

RIGIDITY FOR SMOOTH AFFINE HENSELIAN PAIRS OVER A FIELD

A. DRUZHININ

ABSTRACT. Let $Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes over an arbitrary field k , and X_Z^h denote the henselization of X along Z . For each presheaf $E: \mathbf{SH}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ on the stable motivic homotopy category over k and the induced continuous presheaf $E: \text{EssSm}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ on the category of essentially smooth schemes there is a homomorphism

$$E(X_Z^h) \rightarrow E(Z).$$

We prove that this is an isomorphism for any l_ε -torsion presheaf E , for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(l, \text{char } k) = 1$, and $l_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (-1)^i \rangle$. More generally, the isomorphism holds for any homotopy invariant l_ε -torsion linear σ -stable framed additive presheaf F over k . The case of l -torsion presheaves follows as well.

The result generalises known Gabber's rigidity theorems for local henselian schemes to the case of smooth affine henselian pairs.

The above isomorphism is proven by constructing of (stable) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies of motivic spaces via algebro-geometric techniques. To achieve this in our setting we replace often used Quillen's trick by an alternative construction that provides required smooth relative curves over smooth affine schemes for an arbitrary base field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider an immersion of (smooth) (compact) manifolds $Z \rightarrow X$, and the ε -neighbourhood

$$(1.1) \quad X_{Z,d,\varepsilon} = \{x \in X \mid d(x, Z) < \varepsilon\},$$

with respect to some distance $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ agreed with the topology on X . Then Z is a retract of $X_{Z,d,\varepsilon}$ for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently, for any homotopy invariant presheaf F there is the isomorphism $F(Z) \simeq F(X_{Z,d,\varepsilon})$. In particular, the isomorphism holds for any cohomology theory on the category of manifolds (or appropriate topological spaces). We can write

$$(1.2) \quad F(Z) \simeq \varinjlim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} F(X_{Z,d,\varepsilon}),$$

then the right side is independent of the choice of the distance d (and ε).

In the article, we study analogue of the above isomorphism for motivic (generalised) cohomology theories defined on the Voevodsky-Morel stable motivic homotopy category over a field k [MV99, Jar00, Mor0]. The motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{H}(k)$ and the stable category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ are considered as analogues to the classical (topological) ones in the algebraically geometric setting in view of the following: (1) the role of homotopies, belongs to so-called \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies; (2) the role of the topology is played by the Nisnevich topology on Sm_k , (3) the spheres are the simplicial sphere S^1 and the motivic spheres: $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} = \mathbb{G}_m / \{1\}$, and $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 / \{\infty\} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \wedge S^1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F42, 19G38, 19E20, 19G12.

Key words and phrases. Rigidity theorems, affine henselian pairs, generalised motivic cohomology theories, framed correspondences.

The research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-71-30002.

1.1. Étale neighbourhoods and Gabber's rigidity. One of the geometrical features that effect for the choice of the Nisnevich topology in the construction of the motivic homotopy category is that the topology has a natural notion of neighbourhoods of closed subschemes, that look like ε -tubes (1.1). Namely, these are called étale neighbourhoods. At the same, time this allows to ask by analogy with (1.2) about the isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad E(Z) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow X} E(V),$$

where V runs over the filtering system of the étale-neighbourhoods of Z in X . If F is a continuous presheaf on the category of schemes, then the injective limit in (1.3) equals to $F(X_Z^h)$, where $X_Z^h = \varprojlim_V V$ in the category of schemes.

The scheme X_Z^h is usually considered in the literature in the affine case. If $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $Z = \text{Spec } A/I$, for a ring A and an ideal $I \subset A$, then $X_Z^h = \text{Spec } A_I^h$, where the henselization A_I^h of the ring A with respect to I is the subring of the I -adical completion A_I^\wedge that contains algebraic elements over A that are separable over A/I . Then (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$(1.4) \quad F(\text{Spec } A/I) = F(Z) \simeq F(X_Z^h) = F(\text{Spec } A_I^h).$$

The pair (A, I) such that $A = A_I^h$ is called *an affine henselian pair or couple*. One probable reason why the affine henselian pairs are considered much more often is that for some examples of projective schemes the étale neighbourhoods could become equal to Zariski open neighbourhoods, that are distinctly bigger in the affine case.

Isomorphism (1.4) is called Gabber's rigidity isomorphism after the work [Gab92], where isomorphism (1.4) is proven for the algebraic K-theory with finite coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$, for an integer l invertible in the (noetherian) ring A . [Gab92] elaborates the method of Suslin's pioneer works [Sus83, Sus84] that contain in particular the proof of the isomorphism for the case of discrete valuation ring.

In the article, we consider the case of smooth affine schemes $Z, X \in \text{SmAff}_k$, and presheaves $F: \text{SmAff}_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ over a field k . The immersion $Z \rightarrow X_Z^h$ we call *smooth affine henselian pair* over k .

The basic case of Gabber's rigidity is the case $Z = z$ being a closed point of X . Then X_Z^h is a local henselian scheme, that is analogue of the infinitesimally small disk around the point in topological terms. The case of (smooth) local henselian pairs over a field was widely studied in literature for different types of presheaves, see Remark 1.9, and Subsection 1.4. In the non-local affine case isomorphism (1.4) is proven only for few examples of cohomology theories till now.

1.2. The result. The motivic homotopy theory is less flexible, then the classical topological one. It is because the condition to be a regular map of algebraic varieties is much stronger then for continuous maps of manifolds. Nevertheless, it demonstrates similar behaviour from some viewpoints. The isomorphism (1.4) does not hold for a general \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant presheaf $F: \text{SmAff}_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$, or a general additive functor $E: \mathbf{SH}(k) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$, but it holds under stronger assumptions.

An enough additional assumption is that E is torsion with respect to some element ϕ in $[\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(\mathbb{Z})}$ or $[\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$ such that $\text{rank}(\phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is invertible on Z . Here \mathbb{S} denotes the motivic sphere spectrum and $\text{rank}(-)$ denotes the canonical ring homomorphism $[\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induced by the functor $\mathbf{SH}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{DM}(k)$ to the category of Voevodsky's motives [VSV00]. By Morel's theorem on zero-th stable motivic homotopy groups, see [Mor04], and [Mor12, remark 26], there is the isomorphism $[\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq \text{GW}(k)$, where $\text{GW}(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt ring. In view of this $\text{rank}(\phi)$ is the rank of a quadratic space $\phi \in \text{GW}(k)$.

The classes of n -torsion presheaves, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and n_ε -torsion presheaves are contained in the class of ϕ -torsion presheaves defined above. Here $n_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (-1)^i \rangle$, and the element $\langle \lambda \rangle \in [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$, for $\lambda \in k^\times$, is given by the morphism $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ induced by the map $x \mapsto \lambda x$. In particular,

the motivic cohomologies with $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients are n -torsion; the (derived) Witt-groups presheaves $W^i(-)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, are torsion with respect to the element $h = 2_\varepsilon = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$.

Consider the presheaf

$$(1.5) \quad \mathrm{Sm}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S) \xrightarrow{E} \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$$

given by the additive functor E . Denote by the same symbol the corresponding continuous presheaf on essentially smooth schemes.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes over a field k . Let $\phi \in [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$ such that $\mathrm{rank}(\phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ defines an invertible element in k .*

Then for any ϕ -torsion additive functor E , see (1.5), the inverse image homomorphism induces the isomorphism

$$(1.7) \quad i^*: E(X_Z^h) \rightarrow E(Z),$$

where X_Z^h is the henselization of X along Z , $i: Z \rightarrow X_Z^h$ is the canonical closed immersion.

Remark 1.8. Theorems 1.6, and 1.15 are new in the case of n -torsion homotopy invariant presheaves with transfers $\mathrm{Cor} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ or (torsion) presheaves on the category of Voevodsky's motives $\mathbf{DM}(k)$.

Remark 1.9. The local (henselian) case of isomorphism (1.7) for (torsion) presheaves with transfers over a field k was proven in [SV96]. The local case of Theorems 1.6 and 1.15 is proven in [AD18]. Namely, the local case is the case of $Z = z$ being a closed point in the scheme $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ with the separable residue field $k(z)/k$.

The main novelty in the article are geometrical constructions needed for non-local smooth affine case, described in Subsubsections 2.1.3 and 2.2.3. The rigidity isomorphism is proven via some kind of a 'curve'-homotopy that retracts the affine scheme X_Z^h to Z inside X . The 'curve'-homotopy leads to the (stable) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy on the suspension motivic spectra up to an n_ε -divisible morphism in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$.

Remark 1.10. The symbol X_Z^h sometimes is used with different meaning. In the recent preprint [JP20] by Joshua and Pelaez the notation X_Z^h is used with the reference to [Cox78] by Cox, where the notion of *henselian schemes* is introduced. In distinct to the henselizations X_Z^h the *henselian schemes* in [Cox78] are not schemes (or pro-schemes), but are rigid spaces of another type.

The preprint [JP20] contains an argument that deduces rigidity on objects denoted by X_Z^h from the local rigidity property. The argument is based on the local to global spectral sequence, and the used properties of X_Z^h does not hold for the affine schemes $X_Z^h = \mathrm{Spec} A_I^h$ over a field k .

Remark 1.11. The case of n_ε -torsion presheaves in Theorem 1.6 is equivalent to the general case of ϕ -torsion presheaves.

The torsion condition with respect to an element $\phi \in [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$ for rigidity isomorphisms was suggested by A. Ananiesky. The first version of [AD18] concerned n -torsion and n_ε -torsion presheaves. The statement in the general form for ϕ -torsion presheaves and the short argument for the equivalence with the n_ε -case were found by T. Bachmann and A. Ananievskiy.

1.3. Elementary form of the result. As was said before in the topological case isomorphism (1.2) holds for any homotopy invariant presheaf F , such properties of a cohomology theory as the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence are not needed.

There is a stronger and more elementary version of Theorem 1.6. It does not formally use the category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$, and the Brown-Gersten property. This can be done in terms of so called framed correspondences introduced by Voevodsky [V01], and more widely studied in the Garkusha-Panin's theory of framed motives [GP14]. At the same time this is only one known way at the moment to prove Theorem 1.6.

The (graded) category $\mathrm{Fr}_+(k)$ is a category with objects smooth schemes over k and morphisms given by certain diagrams (of schemes), see Def. 4.2. The definition implies canonical functors

$$(1.12) \quad \mathrm{Sm}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_+(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(k).$$

The category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ can be constructed in the following steps

- (1) to consider the stable homotopy category of S^1 -spectra presheaves on Sm_k ,
- (2) to make the localisation with respect to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy (weak) equivalences, Nisnevich local (weak) equivalences, and $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ -stable weak equivalences.

In parallel, we can consider

- (1) the additivisation $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*(k)$ of $\mathrm{Fr}_+(k)$,
- (2) and the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy quotient (graded) category $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*}(k)$.

Then the second functor in (1.12) induces the (additive) functor

$$(1.13) \quad \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(k).$$

As a particular consequence of main results in [GP14] it follows that for a perfect base field k for any $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ the morphism of presheaves

$$(1.14) \quad \varinjlim_n \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_n}(-, Y) \rightarrow [-, Y]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)},$$

induced by (1.13) is a (Nisnevich and Zariski) local isomorphism on Sm_k . So (1.13) can be considered as a (robust) approximation of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ by an additive category with objects smooth schemes and hom-groups defined with generators and relations described in (precise and technical) algebro-geometric terms.

We should note that the actual aim and power of the framed correspondences approach is the reconstruction of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ over a perfect field in order to get a computational tool for the stable motivic homotopy groups, but we do not use it in the present article. Moreover, the local isomorphism (1.14) is not needed for our result too. We use the functor (1.13) well-defined for any base. In view of (1.13) any additive presheaf $E: \mathbf{SH}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ defines a presheaf

$$F: \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Moreover, any such a presheaf F satisfies the property called σ -stability, and if E is n_ε -torsion, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then F is n_ε -torsion in the corresponding sense. The functors F and E induce the same functor on essentially smooth schemes. Theorem 1.6 is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.15. *Suppose $i: Z \hookrightarrow X_Z^h$ is an affine smooth henselian pair over a field k as in Theorem 1.6. Let $F: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ be an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant σ -stable additive functor. Assume that $l_\varepsilon F = 0$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(l, \mathrm{char} k) = 1$. Then the inverse image homomorphism defines the isomorphism*

$$i^*: F(X_Z^h) \simeq F(Z).$$

Framed correspondences were suggested by Voevodsky as a tool for $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ that would work in parallel to Voevodsky's correspondences $\mathrm{Cor}(k)$ in the case of $\mathbf{DM}(k)$. Gabber's rigidity on (essentially) smooth local henselian schemes for \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant torsion presheaves with $\mathrm{Cor}(k)$ -transfers [SV96, Theorem 4.4] implies the same for the torsion additive functors $E: \mathbf{DM}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$. In [AD18] the using of framed correspondences allowed to generalise [SV96, Theorem 4.4] with the application to $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and prove Theorems 1.6, and 1.15 for (essentially) smooth local henselian schemes.

Our argument and result would be still new in the Cor -correspondences case, see Subsection 2.1 for details. At the same time framed correspondences appear naturally in the needed algebro-geometric constructions. The constructions use some kind of framed correspondences of positive dimension, while $\mathrm{Cor}(X, Y)$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ are correspondences with finite support, see Subsection 2.2 for details.

1.4. Gabber's rigidity in literature. In few words we can say that the rigidity isomorphisms are of interest because they connect values of a given cohomology theory on different schemes. Sometimes this plays a role like \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance. The rigidity is formally an independent property, but it is often more special and restrictive condition on the cohomology theory.

In this subsection we review known (Gabber's) rigidity isomorphism. In some works the rigidity plays the role of an instrument oriented to the main goal, sometimes it is considered as an application, in the most of listed works the rigidity is the main result. We group the works with respect to the goal and the motivation, and with respect to the methods provided the rigidity.

Some articles and preprints mentioned in this and the next subsections, namely [HRØ18, Ba18, NR19], appeared on arXiv later the present one; reworking the text we decided to include them for the completeness and freshness of our review.

1.4.1. Rigidity for K-theory. The rigidity theorems started with works by Suslin on algebraic K-theory [Sus83, Sus84]. Namely, the works contain the rigidity isomorphisms for presheaves $K_i(-, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, along extensions of algebraically closed fields, and the isomorphism of the form (1.4) for a closed point $Z = z$ of a Dedekind scheme X . In both results n is an integer invertible on corresponding schemes. The result [GT84, Theorem A] by Gillet and Thomason states the rigidity for the same presheaves for the strict henselization X_z^{sh} at a point z of a smooth scheme X over a separably closed field. In Gabber's work [Gab92, Theorem 1] the isomorphism

$$(1.16) \quad K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \simeq K_i(A/I, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}), \forall i \geq 0.$$

was proven for any henselian pair (A, I) of $\mathbb{Z}[1/l]$ -algebras. There is the review by T. Geisser [Geisser00] on the mentioned above results.

The approach was developed in [Ros96] by Rosenberg with respect to question on K-theory with finite coefficients of the quantization deformation of algebras (instead of henselian pairs).

The rigidity isomorphisms were involved originally by Suslin [Sus83, Sus84] in order to compute the K-theory of algebraically closed fields, and local fields, and to prove the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture. The rigidity isomorphisms help to transfers computational results between different fields and rings.

1.4.2. Cyclotomic trace map. Gabber's rigidity isomorphisms appear also in the studying of the cyclotomic trace map for the algebraic K-theory. The goal of cyclotomic trace methods is the computation of K-theory for some nilpotent rings like $\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$ or p -adically complete rings. The rigidity results are involved because of the same reason as in the previous paragraph.

The most recent works in this direction we know are [CMM18] by Clausen, Mathew, Morrow, and [NR19] by N. Naumann, C. Ravi. The central result of [CMM18] is the isomorphism (1.16) for an arbitrary $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the K-theory spectrum $K(R)$ replaced by the homotopy fiber of the cyclotomic trace $K(R) \rightarrow TC(R)$. In [NR19] the method is developed in the equivariant case, as a consequence the Gabber's rigidity in the original form (1.16) is proven for equivariant K-theory with respect to a (finite) group that order is invertible in R .

1.4.3. Étale cohomologies with torsion coefficients. Also one case of rigidity isomorphism for affine henselian pairs (1.4) is the case of étale cohomologies with finite coefficients, and more generally with torsion coefficients on the small étale site on Et_X . This is proven in [Gab94] by Gabber and in [Hub93] by Huber. In [Pip17] there is a reference for this result to much earlier work [Elk73] by Elkik. Some examples of rigidity for étale cohomologies for non-affine henselian pairs are mentioned in Subsection 1.4.8.

1.4.4. Suslin-Voevodsky's method for the rigidity. In the work [SV96] by Suslin and Voevodsky there was presented an approach provided the rigidity isomorphisms for a wide class of presheaves over a field k , see [SV96, Theorem 4.4]. This is the class of homotopy invariant (torsion) presheaves with transfers, i.e. additive presheaves in the category of correspondences Cor_k .

The ideas and the method were developed and generalised to cover many other classes of presheaves. Let us list works that can be related to this direction [PY02] by Panin and Yagunov, [Ya04] by Yagunov, [HY07] by Hornbostel and Yagunov, [RØ08] by Röndigs and Østvær, [N15] by Neshitov, [An18] by Ananyevskiy, [D.Wtr] by the author, [AD18] by Ananyevskiy and the author. In some of the references the method was developed not for the Gabber's rigidity for henselian pairs, but also for the case of field extensions (proven originally for K-theory in [Sus83]). The work [HRØ18] by Heller, Ravi, and Østvær, that proves the rigidity isomorphism for some equivariant cohomology theories, could be partly related to the direction of the discussed method as well.

