

COLORINGS AND DOUBLED COLORINGS OF VIRTUAL DOODLES

ANDREW BARTHOLOMEW, ROGER FENN, NAOKO KAMADA, AND SEIICHI KAMADA

ABSTRACT. A virtual doodle is an equivalence class of virtual diagrams under an equivalence relation generated by flat version of classical Reidemeister moves and virtual Reidemeister moves such that Reidemeister moves of type 3 are forbidden. In this paper we discuss colorings of virtual diagrams using an algebra, called a doodle switch, and define an invariant of virtual doodles. Besides usual colorings of diagrams, we also introduce doubled colorings.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *virtual diagram* is a generically immersed closed 1-manifold in \mathbb{R}^2 such that some of the crossings are decorated by small circles. Crossings are called *virtual crossings* when they are decorated by small circles; otherwise, they are called *real crossings*. The local moves, R_1, R_2, R_3 , depicted in Figure 1 are flat version of classical Reidemeister moves and the moves, VR_1, \dots, VR_4 , are flat version of virtual Reidemeister moves. We assume that virtual diagrams are oriented. (Orientations are omitted in Figure 1.) A *virtual doodle* is an equivalence class of virtual diagrams under an equivalence relation generated by $R_1, R_2, VR_1, VR_2, VR_3$ and VR_4 .




FIGURE 1. Moves

The doodle was originally introduced by the second author and P. Taylor in [6] as a homotopy class of a collection of embedded circles in S^2 with no triple or higher intersection points. M. Khovanov [10] extended the idea to allow each component to be an immersed circle in S^2 . It was further extended to doodles on surfaces in [1]. The notion of a virtual doodle was introduced in [1] and it was shown that there is a natural bijection between

Date: September 13, 2018.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP15K04879 and JP26287013.

the set of doodles on surfaces and the set of virtual doodles. For details and related topics on doodles, refer to [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11].

We introduce an algebra, a *doodle switch*, which is a set with a binary operation whose axioms correspond to R_1 and R_2 moves.

For a virtual diagram D , the *fundamental doodle switch* $\text{FDS}(D)$ is defined. It is an invariant of D as a virtual doodle. Let T be a doodle switch. Colorings of a virtual diagram D by using T correspond to homomorphisms from $\text{FDS}(D)$ to T . Thus the cardinality of colorings by T is an invariant of a virtual doodle.

For a virtual diagram D , we introduce a doodle switch called the *doubled fundamental doodle switch*, denoted by $\text{DFDS}(D)$. It is also an invariant of D as a virtual doodle. We introduce a *doubled coloring* of a virtual diagram D by a doodle switch T . It corresponds to a homomorphism from $\text{DFDS}(D)$ to T .

In Section 4, we introduced the notion of a *double covering* of a virtual diagram, which is inspired by a double covering of a virtual or twisted link diagram in [8, 9]. We show that if two virtual diagrams are equivalent as virtual doodles then double coverings of them are also equivalent as virtual doodles. It turns out that the doubled fundamental doodle switch of a virtual diagram D is isomorphic to the fundamental doodle switch of a double covering \tilde{D} .

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we define a doodle switch. The fundamental doodle switch and a coloring of a virtual diagram are introduced. In Section 3 we define the doubled fundamental doodle switch and a doubled coloring of a virtual diagram. In Section 4 we introduce a double covering \tilde{D} of a virtual diagram D , and prove that if D and D' are equivalent as virtual doodles then so are \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' . It is proved that the doubled fundamental doodle switch of a virtual diagram D is isomorphic to the fundamental doodle switch of a double covering \tilde{D} .

2. DOODLE SWITCHES

Definition 2.1. A *doodle switch* is a set X with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ such that, for all $a, b \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ if and only if $a = b$;
- (2) there is a unique element u with $a = u \cdot b$; let $S : X^2 \rightarrow X^2$ be a map defined by $S(a, b) = (b \cdot a, a \cdot b)$. Then S is bijective.

