

# RC-positivity and rigidity of harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds

Jun Wang and Xiaokui Yang

*Dedicated to Professor Lo Yang on the occasion of his 80th birthday*

Abstract. In this paper, we show that every harmonic map from a compact Kähler manifold with uniformly RC-positive curvature to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature is constant. In particular, there is no non-constant harmonic map from a compact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic sectional curvature to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature.

## Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction   | 1  |
| 2. Harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds to Riemannian manifolds | 5  |
| 3. Rigidity of pluri-harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds      | 8  |
| 4. Rigidity of Hermitian harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds  | 10 |
| References  | 12 |

## 1. Introduction

The classical result of Eells-Sampson [ES64] asserts that harmonic maps from compact Riemannian manifolds with positive Ricci curvature to Riemannian manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature must be constant. By using Schwarz calculation and maximum principle, S.-T. Yau established in [Yau78] that holomorphic maps from compact Kähler manifolds with positive Ricci curvature to Hermitian manifolds with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature must be constant. Recently, the second author extended Yau’s result and obtained in [Yang18a] that holomorphic maps from compact Hermitian manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature to Hermitian manifolds with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature must be constant, and one of the key ingredients in the proof is a notion called “RC-positivity” introduced in [Yang18]. Moreover, Y.-T. Siu achieved in [Siu80] the complex analyticity of harmonic maps into compact Kähler manifolds with strongly negative curvature. Later, Jost-Yau explored in [JY91, JY93] rigidity theorems for various harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds into Riemannian manifolds with

---

This work was partially supported by China’s Recruitment Program of Global Experts.

non-positive complex sectional curvature (see also [Sam85, Sam86]). There are many generalizations along this line, and we refer to [EL78, Siu82, EL83, Ohn87, EL88, Uda88, OU90, YZ91, MSY93, Uda94, Ni99, Tos07, Zhang12, YHD13, Dong13, LY14, YZ18, Yang18a, Yang18b, Ni18] and the references therein.

In this paper, we aim to extend the above rigidity results to harmonic maps (and pluri-harmonic maps) from compact complex manifolds to Riemannian manifolds by using RC-positivity and ideas in [ES64, Yau78, Siu80, Sam85, Sam86, JY91, JY93, LY14, Yang18, Yang18a, Yang18b]. More precisely, we consider harmonic maps (resp. pluri-harmonic maps) from a compact complex manifold  $M$  with uniformly RC-positive curvature to a Riemannian manifold  $N$  with non-positive complex sectional curvature. Let's recall these curvature notions. In [Yang18b], the second author introduced the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** A Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, h)$  over a complex manifold  $M$  is called *uniformly RC-positive* if at every point  $q \in M$ , there exists some vector  $u \in T_q^{1,0}M$  such that for any nonzero vector  $v \in \mathcal{E}_q$ , one has

$$(1.1) \quad R^\mathcal{E}(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) > 0.$$

There are many complex manifolds which have uniformly RC-positive tangent bundles (i.e., the tangent bundle admits a Hermitian metric such that its Chern curvature is uniformly RC-positive). For instances,

- (1) Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature ([Yang18b, Theorem 5.1]);
- (2) Hopf manifolds  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$  with standard metrics ([LY14, formula (6.4)]);
- (3) products of complex manifolds with uniformly RC-positive tangent bundles.

For more details, we refer to [Yang18, Yang18a, Yang18b] and the references therein.

As analogous to Siu's strong negativity [Siu80], Sampson proposed in [Sam85] the following definition (see also [JY91, Definition 4.2]):

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. The (complexified) curvature tensor  $R$  of  $(N, g)$  is said to have *non-positive complex sectional curvature* if

$$(1.2) \quad R(Z, \bar{W}, W, \bar{Z}) \leq 0$$

for any  $Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{C}}N$ .

If  $(N, g)$  has non-positive complex sectional curvature, then it has non-positive Riemannian sectional curvature. Moreover, a Kähler manifold  $(N, g)$  has strongly non-positive curvature in the sense of Siu if and only if its background Riemannian metric has non-positive complex sectional curvature (e.g. [LSYY17, Theorem 4.4]). Hence, compact quotients of bounded symmetric domains of type  $I_{mn}(mn \geq 2)$ ,  $II_n(n \geq 3)$ ,  $III_n(n \geq 2)$ , and  $IV_n(n \geq 3)$  all have non-positive complex sectional curvature.

