

Central limit theorem near the critical temperature for the overlap in the 2-spin spherical SK model

Vu Lan Nguyen* Philippe Soso[†]

September 12, 2018

Abstract

We prove a central limit theorem for the normalized overlap in the spherical SK model in the high temperature phase. The convergence holds almost surely with respect to the disorder variables, and the inverse temperature can approach the critical value at a polynomial rate with any exponent strictly greater than $1/3$.

1 Introduction

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SSK) Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_N(\sigma) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} g_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad (1.1)$$

for $\sigma := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^N, |\sigma| = \sqrt{N}\}$. Here the g_{ij} are independent standard Gaussian random variables. This model was introduced in [8] and has been extensively studied [12], [7], [10]. It is a spin glass model whose study is somewhat simpler than that of the related SK model with Ising spins, because a number of more explicit computations are possible.

One such computation is the remarkable result by J. Baik and J.O. Lee [2] concerning the fluctuations of the logarithm *partition function* $Z_N(\beta)$, defined by

$$Z_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{N-1}|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} e^{-\beta H_N(\sigma)} d\omega(\sigma), \quad (1.2)$$

where $d\omega(\sigma)$ is the uniform measure on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} .

Theorem (Baik and Lee [2]). *Let*

$$F_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \log Z_N(\beta)$$

be the free energy of the spherical SK model at inverse temperature β . Then we have the following, where \rightarrow denotes convergence in distribution:

*nguyenvulan47@gmail.com

[†]psosoe@math.cornell.edu, P.S.'s research is supported by NSF grant DMS-1811093.

$$(i) \quad N(F_N(\beta) - F(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(f, \alpha), \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$f = \frac{1}{4} \log(1 - 16\beta^2) - 8\beta^2, \quad \alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \log(1 - 16\beta^2) - 8\beta^2.$$

(ii) In the low temperature regime $\beta > 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\beta - 1} N^{2/3} (F_N(\beta) - F(\beta)) \rightarrow TW_1, \quad (1.4)$$

the Tracy-Widom GOE distribution.

For the Ising SK Hamiltonian, the analogous result to (1.3) was derived by Aizenman, Lebowitz and Ruelle in the high temperature phase, while the fluctuations of the partition function in the low temperature remain inaccessible. The best results are bounds for the order of fluctuations which fall far short of their expected size, see [6, Theorem 6.3].

Baik and Lee's result is based on a contour integral representation for the partition function, which reduces the evaluation of $F_N(\beta)$ to a (delicate) saddle point analysis involving quantities studied in random matrix theory. In a subsequent series of work, these authors exploit similar representations to derive the thermodynamic limit of variants of the SSK model, including a model with an additional ferromagnetic (Curie-Weiss) interaction in the Hamiltonian [3], the bipartite SSK model [4], for which the computation of the first order behavior at all temperatures was open, and in a recent joint work with H. Wu, the SSK/Curie-Weiss model at the critical coupling strength [5].

In this note, we observe that a representation as a *double* contour integral is available for the Laplace transform of the overlap R_{12} with respect to the Gibbs measure, a key quantity in the study of spin glasses. The overlap between two replicas σ_1 and σ_2 is defined as:

$$R_{12} = \frac{1}{N} \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle. \quad (1.5)$$

More explicitly:

$$\langle e^{tR_{12}} \rangle_{\beta, N} = \frac{1}{Z_N^2} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{N-1}|^2} \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^2} \exp \left(-\beta(H_N(\sigma_1) + H_N(\sigma_2)) + tR_{12} \right) d\omega(\sigma_1) d\omega(\sigma_2). \quad (1.6)$$

As a consequence, we show that the overlap, properly rescaled, has Gaussian fluctuations in the high temperature phase, including at inverse temperatures close to the critical point:

Theorem 1. *Let $\beta = \beta_N = 1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}$ with $0 < \tau < 1/3$. Then there is a $c(\tau) > 0$ such that*

$$\langle e^{tR_{12}} \rangle_{\beta, N} = e^{t^2} + O(N^{-c(\tau)})$$

with probability at least $1 - N^{-K}$, for any K , as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular, for almost every realization of the disorder variables g_{ij} , the overlap R_{12} converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance 2.

Remarks

- (i) Note that the condition $\tau > 0$ is optimal, since for $\tau = 0$, one does not expect the overlap to be asymptotically Gaussian. See [11, Theorem 11.7.2], where this is proved for the SK model with Ising spins.
- (ii) The input we need concerning the matrix of random couplings g_{ij} is the regularity of the limiting spectral distribution, and a strong version of the local law. It follows that the disorder can be replaced by a large class of distributions with minimal changes. We do not comment on this further. This point is explained in detail in [2, Section 2], for example.

