Extensions of modules over a class of Lie conformal algebras $\mathcal{W}(b)$

Kaijing Ling, Lamei Yuan *

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China E-mails: kjling_edu@126.com, lmyuan@hit.edu.cn

Abstract: Let $\mathcal{W}(b)$ be a class of free Lie conformal algebras of rank 2 with $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -basis $\{L, H\}$ and relations

$$[L_{\lambda}L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \ [L_{\lambda}H] = (\partial + (1-b)\lambda)H, \ [H_{\lambda}H] = 0,$$

where b is a nonzero complex number. In this paper, we classify extensions between two finite irreducible conformal modules over the Lie conformal algebras $\mathcal{W}(b)$.

Key words: Lie conformal algebra, conformal modules, extensions

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 17B65, 17B68

1 Introduction

Conformal modules of Lie conformal algebras were introduced in [4]. Cheng and Kac studied finite conformal modules over the Virasoro, the Neveu-Schwarz and the current conformal algebras in [1]. In general, conformal modules are not completely reducible. Thus it is necessary to study the extension problem. In [2,3], Cheng, Kac and Wakimoto classified extensions between finite conformal modules over the Virasoro, the current, the Neveu-Schwarz and the semi-direct sum of the Virasoro and the current conformal algebras. In [5], Ngau Lam solved the same problem for the supercurrent conformal algebras by using the techniques developed in [2].

In this paper, we aim to study extensions between conformal modules over a class of Lie conformal algebras $\mathcal{W}(b)$, which was introduced in [7] as the Lie conformal algebras associated with the Lie algebras W(a,b) with $a,b \in \mathbb{C}$. By definition, the Lie conformal algebra $\mathcal{W}(b) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]H$ with the λ -bracket

$$[L_{\lambda}L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L, \quad [L_{\lambda}H] = (\partial + (1-b)\lambda)H, \quad [H_{\lambda}H] = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Finite irreducible conformal modules over $\mathcal{W}(b)$ were classified in [8]. It turns out that any finite irreducible conformal $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -module is free of rank one and of the form $M(\alpha, \beta, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ with $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ if b = 0 or $M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ if $b \neq 0$ (see Proposition 2.5).

For each b, the Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{W}(b)$ is a semidirect product of the Virasoro conformal algebra $\operatorname{Vir} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L$ with $[L_{\lambda}L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L$ and the conformal Vir-module $\mathbb{C}[\partial]H$ on which the action of L is given by $L_{\lambda}H = (\partial + (1-b)\lambda)H$. Two special cases of $\mathscr{W}(b)$ should be pointed out. One is $\mathscr{W}(0)$, which is called Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra in [7]. Its cohomology was studied in [9]. The other one is $\mathscr{W}(-1)$, which is exactly the W(2,2) Lie conformal algebra. Its conformal derivations and central extensions were studied in [10].

In this paper, we will consider extensions of conformal $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules with $b \neq 0$, since this problem for $\mathcal{W}(0)$ -modules have been solved in [6]. The rest paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall

^{*}Corresponding author: lmyuan@hit.edu.cn (Lamei Yuan)

the notions of conformal modules and their extensions, and some known results. In Section 3, we consider extensions of conformal $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the following three types:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.4}$$

where $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ and $M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta})$ are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules, and $\mathbb{C}c_{\gamma}$ is the 1-dimensional $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -module with $L_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = H_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = 0$ and $\partial c_{\gamma} = \gamma c_{\gamma}$. During the whole process, we use some results of the Virasoro conformal algebra from [2]. The main results of our paper are summarized in Theorems 3.2, 3.4 and 3.7.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions, notations, and related results for later use. For more details, the reader is referred to [1, 2, 8].

Definition 2.1. A *conformal module M* over a Lie conformal algebra R is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module endowed with a \mathbb{C} -linear map $R \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$, $a \mapsto a_{\lambda}^{M}$, satisfying the following conditions for all $a, b \in R$:

$$[a_{\lambda}^{M}, b_{\mu}^{M}] = a_{\lambda}^{M} b_{\mu}^{M} - b_{\mu}^{M} a_{\lambda}^{M} = [a_{\lambda} b]_{\lambda+\mu}^{M},$$
$$(\partial a)_{\lambda}^{M} = [\partial, a_{\lambda}^{M}] = -\lambda a_{\lambda}^{M}.$$

A module M over a conformal algebra R is called *finite*, if M is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$. A module M over a conformal algebra R is called *irreducible* if there is no nontrivial invariant subspace.

