

ON BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS WITH STRICTLY POSITIVE MEASURES

MENACHEM MAGIDOR AND GRZEGORZ PLEBANEK

ABSTRACT. We investigate reflection-type problems on the class SPM , of Boolean algebras carrying strictly positive finitely additive measures. We show, in particular, that in the constructible universe there is a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} which is not in SPM but every subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} of cardinality \mathfrak{c} admits a strictly positive measure. This result is essentially due to Farah and Veličković [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} , we write $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{SPM}$ to denote that \mathfrak{A} carries a strictly positive measure, that is, there is a finitely additive function $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mu(a) > 0$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}^+ = \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\}$.

It is easy to check that every σ -centred algebra \mathfrak{A} is in SPM . Let us recall that there is a combinatorial characterization of algebras from the class SPM due to Kelley [8]. Namely, $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{SPM}$ if and only if there is a decomposition $\mathfrak{A}^+ = \bigcup_n \mathcal{E}_n$, where every family \mathcal{E}_n has the positive intersection number. By definition, the intersection number of $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ is $\geq \varepsilon$ if for every n , every sequence $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{E}$ contains a subsequence of length $\geq \varepsilon \cdot n$ with nonzero joint; cf. [10] and [18].

We consider here the problems of the following type.

Problem 1.1. *Let κ be a cardinal number. Suppose that a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} has the property that $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{SPM}$ for every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} of cardinality $< \kappa$. Must \mathfrak{A} itself be in SPM ?*

The answer to the above question is clearly negative for $\kappa = \omega_1$ since every countable algebra is in SPM . Note also that Problem 1.1 has a negative consistent answer for $\kappa = \omega_2$. Indeed, assume that $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ and that Martin's axiom $\text{MA}(\omega_1)$ holds; let \mathfrak{A} be the Gaifman algebra [7], that is, \mathfrak{A} is a *ccc* algebra not carrying a strictly positive measures. Then every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} of size $\leq \omega_1$ is σ -centred by Martin's axiom.

We shall discuss the above problem for $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}^+$, which seems to be the most natural question. It turns out, that the positive answer to Problem 1.1, even for $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$, is a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A60, 06E15, 03G05.

Key words and phrases. Stationary set, reflection principle, Boolean algebra, strictly positive measure.

This research started during the conference *Set-theoretic methods in topology and analysis* (Będlewo, September 2017) organized on the occasion of Professor Aleksander Błaszczyk's 70th birthday. The second author is grateful to Boban Veličković for a valuable discussion on the subject during *The 6th European Set Theory Conference* (Budapest, July 2017) and for bringing [4] to our attention.

consequence of the Normal Measure Axiom, see Section 2. This may be seen by a simple adaptation of an argument due to Fremlin [6]. On the other hand, 1.1 has a negative answer for $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}^+$ in the constructible universe: in Section we construct a Boolean algebra $\mathfrak{A} \notin \text{SPM}$ such that $|\mathfrak{A}| = \mathfrak{c}^+$ and $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{SPM}$ for every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} with $|\mathfrak{B}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Our proof is in fact a variant of an argument leading to the main result from [4].

The construction mentioned above is based on the existence of a stationary set S in ω_2 , consisting of ordinals of countable cofinality, that does not reflect, i.e. $S \cap \xi$ is stationary in ξ for no limit ordinal $\xi < \omega_2$. Various aspects of (non)reflecting stationary sets were discussed in [11] and [1] and found several applications in topology and functional analysis, see e.g. [3], [15] and [12].

In the light of our results on Problem 1.1 presented in this note, the following question seems to be quite interesting.

Problem 1.2. *Is it consistent with GCH that every algebra $\mathfrak{A} \notin \text{SPM}$ contains a subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \notin \text{SPM}$ of cardinality $\leq \mathfrak{c}$?*

2. ASSUMING LARGE CARDINALS

We discuss here an essentially known partial solution to Problem 1.1 with $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$.

