

ON THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF SEVERI VARIETIES ON $K3$ SURFACES

C. CILIBERTO AND TH. DEDIEU

ABSTRACT. Let (S, L) be a polarized $K3$ surface of genus $p \geq 11$ such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and δ a non-negative integer. We prove that if $p \geq 4\delta - 3$, then the Severi variety of δ -nodal curves in $|L|$ is irreducible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a polarized surface (S, L) and an integer $\delta \geq 0$, the *Severi variety* $V^{L, \delta}$ is the parameter space for irreducible, δ -nodal curves in the linear system $|L|$ (see § 2.1). This text is dedicated to the proof of the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let (S, L) be a primitively polarized $K3$ surface of genus $p \geq 11$ such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and δ a non-negative integer such that $4\delta - 3 \leq p$. The Severi variety $V^{L, \delta}$ is irreducible.*

It had already been proven by Keilen [14] that in the situation of Theorem 1, for all integer $k \geq 1$ the Severi variety $V^{kL, \delta}$ is irreducible if

$$\delta < \frac{6(2p-2)+8}{(11(2p-2)+12)^2} \cdot k^2 \cdot (2p-2)^2 \quad \left(\sim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{12}{121} \cdot k^2 \cdot p \right),$$

and later by Kemeny [15] that the same holds if $\delta \leq \frac{1}{6}(2+k(p-1))$. Our result is valid only in the case $k=1$, i.e., for curves in the *primitive class*, but in this case our condition is better. In a slightly different direction, we have proven some time ago in [6] that the *universal families* of the $V^{L, \delta}$'s are irreducible for all δ ($\delta=p$ included) if $3 \leq p \leq 11$ and $p \neq 10$.

Kemeny's result is based on the observation that for any smooth polarized surface (S, L) , the Severi variety $V^{L, \delta}$ is somehow trivially irreducible if L is $(3\delta-1)$ -very ample: Indeed, in this case the curves in $|L|$ with nodes at p_1, \dots, p_δ form a dense subset of a projective space of constant dimension for *any* set of pairwise distinct points p_1, \dots, p_δ . Kemeny then applies a numerical criterion for n -very ampleness on $K3$ surfaces due to Knutsen [16].

The central idea of the present article is close in spirit to Kemeny's observation, to the effect that provided $\dim |L| \geq 3\delta$, the curves in $|L|$ with nodes at p_1, \dots, p_δ should form in nice circumstances a dense subset of a projective space of constant dimension for a *general* choice of δ pairwise disjoint points. It is indeed so for curves in the primitive class of a $K3$ surface, thanks to a result of Chiantini and the first-named author, see Proposition 14. One thus gets a distinguished irreducible component of the Severi variety $V^{L, \delta}$ which we call its *standard component*. For any other irreducible component V , the nodes of the members of V sweep out a locus of positive codimension h_V in the Hilbert scheme $S^{[\delta]}$, see Section 3; we call h_V the excess of V .

Our applications then rely on the observation that, in the $K3$ situation of Theorem 1, for all $C \in V$ the preimage of the nodes defines a linear series of type $g_{2\delta}^h$ on the normalisation of C (see Lemma 20), together with some recent results in [7] and [17] (Theorems 9 and 8 respectively) which give some control on the families of linear series that may exist on the normalisations of primitive curves on $K3$ surfaces. The latter results hold only for curves in the primitive class, and this is the main obstruction to carry out our approach in the non-primitive situation.

One may for instance give a two-lines proof of irreducibility in the range $p \geq 5\delta - 3$, as follows. Assume by contradiction that there is a non-standard irreducible component V of the Severi variety $V^{L, \delta}$. Then for all $C \in V$ the normalisation of C has a $g_{2\delta}^1$. By [17] this implies $\dim(V) = p - \delta \leq 4\delta - 2$, which is impossible in the range under consideration.

We obtain the better bound in Theorem 1 by proving the estimate $h_V > 2$ for all non-standard components of $V^{L,\delta}$. This is done in Section 4 by a careful study of the singularities of curves in the intersection of the standard component with a hypothetical non-standard component, which we are again able to control thanks to Brill–Noether theoretic results for singular curves on $K3$ surfaces.

This work originates from the Oberwolfach Mini-Workshop: Singular Curves on $K3$ Surfaces and Hyperkähler Manifolds. We thank all the participants for the friendly atmosphere and stimulating discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Severi varieties. We work over \mathbf{C} throughout the text. We denote by \mathcal{K}_p the irreducible, 19-dimensional stack of primitively polarized $K3$ surfaces (S, L) of genus $p \geq 2$, i.e., S is a compact, complex surface with $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ and $\omega_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, and L a big and nef, primitive line bundle on S with $L^2 = 2p - 2$, hence $\dim(|L|) = p$. The *arithmetic genus* of the curves $C \in |L|$ is $p_a(C) = p$.

In this paper we will often assume that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, which is the case if $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ is very general, so that L is globally generated and ample, and very ample if $p \geq 3$.

For any non-negative integer $g \leq p$, we consider the locally closed subset V_g^L of $|L|$ consisting of curves $C \in |L|$ of *geometric genus* $p_g(C) = g$, i.e., curves C whose normalization has genus g (see [8, § 1.2]). We will set $\delta = p - g$, which is usually called the δ -invariant of the curve.