The vector space \mathbb{C} is viewed as a trivial module with trivial actions of ∂ and R. For a fixed nonzero complex constant α , there is a natural $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module structure on $\mathbb{C}c_{\alpha}$, such that $\mathbb{C}c_{\alpha} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\partial c_{\alpha} = \alpha c_{\alpha}$. Then $\mathbb{C}c_{\alpha}$ becomes an R-module with trivial action of R.

Let *V* and *W* be two modules over a Lie conformal algebra (or a Lie algebra) *R*. An *extension* of *W* by *V* is an exact sequence of *R*-modules of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow V \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} E \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} W \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Two extensions $0 \longrightarrow V \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} E \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} W \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow V \stackrel{i'}{\longrightarrow} E' \stackrel{p'}{\longrightarrow} W \longrightarrow 0$ are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a commutative diagram of the form

where $1_V: V \to V$ and $1_W: W \to W$ are the respective identity maps and $\psi: E \to E'$ is a homomorphism of modules.

The direct sum of modules $V \oplus W$ obviously gives rise to an extension. Extensions equivalent to it are called *trivial extensions*. In general, an extension can be thought of as the direct sum of vector spaces $E = V \oplus W$, where V is a submodule of E, while for W in W we have:

$$a \cdot w = aw + \phi_a(w), \ a \in R,$$

where $\phi_a: W \to V$ is a linear map satisfying the cocycle condition:

$$\phi_{[a,b]}(w) = \phi_a(bw) + a\phi_b(w) - \phi_b(aw) - b\phi_a(w), \ b \in R.$$

The set of these cocycles form a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Cocycles equivalent to the trivial extension are called *coboundaries*. They form a subspace and the quotient space by it is denoted by $\operatorname{Ext}(W,V)$.

For the Virasoro conformal algebra Vir, it was shown in [1] that all free nontrivial Vir-modules of rank one over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are the following ones $(\Delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$:

$$M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Lambda}, \ L_{\lambda}v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Lambda}.$$
 (2.2)

The module $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ is irreducible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$. The module $M(\alpha, 0)$ contains a unique nontrivial submodule $(\partial + \alpha)M(\alpha, 0)$ isomorphic to $M(\alpha, 1)$. Moreover, the modules $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ exhaust all finite irreducible non-1-dimensional Vir-modules.

In [2], extensions over the Virasoro conformal modules of the following types have been classified:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.5}$$

The following are the corresponding results.

Theorem 2.2. (cf. Ref. [2]) Nontrivial extensions of the form (2.3) exist if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$ or 2. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\lambda)c_{\gamma},$$

where

(i)
$$f(\lambda) = c_2 \lambda^2$$
, for $\Delta = 1$ and $c_2 \neq 0$.

(ii)
$$f(\lambda) = c_3 \lambda^3$$
, for $\Delta = 2$ and $c_3 \neq 0$.

Furthermore, all trivial cocycles are given by scalar multiples of the polynomial $f(\lambda) = \alpha + \gamma + \Delta \lambda$.

Theorem 2.3. (cf. Ref. [2]) There are nontrivial extensions of Virasoro conformal modules of the form (2.4) if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. These extensions are given (up to equivalence) by

$$L_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = f(\partial,\lambda)v_{\Delta}, \ \partial c_{\gamma} = \gamma c_{\gamma} + h(\partial)v_{\Delta},$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda) = h(\partial) = a_0$, and $a_0 \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.4. (cf. Ref. [2]) Nontrivial extensions of the form (2.5) of Virasoro conformal modules exist only if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. In these cases, they are given (up to equivalence) by

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Lambda}}.$$

And the following is a complete list of values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with the corresponding polynomials $f(\partial, \lambda)$, whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extensions (by replacing ∂ by $\partial + \alpha$):

(i)
$$\Delta = \bar{\Delta}, f_1(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1 \lambda, (c_0, c_1) \neq (0, 0).$$

(ii)
$$\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = 0, f_2(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \partial + c_1 \partial \lambda + c_2 \lambda^2$$
, where $(c_0, c_1, c_2) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.

(iii)
$$\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 2, f_3(\partial, \lambda) = \lambda^2(2\partial + \lambda).$$

(iv)
$$\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 3$$
, $f_4(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \lambda^2 (\partial + \lambda)$.

(v)
$$\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 4$$
, $f_5(\partial, \lambda) = \lambda^2 (4\partial^3 + 6\partial^2 \lambda - \partial \lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3)$.

