

Global Strong Solutions to Magnetohydrodynamics with Density-Dependent Viscosity and Degenerate Heat-Conductivity *

Bin Huang, Xiaoding Shi, Ying Sun †

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Beijing University of Chemical Technology,
Beijing 100029, P. R. China

Abstract

We deal with the equations of a planar magnetohydrodynamic compressible flow with the viscosity depending on the specific volume of the gas and the heat conductivity proportional to a positive power of the temperature. Under the same conditions on the initial data as those of the constant viscosity and heat conductivity case ([Kazhikhov (1987)]), we obtain the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions which means no shock wave, vacuum, or mass or heat concentration will be developed in finite time, although the motion of the flow has large oscillations and the interaction between the hydrodynamic and magnetodynamic effects is complex. Our result can be regarded as a natural generalization of the Kazhikhov's theory for the constant viscosity and heat conductivity case to that of nonlinear viscosity and degenerate heat-conductivity.

Keywords. Magnetohydrodynamics, Large initial data, Global strong solutions, Degenerate heat-conductivity, Density-dependent viscosity

Math Subject Classification: 35Q35; 76N10.

1 Introduction

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), concerning the motion of conducting fluids in an electromagnetic field, covers a wide range of physical objects from liquid metals to cosmic plasmas ([2, 5, 11, 13, 17, 18, 21]). The dynamic motion of the fluids and the magnetic field interact strongly with each other. Moreover, the hydrodynamic and electrodynamic effects are coupled. We are concerned with the governing equations of a planar magnetohydrodynamic compressible flow written in the Lagrange variables

$$v_t = u_x, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_t + \left(P + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2\right)_x = \left(\mu \frac{u_x}{v}\right)_x, \tag{1.2}$$

*Partially supported by NNSFC 11671027 and 11471321.

†Email addresses: abinhuang@gmail.com, abinhuang36@163.com (B. Huang), shixd@mail.buct.edu.cn (X. Shi), 1913349041@qq.com (Y. Sun)

$$\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{b}_x = \left(\lambda \frac{\mathbf{w}_x}{v} \right)_x, \quad (1.3)$$

$$(v\mathbf{b})_t - \mathbf{w}_x = \left(\nu \frac{\mathbf{b}_x}{v} \right)_x, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e + \frac{u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right)_t + \left(u \left(P + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} \right)_x \\ & = \left(\kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \mu \frac{u u_x}{v} + \lambda \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}_x}{v} + \nu \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x}{v} \right)_x, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $t > 0$ is time, $x \in \Omega = (0, 1)$ denotes the Lagrange mass coordinate, and the unknown functions $v > 0, u, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2, e > 0, \theta > 0$ and P are, respectively, the specific volume of the gas, longitudinal velocity, transverse velocity, transverse magnetic field, internal energy, absolute temperature and pressure. μ and λ are the viscosity of the flow, ν is the magnetic diffusivity of the magnetic field, and κ is the heat conductivity.

In this paper, we concentrate on a perfect gas for magnetohydrodynamic flow, that is, P and e satisfy

$$P = R\theta/v, \quad e = c_v\theta + \text{const}, \quad (1.6)$$

where both specific gas constant R and heat capacity at constant volume c_v are positive constants. We also assume that λ and ν are positive constants, and μ, κ satisfy

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu}_1 + \tilde{\mu}_2 v^{-\alpha}, \quad \kappa = \tilde{\kappa} \theta^\beta, \quad (1.7)$$

with constants $\tilde{\mu}_1 > 0, \tilde{\mu}_2 \geq 0, \tilde{\kappa} > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

The system (1.1)-(1.7) is supplemented with the initial conditions

$$(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w})(x, 0) = (v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.8)$$

and boundary conditions

$$(u, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}, \theta_x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where the initial data (1.8) should be compatible with the boundary conditions (1.9).

