (3.29). Then $a_0 \neq 0$, $m = 0, 1$ or 2 , and the following is a complete list of values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with the corresponding polynomials $h(\partial, \lambda)$:*

- (i) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$;
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}$, $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0(\partial + 2\bar{\Delta}\lambda)$;
- (iii) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -\frac{1}{2})$, $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0(\partial^2 - \lambda^2)$.

By Theorem 2.8, Lemma 3.6 and the discussions above, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 3.7. *Nontrivial extensions of conformal SV-modules of the form (3.3) exist only if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$. The following is a complete list of values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$, along with the triple of polynomials $f(\partial, \lambda)$, $g(\partial, \lambda)$ and $h(\partial, \lambda)$, whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extensions ($\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$):*

- (i) A nontrivial extension of Vir-modules of Theorem 2.8 with trivial actions of M and Y .
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, with $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
- (iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0(\bar{\partial} + 2\bar{\Delta}\lambda)$, with $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
- (iv) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -\frac{1}{2})$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0(\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda^2)$, with $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

4 Classification of nontrivial irreducible \widetilde{SV} -modules

This section is devoted to classification of all finite nontrivial irreducible conformal modules over the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra \widetilde{SV} .

First let us describe the rank one case, which was given in [10].

Proposition 4.1. (1) All nontrivial conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules of rank 1 are the following $(\Delta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C})$:

$$V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta, L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta, N_\lambda v_\Delta = \beta v_\Delta, M_\lambda v_\Delta = Y_\lambda v_\Delta = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

(2) The module $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ is irreducible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) It has been proved in [10].

(2) “ \Rightarrow ” Suppose that $\Delta = \beta = 0$. In this case, it is easy to see that $(\partial + \alpha)v_\Delta$ generates a proper submodule of $V(\alpha, 0, 0)$. Thus $V(\alpha, 0, 0)$ is a reducible conformal \widetilde{SV} -module. A contradiction. Therefore, $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.

“ \Leftarrow ” If $\Delta \neq 0$, then $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ is an irreducible conformal Virasoro module. Thus it is also an irreducible conformal \widetilde{SV} -module.

Now consider the case $\beta \neq 0$. Suppose that V is a nonzero submodule of $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$. Then there exists a nonzero element $u = f(\partial)v_\Delta \in V$ for some $0 \neq f(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$. If $\deg f(\partial) = 0$, then $v_\Delta \in V$ and thus $V = V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$. If $\deg f(\partial) = m > 0$, then $N_\lambda u = f(\partial + \lambda)(N_\lambda v_\Delta) = \beta f(\partial + \lambda)v_\Delta \in V[\partial, \lambda]$. We see that the coefficient of λ^m is a nonzero scalar multiple of v_Δ . This implies that $v_\Delta \in V$ and thus $V = V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$. Therefore, $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ is irreducible.

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. The annihilation algebra of \widetilde{SV} is of the form $(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$

$$\text{Lie}(\widetilde{SV})^+ = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathbb{C}L_m + \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}M_n + \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}N_n + \sum_{p \geq -\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{C}Y_p, \quad (4.2)$$

with the following nonvanishing relations

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n}, & [L_m, M_n] &= -nM_{m+n}, & [L_m, Y_p] &= (\frac{m}{2} - p)Y_{m+p}, \\ [Y_p, Y_q] &= (p-q)M_{p+q}, & [L_m, N_n] &= -nN_{m+n}, & [N_m, Y_p] &= Y_{m+p}, & [N_m, M_n] &= 2M_{m+n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

And the extended annihilation algebra $\text{Lie}(\widetilde{SV})^e = \mathbb{C}\partial \oplus \text{Lie}(\widetilde{SV})^+$, satisfying (4.3) and the following

$$[\partial, L_m] = -(m+1)L_{m-1}, [\partial, M_n] = -nM_{n-1}, [\partial, N_n] = -nN_{n-1}, [\partial, Y_p] = -(p + \frac{1}{2})Y_{p-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. By (1.1) and (2.4), we have $(\partial + 2\lambda)L = [L_\lambda L] = \sum_{j \geq 0} (L_{(j)}L) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$. This implies that

$$L_{(0)}L = \partial L \quad L_{(1)}L = 2L, \quad L_{(i)}L = 0, \quad i \geq 2. \quad (4.5)$$

Using this along with (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{(m)}, L_{(n)}] &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \binom{m}{j} (L_{(j)}L)_{(m+n-j)} = (L_{(0)}L)_{(m+n)} + m(L_{(1)}L)_{(m+n-1)} \\ &= -(m+n)L_{(m+n-1)} + 2mL_{(m+n-1)} = (m-n)L_{(m+n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $L_{(m)} = L_{m-1}$ for $m \geq 0$. Then the above equation is equivalent to $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}$. The other relations in (4.3) can be similarly obtained by setting $M_{(n)} = M_n$, $N_{(n)} = N_n$ and $Y_{(n)} = Y_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \geq 0$, respectively. The relations in (4.4) follows from the fact that $[\partial, a_{(n)}] = -na_{(n-1)}$ for $a_{(n)} \in \text{Lie}(\widetilde{\text{SV}})^+$. \square

The following result is straightforward.

Lemma 4.3. $L_{-1} - \partial$ is in the center of $\text{Lie}(\widetilde{\text{SV}})^e$.

For simplicity, we denote $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lie}(\widetilde{\text{SV}})^e$. Set $\mathcal{L}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} (\mathbb{C}L_i \oplus \mathbb{C}M_i \oplus \mathbb{C}N_i \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ for $n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{-1} = \mathbb{C}L_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0$. Then we obtain a filtration of the form

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}_{-1} \supset \mathcal{L}_0 \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_n \supset \cdots,$$

which satisfies that $\mathcal{L}_{-1} = \text{Lie}(\widetilde{\text{SV}})^+$, $[\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_0] = \mathbb{C}M_0 + \mathbb{C}Y_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{L}_1$ and $[\partial, \mathcal{L}_n] = \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$.

Lemma 4.4. For any fixed positive integer N , $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_N$ is a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra.

Proof. It is obvious to see that \mathcal{L}_N is an ideal of \mathcal{L}_0 , and $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_N$ is finite-dimensional. To prove solvability of $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_N$, we consider the derived subalgebras of \mathcal{L}_0 : $\mathcal{L}_0^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^{(n+1)} = [\mathcal{L}_0^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_0^{(n)}]$ for $n \geq 0$. By the facts that $\mathcal{L}_0^{(1)} = [\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_0] = \mathbb{C}M_0 + \mathbb{C}Y_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{L}_1$, $[M_0, \mathcal{L}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$ and $[Y_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{L}_1] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}_0^{(2)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$. By induction on n , one can obtain that $\mathcal{L}_0^{(2n)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore, for any fixed positive integer N , we always have $\mathcal{L}_0^{(2N)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_N$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let V be a nontrivial irreducible conformal module over the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal algebra $\widetilde{\text{SV}}$. Then $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$, which is defined by (4.1) with $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.

Proof. By (2.10), the conformal $\widetilde{\text{SV}}$ -module V can be viewed as a module over \mathcal{L} satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_n v = 0, \quad \text{for } v \in V, \quad n \gg 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Set $V_n = \{v \in V \mid \mathcal{L}_n v = 0\}$. Then $V_n \neq \{0\}$ for $n \gg 0$. Let S be the smallest integer such that $V_S \neq \{0\}$. We have $S \geq 0$ (if not, V is a trivial \mathcal{L} -module). By [2, Lemma 3.1], V_S is a finite-dimensional vector space. By Lemma 4.3 and Schur's Lemma, there exists some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(L_{-1} - \partial)v = \alpha v$ for all $v \in V$. Thus

$$L_{-1}v = (\partial + \alpha)v, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (4.7)$$

Suppose that $S = 0$. Thus \mathcal{L}_0 acts trivially on V_0 . Let u be a nonzero element in V_0 . We claim that $Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u = 0$. If not, Lemma 2.4 implies that $w_j = \partial^j(Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u) \neq 0, \forall j \geq 0$. Since V is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module of finite rank, there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $f_1(\partial), \dots, f_m(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^m f_j(\partial)w_j = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

Denote $n = \max \{ \deg f_1(\partial) + 1, \dots, \deg f_m(\partial) + m \}$. Using $R_\partial = L_\partial - \text{ad}_\partial$ and the binomial formula, we have, for $k \leq n$,

$$N_n \partial^k = R_\partial^k N_n = (L_\partial - \text{ad}_\partial)^k N_n = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{k!n!}{(k-i)!i!(n-i)!} \partial^{k-i} N_{n-i}. \quad (4.9)$$

It is easy to see that $N_0(Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ and $N_k(Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = 0$ for all $k > 0$. By the action of N_n on (4.8), we have $Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u = 0$. This contradicts the assumption and thus proves the claim. Now we see that $\mathbb{C}[\partial]u$ is a submodule of V . Hence $V = \mathbb{C}[\partial]u$ by the irreducibility of V . The λ -action of \widetilde{SV} on $V = \mathbb{C}[\partial]u$ is given by $M_\lambda u = N_\lambda u = Y_\lambda u = 0$ and

$$L_\lambda u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (L_{(j)}u) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (L_{j-1}u) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = L_{-1}u = (\partial + \alpha)u.$$

By Proposition 4.1, V is reducible, a contradiction.

Now we have $S \geq 1$. By [2, Lemma 3.1], V_S is a finite-dimensional vector space. Note that V_S is actually an $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_S$ -module. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a nonzero common eigenvector $u \in V_S$ under the action of $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_S$, and then the action of \mathcal{L}_0 . Namely, there exists a linear function χ on \mathcal{L}_0 such that $xu = \chi(x)u$ for any $x \in \mathcal{L}_0$. Since $[\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_0] = \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1$, $M_0u = Y_{\frac{1}{2}}u = \mathcal{L}_1u = 0$. Assume that $\chi(L_0) = \Delta$ and $\chi(N_0) = \beta$ for some $\Delta, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows $L_0u = \Delta u$ and $N_0u = \beta u$. Because $S \geq 1$, $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. With a similar discussion as in the case $S = 0$, we have $Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}u = 0$. Now we can conclude that $\mathbb{C}[\partial]u$ is a nonzero submodule of V . Therefore $V = \mathbb{C}[\partial]u$. The λ -action of \widetilde{SV} on V is given by $M_\lambda u = Y_\lambda u = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda u &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (L_{(j)}u) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (L_{j-1}u) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = L_{-1}u + (L_0u)\lambda = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)u, \\ N_\lambda u &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (N_{(j)}u) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (N_ju) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} = N_0u = \beta u. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.1, $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ with $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. The proof is finished. \square

5 Extensions of conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules

In this section, we study extensions of conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules of the following three types:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E_3 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\Delta})$ and $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ are nontrivial irreducible conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules (see Theorem 4.5). Thus we will exclude the cases $(\Delta, \beta) = (0, 0)$ and $(\bar{\Delta}, \bar{\beta}) = (0, 0)$ in the sequel.