The main subject of [SV96] is the isomorphism of motivic homologies with finite coefficients of a smooth scheme X over \mathbb{C} and the singular homologies with the same coefficients of the complex realisation $X(\mathbb{C})$. The rigidity theorem allowed to prove that the corresponding cohomology presheaves are locally constant on $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to étale topology.

The work [SV96] had gave some part of the basis for the future development of Voevodsky's motives theory. It is contained implicitly in [SV96] the proof of the equivalence $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{ét,eff}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod})$. Results of such type for étale motivic categories [VSF00, Ayo14, CD16, Ba18] are called rigidity theorems too, see Subsection 1.5. These results in its turn imply Gabber's rigidity isomorphisms for essentially smooth local henselian schemes.

1.4.5. *Friedlander-Milnor's conjecture.* [Fried08, Milnor53] for a reductive algebraic group G over an algebraically closed field k follows from Gabber's rigidity (1.4) on one-dimensional schemes for presheaves $H^i(G(-), \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, $l \in k^\times$, see Knudson's work [Kn98], or Jardins's article [Jar86].

Suslin's rigidity theorems [Sus83, Sus84] are based on the studying of cohomologies of the stable linear group GL_∞ . The obtained results imply the Friedlander-Milnor's conjecture for GL_∞ .

In [Kn98] the mentioned rigidity for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, $i \geq 3$, over an infinite base field k on a henselian local k -algebra A with the residue field k , that implies Friedlander-Milnor's conjecture for such G .

In [Mor11] Morel proved a weak form of Friedlander-Milnor's conjecture for any reductive algebraic group G over a separably closed field k . This implies the original conjecture, in particular, if the presheaf $H^*(G(-), \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, $l \in k^\times$, is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant. The key ingredient in [Mor11] is Gabber's rigidity for cohomologies with finite coefficients of the classifying space of the presheaf $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)$, where $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ is the Suslin-Voevodsky's construction of the \mathbb{A}^1 -localisation. For this purpose, and basing on Suslin-Voevodsky's method [SV96, Theorem 4.4], Morel proved rigidity theorem for a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf with generalised transfers [Mor11, Theorem 5.14].

1.4.6. *ρ -periodic cohomology theories.* The computation of the ρ -inverted stable motivic homotopy category in terms of spectra on the real étale site by Bachmann [Ba16] has a rigidity result as a consequence [Ba16, Corollary 44]. Namely, it is the Gabber's rigidity on essentially smooth local henselian schemes for ρ -periodic cohomology theories. The element ρ is defined by $\rho = -[-1] \in K_1^{\mathrm{MW}}(k) \cong [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$.

1.4.7. *Non-local affine case.* The works by Gabber [Gab92, Gab94] that gave the name to isomorphism (1.4) concerned affine henselian pairs. At the same time, most of Gabber's rigidity isomorphisms proven in literature cover the local henselian case. The non-local affine case is more complicated even for particular examples of presheaves. In the above list the non-local rigidity results are [Gab92, Gab94, CMM18, NR19].

In particular, the generality of discussed above Suslin-Voevodsky's method used originally in [SV96, Theorem 4.4] is the case of the local henselian scheme X_x^h with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, and $x \in X$ being a point with a separable residue field over a field k . Our work evolves this approach to the rigidity isomorphisms, though the basic constructions differ from the beginning. The common features are using of transfers and studying of relative curves and their compactifications, see Subsection 2.1 2.2 for the essential differences.

1.4.8. *Non-affine case.* In [Gab94] Gabber called the isomorphism (1.4) as an affine analogue of the proper base change. In its turn, the proper base change for étale cohomologies with finite coefficients over henselian pairs gives an example of rigidity isomorphism (1.3) for certain non-affine schemes. See [MilneEtCoh, ch 4, Corollary 2.7] for the (classical) case over local henselian pair, and the case over an affine henselian pair follows with using of [Gab94], or by the direct argument obtained in [Pip17] by Poppi.

The result of [BK18] by F. Binda, A. Krishna gives an example closer to the context motivic cohomologies that looks like the rigidity of such type. Namely, the result relates classical Chow group or relative 0-cycles and Levine-Wiebel Chow groups with finite coefficients on the closed fibre of a projective flat regular scheme over a spectrum of (excellent) henselian discrete valuation ring.

1.5. **Categorical property.** The author would appreciate discussions on the question: Could we formulate such a property of the category $\mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ with finite coefficients and the l -completed stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(k)_l^\wedge$, $(l, \text{char } k) = 1$, that would imply Theorem 1.6.

The question can be motivated in view of rigidity theorems for the étale versions of motivic categories $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{ét}}^-(k, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{ét}}^-(k, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, and the l -completed category $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{ét}}(B)_l^\wedge$ over a base scheme B proven for different cases by Voevodsky in [VSF00], Ayoub [Ayo14], Deglise-Cesinski [CD16], and the most recent and the strongest results of such type is [Ba18] by Bachmann.

The mentioned categorical equivalences imply immediately rigidity isomorphism (1.4) for l -torsion additive presheaves $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{ét}}(B) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ on essentially smooth strictly henselian local schemes. The case of smooth affine henselian pairs follows with using the rigidity for étale cohomologies [Gab94].

There is a categorical property of another type relating to the rigidity isomorphisms proven in [RØ08] by Röndigs and Østvær. It is not discussed in the article, but it looks that by analogy with [RØ08] there is a fully faithful embedding $\mathbf{SH}(Z)_l^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}^h)_l^\wedge$ of categories for a smooth affine henselian pair $Z \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Z}}^h$ over a field k .

1.6. **The mixed characteristic case.** The affine henselian pair (S, x) with S being local henselian scheme of a mixed characteristic and $x \in S$ the closed point is not a smooth affine henselian pair over S , since x is not a smooth S -scheme. Secondly, the discussed in the next subsection key ingredient does not work over non-zero dimensional base S . So in the present work there is no 'cross characteristic' effect such as in [Gab92, Sus83, Sus84].

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to A. Ananyevskiy for many helpful discussions on the problem and related questions, for his help with finding the mistakes in the early ideas of the proof. Also the author thanks I. Panin and F. Binda for the consultations on the question on the generality of the Picard rigidity statements and applications of the proper base change theorem. The author is grateful to T. Bachmann for the form of the result in terms of the $\text{GW}(k)$ coefficients.

2. CURVES (FRAMED CURVES), AND \mathbb{A}^1 -HOMOTOPIES.

In this section we explain some geometrical basis and motivic categorical nature of the rigidity isomorphism proven in Theorems 1.6, and 1.15. The section is included to help to navigate in our constructions since the reading experience shown that it could be more complicated without presented comments. At the same time, we explain the main novelty of the present work.

2.1. The problem and novelty.

2.1.1. *Relative curves and 'curve'-homotopies.* Given a pair of morphisms of schemes $f_0, f_1: U \rightarrow X$. We call by a 'curve'-homotopy or a 'curve'-correspondence connecting f_0 and f_1 a diagram

$$(2.1) \quad U \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_0} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1} \end{array} C \xrightarrow{g} X$$

such that e_0 and e_1 are right inverse to the morphism pr of relative dimension one, and $g \circ e_1 = f_1$, $g \circ e_0 = f_0$. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{A}_U^1$, then (2.1) is an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy. In the context of smooth (compact) manifolds, the notion of smooth 'curve'-homotopies would be equivalent to the usual topological homotopies. In algebraic geometry, there are many non-isomorphic (and even smooth) relative curves. Nevertheless to construct an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy we can start with a 'curve'-homotopy, and then construct a (relative) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy inside the relative curve \mathcal{C} over U .

Using relative curves is a common feature in many reasonings on algebraic K-theory, algebraic groups, and motivic homotopy theory. Some examples are Voevodsky's proof of the Gersten conjecture and other statements on \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant presheaves with transfers in [VSF00], and the (stable) connectivity theorem by Morel [Mor1, Mor12]. The proof of Grothendieck–Serre's conjecture in [VPS15] by Panin, Stavrova, Vavilov, and [PanGS] by Panin is also one example, where constructing and using relative curves played an important role.

Let us note that constructions or relative curves developed in some of the above references appeared in earlier works on K-theory and cycle groups by other authors.

2.1.2. Quillen's trick. A basic way to turn an affine scheme X of dimension d over a field k into a relative curve is to consider a generic (surjective) map $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1}$ of relative dimension one. If $f: U \rightarrow X$ is a morphism, then by the base change we can get the diagram

$$U \xrightleftharpoons[\text{pr}]{e} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{g} X, \quad \mathcal{C} = U \times_{\mathbb{A}_k^{d-1}} X, \quad g \circ e = f,$$

that defines 'the direction' for moving of U inside X .

Quillen's trick allows choosing the map p such that X is smooth over \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1} at a given finite set of points, and such that a given closed subscheme (of positive codimension) Z in X is finite over \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1} . Moreover, it is possible to compactify X over \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1} in a certain way. Quillen's trick is an often-used technique that has many versions, the original reference is [Q73, Lemma 5.12].

A variant of Quillen's trick was used in [VSF00] as mentioned above. In [Mor1, Mor12] it was used a more advanced construction called Gabber's presentation lemma; it provides a map $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1}$ equipped with another additional data and properties, see [Gab94Gersten, Lemma 3.1], and in more precise form [CTHK, Theorem 3.2.1].

2.1.3. Key construction. The mentioned above techniques and examples relates to the study of local and semi-local (essentially smooth) schemes. The main difficulty solved in the present article is the construction of the appropriate 'curve'-homotopy for a smooth affine henselian pair.

Let $U = X_Z^h$ for $X \in \text{SmAff}_k$. The key construction in Section 8 gives a diagram (2.1) such that $g \circ e_1: U \rightarrow X$ equals the canonical morphism $\text{can}: U = X_Z^h \rightarrow X$, and $g \circ e_2$ passes through Z , and such that

- (0) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is of dimension one, and smooth over $e_i(U)$, $i = 1, 2$,
- (1) there is a closed immersion of U -schemes $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is flat projective of dimension one, and the closed complement \mathcal{C}_∞ of \mathcal{C} is finite over U ,
- (2) there is an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ that is trivial on \mathcal{C}_∞ and on \mathcal{C} ,

We could say that the curve \mathcal{C} retracts X_Z^h to Z inside X , and this 'retraction' leads to rigidity isomorphism (1.4).

The direct application of Quillen's trick to X (or U) over k could brake the smoothness condition (0). The application of Quillen's trick to U over Z could destroy the properties of the compactification (1) and (2). The presented technique does not improve or generalize Quillen's trick but plays a similar role.

The constriction does not use a map $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{d-1}$. The schemes \mathcal{C} and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are obtained via an appropriate choice of $(N-1)$ equations in the relative affine and projective spaces $\mathbb{A}^N \times U$, and $\mathbb{P}^N \times U$. So our technique could be used in much earlier or even classical works, but it appears naturally in

the motivic homotopy theory with the language of framed correspondences. The mentioned equations relate to what we call by the framing of a scheme, see Subsection 2.2 for details.

2.1.4. *The local case.* Before we proceed with other details on the proof of rigidity Theorems 1.6 and 1.15 let us briefly recall the plan of the argument in the local case used in many works listed in Section 1.4 including [SV96] and [AD18].

Any essentially smooth local henselian scheme with separable residue field $\kappa = k(x)$ equals the henselization $(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^d)_{\{0\}}^h$ at the zero point $\{0\} \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d$. So there is a sequence of one-dimensional morphisms

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathbb{A}_\kappa^d)_{\{0\}}^h \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d-1})_{\{0\}}^h \rightarrow \dots (\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1)_{\{0\}}^h \rightarrow x.$$

Isomorphism (1.4) is proven by the sequence of homotopies that consequently contract each $(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^i)_{\{0\}}^h$ to $(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{i-1})_{\{0\}}^h$.

Saying accurately for a (small enough) étale neighbourhood X of $z = \{0\}$ in \mathbb{A}_κ^i , and $U = (\mathbb{A}_\kappa^i)_{\{0\}}^h = X_z^h$, it is constructed a diagram (2.1) such that $g \circ e_0 = \text{can}: U \rightarrow X$ is the canonical morphism, and $g \circ e_1$ is a lift of the composite morphism $\mathbb{A}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{i-1} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^i$. Then the equality

$$[e_0] = [e_1] \in [U, \mathcal{C}]/l_\epsilon$$

for the classes of morphisms in $\mathbf{SH}(U)$ is proven. The proof of the last equality differs for different categories and types of transfers, but the critically important properties of \mathcal{C} are

- (0) the relative curve \mathcal{C} is smooth at the point $e_1(x) = e_2(x)$,
- (1) the existence of a closed immersion $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, such that $\mathcal{C}_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}$ finite over U .

In addition, it is important that

- (2) $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is trivial on \mathcal{C}_∞ , and $e_i(U)$, $i = 0, 1$, where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is an ample line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$,

though (2) holds automatically because \mathcal{C}_∞ and $e_i(U)$ are semi-local affine schemes.

In [SV96] and [AD18] the relative curves \mathcal{C} and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are constructed by variants of Quillen's trick. Properties (0) and (1) define the generic position condition on the parameters.

The first formal obstruction for copying of the above argument in the smooth affine henselian pair case is that the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ is not trivial in general; so (formally) there is not the filtration (2.2). This is fixed in Subsection 8.2 by replacing of X and Z by such schemes that vector bundles T_X , T_Z , and $N_{Z/X}$ are trivial. The most essential question as mentioned above is the construction of the relative curves over the affine scheme $U = X_Z^h$.

2.2. **Constructing of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies and framed correspondences.** Theorem 1.6 says roughly speaking that the morphism

$$Z \wedge (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\phi) \rightarrow X_Z^h \wedge (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\phi)$$

given by the canonical immersion is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$, where $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ denotes the image of the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum along the canonical functor $\mathbf{SH} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(k)$.

For any smooth affine henselian pair there is a morphism $r: X_Z^h \rightarrow Z$ left inverse to the immersion $i: Z \rightarrow X_Z^h$. To prove Theorem 1.6 means to prove that the composition

$$(2.3) \quad X_Z^h \wedge (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\phi) \rightarrow Z \wedge (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\phi) \rightarrow X_Z^h \wedge (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\phi)$$

equals the identity. The scheme X_Z^h is not an object in Sm_k and $\mathbf{SH}(k)$, so strictly speaking for any étale neighbourhood \tilde{X} of Z in \tilde{X} put at the right side of (2.3) we find an étale neighbourhood U put at the left side such that the composite morphism equals the canonical one.

The required equality in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is proven via (a sequence of) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies. The instrument that allows us to make precise constructions of morphisms (and homotopies) in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is the framed correspondences technique.

2.2.1. *Basic principle: equations and elements in homotopy groups.* To explain the basic principle we are going to construct a morphism of motivic spaces $\mathbb{P}_{/S}^N \rightarrow T^{\wedge N}$ over a scheme S , where $\mathbb{P}_{/S}^N = \mathbb{P}_S^N / \mathbb{P}_S^{N-1}$ and $T = \mathbb{A}_S^1 / (\mathbb{A}_S^1 - 0)$ are two models of the motivic sphere over S .

Given a set of sections $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ on \mathbb{P}_S^N and a regular map \bar{g}

$$u_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_S^N, \mathcal{O}(d_i)), i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \bar{g}: Z(u) \rightarrow \{0, 1\},$$

and suppose that the vanishing locus $Z(u)$ does not intersect $\mathbb{P}_S^{N-1} = Z(t_\infty)$. Then the regular map

$$(u_1/t_\infty^{d_1}, \dots, u_N/t_\infty^{d_N}, \bar{g}): \mathbb{A}_S^N \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{A}_S^N$$

induces the morphism of motivic spaces in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\mathbb{P}_{/S}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^N / (\mathbb{A}_S^N - Z(u)) \rightarrow (\{0, 1\} / \{1\}) \wedge T^{\wedge N} \simeq T^{\wedge N}.$$

2.2.2. *Language of framed correspondences.* Let $P_\infty \hookrightarrow \bar{P}$, $V_\infty \hookrightarrow \bar{V}$ closed immersions such that (1) \bar{P} is a projective S -scheme, $P_\infty = Z(t_\infty)$ for a section t_∞ of an ample sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on \bar{P} , (2) \bar{V} is a quasi-projective S -scheme, and $V = \bar{V} - V_\infty$ is smooth over S . Some data that generalise (u, \bar{g}) above and satisfy certain conditions can be used to define morphism in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{P}_{/S} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{/S} \wedge T^c, c \geq 0,$$

where $P_{/S} = \bar{P}/P_\infty$, $V_{/S} = \bar{V}/V_\infty$.

Framed correspondences (defined in [V01] and [GP14]) are sets of data that relate to the case of (2.4) for $P_{/S} = \mathbb{P}_{/S}^N$, $c = N$, and $V_\infty = \emptyset$.

Framed schemes or schemes with *framing*, see Definition 5.1, relate to the case of (2.4) for $P_{/S} = \mathbb{P}_{/S}^N$, $\bar{V} = Z(u)$, $Y = Z(u) \cap (\bar{P} - P_\infty)$, $\bar{g} = \text{id}_{\bar{Y}}$. In this case V is a smooth closed subscheme in \mathbb{A}_X^N defined by c algebraic equations.