There is huge literature on the studies of the global existence and large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system and MHD. Indeed, for compressible Navier-Stokes system, Kazhikhov and Shelukhin [16] first obtained the global existence of solutions for constant coefficients ($\alpha = \beta = 0$) with large initial data. From then on, much effort has been made to generalize this approach to other cases. Jenssen-Karper [12] proved the global existence of weak solutions under the assumption that $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 3/2)$. Later, for $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, Pan-Zhang [20] obtained the global strong solutions under the condition that

$$(v_0, u_0, \theta_0) \in H^1 \times H^2 \times H^2,$$

which was further relaxed to

$$(v_0, u_0, \theta_0) \in H^1,$$

by Huang-Shi [10] where they also obtained the large-time behavior of the strong solutions. As for MHD, the existence and uniqueness of local smooth solutions was first proved in [23]. For constant coefficients ($\alpha = \beta = 0$) with large initial data,

Kazhikhov [15] (see also [1]) first obtained the global existence of strong solutions. From then on, significant progress has been made on the mathematical aspect of the initial and initial boundary value problems, see [3,4,6–8,24] and the references therein. However, it should be mentioned here that the methods used there rely heavily on the non-degeneracy of both the viscosity μ and the heat conductivity κ and cannot be applied directly to the degenerate and nonlinear case ($\alpha \geq 0, \beta > 0$).

More recently, Hu-Ju [9] extended Pan-Zhang's result ([20]) to the MHD case and proved the global strong solutions to the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.9) with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$ under the condition that

$$v_0 \in H^1, \quad (u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0) \in H^2, \quad (1.10)$$

which is stronger than that of Kazhikhov ([15]). In fact, the main aim of this paper is to generalize Kazhikhov's result [15] to the degenerate and nonlinear case and prove the global existence of strong solutions to (1.1)-(1.9) with $\alpha \geq 0, \beta > 0$ and

$$(v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0) \in H^1.$$

Then we state our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that*

$$\alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

and that the initial data $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)$ satisfies

$$(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(0, 1), \quad (u_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0) \in H_0^1(0, 1), \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in (0,1)} v_0(x) > 0, \quad \inf_{x \in (0,1)} \theta_0(x) > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Then, the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.9) has a unique strong solution $(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w})$ such that for each fixed $T > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} v, \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), & u, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(0, 1)), \\ v_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \\ u_t, \theta_t, \mathbf{b}_t, \mathbf{w}_t, u_{xx}, \theta_{xx}, \mathbf{b}_{xx}, \mathbf{w}_{xx} \in L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)), \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

and for each $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$

$$C^{-1} \leq v(x, t) \leq C, \quad C^{-1} \leq \theta(x, t) \leq C, \quad (1.15)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on the data and T .

A few remarks are in order.

Remark 1.1. *Our result can be regarded as a natural generalization of Kazhikhov's theory ([15]) for the constant viscosity and heat conductivity case to the degenerate and nonlinear ones.*

Remark 1.2. *Our Theorem 1.1 improves Hu-Ju's result [9] where they only treated the case that $\alpha = 0, \beta > 0$ and assumed that the initial data satisfy (1.10) which is indeed stronger than (1.12).*

Remark 1.3. *Our result still holds for compressible Navier-Stokes system ($\mathbf{b} \equiv 0, \mathbf{w} \equiv 0$) which generalized slightly those due to [10, 20] where they only consider the case $\alpha = 0, \beta > 0$.*

