

# On the Relation between Very Weak and Leray-Hopf Solutions to Navier-Stokes Equations

Giovanni P. Galdi \*

## Abstract

We prove a general result that implies that very weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations must be, in fact, Leray-Hopf solutions if only their initial data are (solenoidal) with finite kinetic energy.

## 1 Introduction

We are concerned with the three-dimensional Cauchy problem<sup>(1)</sup> for the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v &= \Delta v - \nabla p \\ \operatorname{div} v &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \quad (1.1)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where  $v : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow v(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the flow velocity field and  $p$  the associated pressure field.

We recall that, for a given  $v_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , a corresponding *Leray-Hopf solution* is a function  $v$  with the property<sup>(2)</sup>

$$v \in C_w([0, T]; L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{all } T > 0,$$

that solves (1.1) in a distributional sense, and satisfies the “energy inequality:”

$$\|v(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_2^2 \leq \|v_0\|_2^2, \quad \text{all } t \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_q$  denotes the  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm. The existence of such a solution for an arbitrarily prescribed  $v_0 \in L^2_\sigma$  is known since the seminal papers of Leray [18] and Hopf [14].

Alongside with these solutions, there are the so-called *very weak* (or *mild*) *solutions*, introduced, basically, in the pioneering work of Foias [8]. Their properties were first consistently investigated by Fabes *et al.* [4], and, more recently, by a number of authors, especially over the past two decades; see, e.g., [15, 1, 20, 9, 5, 2, 16, 17, 6, 7] and references therein. Precisely, a very weak solution is a field  $v$  such that

$$v \in L^r(0, T; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{2}{r} + \frac{3}{s} = 1, \quad s \in (3, \infty) \quad \text{or} \quad v \in C([0, T]; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad T > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

---

\*Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Pittsburgh, USA. email: galdi@pitt.edu. Work partially supported by NSF DMS Grant-1614011.

<sup>(1)</sup> We suppose, for simplicity, zero body force and, without loss of generality, take the kinematic viscosity coefficient to be 1.

<sup>(2)</sup>  $L^q_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is the subspace of the Lebesgue space  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  of divergence-free vector functions, and  $C_w$  denotes the class of weakly continuous functions. Other notations are standard, like  $H^{m,q}$ , for Sobolev spaces, with corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_{m,q}$ ,  $L^r(I; X)$ ,  $I$  real interval,  $X$  Banach space, for Bochner spaces, etc.

satisfying (1.1) in the following sense

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot (\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi + v \cdot \nabla \varphi) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0 \cdot \varphi(0) \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla \phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

for arbitrary  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_T := \{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]) : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}$ . Notice that, at the outset, very weak solutions do not possess any locally integrable derivative, and as a result, unlike Leray-Hopf's, may have infinite kinetic energy and overall dissipation. However, under appropriate functional hypotheses on the initial data  $v_0$ , they exist, and are unique and smooth at least in some time interval  $[0, T^*)$ , with  $T^* = \infty$  if the "size" of  $v_0$  is suitably restricted [15, 1, 6].

On the other hand, a classical result in the Navier-Stokes theory states that if a Leray-Hopf solution meets the requirement (1.3) (the so called *Prodi-Serrin-Ladyzhenskaya conditions*), it is then unique (in its class), smooth and obeys the *energy equality*, namely, (1.2) with the equality sign; e.g. [10]. Nevertheless, as is well known, the existence of a Leray-Hopf solution satisfying (1.3) is an outstanding open question.