We call the conditions (1)–(2) *Axioms* of a doodle switch. A doodle switch (X, \cdot) is denoted by X when it causes no confusion. It is often more convenient and preferred to denote $x \cdot y$ by x^y . (The symbol x^y is used in [4, 5] for racks.) Let X be a doodle switch. Let $\tau : X^2 \rightarrow X^2$, $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$, for $x, y \in X$, be the transposition. By definition of S , we have $S \circ \tau = \tau \circ S$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a doodle switch.*

- (1) *There exists a unique binary operation $\bullet : X^2 \rightarrow X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \bullet y$ such that $S^{-1}(x, y) = (y \bullet x, x \bullet y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, i.e., $S(a, b) = (c, d)$ if and only if $(a, b) = (d \bullet c, c \bullet d)$.*
- (2) *There exists a unique binary operation $\cdot^{-1} : X^2 \rightarrow X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot^{-1} y$ such that $(x \cdot y) \cdot^{-1} y = (x \cdot^{-1} y) \cdot y = x$ for all $x, y \in X$.*
- (3) *There exists a unique binary operation $\bullet^{-1} : X^2 \rightarrow X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \bullet^{-1} y$ such that $(x \bullet y) \bullet^{-1} y = (x \bullet^{-1} y) \bullet y = x$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. (1) Define binary operations \bullet_1 and \bullet_2 by $S^{-1}(x, y) = (y \bullet_1 x, x \bullet_2 y)$. Note that $S^{-1} \circ \tau = \tau \circ S^{-1}$, which implies $\bullet_1 = \bullet_2$. Let $\bullet = \bullet_1 = \bullet_2$. If $*$ is a binary operation with $S^{-1}(x, y) = (y * x, x * y)$ then $y * x = y \bullet x$. Thus $* = \bullet$.

(2) For $x, y \in X$, by Axiom (2), there is a unique element u with $u \cdot y = x$. Let $x \cdot^{-1} y := u$. Then $(x \cdot^{-1} y) \cdot y = u \cdot y = x$. Put $v := (x \cdot y) \cdot^{-1} y$. Then $v \cdot y = x \cdot y$. By Axiom (2), we have $v = x$. If $*$ is a binary operation with $(x * y) \cdot y = x$, then $(x * y) \cdot y = x = (x \cdot^{-1} y) \cdot y$. By Axiom (2), we have $x * y = x \cdot^{-1} y$. Thus $* = \cdot^{-1}$.

(3) Define a binary operation \bullet^{-1} by $x \bullet^{-1} y = x \cdot (y \cdot^{-1} x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

First we show that $(x \bullet y) \bullet^{-1} y = x$. For $x, y \in X$, let $z, w \in X$ with $S(z, w) = (x, y)$, i.e., $x = w \cdot z$, $y = z \cdot w$, $z = y \bullet x$ and $w = x \bullet y$. Then $(x \bullet y) \bullet^{-1} y = w \bullet^{-1} y = w \cdot (y \cdot^{-1} w) = w \cdot z = x$.

We show that $(x \bullet^{-1} y) \bullet y = x$. For $x, y \in X$, let $u, v \in X$ with $u = y \cdot^{-1} x$ and $v = x \cdot u = x \cdot (y \cdot^{-1} x) = x \bullet^{-1} y$. Then $S(u, x) = (v, y)$. We have $(x \bullet^{-1} y) \bullet y = v \bullet y = x$.

If $*$ is a binary operation with $(x * y) \bullet y = x$, then $((x * y) \bullet y) \bullet^{-1} y = x \bullet^{-1} y$ and we have $x * y = x \bullet^{-1} y$. Thus $* = \bullet^{-1}$. \square

Let $t : X \rightarrow X$ be a unary operation with $t(x) = x \cdot x$ for $x \in X$. By Axioms (1) and (2), we see that t is a bijection and $S(a, a) = (t(a), t(a))$ and $S^{-1}(b, b) = (t^{-1}(b), t^{-1}(b))$ for $a, b \in X$. Moreover, $t^{-1}(x) = x \bullet x$ for all $x \in X$.

In what follows, when we discuss a doodle switch we assume that the operations \cdot^{-1} , \bullet , \bullet^{-1} and t are automatically equipped with.

For a virtual diagram D , removing an open regular neighbourhood of every real crossing, we obtain a collection of oriented immersed arcs and loops in \mathbb{R}^2 , whose crossings are virtual crossings of D . Such an arc or loop is called a *semiarc* of D .