1.1 Discussion

For the SK model with Ising (± 1) spins, M. Talagrand [11, Theorem 11.7.1] proved that the overlaps for several replicas are asymptotically jointly Gaussian under the “annealed” measure $\mathbf{E}\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta, N}$ whenever $N^{1/3}(1 - \beta_N^2) \rightarrow \infty$. Here \mathbf{E} denotes expectation over the disorder variables. He also showed that the limiting distribution is not Gaussian if $N^{1/3}(1 - \beta_N^2) \rightarrow c > 0$. The proof used moment computations using the cavity method.

It is natural to ask whether the representation (1.7), can be used to study the distribution of the overlap in the low temperature phase. It is known [10] that in this phase, the overlap concentrates about the values $\pm q$, where $q = 1 - 1/\beta$. The behavior of the partition function was the subject of [2] and the limiting distribution was remarkably found to be given by the Tracy-Widom distribution when $\beta > 1$. One can then ask what the typical size of fluctuations of R_{12}^2 are about the limiting value. This is the subject of forthcoming work.

As indicated in [2] and subsequent papers, it would be of great interest to investigate the transition region $|\beta - 1| \ll N^{-1/3}$. The main difficulty here, for both the overlap and the partition function, is the presence of a branch point very near the random saddle point, with the distance between this singularity and the saddle point being far smaller than the order of the fluctuations of the eigenvalues near the edge.

1.2 Outline of the proof

In this section, we outline the proof of Theorem 1. The rest of the paper contains the derivation of the auxiliary results used here.

By Lemma 4, we have the representation

$$\langle e^{tR_{12}} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2}\tilde{G}(z,w)} dz dw}{\left(\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2}G(z)} dz \right)^2}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $G(z, w)$ and $\tilde{G}(z, w) = \tilde{G}(z, w, t)$ are defined in (3.4) and (3.3), respectively. The contour integral appearing in the denominator is the partition function of the model. It was computed by Baik and Lee [2] for $\beta < 1$ fixed, via a steepest descent approximation. The essential point in [2] is that the saddle point equation

$$G'(z) = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

can be solved to high accuracy, because it involves random matrix quantities. In particular, when $\beta < 1$, the solution γ of (1.8) with greatest real part is well approximated by the solution $\hat{\gamma}$ of

$$m_{\text{sc}}(z) = 2\beta.$$

This remains true for $\beta_N = 1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}$. In this case, γ is now found with high probability at distance $N^{-2/3+2\tau^-}$ from the edge of the semi-circle and the singularities of $G(z)$. See Proposition 6. In particular, the derivatives of G which appear in the saddle point approximation are now large, which complicates the analysis.

Having determined the approximate location of the saddle point and its distance to the singularities, we can apply the steepest descent approximation. The denominator in (1.7) is computed in Proposition 11:

$$\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2}G(z)} dz = i\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{NG'''(\gamma)}}(1 + O(N^{-c})).$$

To compute the numerator, we expand the function $\tilde{G}(w, z)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{G}(w, z) = G(z) + G(w) + \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2 N^2} \frac{m_N(w) - m_N(z)}{w-z} + (\text{lower order}).$$

See Proposition 8. We then compute the double contour integral in the numerator of (1.7) by successive applications of the steepest descent approximation around $z = w = \gamma$.

It then remains only to compute the quantity $m_{\text{sc}}(\gamma)$ to identify the limiting variance. This is done in Lemma 13.

2 Notation and auxiliary results from random matrix theory

Throughout, we denote by \mathbf{P} the joint distribution of the disorder variables g_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. We say that a sequence of events A_N occurs with *overwhelming probability* if, for any $D > 0$, $\mathbf{P}(A_N^c) \leq N^{-D}$ for all sufficiently large N .

The eigenvalues of the matrix $(M_{ij}) = (1/2)(g_{ij} + g_{ji})$ are real and almost surely distinct. We label them in decreasing order as $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N$. The key input from random matrix theory we will require is the *local semi-circle law*, describing the behavior of the resolvent matrix $(M-z)^{-1}$. It is a classical fact, originally due to E. Wigner, that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of M converges weakly to the semi-circle distribution. With our scaling, that distribution has density

$$\rho_{\text{sc}}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-x^2}.$$

The local semi-circle law considerably strengthens this statement, giving a sharp estimate for the distance between the trace of the resolvent matrix

$$m_N(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_j - z} = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(M-z)^{-1} \quad (2.1)$$

and the corresponding quantity for the semi-circle distribution:

$$m_{\text{sc}}(z) = \int \frac{1}{x-z} \rho_{\text{sc}}(x) dx.$$

The statement below is adapted from [9]:

Theorem 2. Fix $\delta > 0$ and define the domain

$$S_N(\delta) = \{E + i\eta : |E| \leq \delta^{-1}, N^{-1+\delta} \leq \eta \leq \delta^{-1}\}.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, with overwhelming probability, we have

$$|m_N(z) - m_{\text{sc}}(z)| \leq N^\varepsilon (N\eta)^{-1}$$

uniformly in z .

A consequence of the local semi-circle law is the following *eigenvalue rigidity* statement.

Proposition 3. For $1 \leq i \leq N$, define γ_i , the typical location of λ_i , by

$$N \int_{\gamma_i}^1 \rho_{\text{sc}}(x) dx = i - \frac{1}{2}.$$

With overwhelming probability, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$|\lambda_i - \gamma_i| \leq N^{-2/3+\varepsilon} (i \wedge (N+1-i))^{-1/3}, \quad (2.2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

In particular, we have the following bound for the empirical density, the number of eigenvalues in any interval of size $\gg N^{-1}$: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, with overwhelming probability, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \#\{i : \lambda_i \in I\} = \int_I \rho_{\text{sc}}(x) dx + O(N^{-1+\varepsilon}) \quad (2.3)$$

uniformly for all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

3 A representation formula

In this section, we give an explicit contour integral representation for the the overlap R_{12} .

3.1 Laplace transform of the overlap

Define the matrix

$$M_{ij} = \frac{g_{ij} + g_{ji}}{2}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

The matrix M is a symmetric matrix with mean-zero Gaussian, with variance $1/2$ off the diagonal and variance 1 on the diagonal. The entries are independent for the

symmetry constraint $M_{ij} = M_{ji}$. M is thus a rescaling (by $1/\sqrt{2}$) of the classical GOE (Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble) matrix. In particular, M is diagonalizable, with real eigenvalues.

In terms of M , the Laplace transform of the overlap distribution, introduced in (1.6) is expressed as follows:

$$\langle e^{tR_{12}} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_N^2} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{N-1}|^2} \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^2} \exp \left(\beta \langle \mathbf{x}, M\mathbf{x} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{y}, M\mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{t}{N} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right) d\omega(\mathbf{x}) d\omega(\mathbf{y}). \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 4. *Let $\beta > 0$. The Laplace transform of the overlap, R_{12} , has the following contour integral representation:*

$$\langle e^{tR_{12}} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2} \tilde{G}(z,w)} dz dw}{\left(\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2} G(z)} dz \right)^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$G(z) = 2\beta z - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \log(z - \lambda_i), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\tilde{G}(z, w, t) = 2\beta(z + w) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \log \left((z - \lambda_i)(w - \lambda_i) - \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. From [2, Eqn. (4.7)], we know that:

$$Z_N(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{2\pi i (N\beta)^{N/2-1}} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2} G(z)} dz, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\gamma > \lambda_1$, and

$$G(z) = 2\beta z - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log(z - \lambda_i). \quad (3.6)$$

Now we rewrite the integral (3.1). Let $S^{N-1} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\mathbf{x}| = 1\}$, the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $d\Omega$ be the surface area measure on S^{N-1} , then $\frac{d\Omega}{|S^{N-1}|}$ is the uniform measure on S^{N-1} . After rescaling, the numerator in (3.1) is

$$\frac{1}{|S^{N-1}|^2} \int_{(S^{N-1})^2} \exp \left(\beta N \langle \mathbf{x}, M\mathbf{x} \rangle + \beta N \langle \mathbf{y}, M\mathbf{y} \rangle + t \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{y}).$$

The matrix M is diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation leaving $d\Omega$ invariant, so the last quantity equals

$$\frac{1}{|S^{N-1}|^2} \int_{(S^{N-1})^2} \exp \left(\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i (x_i^2 + y_i^2) + t \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{y}).$$

In order to compute this integral, we consider

$$J(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - z) x_i^2} e^{\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - w) y_i^2} e^{t \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i} \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i dy_i. \quad (3.7)$$