Proposition 2 (see [8, Proposition 4.5]). *Every irreducible component of V_g^L has dimension g .*

For every non-negative integer $\delta \leq p$, we will denote by $V^{L,\delta}$ the *Severi variety*, i.e., the locally closed subset of $|L|$ consisting of curves with δ nodes and no other singularities, whose geometric genus is $g = p - \delta$. The following is classical:

Proposition 3 (see [8, §3–4]). *The Severi variety $V^{L,\delta}$, if not empty, is smooth and pure of dimension g . More precisely, if $C \in V^{L,\delta}$, and Δ is the set of nodes of C , then the projective tangent space to $V^{L,\delta}$ at C in $|L|$ is the g -dimensional linear system $|L(-\Delta)| := \mathbf{P}(H^0(S, L \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Delta,S}))$ of curves in $|L|$ containing Δ .*

It is indeed true that the Severi varieties of a general primitively polarized $K3$ surface are non-empty.

Proposition 4 (see [3]). *If $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ is general, then $V^{L,\delta}$ is not empty for every non-negative integer $\delta \leq p$.*

By Propositions 2 and 3, each irreducible component of $V^{L,\delta}$ is dense in a component of V_g^L . Xi Chen [4] has shown that moreover if $g > 0$, then $V^{L,\delta}$ is dense in V_g^L for general $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$. We shall need the following weaker result, in which however the generality assumption is explicit.¹

Proposition 5 ([8, Proposition 4.8]). *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ be such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$. If $2\delta < p$, then $V^{L,\delta}$ is dense in V_g^L .*

2.2. Local structure of Severi varieties. The following is a restatement of the well-known fact that the nodes of a nodal curve on a $K3$ surface may be smoothed independently. It is a consequence of Proposition 3.

Proposition 6. *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$, $\delta < \varepsilon$ be two non-negative integers, and V be an irreducible component of $V^{L,\varepsilon}$. Consider a curve $C \in V$, and let $\{p_1, \dots, p_\varepsilon\}$ be the set of its nodes. Then:*

- (i) *the Zariski closure $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ of $V^{L,\delta}$ contains V ;*
- (ii) *locally around C , $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ consists of $\binom{\varepsilon}{\delta}$ analytic sheets $\mathcal{V}_\mathfrak{d}$, which are in 1 : 1 correspondence with the subsets $\mathfrak{d} \subset \{p_1, \dots, p_\varepsilon\}$ of order δ , and such that when the general point C' of $\mathcal{V}_\mathfrak{d}$ specializes at C , the set of δ nodes of C' specializes at \mathfrak{d} ;*
- (iii) *for each such \mathfrak{d} , the sheet $\mathcal{V}_\mathfrak{d}$ is smooth at C of dimension $p - \delta$, relatively transverse to all other similar sheets.²*

¹Actually, the assumption in [8, Proposition 4.8] is that (S, L) be very general; it is straightforward to check that the condition $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$ is indeed sufficient for the proof in [8].

²in the sense that for all \mathfrak{d}' of cardinality δ , the sheets $\mathcal{V}_\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{d}'}$ intersect exactly along the local sheet $V_{\mathfrak{d} \cup \mathfrak{d}'}$ of $\overline{V}^{L,|\mathfrak{d} \cup \mathfrak{d}'|}$ at C , and their respective tangent spaces at C intersect exactly along the tangent space of $V_{\mathfrak{d} \cup \mathfrak{d}'}$ at C .

As an immediate consequence, we have:

Corollary 7. *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ and let V, V' be irreducible components of $V^{L, \delta}$ and $V^{L, \delta'}$, with $\delta \leq \delta'$. If V' intersects the Zariski closure \overline{V} of V , then $V' \subset \overline{V}$.*

2.3. Brill–Noether theory of curves on K3 surfaces. We will use the following results.

Theorem 8 ([17, Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.6]). *Let (S, L) be such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and $V \subset V_g^L$ a non-empty reduced scheme. Let k be a positive integer. Assume that for all $C \in V$, there exists a g_k^1 on the normalisation \tilde{C} of C . Then one has*

$$\dim(V) + \dim(G_k^1(\tilde{C})) \leq 2k - 2$$

for general $C \in V$.

Theorem 9 ([7, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ be such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and $C \in V_g^L$; let $\delta = p - g$. Let r, d be nonnegative integers. If there exists a g_d^r on the normalization of C , then*

$$\delta \geq \alpha(rg - (d - r)(\alpha r + 1)), \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha = \left\lfloor \frac{gr + (d - r)(r - 1)}{2r(d - r)} \right\rfloor.$$

Theorem 10 ([18, 11, 1, 10]). *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ be such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and $C \in |L|$. The Clifford index of C , computed with sections of rank one torsion free sheaves on C (see [8, p. 202] or [1]), equals $\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$.*

3. STANDARD COMPONENTS

3.1. The nodal map. Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$. For any positive integer n , we denote by $S^{[n]}$ the Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional subschemes of S of length n . Recall that $S^{[n]}$ is smooth of dimension $2n$ (see [9]).