We now make some comments on the analysis of this paper. To extend the local strong solutions whose existence can be obtained by using the principle of compressed mappings (Lemma 2.1) to be global, compared with [9], the key issue is to obtain the lower and upper bounds of both v and θ just under the conditions that the initial data satisfies (1.12). Motivated by Kazhikhov [15], we first obtain a key representation of v (see (2.2)). However, if $\alpha > 1$, it seems difficult to obtain the lower bound of v directly due to the nonlinearity of μ . To overcome this difficulty, we use the representation of v , the energy-type inequality (2.14), and the Jensen's inequality to obtain a bound of $L^\infty(0, T; L^1)$ -norm of $v^{-\alpha}$ (see (2.20)) which plays an important role in bounding v from below. Then, after obtaining the estimates on the $L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T))$ -norm of both \mathbf{b}_{xx} and \mathbf{w}_{xx} (see (2.35)), we multiply the momentum equation (1.2) by u_{xx} and make full use of the structure of the energy equation (1.5) to find that the $L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T))$ -norm of u_{xx} can be bounded by the $L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T))$ -norm of $\theta^{\beta/2}\theta_x$ (see (2.43)) which indeed can be obtained by combining the equation of θ (see (2.13)) multiplied by θ and using the estimates obtained above (see (2.46)). Once we get the bounds on the $L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T))$ -norm of both u_{xx} and u_t (see (2.42)), the desired estimates on θ_t and θ_{xx} can be obtained by standard arguments (see (2.47)). The details will be carried out in the next section.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first state the following existence and uniqueness of local solutions which can be obtained by using the Banach theorem and the contractivity of the operator defined by the linearization of the problem on a small time interval (c.f. [14, 19, 22]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let (1.11)-(1.13) hold. Then there exists some $T > 0$ such that the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.9) has a unique strong solution (v, u, θ) satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} v, \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), & u, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(0, 1)), \\ v_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \\ u_t, \theta_t, \mathbf{b}_t, \mathbf{w}_t, u_{xx}, \theta_{xx}, \mathbf{b}_{xx}, \mathbf{w}_{xx} \in L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 1.1 will be proved by extending the local solutions globally in time based on the global a priori estimates of solutions (see Lemma 2.3–2.8) which will be obtained below.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda = \nu = \tilde{\mu}_1 = \tilde{\kappa} = R = c_v = 1, \tilde{\mu}_2 = \alpha$, and that

$$\int_0^1 v_0 dx = 1.$$

Then, we derive the following representation of v which is essential in obtaining the upper and lower bounds of v .

Lemma 2.2. *The following expression of v holds*

$$v(x, t) = B_0(x)D(x, t)Y(t) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{B_0(x)} \int_0^t \frac{(\theta + \frac{v}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2)(x, \tau)}{D(x, \tau)Y(\tau)} d\tau \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$B_0(x) = v_0 \exp \left\{ -v_0^{-\alpha} - \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v_0) dx \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, t) &= \exp \left\{ v(x, t)^{-\alpha} + \int_0^x (u(y, t) - u_0(y)) dy \right\} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ - \int_0^1 v \int_0^x u dy dx + \int_0^1 v_0 \int_0^x u_0 dy dx \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$Y(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(u^2 + \frac{v}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 + \theta \right) dx d\tau \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$f_\alpha(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} s^{1-\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ \ln s, & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. First, it follows from (1.2) that

$$u_t = \sigma_x, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\sigma \triangleq \mu \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\theta}{v} - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \quad (2.8)$$

satisfies

$$\sigma = (\ln v - v^{-\alpha})_t - \frac{\theta}{v} - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \quad (2.9)$$

due to (1.1). Integrating (2.7) over $(0, x)$ gives

$$\left(\int_0^x u dy \right)_t = \sigma - \sigma(0, t), \quad (2.10)$$

which implies

$$v\sigma(0, t) = v\sigma - v \left(\int_0^x u dy \right)_t.$$

Then, integrating this in x over $(0, 1)$ and noticing that integrating (1.1) over $(0, 1) \times (0, t)$ yields that for any $t > 0$

$$\int_0^1 v(x, t) dx = 1,$$

we obtain after using (1.9) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(0, t) &= \int_0^1 \left(\mu u_x - \theta - \frac{v}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dx - \left(\int_0^1 v \int_0^x u dy dx \right)_t \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 u_x \int_0^x u dy dx \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx - \int_0^1 v \int_0^x u dy dx \right)_t - \int_0^1 \left(\theta + \frac{v}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 + u^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, combining (2.10), (2.9), and (2.11) yields

$$v(x, t) = B_0(x)D(x, t)Y(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\theta + \frac{v}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) v^{-1} d\tau \right\},$$

with $B_0(x)$, $D(x, t)$, and $Y(t)$ as in (2.3)-(2.5) respectively. This in particular gives (2.2) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

With Lemma 2.2 at hand, we are in a position to prove lower bounds of both v and θ .

Lemma 2.3. *It holds that for any $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$,*

$$C^{-1} \leq v(x, t), \quad C^{-1} \leq \theta(x, t), \quad (2.12)$$

where (and in what follows) C denotes some generic positive constant depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \|(v_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{w}_0)\|_{H^1(0,1)}$, $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} v_0(x)$, and $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} \theta_0(x)$.