The first main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact Kähler manifold with uniformly RC-positive curvature and  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature. Then there is no non-constant harmonic map from  $(M, h)$  to  $(N, g)$ .*

By Theorem 1.3 and [Yang18b, Theorem 5.1], we obtain

**Corollary 1.4.** *There is no non-constant harmonic map from a compact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic sectional curvature to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature.*

**Remark 1.5.** We proved in [Yang18b, Corollary 1.3] that for a compact Kähler manifold  $M$ , if it admits a Hermitian metric with uniformly RC-positive curvature, then  $M$  is projective and rationally connected. By using algebraic geometry, we can prove a more general result that: *every harmonic map from a rationally connected manifold to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature is constant.* It is well-known that Fano manifolds are all rationally connected ([Cam92, KMM92]). Hence, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 are generalizations of the following well-known result: every harmonic map from a Fano manifold (i.e. a compact Kähler manifold with positive Ricci curvature [Yau78a]) to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive (complex) sectional curvature is constant.

In the following, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 in a more general setting. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map from a compact Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Jost and Yau proposed in [JY93, (H2)] the following concept:  $f$  is called a *Hermitian harmonic map* if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) \otimes e_i = 0.$$

It is easy to see that when  $M$  is Kähler, Hermitian harmonic maps are usual harmonic maps. On the other hand, Jost-Yau proved in [JY93] that there always exist Hermitian harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature, which generalizes the classical result of Eells-Sampson [ES64]. Moreover,  $f$  is called *pluri-harmonic* if

$$(1.4) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes e_i = 0.$$

Pluri-harmonic maps are generalizations of holomorphic maps. Indeed, a holomorphic map from a complex manifold  $M$  to a Kähler manifold  $(N, g)$  is pluri-harmonic. The second main result is on rigidity of pluri-harmonic maps, which is analogous to rigidity of holomorphic maps obtained in [Yang18a, Yang18b].

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a pluri-harmonic map from a compact complex manifold  $M$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$  with non-positive complex sectional curvature. If  $T^{1,0}M$  is uniformly RC-positive, then  $f$  is a constant map.*

The key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is the following Chern-Lu type identity for pluri-harmonic maps.

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a pluri-harmonic map from a Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Then we have the identity*

$$\partial\bar{\partial}u = \langle \partial_{\mathcal{F}}\partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}\partial f \rangle + \left( R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}}^M h^{\gamma\bar{\nu}} h^{\mu\bar{\delta}} g_{ij} f_{\mu}^i f_{\bar{\nu}}^j - R_{ilkj}^N f_{\alpha}^i f_{\bar{\beta}}^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\gamma}^k f_{\bar{\delta}}^{\ell} \right) \right) dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta},$$

where  $u = |\partial f|^2$ ,  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}}^M$  is the curvature of the Chern connection on  $T^{1,0}M$  and  $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the induced  $(1,0)$  connection on the vector bundle  $\mathcal{F} = T^{*1,0}M \otimes f^*T_N$ .

By using Theorem 1.6 and ideas in [Siu80, Sam85, Sam86, YZ91, JY91, JY93, LY14], we obtain the following result. Note that, Theorem 1.3 is a special case of it.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact astheno-Kähler manifold (i.e.  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_h^{m-2} = 0$ ) and  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a Hermitian harmonic map. If  $M$  admits a Hermitian metric  $h_0$  with uniformly RC-positive curvature, then  $f$  is a constant map.*

There are many astheno-Kähler manifolds which are non-Kähler. For instances, non-Kähler complex surfaces and Calabi-Eckmann manifolds  $\mathbb{S}^{2p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2q+1}$  ([Mat09]).

As motivated by Theorem 1.3, we propose:

**Question 1.9.** Does there exist a non-constant Hermitian harmonic map (resp. harmonic map) from a compact Hermitian manifold with (uniformly) RC-positive curvature to a Riemannian manifold with non-positive (complex) sectional curvature?