Consider the morphism

$$\varphi_{L, \delta} : V^{L, \delta} \longrightarrow S^{[\delta]},$$

called the *nodal map*, which maps a curve $C \in V^{L, \delta}$ to the scheme Δ of its nodes, indeed 0-dimensional of length δ . We set $\Phi_{L, \delta} := \text{Im}(\varphi_{L, \delta})$. If V is an irreducible component of $V^{L, \delta}$, we set

$$\varphi_V := \varphi_{L, \delta}|_V \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_V := \text{Im}(\varphi_V).$$

Let Δ be a general point in Φ_V . Then $\varphi_V^{-1}(\Delta)$ is an open subset of the linear system $|L(-2\Delta)| := \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{H}^0(S, L \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Delta, S}^2))$ of curves in $|L|$ singular at Δ . We set

$$\dim(|L(-2\Delta)|) = p - 3\delta + h_V,$$

which defines the non-negative integer h_V , called the *excess* of V . By Proposition 3, one has

$$(1) \quad \dim(\Phi_V) = 2\delta - h_V.$$

The following is immediate:

Lemma 11. *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$, and let V_1, V_2 be two distinct irreducible components of $V^{L, \delta}$. Then Φ_{V_1} and Φ_{V_2} have distinct Zariski closures in $S^{[\delta]}$.*

3.2. A useful lemma. Let $C \in |L|$ be a reduced curve, and consider the conductor ideal $A \subset \mathcal{O}_C$ of the normalization $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. There exists a divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$ on \tilde{C} such that $A = \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta})$, and one has $\omega_{\tilde{C}} = \nu^* \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta})$. It is a classical result that $\nu^* |L \otimes A| = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}|$, see [8, Lemma 3.1]. The same argument proves that $\nu^* |L \otimes A^{\otimes 2}| = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta})|$.

Consider the particular case when C has ordinary cusps p_1, \dots, p_k and nodes p_{k+1}, \dots, p_δ as its only singularities. Denote by $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \tilde{C}$ the respective preimages of $p_1, \dots, p_k \in C$ by the normalisation ν , abusing notations, and by p'_i and p''_i the two preimages of p_i for $i = k + 1, \dots, \delta$. Then A is the product of the maximal ideals of p_1, \dots, p_δ , i.e., $A = \mathcal{I}_{\Delta, S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ with $\Delta = \{p_1, \dots, p_\delta\}$, and

$$\tilde{\Delta} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k p_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\delta} (p'_i + p''_i).$$

The previous identity $\nu^* |L \otimes A^{\otimes 2}| = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta})|$ readily implies the following.

Lemma 12. *Let j be the closed immersion $C \hookrightarrow S$. One has*

$$(j \circ \nu)^*(|L(-2\Delta)|) = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta})|,$$

and therefore $\dim(|L(-2\Delta)|) = h^0(\omega_{\tilde{C}}(-\tilde{\Delta}))$.

3.3. Standard components. Let V be an irreducible component of $V^{L,\delta}$. We call V *standard* if $h_V = 0$. If V is standard and $\Delta \in \Phi_V$ is general, then

$$0 \leq \dim(\varphi_V^{-1}(\Delta)) = \dim(|L(-2\Delta)|) = p - 3\delta,$$

hence $p \geq 3\delta$. Moreover if V is standard, then $\dim(\Phi_V) = 2\delta$, hence Φ_V is dense in $S^{[\delta]}$. We will prove in Proposition 16 below that if $p \geq 3\delta$ and if $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, then there is a unique standard component of $V^{L,\delta}$. To do this, we need to recall some basic fact from [5].

Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be an irreducible, n -dimensional, non-degenerate, projective variety. Let \mathcal{H} be the linear system cut out on Y by the hyperplanes of \mathbf{P}^N , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{P}(\text{Im}(r)) \quad \text{where} \quad r : \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1))$$

is the restriction map. Let k be a non-negative integer. The variety Y is said to be *k -weakly defective* if given $p_0, \dots, p_k \in Y$ general points, the general element of $\mathcal{H}(-2p_0 - \dots - 2p_k)$ has a positive dimensional singular locus, where $\mathcal{H}(-2p_0 - \dots - 2p_k)$ denotes the linear system of divisors in \mathcal{H} singular at p_0, \dots, p_k .

Proposition 13 ([5, Theorem 1.4]). *Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be an irreducible, n -dimensional, non-degenerate, projective variety. Let k be a non-negative integer such that $N \geq (n+1)(k+1)$. If Y is not k -weakly defective, then given p_0, \dots, p_k general points on Y , one has:*

(i) $\dim(\mathcal{H}(-2p_0 - \dots - 2p_k)) = N - (n+1)(k+1)$;

(ii) the general divisor $H \in \mathcal{H}(-2p_0 - \dots - 2p_k)$ has ordinary double points at p_0, \dots, p_k , i.e., double points with tangent cone of maximal rank n , and no other singularity.