(vi)
$$\Delta = 5, \bar{\Delta} = 0, f_6(\partial, \lambda) = 5\partial^4 \lambda^2 + 10\partial^2 \lambda^4 - \partial \lambda^5$$
.

(vi')
$$\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -4, f_{6'}(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^4 \lambda^2 - 10\partial^2 \lambda^4 - 17\partial \lambda^5 - 8\lambda^6$$
.

$$(\text{vii}) \ \ \Delta = \frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, \\ \Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 6, \\ f_7(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^4 \lambda^3 - (2\bar{\Delta} + 3)\partial^3 \lambda^4 - 3\bar{\Delta}\partial^2 \lambda^5 - (3\bar{\Delta} + 1)\partial \lambda^6 - (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28})\lambda^7.$$

The following result, due to [8], gives all finite free irreducible conformal modules over the Lie conformal algebras $\mathcal{W}(b)$.

Proposition 2.5. (cf. Ref. [8]) Any finite free irreducible conformal module over the Lie conformal algebra $\mathcal{W}(b)$ is of the form

$$M = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}, L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta}, H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = \delta_{b,0}v_{\Delta},$$

with Δ , α , $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $(\Delta, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.

In this paper, we denote the $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -module M from Proposition 2.5 by $M(\alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ if b = 0, and $M(\alpha, \Delta)$ if $b \neq 0$, respectively.

3 Extensions of conformal $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules

In this section, we always assume that $b \neq 0$. In this case, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that any finite free irreducible conformal module over the Lie conformal algebra $\mathcal{W}(b)$ is of the form

$$M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}, \ L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta}, \ H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = 0,$$

where Δ , $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\Delta \neq 0$.

Let M be a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module. By Definition 2.1, a $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -module structure on M is given by $L^M_{\lambda}, H^M_{\mu} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)[\lambda]$ such that

$$[L_{\lambda}^{M}, L_{\mu}^{M}] = (\lambda - \mu)L_{\lambda + \mu}^{M}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$[L_{\lambda}^{M}, H_{\mu}^{M}] = -(b\lambda + \mu)H_{\lambda + \mu}^{M}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[H_{\lambda}^{M}, L_{\mu}^{M}] = (\lambda + b\mu)H_{\lambda + \mu}^{M}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$[H_{\lambda}^M, H_{\mu}^M] = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$[\partial, L_{\lambda}^{M}] = -\lambda L_{\lambda}^{M}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$[\partial, H_{\lambda}^{M}] = -\lambda H_{\lambda}^{M}. \tag{3.6}$$

First, we consider extensions of $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.7}$$

As a module over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$, E in (3.7) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \oplus M(\alpha, \Delta)$, where $\mathbb{C}c_{\gamma}$ is a $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -submodule, and $M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ such that the following identities hold in E:

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\lambda)c_{\gamma}, H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = g(\lambda)c_{\gamma}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \lambda^n$, $g(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n \lambda^n$, with $f_n, g_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.1. All trivial extensions of the form (3.7) are given by (3.8), where $f(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha + \gamma + \Delta \lambda$, and $g(\lambda) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that (3.7) represents a trivial cocycle. This means that the exact sequence (3.7) is split and hence there exists $v'_{\Delta} = \varphi(\partial)v_{\Delta} + ac_{\gamma} \in E$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta}' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\varphi(\partial)v_{\Delta} + a(\gamma + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)c_{\gamma},$$

and $H_{\lambda}v'_{\Delta}=0$. On the other hand, it follows from (3.8) that

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = \varphi(\partial + \lambda)(\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + \varphi(\partial + \lambda)f(\lambda)c_{\gamma},$$

$$H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = \varphi(\partial + \lambda)g(\lambda)c_{\gamma}.$$

Comparing both expressions for $L_{\lambda}\nu'_{\Delta}$ and $H_{\lambda}\nu'_{\Delta}$ respectively, we see that $\varphi(\partial)$ is a constant. Therefore $f(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha + \gamma + \Delta\lambda$, and $g(\lambda) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. There are nontrivial extensions of $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form (3.7) if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$. Moreover, these nontrivial extensions are given (up to equivalence) by (3.8), where, if $g(\lambda) = 0$, then $\Delta = 1, 2$ and $f(\lambda)$ is from the nonzero polynomials of Theorem 2.2 for all $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{C}$, or else $g(\lambda) = a$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Delta = b$ and

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} c_2 \lambda^2, & \text{for } b = 1, \\ c_3 \lambda^3, & \text{for } b = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (3.9)

with $c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Applying both sides of (3.1) and (3.2) to v_{Δ} , we obtain

$$(\alpha + \gamma + \lambda + \Delta \mu)f(\lambda) - (\alpha + \gamma + \mu + \Delta \lambda)f(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu)f(\lambda + \mu), \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\alpha + \gamma + \mu + \Delta \lambda)g(\mu) = (b\lambda + \mu)g(\lambda + \mu). \tag{3.11}$$

Setting $\lambda = 0$ in (3.11) gives

$$(\alpha + \gamma)g(\mu) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Case 1. $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$.