This integral is absolutely convergent if

$$\Re z, \Re w > \lambda_1.$$

In this region, $J(z, w)$ defines an analytic function of z and w . We pass to polar coordinates: substitute $\mathbf{x} = r_1 \mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{y} = s_1 \mathbf{y}_1$ with $r_1, s_1 > 0$ and $|x_1| = |y_1| = 1$, and then set $\beta N r_1^2 = r$, $\beta N s_1^2 = s$ to find that

$$J(z, w) = \frac{1}{4(\beta N)^N} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-zr} e^{-ws} I(r, s) s^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r^{\frac{N}{2}-1} dr ds,$$

where

$$I(r, s) = \int_{S^{N-1} \times S^{N-1}} e^{r \langle \mathbf{x}_1, M \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle + s \langle \mathbf{y}_1, M \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle} e^{\frac{t \sqrt{rs}}{\beta N} \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle} d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2.$$

Completing the square, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta N (\lambda_i - z) x_i^2 + t x_i y_i \\ = & \beta N (\lambda_i - z) \left(x_i^2 + 2x_i \cdot \frac{t}{2\beta N} \frac{y_i}{\lambda_i - z} + \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - z)^2} \right) - \frac{t^2}{4\beta N} \frac{y_i^2}{\lambda_i - z} \\ = & \beta N (\lambda_i - z) \left(x_i + \frac{t}{2\beta N (\lambda_i - z)} y_i \right)^2 - \frac{t^2}{4\beta N} \frac{y_i^2}{\lambda_i - z}. \end{aligned}$$

Shifting the x_i , the integral (3.7) is given by

$$\int e^{\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - z) x_i^2} e^{\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\lambda_i - w - \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - z} \right) y_i^2} \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i dy_i \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta N} \right)^N \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \left(z - \lambda_i \right) \left(w - \lambda_i + \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - z} \right) \right)^{-1/2} \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta^2 N} \right)^N \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \left(w - \lambda_i \right) \left(z - \lambda_i \right) - \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (3.10)$$

$(\cdot)^{-1/2}$ is defined in terms of the principal branch of the logarithm.

Taking the inverse Laplace transform and using (3.10), we obtain that

$$\frac{I(r, s) s^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{4(\beta N)^N} \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zr} e^{ws} J(z, w) dz dw \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta N} \right)^N \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zr} e^{ws} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \left(w - \lambda_i \right) \left(z - \lambda_i \right) - \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \right)^{-1/2} dz dw, \quad (3.13)$$

where γ is a real number satisfying $\gamma > \lambda_1 + \frac{t}{2\beta N}$. By letting $r = s = \beta N$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(S^{N-1})^2} e^{N\beta\langle \mathbf{x}_1, M\mathbf{x}_1 \rangle + N\beta\langle \mathbf{y}_1, M\mathbf{y}_1 \rangle} e^{t\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle} d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 \\ &= \frac{4\pi^N}{(\beta N)^{N-2}} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{N\beta(z+w)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (w - \lambda_i)(z - \lambda_i) - \frac{t^2}{4\beta^2 N^2} \right)^{-1/2} dz dw \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Estimates for $G'(z)$ and derivatives

To perform a saddle point approximation of the integrals appearing in (3.2), we estimate the quantity $G(z)$ (3.3) for z close to the largest solution $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ of

$$G'(z) = 2\beta - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{z - \lambda_i} = 2\beta - m_N(z). \quad (4.1)$$

We refer to γ as as “the” saddle point. As β increases from a fixed value less than the critical value $\beta_c = 1$ to $\beta_c - N^{-1/3}$, the distance between γ and the edge of the semi-circle distribution (1 in our scaling) decreases from order 1 to order $N^{-2/3}$.

We use a version of the semi-circle law outside the limiting spectrum. First, we introduce some notation:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{sc}}(z) &= 2(-z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}), \\ \kappa &= ||E| - 1|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 5. *Define the domain*

$$S = \{E + i\eta : |E| \geq 1 + N^{-2/3+\varepsilon}, \eta > 0\}.$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$|m_N(z) - m_{\text{sc}}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}} \frac{1}{(\kappa + \eta) + (\kappa + \eta)^2}, \quad z \in S \quad (4.3)$$

with overwhelming probability.

Our initial step is to obtain an estimate for this distance, depending of β_N , which we assume is of the form

$$\beta_N = 1 - N^{-2/3+\tau}.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $\beta_N = 1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}$, where $0 < \tau < 1/3$. With overwhelming probability, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the unique solution γ in (λ_1, ∞) to*

$$G'(z) = 0$$

satisfies

$$N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon} < \gamma - \lambda_1 < N^{-2/3+2\tau+\varepsilon} \quad (4.4)$$

for $N \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$.