P-inner framed correspondence from X to V , see Definition 5.2, is the set of data relating to the case of (2.4) for $c = N$, $V_\infty = \emptyset$, and where $P = \bar{P} - P_\infty$.

2.2.3. *\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy.* In view of the functor (1.12) to get the claimed equality for morphism (2.3) for $\phi = l_\varepsilon$ we connect the classes of morphisms

$$(2.5) \quad U \xrightarrow{r} Z \xrightarrow{i'} \tilde{X}, \quad U \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \tilde{X},$$

by a framed \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*/l_\varepsilon(k)$, i.e. a framed correspondence $\mathbb{A}^1 \times U \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the étale neighbourhood \tilde{X} of Z in X is affine of dimension d over k .

We start with a *framing* and a compactification \bar{X} for the affine scheme \tilde{X} over k . Next in Section 8 we connect morphisms (2.5) by a *framed curve* \mathcal{C} over U equipped with a 'fine' compactification $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. In Section 7 we construct a framed \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy inside \mathcal{C} .

This leads to the following sequence of morphisms in the category $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(k)$ (or morphisms of motivic spaces) that connects the suspensions of morphisms (2.5)

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{A}_+^1 \wedge \underbrace{U_+ \wedge \mathbb{P}_{/S}^N}_{\parallel} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{A}_+^1 \wedge \underbrace{U_+ \wedge \tilde{X}_{/S}}_{\parallel} \wedge T^{N-d} & \rightarrow & \underbrace{\mathbb{A}_+^1 \wedge \mathcal{C}_{/S}}_{\parallel} \wedge T^{N-1} & \rightarrow & \tilde{X}_+ \wedge T^N \\ (U \times \mathbb{P}^N / U \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}) & & (U \times \bar{X} / U \times \tilde{X}_\infty) & & (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \bar{\mathcal{C}} / \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathcal{C}_\infty) & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}_\infty$, $\tilde{X} = \bar{X} - \tilde{X}_\infty$.

2.2.4. *Set of equations.* The main essential reason of the splitting for the construction in the steps corresponding to morphisms (2.6) is that it helps to control the process of the special and the generic choices of parameters, and framed correspondences help to organize the data. The scheme $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is defined precisely by $(N-1)$ equations in \mathbb{P}_U^N . Good properties of the compactification $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is the critical point that allows separating the difficulties in the mentioned steps.

2.2.5. *Trivial tangent bundle.* Any smooth framed scheme X has stably trivial tangent bundle. That is way to make our construction, we firstly replace Z and the étale neighbourhood \tilde{X} in (2.3) by a motivically equivalent schemes with trivial tangent bundles.

2.2.6. *Smoothness.* Indistinct to what is assumed in (2.6) the curve \mathcal{C} is actually non-smooth over U in the proof. So sequence (2.6) would be formally contained in the motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(\text{Sch}_k)$ (instead of $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(k)$). The language of framed correspondences allows us to work with non-smooth schemes \mathcal{C} over U . At the same time, we need that the morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is smooth over closed subschemes in \mathcal{C} that are graphs of morphisms (2.5), and it is important that X and Z are smooth.

Notation and conventions. For a base scheme S , denote by Sch_S the category of schemes over S and by Sm_S the subcategory of smooth ones. For $X \in \text{Sch}_S$ we say that X is of a pure dimension d over S and write $\dim X = d$ iff for any point $x \in S$ each irreducible component of $X \times_S x$ has the Krull dimension d . In the case of $S = \text{Spec } k$ or $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ we call the schemes of a pure dimension also by schemes of a constant dimension.

For $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ denote by $T_{X/S}$ and $T_{X/S}^\vee$ the relative tangent and cotangent bundles of X over S . Denote by $N_{X/Y}$ and $N_{X/Y}^\vee$ the normal and conormal bundles for a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow Y$, $Y \in \text{Sm}_S$. Denote by Ω_X and $\Omega_{X/Y}$ the coherent sheaves of sections of T_X^\vee and $N_{X/Y}^\vee$.

For a scheme X we denote by X_{red} the (maximal) reduced closed subscheme. For a regular function $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ on a scheme X we denote by $Z(f)$ the vanishing locus of f and denote by $Z(f)_{red}$ the reduced subscheme of $Z(f)$. The same notation we use for the common vanishing locus of a set of functions, and for vanishing loci of sections of vector bundles. For a vector bundle \mathcal{L} on a scheme X we denote $\mathcal{L}^\times = \mathcal{L} - 0_X$, where $0_X \subset \mathcal{L}$ is the zero section. We denote by $\mathbf{1}_X$ the trivial line bundle on X .

For an open immersion $j: V \hookrightarrow X$ and a regular function f on X sometimes we denote the inverse image $j^*(f)$ by the same symbol f , and similarly for sections of a vector bundle. Moreover, sometimes we do the same also for étale morphisms $j: V \rightarrow X$ if it is clear from the context. For a morphism of schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we write f^* for the base change or the inverse image of any objects (or morphisms) over Y and X .

For closed subschemes $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ we denote $Z_1 - Z_2 \stackrel{def}{=} Z_1 - (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$.

3. HENSELIAN PAIRS.

In the section, we summarise facts about (affine) henselian pairs. In the next section we need Definition 3.2, Definition 3.18, the equivalence of points (2) and (0) in Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.19, and Proposition 3.22.

3.1. **Affine henselian pairs.** Let us define henselian pairs as the class of closed immersions in the category of schemes that have left lifting property with respect to the class of étale morphisms. Consider the diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ U & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Definition 3.2. A closed immersion of schemes $i: Z \rightarrow U$ is called *henselian pair* if and only if for any étale morphism $p: X' \rightarrow X$, for any commutative square (3.1) there is a diagonal morphism such that the diagram commutes.

Example 3.3. In particular, if the base change functor along a closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ induces the equivalence of the categories of étale schemes over U and Z , then i defines henselian pair. This is the case if U is affine and the vanishing ideal $I(Z)$ is nilpotent, by [StacksProject, Lemma 15.11.2].

Then by [StacksProject, Lemma 15.11.4] any I -adically complete ring A defines the henselian pair $\text{Spec } A/I \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

A henselian pair $Z \hookrightarrow U$ is called *affine* if both schemes U and Z are affine. The henselian pairs are usually considered in literature in the affine case, so the term henselian pair usually means affine henselian pair. The affine henselian pairs have the following list of equivalent definitions.

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a ring, $I \subset A$ be an ideal; $U = \text{Spec } A$, $Z = Z(I) = \text{Spec } A/I$ be the vanishing locus. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (0) *For any quasi-affine smooth morphism $p: X' \rightarrow X$, for any commutative square (3.1) there is a diagonal morphism such that the diagram commutes.*
- (1) *For any étale morphism $p: X' \rightarrow X$, for any commutative square (3.1) there is a unique diagonal morphism such that the diagram commutes.*
- (2) *$i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ defines a henselian pair.*
- (3) *For any integral A -algebra C there is an isomorphism $\text{Idem}(C) \simeq \text{Idem}(C \otimes A/I)$, where Idem denotes the set of idempotents.*
- (4) *For any finite A -algebra C there is an isomorphism $\text{Idem}(C) \simeq \text{Idem}(C \otimes A/I)$.*
- (5) *I is in Jacobson radical of A , and for any monic polynomial $f \in A/I[t]$, any factorisation $\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h} \in A/I[t]$ with \bar{g} generating the unit ideal in $A/I[t]$, where f is the image of f , admits a lift $f = gh \in A[t]$ with g, h monic polynomials.*
- (6) *(Gabber) I is in Jacobson radical of A , and any monic polynomial*

$$(3.5) \quad f = T^n(1 - T) + a_n T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in A[t]$$

with $a_i \in I$, $n \geq 1$, has a root $\alpha \in 1 + I$.

Proof. The equivalences (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6) are given by [StacksProject, Lemma 15.11.6].

In each one of the parts (0,1,2) it could be assumed without loss of generality that the morphism $U \rightarrow X$ in diagram (3.1) is identity. Actually, the category of lifts in a given diagram (3.1) is equivalent to the category of lifts in the diagram like (3.1) with X replaced by U , and X' replaced by $X' \times_X U \rightarrow U$.

Assume (4), we are going to prove (2). Note that for any scheme Y the set $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{O}_Y(Y))$ equals to the set of splittings $Y = Y_0 \amalg Y_1$. Given a diagram (3.1) such that $U = X$. By Zariski's main theorem [GD67, Theorem 8.12.6] it follows that the morphism p passes through the composition

$$X' \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X'} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} X = U$$

for an open immersion j and a finite morphism \bar{p} . Then by point (4) it follows that there is a splitting $\overline{X'} = X_r \amalg \hat{X}_r$ such that

$$X_r \times_U Z = r(Z), \hat{X}_r \times_U Z = \overline{X'} \times_U Z - r(Z).$$

So the morphism $p_r: X_r \rightarrow U$ is finite and surjective, and such that $X_r \times_U Z \cong Z$. Hence p_r is a closed immersion. Since p is étale, it follows that p_r is étale over Z . Hence p_r is an isomorphism, and $X_r \cong U$. The inverse morphism $U \rightarrow X_r$ defines the required lift $U \rightarrow X$ for p .

Assume (2), we are going to prove (6). Consider the morphism $p: Z(f) \rightarrow U$ for the polynomial f given by (3.5). Then p is étale over the closed subscheme $\{1\} \times Z$ in sense of the immersions $\{1\} \times Z \hookrightarrow Z(f) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times U$. Hence by (2) there is a lift $\alpha: U \rightarrow Z(f)$ of the morphism $Z \cong \{1\} \times Z \rightarrow Z(f)$. The composite regular map

$$U \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times U$$

gives the root $\alpha \in 1 + I \subset \mathcal{O}_U(U)$.

Thus we have the equivalences (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). The implications (0) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (2) are immediate.

To prove the equivalence of (1) and (2) we are going to show that if for all squares (3.1) in (2) there exists a lift, then for each such square the lift is unique. It follows from (2) that Z has nonempty

intersection with each irreducible component of U . Indeed, if there is a Zariski open neighbourhood U' of Z in U then by the lifting property it follows that the canonical immersion $U' \rightarrow U$ has a left inverse, and hence $U = U'$. Assume now that we are given with square (3.1) such that $U = X$, and there are two lifts $s_0, s_1: U \rightarrow X'$. Then since s_0 and s_1 are left inverse to the canonical projection $X' \rightarrow X = U$, it follows that the images $s_1(U)$ and $s_0(U)$ are closed subschemes. Moreover, since p is étale, $s_1(U)$ and $s_0(U)$ are clopen subschemes in X' . Finally, since $s_0(U) \cap s_1(U) \supset i'(Z)$, and Z intersects with each irreducible component of U , it follows that $s_1(U) = s_0(U)$.

The implication from (2) to (0) follows by Lemma 3.11 proven in Subsection 3.2. \square

3.2. Lifting property. By Definition 3.2 henselian pairs satisfies the left lifting property with respect to étale morphisms. The class of smooth morphisms in the category of affine schemes of finite type over an affine base scheme S can be defined via the right lifting property with respect to the class of affine henselian pairs.

Lemma 3.6. *Given a smooth S -scheme $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ of relative dimension d at a point $x \in X$ over a noetherian base scheme S . Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ be a vector of regular functions on X such that the differential $d_x f$ of f at x defines an isomorphism $T_x X \cong \mathbf{1}_x^d$. Then $Z(f)$ is étale over S at x .*

Proof. We deduce the claim from [StacksProject, Lemma 29.35.15.(8)]. Without loss of generality we can assume that S is affine, and X is smooth affine over S . Moreover, shrinking X to a Zariski open neighbourhood of x we get that the tangent bundle T_X is trivial.

Then there is a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^N$. Since T_X is trivial, the normal bundle N_{X/\mathbb{A}_S^N} is stably trivial. Hence for some integer $N' > N$ we get a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{N'}$ that the normal bundle is trivial. Indeed, if $N_{X/\mathbb{A}_S^N} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{N'-N} \simeq \mathbf{1}^{N-d}$, then $X \hookrightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^N = \mathbb{A}_S^N \times_S \{0\}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{N'}$ is the required immersion.

Choose a regular functions f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N on \mathbb{A}_S^N such that the differential of the vector (f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N) induces the isomorphism $N_{X/\mathbb{A}_S^N} \cong \mathbf{1}_X^{N-d}$. Thus the local scheme Z_x of the vanishing locus $Z = Z(f_1, \dots, f_d) \subset X$ at the point x equals the vanishing locus $Z(f_1, \dots, f_N)$ in \mathbb{A}_S^N . Now by part (8) of [StacksProject, Lemma 29.35.15] it follows that $Z(f_1, \dots, f_N)$ is étale over S . \square

Lemma 3.7. *Given a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ over an affine base scheme. Then there are a splittings $i^*(T_Y) \simeq T_X \oplus N_{X/Y}$, where T_X and T_Y denotes the tangent bundles and $N_{X/Y}$ denotes the normal bundle.*

Proof. Since X and Y are smooth there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles on X : $T_X \hookrightarrow i^*(T_Y) \rightarrow N_{X/Y}$. The last sequence splits, since X is affine, and the category of finite rank vector bundles on X is equivalent to the category of finite rank projective modules over the ring of regular functions $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$. \square

Lemma 3.8. *For any smooth affine scheme X over an affine scheme S there is a smooth affine scheme X' with trivial tangent bundle and morphisms $X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X$ that composite morphism equals identity.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that S , and X are connected. Then X is the pure dimension $\dim_S X = d \in \mathbb{Z}$ over S .

By Lemma 3.7 we have $T_X^\vee \oplus N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N}^\vee \simeq i^*(T_{\mathbb{A}_k^N}^\vee) = \mathbf{1}_X^n$. Denote $\tilde{X} = N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N}^\vee$; let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, and $z: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the projection, and by the zero section. Let $\tilde{r}: Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the composition $Z \xrightarrow{r} X \xrightarrow{z} \tilde{X}$. Then \tilde{X} has a trivial tangent bundle of the rank n ; indeed, $T_{\tilde{X}}^\vee \simeq p^*(T_X^\vee) \oplus T_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N}^\vee \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{X}}^n$. So by the above there is a lift $\tilde{e}: U \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of \tilde{r} . Then $e = p \circ \tilde{e}$ is the required lift of r . \square

Lemma 3.9. *Given a henselian pair $Z \hookrightarrow U$. Then if Z is connected, then U is connected.*

Proof. In the affine case the claim follows immediate from Lemma 3.4.(4) applied to $C = A = \mathcal{O}_U(U)$. In general, we deduce the claim from Definition 3.2. Let $Z = Z_1 \amalg Z_2$. Consider the Zariski open

neighbourhood $U - Z_2$ of Z_1 in U . Then by the lifting property in sense of square (3.1) for $X' = U - Z_2$, $X = U$, we see that there is an immersion $U \rightarrow U - Z_2$. Hence $Z - 2 = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $Z \hookrightarrow U$ be an affine henselian pair. Let X be a smooth affine U -scheme. Then for any morphism of U -schemes $r: Z \rightarrow X$ there is a lift $e: U \rightarrow X$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Without loss of generality by Lemma 3.9 we can assume that Z , U , and X are connected. By Lemma 3.8 any smooth affine morphism $X \rightarrow U$ is a retract of a smooth affine morphism $X' \rightarrow U$ with trivial tangent bundle. So without loss of generality we can assume that the tangent bundle of X is trivial of a constant rank $d = \dim_U X$.

Consider a closed subscheme $\Gamma \subset X$ that is the graph of the morphism r over U . Since T_X is trivial, there are functions $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d) \in \mathcal{O}(X \times_U Z)^d$, such that $f|_Z = 0$ and the differential $df: N_{\Gamma/X \times_U Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_\Gamma^d$ is an isomorphism. Denote $X' = X - (Z(f) - \Gamma)$. Since the scheme X is affine, there is $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(X \times_S U)^d$ that is a lift of f .

By Lemma 3.6 $Z(\tilde{f})$ is étale over U ; Since $Z \rightarrow U$ is a henselian pair, there is a lift $e': U \rightarrow Z(\tilde{f})$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z & \xleftarrow{\Gamma} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z(\tilde{f}) & \xrightarrow{j} & X' & \xrightarrow{v} & X \\ & \searrow^{\simeq} & \downarrow & \nearrow^{e'} & \downarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & U & & U & & & & \end{array}$$

The composite morphism $e = vje'$ is the required lift. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $Z \hookrightarrow U$ be an affine henselian pair. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective U -scheme. Then for any morphism of U -schemes $r: Z \rightarrow X$ there is a lift $e: U \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Let $X = X_{\text{aff}} - Y$ for an affine U -scheme X_{aff} , and a closed subscheme Y . Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{aff}}}(X_{\text{aff}})$ be such that $f|_Y = 0$, $f|_{r(Z)} = 1$. Set $X' = X_{\text{aff}} - Z(f)$. Then X' is a Zariski open neighbourhood of $r(Z)$ in X that is affine over U . Now the claim follows by Lemma 3.10 \square

3.3. Étale neighbourhoods and henselizations.

Definition 3.12. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed immersion of schemes. An *étale neighbourhood* of Z in X is a closed immersion $Z \subset X'$, and an étale morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ Z & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

commutes.

Remark 3.13. For any étale neighbourhood $X' \rightarrow X$ there is an open immersion $X'' \rightarrow X'$ such that $Z \simeq X'' \times_X Z$.

Given a closed immersion $Z \rightarrow X$. The identity map $X \rightarrow X$ is an étale neighbourhood. For any two neighbourhoods $X' \rightarrow X$, $X'' \rightarrow X$ the morphism $X' \times X'' \rightarrow X$ is a neighbourhood. So there is a filtering set of étale neighbourhoods of Z in X .

Definition 3.14. Let $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of affine schemes. The projective limit

$$(3.15) \quad X_Z^h = \varprojlim_{X' \rightarrow X} X'$$

where X' runs over the filtering set of étale neighbourhoods of Z in X is called *henselization of X along Z* .

It follows immediate from the definitions that $Z \hookrightarrow X_Z^h$ is a henselian pair. The limit in (3.15) exists in the category of schemes for any X and Z . Since the result of the article deals with the affine schemes case, we write the proof for this situation.

Lemma 3.16. *For any affine schemes $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $Z = \text{Spec } A/I$ the projective limit (3.15) exists, and the scheme X_Z^h is affine, $X_Z^h = \text{Spec } A_I^h$.*

Proof. Any closed subscheme in an affine scheme has an affine Zariski open neighbourhood. Hence any étale neighbourhood $X' \rightarrow X$ of the closed subschemes Z in the affine schemes X has a shrinking given by an affine scheme. The first claim follows, since for any filtering system of affine schemes $X_i = \text{Spec } A_i$, $I \in I$, the projective limit $\varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ equals $\text{Spec } \varinjlim_{i \in I} A_i$. \square

Remark 3.17. A_I^h is the subring in the I -adical completion $\hat{A}_I = \varprojlim_n A/I^n$ such that $\alpha \in A_I^h$ if $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some polynomial $f(T) \in A[t]$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \in A/I$ is a separable root of $\bar{f}(T) \in A/I[T]$.

3.4. Smooth affine henselian pairs. A *smooth affine henselian pair* $Z \hookrightarrow U$ over a scheme S is an affine henselian pair such that Z is smooth, and U is essentially smooth. Equivalently, smooth (affine) henselian pairs is the henselization of smooth (affine) closed pair.

Definition 3.18. A *smooth (affine) henselian pair* over a base scheme S is a henselian pair of the form $Z \rightarrow X_Z^h$ for a closed immersion of smooth (affine) schemes $Z \hookrightarrow X$ over S .

In the case of smooth affine henselian pair $Z \rightarrow U$ over a base scheme S there is a natural (functorial) lift in diagrams (3.1) for all smooth quasi-affine morphisms $X' \rightarrow X$ (simultaneously). The existence is already proven in subsection (3.2), and the functoriality follows since there is the universal square given by $X' = Z$, $X = S$.

In other words there is a retraction $r: U \rightarrow Z$, $r \circ i = \text{id}_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$. Moreover, such a morphism r is essentially smooth. So, in the case of smooth affine henselian pairs the result of the previous section can be formulated equivalently as follows.

Lemma 3.19. *Given a closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S over a base S , such that both schemes X and Z are affine; let $U = X_Z^h$. Then there is an étale neighbourhood \tilde{X} of Z in X , and a smooth morphism $r: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ such that*

$$r \circ i = \text{id}_Z,$$

where $i: Z \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is the closed immersion.

Moreover, if the tangent bundle T_Z and the normal bundle $N_{Z/U}$ are trivial, then there is \tilde{X} as above and such that the relative tangent bundle $T_{\tilde{X}/Z}$ is trivial.

Proof. Since $Z \hookrightarrow U$ is an affine henselian pair, and Z is smooth over S by assumption, Lemma 3.4.(5) applied to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Z} & Z \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & S \end{array},$$

gives a retraction $r': U \rightarrow Z$, $r' \circ i = \text{id}_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$. Then, since $U = X_Z^h$, it follows that the map $r': U \rightarrow Z$ is induced by the map $r: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ for some (affine) étale neighbourhood \tilde{X} of Z in X .

The differential of the map r on the subscheme Z induces the surjective homomorphism on tangent bundles $i^*(T_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow T_Z$. Hence r is smooth over the subscheme Z in U . Whence shrinking \tilde{X} to a Zariski open neighbourhood of Z in \tilde{X} we get that r is smooth.

We are proceed with the rest additional assertion in the lemma. Let $T_{\tilde{X}/Z}$ be the relative tangent bundle of the morphism r . Then

$$T_{\tilde{X}/Z}|_Z \cong N_{Z/\tilde{X}} \cong N_{Z/U}$$

is trivial. Since \tilde{X} is affine, it follows that $T_{\tilde{X}/Z}$ is trivial on some Zariski open neighbourhood of Z . So shrinking \tilde{X} again we get that $T_{\tilde{X}/Z}$ is trivial. \square

3.5. Division in the Picard group. Consider an affine henselian pair $i: Z \rightarrow U$, and a projective U -scheme P . Then the closed immersion $i_P: P \times_U Z \rightarrow P$ is a henselian pair. Formally, we do not use this fact, but use some rigidity results on this henselian pair, that follows from the proper base change theorem for étale cohomologies with coefficients a torsion sheaf, and its affine analogue proven in [Gab94, Hub93, Elk73].

Lemma 3.20. *Let V be a henselian local scheme, and $z \in V$ be the closed point. Then $\mu_l(z) \cong \mu_l(V)$ for all inverters l invertible at z .*

Proof. Since l is invertible at z , l is invertible on V , and on any scheme over V . Then the morphism of V -schemes $l: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; t \mapsto t^l$ is étale. The presheaf μ_l on Sch_V is represented by the scheme $\mu_l = \mathbf{G}_m \times_{l, \mathbf{G}_m, 1} V$, where $1: V \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ is the closed immersion given by the unit function. Thus the scheme μ_l is étale over V . Since the sheaf μ_l on Sch_U is represented by an étale V -scheme, the claim follows by Lemma 3.4.(1). \square

Theorem 3.21 (Consequence of proper base change theorem and the affine analogue). *Given an affine henselian pair $Z \rightarrow U$, and a projective morphism $P \rightarrow U$. Let F be an étale sheaf of the category of U -schemes, such that for any local strictly henselian scheme, $F(V) \simeq F(z)$, where z is the closed point.*

Then for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ invertible on Z , the canonical morphism $H_{\text{ét}}^i(P \times_U Z, F) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(P, F)$ is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since the higher direct images of a torsion abelian sheaves are torsion, combining the proper base change theorem, see [StacksProject, Theorem 58.87.11] or [MilneEtCoh, ch 4, Corollary 2.7], applied to the closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow U$, and the affine analogy, see [StacksProject, Theorem 58.79.7], applied to the same morphism we get

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(P \times_U Z, i_P^*(F|_{\text{Et}_P})) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^i(P, F|_{\text{Et}_P}), i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where μ_l denotes the sheaf on Et_P , and i_P^* denote the morphism of small étale sites.

Let V be (strictly) henselian local scheme over U , and $z \in U$ be the closed point. Then by assumption $F(z) \cong F(V)$. Since strictly henselian local schemes defines an enough set of points for the étale topology, the étale sheaf F on the small étale site Et_{P_Z} over $P_Z = P \times_U Z$ equals the inverse image $i_P^*(F|_{\text{Et}_P})$ of the étale sheaf $F|_{\text{Et}_P}$ on the small étale site Et_P . So the claim follows. \square

In the next sections we use the following consequent that follows from the above theorem by the same argument as in [SV96, sect 2] or [AD18, lemma 5.1] for local henselian case.

Proposition 3.22. *Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ be an affine henselian pair. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ be a projective flat morphism of pure dimension one. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ invertible on Z .*

Assume \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{C} such that $i^(\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$. Then for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ there is \mathcal{L}' such that $(\mathcal{L}')^l \simeq \mathcal{L}$, and $i^*\mathcal{L}'$ is trivial.*

Proof. The sheaf \mathcal{L} defines an element in the Picard group on \mathcal{C} . $\text{Pic}(-) \simeq H_{\text{Zar}}^1(-, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, \mathbb{G}_m)$, where the second equality is the Hilbert theorem 90 [MilneEtCoh, ch 3]. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mu_l \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{l} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0$ of étale sheaves of groups on Sch_U , and the inverse image along the

immersion $C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $C = \mathcal{C} \times_U Z$, give the the morphism of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mu_l) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_l} & \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mu_l) & \longrightarrow \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\ \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(C, \mu_l) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(C) & \xrightarrow{p_l} & \text{Pic}(C) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(C, \mu_l) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

where the left and the right vertical isomorphisms are provided by Theorem 3.21 and Lemma 3.20. Hence

$$\text{Ker}(\tilde{p}_l) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ker}(p_l), \text{Coker}(\tilde{p}_l) \hookrightarrow \text{Coker}(p_l)$$

The inclusion at the right side above implies the claim. \square

4. FRAMED PRESHEAVES.

In the article we construct some morphisms and \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies in the stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ appeared in works Morel and Voevodsky [MV99, Mor0] and Jardine [Jar00]. We use so called framed correspondences as a tool that gives a precise construction for morphism of a certain type in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$.

The framed correspondences were introduced in [V01] by Voevodsky, and then studied and used as the basic ingredient by Garkusha and Panin in [GP14]. The pointed set of framed correspondences of the level n from a scheme X to a scheme Y equals the (pointed) hom-set in the category of pointed Nisnevich sheaves

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \simeq \text{Shv}_\bullet(X_+ \wedge (\mathbb{P}^1/\infty)^{\wedge n}, Y_+ \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1/(\mathbb{A}^1 - 0))^{\wedge n}),$$

The basic computational power of framed correspondences is provided by the precise description of the set $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ defined by (4.1). Def. 4.2 comes from the mentioned description, while the isomorphism (4.1) is called Voevodsky's lemma on framed corr. In what follows we don't use formally isomorphism (4.1), but only Def. 4.2, and morphism in (4.1) from the left side to the right that is recalled below the definition.

Let us note that framed correspondences were basically considered in the base field case, but the constructions we use are defined word by word for any noetherian and separated base scheme S .

Definition 4.2. Let X, Y be smooth schemes over the base scheme S . An *explicit framed correspondence* of a level n over S is a set of data $(Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g)$ where

- (1) $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is a closed subscheme,
- (2) $e: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$ is an étale morphism such that $e^{-1}(Z) \simeq Z$,
- (3) $\varphi = (\varphi_i)$, $0 < i \leq n$, φ_i are regular function on \mathcal{V} such that $Z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) = Z$,
- (4) and $g: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of S -schemes.

It follows that functions φ above defines a set of functions on the henselization $(\mathbb{A}_X^n)_Z^h$. The pointed set of framed correspondences $\text{Fr}_n^S(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \text{Sm}_S$ is the set of classes of explicit framed correspondences up to the equivalence relation with respect to the choice of the étale neighbourhood \mathcal{V} of Z .

Definition 4.3. Define the composition of framed correspondences $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \times \text{Fr}_l(Y, V) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n+l}(X, V)$

$$((Z_1, \mathcal{V}_1, \varphi, g), (Z_2, \mathcal{V}_2, \varphi', g')) \mapsto (Z_1, \mathcal{V}_1 \times_Y \mathcal{V}_2, \varphi'_1, \varphi'_2, g'_2)$$

where φ'_1, φ'_2 are inverse images of φ_1 and φ_2 with respect to the projections $\text{pr}_i: \mathcal{V}_1 \times_Y \mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively, and $g' = g_2 \circ \text{pr}_2$.

Denote by $\text{Fr}_+(S)$ the category enriched over (graded) pointed sets with objects being a smooth S -schemes and morphisms

$$\text{Fr}_+(X, Y) = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \text{Fr}_n^S(X, Y), X, Y \in \text{Sm}_S.$$

Remark 4.4. In difference to [GP14, def. 2.1] in the above definition of explicit framed correspondences Z is not a reduced scheme in general, sine we require scheme-theoretic equality $Z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) = Z$ instead of the set-theoretic $\bigcap_i \{\varphi_i = 0\} = Z$. The set $\text{Fr}_n^S(X, Y)$ is the same in both cases.

To describe the morphism from the left side to the right in (4.1) consider an explicit framed correspondences $(Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g)$ from X to Y ; then the morphism of the pointed sheaves $X_+ \wedge (\mathbb{P}^1/\infty)^{\wedge l} \rightarrow Y_+ \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{A}^1 - 0)^{\wedge l}$ is defined by the covering and morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) - Z \cup (X \times \mathbb{A}^n) &= X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \\ (X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) - Z &\rightarrow *, \\ (g, \varphi): (X \times \mathbb{A}^n) &\rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The composite map

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Fr}_b(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_\bullet(X \wedge (\mathbb{P}^1/\infty)^{\wedge n}, Y \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1/(\mathbb{A}^1 - 0))^{\wedge n}) \rightarrow [X_+ \wedge T^{\wedge n}, Y_+ \wedge T^{\wedge n}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)} = [X_+, Y_+]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

defines the functor $\text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S)$.

Any regular map $g: X \rightarrow Y$ defines a framed correspondence of the level 0, given by $(X, X, , g)$ (with the empty set $\{\varphi_i\}$). This gives a functor $\text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{Fr}_+(S)$. So the canonical functor $\text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S)$ passes through

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S).$$

Definition 4.7. An presheaf on the category $\text{Fr}_+(S)$ is called a *presheaf with framed transfers (or a framed presheaf)*.

Let $c \in \text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ and $F: \text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}}$ be a framed presheaf, denote by $c^*: F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ the induced morphism.

It follows that any presheaf $E: \mathbf{SH}(S) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ defines a presheaf with framed transfers

$$(4.8) \quad F: \text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}.$$

4.1. σ -stability and linear framed correspondences. Different framed correspondences from X to Y can define the same class in $[X, Y]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$. The following modifications of the set $\text{Fr}_+(X, Y)$ reflects some structures and equivalences relations in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$.

Shortly speaking Definitions 4.11-4.13 give the sequence of functors

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S),$$

where the category $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S)$ is the additivisation of $\text{Fr}_+(S)$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(S)$ is the quotient-category that morphisms are \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy classes.

The categories $\text{Fr}_+(S)$, $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S)$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(S)$ are graded categories. At the same time the framed correspondences of different levels can define the same class of morphisms $\mathbf{SH}(S)$, namely such an equivalence is given by the σ -suspension, see the next definition.

Definition 4.10. Denote by $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1(X, X)$ the framed correspondence given by

$$(0 \times X, \mathbb{A}^1 \times X, t, \text{pr}_X),$$

where $\text{pr}_X: \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection, t is the coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1 . Define presheaves $\text{Fr}(-, Y)$

$$\text{Fr}_+(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(-, Y) = \varinjlim \text{Fr}_n(-, Y),$$

where the morphisms in the inductive limit are given by the maps

$$\mathrm{Fr}_n(-, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n+1}(-, Y): c \mapsto \sigma_Y \circ c.$$

Definition 4.11. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y)$ the abelian group generated by the classes of level n framed correspondences between X and Y and relations

$$(4.12) \quad [Z_1, \mathcal{V} - Z_2, \varphi|_{Z_1}, g|_{Z_1}] + [Z_2, \mathcal{V} - Z_1, \varphi|_{Z_2}, g|_{Z_2}] = [Z, \mathcal{V} - Z, \varphi|_Z, g|_Z]$$

Definition 4.13. Define

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_n(X, Y) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y) \xrightarrow{[i_0] - [i_1^*]} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y)),$$

where $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ are the maps given by $X \times 0$ and $X \times 1$.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(S)$ the graded additive category with the same objects as Sm_S and morphisms $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y)$ and $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_n(X, Y)$.

Define the groups $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_n \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_n(X, Y)$ with respect to the left σ -stabilisation, similarly to Def. 4.10. There is a sequence of morphisms of presheaves on Sm_S

$$\mathrm{Map}(-, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(-, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(-, Y) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, Y) \rightarrow [\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty(-), \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}.$$

Definition 4.14. A *linear framed presheaf* over S is a functor $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

A framed presheaf is called *σ -stable* if for any $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ the framed correspondence $\sigma_\sigma(\mathrm{id}_X) \in \mathrm{Fr}_1(X, X)$ induces the isomorphism $\sigma_X^*: F(X) \simeq F(X)$.

A *homotopy invariant linear framed presheaf* is an additive functor $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Definition 4.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite coproducts. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ on a category \mathcal{C} is *additive* if $F(X_1 \amalg X_2) \simeq F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2)$.

Remark 4.16. It follows from the definition that any linear framed presheaf F is *additive*, i.e. $F(X_1 \amalg X_2) = F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2)$ for any $X_1, X_2 \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$.

Lemma 4.17. *Assume that F is a homotopy invariant linear σ -stable framed presheaf. Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(X, Y)$ such that there is an equality of the images $[c_1] = [c_2]$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(X, Y)$. Then the induced morphisms $c_1^* = c_2^*: F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ are equal.*

Proof. The claim follows from definitions. □

Lemma 4.18. *For any additive functor $E: \mathbf{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ there is a homotopy invariant σ -stable linear framed additive presheaf F that defines the same presheaf on Sm_S as E .*

Proof. Decomposition (4.9) of the functor $\mathrm{Sm}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S)$ implies that E defines a homotopy invariant linear framed additive presheaf. Since the morphism $\sigma_X \in \mathrm{Fr}_1(X, X)$ for any $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ goes to the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{P}_1/\infty \rightarrow T$ it follows that the framed presheaf E is σ -stable. □

Example 4.19. The representable presheaves $\mathrm{Fr}_*(-, Y)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(-, Y)$ obviously are the universal examples of framed and linear framed presheaves. The universal example of a homotopy invariant σ -stable linear framed presheaf is the presheaf $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, Y)$.