By Definition 2.2, an \widetilde{SV} -module structure on V is given by $L_\lambda, M_\lambda, Y_\lambda, N_\lambda \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)[\lambda]$ such that (3.4)–(3.12), and the following hold:

$$[L_\lambda, N_\mu] = -\mu N_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$[N_\lambda, Y_\mu] = Y_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$[N_\lambda, M_\mu] = 2M_{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$[N_\lambda, N_\mu] = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

$$[\partial, N_\lambda] = -\lambda N_\lambda. \quad (5.8)$$

We first consider extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.1). As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, E_1 in (5.1) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma \oplus V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$, where $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma$ is an \widetilde{SV} -submodule and $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ such that

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad M_\lambda v_\Delta = g(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad Y_\lambda v_\Delta = h(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad N_\lambda v_\Delta = \beta v_\Delta + k(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad (5.9)$$

where $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \lambda^n$, $g(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n \lambda^n$, $h(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n \lambda^n$, $k(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} k_n \lambda^n \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$.

Lemma 5.1. *All trivial extensions of the form (5.1) are of the form (5.9), where $g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0$, $f(\lambda) = a(\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda)$ and $k(\lambda) = a\beta$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Theorem 5.2. *Nontrivial extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.1) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $(\Delta, \beta) = (1, 0), (2, 0)$, or $(-\frac{1}{2}, -1)$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by (5.9), where*

- (i) $(\Delta, \beta) = (1, 0)$, $g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = 0$, $k(\lambda) = k_1 \lambda$ and $f(\lambda) = a_2 \lambda^2$, with $(k_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (ii) $(\Delta, \beta) = (2, 0)$, $g(\lambda) = h(\lambda) = k(\lambda) = 0$ and $f(\lambda) = a_3 \lambda^3$, with $a_3 \neq 0$.
- (iii) $(\Delta, \beta) = (-\frac{1}{2}, -1)$, $f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) = k(\lambda) = 0$ and $h(\lambda) = b_0$, with $b_0 \neq 0$.

In particular,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ext}(V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta), \mathbb{C}c_{-\alpha})) = \begin{cases} 2, & (\Delta, \beta) = (1, 0), \\ 1, & (\Delta, \beta) = (2, 0), \\ 1, & (\Delta, \beta) = (-\frac{1}{2}, -1). \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we only need to prove the necessity. Applying (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7) to v_Δ gives

$$\beta f(\lambda) - (\alpha + \gamma + \mu + \Delta\lambda)k(\mu) = -\mu k(\lambda + \mu), \quad (5.11)$$

$$\beta h(\mu) + h(\lambda + \mu) = 0, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\beta k(\lambda) - \beta k(\mu) = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

By (3.16), $g(\lambda) = 0$. If $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, then the proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that $f(\lambda) = \frac{f(0)}{\alpha + \gamma}(\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda) = 0$. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in (5.11) gives $k(\mu) = \frac{f(0)}{\alpha + \gamma}\beta$. Set $a = \frac{f(0)}{\alpha + \gamma}$. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the corresponding extension is trivial. Hence $\alpha + \gamma = 0$.

Assume that $\beta = 0$. It follows from (5.12) that $h(\lambda) = 0$. And (5.11) amounts to

$$(\mu + \Delta\lambda)k(\mu) = \mu k(\lambda + \mu), \quad (5.14)$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (5.14) gives $k(0) = 0$ since $\Delta \neq 0$. Substituting $k(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} k_n \lambda^n$ into (5.14) and then comparing the coefficients of λ^n , we obtain that $n \leq 1$. It is easy to see that $k(\lambda) = k_1 \lambda$ if $\Delta = 1$, or else $k(\lambda) = 0$. By (3.14) with $\Delta = 1$, we get $f(\lambda) = a_2 \lambda^2$ with $a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus the corresponding extension is nontrivial in the case $(k_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\Delta \neq 1$, then it follows from (3.14) that $\Delta = 2$ and $f(\lambda) = a_3 \lambda^3$ with $a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. The corresponding extension is nontrivial unless $a_3 = 0$.

Now assume that $\beta \neq 0$. By (5.13) with $\mu = 0$, $k(\lambda) = k(0)$ is a constant. Putting $\mu = 0$ in (5.11) gives $f(\lambda) = \frac{k(0)}{\beta} \Delta \lambda$. Set $a = \frac{k(0)}{\beta}$. Then $f(\lambda) = a \Delta \lambda$ and $k(\lambda) = a \beta$. They are trivial cocycles by Lemma 5.1. Thus we may assume that $k(\lambda) = f(\lambda) = 0$. In this case, the extension is nontrivial unless $h(\lambda) = 0$. By (5.12) with $\mu = 0$, $h(\lambda) = -\beta h(0)$ is a constant. If $h(0) \neq 0$, then $\beta = -1$, and $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ by (3.15). This completes the proof. \square

Next we consider extensions of conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.2). As a vector space, E_2 in (5.2) is isomorphic to $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \oplus \mathbb{C}c_\gamma$. Here $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ is an \widetilde{SV} -submodule and the action on c_γ is given by

$$L_\lambda c_\gamma = f(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad M_\lambda c_\gamma = g(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad Y_\lambda c_\gamma = h(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad N_\lambda c_\gamma = k(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad \partial c_\gamma = \gamma c_\gamma + a(\partial)v_\Delta, \quad (5.15)$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda), g(\partial, \lambda), h(\partial, \lambda), k(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$ and $a(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$.