In [5, Theorem 1.3] one finds the classification of k -weakly defective surfaces. After an inspection which we leave to the reader, one sees that:

Proposition 14. *Let $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ be such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and assume $p \geq 3$. Consider S embedded in \mathbf{P}^p via the morphism determined by $|L|$. Then S is not k -weakly defective for any non-negative integer k .*

We can therefore apply Proposition 13 and conclude that:

Proposition 15. *Maintain the assumptions of Proposition 14, and let δ be a non-negative integer such that $3\delta \leq p$. Then given $\Delta \in S^{[\delta]}$ general, one has $\dim(|L(-2\Delta)|) = p - 3\delta$ and the general curve in $|L(-2\Delta)|$ has nodes at Δ and no other singularities.*

As a consequence we have:

Proposition 16. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 15, there is a unique standard component $V_{\text{st}}^{L,\delta}$ of $V^{L,\delta}$, which is the unique irreducible component V of $V^{L,\delta}$ such that $\varphi_V : V \rightarrow S^{[\delta]}$ is dominant.*

Proof. Proposition 15 implies that there is a standard component V of $V^{L,\delta}$ such that $\varphi_V : V \rightarrow S^{[\delta]}$ is dominant. By Lemma 11, it is the unique standard component. \square

4. A LOWER BOUND ON THE EXCESS

This section is entirely devoted to the proof of the following:

Proposition 17. *Let $p \geq 11$ and $\delta > 1$, $(p, \delta) \neq (12, 4)$, be integers such that $3\delta \leq p$. We consider $(S, L) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$. For all non-standard component V of $V^{L,\delta}$, one has $h_V \geq 3$.*

Let V be a non-standard component of $V^{L,\delta}$ as above. One has $h_V > 0$ by definition, and we shall proceed by contradiction to show that h_V may neither equal 1 nor 2.

4.1. Proof that $h_V \neq 1$. In the setup of Proposition 17, we assume by contradiction that $h_V = 1$. Then the closure of Φ_V is an irreducible divisor in $S^{[\delta]}$. Let $\Delta \in \Phi_V$ be a general point. It can be seen as the limit of a general 1-dimensional family $\{\Delta_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{D}}$, where \mathbf{D} is a complex disk, and Δ_t is general in $S^{[\delta]}$ for $t \neq 0$. In particular, we may assume $\dim(\varphi_{L,\delta}^{-1}(\Delta_t)) = p - 3\delta$ for $t \in \mathbf{D} - \{0\}$. We define the limit \mathcal{L}_Δ of $\varphi_{L,\delta}^{-1}(\Delta_t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ as the fibre over $0 \in \mathbf{D}$ of the closure of $\bigcup_{t \neq 0}(\varphi_{L,\delta}^{-1}(\Delta_t))$ inside $|L| \times \mathbf{D}$. Then:

- (i) \mathcal{L}_Δ is a $(p - 3\delta)$ -dimensional sublinear system of $|L(-2\Delta)|$;
- (ii) \mathcal{L}_Δ is contained in $\overline{V} \cap \overline{V}_{\text{st}}^{L,\delta}$;
- (iii) since $V^{L,\delta}$ is smooth, by (ii) the general curve in \mathcal{L}_Δ does not belong to $V^{L,\delta}$, i.e., it has singularities worse than only nodes at the points of Δ ;
- (iv) as Δ moves in a suitable dense open subset U of Φ_V , the union $\bigcup_{\Delta \in U} \mathcal{L}_\Delta$ describes a locally closed subset of dimension

$$\dim(\Phi_V) + (p - 3\delta) = (2\delta - 1) + (p - 3\delta) = g - 1,$$

which is dense in an irreducible component W of $\overline{V} \cap \overline{V}_{\text{st}}^{L,\delta}$, where $g = p - \delta$ as usual.

Let C be the general curve in W , which belongs to \mathcal{L}_Δ for some general $\Delta \in \Phi_V$. By (i) and (iii) above, C is singular at Δ but it is not δ -nodal. By Proposition 2 one has $p_g(C) \geq g - 1$, hence $g - 1 \leq p_g(C) \leq g$. We will show that each of these two possible values leads to a contradiction, thus proving that $h_V \neq 1$.

4.1.1. Case $p_g(C) = g - 1$. Since $\dim(W) = g - 1$, it follows from Proposition 5 that W is dense in the closure of a component of $V^{L,\delta+1}$, i.e., C is a $(\delta + 1)$ -nodal curve, with only one extra node $p_{\delta+1} \notin \Delta$. By Proposition 6, locally around C there is only one smooth branch \mathcal{V} of $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ containing W and such that when the general point of \tilde{C} of \mathcal{V} specializes at C , then set of δ nodes of \tilde{C} specializes at Δ . This is a contradiction, because both \overline{V} and $\overline{V}_{\text{st}}^{L,\delta}$ contain W . Therefore, it is impossible that $p_g(C) = g - 1$.

4.1.2. Case $p_g(C) = g$. Since C is singular at $\Delta = p_1 + \dots + p_\delta$, it is singular only there, and has only nodes and (simple) cusps (with local equation $x^2 = y^3$); it must have at least one cusp by (iii).

Claim 18. C has only one cusp.