Proof. For real z , the expression (4.1) represents an increasing function on (λ_1, ∞) . As noted in [2, Lemma 6.1], we always have $G'(\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{3\beta N}) < 0$, so

$$\gamma - \lambda_1 > \frac{1}{3\beta N}.$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $\tau < \eta < 2\tau$, and set

$$x_N = \lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta}.$$

By (4.3), for any $\delta > 0$ and N large enough, we have

$$G'(\lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta}) = 2(1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}) - 2(x_N - \sqrt{x_N^2 - 1}) + O(N^{-1/3+\delta}). \quad (4.5)$$

We choose $\delta < \eta/2$.

By eigenvalue rigidity, we have

$$|1 - \lambda_1| \leq cN^{-2/3+\delta} \quad (4.6)$$

with high probability, so

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - \sqrt{x_N^2 - 1} &= \lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta} - \sqrt{(cN^{-2/3+\eta} + \lambda_1 - 1)(1 + \lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta})} \\ &= \lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta} - (\sqrt{2} + O(N^{-2/3+\eta}))c^{1/2}N^{-1/3+\eta/2} \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{2}cN^{-1/3+\eta/2} + cN^{-2/3+\eta} + O(N^{-2/3+\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

with $c' > 0$. Putting this into (4.5), we obtain:

$$G'(\lambda_1 + cN^{-2/3+\eta}) < -2cN^{-1/3+\tau} + o(N^{-1/3+\tau}) < 0,$$

for N sufficiently large. By (4.6), this implies

$$\gamma - \lambda_1 > cN^{-2/3+\eta} > cN^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}$$

for any ε sufficiently small. By the same argument one shows

$$\gamma - \lambda_1 < N^{-2/3+2\tau+\varepsilon}.$$

□

Proposition 7 (Estimates on the derivatives). *Let $\beta_N = 1 - N^{-1/3+\tau}$ for $\tau > 0$, and let γ satisfy $\gamma - \lambda_1 \geq N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a constant such that with overwhelming probability,*

$$|G'(\gamma + is)| \leq cN^{\varepsilon'}. \quad (4.7)$$

More generally, with overwhelming probability, for any integer $k \geq 2$:

$$|G^{(k)}(\gamma + is)| \leq cN^{2k/3-(2k-3)\tau-1+\varepsilon'}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. The bound (4.7) follows by the local semicircle law (4.3). The estimate (4.8) is derived by a standard argument using the using (2.3) and the lower bound on $\gamma - \lambda_1$. □

5 Saddle point approximation

Proposition 8. *Suppose $\lambda_1 - \gamma > N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \beta_N = 1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}, \\ z &= \gamma + ir, \\ w &= \gamma + is,\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

There is a $c(\tau) > 0$ such that with overwhelming probability:

$$e^{\frac{N}{2}\tilde{G}(z,w)} = e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(z)+G(w))} \exp\left(\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta^2} \frac{m_N(w) - m_N(z)}{w-z}\right) (1 + O(N^{-c(\tau)})).$$

Proof. Replacing t by $\sqrt{N(1-\beta_N^2)}t$ in (3.4), the logarithm in the definition of $\tilde{G}(z, w)$ is

$$\log\left((z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i) - \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2 N}\right) = \log((z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)) + \log\left(1 - \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2 N(z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)}\right).$$

By Taylor expansion, the second term is

$$\log((z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)) - \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2 N(z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)} + \varepsilon_{N,i}.\tag{5.2}$$

Here,

$$|\varepsilon_{N,i}| \leq \frac{C}{N^{8/3-2\tau}} \frac{1}{|\lambda_i - z|^2 |\lambda_i - w|^2},$$

since $1 - \beta_N^2 = O(N^{-1/3+\tau})$.

By (2.3) and the lower bound for $\lambda_1 - \gamma$ (4.4),

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |\varepsilon_{N,i}| \leq N^{-5/3+2\tau+\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\left(\frac{2}{3}-2\tau\right)\log N} 2^{5/2k}\tag{5.3}$$

$$\leq CN^{-2\tau+3\varepsilon}.\tag{5.4}$$

Summing over i , this gives

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{i=1}^N \log((z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)) - \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2 N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)} + \varepsilon'_N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \log((z-\lambda_i)(w-\lambda_i)) + \frac{t^2(1-\beta^2)}{4\beta^2} \frac{m_N(w) - m_N(z)}{w-z} + \varepsilon'_N,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon'_N \leq CN^{-2\tau+3\varepsilon}$.