Lemma 5.3. *All trivial extensions of the form (5.2) are given by (5.15) with, up to the same scalar, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = \beta\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, and $a(\partial) = (\partial - \gamma)\phi(\partial)$, where ϕ is a polynomial.*

Theorem 5.4. *Nontrivial extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.2) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ext}(\mathbb{C}c_{-\alpha}, V(\alpha, 0, 1))) = 1$, and the only (up to a scalar) nontrivial extension is given by (5.15) with $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = a(\partial) = a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, we only need to prove the necessity. By the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $\Delta = 1$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = a(\partial) = a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying both sides of (5.8) to c_γ gives

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)k(\partial, \lambda) = \beta a(\partial + \lambda). \quad (5.16)$$

It follows that $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and thus $\beta = 0$ (If $\beta \neq 0$, then $a_0 = 0$ and the corresponding extension is trivial). This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we study extensions of conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.3). As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, E_3 in (5.3) is isomorphic to $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\Delta}) \oplus V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$, in which $V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\Delta}) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is an \widetilde{SV} -submodule and the action of \widetilde{SV} on $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} &= (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, & M_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} &= g(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \\ N_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} &= \beta v_{\Delta} + k(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, & Y_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} &= h(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

for some $f(\partial, \lambda), g(\partial, \lambda), h(\partial, \lambda), k(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$.

Lemma 5.5. *All trivial extensions of the form (5.3) are given by (5.17) with $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda)$ of the form $(\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial) - (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, and $\beta\phi(\partial) - \bar{\beta}\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, respectively, where ϕ is a polynomial.*

Applying both sides of (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7) to v_{Δ} gives the following functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu k(\partial, \lambda + \mu) &= \beta f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) \\ &\quad - (\partial + \mu + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)k(\partial, \mu) - \bar{\beta}f(\partial + \mu, \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

$$h(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = \bar{\beta}h(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - \beta h(\partial, \mu), \quad (5.19)$$

$$0 = \beta k(\partial, \lambda) + \bar{\beta}k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - \beta k(\partial, \mu) - \bar{\beta}k(\partial + \mu, \lambda). \quad (5.20)$$

By (3.27), we have $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in (3.25) and (3.26) respectively gives that

$$(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})f(\partial, \mu) = (\partial + \Delta\mu + \alpha)f(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, 0), \quad (5.21)$$

$$(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})h(\partial, \mu) = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Lemma 5.6. *If $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$, then there are no nontrivial extensions of conformal \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.3).*

Proof. Obviously, (5.22) gives $h(\partial, \mu) = 0$. By (5.21), we obtain

$$f(\partial, \mu) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}((\partial + \Delta\mu + \alpha)f(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, 0)). \quad (5.23)$$

By (5.18) with $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$k(\partial, \mu) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}(\beta f(\partial, 0) - \bar{\beta}f(\partial + \mu, 0)). \quad (5.24)$$

Recall that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Set $\phi(\partial) = f(\partial, 0)$. By Lemma 5.5, the corresponding extension is trivial. \square

In the following, we always assume that $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$. As in Section 3, we employ a shift by α , which amounts to putting $\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$, $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = f(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$, $\bar{h}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = h(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$ and $\bar{k}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = k(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$. For clarity, we will continue to write ∂ for $\bar{\partial}$, f for \bar{f} , h for \bar{h} and k for \bar{k} . In this case, (3.28), (3.29), (5.19) and (5.20) still hold, whereas (5.18) is equivalent to

$$-\mu k(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = \beta f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)k(\partial, \mu) - \bar{\beta}f(\partial + \mu, \lambda). \quad (5.25)$$

Lemma 5.7. *If $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$, $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\beta} - \beta \neq 1$, then there are no nontrivial extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.3).*

Proof. By (5.19) with $\lambda = 0$, we obtain $h(\partial, \mu) = 0$ since $\bar{\beta} - \beta \neq 1$. Putting $\mu = 0$ in (5.20) and (5.25) respectively, we have $k(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{\beta k(\partial, 0) - \bar{\beta} k(\partial + \lambda, 0)}{\beta - \bar{\beta}}$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{(\partial + \Delta \lambda)k(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda)k(\partial + \lambda, 0)}{\beta - \bar{\beta}}$. Recall that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. By Lemma 5.5, the corresponding extension is trivial. \square

By Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7, it is left to consider the following three cases: (1) $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta} - \beta = 1$; (2) $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta} = 0$; (3) $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta} \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.8. *If $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta} - \beta = 1$, then nontrivial extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.3) exist if and only if $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{\bar{\beta}+1}{2}, \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2})$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by (5.17), where $(\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha)$*

(i) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ with $a_0 \neq 0$.

(ii) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{\bar{\beta}+1}{2}, \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2})$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = g(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial, \lambda) = a_1(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\beta}\lambda)$ with $a_1 \neq 0$.

Furthermore, the space $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta), V(\alpha, \beta + 1, \bar{\Delta}))$ is 1-dimensional in cases (i) and (ii).