Also motivated by the above considerations, several authors have investigated under which assumptions –besides the necessary condition  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^2$ – a very weak solution lies in the Leray-Hopf class. The first contribution goes back to Foias [8, Théorème 2], where the property is shown in case  $v$  satisfies (1.3)<sub>1</sub> but with a *strict* inequality sign and, in addition,  $v \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$ . Fabes *et al.* [4, Theorem (5.3)] assume (1.3)<sub>1</sub> with the supplemental condition  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^s$ . The question was successively reconsidered by Kato [15] and, later on, Giga [13]. In particular, in [13, Proposition 1] it is shown that if  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^3$ , every corresponding very weak solution  $v$  satisfying *both* conditions in (1.3), along with the further request  $t^{\frac{1}{r}} v \in BC([0, T]; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and  $t^{\frac{1}{r}} \|v(t)\|_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , must be also Leray-Hopf. Very recently, Farwig and Riechwald [7, Theorem 1] have reached the same conclusion, provided  $v_0$  is in an appropriate negative Sobolev space and  $v$  obeys (1.3)<sub>1</sub> along with the additional regularity property  $v \in L^4(0, T; L^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

Objective of this note is to show a general result for solutions to (1.4), which *implies, in particular, that every very weak solution is, in fact, Leray-Hopf provided only  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^2$* . Thus, a very weak solution will possess finite energy and overall dissipation in the time interval where it exists if only its initial energy is finite.

More precisely, we shall prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  satisfy (1.4) for some  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_T$ ,  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$ . Suppose that for all small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $v$  meets one of the following assumptions*

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^r(\delta, T; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad r := \frac{2s}{s-3}, \quad s \in (3, \infty), \\ v &\in C([\delta, T]; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

*and that  $v \rightarrow v_0$  weakly in  $L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $v$  is necessarily a Leray-Hopf solution. In addition,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|v(t) - v_0\|_2 = 0$ .*

From this theorem we can deduce a number of relevant consequences.

**Corollary 1.1** (Energy Equality) *Let  $v$  satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Then  $v$  obeys the energy equality (i.e. (1.2) with the equality sign) in the interval  $[0, T]$ .*

**Corollary 1.2** (Liouville-Type) *Let  $v$  satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1 with  $v_0 \equiv 0$ . Then  $v \equiv 0$  in  $[0, T]$ .*

**Corollary 1.3** (Uniqueness) *Let  $v$  obey (1.3). Then  $v$  is the only Leray–Hopf solution corresponding to  $v_0$ .*

**Corollary 1.4** (Regularity) *Let  $v$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T])$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1.1, given in Section 3, is based on ideas similar to those employed in [12] and is quite straightforward. In fact, it relies upon a simple duality argument, and a regularity result for solutions to a suitable linearization of the Navier-Stokes equations, presented in Section 2 (see Lemma 2.4).

## 2 Preparatory Results

Given a locally integrable  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$  we define

$$f_{(\eta)}(x, t) := \int_0^T j_\eta(t-s) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\eta(x-y) f(y, s) dy \right] ds,$$

where

$$j_\eta(\tau) := \eta^{-1} j(\tau/\eta), \quad k_\eta(\xi) := \eta^{-1} k(\xi/\eta), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with  $j \in C_0^\infty(-1, 1)$ , and  $k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We employ the usual notation  $(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \cdot g$ ,  $L^{p,q} := L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , and denote by  $q' := q/(q-1)$  the Hölder conjugate of  $q \in [1, \infty]$ . For  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$  we define the Banach space

$$\mathscr{W}^{p,q} := \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)) : u \in H^{1,p}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^p(0, T; H^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \right\}$$

with corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathscr{W}^{p,q}} := \left( \int_0^T (\|\partial_t u(t)\|_q^p + \|u(t)\|_{2,q}^p) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In the case  $q = p$ , we shall set  $\mathscr{W}^{q,q} \equiv \mathscr{W}^q$ . Finally, we put

$$\mathscr{W}_0^{p,q} := \{ \psi \in \mathscr{W}^{p,q}, \psi(T) = 0 \},$$

**Lemma 2.1** *For any  $p_i, q_i \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the space  $\mathcal{D}_T$  is dense in  $\mathscr{W}_0^{p_1, q_1} \cap \mathscr{W}_0^{p_2, q_2}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof can be achieved by an argument entirely analogous to that of [12, Lemma A.1], and therefore it will be omitted. □