The *fundamental doodle switch* of D , denoted by $\text{FDS}(D)$, is the doodle switch generated by letters corresponding to the semiarcs of D and the defining relations are coming from each real crossing as follows: Let a, b, c, d be the letters corresponding to the 4 semiarcs around a real crossing as in Figure 2 (Left). The defining relations associated to the crossing are $c = b \cdot a$ and $d = a \cdot b$. (At a virtual crossing, two semiarcs intersect as in Figure 2 (Right).)

Note that the defining relations associated to the crossing depicted in Figure 2 (Left) imply relations $a = d \bullet c$ and $b = c \bullet d$, and relations $a = d \cdot^{-1} b$, $b = c \cdot^{-1} a$, $c = b \bullet^{-1} d$ and $d = a \bullet^{-1} c$. See Figure 3.




FIGURE 2. The defining relations associated to a crossing




FIGURE 3. Relations around a crossing

Theorem 2.3. *Let D and D' be virtual diagrams. If D and D' are equivalent as virtual doodles, then $\text{FDS}(D)$ and $\text{FDS}(D')$ are isomorphic as virtual doodles.*

Proof. If D and D' are related by an R_1 move as in Figure 4 (Left), then $S(y, y) = (x, z)$. This implies that $x = z$ and $y = t^{-1}(x)$. Replacing z with x and removing y from the generating set of $\text{FDS}(D')$, we obtain $\text{FDS}(D)$. Thus $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$. If D and D' are related by an R_1 move as in Figure 4 (Right), then $S^{-1}(y, y) = (x, z)$. By a similar argument, we have $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$.




FIGURE 4.

If D and D' are related by an R_2 move as in Figure 5 (Left), then $S(y, w) = (x, z) = (w \cdot y, y \cdot w)$ and $S(w, v) = (z, u) = (v \cdot w, w \cdot v)$. This implies that $u = x$, $v = y$, $w = x \cdot^{-1} y$ and $z = y \cdot (x \cdot^{-1} y)$. Replacing u with x and v with y and removing w and z from the generating set of $\text{FDS}(D')$, we obtain $\text{FDS}(D)$. Thus $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$.

If D and D' are related by an R_2 move as in Figure 5 (Middle), then $S(x, v) = (z, w) = (v \cdot x, x \cdot v)$ and $S(y, u) = (w, z) = \tau(z, w)$. This implies that $u = x$, $v = y$, $w = x \cdot y$ and

$z = y \cdot x$. Replacing u with x and v with y and removing w and z from the generating set of $\text{FDS}(D')$, we obtain $\text{FDS}(D)$. Thus $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$.

If D and D' are related by an R_2 move as in Figure 5 (Right), then $S(z, w) = (x, v)$ and $S(w, z) = (y, u)$. This implies that $u = x, v = y, w = x \bullet y$ and $z = y \bullet x$. Replacing u with x and v with y and removing w and z from the generating set of $\text{FDS}(D')$, we obtain $\text{FDS}(D)$. Thus $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$.




FIGURE 5.

□

A *coloring* of D by a doodle switch T is a map from the set of semiarcs of D to T such that the relations associated to real crossings are satisfied. It corresponds to a homomorphism from the fundamental doodle switch $\text{FDS}(D)$ to T . The *coloring number* of D by T , denoted by $\text{col}(D, T)$, is the cardinality of the set of colorings of D by T .

Corollary 2.4. *Let T be a doodle switch. Let D be a virtual diagram. Then $\text{col}(D, T)$ is an invariant of D as a virtual doodle.*

Example 2.5. Let $T = (T, \cdot)$ be a doodle switch with 4 elements x_1, \dots, x_4 such that the multiplication matrix A of the operation \cdot is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the multiplication matrix A of a binary operation \cdot on $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ means an $n \times n$ -square matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ with $A_{ij} = k$ if $x_i \cdot x_j = x_k$ for i, j ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$).

Let T' and T'' be doodle switches with 3 elements presented by the following matrices A' and A'' respectively:

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A'' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let U be the unknot. Let $d_{3,1}$ be the virtual diagram depicted in Figure 6, which corresponds to an immersed circle in a surface with Gauss word $abc b^{-1} a^{-1} c^{-1}$ introduced by Carter [3]. Let $d_{4,1}, \dots, d_{4,6}$ be virtual diagrams depicted in Figure 7.