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, we only need to prove the necessity. Putting $\mu = 0$ in (5.20) and (5.25) along with $\bar{\beta} - \beta = 1$, we have $k(\partial, \lambda) = \bar{\beta}k(\partial + \lambda, 0) - \beta k(\partial, 0)$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)k(\partial + \lambda, 0) - (\partial + \Delta\lambda)k(\partial, 0)$. They are trivial cocycles by Lemma 5.5. Thus we can assume that $k(\partial, \lambda) = f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. Recall that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. It is left to calculate $h(\partial, \lambda)$, which is restricted by (3.29) and (5.19). Thus we may check if the nontrivial polynomials from Lemma 3.6 satisfy (5.19). Finally, we conclude that

$$h(\partial, \lambda) = \begin{cases} a_0, & \Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ a_0(\partial + \bar{\beta}\lambda), & (\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{\bar{\beta}+1}{2}, \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.26)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.9. *If $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta} = 0$, then nontrivial extensions of \widetilde{SV} -modules of the form (5.3) exist only if $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by (5.17), where $(\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha)$*

(i) $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1\lambda$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ with $(c_0, c_1, a_0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.

(ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_1\lambda$ with $a_1 \neq 0$.

(iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_2\lambda^2(2\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_2\lambda(\bar{\partial} - \bar{\Delta}\lambda)$ with $(c_2, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

(iv) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -2)$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_3\bar{\partial}\lambda^2(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_3\lambda(\bar{\partial}^2 + 3\bar{\partial}\lambda + 2\lambda^2)$ with $(c_3, a_3) \neq (0, 0)$.

(iv') $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 3$, $\bar{\Delta} \neq -2$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_3 \bar{\partial} \lambda^2 (\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$ with $c_3 \neq 0$.

(v) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 4$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_5 \lambda^2 (4\bar{\partial}^3 + 6\bar{\partial}^2 \lambda - \bar{\partial} \lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta} \lambda^3)$ with $c_5 \neq 0$.

(vi) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -4)$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_6 (\bar{\partial}^4 \lambda^2 - 10\bar{\partial}^2 \lambda^4 - 17\bar{\partial} \lambda^5 - 8\lambda^6)$ with $c_6 \neq 0$.

(vii) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, -\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_7 (\bar{\partial}^4 \lambda^3 - (2\bar{\Delta} + 3)\bar{\partial}^3 \lambda^4 - 3\bar{\Delta} \bar{\partial}^2 \lambda^5 - (3\bar{\Delta} + 1)\bar{\partial} \lambda^6 - (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28})\lambda^7)$ with $c_7 \neq 0$.

Proof. By (5.19), we see $h(\partial, \mu) = 0$ due to $\beta = \bar{\beta}$. Recall that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. It is left to determine $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda)$, whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extension.

Since $\beta = \bar{\beta} = 0$, (5.25) reduces to

$$-\mu k(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda) k(\partial, \mu). \quad (5.27)$$

By the nature of (5.27), we may assume that a solution to (5.27) is a homogeneous polynomial in ∂ and λ of degree n . Assume that $n \geq 1$. Write $k(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} \lambda^i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Plugging this into (5.27) gives

$$-\mu \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} (\lambda + \mu)^i = (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (\partial + \lambda)^{n-i} \mu^i - (\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda) \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} \mu^i. \quad (5.28)$$

Comparing the coefficients of λ^{n+1} in (5.28), we get $a_0 = 0$ since $\bar{\Delta} \neq 0$. This implies that $k(\partial, \lambda) = \lambda \tilde{k}(\partial, \lambda)$, where $\tilde{k}(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} \lambda^{i-1}$. Then (5.28) amounts to

$$(\lambda + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} (\lambda + \mu)^{i-1} = (\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} \mu^{i-1} - (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\partial + \lambda)^{n-i} \mu^{i-1}. \quad (5.29)$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (5.29), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial^{n-i} \lambda^i = (\partial + \Delta \lambda) a_1 \partial^{n-1} - (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) a_1 (\partial + \lambda)^{n-1}. \quad (5.30)$$

We see from (5.30) that, if $a_1 = 0$, all $a_i = 0$ and thus $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. A contradiction. Thus $a_1 \neq 0$. Comparing the coefficients of $\partial^{n-1} \lambda$ in (5.30), we obtain $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = n$. Equating the coefficients of $\partial^{n-i} \lambda^i$ in (5.29) gives

$$a_i = -a_1 \binom{n-1}{i} - a_1 \bar{\Delta} \binom{n-1}{i-1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n. \quad (5.31)$$

Assume that $n \geq 4$. Comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^{n-1} \mu$ in (5.29) gives $na_n = -\bar{\Delta} a_2$ and hence by (5.31), $n\bar{\Delta} = -(\binom{n-1}{2} + (n-1)\bar{\Delta})\bar{\Delta}$. Since $\bar{\Delta} \neq 0$, we have

$$\binom{n-1}{2} + (n-1)\bar{\Delta} + n = 0. \quad (5.32)$$

Equating the coefficients of $\partial\lambda^{n-2}\mu$ in (5.29) gives $(n-1)a_{n-1} = -(1+(n-2)\bar{\Delta})a_2$. By (5.31) again,

$$(n-1)(1+(n-1)\bar{\Delta}) = -(1+(n-2)\bar{\Delta})\left(\binom{n-1}{2} + (n-1)\bar{\Delta}\right). \quad (5.33)$$

Combining (5.32) with (5.33) gives $\bar{\Delta} = 1$. Then (5.32) becomes $\binom{n-1}{2} + 2n - 1 = 0$, which certainly cannot happen, because n would not be an integer. Therefore n can be at most three, namely, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

We first consider the case $n = 3$. By (5.31), we have $a_2 = -(1+2\bar{\Delta})a_1$ and $a_3 = -a_1\bar{\Delta}$. Thus we may assume that $k(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2\lambda - (1+2\bar{\Delta})\partial\lambda^2 - \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3$. Plugging this into (5.27) and combining with the fact that $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 3$, we obtain (after simplification)

$$(4\bar{\Delta} + 2\bar{\Delta}^2)\lambda^2\mu^2 = 0. \quad (5.34)$$

It follows $\bar{\Delta} = -2$. Thus $\Delta = 1$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_1\lambda(\partial^2 + 3\partial\lambda + 2\lambda^2)$ with $a_1 \neq 0$.