Axiom 2.1. We write NMA^- for the following assertion:

For every set X there is a countably additive probability measure defined on λ on $\mathcal{P}([X]^{<\mathfrak{c}})$ such that

$$\mu(\{A \in [X]^{<\mathfrak{c}} : x \in A\}) = 1 \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Note that the assertion of NMA^- holds trivially for all sets X with $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. NMA^- is formally weaker than NMA , the normal measure axiom, introduced by Fleissner [5]. The full version of NMA requires that the measure μ in question is \mathfrak{c} -additive and normal. Recall that, by a result due to Prikry, the consistency of NMA is implied by the existence of a supercompact cardinal, see [5].

The proof of the following theorem is a straightforward adaptation of the argument from Fremlin [6, 8R].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume NMA^- . Suppose that \mathfrak{A} is a Boolean algebra such that $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{SPM}$ for every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} with $|\mathfrak{B}| < \mathfrak{c}$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{SPM}$.*

Proof. We use NMA^- to find a countably additive probability measure λ on $\mathcal{P}([\mathfrak{A}]^{<\mathfrak{c}})$ such that $\lambda(\{B \in [\mathfrak{A}]^{<\mathfrak{c}} : a \in B\}) = 1$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Given $B \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ with $|B| < \mathfrak{c}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ generated by B is also of cardinality $< \mathfrak{c}$. By the assumption on \mathfrak{A} , there is a strictly positive finitely additive measure μ_B on \mathfrak{B} . We define μ on \mathfrak{A} by the formula

$$\mu(a) = \int_{[\mathfrak{A}]^{<\mathfrak{c}}} \mu_B(a) \, d\lambda(B).$$

Note that, for every a in \mathfrak{A} , we have $a \in B$ for λ -almost all B ; hence, the above integral is well-defined. Likewise, for disjoint $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have $\mu_B(a_1 \vee a_2) = \mu_B(a_1) + \mu_B(a_2)$ λ -almost everywhere. Therefore, by the linearity of the integral, $\mu(a_1 \vee a_2) = \mu(a_1) + \mu(a_2)$, so μ is a finitely additive probability measure on \mathfrak{A} .

Finally, if $a \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ then the function $B \rightarrow \mu_B(a)$ is positive almost everywhere. Consequently, the integral of such a function with respect to a countably additive measure is positive. \square

A compact (Hausdorff) space K carries a strictly positive measure if there is a regular Borel probability measure μ such that $\mu(V) > 0$ for every nonempty open set $V \subseteq K$. Let us write \mathbf{SPM}^* for the class of compacta admitting a strictly positive measure.

Note that for a compact zero-dimensional space K , $K \in \mathbf{SPM}^*$ if and only if the algebra $\text{Clop}(K)$, of closed-and-open subsets of K , is in \mathbf{SPM} . Indeed, if μ is a Borel measure on K then the restriction of μ to $\text{Clop}(K)$ is strictly positive (finitely additive) measure on a Boolean algebra. Conversely, given finitely additive strictly positive μ on $\text{Clop}(K)$, there is a unique extension $\tilde{\mu}$ of μ to a regular measure on $\text{Bor}(K)$; clearly $\tilde{\mu}(V) > 0$ for every nonempty open set.

The class \mathbf{SPM}^* is discussed in [2, Chapter 6]. Recall that \mathbf{SPM}^* contains all metrizable compacta, is closed under taking arbitrary products and continuous images. We show below that Theorem 2.2 yields a reflection-type result for the class \mathbf{SPM}^* , which is in the spirit of properties considered in Tkachuk [16] and Tkachenko & Tkachuk [17].

Recall first that if $g : K \rightarrow L$ is a continuous mapping between topological spaces and μ is a Borel measure on K , then the image measure $g[\mu]$ is a Borel measure on L defined by the formula $g[\mu](B) = \mu(g^{-1}[B])$ for $B \in \text{Bor}(L)$. It is well-known that, in the case K is compact and g is surjective, for every Borel measure ν on L there is a Borel measure μ on K such that $g[\mu] = \nu$.

Below we denote by $w(\cdot)$ the weight of a topological space.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume NMA^- . Suppose that K is a compact space such that $L \in \mathbf{SPM}^*$ for every continuous image L of K with $w(L) < \mathfrak{c}$. Then $K \in \mathbf{SPM}^*$.*

Proof. Let us consider the Gleason space G of K , i.e. an essentially unique extremally disconnected compact space G which can be mapped onto K by an irreducible mapping $r : G \rightarrow K$.