It follows that, for $z, w \in \gamma + i\mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{\frac{N}{2}\tilde{G}(z,w)} = e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(z)+G(w))} e^{-\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta^2} \frac{m_N(w)-m_N(z)}{w-z}} \cdot (1 + O(N^{-c})),$$

as claimed. \square

Lemma 9. *Assume the restrictions (5.1) on the parameters hold. In addition that*

$$|s|, |r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}. \quad (5.5)$$

Suppose also that

$$\tau < \delta_1 < 2\tau$$

is sufficiently close to 2τ . Then with overwhelming probability,

$$\left| \frac{m_N(z) - m_N(w)}{z - w} - m_{\text{sc}}(\gamma) \right| \leq N^{-c(\tau)} \quad (5.6)$$

for any $c(\tau) < 2\tau - \delta_1$ and $N \geq N_0(c)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{m_N(z) - m_N(w)}{z - w} - m'_N(\gamma) \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 (m'_N(z + s(z-w)) - m'_N(\gamma)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \max_{\zeta \in D(\tau, \delta_1)} |m''_N(\zeta)| \cdot N^{-2/3+\delta_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$D(\tau, \delta_1) := \{\zeta = \gamma + iu : |u| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}\}.$$

By (4.7), $\max_{D(\tau, \delta_1)} |m''_N(\zeta)| \leq N^{1-3\tau+\varepsilon}$ with overwhelming probability, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and N large. So

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta_N^2) \left| \frac{m_N(z) - m_N(w)}{z - w} - m'_N(\gamma) \right| &\leq CN^{-1/3+\tau} N^{-2/3+\delta_1} \cdot N^{1-3\tau+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq CN^{-2\tau+\delta_1+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) with $\kappa = N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}$, we have for $r = N^{2/3-\tau+\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta_N^2) |m'_N(\gamma) - m'_{\text{sc}}(\gamma)| &= (1 - \beta_N^2) \left| \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|\gamma-w|=r} \frac{m_N(w) - m_{\text{sc}}(w)}{(\gamma-w)^2} \, dw \right| \\ &\leq CN^{-1/3+\tau} N^{-1+\delta} N^{2/3-2\tau+\varepsilon} N^{2/3-\tau+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq CN^{-2\tau+\delta+2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Here, we have used $\gamma - \lambda_1 \geq N^{-2/3+\tau-\varepsilon}$. □

5.1 Control of the region outside the saddle point

In this section, we control the quantity

$$\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{N(G(\gamma+it)-G(\gamma))} \, dt$$

in the region away from the saddle point under the assumption of eigenvalue rigidity. In particular, we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and large N :

$$\lambda_1 - \gamma \geq N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}.$$

Proposition 10 (Away from the saddle point). *We have*

$$\int_{\{s: |s| > N^{-2/3+\delta_1}\}} e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(\gamma+is)-G(\gamma))} ds \leq C \exp(-N^{c(\tau)}), \quad (5.9)$$

for some $c(\tau) > 0$.

Proof. As in [2, Lemma 6.3], the integrand in (5.9) is bounded by

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \log\left(1 + \frac{s^2}{(\gamma - \lambda_j)^2}\right)\right). \quad (5.10)$$

Since $\gamma - \lambda_1 \leq N^{-2/3+\tau+\varepsilon}$, by (2.3), for any $\delta > 0$ we have with overwhelming probability:

$$\#\{j : |\lambda_j - \gamma| \leq CN^{-2/3+2\tau}\} \geq N^{3\tau-2\varepsilon}, \quad (5.11)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and large enough N . This implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \log\left(1 + \frac{s^2}{(\gamma - \lambda_j)^2}\right) \geq N^{3\tau-2\varepsilon} \log(1 + N^{2(\delta_1-\tau)}),$$

whence

$$\int_{\{s: N^{-2/3+\delta_1} < |s| \leq 10\}} e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(\gamma+is)-G(\gamma))} ds \leq C \exp(-N^{3\tau-2\varepsilon})$$

for large enough N .

Since $|\lambda_j - \gamma| \leq 4$ with overwhelming probability [9, Theorem H.1], the integral over the region $|s| \geq 10$ is also exponential small. \square

5.2 Approximation near the saddle point

Proposition 11 (Approximation in the central region). *With overwhelming probability,*

$$\int_{\{\Im z \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}\}} e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(z)-G(\gamma))} dz = i \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{G''(\gamma)}} (1 + O(N^{-c}))$$

for some $c = c(\tau) > 0$.

Proof. Since $s \in D(\tau, \delta_1)$, using (4.8), we have by Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} N(G(\gamma + is) - G(\gamma)) &= -\frac{s^2}{2} G''(\gamma) + \frac{N^{-1/2}|s|^3}{3} \max_{s \in D(\tau, \delta_1)} |G^{(3)}(\gamma + is)| \\ &= -\frac{s^2}{2} G''(\gamma) + |s|^3 \cdot O(N^{1/2-6\tau+3\varepsilon}) \\ &= -\frac{s^2}{2} G''(\gamma) + O(N^{-3(2\tau-\delta_1-\varepsilon)}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

By (2.3), we have the following lower bound for $G''(\gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G''(\gamma) &\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\gamma - \lambda_i)^2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\{i: |\lambda_i - \gamma| \leq N^{-2/3+2\tau}\}} \frac{1}{(\gamma - \lambda_i)^2} \\
&\geq N^{4/3-4\tau-1} \#\{i: |\lambda_i - \gamma| \leq N^{-2/3+2\tau}\} \\
&\geq N^{1/3-\tau-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Rescaling $s = \Im z$ in the contour integral, we obtain

$$iN^{-1/2} \int_{|s| \leq N^{-1/6+\delta_1}} e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(\gamma+i\frac{s}{N^{1/2}})-G(\gamma))} ds.$$

Inserting the expansion (5.12) into the integral, we obtain the two terms

$$\left(\int_{|s| \leq N^{-1/6+\tau/2+\varepsilon/4}} + \int_{N^{-1/6+\tau/2+\varepsilon/4} < |s| \leq N^{-1/6+\delta_1}} \right) e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(\gamma+i\frac{s}{N^{1/2}})-G(\gamma))} ds = \text{I} + \text{II},$$

with

$$\text{I} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{G''(\gamma)}} (1 + O(N^{-c'})), \quad \text{II} = e^{-cN^{c''}}.$$

□

Proposition 12 (Saddle point approximation of the numerator). *With overwhelming probability,*

$$\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2}(\tilde{G}(z,w)-2G(\gamma))} dzdw = -\frac{4\pi}{NG''(\gamma)} e^{\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{2\beta_N^2} m'_{\text{sc}}(\gamma)} \left(1 + O(N^{-c(\tau)})\right),$$

for some $c(\tau) > 0$.

Proof. Note the estimate

$$\left| \frac{m_N(w) - m_N(z)}{w - z} \right| \leq CN^\varepsilon \tag{5.14}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary and N large. This follows from (4.7) using (5.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{\frac{N}{2}(\tilde{G}(z,w)-2G(\gamma))} dzdw &= \int_{|s| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \dots \\
&+ \int_{|s| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| > N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \dots \\
&+ \int_{|s| > N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \dots \\
&+ \int_{|s| > N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| > N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The first three terms in (5.15) are bounded by $C \exp(-N^{c(\tau)})$ by (5.14), (5.9) and Proposition 11.

For the first term, we have by (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|s| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} e^{\frac{N}{2}\tilde{G}(z,w)} \, dw dz \\ &= e^{\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta_N^2} m'_{sc}(\gamma)} \int_{|s| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(z)+G(w)-2G(\gamma))} \, dw dz \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

$$+ O(N^{-2\tau+\delta_1+\varepsilon}) \int_{|s| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} \int_{|r| \leq N^{-2/3+\delta_1}} |e^{\frac{N}{2}(G(z)+G(w)-2G(\gamma))}| \, dw dz. \quad (5.17)$$

For (5.16), we use Proposition 11. For (5.17), we use the Taylor expansion (5.12) to replace the region of integration by $\{|s|, |r| \leq N^{-2/3+\tau+\varepsilon}\}$ (with an exponentially small error), and then find the bound

$$(5.17) = O(N^{-4/3+2\varepsilon}).$$

Finally, by (5.13), we have

$$\frac{1}{NG''(\gamma)} = O(N^{-4/3+\tau+\varepsilon}),$$

and the result follows from this. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1

We start by computing the asymptotic variance of the overlap.

Lemma 13 (Computation of the variance). *Let γ be the saddle point defined in Section 4. With overwhelming probability, we have*

$$e^{\frac{t^2}{4\beta^2} m'_{sc}(\gamma)} = e^{t^2} (1 + O(N^{-c(\tau)})) \quad (6.1)$$

for some $c(\tau) > 0$.

Proof. We begin by approximating the saddle point γ by the quantity

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_N + \frac{1}{\beta_N} \right),$$

the solution of

$$m_{sc}(x) = 2(-x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = 2\beta_N.$$

Note that for $\beta_N = 1 - cN^{-1/3+\tau}$, we have

$$\hat{\gamma} = 1 + c^2 N^{-2/3+2\tau} + O(N^{-2+3\tau}).$$

Next, we note:

$$m'_{sc}(x) = -2 + \frac{2x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}.$$

By (4.3), combined with the derivative bound

$$m'_{\text{sc}}(x) > N^{1/3-\tau+\varepsilon/2}$$

in the region $x > 1 + N^{-2/3+2\tau-\varepsilon}$, a Taylor expansion of $G'(z)$ about $\hat{\gamma}$ (see for example [2, Corollary 5.2]) shows that $G'(\gamma) = 0$ for some $\gamma > \lambda_1$ with

$$|\hat{\gamma} - \gamma| \leq CN^{-2/3-2\tau+\varepsilon}, \quad (6.2)$$

with overwhelming probability, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. From this, we find

$$(1 - \beta_N^2)|m'_{\text{sc}}(\gamma) - m'_{\text{sc}}(\hat{\gamma})| \leq N^{-c(\tau)}.$$

It follows that we can replace γ with $\hat{\gamma}$ at the price of a multiplicative error of order $(1 + O(N^{-c}))$.

Elementary computations then give

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}^2 - 1} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2 - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \beta - \frac{1}{\beta} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \beta^2}{\beta}, \\ \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\hat{\gamma}^2 - 1}} &= \frac{1 + \beta^2}{1 - \beta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that finally we have

$$(1 - \beta^2)m'_{\text{sc}}(\hat{\gamma}) = -2(1 - \beta^2) + 2(1 + \beta^2) = 4\beta^2,$$

and so

$$e^{\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta^2} m'_{\text{sc}}(\hat{\gamma})} = e^{t^2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Starting from the representation (3.2) and using Proposition 12 for the numerator and Proposition 11 for the denominator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\langle R_{12} \rangle_{\beta, N}} &= \frac{-\frac{4\pi}{NG''(\gamma)} e^{\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta^2} m'_{\text{sc}}(\gamma)} (1 + O(N^{-c(\tau)}))}{-\frac{4\pi}{NG''(\gamma)} (1 + O(N^{-c(\tau)}))} \\ &= e^{\frac{t^2(1-\beta_N^2)}{4\beta^2} m'_{\text{sc}}(\gamma)} (1 + O(N^{-c})). \end{aligned}$$

We then conclude using Lemma 13. This derivation is valid on the set of overwhelming probability where the statements in Theorem 2 and Theorem 5 hold. □

References

- [1] M. Aizenman, J. L. Lebowitz, D. Ruelle. *Some rigorous results on the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick spin glass model*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, **112**, no. 1, 1987.
- [2] J. Baik, J.O. Lee. *Fluctuations of the free energy of the spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model*, Journal of Statistical Physics, **168**, no.2, 2016.
- [3] J. Baik, J.O. Lee. *Fluctuations of the free energy of the spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model with ferromagnetic interaction*. Annales Henri Poincaré. **18**, no. 6, 2017.
- [4] J. Baik, J.O. Lee. *Free energy of bipartite spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model*. arXiv preprint [arXiv:1711.06364](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.06364), 2017.
- [5] J. Baik, J.O. Lee. *Ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition in spherical spin glass*. arXiv preprint [arXiv:1805.05630](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.05630), 2018.
- [6] S. Chatterjee, *Superconcentration and Related Topics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2014.
- [7] A. Crisanti, H.J. Sommers. *The spherical p -spin interaction spin glass model: the statics*. Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter, **87**, no. 3, 1992.
- [8] J. Kosterlitz, D. Thouless, and R. Jones. *Spherical model of a spin-glass*. Physical Review Letters, **36**, no. 20, 1976.
- [9] A. Knowles, F. Benaych-Georges. *Lectures on the local semi-circle law for Wigner matrices*. Available at: <http://www.unige.ch/~knowles/SCL.pdf>.
- [10] D. Panchenko, M. Talagrand. *On the overlap in the multiple spherical SK models*. The Annals of Probability **35**, no. 6, 2007.
- [11] M. Talagrand. *Mean field models of Spin Glasses, Volume II: Advanced Replica-Symmetry and Low Temperature*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, 2011.
- [12] M. Talagrand. *Free energy of the spherical mean field model*. Probability Theory and Related Fields **134**, no. 3, 2006.