Example 4.20. The examples of a σ -stable framed linear presheaves are given by K -theory, Chow groups, Hermitian K -theory, derived Witt-groups, Chow-Witt groups, algebraic cobordisms and so on.

4.2. l_ε -torsion presheaves. The category $\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)$ and the category of framed presheaves relate as an approximation to the category $\mathbf{SH}(S)$. We could say that the category the linearised $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S)$ linear framed presheaves relates to the category of HZ -modules in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$, where HZ is the image of the ring (topological) Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. Now we are going to give such an approximation for $\mathrm{HZ}/l_\varepsilon$ -modules, where

$$l_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \langle (-1)^i \rangle \in [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)},$$

and $\langle \lambda \rangle$ for an invertible $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times(S)$ is induced by the endomorphism of motivic sphere $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ given by the map

$$\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m: x \mapsto \lambda x.$$

Definition 4.21. For an invertible function $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}^\times(S)$, define $\langle \lambda \rangle \in \mathrm{Fr}_1^S(\mathrm{pt}_S, \mathrm{pt}_S)$ given by $(0_S, \mathbb{A}_S^1, \lambda x, p)$, where $p: \mathbb{A}_S^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}_S$ is the canonical projection.

Definition 4.22. Define $h = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathrm{pt}_S, \mathrm{pt}_S)$. Define an element $l_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Fr}_1^S(\mathrm{pt}_S, \mathrm{pt}_S)$ by the formula $l_\varepsilon = nh$, for $l = 2n$, $l_\varepsilon = nh + \langle 1 \rangle$, for $l = 2n + 1$.

Remark 4.23. For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $[l_\varepsilon] = [(Z(x^l), \mathbb{A}^1, x^l, p)] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_1(\mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{pt})$, where $p: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$ is the canonical projection.

Definition 4.24. We say that a linear framed presheaf F is l_ε -torsion iff $l_\varepsilon \cdot a = 0$, $\forall a \in F(X)$, $\forall X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, where $l_\varepsilon \cdot a$ denotes the image of a under the map $F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ induced by l_ε .

Remark 4.25. In view of Lemma 4.18 it follows that, any l_ε -torsion additive presheaf $E: \mathbf{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ defines a homotopy invariant l_ε -torsion σ -stable linear framed presheaf $F: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$. In particular, for any an l_ε -torsion spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ the presheaves $E^{*,*}$ of the cohomology theory represented by \mathcal{E} are of such type.

Moreover, any l_ε -torsion functor $F: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}$ passes through some (additive) category $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}/l_\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(S)$

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}/l_\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Definition 4.26. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(S)^\times$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define the map

$$c \mapsto c \bullet \langle \lambda \rangle: \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n+1}(X, Y): (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g) \mapsto (Z, \mathcal{V}, \lambda x_0, pr^* \varphi, g \circ pr).$$

For any $j = 1, \dots, n$ define the map

$$c \mapsto c_j^\lambda: \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y): (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g) \mapsto (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi_1, \dots, \lambda \varphi_j, \dots, \varphi_n, g),$$

where $pr: \mathbb{A}_S^{n+1} = \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$, and φ denotes the vector $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$. Define homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (-) \bullet l_\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y), & (-)_j^{l_\varepsilon}: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y), \\ [c] \mapsto l_\varepsilon \bullet [c] &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} ([c] \bullet \langle (-1)^i \rangle), & [c] \mapsto [c]_i^{l_\varepsilon} &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} [c]_j^{\langle (-1)^i \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $l_\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y) \subset \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y)$, the sum of the images of the maps $(-)_j^{l_\varepsilon}$ for all j ; denote by $l_\varepsilon\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_n(X, Y)$ the image of the map $l_\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_n(X, Y)$.

Definition 4.27. Define the additive graded category $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*/l_\varepsilon(S)$ with objects being smooth schemes and morphisms being groups $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, Y)/l_\varepsilon\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, Y)$.

Definition 4.28. For a matrix $G \in \mathrm{GL}_n(X)$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}(X)^n$, for $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_S$, denote by $G \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}(X)^n$ the image of f under the endomorphism on $\mathcal{O}(X)^n$ defined by G . For a set of invertible functions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ denote by $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle \in \mathrm{GL}_n(X)$ the diagonal matrix; then $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n) = (\lambda_1 f_1, \dots, \lambda_n f_n)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{ZGL}_n(X)$, $X \in \text{Sch}_S$ the free abelian group of the set $\text{GL}_n(X)$. For any

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i G_i \in \mathbb{ZGL}_m(X),$$

and

$$c = (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, g) \in \text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$$

we denote

$$G \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i [(Z, \mathcal{V}, G_i(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n), g) \in \mathbb{ZF}_n(X, Y)].$$

We write $G_0 \stackrel{\mathbb{A}^1}{\sim} G_1$ for $G_0, G_1 \in \mathbb{ZGL}_n(X)$ iff there is $H \in \mathbb{ZGL}_n(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ such that $i_0^*(H) = G_0$, $i_1^*(H) = G_1$, $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ denotes the zero and unit sections.

Lemma 4.29. *For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(S)^\times$, $n \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $c = (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^S(X, Y)$ we have $[\langle \lambda \rangle \bullet c] = [\sigma c_j^\lambda] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}}_{n+1}^S(X, Y)$, where $\varphi'_i = pr^*(\varphi_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $pr: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$.*

Proof. It follows by Lemmas B.10 and B.13 in the Appendix that there is

$$H \in \mathbb{ZGL}_n(X \times \mathbb{A}^1), i_0^*(H) = [\langle \lambda, 1, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle], i_1^*(H) = [\langle 1, \dots, 1, \lambda, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle],$$

with λ at the j -th position (see Def. 4.28 for the notation above), $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then

$$i_0^*(\tilde{c}) = [\langle \lambda \rangle c], i_1^*(\tilde{c}) = [\sigma c^\lambda],$$

where $\tilde{c} = H \cdot p^*(\langle \lambda \rangle c) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_n(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y)$, and $p: X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$. \square

Lemma 4.30. *Any homotopy invariant l_ε -torsion σ -stable linear framed presheaf is a presheaf on the quotient-category $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\text{F}}_* / l_\varepsilon \overline{\mathbb{Z}\text{F}}_*(S)$ such that $\sigma_X^*: F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $a \in F(Y)$, $Y \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $c \in \text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$. Then by Lemma 4.29 $(l_\varepsilon c)^*(a) = (l_\varepsilon \bullet c)^*(a) = l_\varepsilon \cdot c^*(a) = 0$. So the claim follows. \square

5. NORMAL FRAMING OF A VARIETY AND INNER FRAMED CORRESPONDENCES.

In this section we make some variations and generalisations of the notion of framed correspondences. As mentioned in the previous section the framed correspondences describes morphisms of the form

$$X \times (\mathbb{P}^1/\infty)^{\wedge l} \rightarrow Y \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1/(\mathbb{A}^1 - 0))^{\wedge l-d}$$

for $d = 0$. The case $d \geq 0$ leads to the notion of normal framings. At the same time if we replace $(\mathbb{P}^1/\infty)^{\wedge l}$ by a motivic space $\overline{\mathcal{C}}/\mathcal{C}_\infty$ for a projective scheme $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of pure relative dimension l over X , and a closed subscheme \mathcal{C}_∞ of relative codimension one

$$(\overline{\mathcal{C}}/\mathcal{C}_\infty) \rightarrow Y \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1/(\mathbb{A}^1 - 0))^{\wedge l}, l = \dim_X \mathcal{C}$$

we get the notion of \mathcal{C} -inner framed correspondences, where $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}_\infty$. This notions allows to split the construction of a framed correspondence into simpler steps.

Let S be a noetherian scheme of a finite Krull dimension.

5.1. Normal framing and inner framed correspondences.

Definition 5.1. A framed S -scheme is a scheme \mathcal{C} over S of pure relative dimension d equipped with the data (i, W, ψ) , where

- (1) $i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^m$ is a closed immersion;
- (2) $j: W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^m$ is a Zariski open neighbourhood of \mathcal{C} ;
- (3) and $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m-d})$ is a vector of regular functions on W such that $i(\mathcal{C}) = Z(\psi)$ where $Z(\psi)$ stands for the common zero locus of ψ_i -s.

The closed immersion and the set of functions ψ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m-d$, is called as the *level m framing* of \mathcal{C} .

Define the set $F_m^{\text{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$ of equivalence classes of level m Zariski normal framings of \mathcal{C} up to the shrinking of the Zariski neighbourhood W of \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{A}^m . So any framings $\Psi_1 = (i_1, W_1, \psi^1)$ and $\Psi_2 = (i_2, W_2, \psi^2)$ such that W_2 is Zariski neighbourhood of \mathcal{C} in W_1 and $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1|_{W_2}$ are equal in $F_m^{\text{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{C} be an affine scheme over S of a pure relative dimension d . Let X, Y be S -schemes. A \mathcal{C} -inner normal framed correspondence from X to Y over S is a set of data (Z, μ, g) where

- (1) $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times X$ is a closed immersion, Z is finite over X ,
- (2) $\mu: \mathcal{O}(Z)^d \simeq \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$, where $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C} \times X}(Z) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C} \times X)$ in the vanishing ideal,
- (3) $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ the a regular map.

The (pointed) set of normal \mathcal{C} -inner framed correspondences from X to \mathcal{C} is denoted by $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{nr}}(X, Y)$. If $Y = \mathcal{C}$ and g equals to the canonical immersion we write (Z, μ) for (Z, μ, g) for simplicity.

We are going to construct a map $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{nr}}(X, \mathcal{C}) \times F_m^{\text{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, \mathcal{C})$. Let $(Z, e) \in \text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{nr}}(X, \mathcal{C})$ and $(i, W, \psi) \in F_m^{\text{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$, for $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ smooth of dimension d .

Consider the closed subscheme $Z(\mathcal{I}^2) \subset \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C} \times X}(Z) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C} \times X)$. There is a unique vector of functions

$$e = (e_1, \dots, e_d) \in \mathcal{O}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2)}(Z(\mathcal{I}^2))^d, e|_Z = 0, (de)|_Z = \mu,$$

where $(de)|_Z$ denotes the differential of e on Z . Then $Z(e) = Z$.

Consider the closed immersion given by the composition $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^m$. Choose regular functions

$$(5.3) \quad \phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d) \in \mathcal{O}_W(W)^d, \varphi_i|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2)} = e_i, i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then since $Z(\varphi|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2)}) = Z$, it follows that $Z(\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}}) = Z \amalg \hat{Z}$, and consequently

$$Z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m-d}) = Z \amalg \hat{Z}$$

Thus there is a framed correspondence

$$(5.4) \quad \Phi = (Z, W, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m-d}, g) \in \text{Fr}_m(X, \mathcal{C}),$$

where $g: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 5.5. For any (Z, μ) and (i, W, ψ) as above, the class $[\Phi] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, \mathcal{C})$ is independent from the choice of ϕ .

Proof. Let $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{O}_W(W)$ be two vectors satisfying (5.3), and $\Phi, \Phi' \in \text{Fr}_m(X, \mathcal{C})$. Then the vector of functions $(1 - \lambda)\varphi + \lambda\varphi' \in \mathcal{O}_{W \times \mathbb{A}^1}(W \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ there is an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy connecting Φ and Φ' . Hence $[\Phi] = [\Phi']$. \square

Definition 5.6. For any $c = (Z, \mu) \in \text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{nr}}(X, \mathcal{C})$ and $\Psi = (i, W, \psi) \in lF_m^{\text{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$ as above denote

$$[c, \Psi] = [\Phi] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, \mathcal{C})$$

given by (5.4). This induces the map

$$[-, -]: \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{nr}}(X, \mathcal{C}) \times \mathrm{F}_m^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, \mathcal{C}).$$

Definition 5.7. Let \mathcal{C} be an affine scheme over S of a pure relative dimension d . Let X, Y be S -schemes. A \mathcal{C} -inner Zariski framed correspondence from X to Y over S is a set of data (Z, V, ϕ, g) where

- (1) $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times X$ is a closed immersion, Z is finite over X ,
- (2) $V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is Zariski open neighbourhood of Z ,
- (3) $\phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d) \in \mathcal{O}(V)^d$, $Z(\phi) \cong Z$,
- (4) $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a regular map.

Denote by $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, Y)$ the set of equivalence classes of (Z, V, ϕ, g) as above up to shrinking of the Zariski neighbourhood V of Z .

Any correspondence $c = (Z, V, \phi) \in \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{C})$ defines an element $(Z, \mu) \in \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{nr}}(X, \mathcal{C})$, where μ is given by the differential $d_Z \phi$ of ϕ on Z in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 5.8. Under the assumptions of Def. 5.7 and in a similar way to Def. 4.11, and 4.13, define the presheaves on Sm_S

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(-, \mathcal{C}), \quad \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) = \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(-, \mathcal{C})$$

In a similar way to Def. 4.26 we define the subpresheaves $l_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(-, \mathcal{C})$, and $l_{\varepsilon}\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(-, \mathcal{C})$, and the quotient-presheaves $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}/l_{\varepsilon}(-, \mathcal{C})$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}/l_{\varepsilon}(-, \mathcal{C})$.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$ the free abelian group associated with $\mathrm{F}_m^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$. Then we get linear homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} [-, -]: \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) &\rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(X, \mathcal{C}). \\ [-, -]: \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{Zar}}/l_{\varepsilon}(-, \mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C}) &\rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*/l_{\varepsilon}(-, \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

5.2. Framed maps. In this subsection we consider the following special type of framed correspondences, which could be called as framed maps.

Definition 5.9. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of S -schemes. For any $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(X)$ define the framed correspondence of the level n

$$f^C = (0_X, C^*(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), f \circ \mathrm{pr}_X) \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, X)$$

where $C^*(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ denote the inverse image of the coordinate functions along the induced morphism $C^*: \mathbb{A}_X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$, and $\mathrm{pr}_X: (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_{0 \times X}^h \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

For any morphism $s: X \rightarrow Y$ define $\sigma_n s^{\lambda} = \sigma_Y^{n, \lambda} \circ s = s \circ \sigma_X^{n, \lambda} \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$.

For any short exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow N_2$$

and isomorphisms

$$\mu: N_2 \simeq \mathbf{1}_S^{n_1}, \nu: N_1 \simeq \mathbf{1}_S^{n_2}$$

we have an element

$$[\mu, \nu] \in [N, \mathbf{1}^n]_E$$

in the set of classes of isomorphisms $\mathrm{Iso}(N, \mathbf{1}^n)S$ with respect to the elementary transformations, see Definition B.7.

Lemma 5.10. Let $\Gamma = (Z, \mu, g) \in \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{nr}}(S, Y)$, $\Psi \in \mathrm{F}_N^{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $[\Gamma, \Psi] = [g \circ s]^{[\mu, d\psi]} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_N(S, Y)$.

Proof. Consider the closed immersions $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$ given by Γ and Ψ . Since Z is affine there is a splitting

$$\Omega_{Z/\mathbb{A}_S^N} \simeq i^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{A}_S^N}) \oplus \Omega_{Z/\mathcal{C}}.$$

Hence the trivialisation

$$\mu \rightarrow \mu: i^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{A}_S^N}) \simeq \mathbf{1}_Z^d$$

lifts to a morphism

$$\mu': \Omega_{Z/\mathbb{A}_S^N} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_Z^d.$$

Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_S^N}(\mathbb{A}_S^N)^d$ be a vector of regular functions such that $d_Z \phi = \mu'$. Then $[d_Z(\phi, \psi)] = [\mu, d\psi]$. Hence

$$[\Gamma, \Psi] = [(Z, \phi, \psi, g')] = [g \circ s]^{[\mu, d_Z \psi]}.$$

□

Lemma 5.11. *Let $i: Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion that defines an affine henselian pair. Let $s_0, s_1: X \rightarrow Y$ be regular maps; let $C_0, C_1 \in \text{GL}_n(X)$, $i^*(C_0) = i^*(C_1)$.*

If $[s_0^{C_0}] = [s_1^{C_1}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}/l_\varepsilon(X, Y)$, then

$$[s_0] = [s_1] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}/l_\varepsilon(S, Y).$$

Proof. The claim follows by the sequence

$$[s_0] = [\sigma^{C_0^{-1}} \circ s_0^{C_0}] = [\sigma^{C_0^{-1}} \circ s_1^{C_1}] = [s_1],$$

where the last equality follows by Corollary B.12 and Lemma B.13. □

6. FINE COMPACTIFICATION

Definition 6.1. Let $S = \text{Spec } R$ be the spectrum of a ring, \mathcal{C} be a normal scheme, and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of relative dimension 1. We call by a *fine compactification of \mathcal{C} over S* a set of data $(j: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1), \zeta_\infty, \zeta_c)$ with $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ being a projective scheme over U of (pure) relative dimension one, j being an open dense immersion over U , $\mathcal{O}(1)$ being a very ample line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\zeta_\infty \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ and $\zeta_c \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ are such that

- (1) $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{C}} - Z(\zeta_\infty)$;
- (2) $Z(\zeta_\infty)$ is finite over S .
- (3) $Z(\zeta_c)$ is finite over S , $Z(\zeta_c) \cap Z(\zeta_\infty) = \emptyset$.

Remark 6.2. In difference to [AD18, Definition 5.4] we require the third additional property of the section ζ_c . In the local base case considered in [AD18] such ζ_c always exists.