By (3.12), $g(\mu) = 0$. Setting $\mu = 0$ in (3.10), we see that $f(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $\alpha + \gamma + \Delta \lambda$. Thus, the extension is trivial by Lemma 3.1.

Case 2. $\alpha + \gamma = 0$.

Putting $\mu = 0$ in (3.11), we obtain $g(\lambda) = \frac{\Delta}{b}g(0)$, which gives $g(\lambda)$ is a constant. If $g(\lambda) = a \neq 0$, then $\Delta = b$. By Theorem 2.2, $f(\lambda) = c_2\lambda^2$ if b = 1, $f(\lambda) = c_3\lambda^3$ if b = 2, and $f(\lambda) = 0$ if $b \neq 1$ or 2. In these cases, the corresponding extensions are nontrivial. If $g(\lambda) = 0$, then it reduces to the Virasoro case. By Theorem 2.2, we obtain the result.

Next we consider extensions of $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.13}$$

As a vector space, E in (3.13) is isomorphic to $M(\alpha, \Delta) \oplus \mathbb{C}c_{\gamma}$. Here $M(\alpha, \Delta) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$ is a $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -submodule and we have

$$L_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = f(\partial,\lambda)v_{\Delta}, \ H_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = g(\partial,\lambda)v_{\Delta}, \ \partial c_{\gamma} = \gamma c_{\gamma} + h(\partial)v_{\Delta},$$
 (3.14)

where $f(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(\partial) \lambda^n$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n(\partial) \lambda^n$, $f_n(\partial)$, $g_n(\partial)$, $h(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$.

Lemma 3.3. All trivial extensions of the form (3.13) are given by (3.14) with $f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $h(\partial) = (\partial - \gamma)\phi(\partial)$, where ϕ is a polynomial.

Proof. Suppose that (3.13) represents a trivial cocycle. This means that the exact sequence (3.13) is split and hence there exists $c_{\gamma}' = ac_{\gamma} + \phi(\partial)v_{\Delta} \in E$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $L_{\lambda}c_{\gamma}' = H_{\lambda}c_{\gamma}' = 0$ and $\partial c_{\gamma}' = \gamma c_{\gamma}'$. On the other hand, a short computation shows that

$$L_{\lambda}c'_{\gamma} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)v_{\Delta} + af(\partial,\lambda)v_{\Delta},$$

$$H_{\lambda}c'_{\gamma} = ag(\partial,\lambda)v_{\Delta},$$

$$\partial c'_{\gamma} = a\gamma c_{\gamma} + (ah(\partial) + \partial\phi(\partial))v_{\Delta}.$$

Comparing both expressions for $L_{\lambda}c'_{\gamma}$, $H_{\lambda}c'_{\gamma}$ and $\partial c'_{\gamma}$ respectively, we obtain the result.

Theorem 3.4. There are nontrivial extensions of $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form (3.13) if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}c_{-\alpha}, \operatorname{Ext}(M(\alpha, 1))) = 1$, and the unique (up to a scalar) nontrivial extension is given by

$$L_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = av_{\Delta}, \ H_{\lambda}c_{\gamma} = 0, \ \partial c_{\gamma} = \gamma c_{\gamma} + av_{\Delta},$$

where a is a nonzero complex number.