Suppose that $K \notin \mathbf{SPM}^*$; then G is not in \mathbf{SPM}^* either, see Corollary 6.3 in [2]. The space G is zero-dimensional so $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Clop}(G) \notin \mathbf{SPM}$. By Theorem 2.2, \mathfrak{A} must contain a subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathfrak{B} \notin \mathbf{SPM}$.

For every $B \in \mathfrak{B}^+$, the set $r[G \setminus B]$ is a proper closed subset of K (since r is irreducible). Pick a continuous non-zero function $f_B : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which vanishes on $r[G \setminus B]$. Let $g : K \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathfrak{B}}$ be the diagonal map defined by $g(x)(B) = f_B(x)$ for $x \in K$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Note that for the space $L = g[K]$, we have

$$w(L) \leq w([0, 1]^{\mathfrak{B}}) = |\mathfrak{B}| < \mathfrak{c},$$

so to complete the proof it is enough to check that $L \notin \text{SPM}^*$.

Indeed, take any probability Borel measure ν on L . Then, by the fact mentioned prior to the theorem, there is a Borel probability measure μ on G , such that $g \circ r[\mu] = \nu$. By the choice of \mathfrak{B} , $\mu(B) = 0$ for some $B \in \mathfrak{B}^+$. Put $U = \{y \in L : y(B) > 0\}$; then U is open in L and nonempty, for taking $x \in K$ such that $f_B(x) > 0$ we get $y = g(x) \in U$. Now

$$(g \circ r)^{-1}(U) = r^{-1}[\{x \in K : f_B(x) > 0\}] \subseteq B,$$

and therefore $\nu(U) = \mu(B) = 0$. This shows that ν is not strictly positive, and we are done. \square

3. EXTENSIONS OF MEASURES

Throughout this section, by a measure we mean a probability finitely additive measure. We collect here some standard observations concerning extensions of measures on Boolean algebras. Then we prove Proposition 3.4 that will be applied for the construction carried out in the next section.

For simplicity, consider an algebra \mathfrak{A} of subsets of some set X , and a finitely additive μ on \mathfrak{A} . For any $Z \subseteq X$ we write

$$\mu^*(Z) = \inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathfrak{A}, A \supseteq Z\}, \quad \mu_*(Z) = \sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathfrak{A}, A \subseteq Z\}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$, the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{A} \cup \{Z\}$, is equal to the family of all sets of the form $(A \cap Z) \cup (B \cap Z^c)$, where $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Łoś and Marczewski [9]). *Let μ be a measure on an algebra \mathfrak{A} of subsets of X . For every $Z \subseteq X$ the formulas*

$$\overline{\mu}((A \cap Z) \cup (B \cap Z^c)) = \mu^*(A \cap X) + \mu_*(B \cap Z^c),$$

$$\underline{\mu}((A \cap Z) \cup (B \cap Z^c)) = \mu_*(A \cap X) + \mu^*(B \cap Z^c),$$

define extensions of μ to measures $\overline{\mu}, \underline{\mu}$ on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that μ is a strictly positive measure on an algebra \mathfrak{A} of subsets of X . Given $Z \subseteq X$, suppose that the sets $Z^0 = Z$ and $Z^1 = X \setminus Z$ satisfy the condition*

$$\mu^*(A \cap Z^i) > 0 \text{ whenever } A \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } A \cap Z^i \neq \emptyset.$$

Then μ admits an extension to a strictly positive measure on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$.

Proof. Take the measure $\nu = 1/2(\overline{\mu} + \underline{\mu})$, where $\overline{\mu}, \underline{\mu}$ are as in Theorem 3.1; clearly, ν is also an extension of μ to a measure on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$. It follows immediately from the assumption that ν is strictly positive. \square

Consider now the space of the form $X = 2^\kappa$. For any $\alpha < \kappa$ and $k \in \{0, 1\}$ we put

$$C_\alpha^k = \{x \in 2^\kappa : x_\alpha = k\}.$$

A set $A \subseteq X$ is determined by coordinates in $I \subseteq \kappa$ if $A = \pi_I^{-1}\pi_I[A]$, where π_I is the projection $2^\kappa \rightarrow 2^I$. This is equivalent to saying that whenever $x \in A$ and $y \in X$ agrees with x on I then $y \in A$.

Given $I \subseteq \kappa$, we write $\mathcal{C}[I]$ for the family of sets determined by coordinates in some finite subset of I so that $\mathcal{C}[I]$ is the family of clopen subsets of the Cantor cube 2^κ determined by coordinates in I . We denote by $Ba[I]$ the σ -algebra of subsets of 2^κ generated by $\mathcal{C}[I]$. Note that every set $B \in Ba[I]$ is determined by coordinates in some countable subset of I . (Our notation is related to the fact that $Ba[\kappa]$ is the Baire σ -algebra of 2^κ , the smallest one making all the continuous functions on 2^κ continuous.)

For a limit ordinal ξ we denote

$$Ba^{<}[\xi] = \bigcup_{\beta < \xi} Ba[\beta].$$

Note that if $\text{cf}(\xi) > \omega$ then $Ba^{<}[\xi] = Ba[\xi]$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathfrak{A} be an algebra contained in some $Ba[I]$ and let $Z \in Ba[\kappa \setminus I]$. Then every strictly positive measure on \mathfrak{A} can be extended to a strictly positive measure on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$.*

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that $Z \neq \emptyset$ and $Z \neq X$.

Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ and suppose that $A \cap Z \subseteq B$. Then $A \setminus B \subseteq X \setminus Z$, which implies $A \subseteq B$ since $A \setminus B$ is determined by coordinates in I .

Let μ be strictly positive on \mathfrak{A} . The above remark shows that $\mu^*(A \cap Z) = \mu(A)$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. We can apply the same argument to $X \setminus Z$. Hence, we finish the proof applying Lemma 3.2. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\langle I_k : k \in \omega \rangle$ be a strictly increasing sequence of subsets of κ . Suppose we are given*

- (i) *an increasing sequence of algebras \mathfrak{A}_k such that $\mathcal{C}[I_k] \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_k \subseteq Ba[I_k]$ for every k ;*
- (ii) *some probability measure ν defined on $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_k \mathfrak{A}_k$.*

Then there is a set $Z \in Ba[\bigcup_k I_k]$ such that

- (a) *for every k , if μ is a strictly positive measure on \mathfrak{A}_k then μ extends to a strictly positive measure on $\mathfrak{A}_k(Z)$;*
- (b) *ν does not extend to a strictly positive measure on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$.*

Proof. For every $k \geq 1$ pick $\alpha_k \in I_k \setminus I_{k-1}$. Then define $j_k \in \{0, 1\}$ inductively so that

$$\text{writing } Z_k = \bigcap_{n \leq k} C_{\alpha_n}^{j_n} \text{ we have } \nu(Z_{k+1}) \leq (1/2)\nu(Z_k).$$

We shall check that the set $Z = \bigcap_k Z_k$ is as required.

Clause (a) follows from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\mathfrak{A}_k(Z) = \mathfrak{A}_k(Y_k)$, where $Y_k = \bigcap_{n > k} Z_n$ is determined by coordinates in $\kappa \setminus I_k$.

To check (b) notice that, since $\nu(Z_k) \rightarrow 0$, we have $\nu^*(Z) = 0$. Therefore $\tilde{\nu}(Z) \leq \nu^*(Z) = 0$ whenever $\tilde{\nu}$ extends ν to a measure on $\mathfrak{A}(Z)$. \square

4. A COUNTEREXAMPLE IN $V = L$

Let γ be a limit ordinal. Recall that set $F \subseteq \gamma$ is said to be *closed* if it is closed in the interval topology defined on ordinals smaller than γ . Such a set F is unbounded in γ if for every $\beta < \gamma$ there is $\alpha \in F$ such that $\beta < \alpha$. A set $S \subseteq \gamma$ is *stationary* if $S \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for every closed and unbounded $F \subseteq \gamma$.

It is not difficult to check that the set $S_\omega = \{\alpha < \omega_2 : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega\}$ is stationary in ω_2 . However, such a set reflects in the sense that, for instance, $S_\omega \cap \omega_1$ is stationary in ω_1 . We shall work assuming the following.

Axiom 4.1. There is a stationary set $S \subseteq \omega_2$ such that

- (a) $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ for every $\alpha \in S$;
- (b) $S \cap \beta$ is not stationary in β for every $\beta < \omega_2$ with $\text{cf}(\beta) = \omega_1$.

Basic information on 4.1 can be found in Jech [13]; recall that 4.1 follows from Jensen's principle \square_{ω_1} ([13], Lemma 23.6) and hence it holds in the constructible universe ([13], Theorem 27.1).

Below we use the notation from the previous section. In particular, for $\xi < \omega_2$ we denote by $Ba[\xi]$ the family of Baire subsets of 2^{ω_2} determined by coordinates in $\{\alpha : \alpha < \xi\}$. Note that $Ba[\xi]$ has cardinality $\leq \mathfrak{c}$ for every $\xi < \omega_2$.

Axiom 4.2. $\omega_1 = 2^\omega, \omega_2 = 2^{\omega_1}$.

Definition 4.3. Let κ be a regular cardinal, $S \subseteq \kappa$ a stationary subset of κ . The principle \diamond_S (introduced by Jensen) states that there is a sequence $\langle D_\alpha : \alpha \in S \rangle$ such that for every $\alpha \in S$ we have $D_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ and for every $D \subseteq \kappa$ the set

$$\{\alpha \in S : D \cap \alpha = S_\alpha\}$$

is stationary in κ .

Theorem 4.4 (Shelah [14]). *Assume that*

- κ is a regular uncountable cardinal such that $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$;
- S be stationary subset of κ^+ such that $\text{cf}(\alpha) \neq \kappa$ for $\alpha \in S$.

Then \diamond_S holds.

Note that if $2^\omega = \omega_1$ then for every limit ordinal ξ with $\omega_1 \leq \xi \leq \omega_2$, every probability measure on $Ba^{<}[\xi]$ can be coded as a subset of ξ . Hence from 4.4 we conclude the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that Axiom 4.2 holds and let S be a stationary subset of ω_2 such that $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ for $\alpha \in S$. Then there is a sequence $\langle \nu_\xi : \xi \in S \rangle$ where every ν_ξ is finitely additive probability measure on $Ba^{<}[\xi]$ such that whenever ν is a finitely additive probability measure on $Ba[\omega_2]$ then $\nu|_{Ba^{<}[\xi]} = \nu_\xi$ for stationary many $\xi \in S$.*

Construction 4.6. Assume 4.1 and 4.2. Fix a set $S \subseteq \omega_2$ as in 4.1 and a \diamond_S -sequence $\langle \nu_\xi : \xi \in S \rangle$ as in 4.4. We shall define inductively a sequence $\langle \mathfrak{A}_\xi : \xi < \omega_2 \rangle$ of algebras with the following properties

- (i) $\mathcal{C}[\xi] \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_\xi \subseteq Ba^{<}[\xi]$ for every $\xi < \omega_2$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{A}_\xi = \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ for every limit ordinal $\xi < \omega_2$;
- (iii) whenever $\alpha \in \omega_2 \setminus S$ and $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ then every strictly positive measure on \mathfrak{A}_α can be extended to a strictly positive measure on \mathfrak{A}_β ;
- (iv) for every $\xi \in S$, $\nu_{\xi|\mathfrak{A}_\xi}$ cannot be extended to a strictly positive measure on $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$.

For a limit ordinal ξ we define \mathfrak{A}_ξ by 4.6(ii); clearly (i) holds and it is easy to check that property (iii) is preserved.

Given $\xi \notin S$ and \mathfrak{A}_ξ , we let $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$ be the algebra generated by \mathfrak{A}_ξ and the set C_ξ^0 . Then (iii) is preserved by Lemma 3.3.

Finally, consider $\xi \in S$. Then $\text{cf}(\xi) = \omega$ so we may pick increasing sequence $(\alpha_n)_n$ cofinal in ξ . Then, by inductive assumption, $\mathfrak{A}_\xi = \bigcup_n \mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_n}$. We define $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$ applying Proposition 3.4 (with $\nu = \nu_\xi$).