As a special case of Theorem 1.8, one gets

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex surface and  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a Hermitian harmonic map. If  $M$  admits a Hermitian metric  $h_0$  with uniformly RC-positive curvature, then  $f$  is a constant map.*

As we pointed out before, the standard Hopf surface  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$  admits uniformly RC-positive metrics, and so we get

**Corollary 1.11.** *There is no non-constant Hermitian harmonic map from Hopf surface  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$  (with an arbitrary Hermitian metric) to Riemannian manifolds with non-positive complex sectional curvature.*

**Acknowledgements.** The first author would like to thank his advisor Professor Jian Zhou for his guidance. The second author is very grateful to Professor K.-F. Liu and Professor S.-T. Yau for their support, encouragement and stimulating discussions over years. We would also like to thank Y.-X. Li and V. Tosatti for some helpful discussions.

## 2. Harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds to Riemannian manifolds

**2.1. Connections on vector bundles.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Hermitian complex vector bundle or a Riemannian real vector bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$  and  $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$  be a metric connection on  $\mathcal{E}$ . There is a natural decomposition  $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} = \nabla'^{\mathcal{E}} + \nabla''^{\mathcal{E}}$  where

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla'^{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{1,0}(M, \mathcal{E}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla''^{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(M, \mathcal{E}).$$

Moreover,  $\nabla'^{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\nabla''^{\mathcal{E}}$  induce two differential operators. The first one is  $\partial_{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^{p,q}(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1,q}(M, \mathcal{E})$  which is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi \otimes s) = (\partial\varphi) \otimes s + (-1)^{p+q}\varphi \wedge \nabla'^{\mathcal{E}}s$$

for any  $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}(M)$  and  $s \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E})$ . The operator  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^{p,q}(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q+1}(M, \mathcal{E})$  is defined similarly. The operator  $\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}}$  is represented by the curvature tensor  $R^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{1,1}T^*M \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{E})$ . The adjoint operators of  $\partial, \bar{\partial}, \partial_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$  are denoted by  $\partial^*, \bar{\partial}^*, \partial_{\mathcal{E}}^*$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}^*$  respectively.

**2.2. Harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds.** Let  $(M, h)$  and  $(N, g)$  be two compact Riemannian manifolds. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map. If  $\mathcal{E} = f^*(TN)$ , then  $df$  can be regarded as an  $\mathcal{E}$ -valued one form. There is an induced connection  $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  by the Levi-Civita connection on  $TN$ . In the local coordinates  $\{x^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^m, \{y^i\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, the local frames of  $\mathcal{E}$  are denoted by  $e_i = f^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}e_i = f^*\left(\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right) = \Gamma_{ij}^k(f)\frac{\partial f^j}{\partial x^\alpha}dx^\alpha \otimes e_k.$$

We also denote by  $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$  the extension  $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^p(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M, \mathcal{E})$ . As a classical result, the Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy

$$(2.4) \quad E(f) = \int_M |df|^2 dv_M$$

is  $(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})^*df = 0$ , i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad h^{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^\gamma} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x^\beta} \right) \otimes e_i = 0.$$

A smooth map  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  is called a *harmonic map* if it satisfies (2.5).

### 2.3. Harmonic maps from Hermitian manifolds to Riemannian manifolds.

Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold,  $(N, g)$  a Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{E} = f^*(TN)$  with the induced Levi-Civita connection. Let  $\{z^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^m$  be the local holomorphic coordinates on  $M$  where  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ . There are three  $\mathcal{E}$ -valued 1-forms, namely,

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\partial}f = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes e_i, \quad \partial f = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\alpha} dz^\alpha \otimes e_i, \quad df = \bar{\partial}f + \partial f.$$

The  $\bar{\partial}$ -energy of a smooth map  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad E''(f) = \int_M |\bar{\partial}f|^2 \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}$$

and similarly we can define the  $\partial$ -energy  $E'(f)$  and the total energy  $E(f)$  by

$$(2.8) \quad E'(f) = \int_M |\partial f|^2 \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}, \quad E(f) = \int_M |df|^2 \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}.$$