FIGURE 6. Carter's curve $d_{3,1}$




FIGURE 7. Examples of virtual diagrams

The coloring numbers of these virtual diagrams by T , T' and T'' are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Coloring numbers using T , T' and T''

	U	$d_{3,1}$	$d_{4,1}$	$d_{4,2}$	$d_{4,3}$	$d_{4,4}$	$d_{4,5}$	$d_{4,6}$
$\text{col}(-, T)$	4	2	2	2	4	2	2	4
$\text{col}(-, T')$	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	2
$\text{col}(-, T'')$	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2

For example, colorings of $d_{4,1}$ by T , T' and T'' are listed in Table 2, where x_1, \dots, x_8 are semiarcs of $d_{4,1}$ as in Figure 8.

Remark 2.6. When a doodle switch T satisfies an additional condition that

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot b) = (a \cdot c) \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

for any $a, b, c \in T$, one can prove that if D and D' are related by an R_3 move then $\text{FDS}(D) \cong \text{FDS}(D')$ and $\text{col}(D, T) = \text{col}(D', T)$. (We omit the proof here.)

TABLE 2. Colorings of $d_{4,1}$ by T , T' and T''

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_8
T	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
T	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
T'	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
T'	3	2	3	2	3	1	3	2
T''	3	3	3	1	2	1	2	1




FIGURE 8. Colorings of $d_{4,1}$

For example, the doodle switch T in Example 2.5 satisfies this condition, and T' and T'' do not. The diagrams $d_{4,1}$ and $d_{4,4}$ are related by an R_3 move, and they are distinguished by using the coloring number by T' .

3. DOUBLED COLORINGS

In this section we introduce a *doubled coloring* of a virtual diagram D . We can consider some notions of ‘doubled coloring’. The notion we introduce here is one of them, which is inspired by a double covering of a virtual/twisted link in [8, 9].

Definition 3.1. The *doubled fundamental doodle switch* $DFDS(D)$ of a virtual diagram D is the doodle switch generated by two letters \bar{x} and \underline{x} corresponding to every semiarc of D (Figure 9) with defining relations associated to real crossings as follows. Let $\bar{a}, \underline{a}, \bar{b}, \underline{b}, \bar{c}, \underline{c}, \bar{d}, \underline{d}$ be letters corresponding to the four semiarcs around a real crossing as in Figure 10 (Left). The defining relations associated to the real crossing are

$$\bar{a} = \underline{d} \cdot \underline{c}, \quad \bar{b} = \underline{c} \cdot \underline{d}, \quad \bar{c} = \underline{b} \cdot \underline{a}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d} = \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we call \bar{x} (or \underline{x}) the *upper generator* (or *lower generator*) associated to a semiarc.

For a virtual diagram D and a doodle switch T , a *doubled coloring* of D by T is a map from the set of letters, i.e., upper and lower generators, to T such that the relations

$$\frac{\bar{x}}{\underline{x}} \longrightarrow$$

FIGURE 9. Two elements assigned to a semiarc




FIGURE 10. The defining relations associated to a crossing

associated to the real crossings are satisfied. It corresponds to a homomorphism from the doubled fundamental doodle switch of D to T .

The *doubled coloring number* of D by T is the cardinality of the set of doubled colorings of D by T , which is denoted by $\text{dcol}(D, T)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let D and D' be virtual diagrams. If D and D' are equivalent as virtual doodles, then $\text{DFDS}(D)$ and $\text{DFDS}(D')$ are isomorphic as doodle switches. Consequently, the doubled coloring number by a doodle switch is an invariant of virtual doodles.*

We prove this theorem in the next section.