Proof. Applying both sides of (3.1), (3.5) and (3.6) to c_{γ} gives the following functional equations:

$$(\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda)f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \mu)f(\alpha + \mu, \lambda) = (\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu), \quad (3.15)$$

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)f(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)h(\partial + \lambda), \tag{3.16}$$

$$(\partial + \lambda - \gamma)g(\partial, \lambda) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Obviously, $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ by (3.17). Replacing ∂ by $\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$ and letting $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = f(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$, and $\bar{h}(\bar{\partial}) = h(\bar{\partial} - \alpha)$, we can rewrite (3.15) and (3.16) in a homogeneous form

$$(\bar{\partial} + \Delta \lambda)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial} + \lambda, \mu) - (\bar{\partial} + \Delta \mu)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial} + \mu, \lambda) = (\lambda - \mu)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda + \mu), \tag{3.18}$$

$$(\bar{\partial} - \alpha + \lambda - \gamma)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = (\bar{\partial} + \Delta\lambda)\bar{h}(\bar{\partial} + \lambda). \tag{3.19}$$

Taking $\mu = 0$ in (3.18), we can obtain that, if degree of \bar{f} is positive, $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $(\bar{\partial} + \Delta \lambda)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$, where $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial} + \lambda)$ is a polynomial in $\bar{\partial} + \lambda$, or else $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Assume that $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial},\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $(\bar{\partial}+\Delta\lambda)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}+\lambda)$. Letting $\lambda=0$ in (3.19), we have $\bar{h}(\bar{\partial})$ is a scalar multiple of $(\bar{\partial}-\alpha-\gamma)\bar{f}(\bar{\partial})$. However, they correspond to the trivial extension by Lemma 3.3.

It is left to consider the case $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = a$. Substituting this into (3.19) gives $\bar{h}(\bar{\partial}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = a$, and in particular, $\alpha + \gamma = 0$, $\Delta = 1$ if $a \neq 0$. The proof is finished.

Finally, we study extensions of conformal $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\Delta}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M(\alpha, \Delta) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.20}$$

where $\Delta, \bar{\Delta} \neq 0$. As a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module, $E \cong \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\bar{\Lambda}} \oplus \mathbb{C}[\partial]v_{\Delta}$. The following identities hold in E

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \ H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = g(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \tag{3.21}$$

where $f(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \ge 0} f_n(\partial) \lambda^n$, $g(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{n \ge 0} g_n(\partial) \lambda^n$, $f_n(\partial)$, $g_n(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ and $f_n(\partial) = g_n(\partial) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

Lemma 3.5. All trivial extensions of the form (3.20) are given by (3.21), where $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $(\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda)\phi(\partial) - (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, where ϕ is a polynomial.

Proof. Suppose that (3.20) represents a trivial cocycle. This means that the exact sequence (3.20) is split and hence there exists $v'_{\Delta} = \psi(\partial)v_{\Delta} + \phi(\partial)v_{\bar{\Delta}} \in E$, such that

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta}' = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)(\psi(\partial)v_{\Delta} + \phi(\partial)v_{\bar{\Delta}}),$$

and $H_{\lambda}v'_{\Delta}=0$. On the other hand, a short computation shows that

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = L_{\lambda}(\psi(\partial)v_{\Delta} + \phi(\partial)v_{\bar{\Delta}})$$

$$= \psi(\partial + \lambda)L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} + \phi(\partial + \lambda)L_{\lambda}v_{\bar{\Delta}}$$

$$= (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)\psi(\partial + \lambda)v_{\Delta} + (\psi(\partial + \lambda)f(\partial, \lambda) + \phi(\partial + \lambda)(\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda))v_{\bar{\Delta}}$$

Comparing both expressions for $L_{\lambda} v'_{\Lambda}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda) \psi(\partial) &= (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda) \psi(\partial + \lambda), \\ (\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda) \phi(\partial) &= f(\partial, \lambda) \psi(\partial + \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) \phi(\partial + \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $\psi(\partial) = a$ with a being a nonzero complex number and hence $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of $(\partial + \alpha + \Delta \lambda)\phi(\partial) - (\partial + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\phi(\partial + \lambda)$. Similarly, we have

$$H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta}' = ag(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}} = 0,$$

leading to
$$g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$$
.

Applying both sides of (3.1) and (3.2) to v_{Δ} gives the following functional equations:

$$(\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \lambda + \Delta \mu + \alpha)f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta \lambda + \alpha)f(\partial, \mu) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, \lambda), (3.22) - (b\lambda + \mu)g(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda + \bar{\alpha})g(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda + \alpha)g(\partial, \mu). (3.23)$$

Putting $\lambda = 0$ in (3.22)and (3.23) gives that

$$(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})f(\partial, \mu) = (\partial + \Delta\mu + \alpha)f(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu + \bar{\alpha})f(\partial + \mu, 0), \tag{3.24}$$

$$(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})g(\partial, \mu) = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Case 1. $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$.