Proof of the Claim. Suppose that C has cusps at p_1, \dots, p_k and nodes at p_{k+1}, \dots, p_δ , with $k \geq 1$. The tangent space to the equisingular deformations of C in S is $H^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$, where \mathcal{I} is the ideal sheaf associated to the *equisingular ideal* (see [8, § 3]) $I = \prod_{i=1}^{\delta} I_{p_i}$, where:

- $I_{p_i} = (x, y^2)$, if the local equation of C around p_i is $x^2 = y^3$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$;
- I_{p_i} is the maximal ideal at p_i , for $i = k + 1, \dots, \delta$.

Let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization. We abuse notation and denote by p_1, \dots, p_k their counterimages by ν , whereas we denote by p'_i and p''_i the two points of \tilde{C} in the preimage of p_i by ν , for $i = k + 1, \dots, \delta$. By pulling back by ν the sections of $H^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$ and dividing by sections vanishing at the fixed divisor $2 \sum_{i=1}^k p_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\delta} (p'_i + p''_i)$ (see [8, §3.3]), we find an isomorphism

$$\nu^*: H^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \cong H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - \dots - p_k)),$$

hence

$$(2) \quad h^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - \dots - p_k)) = h^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \geq \dim(W) = g - 1.$$

This implies that the points p_1, \dots, p_k are all identified by the canonical map of \tilde{C} , which is possible only if either $k = 1$, or $k = 2$ and $\dim(|p_1 + p_2|) = 1$. We now prove that \tilde{C} may not be hyperelliptic, hence the latter case does not occur.

By Theorem 8, if \tilde{C} is hyperelliptic then $\dim(W) = g - 1 \leq 2$. This contradicts our assumptions that $3\delta \leq p$ and $p \geq 11$: indeed, as $g = p - \delta$ they imply that $g > 3$. Hence the only possibility left is that $k = 1$, which proves the claim. \square

Note moreover that since $k = 1$, equality holds in (2).

Let $N_{C/S} \cong L|_C$ be the normal bundle of C in S . We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N'_{C/S} \rightarrow N_{C/S} \rightarrow T_C^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_{p_1}^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\delta} \mathcal{O}_{p_i} \rightarrow 0$$

where $N'_{C/S}$ is the *equisingular normal sheaf* of C in S , and one has $N'_{C/S} \cong N_{C/S} \otimes \mathcal{I}$. So $H^0(C, N'_{C/S}) = H^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$ is the tangent space to the equisingular deformations of C in S .

We have $h^0(C, N_{C/S}) = p$ and, as we saw, $h^0(C, N'_{C/S}) = g - 1 = p - \delta - 1$. Thus the map

$$(3) \quad H^0(C, N_{C/S}) \rightarrow T_C^1$$

is surjective, and $H^1(C, N'_{C/S}) \cong H^1(C, N_{C/S}) \cong \mathbf{C}$. Moreover the obstruction space to deformations of C in S , contained in $H^1(C, N_{C/S})$, is zero as is well-known (see, e.g., [8, § 4.2]). This implies that, locally around C , $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ is the product of the equigeneric deformation spaces inside the versal deformation spaces of the singularities of C . By looking at the versal deformation space of a cusp (see, e.g., [12, p. 98]), we deduce that $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ has a double point at C with a single cuspidal sheet. This is a contradiction, because we assumed that both \overline{V} and $\overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$ contain C . This contradiction proves that $p_g(C) = g$ cannot occur.

In conclusion we have proved that if $h_V = 1$ then $p_g(C)$ equals either $g - 1$ or g , but both these possibilities lead to contradictions, hence $h_V \neq 1$.

4.2. Proof that $h_V \neq 2$. Still in the setup of Proposition 17, we now assume by contradiction that $h_V = 2$. Then $\dim(\Phi_V) = 2\delta - 2$. Let $\Delta \in \Phi_V$ be a general point. Again Δ can be seen as the limit of general 1-dimensional families $\{\Delta_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{D}}$, where \mathbf{D} is a disk, and Δ_t is general in $S^{[\delta]}$ for $t \neq 0$. We consider the closure \mathcal{L}_Δ of the union of all $(p - 3\delta)$ -dimensional sublinear systems $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varphi_{L,\delta}^{-1}(\Delta_t)) \subset |L(-2\Delta)|$ as $\{\Delta_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{D}}$ varies among all families as above. Similarly to the case $h_V = 1$, we have:

- (i) \mathcal{L}_Δ is contained in $\overline{V} \cap \overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{L}_\Delta) = p - 3\delta + \varepsilon$, with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$;
- (ii) the general curve in \mathcal{L}_Δ is singular at Δ but has singularities worse than only nodes at the points of Δ ;
- (iii) as Δ moves in a suitable dense open subset U of Φ_V , the union $\bigcup_{\Delta \in U} \mathcal{L}_\Delta$ describes a locally closed subset of dimension

$$\dim(\Phi_V) + \dim(\mathcal{L}_\Delta) = g - 2 + \varepsilon,$$

which is dense in an irreducible component W of $\overline{V} \cap \overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$.

If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $\dim(W) = g - 1$ and the discussion goes as in the case $h_V = 1$. So we assume $\varepsilon = 0$, hence $\dim(W) = g - 2$. Let C be the general curve in W . By Proposition 2, we have $g - 2 \leq p_g(C) \leq g$. We will prove that this cannot happen, thus proving that $h_V \neq 2$. The proof parallels the one for $h_V \neq 1$.

4.2.1. Case $p_g(C) = g - 2$. By Proposition 5, C is a $(\delta + 2)$ -nodal curve, with two extra nodes $p_{\delta+1}, p_{\delta+2} \notin \Delta$ and W is dense in the closure of a component of $V^{L,\delta+2}$. By Proposition 6, locally around C there is only one smooth branch \mathcal{V} of $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ containing W and such that when the general point of C' of \mathcal{V} specializes at C , then set of δ nodes of C' specializes at Δ . This is a contradiction, because both \overline{V} and $\overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$ contain W . Hence $p_g(C) = g - 2$ cannot happen.

4.2.2. Case $p_g(C) = g - 1$. In this case we have the two following disjoint possibilities for C :

- (a) C has precisely one more singularity p_0 besides the ones in Δ ;
- (b) C has no singularities besides the ones in Δ , either an ordinary tacnode or a ramphoid cusp (with local equation $x^2 = y^{4+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 respectively) at one of the points of Δ , and nodes or ordinary cusps at the other points of Δ .

Subcase (a). The points p_0, \dots, p_δ are either nodes or cusps. Arguing as for Claim 18, we see that at most one of these points can be a cusp.

If C is $(\delta + 1)$ -nodal, then W sits in an irreducible component of $\overline{V}^{L,\delta+1}$, and we get a contradiction as in the proof of case $p_g(C) = g - 1$ for $h_V = 1$.

If C is δ -nodal and 1-cuspidal, then again the map (3) is surjective and the deformation space of C is locally the product of the versal deformation spaces at p_0, \dots, p_δ . We then have the two following possibilities.

If p_0 is a node, then W sits in a $(g - 1)$ -dimensional irreducible variety W' parametrizing curves which are $(\delta - 1)$ -nodal and 1-cuspidal, such that when the general member of W' tends to C , its singularities tend to Δ . Moreover the map (3) is surjective for the general member of W' . Then W' should be contained in both \overline{V} and $\overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$. On the other hand, as usual by now, $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ should be unbranched along W' , a contradiction.

If p_0 is the cusp, then W sits in a $(g-1)$ -dimensional irreducible component W' of $\overline{V}^{L,\delta+1}$, such that when the general member of W' tends to C , its singularities tend to p_0, \dots, p_δ . By Corollary 7, W' should be contained in both \overline{V} and $\overline{V}_{\text{st}}^{L,\delta}$, leading again to a contradiction.

Subcase (b). Suppose the tacnode or ramphoid cusp is located at p_1 , that p_2, \dots, p_k are cusps, and p_{k+1}, \dots, p_δ are nodes: one has $1 \leq k \leq \delta$, and $k = 1$ (resp. δ) means that there is no cusp (resp. no node). If C has local equation $x^2 = y^{4+\varepsilon}$ around p_1 , then the equisingular ideal I_{p_1} at p_1 is $(x, y^{3+\varepsilon})$ (see [8, §3]). As usual set $I = \prod_{i=1}^{\delta} I_{p_i}$ and let \mathcal{I} be the corresponding ideal sheaf.

We have

$$(4) \quad h^0(C, N'_{C/S}) = h^0(C, N_{C/S} \otimes \mathcal{I}) \geq \dim(W) = g - 2.$$

Now we can look at $H^0(C, N'_{C/S})$ as defining a linear series of *generalized divisors* on the singular curve C (see [13] and [8, §3.4]). Then $N'_{C/S} = N_{C/S} \otimes \mathcal{I} \cong \omega_C(-E)$ where E is the effective generalized divisor on C defined by the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} and (4) reads

$$(5) \quad h^0(C, \omega_C(-E)) \geq g - 2.$$

The subscheme of C defined by \mathcal{I} has length $3 + \varepsilon$ at the tacnode, length 2 at each cusp and length 1 at the nodes, so that

$$\deg(E) = 3 + \varepsilon + 2(k-1) + \delta - k = \delta + k + 1 + \varepsilon.$$

By Riemann–Roch and Serre duality [13, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4], one has

$$(6) \quad h^0(C, \omega_C(-E)) = h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) - \deg(E) + p - 1 = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) + g - k - 2 - \varepsilon.$$

Next we argue as in the proof of [8, Prop. 4.8]. If $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) < 2$, then by (5) we have $g \leq 3$, which contradicts our assumptions that $3\delta \leq p$ and $\delta > 1$. If on the other hand $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) < 2$, then by (5) and (6) we have

$$g - 2 \leq h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) \leq g - k - 1 - \varepsilon,$$

hence $\varepsilon = 0$ and $k = 1$, i.e., the singularities of C are precisely one ordinary tacnode and $\delta - 1$ nodes. There is then equality in both (4) and (5), hence once more (3) is surjective and the deformation space of C is locally the product of the versal deformation spaces at p_1, \dots, p_δ . By looking at the versal deformation space of a tacnode (see [2, p. 181]) we see that W is contained in $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ which should be unbranched along W , a contradiction.

So one has necessarily that $h^i(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) \geq 2$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then, since $\text{Cliff}(C) = \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$ by Theorem 10, one has

$$p + 1 - h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) - h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) = \deg(E) - 2h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) + 2 \geq \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$$

hence

$$g - 2 \leq h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) \leq p + 1 - \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor - h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E)) \leq p - 1 - \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{p-1}{2} \rceil.$$

Plugging in the inequality $3\delta \leq p$, one finds

$$(7) \quad \frac{2}{3}p - 2 \leq p - \delta - 2 = g - 2 \leq \lceil \frac{p-1}{2} \rceil \leq \frac{p}{2}$$

which implies $p \leq 12$, hence $p = 11$ or 12 . Case $p = 11$ is impossible by (7), since there is no integer between the two extremes in (7). If $p = 12$, then (7) implies $g = 8$, hence $\delta = 4$, which is excluded by assumption. Hence subcase (b) is impossible. This concludes the proof that $p_g(C) \neq g - 1$.

4.2.3. *Case $p_g(C) = g$.* As in the case $h_V = 1$, C is singular only at $\Delta = p_1 + \dots + p_\delta$, having only nodes and simple cusps, and it must have at least one cusp.

Claim 19. C has at most two cusps.

Proof of the Claim. The proof goes as the one of Claim 18, from which we keep the notation. If C has cusps at p_1, \dots, p_k , we have

$$(8) \quad h^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - \dots - p_k)) \geq \dim(W) = g - 2.$$

We argue by contradiction and assume $k \geq 3$. As in the proof of Claim 18, we see that \tilde{C} is not hyperelliptic: this would imply by Theorem 8 that $g - 2 = \dim(W) \leq 2$, hence $p = 6$ and $g = 4$; but in this case $\delta = 2$ and since $k \leq \delta$ we are out of the range $k \geq 3$.

The only other possibility is that \tilde{C} is trigonal, $k = 3$, and $\dim(|p_1 + p_2 + p_3|) = 1$. In this case, one would have $g - 2 = \dim(W) \leq 4$ by Theorem 8, which together with the inequality $p \geq 3\delta$ implies that $p \leq 9$: This is in contradiction with our assumptions. It is thus impossible that $k \geq 3$, and the Claim is proved. \square

By Claim 19, we have only the following two mutually disjoint possibilities:

- (a) C has precisely one cusp at p_1 , and $h^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1)) = g - 1 > g - 2 = \dim(W)$;
- (b) C has precisely two cusps at p_1 and p_2 , and $h^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - p_2)) = g - 2 = \dim(W)$.

Subcase (a). We have $h^0(C, N'_{C/S}) = h^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1)) = g - 1$, hence the map (3) is surjective. This implies as in the case $h_V = 1$ and $p_g = g$ that W is contained in a subvariety W' of dimension $g - 1$ contained in $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$, whose general point corresponds to a curve which has $\delta - 1$ nodes and one cusp, and, as in the proof of case $h_V = 1$, $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ is unbranched locally at any point of W' corresponding to such a curve for which the map (3) is surjective. This contradicts the fact that W is an irreducible component of $\overline{V} \cap \overline{V}_{st}^{L,\delta}$.

Subcase (b). In this case W is dense in the equisingular deformation locus of C and again the map (3) is surjective. This again implies that $\overline{V}^{L,\delta}$ is unbranched locally around C , which leads to a contradiction.

This concludes the proof that $h_V \neq 2$, hence also the proof of Proposition 17.

5. PROOF OF IRREDUCIBILITY IF $p > 4\delta - 4$

In this section we conclude the proof of Theorem 1. So let (S, L) be a primitively polarized $K3$ surface of genus $p \geq 11$ such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbf{Z}[L]$, and δ be a non-negative integer such that $4\delta - 3 \leq p$.

These assumptions imply that $p \geq 3\delta$, so that the notion of standard component makes sense, and the Severi variety $V^{L,\delta}$ has a unique standard component by Proposition 16. We assume by contradiction that $V^{L,\delta}$ is not irreducible: this means that there exists a non-standard component V of the Severi variety $V^{L,\delta}$, and we shall see this contradicts the inequality $p > 4\delta - 4$.

Let $h = h_V$. If $\delta \leq 1$, then Theorem 1 is trivial; else we're in the range of application of Proposition 17 (note that the case $(p, \delta) = (12, 4)$ is excluded by the hypothesis $p \geq 4\delta - 3$), hence $h \geq 3$.

Consider a general member $C \in V$, and let $\Delta = \{p_1, \dots, p_\delta\}$ be the set of its nodes. Let $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization map, and for all $i = 1, \dots, \delta$, p'_i and p''_i the two antecedents of p_i by ν . We consider the divisor $\tilde{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} (p'_i + p''_i)$ on \tilde{C} .

Lemma 20. *The complete linear series $|\tilde{\Delta}|$ is a $g_{2\delta}^h$.*

Proof. One has $h^1(\tilde{\Delta}) = p - 3\delta + h$ by Lemma 12, and then the result follows from the Riemann–Roch formula. \square

Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1. We maintain the above setup. We first apply Theorem 9: Let $g = p - \delta$ denote the geometric genus of C , and set

$$\alpha = \left\lfloor \frac{gh + (2\delta - h)(h - 1)}{2h(2\delta - h)} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{g}{2(2\delta - h)} + \frac{h - 1}{2h} \right\rfloor;$$

the existence of a $g_{2\delta}^h$ on \tilde{C} implies the inequality

$$(9) \quad \alpha h g + \alpha h(\alpha h + 1) \leq \delta(2\alpha^2 h + 2\alpha + 1).$$

Let us also apply Theorem 8: The existence of a $g_{2\delta}^h$ on \tilde{C} induces the existence of a family of dimension $2(h-1)$ of $g_{2\delta}^1$'s on \tilde{C} , parametrizing the lines in the $g_{2\delta}^h$, so it holds that

$$\dim(V) + \dim(G_{2\delta}^1(\tilde{C})) \geq g + 2(h-1),$$

which implies by Theorem 8 that

$$(10) \quad g \leq 2(2\delta - h).$$

Inequality (10) implies that

$$\alpha = \left\lfloor \frac{g}{2(2\delta - h)} + \frac{h-1}{2h} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1.$$

Let us now show by contradiction that $\alpha = 1$. If $\alpha \leq 0$, then

$$\frac{gh + (2\delta - h)(h-1)}{2h(2\delta - h)} < 1 \iff g < (2\delta - h)\left(1 + \frac{1}{h}\right) \iff p < \delta\left(3 + \frac{2}{h}\right) - h - 1;$$

plugging in the inequality $h \geq 3$, we get that $\alpha \leq 0$ implies $p < \frac{11}{3}\delta - 4$, in contradiction with our assumption that $p > 4\delta - 4$. Hence $\alpha = 1$.

Therefore, (9) gives the inequalities

$$hg + h(h+1) \leq \delta(2h+3) \iff p \leq \delta\left(3 + \frac{3}{h}\right) - h - 1.$$

Taking into account the fact that $h \geq 3$, this implies that $p \leq 4\delta - 4$. In conclusion, the existence of a non-standard component of $V^{L,\delta}$ is in contradiction with the inequality $p > 4\delta - 4$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Ballico, C. Fontanari, L. Tasin, *Singular curves on K3 surfaces*, Sarajevo J. Math. **6** (19), no. 2, (2010), 165–168.
- [2] L. Caporaso, J. Harris, *Parameter spaces for curves on surfaces and enumeration of rational curves*, Compositio Mathematica **113**, (1998), 155–208.
- [3] X. Chen, *Rational curves on K3 surfaces*, J. Alg. Geom. **8** (2), (1999), 245–278.
- [4] X. Chen, *Nodal curves on K3 surfaces*, prepublication, arXiv:1611.07423.
- [5] L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, *Weakly defective varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (1) (2001), 151–178.
- [6] C. Ciliberto, Th. Dedieu, *On universal Severi varieties of low genus K3 surfaces*, Math. Z. **271** (2012), 953–960.
- [7] C. Ciliberto, A. L. Knutsen, *On k-gonal loci in Severi varieties on general K3 surfaces and rational curves on hyperkähler manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **101** (2014), 473–494.
- [8] Th. Dedieu, E. Sernesi, *Equigeneric and equisingular families of curves on surfaces*, Publ. Mat. **61** (2017), 175–212.
- [9] J. Fogarty, *Algebraic Families on an Algebraic Surface*, Amer. J. of Math. **90** (2) (1968), 511–521.
- [10] T. Gomez, *Brill-Noether theory on singular curves and torsion-free sheaves on surfaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **9** (2001), no. 4, 725–756.
- [11] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, *Special divisors on curves on a K3 surface*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), 357–370.
- [12] J. Harris, I. Morrison, *Moduli of curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 1998.
- [13] R. Hartshorne, *Generalized divisors on Gorenstein curves and a theorem of Noether*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986), 375–386.
- [14] T. Keilen, *Irreducibility of equisingular families of curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (9) (2003), 3485–3512.
- [15] M. Kemeny, *The Universal Severi Variety of Rational Curves on K3 Surfaces*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (1) (2013), 159–174.
- [16] A. L. Knutsen, *On kth-order embeddings of K3 surfaces and Enriques surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **104** (2001), 211–237.
- [17] A. L. Knutsen, M. Lelli-Chiesa, G. Mongardi, *Severi varieties and Brill–Noether theory of curves on abelian surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math., Ahead of Print DOI 10.1515 / crelle-2016-0029
- [18] R. Lazarsfeld, *Brill–Noether–Petri without degenerations*, J. Differential Geometry **23** (1986), 299–307.
- [19] E. Sernesi, *Deformation of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der math. Wissensch., **334**, Springer Verlag, 2006.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA “TOR VERGATA”, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA,
00133 ROMA, ITALY

E-mail address: `cilibert@mat.uniroma2.it`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE ; UMR5219. UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE ; CNRS. UPS IMT, F-31062
TOULOUSE CEDEX 9, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `thomas.dedieu@math.univ-toulouse.fr`

The authors were members of project FOSICAV, which has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 652782. The first author has been supported by the Italian MIUR Project PRIN 2010–2011 “Geometria delle varietà algebriche” and by GNSAGA of INdAM; he also acknowledges the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, CUP E83C18000100006.