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\alpha, w_1$  be a given pair of functions satisfying one of the following assumptions*

$$(i) \ \alpha, w_1 \in L^{r,s}, \quad r := \frac{2s}{s-3}, \quad s \in (3, \infty); \quad (ii) \ \alpha, w_1 \in L^{\infty,3},$$

and let  $w_2 \in L^{\infty,2}$ . Consider the linear forms

$$\mathcal{F}_i : \psi \in \mathscr{W}^{p,q} \mapsto \int_0^T (\alpha \cdot \nabla \psi, w_i) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then, there is a positive constant  $c$  depending, at most, on  $T$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_1| &\leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} \|w_1\|_{L^{r,s}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{W}^{r',s'}}, \quad \text{if } \alpha, w_1 \text{ satisfy (i) and } \psi \in \mathcal{W}^{r',s'}, \\ |\mathcal{F}_1| &\leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|w_1\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{W}^{3,\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \text{if } \alpha, w_1 \text{ satisfy (ii) and } \psi \in \mathcal{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_2| &\leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} \|w_2\|_{L^{\infty,2}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{W}^2}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ satisfies (i) and } \psi \in \mathcal{W}^2, \\ |\mathcal{F}_2| &\leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|w_2\|_{L^{\infty,2}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{W}^2}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ satisfy (ii) and } \psi \in \mathcal{W}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* By the Hölder inequality,

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} \|w_1\|_{L^{r,s}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-2}, \frac{s}{s-2}}}.$$

Since  $2(r-2)/r + 3(s-2)/s = 2/r' + 3/s' - 1$ , in the previous inequality we may use Lemma A.1 in the Appendix with  $p \equiv r'$ ,  $q \equiv s'$ , which entails (2.1)<sub>1</sub>. Moreover, by a further use of Hölder inequality,

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|w_1\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{1,3}},$$

so that (2.1)<sub>2</sub> follows from the latter and the general Sobolev inequality

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{\frac{3p}{3-p}} \leq c \|D^2\psi\|_p, \quad p \in [1, 3) \quad (2.3)$$

with the choice  $p = 3/2$ . Likewise,

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|w_2\|_{L^{\infty,2}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{1,6}},$$

and (2.2)<sub>2</sub> follows from the latter and (2.3) with  $p = 2$ . It remains to show (2.2)<sub>1</sub>. To this end, we observe that, by the Hölder inequality,

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} \|w_2\|_{L^{\infty,2}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{r', \frac{2s'}{2-s'}}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $s' \in (1, 3/2)$ , it follows  $2 < 2s'/(2-s') < 6$ , and so, by interpolation,

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{\frac{2s'}{2-s'}} \leq \|\nabla\psi\|_2^\theta \|\nabla\psi\|_6^{1-\theta}, \quad \theta = \theta(s') \in (0, 1).$$

Employing the continuous embedding  $\mathcal{W}^2 \subset C([0, T]; H^1)$  [19], the desired property is then a consequence of the latter inequality, (2.3) (with  $p = 2$ ), and (2.4).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$ . Then, for any  $v_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  there exists  $u \in C_w([0, T]; L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$  such that*

$$\int_0^T (u, \partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi + v \cdot \nabla \varphi) = -(v_0 \cdot \varphi(0)), \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_T$ . Moreover  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t) - v_0\|_2 = 0$ , and  $u$  satisfies (1.2) (with  $u \equiv v$ ).

*Proof.* The result is achieved in an entirely routine fashion, by employing the classical Galerkin method; see, e.g., the proof given in [10, Lemma 5.4].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $\alpha$  satisfy one of the following assumptions*

$$(i) \alpha \in L^{r,s}, \quad r := \frac{2s}{s-3}, \quad s \in (3, \infty); \quad (ii) \alpha \in C([0, T]; L^3),$$

and let  $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$ . Then, the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t \Psi + \alpha \cdot \nabla \Psi &= \Delta \Psi - \nabla \chi + F \\ \operatorname{div} \Psi &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Psi(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

has one (and only one) solution  $(\Psi, \chi)$  with

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{W}^{r',s'} \cap \mathcal{W}^2, \quad \nabla \chi \in L^{r',s'} \cap L^{2,2}, \quad \text{in case (i)}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{W}^{\frac{3}{2}} \cap \mathcal{W}^2, \quad \nabla \chi \in L^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} \cap L^{2,2}, \quad \text{in case (ii)}. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* We begin to consider the following regularized version of (2.6)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t \Psi + \alpha_{(\eta)} \cdot \nabla \Psi &= \Delta \Psi - \nabla \chi + F \\ \operatorname{div} \Psi &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Psi(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$  is dense in  $L^{r',s'}$ , for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may write  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  where

$$\|\alpha_1\|_{L^{r,s}} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\alpha_2\|_{L^\infty, \infty} \leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, for  $\lambda > 0$  let

$$\zeta = e^{-\lambda t} \Psi, \quad \rho = e^{-\lambda t} \chi, \quad G = e^{-\lambda t} F. \quad (2.11)$$

As a consequence, problem (2.9) becomes

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t \zeta + \lambda \zeta + (\alpha_{1(\eta)} + \alpha_{2(\eta)}) \cdot \nabla \zeta &= \Delta \zeta - \nabla \rho + G \\ \operatorname{div} \zeta &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \quad (2.12)$$

$$\zeta(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

The existence of a solution  $\zeta$  in the class  $\mathcal{W}^{r',s'}$  can be established for  $\lambda$  sufficiently large, by a simple perturbation argument around the solution to the problem obtained by formally setting  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv 0$  in (2.12). To show this, let

$$\mathcal{F} := (\alpha_{1(\eta)} + \alpha_{2(\eta)}) \cdot \nabla \bar{\zeta} + G, \quad \bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{W}^{r',s'}, \quad (2.13)$$

so that (2.12) can be written as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t \zeta + \lambda \zeta &= \Delta \zeta - \nabla \rho + \mathcal{F} \\ \operatorname{div} \zeta &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\zeta(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

By well known results (e.g. [3, Theorem 5.5]) one infers that (2.14) has one and only one solution  $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}^{r',s'}$ ,  $\nabla\rho \in L^{r',s'}$  such that

$$\int_0^T (\|\partial_\tau \zeta(\tau)\|_{s'}^{r'} + \lambda^{r'} \|\zeta(\tau)\|_{s'}^{r'} + \|D^2 \zeta(\tau)\|_{s'}^{r'}) \leq c \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(\tau)\|_{s'}^{r'},$$

with  $c$  independent of  $\lambda$ . Thus, by choosing  $\lambda \geq 2$  (say) along with classical interpolation inequalities, from the last displayed equation we deduce

$$\|\zeta\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}} + \lambda \|\zeta\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq c \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^{r',s'}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Next, by Hölder inequality,

$$\|\alpha_{1(\eta)} \cdot \nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq \|\alpha_{1(\eta)}\|_{L^{r,s}} \|\nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-2}, \frac{s}{s-2}}} \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{L^{r,s}} \|\nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{r-2}, \frac{s}{s-2}}}.$$

Since  $2(r-2)/r + 3(s-2)/s = 2/r' + 3/s' - 1$ , we may use in the previous inequality the embedding Lemma A.1 with  $p \equiv r'$ ,  $q \equiv s'$  along with (2.10) to show

$$\|\alpha_{1(\eta)} \cdot \nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq c\varepsilon \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Furthermore, again by (2.10), we infer

$$\|\alpha_{2(\eta)} \cdot \nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq \|\alpha_{2(\eta)}\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}} \|\nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} \|\nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}},$$

and so, using in this relation the Ehrling inequality

$$\|\nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{s'} \leq \varepsilon \|D^2 \bar{\zeta}\|_{s'} + c_\varepsilon \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{s'}$$

we conclude

$$\|\alpha_{2(\eta)} \cdot \nabla \bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}} [\varepsilon \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}} + (\frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda}) \lambda \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{r',s'}}]. \quad (2.17)$$

Consider now the map  $M : \bar{\zeta} \in W^{r',s'} \mapsto \zeta \in W^{r',s'}$  with  $\zeta$  solving (2.14), and endow  $W^{r',s'}$  with the (equivalent) norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}} + \lambda \|\cdot\|_{L^{r',s'}}$ . From (2.13), (2.15)–(2.17), by taking  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small and  $\lambda$  sufficiently large compared to  $\|\alpha\|_{L^{r,s}}$ , we show at once that  $M$  possesses a fixed point that solves (2.12), and, in addition, satisfies the estimate

$$\|\zeta\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}} + \lambda \|\zeta\|_{L^{r',s'}} \leq c \|G\|_{L^{r',s'}},$$

with  $c$  independent of  $\eta$ . As a result, in view of (2.11) we obtain that problem (2.9) has a solution  $(\Psi_\eta, \chi_\eta)$  with  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^{r',s'}$ ,  $\nabla \chi_\eta \in L^{r',s'}$  that obeys the following estimate, uniformly in  $\eta$ ,

$$\|\Psi_\eta\|_{\mathscr{W}^{r',s'}} \leq c \|F\|_{L^{r',s'}}. \quad (2.18)$$

We now show by a simple boot-strap argument that, in fact,  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^2$ . Since, by Lemma A.1,  $\nabla \Psi_\eta \in L^{\frac{r}{r-2}, \frac{s}{s-2}}$  and  $\alpha_{(\eta)} \in L^{\infty,\infty}$  we deduce by classical existence and uniqueness theory for problem (2.9) (e.g. [11, Theorem VIII.4.1 and Lemma VIII.4.2]) that  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^{\frac{r}{r-2}, \frac{s}{s-2}}$ . By Lemma A.1, this property entails  $\nabla \Psi_\eta \in L^{2, \frac{4}{3}}$  which, in turn, ensures  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^{2, \frac{4}{3}}$ . Again by Lemma A.1, the latter furnishes  $\nabla \Psi_\eta \in L^{4,2}$  which, finally, implies  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^2$ . With this information in hand, it is then a routine task (see, e.g., [10,

Lemma 5.4]) to show that  $\Psi_\eta$  is bounded in  $\mathscr{W}^2$ , uniformly in  $\eta$ . We will thus only sketch the proof. By testing (2.9), in the order, with  $\Psi_\eta$ ,  $\Delta\Psi_\eta$ , and  $\partial_t\Psi_\eta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 &= (F, \Psi_\eta) \\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \|\Delta\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 &= (\alpha_{(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\Psi_\eta - F, \Delta\Psi) \\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 &= -(\alpha_{(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\Psi_\eta - F, \partial_t\Psi_\eta).\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

By Hölder and Sobolev inequalities and the property of mollifiers, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can show (see [10, eq. (5.10)])

$$(\alpha_{(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\Psi_\eta, V) \leq c_\varepsilon \|\alpha\|_s^r \|\nabla\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \varepsilon (\|\Delta\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \|V\|_2^2), \quad V := \Delta\Psi_\eta, \quad -\partial_t\Psi_\eta.\tag{2.20}$$

Therefore, combining (2.19), (2.20) and using also Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, with the help of Gronwall's lemma we conclude

$$\|\Psi_\eta\|_{\mathscr{W}^2} \leq c \|F\|_2,\tag{2.21}$$

with  $c$  independent of  $\eta$ . The desired existence result in case (i) then follows by letting  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  (along a sequence) in (2.9), and using the uniform estimates (2.18) and (2.21). In the case (ii), we again start from the modified system (2.12) where, this time,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are chosen with the property

$$\|\alpha_1\|_{L^{\infty,3}} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\alpha_2\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}} \leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}}.\tag{2.22}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of case (i), we show that problem (2.14) has a solution  $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}$  such that

$$\|\zeta\|_{\mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \lambda \|\zeta\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}}.\tag{2.23}$$

with  $\mathcal{F}$  as in (2.13). Now, for given  $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}$ , by Hölder inequality we get

$$\|\alpha_{1(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \|\alpha_{1(\eta)}\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|\nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},3}} \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \|\nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},3}}.$$

As a result, since  $\|\nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_3 \leq c \|D^2\bar{\zeta}\|_{\frac{3}{2}}$  (see (2.3) with  $p = 3/2$ ), thanks to (2.22) we may deduce

$$\|\alpha_{1(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c \varepsilon \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{\mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}}.\tag{2.24}$$