By (3.24) and (3.25), we have $f(\partial, \mu) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} \left((\partial + \Delta \mu + \alpha) f(\partial, 0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \mu + \bar{\alpha}) f(\partial + \mu, 0) \right)$ and $g(\partial, \mu) = 0$. This corresponds to the trivial extension by Lemma 3.5.

Case 2. $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$.

For convenience, we put $\bar{\partial} = \partial + \alpha$ and let $\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = f(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$, $\bar{g}(\bar{\partial}, \lambda) = g(\bar{\partial} - \alpha, \lambda)$. In what follows we will continue to write ∂ for $\bar{\partial}$, f for \bar{f} and g for \bar{g} . Now we can rewrite (3.22) and (3.23) as follows:

$$(\lambda - \mu)f(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \lambda + \Delta\mu)f(\partial, \lambda) + (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)f(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)f(\partial, \mu) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\mu)f(\partial + \mu, \lambda),$$
(3.26)

$$-(b\lambda + \mu)g(\partial, \lambda + \mu) = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)g(\partial + \lambda, \mu) - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)g(\partial, \mu). \tag{3.27}$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (3.27) gives

$$b\lambda g(\partial,\lambda) = (\partial + \Delta\lambda)g(\partial,0) - (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)g(\partial + \lambda,0). \tag{3.28}$$

By the nature of (3.27), we may assume that a solution is a homogeneous polynomial in ∂ and λ of degree m. Hence we will assume from now on that $g(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting this into (3.28) gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} b a_{i} \partial^{m-i} \lambda^{i+1} = (\partial + \Delta \lambda) a_{0} \partial^{m} - (\partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda) a_{0} (\partial + \lambda)^{m}. \tag{3.29}$$

We see that $a_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ if $a_0 = 0$. Then $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$, and it reduces to the Virasoro case.

Now we assume that $a_0 \neq 0$. Comparing the coefficients of $\partial^m \lambda$ in (3.29) gives

$$\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = m + b. \tag{3.30}$$

Equating the coefficients of $\partial^{m-i}\lambda^{i+1}$ in both sides of (3.29), we have

$$ba_i = -a_0 \binom{m}{i+1} - a_0 \bar{\Delta} \binom{m}{i}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m. \tag{3.31}$$

Plugging $g(\partial, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \partial^{m-i} \lambda^i$ into (3.27) gives

$$-(b\lambda + \mu)\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \partial^{m-i} (\lambda + \mu)^i = (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda)\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i (\partial + \lambda)^{m-i} \mu^i - (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda)\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \partial^{m-i} \mu^i (3.32)$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\lambda^m \mu$ in (3.32) with $m \ge 2$ gives $(-bm-1)a_m = \bar{\Delta}a_1$ and hence by (3.31),

$$(-bm-1)\bar{\Delta} = \left(\binom{m}{2} + m\bar{\Delta}\right)\bar{\Delta}. \tag{3.33}$$

Since $\bar{\Delta} \neq 0$,

$$-bm - 1 = \binom{m}{2} + m\bar{\Delta}.\tag{3.34}$$

Similarly, collecting the coefficients of $\partial \lambda^{m-1} \mu$ in (3.32) with $m \ge 3$ and using (3.31) again, we have

$$\left(-b(m-1)-1\right)\left(1+m\bar{\Delta}\right) = \left(1+(m-1)\bar{\Delta}\right)\left(\binom{m}{2}+m\bar{\Delta}\right). \tag{3.35}$$

Combining (3.34) with (3.35) gives $\bar{\Delta} = b$. Plugging this into (3.34), we obtain

$$\binom{m}{2} + 2bm + 1 = 0. (3.36)$$

Assume that $m \ge 4$. In this case the coefficients of $\partial^{m-2}\lambda^2\mu$ in (3.32) gives [using $\bar{\Delta} = b$ and (3.31) again]

$$(-2b-1)\left(\binom{m}{3}+\binom{m}{2}b\right) = \left(\binom{m-1}{2}+(m-1)b\right)\left(\binom{m}{2}+bm\right). \tag{3.37}$$

Combining (3.36) with (3.37), we have m = -2, -1, 2 or 3, a contradiction. Therefore, m is at most 3, i.e., m = 0, 1, 2, 3.

For m = 0, we have $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = b$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$ is a solution to (3.27).

For m = 1, we have $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1 + b$. And it follows from (3.31) that $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0(\partial - \frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}\lambda)$, which is easily checked to be a solution to (3.27).