Example 3.3. Let T be the doodle switch in Example 2.5. The coloring numbers and doubled coloring numbers of $U, d_{3,1}, d_{4,1}, \dots, d_{4,6}$ by T are listed in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Coloring and doubled coloring numbers by T

	U	$d_{3,1}$	$d_{4,1}$	$d_{4,2}$	$d_{4,3}$	$d_{4,4}$	$d_{4,5}$	$d_{4,6}$
$\text{col}(-, T)$	4	2	2	2	4	2	4	4
$\text{dcol}(-, T)$	16	16	16	16	256	256	256	256

Remark 3.4. Since T in Example 2.5 satisfies the condition in Remark 2.6, $d_{4,1}$ and $d_{4,4}$ are not distinguished by the coloring number by T . However, $d_{4,1}$ and $d_{4,4}$ are distinguished by the doubled coloring number by T .

4. DOUBLE COVERINGS FOR VIRTUAL DIAGRAMS

In this section, we introduce the notion of a double covering \tilde{D} of a virtual diagram D , which is inspired by a double covering of a virtual or twisted link diagram in [8, 9]. We show that if D and D' are equivalent as virtual doodles then so are their double coverings (Theorem 4.1). Thus the double covering is well-defined for a virtual doodle. It turns

out that the doubled fundamental doodle switch of D is isomorphic to the fundamental doodle switch of a double covering \tilde{D} (Theorem 4.2).

Let D be a virtual diagram. Put two points on two semiarcs around each real crossing of D as in Figure 11. We call the points *cut points*. The set of cut points of D is denoted by P_D and is called the *cut system* of D . (We do not introduce cut points to virtual crossings.)




FIGURE 11. Cut points for a crossing

Let D be a virtual diagram D and $P_D = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ the cut system. By an isotopy of \mathbb{R}^2 , we deform D such that D is in the half plane $x > 0$ and that the y -coordinates of the cut points are all distinct. Let D^* be the virtual diagram obtained by reflecting D with respect to the y -axis, and let $P_{D^*} = \{p_1^*, \dots, p_k^*\}$ be the image of P_D on D^* . See Figure 12.




FIGURE 12. A virtual diagram D with cut system P_D and the reflection images

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let l_i be the horizontal line passing through p_i and p_i^* . If necessary, by an isotopy of \mathbb{R}^2 , we may assume that $D \cup D^*$ intersects with l_i transversely. Let \tilde{D} be a virtual diagram obtained by replacing $D \cup D^*$ as in Figure 13 in a regular neighbourhood $N(l_i)$ of l_i for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. We call the diagram \tilde{D} a *double covering* of D .




FIGURE 13. Replacement near cut points

For example, for the diagram D with P_D depicted in Figure 12, a double covering \tilde{D} is as in Figure 14.




FIGURE 14. A double covering of a virtual diagram

Theorem 4.1. *Let D and D' be virtual diagrams. If D and D' are equivalent as virtual doodles, then so are \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' .*

Proof. We assume that D is in the half plane $x > 0$ and the y -coordinates of the cut points are all distinct.

If D' is obtained from D by an isotopy of \mathbb{R}^2 , then \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are related by detour moves. (An sub-path of a virtual diagram which passes only through virtual crossings is called a *virtual path*. A *detour move* is a replacement of a virtual path with another virtual path, [1]. A detour move is a consequence of VR_1, \dots, VR_4 moves.) Thus, \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are equivalent as virtual doodles.

Let D and D' be virtual diagrams such that D' is obtained from D by a local move depicted in Figure 1. Let U be a disc in \mathbb{R}^2 where the the local move is applied to D , U^* the reflection image of U , and let N be the smallest convex disc containing U and U^* .

First we consider an R_1 move depicted in the most left and the second left of Figure 15. Figure 15 shows the restrictions $(D^* \cup D) \cap N$, $(D'^* \cup D') \cap N$, $\tilde{D} \cap N$ and $\tilde{D}' \cap N$. The diagram $D^* \cup D$ and $D'^* \cup D'$ are the identical outside of N , and so are \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' . It is easily seen that the restriction $\tilde{D}' \cap N$ is transformed into $(D'^* \cup D') \cap N$ by VR_1, \dots, VR_4 moves, since they are related by detour moves. Then $(D'^* \cup D') \cap N$ is transformed into $\tilde{D} \cap N$ by two R_1 moves. Thus \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are equivalent as virtual doodles. The other cases for an R_1 move is similar, and we omit the proof.