Proof. First, using (1.1)-(1.4), we rewrite the energy equation (1.5) as

$$\theta_t + \frac{\theta}{v} u_x = \left(\frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x}{v} \right)_x + \frac{\mu u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v}. \quad (2.13)$$

Multiplying (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), and (2.13) by $1 - v^{-1}$, u , \mathbf{w} , \mathbf{b} , and $1 - \theta^{-1}$ respectively, adding them altogether and integrating the result over $(0, 1) \times (0, t)$, we obtain the following energy-type inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 (u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2 + (v - \ln v) + (\theta - \ln \theta)) dx \\ & + \int_0^T V(s) ds \leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$V(t) \triangleq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} + \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v\theta} + \frac{|\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v\theta} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v\theta} \right) (x, t) dx.$$

Next, (2.14) implies

$$\left| \int_0^1 v \int_0^x u dy dx \right| \leq \int_0^1 v \left(\int_0^1 u^2 dy \right)^{1/2} dx \leq C,$$

which combined with (2.4) and (2.14) gives

$$C^{-1} \leq C^{-1} \exp \{v(x, t)^{-\alpha}\} \leq D(x, t) \leq C \exp \{v(x, t)^{-\alpha}\}. \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore, one deduces from (2.14) that

$$\left| \int_0^1 \ln v dx \right| + \int_0^1 \left(u^2 + \frac{v}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2 + \theta \right) dx \leq C, \quad (2.16)$$

which yields that

$$C^{-1} \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx \right\} \leq Y(t) \leq C \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining (2.2), (2.15), and (2.17) yields that for any $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$,

$$v(x, t) \geq C^{-1} \exp \left\{ v(x, t)^{-\alpha} + \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx \right\}, \quad (2.18)$$

which together with (2.6) and (2.16) leads to

$$\min_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} v(x, t) \geq C^{-1}, \quad (2.19)$$

provided $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, if $\alpha > 1$, integrating (2.18) in x over $(0, 1)$ and using (2.6), (2.14), and Jensen's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq C^{-1} \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 v(x, t)^{-\alpha} dx + \int_0^1 f_\alpha(v) dx \right\} \\ &\geq C^{-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(x, t)^{-\alpha} dx - C \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which in particular implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 v(x, t)^{-\alpha} dx \leq C. \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, putting this into (2.18) shows (2.19) still holds for $\alpha > 1$.

Finally, for $p > 2$, multiplying (2.13) by θ^{-p} gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (\theta^{-1})^{p-1} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^p} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{u_x}{v \theta^{p-1}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^p} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu v \theta^{p-2}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^p} dx + C \|\theta^{-1}\|_{L^{p-1}}^{p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used $\mu v \geq C^{-1}$. Combining this with Gronwall's inequality yields that there exists some C independent of p such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta^{-1}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p-1}} \leq C.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ proves the second inequality of (2.12) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

□

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a positive constant C such that for each $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$,*

$$C^{-1} \leq v(x, t) \leq C. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. First, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, integrating (2.13) multiplied by $\theta^{-\alpha}$ over

$(0, 1) \times (0, T)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha \theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v \theta^{\alpha+1}} dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v \theta^\alpha} dx dt \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 (\theta^{1-\alpha} - \theta_0^{1-\alpha}) dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^{1-\alpha} u_x}{v} dx dt \\
&\leq C(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^\alpha} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta^{2-\alpha} dx dt \\
&\leq C(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^\alpha} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \theta dx dt \\
&\leq C(\alpha, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^\alpha} dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where in the first inequality we have used (2.14) and (2.12).

Next, for $\alpha = \min\{1, \beta\}/2$, using (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt &\leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\theta_x| dx dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v \theta^{1+\alpha}} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{v \theta^{1+\alpha}}{\theta^\beta} dx dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v \theta^{1+\alpha}} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.22) yields that

$$\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt \leq C, \tag{2.23}$$

and then that for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v \theta^{\alpha+1}} dx dt \leq C(\alpha). \tag{2.24}$$

Finally, it follows from (2.17), (2.6), (2.16), (2.14), and (2.19) that

$$C^{-1} \leq Y(t) \leq C,$$

which together with (2.2), (2.15), (2.19), and (2.23) yields

$$v(x, t) \leq C + C \int_0^t \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}|^2(x, t) \max_{x \in [0,1]} v(x, t) dt. \tag{2.25}$$

Using (1.9), (2.14), and (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}|^2(x, t) dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x| dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v \theta} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 v \theta |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt \\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

which combined with (2.25) and Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} v \leq C. \quad (2.27)$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is finished. \square

Lemma 2.5. *There is a positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \leq C. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. First, we rewrite the momentum equation (1.2) as

$$\left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right)_t = - \left(\frac{\theta}{v} + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2\right)_x.$$

Multiplying the above equation by $u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}$ and integrating the resultant equality yields that for any $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right)(x, 0) dx \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\theta v_x}{v^2} - \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x\right) \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right) dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mu \theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\theta \mu v_x}{v^2} dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\theta_x}{v} \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right) dx dt - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right) dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mu \theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt + \sum_{i=1}^3 I_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Each $I_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ can be estimated as follows:

First, Cauchy's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mu \theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{u^2 \theta}{\mu v} dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mu \theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt \\ &\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\mu \theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where we have used (2.21), (2.14), and (2.23).

Next, using (2.12), (2.14) and (2.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^2}{v \theta^\beta} \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right)^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v}\right)^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.14), (2.12), (2.21), and (2.24) that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 dt &\leq C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \theta^2 - \int_0^1 \theta^2 dx \right| dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta |\theta_x| dx dt \\
&\leq C + C(\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^2}{v\theta} dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 v\theta^3 dx dt \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon) + C\varepsilon \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 dt \leq C. \tag{2.32}$$

Finally, integrating (2.13) over $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$, we have by (2.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2}{v} dx dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \theta dx - \int_0^1 \theta_0 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta}{v} u_x dx \\
&\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.21) and (2.32) gives

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 (u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.33}$$

Combining this with Cauchy's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v} \right)^2 \right) dx dt \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^t \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v} \right)^2 dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Putting (2.30), (2.31), and (2.34) into (2.29), we obtain after using Gronwall's inequality, (2.26), and (2.32) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu v_x}{v} \right)^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta v_x^2}{v^3} dx dt \leq C,$$

which together with (2.14) gives (2.28) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 2.6. *There is a positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2) dx dt \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Proof. First, multiplying (1.3) by \mathbf{w}_{xx} and integrating the resulting equality over $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$, we obtain after using (1.9), (2.33), (2.28), and Cauchy's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 v_x^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Direct computation shows for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dt & \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt \\
& \leq C(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2}{v} dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

which combined with (2.36) leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.38}$$

Then, we rewrite (1.3) as

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{xx}}{v} - \frac{\mathbf{w}_x v_x}{v^2} + \mathbf{b}_x,$$

which together with (2.21), (2.38), (2.28), (2.33), and (2.37) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 dx dt & \leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{xx}|^2 + v_x^2 |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Next, multiplying (1.4) by \mathbf{b}_{xx} and integrating the result over $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$, we deduce from (2.28), (2.33), (2.21), (2.14) and Cauchy's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 v_x^2 + u_x^2 |\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dt + \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \\
& \leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx dt \\
& \quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx \\
& \leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2}{v^2} dx dt + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.40)$$

Hence,

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \leq C + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx \leq C. \quad (2.41)$$

Finally, we rewrite (1.4) as

$$\mathbf{b}_t = \frac{\mathbf{w}_x}{v} + \frac{\mathbf{b}_{xx}}{v^2} - \frac{\mathbf{b}_x v_x}{v^3} - \frac{\mathbf{b} u_x}{v},$$

which together with (2.40), (2.28), (2.33), and (2.41) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dx dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 u_x^2) dx dt \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^T \left(\max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx \right) dt \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{xx}|^2) dx dt \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this, (2.38), (2.39), and (2.40) gives (2.35) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.7. *There is a positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 (u_t^2 + u_{xx}^2) dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.42)$$

Proof. First, multiplying (1.2) by u_{xx} and integrating the result over $(0,1) \times (0,T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt \\ &\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\theta_x^2 + \theta^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2) dx dt \\ &\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + C \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^2 \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt + C_1 \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.32), (2.28), (2.41), (2.33) and the following inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^2 dt &\leq C(\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then, multiplying (2.13) by θ and integrating the result over $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \theta^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x^2}{v} dx dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta^2 |u_x| dx + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) \theta dx dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta u_x^2 dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta^3 dx dt + \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta dt \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^T \max_{[0,1]} u_x^2 dt + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^2 dt \\
& \leq C(\varepsilon) + C\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{\mu u_{xx}^2}{v} dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

where we have used (2.35), (2.44) and (2.32). Adding (2.45) multiplied by $C_1 + 1$ to (2.43) and choosing ε sufficiently small, we obtain that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 (\theta^2 + u_x^2) dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_x^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.46}$$

Finally, we rewrite (1.2) as

$$u_t = \frac{\mu u_{xx}}{v} - \left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)'_v u_x v_x - \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \frac{\theta v_x}{v^2} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_x,$$

which together with (2.28), (2.41), (2.32), (2.44), (2.46), and (2.35) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_0^1 u_t^2 dx dt & \leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (u_{xx}^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2 + \theta_x^2 + \theta^2 v_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_x|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (2.46) immediately gives (2.42) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. \square

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\theta_t^2 + \theta_{xx}^2) dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.47}$$

Proof. First, noticing that integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_t \left(\frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x}{v}\right)_x dx & = - \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x}{v} \left(\theta^\beta \theta_t\right)_x dx \\
& = - \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x}{v} \left(\theta^\beta \theta_x\right)_t dx \\
& = - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{((\theta^\beta \theta_x)_t)^2}{v} dx \\
& = - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2}{v} dx\right)_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2 u_x}{v^2} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

multiplying (2.13) by $\theta^\beta \theta_t$ and integrating the resultant equality over (0,1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2}{v} dx \right)_t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2 u_x}{v^2} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_t (-\theta u_x + \mu u_x^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2)}{v} dx \\
&\leq C \max_{x \in [0,1]} (|u_x| \theta^{\beta/2}) \int_0^1 \theta^{3\beta/2} \theta_x^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_t^2 dx + C \int_0^1 \theta^{\beta+2} u_x^2 dx \\
&\quad + C \int_0^1 \theta^\beta (u_x^4 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^4) dx \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 \theta^{2\beta} \theta_x^2 dx \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_x^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_t^2 dx \\
&\quad + C \max_{x \in [0,1]} (\theta^{2\beta+2} + u_x^4 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^4) + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

due to (2.12), (2.35) and (2.46).

Combining (2.42) with Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^4 dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 u_x^4 dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 |u_x^3 u_{xx}| dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^2 \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^2 \left(\int_0^1 u_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (u_x^2 + u_{xx}^2) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^4 dt \\
&\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} u_x^4 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Using (2.35) and applying similar arguments to \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{w} implies

$$\int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{b}_x|^4 dt \leq C, \quad \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 dt \leq C. \tag{2.50}$$

Noticing that

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta^{2\beta+2} \leq C + C \int_0^1 (\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2 dx, \tag{2.51}$$

we then deduce from (2.46), (2.48)–(2.50), and the Gronwall inequality that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 (\theta^\beta \theta_x)^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta^\beta \theta_t^2 dx dt \leq C, \tag{2.52}$$

which together with (2.51) shows

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]} \theta(x,t) \leq C. \tag{2.53}$$

Thus, both (2.52) and (2.12) lead to

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{2.54}$$

Finally, it follows from (2.13) that

$$\frac{\theta^\beta \theta_{xx}}{v} = -\frac{\beta \theta^{\beta-1} \theta_x^2}{v} + \frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x v_x}{v^2} - \frac{\mu u_x^2 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^2}{v} + \frac{\theta u_x}{v} + \theta_t,$$

which together with (2.12), (2.33), (2.28), (2.49), (2.50), (2.53), and (2.54) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\theta_x^4 + \theta_x^2 v_x^2 + u_x^4 + |\mathbf{b}_x|^4 + |\mathbf{w}_x|^4 + u_x^2 + \theta_t^2) dx dt \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in [0,1]} \theta_x^2 dt \\ &\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.54) shows (2.47) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.8. \square

References

- [1] Amosov A. A. and A. A. Zlotnik, A difference scheme on a non-uniform mesh for the equations of one-dimensional magnetic gas dynamics. U.S.S.R. Compu. Maths. Math. Phys., **29** (1989), 129–139.
- [2] Cabannes, H.: Theoretical Magnetofluidynamics. Academic Press, New York (1970)
- [3] Chen, G. Q., Wang, D. H.: Global solutions for nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data. J. Differ. Equ., 182 (2002), 344-376.
- [4] Chen, G. Q., Wang, D. H.: Existence and continuous dependence of large solutions for the magnetohydrodynamics equations. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **54** (2003), 608-632.
- [5] Duan, R., Jiang, F., Jiang, S.: On the Rayleigh Taylor instability for incompressible, inviscid magnetohydrodynamic flows. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **71** (2011) 1990-2013.
- [6] Fan, J. S., Huang, S. X., Li, F. C.: Global strong solutions to the planar compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data and vacuum. Kinetic & Related Models, **10** (2017), 1035-1053.
- [7] Fan, J. S., Jiang, S., Nakamura, G.: Vanishing shear viscosity limit in the magnetohydrodynamics equations. Commun. Math. Phys., **270** (2007), 691-708.
- [8] Hoff, D., Tsyganov, E.: Uniqueness and continuous dependence of weak solutions in compressible magnetohydrodynamics. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **56** (2005), 791-804.
- [9] Hu, Y., Ju, Q.: Global large solutions of magnetohydrodynamics with temperature-dependent heat conductivity. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **66** (2015), 865-889.
- [10] Huang, B., Shi X. D.: Nonlinearly exponential stability of compressible Navier-Stokes system with degenerate heat-conductivity. arXiv:1809.00467 (2018)

- [11] Jeffrey, A., Taniuti, T.: *Non-Linear Wave Propagation. With Applications to Physics and Magnetohydrodynamics.* Academic Press, New York (1964)
- [12] Jenssen, H. K., Karper, T. K.: One-dimensional compressible flow with temperature dependent transport coefficients. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **42** (2010), 904-930.
- [13] Jiang, F., Jiang, S., Wang, Y.J.: On the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for incompressible viscous magnetohydrodynamic equations. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **39** (2014), 399-438.
- [14] Kawashima, S., Nishida, T.: Global solutions to the initial value problem for the equations of onedimensional motion of viscous polytropic gases. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **21** (1981), 825-837.
- [15] Kazhikhov, A. V.: A priori estimates for the solutions of equations of magnetic gas dynamics, Boundary value problems for equations of mathematical physics, Krasnoyarsk, 1987. In Russian
- [16] Kazhikhov, A. V., Shelukhin, V. V.: Unique global solution with respect to time of initial boundary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas. *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, **41** (1977), 273-282.
- [17] Kulikovskiy, A. G., Lyubimov, G. A.: *Magnetohydrodynamics.* Addison-Wesley, Reading (1965)
- [18] Laudau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M.: *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media.* 2nd edn. Pergamon, New York (1984)
- [19] Nash, J.: Le problme de Cauchy pour les quations diffrentielles d'un fluide gnral. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **90** (1962), 487-497.
- [20] Pan, R. H., Zhang, W. Z.: Compressible Navier-Stokes equations with temperature dependent heat conductivities. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **13**(2015), 401-425.
- [21] Polovin, R. V., Demutskii, V. P.: *Fundamentals of Magnetohydrodynamics.* Consultants Bureau, New York (1990)
- [22] Tani, A.: On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, **13** (1977), 193-253.
- [23] Vol'pert, A. I., Hudjaev, S. I.: On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **16** (1972), 517-544.
- [24] Wang, D. H.: Large solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **63** (2003), 1424-1441.