Likewise, by an argument entirely analogous to that leading to (2.17), which now uses (2.22), we show

$$\|\alpha_{2(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c \|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty,3}} \left[ \varepsilon \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{\mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \left(\frac{c_\varepsilon}{\lambda}\right) \lambda \|\bar{\zeta}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \right].\tag{2.25}$$

Employing (2.23)–(2.25) and a fixed-point procedure as in the proof of case (i), we conclude that (2.12) has a solution  $(\Psi_\eta, \chi_\eta)$  with  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}$ ,  $\nabla\chi_\eta \in L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}$  that obeys the following estimate, uniformly in  $\eta$

$$\|\Psi_\eta\|_{\mathscr{W}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c \|F\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}}.\tag{2.26}$$

Next, from Lemma A.1 with  $p = q = 3/2$  it follows  $\nabla\Psi_\eta \in L^{\frac{12}{5},2}$ , and so, since  $\alpha_{(\eta)} \in L^{\infty,\infty}$ , by existence and uniqueness theory for problem (2.9) (e.g. [11, Theorem VIII.4.1 and Lemma VIII.4.2]) we get  $\Psi_\eta \in \mathscr{W}^2$ . Once this property has been established, we can proceed exactly as in the proof of case (i) to obtain the uniform bound (2.21), also for the case at hand. To this end, it suffices to replace (2.20) with the following one (see [10, Lemma 5.3])

$$(\alpha_{(\eta)} \cdot \nabla\Psi_\eta, V) \leq c_\varepsilon \|\alpha\|_3^2 \|\nabla\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \varepsilon (\|\Delta\Psi_\eta\|_2^2 + \|V\|_2^2), \quad V := \Delta\Psi_\eta, \quad -\partial_t\Psi_\eta.$$

The proof then is achieved by letting  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  (along a sequence) in (2.9) and using the uniform estimates (2.26) and (2.21). □

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and its Corollaries

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We shall only detail the proof in the case (1.5)<sub>1</sub>, since the case (1.5)<sub>2</sub> is treated in an entirely similar way. Let  $\chi_\beta = \chi_\beta(t)$ ,  $3\beta \in (0, T)$  be a smooth non-negative function of  $t$  such that  $\chi_\beta(t) = 1$  if  $t \geq 3\beta$ , and  $= 0$ , if  $t \in [0, 2\beta]$ , with  $|\chi'_\beta(t)| \leq c/\beta$ . Replacing  $\varphi$  with  $\chi_\delta \varphi$  in both (1.4) and (2.5), and setting  $w = v - u$ , with  $u$  given in Lemma 2.3, we obtain for arbitrary  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_T$ ,

$$\int_{2\delta}^T (\chi_\delta w, \partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi + \chi_{\frac{2\delta}{3}} v \cdot \nabla \varphi) = - \int_{2\delta}^{3\delta} \chi'_\delta(w, \varphi), \quad (3.1)$$

where we also have used  $\chi_\delta(t)\chi_{\frac{2\delta}{3}}(t) = \chi_\delta(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus,  $\chi_\delta w \in L^{r,s} + L^{\infty,2}$  and  $\chi_{\frac{2\delta}{3}} v \in L^{r,s}$ . As a result, from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we can readily show that (3.1) leads to the following one

$$\int_{2\delta}^T (\chi_\delta w, \partial_t \psi + \Delta \psi + \chi_{\frac{2\delta}{3}} v \cdot \nabla \psi) = - \int_{2\delta}^{3\delta} \chi'_\delta(w, \psi), \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{W}_0^{r',s'} \cap \mathcal{W}_0^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $\psi(x, t) := \Psi(x, T - t)$ , where  $\Psi$  is the solution to (2.6) constructed in Lemma 2.4 with  $\alpha(x, t) := -(\chi_{\frac{2\delta}{3}} v)(x, T - t)$  and  $F(x, t) := -f(x, T - t)$ ,  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$ , with  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$ . By that lemma it then follows that  $\psi$  is in the class  $\mathcal{W}_0^{r',s'} \cap \mathcal{W}_0^2$ , and can be thus used as test function in (3.2). Therefore, also with the help of (2.6)<sub>1</sub>, we conclude

$$\int_{2\delta}^T (\chi_\delta w, f) = - \int_{2\delta}^{3\delta} \chi'_\delta(w, \psi). \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{2\delta}^T (\chi_\delta w, f) = \int_0^T (w, f). \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, since by assumption and Lemma 2.3,  $w(t) \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and, by Lemma 2.4 and the continuous embedding  $\mathcal{W}^2 \subset C([0, T]; H^1)$ ,  $\psi(t) \rightarrow \psi(T)$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , recalling that  $\chi'_\delta \sim \delta^{-1}$ , we also have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{2\delta}^{3\delta} \chi'_\delta(w, \psi) = 0.$$

From the latter, (3.3) and (3.4) we infer

$$\int_0^T (w, f) = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)),$$

which implies  $w \equiv 0$ , namely,  $v \equiv u$ . The theorem is therefore completely proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* From Theorem 1.1 and classical results (e.g. [23, Theorem 5]) we show, for all sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\|v(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_\delta^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_2^2 = \|v(\delta)\|_2^2, \quad \text{all } t \geq \delta, \quad (3.5)$$

and the result follows by letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in the latter and, again, using Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* It is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.1.  $\square$



*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* It follows from Theorem 1.1 and classical results concerning the uniqueness of Leray-Hopf solutions; see, e.g. [10, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 7.2].  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* Under the assumption  $(1.5)_1$ , the result follows from Theorem 1.1 and [21, Theorem 2]. We will give a straightforward proof that covers both conditions in (1.5). By Theorem 1.1 we have, in particular, that  $v$  is a Leray-Hopf solution on  $[\delta, T]$ , which, by assumption satisfies one of the properties in (1.5). This then implies  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (\delta, T])$  (see, e.g., [10, Theorem 5.2]). Since  $\delta (> 0)$  is arbitrary, the result is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1** It is likely that Theorem 1.1 may continue to hold in the borderline situation  $v \in L^\infty$ .<sup>3</sup> However, it is not clear whether the method presented here would work in that case.

**Remark 3.2** It is easy to check that the proof of the key Lemma 2.4 and, therefore, of Theorem 1.1, continues to be valid also in space dimension  $n = 4$ . However, if  $n \geq 5$  some of the embedding inequalities used in that lemma no longer hold, thus leaving the validity of Theorem 1.1 open in such a case.

## Appendix

**Lemma A.1** *If  $u \in \mathscr{W}^{p,q}$ , then*

$$\nabla u \in L^{p_1, q_1}, \quad \frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{3}{q_1} = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} - 1. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

*Proof.* The proof can be obtained by an argument similar to that used in [24, Theorem 2.1]. More precisely, we start with the classical representation of  $u \in \mathscr{W}^{p,q}$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) = & \int_{t-1}^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Gamma_1(x-y, t-\tau) (u_\tau - \Delta u)(y, \tau) dy \right) d\tau \\ & + \int_{t-1}^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Gamma_2(x-y, t-\tau) u(y, \tau) dy \right) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $\Gamma_1(x, t) = \Gamma(x, t)\psi(|x|)\psi(t)$ , while

$$\Gamma_2(x, t) = 2\psi(t)\nabla\Gamma(x, t) \cdot \nabla\psi(|x|) + \Gamma(x, t) (\psi(t)\Delta\psi(|x|) - \psi'(t)\psi(|x|)),$$

with

$$\Gamma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth non-negative function such that  $\psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi(\xi) = 0$  if  $\xi \geq 1$  and  $\psi(\xi) = 1$  if  $\xi \leq 1/2$ . By using in (A.2) the generalized Minkowski inequality followed by Young's inequality for convolutions we get

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{q_1} \leq \int_{t-1}^t (\|\nabla\Gamma_1(t-\tau)\|_\sigma + \|\nabla\Gamma_2(t-\tau)\|_\sigma) (\|u_\tau(\tau)\|_q + \|u(\tau)\|_{2,q}) d\tau,$$

where  $q_1 \geq q$ , and  $1/\sigma = 1 + 1/q_1 - 1/q$ . As a result, taking into account that (as shown by an easy calculation that uses (A.3) and the properties of the function  $\psi$ )

$$|\nabla\Gamma_i(\xi, s)| \leq \frac{c_1}{(|\xi|^2 + s)^2}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we find

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{q_1} \leq c_2 \int_{t-1}^t \frac{\|u_\tau(\tau)\|_q + \|u(\tau)\|_{2,q}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q_1})+\frac{1}{2}}} d\tau, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

with  $c_2$  independent of  $t$ . The property stated in (A.1) then follows by employing in (A.4) the Hardy-Littlewood inequality. □

## References

- [1] Amann, H., On the strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **2** (2000), 16–98
- [2] Berselli, L.C., and Galdi, G.P., On the space-time regularity of  $C(0, T; L^n)$ -very weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **58** (2004), 703–717
- [3] Denk, R., Hieber, M., Prüss, J., R-boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **166** (2003), no. 788
- [4] Fabes, E.B., Jones, B.F., and Rivière, N.M., The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in  $L^p$ , *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **45** (1972), 222–240
- [5] Farwig, R., Galdi, G.P., and Sohr, H., A new class of weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with nonhomogeneous data. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **8** (2006), 423–444
- [6] Farwig, R., Kozono, H. and Sohr, H., Very weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains with nonhomogeneous data. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **59** (2007), 127–150
- [7] Farwig, R., and Riechwald, P.F., Regularity criteria for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system in general unbounded domains. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **9** (2016), 157–172.
- [8] Foias, C., Une remarque sur l’unicité des solutions des équations de Navier–Stokes en dimension  $n$ . *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **89** (1961), 1–8
- [9] Furioli, G., Lemarié-Rieusset, P.-G., and Terraneo, E., Unicité dans  $L^3(R^3)$  et d’autres espaces fonctionnels limites pour Navier-Stokes. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **16** (2000), 605–667
- [10] Galdi, G.P., An introduction to the Navier-Stokes initial-boundary value problem. Fundamental directions in mathematical fluid mechanics, 1-70, *Adv. Math. Fluid Mech.*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000
- [11] Galdi, G.P., An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations. Steady-state problems. Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. *Springer, New York*, 2011
- [12] Galdi, G.P., On the energy equality for distributional solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press
- [13] Giga, Y., Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$  and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. *J. Differential Equations*, **62** (1986), 186–212
- [14] Hopf, E., Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. *Math. Nachr.* **4**, (1951), 213–231

- [15] Kato, T., Strong  $L^p$ -solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in  $R^m$ , with applications to weak solutions, *Math. Z.* **187** (1984), 471–480
- [16] Kukavica, I., Pressure integrability conditions for uniqueness of mild solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. *J. Differential Equations.* **223** (2006), 427–441
- [17] Lemarié-Rieusset, P.-G., Une remarque sur l’analyticité des solutions milds des équations de Navier–Stokes dans  $R^3$ . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **330** (2000), 183–186
- [18] Leray, J., Sur le mouvements d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace, *Acta Math.*, **63** (1934), 193–248
- [19] Lions, J.L., Espaces intermédiaires entre espaces hilbertiens et applications, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. R. P. Roumaine* (N.S.) **2** (1958), 419–432
- [20] Lions, P.-L., and Masmoudi, N., Uniqueness of mild solutions of the Navier-Stokes system in  $L^N$ , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* **26** (2001), 2211–2226
- [21] Maremonti, P., A note on Prodi–Serrin conditions for the regularity of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **20** (2018), 379–392
- [22] Prodi, G., Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **48** (1959), 173–182
- [23] Serrin, J., The initial value problem for the Navier–Stokes equations, in: *Nonlinear problems*, Univ. Wisconsin Press, Madison (R. E. Langer, ed.) (1963), 69–98
- [24] Solonnikov, V.A., Estimates of the solutions of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes system. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.* (LOMI) **38** (1973), 153–231