FIGURE 15. Double coverings of two diagrams related by an R_1 move

We consider an R_2 move depicted in the most left and the second left (on the first row or the second) of Figure 16. Figure 16 shows the restrictions $(D^* \cup D) \cap N$, $(D'^* \cup D') \cap N$, $\tilde{D} \cap N$ and $\tilde{D}' \cap N$. Remove the four real crossings from the restriction $\tilde{D}' \cap N$ by R_2 moves. Then the result is transformed into $\tilde{D} \cap N$ by VR_1, \dots, VR_4 moves, since they are related by detour moves. Thus \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are equivalent as virtual doodles. The other cases for an R_2 move is similar, and we omit the proof.




FIGURE 16. Double coverings of two diagrams related by an R_2 move

When the local move is a VR_1 , VR_2 or VR_3 move, then the restriction $\tilde{D}' \cap N$ is transformed into $\tilde{D} \cap N$ by VR_1, \dots, VR_4 moves. Thus \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are equivalent as virtual doodles.

We consider an VR_4 move depicted in the most left and the second left of Figure 17. Figure 17 shows the restrictions $(D^* \cup D) \cap N$, $(D'^* \cup D') \cap N$, $\tilde{D} \cap N$ and $\tilde{D}' \cap N$. The restriction $\tilde{D}' \cap N$ is transformed into $\tilde{D} \cap N$ by VR_1, \dots, VR_4 moves, since they are related by detour moves. Thus \tilde{D} and \tilde{D}' are equivalent as virtual doodles. \square




FIGURE 17. Double coverings of two diagrams related by a VR_4 move

Theorem 4.2. *Let D be a virtual diagram and let \tilde{D} be the double covering. Then $DFDS(D)$ and $FDS(\tilde{D})$ are isomorphic as doodle switches.*

Proof. Without of loss of generality, we may assume that all real crossings of D (and \tilde{D}) are oriented downward. For each semiarc of D , there are two semiarcs of \tilde{D} covering the semiarc. When we label the semiarcs of \tilde{D} as in Figure 18, the generators and relations for the fundamental doodle switch of \tilde{D} are the same with the generators and relations for the doubled fundamental doodle switch of D . \square

Theorem 3.2 follows from Theorems 2.3, 4.1 and 4.2.




FIGURE 18. Generators and relations arising from the double covering

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bartholomew, R. Fenn, S. Kamada, N. Kamada, *Doodles on surfaces I: An introduction to their basic properties*, arXiv:1612.08473v1.
- [2] J. S. Carter, *Classifying immersed curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), no. 1, 281–287.
- [3] J. S. Carter, *Closed curves that never extend to proper maps of disks*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), no. 3, 879–888.
- [4] R. Fenn, C. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension two*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 1 (1992), no. 4, 343–406.
- [5] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *The rack space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 2, 701–740.
- [6] R. Fenn and P. Taylor, *Introducing doodles*, Topology of low-dimensional manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math. 722 (1979), 37–43.
- [7] N. Ito and Y. Takimura, *(1, 2) and weak (1, 3) homotopies on knot projections*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 22 (2013), no. 14, 1350085 (14 pages), and Addendum, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 23 (2014), no. 8, 1491001 (2 pages)
- [8] N. Kamada, *Converting virtual link diagrams to normal ones*, arXiv:1606.00667.
- [9] N. Kamada and S. Kamada, *Double coverings of twisted links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 25 (2016), no. 9, 1641011 (22 pages).
- [10] M. Khovanov, *Doodle groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 6, 2297–2315.
- [11] V.I. Manturov and D.P. Ilyutko, *Virtual knots: The state of the art*, Series on Knots and Everything, 51. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013.

(A. Bartholomew) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX, FALMER, BRIGHTON, BN1 9RH, ENGLAND

E-mail address: `andrewb@layer8.co.uk`

(R. Fenn) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX, FALMER, BRIGHTON, BN1 9RH, ENGLAND

E-mail address: `rogerf@sussex.ac.uk`

(N. Kamada) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES, NAGOYA CITY UNIVERSITY, 1 YAMANOHATA, MIZUHO-CHO, MIZUHO-KU, NAGOYA, AICHI 467-8501 JAPAN

E-mail address: `kamada@nsc.nagoya-cu.ac.jp`

(S. Kamada) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, SUIMIYOSHI, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: `skamada@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp`