

TWO APPLICATIONS OF THE INTEGRAL REGULATOR

MATT KERR AND MUXI LI

ABSTRACT. We review Li's refinement of the KLM regulator map, and use it to detect torsion phenomena in higher Chow groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The KLM formula is a morphism of complexes inducing the Bloch-Beilinson regulator map with rational coefficients, developed by the first author together with J. Lewis and S. Müller-Stach [Ke1, KLM, KL] (see §3). The second author's refinement now enables the direct computation of the *integral* regulator on the level of higher Chow complexes [Li]. In this note, we shall briefly review that construction (§4) and show how it may be used to find explicit torsion generators in higher Chow groups of number fields (§5). We also apply the formula to *integrally* calculate a branch of the higher normal function arising from the mirror of local \mathbb{P}^2 (§6).

Acknowledgments. We thank the National Science Foundation for support under the aegis of FRG Grant DMS-1361147, and C. Weibel for helpful correspondence.

2. HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

Invented by Spencer Bloch [Bl1, Bl2] in the mid-1980s to geometrize Quillen's higher algebraic K -theory, these generalize the usual Chow groups of cycles modulo rational equivalence (the $n = 0$ case). In particular, for X smooth quasi-projective over an infinite field k , they satisfy

$$CH^p(X, n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_\gamma^p K_n^{\mathrm{alg}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C25, 14C30, 19E15.

For such X , Voevodsky [Vo] proved they were *integrally* isomorphic to his motivic cohomology groups:

$$CH^p(X, n) \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)).$$

Beyond their role in arithmetic geometry (e.g. Beilinson’s conjectures [Be]), they have recently shown up in several branches of physics (e.g. quantum field theory [BKV1] and topological string theory [7K]) and mirror symmetry [DK2, BKV2]. We focus on the cubical presentation of $CH^p(X, n)$ as the n^{th} homology of a complex of *higher Chow precycles* [Le]

$$\cdots \rightarrow Z^p(X, n+1) \xrightarrow{\partial} Z^p(X, n) \xrightarrow{\partial} Z^p(X, n-1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

or its (integrally quasi-isomorphic) subcomplex of *normalized precycles* [Bl4]

$$\cdots \rightarrow N^p(X, n+1) \xrightarrow{\partial} N^p(X, n) \xrightarrow{\partial} N^p(X, n-1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

A *higher Chow cycle* is an element of $\ker(\partial)$. Roughly speaking, these are relative codimension- p cycles on

$$(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, X \times \cup \mathbb{A}^{n-1})$$

where the \mathbb{A}^{n-1} ’s are inserted into \mathbb{A}^n as a “cubical” configuration of hyperplanes. More precisely, writing

$$\square^n := (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n \supset \partial \square^n := \bigcup_i \{z_i = 0 \text{ or } \infty\}$$

we set¹

$$c^p(X, n) := \{\text{cycles meeting faces of } X \times \partial \square^n \text{ properly}\}$$

$$d^p(X, n) := \{\text{cycles “constant” in some } z_i\}$$

$$Z^p(X, n) := c^p(X, n)/d^p(X, n)$$

$$N^p(X, n) := \{Z \mid Z \cdot \{z_i = 0\} = Z \cdot \{z_i = \infty\} = 0 (\forall i < n)\}$$

¹Normalized precycles may be represented (in $Z^p(X, n)$) by Z satisfying $Z \cdot \{z_i = 0\} = 0 (\forall i)$ and $Z \cdot \{z_i = \infty\} = 0 (i < n)$ simply by adding an element of $d^p(X, n)$.

and for $Z \in Z^p(X, n)$ or $N^p(X, n)$,

$$\partial Z := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (Z \cdot \{z_i = \infty\} - Z \cdot \{z_i = 0\}).$$

If $X = \text{Spec}(k)$, write $Z^p(k, n)$ etc. for short.

Example 2.1. Parametrize a cycle in $N^2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell), 3)$ by $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$Z_\ell^2 := \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_\ell}{t}, 1 - t, t^{-\ell}\right).$$

Intersections with facets $\{z_i = 0, \infty\}$ are given by $t = 0, 1, \zeta_\ell, \infty$. But all these intersections have some $z_j = 1$, so are trivial (as $1 \notin \square$). We also record the cycle

$$\mathcal{Z}_5^2 := Z_1^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_5}{t}, 1 - t, t^{-5}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\zeta}_5}{t}, 1 - t, t^{-5}\right)$$

in $N^2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), 3)$ for later reference.

3. ABEL-JACOBI MAPS

These simultaneously generalize two classical invariants:

- (1) Griffiths's AJ map [Gr]

$$CH^p(X, 0) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$$

for X smooth projective over \mathbb{C} ; and

- (2) [A \mathbb{Z} -lift of] Borel's regulator map [Bo2, Bu]

$$CH^p(k, 2p - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p)$$

for $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ a number field.

Defined abstractly by Bloch [Bl3], they map higher Chow groups to Deligne cohomology:²

$$CH^p(X, n) \xrightarrow{AJ^{p,n}} H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)).$$

²or (better) to absolute Hodge cohomology [KL, §2] in the smooth quasiprojective case.

Kerr, Lewis, and Müller-Stach [KLM] constructed a morphism of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^{p,-\bullet} : Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, -\bullet) &\longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p+\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) := \\ &C_{\text{sing}}^{2p+\bullet}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p)) \oplus F^p D^{2p+\bullet}(X) \oplus D^{2p-1+\bullet}(X) \end{aligned}$$

with differential $D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (-\partial\alpha, -d\beta, d\gamma - \beta + \alpha)$ on the right. For $Z \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, n)$ with projections π_1 (to \square^n) and π_2 (to X), they define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^{p,n}(Z) &:= (2\pi\mathbf{i})^{p-n} ((2\pi\mathbf{i})^n T_Z, \Omega_Z, R_Z) \\ &:= (2\pi\mathbf{i})^{p-n} (\pi_2)_* (\pi_1)^* ((2\pi\mathbf{i})^n T_n, \Omega_n, R_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $T_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^n T_{z_i} = \mathbb{R}_{<0}^{\times n}$, $\Omega_n := \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n}$, and

$$R_n := \log(z_1) \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n} - (-1)^n (2\pi\mathbf{i}) R_{n-1} \cdot \delta_{T_{z_1}}.$$

Here $\log(z)$ has a branch cut along $T_z = \{z \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}\}$, and $R_1 = \log(z)$. (Note that $\widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^{p,n}$ vanishes identically on $d^p(X, n)$.)

The subcomplex $Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, -\bullet) \subset Z^p(X, -\bullet)$ consists of cycles Z for which Z^{an} properly intersects the various combinations of $\{T_{z_i}\}$ and $\{z_j = 0, \infty\}$. We call such precycles \mathbb{R} -proper. Kerr and Lewis [KL] proved the inclusion is a *rational* quasi-isomorphism, by appealing to Kleiman transversality in K -theory. Unfortunately, the claimed integral moving lemma in [KLM] (which would have made this quasi-isomorphism integral) was incorrect, and [KL] was only written after a prolonged effort to repair the integral version.

Now suppose we have a cycle $Z \in \ker(\partial) \subset Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, n)$ with

$$[\widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^{p,n}(Z)] \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$$

torsion of order M . This implies $[Z] \in H_n\{Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, \bullet)\}$ is at least of this order. But for $[Z] \in H_n\{Z^p(X, \bullet)\} = CH^p(X, n)$, it means no such thing: there could be a $W \in Z^p(X, n+1) \setminus Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, n+1)$ with $\partial W = Z$. So the KLM map only induces a homomorphism

$$AJ_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p,n} : CH^p(X, n) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Q}(p))$$

consistent with Bloch's $AJ^{p,n}$. This is frustrating, as the KLM formulas are well-adapted to detecting torsion!

For $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ and $(p, n) = (2, 3)$, consider the portion

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & Z_{\mathbb{R}}^2(k, 4) & \rightarrow & Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(k, 3) & \rightarrow & Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(k, 2) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow (2\pi i)^2 W \cdot T_4 & & \downarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_Z R_{2p-1} & & \downarrow 0 \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}(2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

of the KLM map of complexes. We want to use the middle map to detect torsion. Denote its image on a cycle Z by $\mathcal{R}(Z) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2)$.

Example 3.1 (Petras [Pe]). We calculate $\mathcal{R}(Z_\ell^2) =$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Z_\ell^2} R_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Z_\ell^2} \left(\begin{array}{l} \log(z_1) dz_2/z_2 \wedge dz_3/z_3 + \\ (2\pi i) \log(z_2) dz_3/z_3 \cdot \delta_{T_{z_1}} \\ + (2\pi i)^2 \log(z_3) \delta_{T_{z_1} \cap T_{z_2}} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \int_{Z_\ell \cap T_{z_1}} \log(z_2) \frac{dz_3}{z_3} = - \int_{T_{1-\frac{\zeta_\ell}{t}}} \log(1-t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= - \int_0^{\zeta_\ell} \log(1-t) \frac{dt}{t} = \text{Li}_2(\zeta_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell = 1$, this is $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2)$, which is 24-torsion, while (for the second cycle of Example 2.1) $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}_5^2) = \text{Li}_2(1) + \text{Li}_2(\zeta_5) + \text{Li}_2(\overline{\zeta_5}) = \frac{7\pi^2}{30}$ is 120-torsion. To *deduce* that these orders of torsion exist in $CH^2(\mathbb{Q}, 3)$ resp. $CH^2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), 3)$, we need an improvement in technology.

4. THE INTEGRAL REGULATOR

A few basic strategies come to mind:

(1) proving an integral moving lemma ($Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, \bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z^p(X, \bullet)$)

and

(2) extending KLM to a map of complexes on $Z^p(X, \bullet)$

are probably too naive;

(3) extending KLM to an infinite family of homotopic maps on nested subcomplexes with union $Z^p(X, \bullet)$

seemed promising; but what ultimately worked was

(4) extending KLM to an infinite family of homotopic maps on nested subcomplexes with union $N^p(X, \bullet)$.

The heuristic idea of **(3)** was to perturb the branch cuts $T_{z_i} = \{z_i \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}\}$ in $\log(z_i)$ to $T_{z_i}^\epsilon = \{z_i/e^{i\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}\}$ and take a limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, an approach that had been successfully applied in [Ke2, §9]. Unfortunately, there are cycles in $Z^2(\mathbb{C}, 3)$ whose intersection with $T_{z_1}^\epsilon \cap T_{z_2}^\epsilon \cap T_{z_3}^\epsilon$ is improper for every real ϵ near 0 [Li, §3]. So we need to deform the branches by distinct $\{\epsilon_i\}$; but then we cannot expect a morphism of complexes (or “limit” thereof) on $Z^p(X, \bullet)$. This forces us into strategy **(4)**, and working with normalized subcomplexes.

Let \mathcal{B}_ϵ denote the set of infinite sequences $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i>0}$, with

$$0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon_2 < e^{-1/\epsilon_1}, \quad 0 < \epsilon_3 < e^{-1/\epsilon_2}, \text{ etc.},$$

so that when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ its projection to any $(S^1)^n$ eventually avoids any given analytic subvariety. Let $N_\epsilon^p(X, \bullet) \subset N^p(X, \bullet)$ denote the (nested) subcomplexes of cycles Z with Z^{an} properly intersecting (for each $\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$) certain³ combinations of $\{T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i}\}$ and $\{z_j = 0, \infty\}$.

Lemma 4.1 ([Li], Thms. 4.2 and 7.2). *We have*

$$\bigcup_{\epsilon>0} N_\epsilon^p(X, n) = N^p(X, n) \quad (\forall n)$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} H_n(N_\epsilon^p(X, \bullet)) \cong H_n(N^p(X, \bullet)) \cong CH^p(X, n).$$

For any $\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$, replacing T_{z_i} by $T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i}$ everywhere in the KLM formula yields a morphism of complexes

$$\widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon, \underline{\epsilon}}^{p, -\bullet} : N_\epsilon^p(X, -\bullet) \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p+\bullet}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)).$$

Lemma 4.2 ([Li], Thm. 6.1). *Given $\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\epsilon}' \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$, $\widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon, \underline{\epsilon}}^p$ and $\widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon, \underline{\epsilon}'}$ are (\mathbb{Z}) -homotopic.*

Sketch. Truncating at some N , we may view

$$\mathcal{R}_{\square}^\epsilon = \left\{ \mathcal{R}_n^{\underline{\epsilon}} := \left((2\pi i)^n T_n^{\underline{\epsilon}}, \Omega_n, R_n^{\underline{\epsilon}} \right) \right\}_{n, \underline{\epsilon}}$$

³namely, $(\cap_{i \in I} \{T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i}\}) \cap (\cap_{j \in J} \{z_j = 0, \infty\})$ where I and $K \setminus I$ are consecutive (no gaps) in $K = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$ (e.g., $T_{z_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cap \{z_2 = 0\} \cap T_{z_3}^{\epsilon_3} \cap \{z_7 = \infty\}$).

($0 \leq n \leq N$; $\{\hat{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_n\} \subset \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N\}$ subsequence) as a 0-cocycle in the double complex

$$\left(E^{a,b} = C_{\mathcal{D}}^{2a+b} \left((\mathbb{P}^1)^a \right)^{\oplus \binom{N}{a} 2^{N-a}}, \delta_{\text{Gysin}}, D_{\mathcal{D}} \right).$$

Construct a (-1) -cochain $\mathcal{S}_{\square}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}$ with $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{S}_{\square}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'} = \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} - \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon'}$, and with respect to whose wavefront set the precycles in $N_{\epsilon}^p(X, \bullet)$ remain proper. \square

We therefore have well-defined, compatible maps

$$AJ_{\epsilon}^{p,n} : H_n(N_{\epsilon}^p(X, \bullet)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$, essentially given by $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon}^p$ (where the limit is taken so that $\epsilon > \epsilon_1 \gg \epsilon_2 \gg \epsilon_3 \gg \dots > 0$), and recovering $\widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^p$ on $N_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, \bullet) := Z_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, \bullet) \cap N^p(X, \bullet)$.

More precisely:

Theorem 4.3 ([Li], §7). *The $\{\widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon, \epsilon}^{p, \bullet}\}$ induce a homomorphism*

$$AJ_{\mathbb{Z}}^{p,n} : CH^p(X, n) \cong \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} H_n(N_{\epsilon}^p(X, \bullet)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$$

factoring $AJ_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p,n}$.

This theorem has the

Corollary 4.4. *If a class $\xi \in CH^p(X, n)$ is represented by*

$$Z \in \ker(\partial) \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}^p(X, n) \left(\subset \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} N_{\epsilon}^p(X, n) \right),$$

then

$$AJ_{\mathbb{Z}}^{p,n}(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{AJ}_{\epsilon}^{p,n}(Z) = \widetilde{AJ}_{\text{KLM}}^{p,n}(Z).$$

So the KLM formula holds verbatim on normalized, \mathbb{R} -proper representatives, validating the deductions at the end of Example 3.1. It is this statement that we (primarily) use in the applications that follow.

5. TORSION GENERATORS

Let $\mu_{\infty} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_m \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ denote the roots of unity, and $w_r(k) := |(\mu_{\infty}^{\otimes r})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)}|$ for any number field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. By the universal coefficient

sequence for motivic cohomology

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(r)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \xrightarrow{\cdot m} H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

and vanishing of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}(r))$,⁴ we have

$$CH^r(k, 2r-1)[m] \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}(r))[m] \cong H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(r)).$$

Since the norm residue map

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(r)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(k, \mu_m^{\otimes r}) \cong (\mu_m^{\otimes r})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)}$$

is an isomorphism by a celebrated theorem of Rost-Voevodsky (cf. [HW]), we conclude that $CH^r(k, 2r-1)[m] \cong \mathbb{Z}/(m, w_r(k))\mathbb{Z}$ hence

$$CH^r(k, 2r-1)_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/w_r(k)\mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 5.1. If $k = \mathbb{Q}$, one has⁵ $w_{2n}(k) = \text{denominator of } \frac{|B_{2n}|}{4n}$ (written in lowest terms) and $w_{2n+1} = 2$ for $n \geq 1$; so

$$CH^2(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}, \quad CH^3(\mathbb{Q}, 5)_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad CH^4(\mathbb{Q}, 7) \cong \mathbb{Z}/240\mathbb{Z}.$$

For real quadratic fields $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, the situation is more complicated (cf. [We, §VI.2]); one computes for instance

d	2	3	5	7
$w_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))$	48	24	120	24
$w_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))$	480	240	240	240

so that only $CH^2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), 3)$ and $CH^2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), 3)$ [resp. $CH^4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), 7)$] are different from the $k = \mathbb{Q}$ case. Finally, for cyclotomic $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ ($p \geq 5$ prime) one can show that $w_3(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = 2p$, while $w_3(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)) = 18$.

For computing torsion orders of images under

$$AJ_{\mathbb{Z}}^{r, 2r-1} : H_{2r-1}(N_{\mathbb{R}}^r(k, \bullet)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/(2\pi\mathbf{i})^r\mathbb{Z}$$

$$Z \mapsto \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathbf{i})^{r-1}} \int_Z R_{2r-1} =: \mathcal{R}(Z)$$

we use the following basic calculation:

⁴See [We, Ex. VI.4.6]: since $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(r)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{-1}(k, \mu_m^{\otimes r}) = \{0\}$, $\cdot m$ is injective on $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ (universal coefficient sequence), which is thus torsion-free; it has rank 0 since $H_{\mathcal{M}}^0(k, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \cong \text{Gr}_{\gamma}^r K_{2r}(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \{0\}$ by Borel's theorem [Bo1].

⁵Bernoulli numbers: $|B_{2n}| = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{42}, \dots$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that for a given $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a collection of closed precycles $Z_{\ell,a}^r \in N_{\mathbb{R}}^r(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell), 2r-1)$ with*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{R}(Z_{\ell,a}^r) = (r-1)! \ell^{r-1} \text{Li}_r(\zeta_\ell^a).$$

Then for $Z := \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} f(a) Z_{\ell,a}^r$ with $f(-a) = (-1)^r f(a)$, $AJ(Z)$ is torsion of order given by the denominator of

$$(5.2) \quad \tau(Z) := \left| (2\pi\mathbf{i})^{-r} \mathcal{R}(Z) \right| = \pm \frac{\ell^{r-1}}{2r} \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} f(a) B_r\left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right).$$

Proof. By [Ke3, Thm. 3.9],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} f(a) \text{Li}_r(\zeta_\ell^a) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} f(a) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\zeta_\ell^{ka}}{k^r} \\ &=: \frac{(-1)^r}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k^r} =: \frac{(-1)^r}{2} \tilde{L}(\hat{f}, r) \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\mathbf{i})^r}{2 \cdot r!} \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} f(a) B_r\left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_r(\cdot)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials.⁶ □

In practice, the $\{Z_{\ell,a}^r\}$ will be obtained from a single cycle $Z_\ell^r = Z_{\ell,1}^r$ by Galois conjugation. For $r = 2$, we already have this from Examples 2.1 and 3.1.

Now $CH^r(k, 2r-1) = CH^r(k, 2r-1)_{\text{tors}} \iff r$ is even and k is totally real. In particular, assuming Prop. 5.2's hypothesis, we obtain generators of $CH^{2n}(k, 4n-1)$ as follows:

- $k = \mathbb{Q}$, any n : $\tau(Z_1^{2n}) = \frac{|B_{2n}|}{4n} (= \frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{240}, \dots)$;
- $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $n = 1, 2$: $\tau(Z_{8,1}^{2n} + Z_{8,7}^{2n}) = \frac{11}{48}, \frac{1313}{480}$;
- $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, $n = 1$: $\tau(Z_1^2 + Z_{5,1}^2 + Z_{5,4}^2) = \frac{7}{120}$.

For $CH^3(k, 5)_{\text{tors}}$ one computes for example

- $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$: $\tau(Z_{3,1}^3 - Z_{3,2}^3) = \frac{1}{9}$ and
- $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$: $\tau(Z_{5,1}^3 - Z_{5,4}^3) = \frac{2}{5}$,

which miss only the 2-torsion element from $CH^3(\mathbb{Q}, 5) \hookrightarrow CH^3(k, 5)$.

(So far we have no $N_{\mathbb{R}}^3(\mathbb{Q}, 5)$ representative for this element.)

⁶ $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$, $B_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$, $B_4(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 - \frac{1}{30}$, etc.

It remains to construct the cycles of the Proposition for $r = 3, 4$. From [KY, §4.2], for $r = 3$ we have⁷

$$Z_\ell^3 := -2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, 1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2, t_1^\ell, t_2^\ell \right) \\ - \left(\frac{t}{t - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_\ell t}, \frac{(u - t^\ell)(u - t^{-\ell})}{(u - 1)^2}, t^\ell u, \frac{u}{t^\ell} \right)$$

which is normalized since all “boundaries” occur in the third coordinate. We have $\mathcal{R}(Z_\ell^3) = 2\text{Li}_3(\zeta_\ell)$ by [op. cit., Thm. 3.6], with only the first term contributing. (This gives in particular $\mathcal{R}(Z_1^3) = 2\text{Li}_3(1) = 2\zeta(3)$.)

For $r = 4$, the first construction in [KY, §4.3] would be in $N_{\mathbb{R}}^4(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell), 7)$, but there is an error in the computation of the boundary of the last component \mathcal{W}_2 : in fact, it is degenerate,⁸ and the cycle $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ is therefore not closed. A correct application of the strategy in [op. cit., §3.1] yields $Z_\ell^4 :=$

$$6 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, \frac{t_3}{t_3 - 1}, 1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2 t_3, t_1^\ell, t_2^\ell, t_3^\ell \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2}, \frac{(u - t_1^\ell)(u - t_2^\ell)(u - t_1^{-\ell} t_2^{-\ell})}{(u - 1)^3}, \frac{t_1^\ell}{u}, \frac{t_2^\ell}{u}, \frac{1}{ut_1^\ell t_2^\ell} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2}, \frac{(u - t_1^\ell)(u - t_2^\ell)}{(u - t_1^\ell t_2^\ell)(u - 1)}, \frac{t_1^\ell}{u}, \frac{t_2^\ell}{u}, \frac{u}{t_1^\ell t_2^\ell} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2}, \frac{(u - t_1^\ell)(u - t_1^{-\ell} t_2^{-\ell})}{(u - t_2^\ell)(u - 1)}, \frac{t_1^\ell}{u}, t_2^\ell u, \frac{1}{ut_1^\ell t_2^\ell} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \frac{t_2}{t_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2}, \frac{(u - t_2^\ell)(u - t_1^{-\ell} t_2^{-\ell})}{(u - t_1^{-\ell})(u - 1)}, t_1^\ell u, \frac{t_2^\ell}{u}, \frac{1}{t_1^\ell t_2^\ell u} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{(v - u)(v - u^{-1})}{(v - 1)^2}, \frac{t}{t - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta t}, \frac{(u - t^\ell)(u - t^{-\ell})}{(u - 1)^2}, v, \frac{t^\ell}{u}, \frac{1}{ut^\ell} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t}{t - 1}, \frac{(v - u)(v - u^{-1})}{(v - 1)^2}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta t}, \frac{(u - t^\ell)(u - t^{-\ell})}{(u - 1)^2}, \frac{t^\ell}{u}, v, \frac{1}{ut^\ell} \right) \\ + \left(\frac{t}{t - 1}, \frac{1}{1 - \zeta t}, \frac{(v - u)(v - u^{-1})}{(v - 1)^2}, \frac{(u - t^\ell)(u - t^{-\ell})}{(u - 1)^2}, \frac{t^\ell}{u}, \frac{1}{ut^\ell}, v \right),$$

⁷The components are parametrized by (t_1, t_2) and (t, u) respectively.

⁸i.e. belongs to $d^4(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell), 7)$, as can be seen by substituting $v = uw$.

which belongs to $\ker(\partial) \cap N_{\mathbb{R}}^4(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell), 7)$. Only the first term contributes to $\mathcal{R}(Z_\ell^4) = -6\ell^3 \int_{[0,1]^3} \log(1 - \zeta_\ell t_1 t_2 t_3) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \wedge \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \wedge \frac{dt_3}{t_3} = 6\ell^3 \text{Li}_4(\zeta_\ell)$, see [op. cit., §3.2].

Remark 5.3. An example of a cycle for which the log-branch perturbations *are* required for the integral regulator computation is $Z :=$

$$Z_- - Z_+ := \left(\left(\frac{z - \mathbf{i}}{z + \mathbf{i}} \right)^{-2}, \left(\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right)^{-2}, z^{-2} \right) - \left(\left(\frac{z - \mathbf{i}}{z + \mathbf{i}} \right)^2, \left(\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right)^2, z^2 \right)$$

(parametrized by $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$) in $N^2(\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{i}), 3)$. Indeed, T_{z^2} [resp. $T_{\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right)^2}$, $T_{\left(\frac{z-\mathbf{i}}{z+\mathbf{i}}\right)^2}$] has support on $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ [resp. the unit circle S^1], so that the triple intersection (essentially $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \cap S^1 \cap S^1$) is nonempty. Though this cycle is *non-torsion*, we briefly describe the computation. After making the deformation, $T_{\left(\frac{z-\mathbf{i}}{z+\mathbf{i}}\right)^2}$ and $T_{\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right)^2}$ intersect twice with opposite orientations, at points near \mathbf{i} and $-\mathbf{i}$ with phase just greater than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ resp. $\frac{3\pi}{2}$. Since $\epsilon_3 \rightarrow 0$ much faster than ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 , in the limit the

$$2\pi\mathbf{i} \int_{Z_+} \log^{\epsilon_3}(z^2) \delta_{T^{\epsilon_1} \left(\frac{z-\mathbf{i}}{z+\mathbf{i}}\right)^2 \cap T^{\epsilon_2} \left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right)^2}$$

term of $\frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \int_{Z_+} R_3$ contributes $2\pi\mathbf{i}(\pi\mathbf{i} - \pi\mathbf{i}) = 0$. The remaining term yields

$$(5.3) \quad 2 \int_{Z_+} \log^{\epsilon_2} \left(\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^2 \right) \frac{dz}{z} \delta_{T^{\epsilon_1} \left(\frac{z-\mathbf{i}}{z+\mathbf{i}}\right)^2},$$

where $T^{\epsilon_1} \left(\frac{z-\mathbf{i}}{z+\mathbf{i}}\right)^2$ consists of two paths from $-\mathbf{i}$ to \mathbf{i} , along which one checks that (in the limit) $\log^{\epsilon_2} \left(\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^2 \right) = 2 \log^{\epsilon_2}(1-z) - 2 \log^{\epsilon_2}(1+z)$; and so (5.3) becomes $8 \int_{-\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}} \log(1-z) \frac{dz}{z} - 8 \int_{-\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}} \log(1+z) \frac{dz}{z}$. Combining this with the portion from Z_- , we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}(Z) = 32\text{Li}_2(\mathbf{i}) - 32\text{Li}_2(-\mathbf{i}) = 64\mathbf{i}L(\chi_4, 2) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2).$$

6. LOCAL \mathbb{P}^2 REVISITED

For a reflexive polytope $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with polar polytope Δ° , the mirror of $K_{\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^\circ}}$ (“local $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^\circ}$ ”) can be identified with a family of $CH^2(\cdot, 2)$ -elements on a family of anticanonical (elliptic) curves in \mathbb{P}_Δ [DK1, §5].

As a second application of the integral regulator, we show how to apply it to compute the correct “torsion term” in the higher normal function associated to one of these families. That is, if $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}_t^1$ is smooth away from $\Sigma = \{0\} \cup \Sigma^*$, with fibers $E_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, and $\Xi \in CH^2(\mathcal{E} \setminus E_0, 2)$ has fiberwise restrictions $\xi_t \in CH^2(E_t, 2)$ ($t \notin \Sigma$), we shall compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_t &:= AJ^{2,2}(\xi_t) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}(E_t, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^1(E_t, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}\left(H_1(E_t, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}/(2\pi\mathbf{i})^2\mathbb{Z}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in a neighborhood of $t = 0$. Writing $\{\omega_t\}$ for a section of $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ vanishing at ∞ , the constant term in (a branch of) the resulting truncated higher normal function

$$\nu(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \langle \omega_t, \mathcal{R}_t \rangle$$

will play a role in forthcoming work of the first author with C. Doran on quantum curves.

To begin in a somewhat more general scenario, let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be any convex polytope with integer vertices $\{p_i = (a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ and interior integer points $\{(v_j, w_j)\}_{j=1}^g$. Define a multiparameter family

$$\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g, \quad C_{\underline{\lambda}} := \rho^{-1}(\underline{\lambda})$$

of (where smooth) genus g curves by taking the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{C}^* :=$


$$\{(x, y; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g) \mid 0 = \Phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(x, y) := \phi(x, y) - \sum_{j=1}^g \lambda_j x^{v_j} y^{w_j}\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{C}^g$$

in $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}^g$, where $\phi(x, y) := \sum_{(a,b) \in \partial\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} m_{a,b} x^a y^b$ is uniquely determined by requiring its edge polynomials to be powers of $(t+1)$. (If the edges of Δ have no interior points, then $\phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$.) The symbol $\{-x, -y\}$ represents a closed precycle $\Xi^* \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}^*, 2)$ parametrized (in $\mathcal{C}^* \times \square^2$) by $(x, y, \underline{\lambda}, -x, -y)_{(x,y,\underline{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{C}^*}$.

Lemma 6.1. *The class of Ξ^* in $CH^2(\mathcal{C}^*, 2)$ is the restriction of a class $\Xi \in CH^2(\mathcal{C}, 2)$.*

Proof. We need only check that the Tame symbol of $\{-x, -y\}|_{C_{\underline{\lambda}}^*} \in K_2^M(\mathbb{C}(C_{\underline{\lambda}}))$ is zero for general $\underline{\lambda}$. The symbol $\{-x, -y\}$ is invariant

under unimodular change of toric coordinates,⁹ so we may assume that (after shifting Δ by $(-a_m, -b_m)$ for some m) we have a picture

(6.1) 

where the bottom edge corresponds to the toric divisor at whose intersection with C_λ we wish to compute $\text{Tame}(\{-x, -y\}|_{C_\lambda^*}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since the edge polynomial is $(1+x)^c$, this intersection occurs at $(-1, 0)$, so the Tame symbol is 1. \square

Now set $\mathcal{R}_\lambda := AJ^{2,2}(\Xi|_{C_\lambda}) \in \text{Hom}(H_1(C_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$. Picking any vertex p_m of Δ , we can (via unimodular coordinate change) put it in the position (6.1). In the new coordinates (still denoted (x, y)), C_λ is cut out by an equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda &:= x^{-a_m} y^{-b_m} \Phi_\lambda(x, y) \\ &= (1+x)^{\kappa_m} + y \{ \Psi_m(x, y) - \sum_{j=1}^g \lambda_j x^{v_j - a_m} y^{w_j - b_m - 1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and acquires a node at $(0, 0)$ as $\lambda_\ell \rightarrow \infty$. (Note that ℓ is determined by m .) The corresponding vanishing cycle α_m has image $|x| = |y| = \epsilon$ under $H_1(C_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tube}} H_2(\mathbb{P}_\Delta \setminus C_\lambda)$ for large $|\lambda_\ell|$.

Proposition 6.2. *For $\mathbf{i}\lambda_\ell \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $|\lambda_\ell| \gg 0$, and $\lambda_{j \neq \ell}$ sufficiently small,¹⁰ we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\alpha_m) = 2\pi\mathbf{i}(-\log(\lambda_\ell) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} [\Psi_{\lambda, \ell}^k]_0) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2),$$

where $\Psi_{\lambda, \ell} := \frac{-1}{\lambda_\ell} (x^{-v_\ell} y^{-w_\ell} \Phi_\lambda + \lambda_\ell)$ and $[\cdot]_0$ takes the constant term in a Laurent polynomial.

Proof. We use the notation $R\{f_1, f_2\} = \log(f_1) \frac{df_1}{f_1} - 2\pi\mathbf{i} \log(f_2) \delta_{T_{f_1}}$ and $R\{f_1, f_2, f_3\} = \log(f_1) \frac{df_2}{f_2} \wedge \frac{df_3}{f_3} + 2\pi\mathbf{i} \log(f_2) \frac{df_3}{f_3} \delta_{T_{f_1}} + (2\pi\mathbf{i})^2 \log(f_3) \delta_{T_{f_1} \cap T_{f_2}}$

⁹that is, replacing x, y by $x^a y^b, x^c y^d$ with $ad - bc = 1$; the a_i, b_i, v_i, w_i are changed accordingly.