Since  $N$  is a Riemannian manifold, it is obvious that

$$(2.9) \quad E(f) = 2E'(f) = 2E''(f).$$

Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map and  $\mathcal{E} = f^*(TN)$ . The smooth map  $f$  is harmonic if it is a critical point of the Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy  $E(f)$ , i.e.  $(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})^*df = 0$ , which is also equivalent to  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}^* \bar{\partial}f = \partial_{\mathcal{E}}^* \partial f = 0$ . Hence, the harmonic map equation (2.5) can be written into the following complex version

$$(2.10) \quad h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} - 2\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial \bar{z}^\gamma} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) \otimes e_i = 0$$

or equivalently

$$h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} - 2\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\gamma} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) \otimes e_i = 0$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\gamma = \frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\bar{\delta}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} - \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}{\partial \bar{z}^\delta} \right) = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma = \overline{\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}}, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\bar{\delta}}}{\partial z^\beta} + \frac{\partial h_{\beta\bar{\delta}}}{\partial z^\alpha} \right).$$

**Definition 2.1.**  $f$  is called *pluri-harmonic* if it satisfies  $\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}f = 0$ , i.e.

$$(2.12) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes e_i = 0.$$

$f$  is called *Hermitian harmonic* if it satisfies  $\text{tr}_{\omega_h} \partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}f = 0$ , i.e.

$$(2.13) \quad h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) \otimes e_i = 0.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map from a compact Kähler manifold  $(M, h)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Then Hermitian harmonic maps and harmonic maps coincide.*

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . The curvature tensor is defined as  $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ . In the local coordinates  $\{x^i\}$  of  $M$ , we adopt the convention  $R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = R_{ijkl}X^i Y^j Z^k W^\ell$ . It is easy to see that

$$(2.14) \quad R_{ijk}^\ell = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{kj}^\ell}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ki}^\ell}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{kj}^p \Gamma_{pi}^\ell - \Gamma_{ki}^p \Gamma_{pj}^\ell$$

and  $R_{ijkl} = g_{sl}R_{ijk}^s$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map from a complex manifold  $M$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Then the  $(1, 1)$ -part of the curvature tensor of  $\mathcal{E} = f^*(TN)$  is*

$$(2.15) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} = R_{ijk}^{\ell} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \otimes e^k \otimes e_{\ell}.$$

*Proof.* By straightforward computations, we have

$$\partial_{\mathcal{E}}(e_i) = \Gamma_{ji}^k \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^{\alpha}} dz^{\alpha} \cdot e_k, \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_i) = \Gamma_{ji}^k \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} d\bar{z}^{\beta} \cdot e_k$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}}(e_i) = - \left[ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ji}^k}{\partial x^{\ell}} \frac{\partial f^{\ell}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^{\alpha}} + \Gamma_{ji}^k \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} + \Gamma_{p\ell}^k \Gamma_{ji}^{\ell} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f^p}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \right] dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \cdot e_k,$$

and

$$\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_i) = \left[ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ji}^k}{\partial x^{\ell}} \frac{\partial f^{\ell}}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} + \Gamma_{ji}^k \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} + \Gamma_{p\ell}^k \Gamma_{ji}^{\ell} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial f^p}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \right] dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \cdot e_k$$

Hence, by using the curvature formula (2.14), we obtain (2.15).  $\square$

The following constraint equation for pluri-harmonic maps is well-known (e.g. [OU91, Lemma 1.3]), and for readers' convenience, we include a proof here.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $f : M \rightarrow (N, h)$  is a pluri-harmonic map, then*

$$(2.16) \quad R_{ikj\ell} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\gamma}^k = 0$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\ell$  where  $f_{\alpha}^i = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^{\alpha}}$  and  $f_{\beta}^j = \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{E} = f^*(TN)$ .  $f$  is pluri-harmonic, then  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial f = \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f = 0$ . On the other hand, by symmetric, it is easy to see that  $\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\partial f = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f = 0$ . Indeed,

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} d\bar{z}^{\beta} \otimes e_i \right) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \right) (d\bar{z}^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta}) \otimes e_i = 0.$$

Hence,  $(\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}})\partial f = 0$  and by (2.15), it is equivalent to

$$R_{ijk\ell} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\gamma}^k (dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \wedge dz^{\gamma}) = 0$$

for all  $\ell$ . By using symmetry and the Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$(R_{ijk\ell} - R_{kjil}) f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\gamma}^k = R_{ijk\ell} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\gamma}^k = 0.$$