Lemma 6.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a scheme of relative dimension one over an affine noetherian base $S = \text{Spec } R$, and $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1), \zeta_\infty, \zeta_c)$ be a fine compactification. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a closed subscheme finite over U . Then there is a fine compactification $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}'(1), \zeta'_\infty, \zeta'_c)$ of \mathcal{C} such that $\zeta'_c \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Serre's theorem (see Prop. A.1) for a large enough b there is a section $\zeta'_c \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(b))$,

$$\zeta'_c|_\Gamma = \zeta_\infty^b, \zeta'_c|_{Z(\zeta_\infty)} = \zeta_c^b.$$

Then $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(b), \zeta_\infty^b, \zeta'_c)$ is the required compactification. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a scheme of relative dimension one over a base $S = \text{Spec } R$ with a fine compactification $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1), \zeta_\infty, \zeta_c)$. Let $c = (Z(\varphi), \mathcal{C}, \varphi) \in \text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, \mathcal{C})$, and assume that $\varphi = u(r/\zeta_\infty)^l$, $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times(\mathcal{C})$, $r \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Then $[c] \in l_\varepsilon \mathbb{Z}\text{FC}(U, \mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Consider the sequence of equalities in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} [(Z(r^l), \mathcal{C}, ur^l)] &\stackrel{h}{\sim} [(Z(r^{l-1}(r + \zeta_\infty)), \mathcal{C}, ur^{l-1}(r + \zeta_\infty)/\zeta_\infty^l)] = \\ &[(Z(r^{l-1}), \mathcal{C}, ur^{l-1}/\zeta_\infty^{l-1})] + [(Z(r + \zeta_\infty), \mathcal{C}, (-1)^{l-1}u(r + \zeta_\infty)/\zeta_\infty)] \stackrel{g}{\sim} \\ &[(Z(r^{l-1}), \mathcal{C}, ur^{l-1}\zeta_\infty^{l-1})] + [(Z(r), \mathcal{C}, (-1)^{l-1}ur/\zeta_\infty)] = \\ &[(Z(r^{l-1}), \mathcal{C}, ur^{l-1}/\zeta_\infty^{l-1})] + (-1)^{l-1}[(Z(r), \mathcal{C}, ur/\zeta_\infty)], \end{aligned}$$

where the homotopies h and g are given by the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (Z(r^{l-1}(r + \lambda + \zeta_\infty)), \mathcal{C}, ur^{l-1}(r + \lambda\zeta_\infty)/\zeta_\infty^l), \\ g &= (Z(r + \lambda\zeta_\infty), \mathcal{C}, (-1)^{l-1}u(r + \lambda\zeta_\infty)/\zeta_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

The fact that the supports $Z(r^{l-1}(r + \lambda + \zeta_\infty))$ and $Z(r + \lambda\zeta_\infty)$ are finite over $S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ follows from the fact that $Z(r) \cap Z(\zeta_\infty) = \emptyset$.

Then by induction we get

$$\begin{aligned} c = [(Z(r^l), \mathcal{C}, ur^l/\zeta_\infty^{-1})] &= \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i-1} [(Z(r), \mathcal{C}, ur/\zeta_\infty)] = \\ &l_\varepsilon [(Z(r), \mathcal{C}, ur/\zeta_\infty)] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

□

7. FRAMED \mathbb{A}^1 -HOMOTOPY IN RELATIVE CURVE.

Given an affine henselian pair $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$, and a flat morphism of pure dimension one $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ admitting a fine compactification (Def. 6.1), and Zariski normal framing (Def. 5.1). In this section we prove that framed maps from U to \mathcal{C} that are equal over Z define the same class in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(U, \mathcal{C})$. The main result is Theorem 7.12.

We start with the case of \mathcal{C} -inner-correspondences $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, \mathcal{C})$ in sense of Definition 5.2 for a flat morphism of pure dimension one $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ that admits a fine compactification. Let $\gamma_0, \gamma_1: U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a pair of U -maps such that $\gamma_0|_Z = \gamma_1|_Z$, and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is smooth over the subscheme $S = \gamma_0(Z) = \gamma_1(Z)$ in \mathcal{C} . Denote the closed subschemes $S_i = \gamma_i(Z)$, and ideals $I_i = I(S_i) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})$, for $i = 0, 1$. Let

$$(7.1) \quad \mu_i: \mathcal{O}_{S_i}(S_i) \simeq I_i/I_i^2, i = 0, 1.$$

and suppose

$$i^*(\mu_0) = i^*(\mu_1) = \mu,$$

where $i^*(-)$ denotes the base change.

Lemma 7.2. *Under the notation above for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ invertible on the scheme U the is the equality*

$$[\gamma_0]^{\mu_0} = [\gamma_1]^{\mu_0} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{nr}}/l_\varepsilon(U, \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Denote $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C} \times_U Z$, $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \overline{\mathcal{C}} \times_U Z$. To prove the claim we construct a \mathcal{C} -inner Zariski framed correspondence $h = (Z, \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{A}^1, \varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{zar}}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{C})$ such that

$$[h \circ i_0] = [\gamma_0]^{\mu_0}, [h \circ i_1] = [\gamma_1]^{\mu_1}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{zar}}/l_\varepsilon(U, \mathcal{C})$. The function $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is such that

- (1) $Z(\varphi)$ is finite over $U \times \mathbb{A}^1$,
- (2) the restriction $\varphi|_{S_0 \times \{0\} \cup S_1 \times \{1\}}$ vanishes, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0|_{Z(I^2(S_0))} &= \mu_0(1) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})/I(S_0)^2 \\ \varphi_1|_{Z(I^2(S_1))} &= \mu_1(1) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})/I(S_1)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi|_{\mathcal{C} \times 0}, \varphi_1 = \varphi|_{\mathcal{C} \times 1};$$

(3) the framed correspondences

$$[(\mathcal{C} - S_0, Z(\varphi_0) - S_0, \varphi_0)], [(\mathcal{C} - S_1, Z(\varphi_1) - S_1, \varphi_1)]$$

are in $l_\varepsilon \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{Zar}}(U, \mathcal{C})$.

The required function φ is given by

$$\varphi = (\tau_0(s_0 r_0^l)(1 - \lambda) + \tau_1(s_1 r_1^l)\lambda)/d,$$

where $\tau_0, \tau_1, s_0, s_1, r_0, r_1$, and d are constructed in Lemmas 7.3, 7.10, 7.11 that follow in the text. Then points (1) and (2) above follows immediate from the properties claimed in the mentioned lemmas. Point (3) in the list above follows by Lemma 6.4 applied to the curves $\mathcal{C} - (D \cup S_i)$, $i = 0, 1$, equipped with the fine compactifications $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(k), z_i, \zeta_c^k)$, and the correspondences

$$[(\mathcal{C} - S_i, Z(\varphi_i) - S_i, \varphi_i)] = [(\mathcal{C} - S_i, Z(\varphi_i) - S_i, (r_i/z_i)^l(s_i/(z_i \cdot d)))]$$

in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, \mathcal{C})$. □

To complete the proof we proceed with used Lemmas 7.3, 7.10, 7.11. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= Z(I^2(S_0)) \subset \mathcal{C}, W_1 = Z(I^2(S_1)) \subset \mathcal{C}, \\ W &= W_0 \times_U Z = W_1 \times_U Z \subset \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.3. *There are line bundles $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_1$ on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with sections*

$$(7.4) \quad s_0 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}_0), Z(s_0) = S_0,$$

$$(7.5) \quad s_1 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}_1), Z(s_1) = S_1,$$

and $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{L}_0^\times)$, and isomorphisms

$$(7.6) \quad \tau_0: \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{R}_0^l \simeq \mathcal{L}, \tau_1: \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_1^l \simeq \mathcal{L},$$

$$(7.7) \quad \xi: i^*(\mathcal{L}_0) \simeq i^*(\mathcal{L}_1), \nu_0: i^*(\mathcal{R}_0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}), \nu_1: i^*(\mathcal{R}_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$$

that are agreed on the fibre over Z , and such that

$$(7.8) \quad \xi(i^*(s_0)) = i^*(s_1),$$

$$(7.9) \quad i^*(s_0|_{W_0})/\varepsilon = i^*(s_1|_{W_1})/\xi(\varepsilon) = i^*(\mu_0(1)) = i^*(\mu_1(1)).$$

Proof. Define $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}(S_0)$, $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}(S_1)$. Since $S_0 \times_U Z = S_1 \times_U Z$,

$$i^*(\mathcal{L}_0) \simeq i^*(\mathcal{L}_1).$$

So $i^*(\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(C)$, and by Proposition 3.22 there is a line bundle \mathcal{R} on \mathcal{C} such that

$$\mathcal{R}^l \simeq \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}, i^*(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(C).$$

Put $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{O}(C)$, $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{R}^l$. Then isomorphisms τ_0 and τ_1 in (7.6), and ν_0 , and ν_1 in (7.7) holds by construction. Now we set

$$\xi = (\tau_1^{-1} \circ \tau_0) \otimes \nu_0^{\otimes -1} \otimes (\nu_1^{-1})^{\otimes -1}.$$

By construction there are some $s_0 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_0)$, $Z(s_0) = S_0$, $s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $Z(s_1) = S_1$. Since $S_0 \times_U Z = S_1 \times_U Z$, the quotient section $\xi(i^*(s_0))/i^*(s_1) \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O})$ is invertible. Then

$$\xi(i^*(s_0))/i^*(s_1) = p_Z^*(\lambda)$$

for some $\lambda \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}^\times)$, since the morphism $p_Z: \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow Z$ is projective. Choose any lift $\tilde{\lambda} \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}^\times)$, and redenote $s_1 := \tilde{\lambda}s_1$. Then we get (7.8).

Since $Z(s_0) = S_0 = Z(T_0)$, and $Z(s_1) = S_1 = Z(T_1)$ the quotient sections $i^*(s_0|_{W_0})/i^*(T_0)$ and $i^*(s_1|_{W_1})/i^*(T_1)$ are invertible on W_0 and W_1 respectively. Equalities (7.9) follows, since $i^*(T_0) = i^*(T_1)$ and because of (7.8). \square

Lemma 7.10. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 7.2 and the claim of Lemma 7.3 there are a fine compactification $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(1), \zeta_\infty, \zeta_c)$ of \mathcal{C} , a reduced closed subscheme $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ finite and surjective over U , and an integers $K_d \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that*

$$D \cap S_0 = D \cap S_1 = D \cap Z(\zeta_c) = \emptyset, D \supset Z(\zeta_\infty)_{red},$$

and for any $k > K_d$, $\exists d \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}(lbk))$ such that

$$Z(d)_{red} = D, i^*(d)|_W = \tau_0(\varepsilon \cdot \nu_0^l(1)) \cdot \zeta_\infty^{lb}.$$

Proof. By (7.4) $\mathcal{L}_0 \simeq \mathcal{L}(S_0)$, and by (7.1) the normal bundle $N_{S_0/\mathcal{C}}$ is trivial. Hence $\mathcal{L}_0|_{S_0}$ is trivial. Equalities (7.7) imply that $\mathcal{R}_0|_W$ is trivial. Thus $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is trivial. Let $u \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{L}^\times)$ be an invertible section.

By assumption \mathcal{C} admits a fine compactification, and because of Lemma 6.3 we can assume that $Z(\zeta_c) \cap (S_0 \cup S_1) = \emptyset$. Then since $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample, by Serre's theorem on ample bundles, see Prop. A.1, for a large enough $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a section

$$d^+ \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(lb)), d^+|_W = \zeta_\infty^{lb}, d^+|_{Z(\zeta_c)} = \zeta_\infty^{lb},$$

and for a large enough $K_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a section

$$d_0 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}(lbK_0)), d_0|_{Z(\zeta_\infty)} = 0, d_0|_W = u\zeta_\infty^{lbK_0}, d_0|_{Z(\zeta_c)} = s_0 \cdot \nu_0(1)^l \cdot \zeta_\infty^{lb}.$$

Set $D = Z(d_0 \cdot d^+)_{red}$. For all $k > K_0 + 1$, we set $d = d_0 \cdot (d^+)^{k-K_0}$. \square

Lemma 7.11. *Assume the claim of Lemmas 7.3 and 7.10. Then there is an integer K_r such that $\forall k > K_r \exists r_0, z_0 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}_0(k)), r_1, z_1 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}_1(k))$*

$$\begin{aligned} z_0|_{S_0 \cup D} &= 0, & z_1|_{S_1 \cup D} &= 0, \\ r_0^l|_W &= \nu_0(1)\zeta_\infty^k, & r_1^l|_W &= \nu_1(1)\zeta_\infty^k, \\ Z(r_0) \cap D &= \emptyset, & Z(r_1) \cap D &= \emptyset, \\ Z(r_0) \cap Z(z_0) &= \emptyset, & Z(r_1) \cap Z(z_1) &= \emptyset, \\ (s_0 r_0^l)|_D &= (s_1 r_1^l)|_D. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Serre's theorem (see Prop. A.1) there is K_0 such that for all $k > K_0$ there are section

$$r_0 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}_0(k)), \quad r_0|_W = \nu_0(1)\zeta_\infty^k, r_0|_D = \nu_0(1)\zeta_c^k$$

where ζ_∞ and ζ_c are given by the fine compactification provided by the claim of Lemma 7.10. Then by Serre's theorem, Prop. A.1, again there is K_r such that for all $k > K_r$ there are sections r_0 and r_1 as above and sections

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &\in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}_1(k)), & r_1|_W &= \nu_1(1)\zeta_\infty^k, r_1|_D = (s_0|_D/s_1|_D) \cdot r_0|_D, \\ z_0 &\in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}(k)), & z_0|_{D \cup S_0} &= 0, z_0|_{Z(r_0)} = \nu_0(1)\zeta_\infty^k \\ z_1 &\in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{R}(k)), & z_1|_{D \cup S_1} &= 0, z_1|_{Z(r_1)} = \nu_1(1)\zeta_\infty^k. \end{aligned}$$

So the claim follows. \square

This finishes the proof of Lemma 7.2 on \mathcal{C} -inner framed correspondence. Now we deduce the result used in the next section.

Theorem 7.12. *Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ be an affine henselian pair. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ be a flat morphism of pure dimension one admitting a level n Zariski normal framing (Def. 5.1) and a fine compactification (Def. 6.1). Let $\gamma_0, \gamma_1: U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be morphisms of U -schemes such that $i^*(\gamma_0) = i^*(\gamma_1)$ and such that the morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is smooth over $\gamma_0(Z) = \gamma_1(Z)$. Let $e: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of U -schemes with Y being smooth affine over U .*

Then for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $l \in \mathcal{O}_U(U)^\times$

$$\sigma^n[e \circ \gamma_0] = \sigma^n[e \circ \gamma_1] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n}/l_\varepsilon(U, Y).$$

Proof. Denote $S_0 = \gamma_0(U)$, $S_1 = \gamma_1(U)$. Denote $C = \mathcal{C} \times_U Z$, and $S = S_0 \times_U Z = S_1 \times_U Z \subset C$.

Let $\Psi = (\mathcal{V}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}, g)$ be a normal framing of \mathcal{C} . By assumption $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is smooth over the subscheme S . Then the differentials $d\phi_i$ define the trivialisation of the conormal bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{A}_U^n}$. Since the relative tangent bundle of \mathbb{A}_U^n over U is trivial, and \mathcal{C} is one-dimensional subscheme, it follows that the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ is trivial on S . Consequently, $T_{\mathcal{C}/U}$ is trivial on $S_0 = \gamma_0(U)$ and $S_1 = \gamma_1(U)$.

Choose a trivialisation $\mu: T_{\mathcal{C}/U}|_S \simeq \mathbf{1}_S$, and lifts

$$\mu_1: T_{\mathcal{C}/U}|_{S_1} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{S_1}, \mu_0: T_{\mathcal{C}/U}|_{S_0} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{S_0}.$$

Now it follows by Lemma 7.2 that

$$[\gamma_0]^{\mu_0} = [\gamma_1]^{\mu_1} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n/l_\varepsilon(U, \mathcal{C}).$$

Hence by Lemma 5.10

$$[\gamma_0]^{[d\psi, \mu_0]} = [\gamma_1]^{[d\psi, \mu_1]} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n/l_\varepsilon(U, \mathcal{C}).$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1})$. Thus by Lemma 5.11

$$\sigma^n[\gamma_0] = \sigma^n[\gamma_1] \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n/l_\varepsilon(U, Y),$$

since $i^*(\mu_0) = \mu = i^*(\mu_1)$. □

One can see that assumptions in the above theorem are stronger than in Lemma 7.2, since we ask in addition a Zariski normal framing for \mathcal{C} over U . Actually, by the following remark, this extra assumption is not restrictive. At the same time in the next section we apply the theorem to the relative curve given with the normal framing.

Remark 7.13. Let \mathcal{C} be an affine U -scheme of a pure dimension one that admits a fine compactification over an affine scheme U . For any closed subschemes $S_i \subset \mathcal{C}$, $S_i \simeq S$, $i = 0, 1$, such that the normal bundles $N_{S_i/\mathcal{C}}$ are trivial, there is a Zariski neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}' \supset S_0 \cup S_1$ that has a fine compactification and a normal framing over S in the same time.

8. THE RIGIDITY THEOREM (THE MAIN RESULT).

In the section we prove rigidity Theorem 1.15 (see Theorem 8.12) using the result of Section 7. This is the main novel content and the central part of the article.

Throughout the section we work with given l_ε -torsion homotopy invariant σ -stable linear framed presheaf $F: Sm_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in k^\times$, and a base field k . Namely, we prove the isomorphism

$$F(U) \simeq F(Z)$$

for an affine smooth henselian pair $Z \hookrightarrow U$ over k .

8.1. **The case** $T_Z \simeq \mathbf{1}_Z^d$, $N_{Z/U} \simeq \mathbf{1}_Z$.

Lemma 8.1. *Given a closed immersion $i: X \rightarrow Y$ in SmAff_S over an affine base scheme S . Assume that schemes X and Y are affine. Assume that $T_{X/S} \simeq \mathbf{1}_X^n$, and $T_{Y/S} \simeq \mathbf{1}_Y^m$. Then the normal bundle $N_{X/Y}$ is stably trivial.*

Proof. The claim follows, since

$$N_{X/Y} \oplus \mathbf{1}_X^n \simeq N_{X/Y} \oplus T_{X/S} \stackrel{Lm3.7}{\simeq} i^*(T_{Y/S}) = \mathbf{1}_X^m.$$

□

Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X \in \text{Sm}_k$ be a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes, and $r: X \rightarrow Z$ be a smooth retraction, i.e. r is a smooth morphism such that $r \circ i = \text{id}_Z$. Suppose that X is of pure dimension d over k , $\text{codim}_X Z = 1$; suppose that the tangent bundle T_Z , and the (relative) tangent bundle $T_{X/Z}$ (with respect to r) are trivial. In particular, it follows that the tangent bundle T_X and the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ are trivial. Denote by

$$\text{can}: U = X_Z^h \rightarrow X$$

the canonical morphism.

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes over a field k . Let $U = X_Z^h$. Then there is*

- (0) a smooth U -scheme \mathcal{X} of relative dimension d , and a smooth closed subscheme \mathcal{E} of relative dimension one over U , such that the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{X}}$ is trivial;
- (1) a Zariski normal framing of \mathcal{X} over U ;
- (2) a closed immersion $\Delta_Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_Z = \mathcal{E} \times_U Z$ such that the normal bundle $N_{\Delta_Z/\mathcal{E}_Z}$ is trivial;
- (3) U -morphisms $\gamma, \delta: U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, and a k -morphism $g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\gamma(Z) = \delta(Z) = \Delta_Z,$$

and

$$g|_{\mathcal{E}} \circ r_0 = \text{can}: U \rightarrow X, g|_{\mathcal{E}} \circ r_1 = \text{can} \circ r;$$

- (4) a projective U -scheme $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$, and an open immersion $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{X}_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{X}} - \mathcal{X} = X_\infty \times U$$

for some (projective) k -scheme X_∞ of dimension $d - 1$.

Proof. Since X is affine over k , there is a closed immersion $j: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, since the tangent bundle T_X is trivial, it follows that N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N} is stably trivial, $N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N} \oplus \mathbf{1}_X^{N'-N} \cong \mathbf{1}_X^{N'-d}$, for $N' = \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the immersion given by the composite morphism

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^N = \mathbb{A}_k^N \times 0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{N'},$$

then $N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^{N'}} \cong \mathbf{1}_X^{N'-d}$. Redenote N' by N , then

$$(8.3) \quad N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N} \cong \mathbf{1}_X^{N-d}.$$

Then there are regular functions $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^N}(\mathbb{A}_k^N)$, $i = 1, \dots, N - d$, such that the differentials of φ_i on X induces the isomorphism (8.3). Hence $Z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{N-d}) = X \amalg \hat{X}$. So

$$(8.4) \quad (j, \mathbb{A}_k^N - \hat{X}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{N-d})$$

is a Zariski normal framing of X .

Let $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ be the closed immersion given by the closure of X in \mathbb{P}_k^N . Then $\dim X_\infty = \dim X - 1 = d - 1$, where $X_\infty = \overline{X} \setminus X$. Define

$$\mathcal{X} = X \times U, \overline{\mathcal{X}} = \overline{X} \times U.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{X}_\infty = X_\infty \times U,$$

and the condition of point (4) is satisfied. Set

$$\mathcal{E} = X \times_Z U \subset \mathcal{X}.$$

Since X is k -smooth, and the morphism r is smooth, $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E} \in \text{SmAff}_U$, $\dim_U \mathcal{X} = \dim_k X = d$, $\dim_U \mathcal{E} = \dim r = 1$.

Let $\Gamma, \Delta \subset \mathcal{E}$ denote the graphs of morphisms $i \circ r, \text{can}: U \rightarrow X$, and $\gamma, \delta: U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the corresponding morphism of U -schemes. Then point (3) is done. Denote by $\Delta_Z = \Delta \times_U Z \subset \mathcal{X} \times_U Z$, then Δ_Z is the graph of the immersion $Z \rightarrow X$.

Since the relative tangent bundle of the morphism $r: X \rightarrow Z$ is trivial, it follows that the relative tangent bundle of \mathcal{E} over U is trivial. Consequently, the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ is trivial, and the normal bundle $N_{\Delta_Z/\mathcal{E}}$ is trivial. Point (2) is proven.

The base change of (8.4), and the canonical morphism $g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ give the Zariski normal framing of \mathcal{X} over U . So point (1) is proven.

To finish the proof of point (0) we need to show that $N_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{X}}$ is trivial. Consider the normal bundle $N_{Z/Z \times Z}$ with respect to the diagonal immersion $Z \hookrightarrow Z \times Z$. Since $\mathcal{E} = X \times_Z U$, $\mathcal{X} = X \times U$, it follows that the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{X}}$ equals the inverse image of $N_{Z/Z \times Z}$ with respect to the horizontal morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times U & \longrightarrow & Z \times Z \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X \times_Z U & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

At the same time $N_{Z/Z \times Z} \cong T_Z$ is trivial by assumption. Hence $N_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{X}}$ is trivial.

In addition let us note that $N_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{A}_k^N}$ equals N_{X/\mathbb{A}_k^N} , hence it is trivial. \square

Lemma 8.5. *Suppose $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of smooth affine schemes over a field k . Let $U = X_Z^h$. Then there is*

- (0) a framed U -scheme \mathcal{C} of relative dimension one;
- (1) the closed subscheme $\Delta_Z \subset \mathcal{X}_Z = \mathcal{X} \times_U Z$ such that the normal bundle $N_{\gamma_0(Z)/\mathcal{X}_Z}$ is trivial;
- (2) U -morphisms $\gamma, \delta: U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and a k -morphism $g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(Z) = \delta(Z) = \Delta_Z$, and

$$g \circ \delta = \text{can}: U \rightarrow X, g \circ \gamma = \text{can} \circ r;$$

- (3) a fine compactification of \mathcal{C} over U .

Proof. Firstly, applying Lemma 8.2 we get the framed U -scheme \mathcal{X} with the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$, morphisms $\gamma, \delta: U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, such that

$$\gamma(Z) = \delta(Z) = \Delta_Z \subset \mathcal{X} \times_U Z,$$

and closed subscheme $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{X}$ that contains $\gamma(U)$ and $\delta(U)$ and such that

$$(8.6) \quad N_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{X}}|_{\Delta_Z} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_Z}^{d-1}, N_{\Delta_Z/\mathcal{E}} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_Z},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_Z = \mathcal{E} \times_U Z$.

By part (4) of Lemma 8.2 the scheme X_∞ is a projective scheme of dimension $d - 1$ over k . Using Serre's theorem on ample bundles (see Prop. A.1) for a large enough $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we find sections $w_2 \dots w_d \in \Gamma(X_\infty, \mathcal{O}(b))$ such that $Z(w_2, \dots, w_d)$ is finite (over k). Denote

$$C_\infty = Z(w_2, \dots, w_d).$$

Denote by $I = I(\Delta_Z) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_Z}(X_Z)$ the vanishing ideal of the subscheme Δ_Z , and consider the closed subscheme $Z(I^2) \subset X_Z$. Since Δ_Z is finite over Z , $Z(I^2)$ is of such type too. It follows by the first

isomorphism in (8.6) that there are regular functions $y_i \in \mathcal{O}_{Z(I^2)}(Z(I^2))$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, such that $Z(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}) = \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathbb{P}_U^N} Z(I^2)$.

By the Serre theorem, Prop. A.1, again for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are sections

$$(8.7) \quad s_2 \dots s_d \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}(lb)), s_i|_{\mathcal{X}_\infty} = w_i^l, (s_i t_\infty^{-lb})|_R = y_i, s_i|_{\Gamma \cup \Delta_U} = 0.$$

where $\Gamma = \gamma(U)$, $\Delta_U = \delta(U)$.

Set

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}} = Z(s_2 \dots s_d) \cap \bar{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathbb{P}_U^N, \mathcal{C}_\infty = \bar{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{X}_\infty, \mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}_\infty.$$

Then by (8.7) $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{X}_\infty = \mathcal{C}_\infty \times U$ is finite over U , and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is a projective variety over U of the pure relative dimension one. Applying Serre's theorem, Prop. A.1, also one time we find $\zeta_c \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_\infty, \mathcal{O}(d))$, for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that ζ_c is invertible on \mathcal{C}_∞ . Then

$$(\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}(d), \zeta_c, x_\infty^d)$$

is a fine compactification of \mathcal{C} over U

Since X is a framed U -scheme there is a canonical closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^N$, and X is a clopen subscheme in the vanishing locus $Z(\psi_{d+1}, \dots, \psi_N)$ for a set of regular functions ψ_i on \mathbb{A}_U^N . So $Z(\psi_{d+1}, \dots, \psi_N) = X \amalg \hat{X}$. Consider the functions s_i/t_∞^{bl} on \mathcal{X} , and let $\psi_2, \dots, \psi_d \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_U^N}(\mathbb{A}_U^N)$ be lifts of s_i/t_∞^{bl} . Then

$$\mathcal{C} = Z(\psi_2, \dots, \psi_N) - \hat{X} \subset \mathbb{A}_U^N.$$

Thus we have got the level N framing of the affine curve \mathcal{C} of dimension one over U .

It follows because of equalities (8.7) that the morphism $\mathcal{C} \times_U Z \rightarrow Z$ is smooth over the subscheme Δ_Z . Consequently, the morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow U$ is smooth over the subschemes Δ_U and Γ in \mathcal{C} . \square

Proposition 8.8. *Suppose $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ is an affine smooth henselian pair over a field k , $\dim_k U = n$, $\text{codim}_U Z = 1$, T_Z , and $N_{Z/U}$ are trivial.*

Then the inverse image homomorphism induces the isomorphism $i^: F(U) \simeq F(Z)$ for any F as above.*

Proof. Let $U = X_Z^h$ for a smooth affine scheme X and a closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$. It follows from Lemma 3.19 that there is an étale neighbourhood $\tilde{X} \in \text{SmAff}_k$ with a closed immersion $\tilde{i}: Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and a smooth morphism $\tilde{r}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ such that $U = \tilde{X}_Z^h$, and $\tilde{r} \circ \tilde{i} = \text{id}_Z$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\tilde{X} = X$. Denote $\text{can}: U \rightarrow X$,

$$r = \tilde{r} \circ \text{can}: U \rightarrow Z, i = \text{can} \circ i: Z \rightarrow U.$$

By Lemma 8.5 we get a framed U -scheme \mathcal{C} of relative dimension one, with a fine compactification, and morphisms $\gamma, \delta: U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$, such that $g \circ \gamma = \tilde{i} \circ r$, $g \circ \delta = \text{can}$. By Theorem 7.12 it follows that for any σ -stable framed radditive presheaf F the homomorphisms

$$\text{can}^*, (\tilde{i} \circ r)^*: F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$$

are equal. Since $\tilde{i} \circ r = \text{can} \circ (i \circ r)$, and since U equals to the projective limit of all possible X as above, it follows that the homomorphisms

$$\text{id}_U^*, (i \circ r)^*: F(U) \rightarrow F(U)$$

are equal. \square

8.2. General case.

Lemma 8.9. *Given a smooth affine henselian pair $Z \hookrightarrow U$ such that Z and U are schemes of pure dimension over a field k . Then there is a diagram*

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X' \\ j^Z \updownarrow p_Z & & j^X \updownarrow p_X \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

such that

- (1) $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$, $i': Z' \hookrightarrow X'$ are closed embeddings of smooth affine schemes,
- (2) $X_Z^h = U$;
- (3) $N_{Z'/X'}$, and $T_{Z'}$ are trivial vector bundles (of constant rank) on Z' ;
- (4) both squares in (8.10) are commutative, i.e. $p_Z \circ i = i' \circ p_X$, $i' \circ j_Z = j_Z \circ i$;
- (5) $p_Z \circ j_Z \circ \text{id}_Z$, $p_X \circ j_X \circ \text{id}_X$.

Proof. By definition $U = X_Z^h$ for some $X \in \text{SmAff}_k$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.19 we can assume in addition that there is a retraction $r: X \rightarrow Z$. Since Z is affine there are vector bundles T'_Z and N' on Z such that $T_Z \oplus \hat{T}_Z$ and $N_{Z/U} \oplus \hat{N}$ are trivial. Let $\tilde{T} = r^*(T'_Z)$ and $\tilde{N} = r^*(N_{Z/U})$. Then $\tilde{i}^*(\tilde{T}) = T'$, $\tilde{i}^*(\tilde{N}) = N'$.

Define an Z -scheme $Z' = \tilde{T}$ given by the total space of the vector bundle over Z , and similarly define an X -scheme $X' = \tilde{T} \oplus \tilde{N}$. Then $T_{Z'}$ and $N_{Z'/X'}$ are equal to the inverse images of the vector bundles $T' \oplus T_Z$ and $N' \oplus N_{Z/X}$. Hence $T_{Z'}$ and $N_{Z'/U'}$ are trivial. \square

Lemma 8.11. *Let $Z \subset U$ be affine smooth henselian pair with U of pure dimension over a field k ; and assume the bundles T_Z and $N_{Z/U}$ are trivial of constant rank on Z . Then there is a sequence $Z = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n = U$ of essentially smooth closed subschemes, $\dim V_i = \dim Z + i$, $N_{V_i/V_{i+1}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V_i}$.*

Proof. Since T_Z and $N_{Z/U}$, the bundle T_U is trivial too. Let U' be an affine scheme and $Z \hookrightarrow U'$ be a closed immersion such that $U = (U')^h_Z$, and $T_{U'}$ is trivial. Then there is a closed immersion $U' \subset \mathbb{A}_k^N$ such that N_{U'/\mathbb{A}_k^N} is trivial. Let $t_1 \dots t_{\dim Z}$ be the basis of T_Z , $t_{\dim Z+1} \dots t_{\dim U}$ be the basis of $N_{Z/U}$, $t_{\dim U+1} \dots t_N$ be the basis of N_{U_i/\mathbb{A}_k^N} . Let \hat{t}_i denotes the dual basis of $\Omega(\mathbb{A}_k^N)|_Z$. Choose a lift $f_i \in \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{A}_k^N]$ of \hat{t}_i . The desired filtration is given by $Z(f_1 \dots f_i) \times_{\mathbb{A}_k^N} U = V_{n-i}$. \square

Theorem 8.12. *Suppose $Z \hookrightarrow U$ is an affine smooth henselian pair over a field k , and $F: \text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is an l_ε -torsion homotopy invariant σ -stable linear framed presheaf for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in k^\times$. Then the inverse image homomorphism induces the isomorphism $F(U) \simeq F(Z)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that U is connected. If $U = U_1 \amalg U_2$ and the claim holds for the henselian pairs $Z \cap U_i \hookrightarrow U_i$, $i = 1, 2$, then the claim follows for the pair $Z \hookrightarrow U$ as well.

Since $Z \rightarrow U$ is a henselian pair, if U is connected, then Z is connected. Since U and Z are smooth, Z and U are locally of constant dimension. So T_Z and $N_{Z/U}$ are vector bundles of constant rank on Z .

By Lemma 8.9 the henselian pair $Z \rightarrow U$ is a retract of a henselian pair $Z' \rightarrow U'$

$$(8.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & U' \\ j^Z \updownarrow p_Z & & j^U \updownarrow p_U \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & U \end{array}$$

such that $N_{Z'/X'}$, and $T_{Z'}$ are trivial vector bundles of constant rank on Z' . Then by Lemma 8.11 there is a filtration

$$Z' = U'_0 \hookrightarrow U'_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow U'_{n-1} \hookrightarrow U'_n = U',$$

$\text{codim}_{U'_{i+1}} U'_i = 1$, $N_{U'_{i+1}/U'_i} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{U'_i}$.

Now by Proposition 8.8

$$F(Z') = F(U'_1) = \cdots = F(U'_{i-1}) = F(U').$$

Since the morphism i is a retract of i' , see (8.13), the morphism

$$i^*: F(U) \rightarrow F(Z)$$

is a direct summand of the morphism

$$(i')^*: F(U') \rightarrow F(Z').$$

Whence since $(i')^*$ is an isomorphism by the above, it follows that i^* is an isomorphism. \square

8.3. Corollaries.

Corollary 8.14. *Let k be a field and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(l, \text{char } k) = 1$. Then for an l -torsion homotopy invariant σ -stable linear framed presheaf F and an affine smooth henselian pair $Z \subset U$ over k we have $F(U) \simeq F(Z)$.*

Proof. Let $2 \in k^\times$. The presheaf F is $2l_\varepsilon$ -torsion presheaf, since $2l_\varepsilon = 2h$, and $(2l, \text{char } k) = 1$. Let $2 = 0 \in k$. Then F is l_ε -torsion, since $l_\varepsilon = l$. Hence in both cases $F(U) \simeq F(Z)$ by Theorem 8.12. \square

Corollary 8.15. *Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$ be a smooth affine henselian pair over a field k . Let $E: \mathbf{SH}(k) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{op}}$ be an additive presheaf (of abelian groups) on the stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. Consider the inverse image homomorphism $i^*: E(U) \rightarrow E(Z)$ is an isomorphism, where $E(Z)$ and $E(U)$ is the value of the presheaf on Sm_k induced by E , and $E(U)$ is the stalk on U .*

Then if $\phi E = 0$ for some $\phi \in \text{GW}(k)$, under the meaning of the homomorphism $\text{GW}(k) \rightarrow [\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$, such that $\text{rank } \phi$ is invertible in k , then i^ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The case of the presheaf E such that $l_\varepsilon E$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in k^\times$, follows from Theorem 8.12 in view of Lemma 4.18 and Remark 4.25.