Similarly, one can easily obtain the following ($a_0 \neq 0, a_1 \neq 0$):

- (1) For $n = 0$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ and $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$.
- (2) For $n = 1$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_1\lambda$ and $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$.
- (3) For $n = 2$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_1\lambda(\partial - \bar{\Delta}\lambda)$ and $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$.

Finally, note that the polynomial $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is completely determined by (3.28), which is exactly the Virasoro case. Therefore, combining with Theorem 2.8, we obtain the results. \square

Theorem 5.10. *If $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta} \neq 0$, then nontrivial extensions of $\widetilde{S\bar{V}}$ -modules of the form (5.3) exist only if $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 0, 1, 2$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by (5.17), where $(\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha)$*

- (i) $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1\lambda$ with $(a_0, c_0, c_1) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2\lambda$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_2\lambda^2$ with $(b_2, c_2) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2\lambda^2$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{b_2}{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\partial}\lambda^2 + c_3\lambda^3$ with $(b_2, c_3) \neq (0, 0)$.

Moreover, the space $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta), V(\alpha, \beta, \bar{\Delta}))$ is 3-dimensional in case (i) and 2-dimensional in cases (ii) and (iii).

Proof. By (5.19) with $\lambda = 0$, we have $h(\partial, \mu) = 0$. Recall that $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$. It is left to determine $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda)$, whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extension. Since $\beta = \bar{\beta} \neq 0$, (5.20) and (5.25) reduce to

$$0 = k(\partial, \lambda) + k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - k(\partial, \mu) - k(\partial + \mu, \lambda), \quad (5.35)$$

$$\beta(f(\partial + \mu, \lambda) - f(\partial, \lambda)) = \mu k(\partial, \lambda + \mu) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)k(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)k(\partial, \mu). \quad (5.36)$$

We can write $k(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \partial^{n-i} \lambda^i$, where $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

If $m = 0$, then $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$. By (5.36), we have

$$\beta(f(\partial + \mu, \lambda) - f(\partial, \lambda)) = a_0(\bar{\Delta} - \Delta)\lambda, \quad (5.37)$$

which implies that $\deg(f) \leq 1$ and $f(\partial, 0) = 0$ when $\deg(f) = 1$. Thus $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_1\lambda + c_0$. Substituting this into (3.28) and (5.37), we obtain $a_0(\bar{\Delta} - \Delta) = c_0(\bar{\Delta} - \Delta) = 0$. We claim that $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$. If not, $a_0 = c_0 = 0$, namely, $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_1\lambda$. By Lemma 5.5, the corresponding extension is trivial. Therefore, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_1\lambda + c_0$ as required. The corresponding extension is nontrivial unless $(a_0, c_0, c_1) = (0, 0, 0)$.

In the following we assume that $m \geq 1$. Substituting $k(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$ into (5.35) with $\mu = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$. Differentiating (5.35) with respect to λ , we obtain

$$0 = k_\lambda(\partial, \lambda) + k_\partial(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - k_\lambda(\partial + \mu, \lambda), \quad (5.38)$$

where k_λ and k_∂ above denote the partial derivatives of $k(\partial, \lambda)$ with respect to λ and ∂ respectively. Now we put $\lambda = 0$ in (5.38) and get

$$k_\partial(\partial, \mu) = k_\lambda(\partial + \mu, 0) - k_\lambda(\partial, 0) = a_1((\partial + \mu)^{m-1} - \partial^{m-1}), \quad (5.39)$$

which amounts to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i(m-i)\partial^{m-i-1}\mu^i = a_1 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{i} \partial^{m-i-1} \mu^i.$$

Equating the coefficients of $\partial^{m-i-1}\mu^i$ gives $a_i = \binom{m-1}{i} \frac{a_1}{m-i} = \binom{m}{i} \frac{a_1}{m}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} k(\partial, \lambda) &= \frac{a_1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i + a_m \lambda^m \\ &= \frac{a_1}{m} ((\partial + \lambda)^m - \partial^m - \lambda^m) + a_m \lambda^m \\ &= b_1 ((\partial + \lambda)^m - \partial^m) + b_2 \lambda^m, \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

where $b_1 = \frac{a_1}{m}$ and $b_2 = a_m - \frac{a_1}{m}$. Set $\phi(\partial) = \frac{\partial^m}{\beta}$, $k_1(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \lambda)^m - \partial^m$. Then $k_1(\partial, \lambda) = \beta(\phi(\partial + \lambda) - \phi(\partial))$, which is a trivial cocycle by Lemma 5.5. Thus we may assume that $b_1 = 0$ in (5.40). Now we have $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2 \lambda^m$. Substituting this into (5.36), we obtain

$$\beta(f(\partial + \mu, \lambda) - f(\partial, \lambda)) = b_2 \mu (\lambda + \mu)^m + b_2 (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) \mu^m - b_2 (\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda) \mu^m. \quad (5.41)$$

We see from (5.41) that $\deg(f) = m + 1$. By [3, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2], we must have $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = m$ (if not, we may assume $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, then $b_2 = 0$ by (5.41). Thus $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and the corresponding extension is trivial.) Differentiating (5.41) with respect to μ , we obtain

$$\beta f_\partial(\partial + \mu, \lambda) = b_2 ((\lambda + \mu)^m + m\mu(\lambda + \mu)^{m-1} + m(\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) \mu^{m-1} - \mu^m - m(\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda) \mu^{m-1}).$$

Taking $\mu = 0$ gives

$$f_{\partial}(\partial, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & m = 1, \\ \frac{b_2}{\beta} \lambda^m, & m \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.42)$$

Thus (recall that $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is assumed to be homogenous in ∂ and λ of degree $m + 1$), we have

$$f(\partial, \lambda) = \begin{cases} c_2 \lambda^2, & m = 1, \\ \frac{b_2}{\beta} \partial \lambda^m + c_3 \lambda^{m+1}, & m \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.43)$$

Assume that $m = 1$. By the discussions above, we have $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2 \lambda$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_2 \lambda^2$. The corresponding extension is nontrivial in the case $(b_2, c_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

Now assume that $m = 2$. In this case, $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2 \lambda^2$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{b_2}{\beta} \partial \lambda^2 + c_3 \lambda^3$. One can check that they are unique (up to the same scalar) solutions to (3.28), (5.35) and (5.27). And it is easy to see that the corresponding extension is nontrivial in the case $(b_2, c_3) \neq (0, 0)$.

Finally consider the case $m \geq 3$. By (5.43), $f(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{b_2}{\beta} \partial \lambda^m + c_3 \lambda^{m+1}$. Plugging this into (5.41), we obtain (after simplification)

$$b_2 \lambda^m = b_2 (\lambda + \mu)^m + b_2 \bar{\Delta} \lambda \mu^{m-1} - b_2 \mu^m - b_2 \Delta \lambda \mu^{m-1}. \quad (5.44)$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^2 \mu^{m-2}$ in the equation above, we get $\binom{m}{2} b_2 = 0$ and thus $b_2 = 0$. Then $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_3 \lambda^{m+1}$. Substituting this into (3.28) and then comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^2 \mu^m$, we obtain $\left((m+1) - \binom{m+1}{2} \right) c_3 = 0$, leading to $c_3 = 0$. Thus $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, and the extension is trivial. This completes the proof. \square

6 Applications to the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra

The Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra, denoted by HV, was introduced in [10] as a subalgebra of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra. Recall that $\text{HV} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]N$ with the λ -brackets defined by (1.4). It was shown in [13] that every finite irreducible conformal HV-module is either $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$, with actions defined by

$$L_{\lambda} v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda) v_{\Delta}, \quad N_{\lambda} v_{\Delta} = \beta v_{\Delta}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, or else it is the one-dimensional module $\mathbb{C}c_{\gamma}$ with $L_{\lambda} c_{\gamma} = N_{\lambda} c_{\gamma} = 0$, and $\partial c_{\gamma} = \gamma c_{\gamma}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing (6.1) with (4.1), we see that a finite nontrivial irreducible conformal $\widetilde{\text{SV}}$ -module is just an irreducible conformal HV-module with trivial actions of M and Y . This allows us to use the classification of extensions of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro conformal modules obtained in Section 5 to solve the extension problem for the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra.

Consider the following three types of extensions between two finite irreducible conformal modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (6.2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_\gamma \longrightarrow 0, \quad (6.3)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E_3 \longrightarrow V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (6.4)$$

where $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(\bar{\Delta}, \bar{\beta}) \neq (0, 0)$.

As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, E_1 in (6.2) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma \oplus V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$, where $\mathbb{C}c_\gamma$ is an HV-submodule and $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ with

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad N_\lambda v_\Delta = \beta v_\Delta + k(\lambda)c_\gamma, \quad f(\lambda), k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]. \quad (6.5)$$

By Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, we have

Corollary 6.1. *Nontrivial extensions of HV-modules of the form (6.2) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$ or 2 . They are given, up to equivalence, by (6.5), where*

(i) $k(\lambda) = k_1\lambda$, $f(\lambda) = f_2\lambda^2$, for $\Delta = 1$ and $(k_1, f_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

(ii) $k(\lambda) = 0$, $f(\lambda) = f_3\lambda^3$, for $\Delta = 2$ and $f_3 \neq 0$.

Furthermore, all trivial cocycles are given by the same scalar multiples of the polynomials $f(\lambda) = \alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda$ and $k(\lambda) = \beta$.

As a vector space, E_2 in (6.3) is isomorphic to $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) \oplus \mathbb{C}c_\gamma$. Here $V(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ is an HV-submodule and we have

$$L_\lambda c_\gamma = f(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad N_\lambda c_\gamma = k(\partial, \lambda)v_\Delta, \quad \partial c_\gamma = \gamma c_\gamma + a(\partial)v_\Delta, \quad (6.6)$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda), k(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$ and $a(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$.

By Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4, we have

Corollary 6.2. *Nontrivial extensions of HV-modules of the form (6.3) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. The unique nontrivial extension is given, up to equivalence, by (6.6) with $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = a(\partial) = a_0$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Furthermore, all trivial extensions correspond to the triples of the form $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = \beta\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, and $a(\partial) = (\partial - \gamma)\phi(\partial)$, where ϕ is a polynomial.*

Let E_3 be an extension of the form (6.4). As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, E_3 in (6.4) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Delta}} \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$, where $\mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Delta}}$ is an HV-submodule and the action of HV on $\mathbb{C}[\partial]v_\Delta$ is given by

$$L_\lambda v_\Delta = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_\Delta + f(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad N_\lambda v_\Delta = \beta v_\Delta + k(\partial, \lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad (6.7)$$

for some polynomials $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda)$.

By Lemma 5.5 and Theorems 5.9–5.10, we have

Corollary 6.3. *Nontrivial extensions of HV-modules of the form (6.3) exist only if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta}$. These extensions are given, up to equivalence, by (6.7), where the values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with the corresponding polynomials $f(\partial, \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda)$ are listed as follows ($\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$):*

• For $\beta = \bar{\beta} = 0$:

- (i) $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1\lambda$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ with $(c_0, c_1, a_0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_1\lambda$ with $a_1 \neq 0$.
- (iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_2\lambda^2(2\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_2\lambda(\bar{\partial} - \bar{\Delta}\lambda)$ with $(c_2, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (iv) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -2)$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_3\bar{\partial}\lambda^2(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_3\lambda(\bar{\partial}^2 + 3\bar{\partial}\lambda + 2\lambda^2)$ with $(c_3, a_3) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (iv') $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 3$, $\bar{\Delta} \neq -2$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_3\bar{\partial}\lambda^2(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$ with $c_3 \neq 0$.
- (v) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 4$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_5\lambda^2(4\bar{\partial}^3 + 6\bar{\partial}^2\lambda - \bar{\partial}\lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3)$ with $c_5 \neq 0$.
- (vi) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, -4)$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_6(\bar{\partial}^4\lambda^2 - 10\bar{\partial}^2\lambda^4 - 17\bar{\partial}\lambda^5 - 8\lambda^6)$ with $c_6 \neq 0$.
- (vii) $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (\frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, -\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_7(\bar{\partial}^4\lambda^3 - (2\bar{\Delta} + 3)\bar{\partial}^3\lambda^4 - 3\bar{\Delta}\bar{\partial}^2\lambda^5 - (3\bar{\Delta} + 1)\bar{\partial}\lambda^6 - (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28})\lambda^7)$ with $c_7 \neq 0$.

• For $\beta = \bar{\beta} \neq 0$:

- (i) $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1\lambda$ with $(a_0, c_0, c_1) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.
- (ii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2\lambda$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_2\lambda^2$ with $(b_2, c_2) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (iii) $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $k(\partial, \lambda) = b_2\lambda^2$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = \frac{b_2}{\beta}\bar{\partial}\lambda^2 + c_3\lambda^3$ with $(b_2, c_3) \neq (0, 0)$.

Furthermore, all trivial extensions correspond to pairs of the form $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial) - (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$ and $k(\partial, \lambda) = \beta\phi(\partial) - \bar{\beta}\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, where ϕ is a polynomial.

Remark 6.4. *We studied extensions of Heisenber-Virasoro conformal modules in [8]. However, there exist some mistakes in the main results. Thus we correct the mistakes by Corollaries 6.1–6.3 in this paper.*

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11301109), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20132302120042) and China Scholarship Council.

References

- [1] B. Bakalov, V. Kac, A. Voronov, Cohomology of conformal algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 200 (1999) 561–598.
- [2] S.-J. Cheng, V. Kac, Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.*, 1(1) (1997) 181–193.
- [3] S.-J. Cheng, V. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Extensions of conformal modules, *In Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics*, *Progr. Math.* Vol. 160., Kashiwara, M., et al. Eds. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1998; 79-129.

- [4] S.-J. Cheng, V. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Extensions of Neveu-Schwarz conformal modules, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41** (4) (2000) 2271–2294.
- [5] A. D’Andrea, V. Kac, Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, *Sel. Math., New Ser.*, **4** (1998) 377–418.
- [6] V. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners. Univ. Lect. Series 10, AMS (1996). Second edition 1998.
- [7] V. Kac, The idea of locality, in: H.-D. Doebner, et al. (Eds.), Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, (1997) 16–32.
- [8] K. Ling, L. Yuan, Extensions of modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra, *Internat. J. Math.*, **28**(5) (2017) 1750036, 13pp.
- [9] Ngau Lam, Extensions of modules over supercurrent conformal algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **29**(7) (2001) 3061–3068.
- [10] Y. Su, L. Yuan, Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, *J. Math. Phys.*, **54** (2013) 053503, 16pp.
- [11] Y. Su, C. Xia, L. Yuan, Classification of finite irreducible conformal modules over a class of Lie conformal algebras of Block type, *J. Algebra*, **499** (2018) 321–336.
- [12] Y. Su, X. Yue, Filtered Lie conformal algebras whose associated graded algebras are isomorphic to that of general conformal algebra gc_1 , *J. Algebra*, **340** (2011) 182–198.
- [13] H. Wu, L. Yuan, Classification of finite irreducible conformal modules over some Lie conformal algebras related to the Virasoro conformal algebra, *J. Math. Phys.*, **58** (2017) 041701.
- [14] L. Yuan, H. Wu, Cohomology of Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra, *J. Lie Theory*, **26** (2016) 1187–1197.
- [15] L. Yuan, H. Wu, Structures of $W(2,2)$ Lie conformal algebra, *Open Math.*, **14** (2016) 629–640.