Hence, we get (2.16).  $\square$

### 3. Rigidity of pluri-harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.6. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map from a compact Hermitian manifold  $M$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = T^{*1,0}M \otimes f^*(TN) = T^{*1,0}M \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

and  $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$  be the induced connection on  $\mathcal{F}$  by using the **Chern connection** on  $M$  and Levi-Civita connection on  $N$ . We have a natural decomposition  $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} = \partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . It is obvious that  $\partial f \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $f : M \rightarrow (N, h)$  is a pluri-harmonic map, then  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial f) = 0$ , i.e.*

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial f) = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) d\bar{z}^\beta \right] \otimes dz^\alpha \otimes e_i = 0$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial f) \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Since  $\partial f = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\alpha} dz^\alpha \otimes e_i \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$  is induced by the Chern connection on  $M$  and Levi-Civita connection on  $N$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\alpha} dz^\alpha \otimes e_i \right) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) d\bar{z}^\beta \otimes dz^\alpha \otimes e_i.$$

By the definition equation (2.12) of pluri-harmonic maps, we get  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f = 0$ .  $\square$

The following result is a generalization of the classical Chern-Lu identity ([Che68, Lu68], see also [Yang18a, Lemma 5.1]).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a pluri-harmonic map from a Hermitian manifold  $M$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Then we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} u = \langle \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle + \left( R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} h^{\gamma\bar{\nu}} h^{\mu\bar{\delta}} g_{ij} f_\mu^i f_\nu^j - R_{i\ell k j} f_\alpha^i f_\beta^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_\gamma^k f_\delta^\ell \right) \right) dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta.$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta_h u = |\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f|^2 + \left( h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \right) h^{\gamma\bar{\nu}} h^{\mu\bar{\delta}} g_{ij} f_\mu^i f_\nu^j - R_{i\ell k j} \left( h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f_\alpha^i f_\beta^j \right) \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_\gamma^k f_\delta^\ell \right),$$

where  $u = |\partial f|^2$  and  $\Delta_h u = h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta}$ .

*Proof.* By using Bochner technique, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \bar{\partial} u &= \partial \langle \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f, \partial f \rangle + \partial \langle \partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle \\ &= \partial \langle \partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle + \langle \partial f, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f, \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \partial f \rangle + \langle \partial f, (\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\mathcal{F}}) \partial f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F} = T^{*1,0}M \otimes \mathcal{E}$ , we have the curvature formula

$$(3.5) \quad \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\mathcal{F}} = R^{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} + \text{Id}_{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes (\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \partial_{\mathcal{E}}).$$



Let's compute the curvature term on the target manifold. By using the expression

$$[\text{Id}_{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes (\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \partial_{\mathcal{E}})] \partial f = \left( R_{ijk}^{\ell} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\delta}^k dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \right) \cdot dz^{\delta} \otimes e_{\ell}$$

we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \partial f, [\text{Id}_{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes (\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \partial_{\mathcal{E}})] \partial f \rangle = -R_{ijkl} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\gamma}^k f_{\bar{\delta}}^{\ell} \right) dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta}$$

(Note that, in general, (3.6) has no positivity.) By the constraint equation (2.16) for pluri-harmonic maps, one has  $-R_{iklj} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j f_{\gamma}^k = 0$  for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ell$ , and so

$$-R_{iklj} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\gamma}^k f_{\bar{\delta}}^{\ell} \right) = 0.$$

Then by the Bianchi identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial f, [\text{Id}_{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes (\partial_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \partial_{\mathcal{E}})] \partial f \rangle &= -R_{ijkl} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\gamma}^k f_{\bar{\delta}}^{\ell} \right) dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \\ &= -R_{ilkj} f_{\alpha}^i f_{\beta}^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\gamma}^k f_{\bar{\delta}}^{\ell} \right) dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\left\langle \partial f, \left[ R^{T^{*1,0}M} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \right] \partial f \right\rangle = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} h^{\gamma\bar{\nu}} h^{\mu\bar{\delta}} g_{ij} f_{\mu}^i f_{\bar{\nu}}^j dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta}.$$

Hence, we get formula (3.3) and by taking trace, one has (3.4).  $\square$

Let  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $T_{\mathbb{C}}N := TN \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of the real vector bundle  $TN$ . We can extend the metric  $g$  and  $\nabla$  to  $T_{\mathbb{C}}N$  in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear way and still denote them by  $g$  and  $\nabla$  respectively.