Now assume that m=2. By (3.30) and (3.34), we have $\bar{\Delta}=-1-b$ and $\Delta=1$. By (3.31), we may assume that $g(\partial,\lambda)=\partial^2-(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{2}{b}\bar{\Delta})\partial\lambda-\frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}\lambda^2$. Plugging this back into (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{split} -(b\lambda + \mu) \left(\partial^2 - (\frac{1}{b} + \frac{2}{b}\bar{\Delta}) \partial(\lambda + \mu) - \frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}(\lambda + \mu)^2 \right) \\ &= (\partial + \bar{\Delta}\lambda) \left((\partial + \lambda)^2 - (\frac{1}{b} + \frac{2}{b}\bar{\Delta})(\partial + \lambda)\mu - \frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}\mu^2 \right) \\ &- (\partial + \mu + \Delta\lambda) \left(\partial^2 - (\frac{1}{b} + \frac{2}{b}\bar{\Delta}) \partial\mu - \frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}\mu^2 \right), \end{split}$$

which holds provided that $\bar{\Delta} = -1 - b$ and $\Delta = 1$.

Finally we consider the case m=3. By (3.36) and (3.30), we have $\bar{\Delta}=b=-\frac{2}{3}$ and $\Delta=\frac{5}{3}$. By (3.31), we may assume that $g(\partial,\lambda)=\partial^3+\frac{3}{2}\partial^2\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\partial\lambda^2-\lambda^3$. Plugging this back into (3.27), we obtain that it is a solution.

The above discussions prove the following:

Lemma 3.6. Let $g(\partial, \lambda)$ be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree m satisfying (3.27). Then $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = m + b$ and $m \leq 3$. Furthermore, we have

(1) For $b = -\frac{2}{3}$, all the solutions (up to a scalar) to (3.27) are given by

(i)
$$m = 0$$
, $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{2}{3}$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = 1$;

(ii)
$$m = 1$$
, $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = \frac{1}{3}$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial + \frac{3}{2}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$;

(iii)
$$m = 2$$
, $\Delta = 1$, $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{1}{3}$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2$;

(iv)
$$m = 3$$
, $\Delta = \frac{5}{3}$, $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{2}{3}$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^3 + \frac{3}{2}\partial^2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\partial\lambda^2 - \lambda^3$,

(2) For $b \neq -\frac{2}{3}$, all the solutions (up to a scalar) to (3.27) are given by

(i)
$$m = 0$$
, $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = b$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = 1$;

(ii)
$$m = 1$$
, $\Delta - \bar{\Delta} = 1 + b$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial - \frac{1}{b} \bar{\Delta} \lambda$;

(iii)
$$m = 2$$
, $\Delta = 1$, $\bar{\Delta} = -1 - b$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 - (\frac{1}{b} + \frac{2}{b}\bar{\Delta})\partial\lambda - \frac{1}{b}\bar{\Delta}\lambda^2$.

By Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.7. Nontrivial extensions of $\mathcal{W}(b)$ -modules of the form (3.20) exist only if $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, these extensions are given, up to equivalence, by

$$L_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v_{\Delta} + f(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}}, \ H_{\lambda}v_{\Delta} = g(\partial,\lambda)v_{\bar{\Delta}},$$

where $g(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $f(\partial, \lambda)$ is from the nonzero polynomials of Theorem 2.4 with $\Delta, \bar{\Delta} \neq 0$, or the values of Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with the pairs of polynomials $g(\partial, \lambda)$ and $f(\partial, \lambda)$, whose nonzero scalar multiples give rise to nontrivial extensions, are listed as follows (by replacing ∂ by $\partial + \alpha$):

- (1) When b = -1, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = -1$ or 0. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = -1$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 0$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 + c_1 \lambda$, and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial + \bar{\Delta} \lambda$, where $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) When b = 1, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 1$, 2 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -2$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 1$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^2 (2\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \bar{\Delta}\lambda$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
 - (iii) In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -2$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \partial \lambda^2 (\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + 3\partial \lambda + 2\lambda^2$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (3) When b = 2, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 2$, 3 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -3$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 2$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^2 (2\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 3$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \partial \lambda^2 (\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Delta} \lambda$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
 - (iii) In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -3$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^2 (4\partial^3 + 6\partial^2 \lambda \partial \lambda^2 + 3\lambda^3)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + \frac{5}{2}\partial\lambda + \frac{3}{2}\lambda^2$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (4) When b = 3, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 3$, 4 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -4$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 3$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \partial \lambda^2 (\partial + \lambda)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 4$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^2 (4\partial^3 + 6\partial^2 \lambda \partial \lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

- (iii) In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -4$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0(\partial^4 \lambda^2 10\partial^2 \lambda^4 17\partial \lambda^5 8\lambda^6)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + \frac{7}{3}\partial \lambda + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^2$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (5) When b = 4, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 4$, 5 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -5$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 4$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \lambda^2 (4\partial^3 + 6\partial^2 \lambda \partial \lambda^2 + \bar{\Delta}\lambda^3)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 5$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{4}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$.
 - (ii') In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -4$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0(\partial^4 \lambda^2 10\partial^2 \lambda^4 17\partial \lambda^5 8\lambda^6)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial + \lambda$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
 - (iii) In the case $\Delta=1, \bar{\Delta}=-5$, $f(\partial,\lambda)=0$ and $g(\partial,\lambda)=\partial^2+\frac{9}{4}\partial\lambda+\frac{5}{4}\lambda^2$.
- (6) When b = 5, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 5$, 6 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -6$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 5$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (i') In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -4$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0(\partial^4 \lambda^2 10\partial^2 \lambda^4 17\partial \lambda^5 8\lambda^6)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 6$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{5}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$.
 - (ii') In the case $\Delta = \frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, \Delta \bar{\Delta} = 6, f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 (\partial^4 \lambda^3 (2\bar{\Delta} + 3)\partial^3 \lambda^4 3\bar{\Delta}\partial^2 \lambda^5 (3\bar{\Delta} + 1)\partial \lambda^6 (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28})\lambda^7)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{5}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
 - (iii) In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -6$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + \frac{11}{5}\partial\lambda + \frac{6}{5}\lambda^2$.
- (7) When b = 6, we have $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 6$, 7 or $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -7$. And
 - (i) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 6$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (i') In the case $\Delta = \frac{7}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, \bar{\Delta} = -\frac{5}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{19}}{2}, f(\partial, \lambda) = c_0 \left(\partial^4 \lambda^3 (2\bar{\Delta} + 3) \partial^3 \lambda^4 3\bar{\Delta} \partial^2 \lambda^5 (3\bar{\Delta} + 1) \partial \lambda^6 (\bar{\Delta} + \frac{9}{28}) \lambda^7 \right)$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = a_0$, where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (ii) In the case $\Delta \bar{\Delta} = 7$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial \frac{1}{6}\bar{\Delta}\lambda$.
 - (iii) In the case $\Delta = 1, \bar{\Delta} = -7$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and $g(\partial, \lambda) = \partial^2 + \frac{13}{6}\partial\lambda + \frac{7}{6}\lambda^2$.
- (8) When $b = -\frac{2}{3}$, we have $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and the values Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with $g(\partial, \lambda)$ are from Lemma 3.6(1).
- (9) When $b \neq -1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ or $-\frac{2}{3}$, $f(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ and the values Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ along with $g(\partial, \lambda)$ are from Lemma 3.6(2).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11301109) and China Scholarship Council.

References

- [1] Cheng S.-J., Kac V., Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.*, **1**(1) (1997) 181–193.
- [2] Cheng S.-J., Kac V., Wakimoto, M., Extensions of conformal modules, *In Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics, Progr. Math.* Vol. 160., Kashiwara, M., et al. Eds. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1998; 79-129.

- [3] Cheng S.-J., Kac V., Wakimoto, M., Extensions of Neveu-Schwarz conformal modules, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41**(4) (2000) 2271–2294.
- [4] D'Andrea A., Kac V., Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, *Sel. Math.*, *New Ser.*, **4** (1998) 377–418.
- [5] Lam Ngau, Extensions of modules over supercurrent conformal algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **29**(7) (2001) 3061–3068.
- [6] Ling K., Yuan L., Extensions of modules over Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra, *Internat. J. Math.*, **28**(5) (2017) 1750036, 13pp.
- [7] Xu Y., Yue X., W(a,b) Lie conformal algebra and its conformal module of rank one, *Algebra Colloq.*, **22**(3) (2015) 405–412.
- [8] Wu H., Yuan L., Classification of finite irreducible conformal modules over some Lie conformal algebras related to the Virasoro conformal algebra, *J. Math. Phys.*, **58** (2017) 041701, 10pp.
- [9] Yuan L., Wu H., Cohomology of Heisenberg-Virasoro conformal algebra, *J. Lie Theory*, **26** (2016) 1187–1197.
- [10] Yuan L., Wu H., Structures of W(2,2) Lie conformal algebra, *Open Math.*, **14** (2016) 629–640.