Consider the case $\phi E = 0$ for a quadratic space ϕ as in the corollary, and $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let $h = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle \in \text{GW}(k)$ be the hyperbolic form of rank 2, and define $l_\varepsilon \in \text{GW}(k)$ as $\sum_{i=0}^l \langle (-1)^i \rangle$. One has $\phi h = l h = (2l)_\varepsilon$ for $l = \text{rank } \phi$, hence $(2l)_\varepsilon E = \phi h E = 0$. Since $\text{char } k \neq 2$, the claim follows by the above.

Let $\text{char } k = 2$. Then $h = 2$, and $l_\varepsilon = l$, for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that in the Witt ring $W(k)$ every element can be represented by a diagonal form whence for every element in $W(k)$ its square is either 0 or 1. Since the rank of ϕ is odd, we have $\phi^2 = 1$ in $W(k)$. Then $\phi^2 = 1 + 2m$ in $\text{GW}(k)$, where $m = (\text{rank } \phi^2 - 1)/2$, and so $\phi^2 = l_\varepsilon^2$ with $l = \text{rank } \phi$. Now the claim follows, since $l_\varepsilon^2 E = \phi^2 E = 0$. \square

Remark 8.16. The suggestion to replace the n -torsion condition, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by torsion with respect to some class of elements in $[\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$, and expectation that rigidity results should hold for such presheaves was made originally by A. Ananievskiy. The first version of [AD18] concerned n -torsion and n_ε -torsion presheaves.

The argument how to prove the result of [AD18] for ϕ -torsion for an arbitrary quadratic form $\phi \in \text{GW}(k)$ was founded firstly by T. Bachmann And then A. Ananievskiy had shown that this case is actually equivalent to the n_ε -torsion case. In the article we use this last short argument.

APPENDIX A. APPENDIX: SERRE'S THEOREM ON AMPLE LINE BUNDLES

In the subsection we write a consequence of the Serre theorem on ample bundles.

Proposition A.1. *(A particular case of Serre's theorem on ample bundles) Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be an ample invertible sheaf on a scheme X , and \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . Then for any $Z \subset X$ closed subscheme then there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the restriction homomorphism is surjective $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(l)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}(l))$, $\forall l > N$. Here $\mathcal{L}(l) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(l)$.*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on X

$$(A.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{r} i_* i^* (\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$. By Serre's theorem [Ha77, theorem 5.2] it follows that $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}(l)) = 0$ for all l large enough. Then the long exact sequence of homologies for (A.2) gives the surjection

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(l)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, i_* i^* \mathcal{L}(l)) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}(l)).$$

□

APPENDIX B. APPENDIX: MATRIX HOMOTOPIES

Let $Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of affine schemes. In this section we prove that if a matrix C over $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ equals to the identity on Z , then C is an elementary matrix on X^h_Z .

Secondly, we write the proof for the fact that any elementary matrix on an affine scheme is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalent to the identity.

B.1. Elementary transformations, and classes of isomorphisms. Let X be an affine scheme. For any vector bundles N and N' on X we denote by $\text{Hom}(N, N')$ the set of homomorphisms, denote by $\text{Iso}(M, N')$ the set of isomorphisms. $\text{Aut}(N) = \text{Iso}(N, N)$ is the group of automorphisms. In particular, $\text{GL}_n(X) = \text{Iso}(\mathbf{1}_X^n, \mathbf{1}_X^n)$, where $\mathbf{1}_X^n$ denote the free vector bundle of the rank n .

Let $w: N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$. Then every $C \in \text{Hom}(N_2, N_1)$ defines an automorphism $T \in \text{Aut}(N)$ given by the matrix

$$(B.1) \quad T = e_w(C) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{N_1} & C \\ 0 & \text{id}_{N_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition B.2. For any vector bundle N on X we denote by $E_N(X)$ the subgroup of $\text{Iso}(N, N)$ generated by the elements T as above for all splittings? $N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$.

In particular, if $N = \mathbf{1}_X^n$ the group $E_N(X) = E_n(X)$ is generated by the elementary transformations.

Definition B.3. An elementary transformation $e_{i,j}(\lambda) \in \text{GL}_n(X)$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbf{1}_X^n$ defined by the action on the basis elements t_1 :

$$t_l \mapsto t_l, i \neq l, t_i \mapsto t_i + \lambda t_j.$$

Definition B.4. Denote by $[N, N']_E$ the set of classes in $\text{Iso}(N, N')$ with respect to the action of $E_N(X)$.

Let

$$(B.5) \quad N_1 \hookrightarrow N \rightarrow N_2$$

be a short exact sequence of vector bundles on an affine scheme X . Let

$$\mu: N_2 \simeq \mathbf{1}_X^{n_1}, \nu: N_1 \simeq \mathbf{1}_X^{n_2}$$

be isomorphisms. Since X is affine there is a splitting

$$(B.6) \quad N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$$

Definition B.7. Denote by

$$(B.8) \quad [\mu, nu] \in [N, \mathbf{1}_X^n]_E,$$

for $n = n_1 + n_2$, the class of the isomorphism

$$\tau = mu \oplus \nu: N \simeq \mathbf{1}_X^n.$$

Lemma B.9. *The class (B.8) is independent of the choice of the splitting (B.6).*

Proof. Fix one splitting $w_1: N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, and let $w_2: N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$ be another one agreed with (B.5). Then the automorphism $w_2^{-1}w_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_N(X)$ in view of the splitting w_1 is given by a matrix of the form (B.1) for some $C \in \mathrm{Hom}(N_2, N_1)$. So the claim follows. \square

Lemma B.10. *Let R be a ring, and $B = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle \in \mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ be a diagonal matrix, $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = 1$. Then B is an elementary matrix.*

Proof. Any matrix as in the lemma is a product of matrices of the form

$$m_{i,j}(\lambda) = \langle 1, \dots, 1, \lambda, 1, \dots, 1, \lambda^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$$

with λ and λ^{-1} at i -th and j -th positions. Then we using the equality $m_{i,j}(\lambda) = E_{j,i}(\lambda^{-1} - 1)E_{i,j}(-1)E_{j,i}(\lambda - 1)E_{i,j}(-\lambda^{-1})$ we get the claim. \square

B.2. Elementary matrices on henselian schemes.

Lemma B.11. *Let $C \in \mathrm{GL}_{n \times n}(R)$ for a ring R . Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} c_{i,i} \in R^\times, i = 1, \dots, n, \\ c_{i,j} \in J(R), i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

the coefficients $c_{i,i} \in R^\times$ are invertible in R for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $c_{i,j} \in J(R)$ are in the Jacobson radical, $i \neq j$. Then $C \sim (\mathrm{Jac}(C), 1, \dots, 1)$ denotes the Jacobian.

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on n . To do this we modify C by elementary transformations to get the diagonal matrix $(C', 1)$, for $C' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(X)$ that satisfy the same properties as in the lemma.

Denote $C_0 = C$ and then the required equivalence is provided by the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} C \sim C_1 &= C_0 \circ e_{n-1,n}(c_{n-1,n}c_{n,n}^{-1}) \circ \cdots \circ e_{1,n}(c_{1,n}c_{n,n}^{-1}), \\ C \sim C_2 &= e_{n,1}(c_{1,n}c_{n,n}^{-1}) \circ \cdots \circ e_{n,n-1}(c_{n,n-1}c_{n,n}^{-1}) \circ C_1, \\ C \sim C_3 &= C_2 \circ e_{n,n-1}(1 - c_{n,n}), \\ C \sim C_4 &= C_3 \circ e_{n-1,n}(1). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary B.12. *Let $i: Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion that defines an affine henselian pair. Let $C \in \mathrm{GL}_{n \times n}(X)$ be such that $i^*(C) = \mathrm{Id}_n$ is identity. Then C is elementary, i.e. $C \in \mathrm{E}_n(X)$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma B.11 the claim follows since the vanishing ideal of Z in $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical. Actually for any $v \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ such that $i^*(v) = 0$ the function $1 + v$ is invertible on X . \square

B.3. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies for elementary matrices. For any vector bundle N on a scheme X denote by $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{GL}_N(X)$ the free abelian group generated by the set of elements of $\mathrm{GL}_N(X)$.

Lemma B.13. *Let $E \in \mathrm{E}_N(X)$ be an elementary matrix for some vector bundle N on a scheme X . Then there is $H \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{GL}_N(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, such that $i_0^*(H) = \mathrm{id}_N$, $i_1^*(H) = E$, where $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ denote the zero and unit sections.*

Proof. Consider a matrix $T = e_w(\lambda C)$ of the form (B.1) for some splitting $w: N \cong N_1 \oplus N_2$. Then the matrix

$$e_w(\lambda C) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{N_1} & \lambda C \\ 0 & \text{id}_{N_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

gives the required homotopy.

In general, for a matrix $T = e_{w_l}(C_l) \dots e_{w_0}(C_0)$ given by the product of matrices of the form (B.1) the required homotopy is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^l e_{w_i}(\lambda C_i) T_i,$$

where $T_i = e_{w_i}(C_i) \dots e_{w_0}(C_0)$. □

REFERENCES

- [AK70] A. Altman, S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 146, Springer (1970)
- [An18] A. Ananyevskiy, On the zeroth stable A1-homotopy group of a smooth curve, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 222 (10), 2018, 3195–3218
- [AD18] A. Ananyevskiy, A. Druzhinin, *Rigidity for linear framed presheaves and generalized motivic cohomology theories*, *Advances in Mathematics*, Vol 333, 31, pp 423–562, July 21 (2018)
- [Ayo14] J. Ayoub. La réalisation étale et les opérations de grothendieck. *Ann. Sci. É.N.S.*, (4), 47(1):1–145, 2014.
- [BK18] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Rigidity for relative 0-cycles*, arXiv:1802.00165v2 (2018)
- [Ba16] T. Bachmann, *Motivic and Real Etale Stable Homotopy Theory*, arXiv:1608.08855
- [Ba18] T. Bachmann, Rigidity in étale motivic stable homotopy theory, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.08028v2>
- [CTHK] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Raymond T Hoobler, and Bruno Kahn, The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem, *Algebraic K-Theory* (Toronto, ON, 1996), 16, 31–94, (1997).
- [CD16] D. Cisinski and F. Déglise. Étale motives. *Compositio Mathematica*, 152(3):556–666, 2016.
- [CMM18] D. Clausen, A. Mathew, M. Morrow, *K-theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs*, arXiv:1803.10897v1
- [JP20] R. Joshua, P. Pelaez, Additivity and double coset formulae for the motivic and étale Becker-Gottlieb transfer, arXiv:2007.02249v1 (Jul 2020).
- [Cox78] D. Cox, Algebraic Tubular Neighborhoods: II, *Math Scand.*, 42, (1978), 229–242.
- [D.Wtr] A. Druzhinin, Rigidity theorem for presheaves with Witt-transfers, to appear in *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal*, arXiv:1704.03784 (2017)
- [Elk73] Elkik R. Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien, *Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S.*, 6, 553–603 (1973)
- [Fried08] E. Friedlander, The Friedlander-Milnor conjecture, *L'enseignement Mathématique*, 54(2):90–92 (2008).
- [Gab92] O. Gabber, *K-theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs*, *Contemp. Math.* 126: 59 – 70 (1992)
- [Gab94] O. Gabber, *Affine analog of the proper base change theorem*, *O. Israel J. Math.* 87: 325 (1994)
- [Gab94Gersten] Ofer Gabber, Gersten's conjecture for some complexes of vanishing cycles, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 85, 1, 323–343, (1994); DOI10.1007/BF02568202.
- [GD67] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Troisième Partie)*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 28 (1967)
- [Hub93] Huber R. Étale cohomology of Henselian rings and cohomology of abstract Riemann surfaces of fields *Math. Ann.* 295 (4), 703–708, 1993.
- [GP14] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, *Framed motives of algebraic varieties (after V. Voevodsky)*, arXiv:1409.4372 (2014)
- [Geisser00] T. Geisser, Algebraic K-theory of Henselian pairs, *RIMS Kokyuroku*, 2000.
- [GH04] T. Geisser and L. Hesselholt, *On the K-theory and topological cyclic homology of smooth schemes over a discrete valuation ring*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 358, Number 1, Pages 131–145 (2004)
- [GT84] H. Gillet, R. Thomason, *The K-theory of strict hensel local rings and a theorem of Suslin*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 34, 241 – 254 (1984)
- [Ha77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977
- [HRØ18] J. Heller, C. Ravi, P. A. Østvær, *Rigidity for equivariant pseudo pretheories*, *Journal of Algebra* Volume 516, Pages 373–395 (2018)
- [HY07] J. Hornbostel, S. Yagunov, *Rigidity for Henselian local rings and \mathbb{A}^1 -representable theories*, *Math. Z.* 255, 437 – 449 (2007)
- [Jar86] J.F. Jardine, Simplicial objects in a Grothendieck topos, *Contemp. Math.* 55: 193–239 (1986)

- [Jar00] J. F. Jardine, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, Doc. Math., 5, 445–552 (2000)
- [Kn98] K. Knudson, *Rigidity for the low-dimensional homology of the linear group*, arXiv:math/9801098 (1998)
- [MilneEtCoh] J.S. Milne. *Etale Cohomology*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1980)
- [Milnor53] J. Milnor, On the homology of Lie groups made discreet, Comment. Math. Helvetici, 58 (1953) 072-085.
- [Mor0] Fabien Morel, An introduction to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, ICTP Trieste, (2002).
- [Mor1] Fabien Morel, The stable-connectivity theorems, K-theory, 35, 1, 1-68, (2005).
- [Mor04] F. Morel *On the Motivic π_0 of the Sphere Spectrum*. In: Greenlees J.P.C. (eds) *Axiomatic, Enriched and Motivic Homotopy Theory*. NATO Science Series (Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry), vol 131. Springer Dordrecht (2004)
- [Mor12] F. Morel, *\mathbb{A}^1 -Algebraic Topology over a Field*, Lect. Notes in Math. 2052, Springer Verlag (2012)
- [VPS15] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, N. Vavilov On Grothendieck–Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes: I *Compositio Mathematica*, 151 (3), 2015 , pp. 535-567
- [Pan17] Ivan Panin, Nice triples and moving lemmas for motivic spaces, *Izvestiya RAN. Ser. Mathematics*, 84, 4, 158-193, (2017); DOI 10.1070/IM8982, zbl 07110605.
- [Mor11] F. Morel, *On the Friedlander–Milnor conjecture for groups of small rank*, Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory, 357–441, Current Developments in Mathematics 2010, 45 –93 (2011)
- [MV99] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, *\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. IHES, 90, p. 45-143 (1999)
- [NR19] N. Naumann, C. Ravi Rigidity in equivariant algebraic K-theory May 9, 2019 <http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03102v1>
- [N15] A .Neshitov. Rigidity theorem for presheaves with Ω -transfers. *A Neshitov. St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal* 26 (6), 919–932, (2015)
- [PY02] I. Panin, S. Yagunov, *Rigidity for orientable functors*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 172, 49 – 77 (2002)
- [PanGS] Ivan Panin, Proof of Grothendieck-Serre conjecture on principal bundles over regular local rings containing a finite field, *Izvestiya RAN. Ser. Mathematics*, Forthcoming paper (Mi izv8982)
- [Pip17] Pippi M., Proper base change over henselian pairs, arXiv:1710.01908
- [Q73] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K-theory I, in “Algebraic K-theory I”, 85-147, *Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 341*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [RØ08] O. Röndigs and P. Østvær *Rigidity in motivic homotopy theory*, *Math. Ann.* 341, 651-675 (2008)
- [Ros96] J. Rosenberg, Rigidity of K-theory under deformation quantization, *Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome 1996)*, Internat. Press, 1997, pp. 404-415.
- [StacksProject] , The Stacks Project Authors, Stacks Project, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [Sus83] A. Suslin, *On the K-theory of algebraically closed fields*, *Invent. Math.* 73, no. 2, 241–245 (1983)
- [Sus84] A. Suslin, *On the K-theory of local fields*, *J. of Pure Appl. Algebra* **34**, (2-3), 301–318 (1984)
- [SV96] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties*, *Invent. Math.*, 123:1, pp. 61–94 (1996)
- [VSF00] Voevodsky, V., *Triangulated categories of motives over a field in Cycles, Transfers and Motivic homology theories by V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, and E. M. Friedlander*, volume 143 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton Univ. Press, 2000.
- [V01] V. Voevodsky, *Notes on framed correspondences*, math.ias.edu/vladimir/files/framed.pdf (2001)
- [Ya04] S. Yagunov, *Rigidity II: Non-orientable case*, *Doc. Math.*, vol. 9, pp. 29–40 (2004)

CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, 14TH LINE V.O., 29B, SAINT PETERSBURG 199178 RUSSIA

Email address: andrei.druzh@gmail.com