**Definition 3.3.**  $(N, g)$  is said to have *non-positive complex sectional curvature* if

$$(3.7) \quad R(Z, \bar{W}, W, \bar{Z}) \leq 0$$

for any  $Z, W \in T_{\mathbb{C}}N$ .

**Definition 3.4.** A Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$  is called *uniformly RC-positive* if the Chern curvature tensor of the Hermitian tangent bundle  $(T^{1,0}M, h)$  is uniformly RC-positive, i.e., at every point  $p \in M$ , there exists some vector  $u \in T_p^{1,0}M$  such that for any nonzero vector  $v \in T_p^{1,0}M$ , one has

$$(3.8) \quad R^h(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} u^{\alpha} \bar{u}^{\beta} v^{\gamma} \bar{v}^{\delta} > 0.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a pluri-harmonic map from a compact complex manifold to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$  with non-positive complex sectional curvature. If  $T^{1,0}M$  is uniformly RC-positive, then  $f$  is a constant map.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not a constant map, then  $u = |\partial f|^2$  is not constant. Suppose  $u$  attains a maximum value at some point  $p \in M$ . Let  $h$  be a Hermitian metric on  $M$  such that has uniformly RC-positive curvature. At point  $p$ ,

there exists a nonzero vector  $a = (a_\alpha)$  such that the curvature of  $(T^{1,0}M, h)$  has the property

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}a_\alpha\bar{a}_\beta v_\gamma\bar{v}_\delta > 0$$

for all  $v \neq 0$ . In particular, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}a_\alpha\bar{a}_\beta f_\delta^i \bar{f}_\gamma^i > 0.$$

On the other hand, let  $u^i = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha^i a_\alpha$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{i\ell k j} f_\alpha^i f_\beta^j \left( h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_\gamma^k f_\delta^\ell \right) a_\alpha \bar{a}_\beta = \sum_\gamma R_{i\ell k j} u^i \bar{u}^j f_\gamma^k f_\gamma^\ell.$$

Since  $(N, h)$  has non-positive complex sectional curvature, we deduce

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_\gamma R_{i\ell k j} u^i \bar{u}^j f_\gamma^k f_\gamma^\ell \leq 0$$

By formulas (3.3), (3.9) and (3.10), we get a contradiction. Hence  $f$  must be a constant.  $\square$

By using similar arguments for the equation (3.4), we can also prove

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a pluri-harmonic map from a compact complex manifold to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$  with non-positive complex sectional curvature. If  $M$  has a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  such that the second Chern-Ricci curvature  $(h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}})$  is positive definite, then  $f$  is a constant map.*

#### 4. Rigidity of Hermitian harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.8 by using Theorem 1.6 and ideas in [Siu80, Sam85, Sam86, JY91, JY93, LY14].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map from a compact Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ . Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\{\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}f\} \frac{\omega_h^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} = 4(|\partial_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}f|^2 - |\text{tr}_{\omega_h} \partial_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}f|^2) \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!} + Q \cdot \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!},$$

where

$$Q = -4h^{\alpha\bar{\delta}} h^{\gamma\bar{\beta}} R_{ijk\ell} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^\gamma} \frac{\partial f^\ell}{\partial \bar{z}^\delta}.$$

*Proof.* It is well-known (e.g. [LY14, Lemma 6.10] or [OU91]) by using a standard Bochner type calculations. For readers' convenience, we sketch a proof here following Siu's  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  trick. It is easy to see that  $\bar{\partial}_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}f = 0$ . Hence, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\{\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}f\} = -\{\partial_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}f, \partial_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}f\} + \{\bar{\partial}f, (\bar{\partial}_\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon + \partial_\varepsilon \bar{\partial}_\varepsilon) \bar{\partial}f\}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.3) \quad -\{\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f, \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f\} \frac{\omega_h^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} = 4(|\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f|^2 - |\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_h}\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f|^2) \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!},$$

and by [Huy05, Corollary 1.2.28]

$$(4.4) \quad \{\bar{\partial}f, (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})\bar{\partial}f\} \frac{\omega_h^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} = 4\langle\sqrt{-1}[\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}, \Lambda_{\omega_h}]\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}f\rangle \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.5) \quad \langle\sqrt{-1}[\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + \partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}, \Lambda_{\omega_h}]\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}f\rangle = -h^{\alpha\bar{\delta}}h^{\gamma\bar{\beta}}R_{ijkl}\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial z^\alpha}\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta}\frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^\gamma}\frac{\partial f^\ell}{\partial \bar{z}^\delta}.$$

Therefore, the formula (4.1) follows.  $\square$

The following formulation is essentially obtained in [LY14, Theorem 6.11].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact astheno-Kähler manifold (i.e.  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_h^{m-2} = 0$ ) and  $(N, g)$  a Riemannian manifold. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a Hermitian harmonic map. If  $(N, g)$  has non-positive complex sectional curvature, then  $f$  is pluri-harmonic.*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is Hermitian harmonic, i.e.,  $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_h}\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f = 0$ , by formula (4.1),

$$(4.6) \quad \int_M \partial\bar{\partial}\{\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}f\} \frac{\omega_h^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} = 4 \int_M |\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f|^2 \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!} + \int_M Q \cdot \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}.$$

From integration by parts, we obtain

$$4 \int_M |\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f|^2 \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!} + \int_M Q \cdot \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!} = 0.$$

If  $(N, g)$  has non-positive complex sectional curvature, then  $Q \geq 0$  and so  $\partial_{\mathcal{E}}\bar{\partial}f = 0$ , i.e.  $f$  is pluri-harmonic.  $\square$

In particular, one has the following result obtained in [Sam86, Theorem 1] (see also [JY91, Lemma 4.2] and [YZ91, Theorem])

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact Kähler manifold and  $(N, g)$  a Riemannian manifold. Let  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a harmonic map. If  $(N, g)$  has non-positive complex sectional curvature, then  $f$  is pluri-harmonic.*

*Proof.* It follows by Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

*The proof of Theorem 1.8.* Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact astheno-Kähler manifold and  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive complex sectional curvature. By Theorem 4.2, every Hermitian harmonic map  $f : (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  is pluri-harmonic. Let  $h_0$  be a Hermitian metric on  $M$  such that  $(T^{1,0}M, h_0)$  is uniformly RC-positive, then by Theorem 1.6, the pluri-harmonic map  $f : M \rightarrow (N, g)$  is constant.  $\square$

## References

- [Cam92] Campana, F. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* **25** (1992), no. 5, 539–545.
- [Che68] Chern, S. S. On the holomorphic mappings of hermitian manifolds of the same dimension, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, Vol. 11, 157–170 1968.
- [Dong13] Dong, Y.X. Monotonicity formulae and holomorphicity of harmonic maps between Kähler manifolds. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **107** (2013), 1221–1260.
- [ES64] Eells, J.; Sampson, J. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* **86**(1964) 109–160.
- [EL78] Eells, J.; Lemaire, L. A report on harmonic maps. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1978), 1–68.
- [EL83] Eells, J.; Lemaire, L. *Selected topics in harmonic maps*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **50**. 1983.
- [EL88] Eells, J.; Lemaire, L. Another report on harmonic maps. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1988), no. 5, 385–524.
- [Huy05] Huybrechts, D. *Complex geometry. An introduction*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [JY91] Jost, J.; Yau, S.-T. Harmonic maps and Kähler geometry. *Prospects in complex geometry (Katata and Kyoto, 1989)*, 340–370, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1468, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [JY93] Jost, J.; Yau, S.-T. A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry. *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), no. 2, 221–254.
- [KMM92] Kollár, J.; Miyaoka, Y.; Mori, S. Rationally connected varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 3, 429–448.
- [LSYY17] Liu, K.; Sun, X.; Yang, X.; Yau, S.-T. Curvatures of moduli space of curves and applications. *Asian J. Math.* **21**(2017), 841–854.
- [LY14] Liu, K.; Yang, X. Hermitian harmonic maps and non-degenerate curvatures. *Math. Res. Lett.* **21** (2014), no.4, 831–862.
- [LY17] Liu, K.-F.; Yang, X.-K. Ricci curvatures on Hermitian manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 5157–5196.
- [Mat09] Matsuo, K. Astheno-Kähler structures on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds. *Colloq. Math.* **115** (2009), no. 1, 33–39
- [Lu68] Lu, Y. Holomorphic mappings of complex manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **2** (1968), 299–312.
- [MSY93] Mok, N.; Siu, Y.-T.; Yeung, S.-K. Geometric superrigidity. *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), 57–83.
- [Ni99] Ni, L. Hermitian harmonic maps from complete Hermitian manifolds to complete Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Z.* **232** (1999), no. 2, 331–355.
- [Ni18] Ni, L. Liouville theorems and a Schwarz Lemma for holomorphic mappings between Kähler manifolds. [arXiv:1807.02674](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02674)
- [Ohn87] Ohnita, Y. On pluriharmonicity of stable harmonic maps. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **35** (1987), no. 3, 563–568.

- [OU90] Ohnita, Y.; Udagawa, S. Stability, complex-analyticity and constancy of pluriharmonic maps from compact Kähler manifolds. *Math. Z.* **205** (1990), 629–644.
- [OU91] Ohnita, Y.; Udagawa, S. Complex-analyticity of pluriharmonic maps and their constructions. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1468, 371–407, 1991.
- [Sam85] Sampson, J.-H. Harmonic maps in Kähler geometry: Harmonic mappings and minimal immersions, 193–205, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1161**, 1985.
- [Sam86] Sampson, J.-H. Applications of harmonic maps to Kähler geometry. *Contemp. Math.*, **49**, 125–134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [Siu80] Siu, Y.-T. The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **112** (1980), no. 1, 73–111.
- [Siu82] Siu, Y.-T. Complex-analyticity of harmonic maps, vanishing and Lefschetz theorems. *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), no. 1, 55–138.
- [Tos07] Tossati, V. A general Schwarz lemma for almost-Hermitian manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **15** (2007), no. 5, 1063–1086.
- [Uda88] Udagawa, S. Pluriharmonic maps and minimal immersions of Kähler manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **37** (1988), no. 2, 375–384.
- [Uda94] Udagawa, S. Classification of pluriharmonic maps from compact complex manifolds with positive first Chern class into complex Grassmann manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **46** (1994), no. 3, 367–391.
- [YHD13] Yang, G.; Han, Y.; Dong, Y. Partial energies monotonicity and holomorphicity of Hermitian pluriharmonic maps. *Sci. China Math.* **56** (2013), 1019–1032.
- [Yang17] Yang, X.-K. Big vector bundles and compact complex manifolds with semi-positive tangent bundles. *Math. Ann.* **267**(2017), no.1, 251–282. MR 3606441
- [Yang18] Yang, X.-K. RC-positivity, rational connectedness and Yau’s conjecture. *Camb. J. Math.* **6** (2018), 183–212.
- [Yang18a] Yang, X.-K. RC-positivity, vanishing theorems and rigidity of holomorphic maps. [arXiv:1807.02601](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02601)
- [Yang18b] Yang, X.-K. RC-positive metrics on rationally connected manifolds. [arXiv:1807.03510](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.03510).
- [YZ18] Yang, X.-K.; Zheng, F.-Y. On real bisectional curvature for Hermitian manifolds. [arXiv:1610.07165](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07165). *To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [Yau78] Yau, S.-T. A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **100** (1978), no. 1, 197–203.
- [Yau78a] Yau, S.-T. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), 339–411.
- [YZ91] Yau, S.-T.; Zheng, F. Negatively  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched Riemannian metric on a compact Kähler manifold. *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), no. 3, 527–535.
- [Zhang12] Zhang, X. Hermitian harmonic maps between almost Hermitian manifolds. *Adv. Lect. Math.* 2012. 485–493.

Address of Jun Wang: Tsinghua University, Yau Mathematical Science Center, Beijing, 100084, China.

Address of Xiaokui Yang: Morningside Center of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Hua Loo-Keng Center of Mathematical Sciences, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.

*E-mail address:* [xkyang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:xkyang@amss.ac.cn)