¹⁰e.g. if $c := |\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^2|$, then $|\lambda_\ell| > c\epsilon^{-2}$ and $|\lambda_{j \neq \ell}| < \frac{1}{c\epsilon^3}$ will do.

for R_2 and R_3 with f_i replacing z_i . Writing D for the bottom-edge divisor in (6.1), we have

$$\text{Tame}_D\{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda, -x, -y\} = \{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(x, 0), -x\} = \{(1+x)^c, -x\} (= 1).$$

So writing $\Gamma = \{|x| = \epsilon \geq |y|\}$ ($\implies \alpha_m = \Gamma \cap C_\lambda$) gives $\mathcal{R}_t(\alpha_m) =$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha_m} R\{-x, -y\} &= \int_\Gamma R\{-x, -y\} \cdot \delta_{C_\lambda} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma d[R\{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda, -x, -y\}] - \int_\Gamma R\{(1+x)^c, -x\} \cdot \delta_D \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Gamma} R\{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda, -x, -y\} - \int_{|x|=\epsilon}^{\nearrow 0} R\{(1+x)^c, -x\} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=|y|=\epsilon} R\{x^{-v_\ell} y^{-w_\ell} \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda, -x, -y\} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=|y|=\epsilon} R\{\lambda(1 - \Psi_{\lambda, \ell}), -x, -y\} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=|y|=\epsilon} \{\log(\lambda) + \log(1 - \Psi_{\lambda, \ell})\} \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= -2\pi i \log(\lambda) + 2\pi i \sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{|x|=|y|=\epsilon} \Psi_{\lambda, \ell}^k \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y} \end{aligned}$$

modulo $\mathbb{Z}(2)$. Here only the first term of R_3 enters since $T_{\lambda(1-\Psi)} \cap |x| = |y| = \epsilon$ is empty under the given assumptions. \square

Returning to the more specific scenario at the beginning of this section, if $g = 1$ and $\lambda_1 =: \lambda =: \frac{1}{t}$, then $\Phi_\lambda = \phi(x, y) - \lambda$ and $\Psi_{\lambda, 1} = t\phi(x, y)$, so that (writing \mathcal{R}_t instead of \mathcal{R}_λ), Prop. 6.2 yields:

Corollary 6.3. *If Δ is reflexive, then the α_m are all homologous ($=: \alpha$), and $\mathcal{R}_t(\alpha) \equiv_{\mathbb{Z}(2)} 2\pi i \left(\log(t) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{[\phi^k]_0}{k} \right)$ for t small in the right-half-plane.*

It remains to compute $\mathcal{R}_t(\beta)$ for a cycle β complementary to α (so that $\mathbb{Z}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = H_1(E_t, \mathbb{Z})$), which we shall do for the local \mathbb{P}^2 setting only: Δ the convex hull of $\{(1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, -1)\}$, and $\phi = x + y + x^{-1}y^{-1}$. Taking $t > 0$ small, write $(0 <) x_0(t) < x_-(t) < x_+(t) < \infty$ for the branch points of

$$E_{t(=\lambda^{-1})} : y^2 + (x - \lambda)y + x^{-1} = 0$$

over \mathbb{P}_x^1 , and $y^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{(\lambda - x) \pm \sqrt{(x - \lambda)^2 - 4x^{-1}}\}$. Then β [resp. α] is given by the difference of paths (on the two branches) between $x_0(t)$ and $x_-(t)$ [resp. $x_-(t)$ and $x_+(t)$].

Now $T_{-x} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{P}_x^1$, so taking the y^+ - [resp. y^- -] branch of β to run from x_0 to x_- [resp. x_- to x_0] in \mathfrak{H} [resp. $-\mathfrak{H}$], we have $\beta \cap T_{-x} = (x_0, y_0) \cup (x_-, y_-)$; moreover, $\log(-x) = \log(x) \mp i\pi$ on the y^\pm -branch of β . The upshot is that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta} R\{-x, -y\}|_{E_t} &= \int_{\beta} \log(-x) \frac{dy}{y} - 2\pi i \sum_{\beta \cap T_{-x}} \log(y) \\ &= - \int_{x_0(t)}^{x_-(t)} \log(x) d \log \left(\frac{y^+(x)}{y^-(x)} \right) \\ &= \int_{x_0(t)}^{x_-(t)} \log \left(\frac{y^+(x)}{y^-(x)} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \int_{x_0(t)}^{x_-(t)} \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \xi}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi}} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \frac{4t^2}{x(1-xt)^2}$. Writing for $\xi \in (0, 1)$

$$\log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \xi}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi}} \right) + \log \left(\frac{\xi}{4} \right) =: - \sum_{m \geq 1} \alpha_m \xi^m,$$

the above integral decomposes into

$$-2 \log(t) \int_{x_0}^{x_-} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{x_0}^{x_-} \log(x) \frac{dx}{x} + 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_-} \log(1-xt) \frac{dx}{x} - \sum_{m \geq 1} \alpha_m \int_{x_0}^{x_-} \xi^m \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Using the approximations $x_0 \simeq 4t^2(1+8t^3)$ and $x_- \simeq t^{-1}(1-2t^{\frac{3}{2}}-2t^3)$, a lengthy direct computation gives that

$$\mathcal{R}_t(\beta) = \frac{9}{2} \log^2(t) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(t \log(t)).$$

Let $\delta_t := t \frac{d}{dt}$. By a general result of [DK1], one knows that $\nabla_{\delta_t} \mathcal{R}_t = [\omega_t]$, where

$$\omega_t := \text{Res}_{E_t} \left(\frac{\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y}}{1 - t\phi(x, y)} \right)$$

has its periods $\omega_t(\gamma) := \int_{\gamma} \omega_t$ annihilated by the Picard-Fuchs operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \delta_t^2 - 27t^3(\delta_t + 1)(\delta_t + 2).$$

The regulator periods $\mathcal{R}_t(\gamma)$ are therefore killed by $\mathcal{L} \circ \delta_t$. Since $\mathcal{L}(\cdot) = 0$ is known to have basis of solutions

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^{3n} \\ \pi_2 &= 3 \log(t) \pi_1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n b_n t^{3n}\end{aligned}$$

with $a_n = \frac{(3n)!}{(n!)^3}$ and $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{3k+1} + \frac{3}{3k+2} - \frac{2}{k+1} \right)$, it now follows that (writing $B_n = b_n - \frac{1}{n}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_t(\alpha) &\equiv_{\mathbb{Z}(2)} 2\pi \mathbf{i} \left(\log(t) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{3n} t^{3n} \right) \\ \mathcal{R}_t(\beta) &\equiv_{\mathbb{Z}(2)} \frac{9}{2} \log^2(t) + 3 \log(t) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{3n} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n B_n}{n} t^{3n} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \\ \omega_t(\alpha) &= 2\pi \mathbf{i} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^{3n} \\ \omega_t(\beta) &= 9 \log(t) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^{3n} + 3 \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n b_n t^{3n}\end{aligned}$$

for $0 < |t| < \frac{1}{3}$. For the truncated normal function, this yields (modulo $\mathbb{Z}(2) \otimes \{\omega_t\text{-periods}\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(t) &= \langle \frac{\omega_t}{2\pi \mathbf{i}}, \mathcal{R}_t \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi \mathbf{i}} (\mathcal{R}_t(\alpha) \omega_t(\beta) - \mathcal{R}_t(\beta) \omega_t(\alpha)) \\ &= \frac{9}{2} \log^2(t) (1 + 6t^3) + 3 \log(t) (9t^3) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} + (3\pi^2 - 9)t^3 + \mathcal{O}(t^6 \log^2 t).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.4. This is closely related to computations in [Ho] and [MOY]; the main difference – and the salient result here – is the identification of $\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ as the correct torsion offset for our motivically defined ν .

REFERENCES

- [Be] A. Beilinson, *Higher regulators and values of L-functions*, J. Soviet Math. 30 (1985), 2036-2070.
- [Bl1] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. Math 61 (1986), 267-304.
- [Bl2] ———, *The moving lemma for higher Chow groups*, J. Alg. Geom. 3 (1994), 493-535.
- [Bl3] ———, *Algebraic cycles and the Beilinson conjectures*, Contemp. Math. 58 (1986), 65-79.

- [Bl4] ———, *Some notes on elementary properties of higher chow groups, including functoriality properties and cubical chow groups*, available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~bloch/publications.html>.
- [BKV1] S. Bloch, M. Kerr and P. Vanhove, *A Feynman integral via higher normal functions*, *Compositio Math.* 151 (2015), 2329-2375.
- [BKV2] ———, *Local mirror symmetry and the sunset Feynman integral*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 21 (2017), 1373-1453.
- [Bo1] A. Borel, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 7 (1974), 235-272.
- [Bo2] A. Borel, *Cohomologie de SL_n et valeurs de fonctions zeta aux points entiers*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 4 (1977), 613-636.
- [Bu] J. Burgos Gil, “The regulators of Beilinson and Borel”, CRM Monograph Series 15, AMS, Providence, 2002.
- [7K] P. del Angel, C. Doran, J. Iyer, M. Kerr, J. Lewis, S. Müller-Stach, and D. Patel, *Specialization of cycles and the K-theory elevator*, to appear in CNTP, available at arXiv:1704.04779.
- [DK1] C. Doran and M. Kerr, *Algebraic K-theory of toric hypersurfaces*, CNTP 5 (2011), 397-600.
- [DK2] ———, *Algebraic cycles and local quantum cohomology*, CNTP 8 (2014), 703-727.
- [Gr] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals II*, *Ann. Math.* 90 (1969), 496-541.
- [HW] C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel, “The norm residue theorem in motivic cohomology”, book preprint, available at <http://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~weibel/BK.pdf>.
- [Ho] S. Hosono, *Central charges, symplectic forms, and hypergeometric series in local mirror symmetry*, in “Mirror Symmetry V” (Lewis, Yau, Yui, eds.), pp. 405-440, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 38, 2006.
- [Ke1] M. Kerr, “Geometric construction of regulator currents with applications to algebraic cycles”, Princeton Univ. Ph.D. Thesis, 2003.
- [Ke2] ———, *A regulator formula for Milnor K-groups*, *K-Theory* 29 (2003), 175-210.
- [Ke3] ———, *Counting, sums, and series*, to appear in Alberta Summer Math. Inst. volume, available at <http://www.math.wustl.edu/~matkerr>.
- [KL] M. Kerr and J. Lewis, *The Abel-Jacobi map for higher Chow groups, II*, *Invent. Math.* 170 (2007), 355-420.
- [KLM] M. Kerr, J. Lewis, and S. Müller-Stach, *The Abel-Jacobi map for higher Chow groups*, *Compos. Math.* 142 (2006), 374-396.

- [KY] M. Kerr and Y. Yang, *An explicit basis for the rational higher Chow groups of abelian number fields*, Ann. K-theory 3 (2018), 173-191.
- [Le] M. Levine, *Bloch's higher Chow groups revisited*, in “K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992)”, Asterisque 226 (1994), pp. 235-320.
- [Li] M. Li, *Integral regulators on higher Chow complexes*, preprint, arXiv:1805.04646.
- [MOY] K. Mohri, Y. Onjo, and S.-K. Yang, *Closed sub-monodromy problems, local mirror symmetry and branes on orbifolds*, Rev. Math. Phys. 13 (2001), no. 6, 675-715.
- [Pe] O. Petras, *Functional equations of the dilogarithm in motivic cohomology*, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 2346-2368.
- [Vo] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher chow groups in any characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, Issue 7, 351-355.
- [We] C. Weibel, “The K-book: an introduction to algebraic K-theory”, AMS Grad. Studies in Math. v. 145, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS BOX 1146
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS
ST. LOUIS, MO 63130, USA

e-mail: matkerr@math.wustl.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
HEFEI, 230026, CHINA

e-mail: limuxi@ustc.edu.cn