Theorem 4.7. *Assume Axiom 4.1 and 4.2, and let \mathfrak{A}_ξ be the algebras given by 4.6. Then the algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_2} \mathfrak{A}_\xi$ (of cardinality \mathfrak{c}^+) is not in SPM but $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{SPM}$ for every subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} of cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} .*

Proof. Consider any probability measure μ on \mathfrak{A} . Let ν be any extension of μ to a probability measure on $Ba[\omega_2]$. Then, by Theorem 4.4, $\nu|_{Ba^{<}[\xi]} = \nu_\xi$ for some $\xi \in S$. It follows from 4.6(iv) that μ is not strictly positive on $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$. Hence $\mathfrak{A} \notin \text{SPM}$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra with $|\mathfrak{B}| \leq \omega_1 = \mathfrak{c}$; then $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_\xi$ for some $\xi < \omega_2$. Therefore, to complete the proof it is enough to check that $\mathfrak{A}_\xi \in \text{SPM}$ for every ordinal $\xi < \omega_2$ of cofinality ω_1 .

Let us fix $\xi < \omega_2$ of cofinality ω_1 . Then $S \cap \xi$ is not stationary in ξ so there is a set $F \subseteq \xi \setminus S$ which is closed and unbounded in ξ . Then, using 4.6(iii), we may define by induction on $\alpha \in F$ strictly positive measures μ_α on \mathfrak{A}_α so that μ_α extends μ_β whenever $\beta, \alpha \in F$ and $\beta < \alpha$. Now the common extension of those measures is strictly positive on $\mathfrak{A}_\xi = \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$. Thus $\mathfrak{A}_\xi \in \text{SPM}$, and the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bagaria, M. Magidor and H. Sakai, *Reflection and indescribability in the constructible universe*, Israel J. Math. 208 (2015), 1–11.
- [2] W.W. Comfort, S. Negrepontis, *Chain conditions in topology*, Cambridge University Press (1982).
- [3] W.G. Fleissner, *Applications of stationary sets in topology*; in: *Surveys in general topology*, Academic Press, New York-London-Toronto (1980), 163–193.
- [4] I. Farah, B. Veličković, *von Neumann’s problem and large cardinals*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 38 (2006), 907–912.
- [5] W.G. Fleissner, *Normal measure axiom and Balogh’s theorems*, Topology Appl. 39 (1991), 123–143.
- [6] D.H. Fremlin, *Real-valued-measurable cardinals*; in: *Set theory of the reals* (Ramat Gan, 1991), 151–304, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 6, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993.
- [7] H. Gaifman, *Concerning Measures on Boolean algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 61–73 (1964).
- [8] J.L. Kelley, *Measures on Boolean algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (195), 1165–1177.
- [9] J. Loś, E. Marczewski, *Extensions of measures*, Fund. Math. 36 (1949), 267–276.

- [10] G. Mägerl and I. Namioka, *Intersection numbers and weak* separability of spaces of measures*, Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 273–279.
- [11] M. Magidor, *Reflecting stationary sets*, J. Symbolic Logic 47 (1982), 755–771.
- [12] M. Magidor, G. Plebanek, *On properties of compacta that do not reflect in small continuous images*, Topology Appl. 220 (2017), 131–139.
- [13] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2003).
- [14] S. Shelah *Diamonds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010) 2151–2161.
- [15] F. Tall, *Reflection of topological properties to \aleph_1* , in: *Open Problems in Topology II*, E. Pearl (ed.), Elsevier (2007), 241–247.
- [16] V.V. Tkachuk, *Reflecting topological properties in continuous images*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 10 (2012), 456–465.
- [17] M.G. Tkachenko and V.V. Tkachuk, *More reflections in small continuous images*, Houston J. Math. 43 (2017), 1273–1289.
- [18] S. Todorčević, *Chain-condition methods in topology*, Topology Appl. 101 (2000), 45–92.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

E-mail address: mensara@savion.huji.ac.il

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI

E-mail address: grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl