

LIMITS OF TRACES OF SINGULAR MODULI

DOHOON CHOI AND SUBONG LIM

ABSTRACT. Let f and g be weakly holomorphic modular functions on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with the trivial character. For an integer d , let $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ denote the modular trace of f of index d . Let r be a rational number equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$. In this paper, we prove that, when z goes radially to r , the limit $Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r)$ of the sum $H(f)(z) = \sum_{d>0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i dz}$ is a special value of a regularized twisted L -function defined by $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ for $d \leq 0$. It is proved that the regularized L -function is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} and satisfies a certain functional equation. Finally, under the assumption that N is square free, we prove that if $Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r) = Q_{\hat{H}(g)}(r)$ for all r equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$, then $\text{Tr}_d(f) = \text{Tr}_d(g)$ for all integers d .

1. INTRODUCTION

The j -invariant function is defined by

$$j(z) = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + \cdots,$$

for z in the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} , where $q := e^{2\pi iz}$. Let $j_1 := j - 744$, which is the normalized Hauptmodul for the group $\Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For an integer d such that $d \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$, let \mathcal{Q}_d be the set of integral binary quadratic forms

$$Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

with discriminant $d = b^2 - 4ac$. Let us assume that Q is positive definite if $d < 0$. The group Γ acts on \mathcal{Q}_d by

$$(Q \circ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix})(x, y) = (\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y).$$

Let Γ_Q denote the stabilizer of Q in Γ .

For $d < 0$ and $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_d$, the root $z_Q := \frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2a}$ defines a CM point in \mathbb{H} . The values of the function j_1 at CM points are called *singular moduli*. The trace of singular moduli of index d is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_d(j_1) := \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_d} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_Q|} j_1(z_Q).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F37, Secondary: 11F30 .

Key words and phrases. Modular traces, Regularized L -functions, Eichler-Shimura cohomology theory.

The authors were supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project SSTF-BA1301-11.

Zagier [24] proved that the generating series of $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad g_1(z) := -q^{-1} + 2 + \sum_{d < 0} \text{Tr}_d(j_1) q^{|d|} = -q^{-1} + 2 - 248q^3 + 492q^4 - 4119q^7 + 7256q^8 + \cdots,$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ and the multiplier system χ_θ^3 on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Here, $\theta(z) := 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2}$ is the theta function, and χ_θ is the theta multiplier system of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ defined by

$$\chi_\theta(\gamma) := \frac{\theta(\gamma z)}{(cz + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta(z)}$$

for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$. In this paper, we use the convention: $z = |z|e^{i\arg(z)}$, $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$ as in [9]. Bruinier and Funke [10] extended the result of Zagier to weakly holomorphic modular functions on modular curves of arbitrary genus.

For $d > 0$, the modular trace $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$ of index d is defined by the sum of cycle integrals of j_1 . Cycle integrals were used to define the Shintani lifting in [22], and Kaneko [17] used them to compute values of $j(\tau)$ at real quadratics.

Let us assume that $d > 0$, and that $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is in \mathcal{Q}_d . If a, b , and c have no non-trivial common divisor, then, for a non-square integer d , we let t and u be the smallest half-integral solutions of the Pell equation $t^2 - du^2 = 1$, and

$$g_Q := \begin{pmatrix} t+bu & 2cu \\ -2au & t-bu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let δ be the greatest common divisor of a, b , and c , and $Q' := Q/\delta$. Then $c(Q)$ denotes any rectifiable curve in \mathbb{H} from z to $g_{Q'}z$, where z is any point on \mathbb{H} . For a non-square integer d , the modular trace $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$ of index d is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_d(j_1) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_d} \int_{c(Q)} j_1(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}$$

(see [14, Section 1] for the definition of $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$ when d is a square of an integer). In [14], Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth proved that the generating series of $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$ for $d > 0$, defined by

$$F(z) := \sum_{d > 0} \text{Tr}_d(j_1) q^d$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and multiplier system χ_θ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that its shadow is $-2g_1$ in (1.1), i.e., $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{F}) = -2g_1$, where $\xi_k := 2iy^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$, y is the imaginary part of z , and \hat{F} is a harmonic weak Maass form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ obtained as a completion of F . Recently, Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu [11] generalized the result of Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth to weakly holomorphic modular functions on modular curves of arbitrary genus. They also gave a geometric interpretation of Tr_d for square integers d .

In this paper, we investigate connections between $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ ($d > 0$) and $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ ($d < 0$) for a weakly holomorphic modular function f by considering a certain asymptotic behavior of twisted

sums of $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ over $d > 0$ and $d < 0$, respectively. For this purpose, we define a regularized twisted L -function of a weakly holomorphic modular form, which has a meromorphic continuation on \mathbb{C} and satisfies a certain functional equation.

To state our main theorem, we introduce more notation. Let N be a positive integer. For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight k and multiplier χ_θ^{2k} on $\Gamma_0(4N)$, where a weakly holomorphic modular form is a meromorphic modular form which has poles only at cusps. For a discrete subgroup Γ of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, let $M_0^!(\Gamma)$ be the space of weakly holomorphic modular functions on Γ with the trivial character. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{d,N}$ be the set of quadratic forms $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_d$ such that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. The group $\Gamma_0(N)$ acts on $\mathcal{Q}_{d,N}$ with finitely many orbits.

Let $f \in M_0^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ with a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

and assume that its constant coefficients vanish at all cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$. For a nonzero integer d , the *modular trace* $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ of f of index d is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_d(f) := \begin{cases} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{d,N}} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_0(N)_Q|} f(z_Q) & \text{if } d < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{d,N}} \int_{\Gamma_0(N)_Q \backslash c_Q}^{\text{reg}} f(z) \frac{dz}{Q(z,1)} & \text{if } d > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}}^{\text{reg}} f(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2} & \text{if } d = 0, \end{cases}$$

where c_Q is a properly oriented geodesic in \mathbb{H} connecting two roots of $Q(z,1)$ and $\int_{\Gamma_0(N)_Q \backslash c_Q}^{\text{reg}}$ and $\int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}}^{\text{reg}}$ are regularized integrals, as defined by Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu [11] (for their precise definitions, see Section 2).

It was proved in [11] that the generating series of $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ for $d > 0$, defined by

$$(1.3) \quad H(f)(z) := \sum_{d > 0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d z},$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Furthermore, the shadow is $-2W(f)$, where $W(f)$ is the generating series of $\text{Tr}_d(f)$ with $d \geq 0$. For example, if f is a weakly holomorphic modular function on $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ for a square-free integer N , then $W(f)$ is given by

$$(1.4) \quad W(f)(z) = - \sum_{d > 0} \sum_{n < 0} d \overline{a(n)} q^{-d^2} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{Tr}_0(f)} + \sum_{d < 0} \overline{\text{Tr}_d(f)} q^{-d}.$$

Here, $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ denotes the extension of $\Gamma_0(N)$ by the Atkin-Lehner involutions $W_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for all primes $p|N$. For a weakly holomorphic modular function f on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with an arbitrary positive integer N , we give an explicit expression for $W(f)$ in Section 2.4.

For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let g be a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Let $\Gamma(s, x)$ be the incomplete gamma function as in (6.5.3) of [1] (see also Section 3 of [9]). Assume that, for each

$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the function $(cz + d)^{-k} g(\gamma z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n \gg -\infty} b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i(n + \kappa_\gamma)z / \lambda_\gamma},$$

where $\kappa_\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and λ_γ is a positive integer. If $\gamma = I$, then we drop γ from the notation. For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define a *regularized twisted L -function of g associated with r* by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n) e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n) \\ &+ \frac{i^k}{c^k \Gamma(s)} (2\pi)^{2s-k} (c^2)^{k-s} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i(n + \kappa_\gamma)(-\frac{d}{c}) / \lambda_\gamma}}{\left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi(n + \kappa_\gamma)}{c^2 \lambda_\gamma}\right) \\ &- \frac{(2\pi)^s b(0)}{s \Gamma(s)} - \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} \frac{i^k (2\pi)^s b_\gamma(0)}{c^k (k - s) \Gamma(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0}$ is the Kronecker delta, and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $r = \gamma(i\infty)$. The definition of $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ is independent of the choice of γ (see Lemma 4.1 (2)).

To state the first theorem, we introduce some notations. For odd d , let ε_d be defined by

$$\varepsilon_d := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If d is an odd prime, then let $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ be the usual Legendre symbol. For positive odd d , define $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ by multiplicativity. For negative odd d , we let

$$\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{|d|}\right) & \text{if } d < 0 \text{ and } c > 0, \\ -\left(\frac{c}{|d|}\right) & \text{if } d < 0 \text{ and } c < 0. \end{cases}$$

We also let $\left(\frac{0}{\pm 1}\right) = 1$. The following theorem shows that, as z approaches a rational number, the limit of the sum $\sum_{d>0} \mathrm{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d z}$ is a special value of a regularized twisted L -function defined by $\mathrm{Tr}_d(f)$ for $d \leq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let N be a positive integer. Suppose that $f \in M_0^1(\Gamma_0(N))$ with Fourier expansion as in (1.2), and that its constant coefficients vanish at all cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$. If $r = \frac{a}{c}$ is a rational number such that $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4N}$, $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ and $c > 0$, then*

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{d>0} \mathrm{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d(r+it)} + \frac{2c_r \sqrt{1+ct}}{ct} \right) = -\overline{L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}\left(W(f), \frac{1}{2}\right)} + c_r,$$

where

$$c_r := \frac{e^{i\pi/4} \varepsilon_a^3}{\sqrt{2c}} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right) \mathrm{Tr}_0(f).$$

Remark 1.2. Let $g \in M_k^1(\Gamma_0(4N))$ for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. The regularized L -functions of weakly holomorphic modular forms satisfy the following properties (for the details, see Proposition 4.1 in Section 4).

- (1) The series $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$ defined in (1.6) converges absolutely on \mathbb{C} except $s = 0$ and $s = k$.
- (2) If g is a cusp form, then the usual L -function $L(g, s)$ of g is the same as $L_0^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$.

Remark 1.3. The limit in (1.7) is the radial limit that was used by Ramanujan in explaining what he meant by a mock theta function, in his last letter to Hardy (for example, see [2]). Ramanujan claimed that, for a mock theta function $f(q)$ and a root of unity ζ , there is a modular form $\theta_{f,\zeta}(q)$ such that the difference

$$(1.8) \quad f(q) - \theta_{f,\zeta}(q)$$

is bounded as $q \rightarrow \zeta$ radially. Watson [23] was the first to prove Ramanujan's claim concerning the mock theta function

$$1 + \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2} + \cdots.$$

Folsom, Ono, and Rhoades [16] gave a new proof of this result, and obtained a simple closed formula for the suggested $O(1)$ constants as values of a “quantum” q -hypergeometric series. The authors and Rhoades [12] computed the radial limit of (1.8) by using special values of the twisted L -functions of their shadows.

Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth [13, 14] studied period functions of modular integrals whose Fourier coefficients are given by cycle integrals of certain weakly holomorphic modular forms. Note that $H(f)$ defined in (1.3) is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$. The following corollary describes the limit behavior of period functions of the mock modular form $H(f)$.

Corollary 1.4. Let $\psi(\hat{H}(f))_\gamma := H(f) - H(f)|_{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ with $r = \gamma(\infty) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c > 0$. Then, we have

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\psi(\hat{H}(f))_{\gamma^{-1}}(r + it) + \frac{2c_r \sqrt{1+ct}}{ct} \right) = -\overline{L_r^{\text{reg}} \left(W(f), \frac{1}{2} \right)} + c_r.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$, let

$$(1.10) \quad Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{d>0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d(r+it)} + \frac{2c_r \sqrt{1+ct}}{ct} \right).$$

In the following theorem, we prove that, for two weakly holomorphic modular functions f and g on $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ with a square-free integer N , if $Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r)$ and $Q_{\hat{H}(g)}(r)$ have the same values at rational numbers r equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$, then $\text{Tr}_d(f) = \text{Tr}_d(g)$ for each index d .

Theorem 1.5. *Let N be a square-free integer and $f, g \in M_0^!(\Gamma_0^*(N))$ such that their constant terms vanish in Fourier expansions at $i\infty$. Suppose that the identity*

$$Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r) = Q_{\hat{H}(g)}(r)$$

holds for all rational numbers r equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Then, for each integer d ,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d(f) = \mathrm{Tr}_d(g).$$

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the basic notions of modular traces and their modularity which were proved by Duke, Imamoglu, Tóth [14] and Bruinier, Funke, Imamoglu [11]. In Section 3, we define regularized Eichler integrals for weakly holomorphic modular forms, and then we prove that the regularized Eichler integrals are well defined and that, for a harmonic weak Maass form f , the nonholomorphic part of f is the same as the regularized Eichler integral of its shadow. In Section 4, we define a regularized L -function for a weakly holomorphic modular form, and we prove that the regularized L -function is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} and satisfies a certain functional equation. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.4. In Section 6, we review the Eichler-Shimura cohomology theory for weakly holomorphic modular forms, which were proved by Bruggeman, Choie, and Diamantis [7]. And then, by using the result, we prove that there is no nonzero harmonic weak Maass form of half integral weight such that its holomorphic part is zero. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.5.

2. MODULAR TRACES AND THEIR MODULARITY

In this section, we review basic notions of harmonic weak Maass forms, definitions of modular traces of weakly holomorphic modular functions, and the results [11, 14] on modularity of modular traces of a weakly holomorphic modular function. We follow the notation in [11] (see also [18, 20, 22]).

2.1. Harmonic weak Maass forms. A harmonic weak Maass form represents a special case of the real analytic modular forms introduced by Maass [21]. For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, let x (resp. y) denote the real part (resp. imaginary part) of z . Let

$$\Delta_k := -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + iky \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and a function f on \mathbb{H} , we have a slash operator defined by

$$(f|_k \gamma)(z) := \overline{\chi_\theta(\gamma)}^{2k} (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z),$$

where $\gamma z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$.

Definition 2.1. *A harmonic weak Maass form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{H} satisfying the following properties*

- (1) *for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$, $f|_k \gamma = f$,*
- (2) *$\Delta_k f = 0$,*
- (3) *there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z) = O(e^{\delta y}),$$

as $y \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x , for every element $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $H_k(\Gamma_0(4N))$ denote the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight k on $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Let $B_k(x) := e^{-x} \int_{-2x}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-k} dt$, which converges for $k < 1$ and is holomorphically continued in k (for $x \neq 0$) in the same manner as the gamma function. If f is a harmonic weak Maass form in $H_k(\Gamma_0(4N))$, then f has a unique decomposition $f = f^+ + f^-$, where

$$(2.1) \quad f^+(z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a^+(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad f^-(z) = \sum_{n \neq 0} a^-(n) B_k(2\pi n y) e^{2\pi i n x} + a^-(0) c(y).$$

Here, $c(y) = \log y$ if $k = 1$ and $c(y) = y^{1-k}$ otherwise. It was proved that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that the Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} a^+(n) &= O\left(e^{C\sqrt{|n|}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ a^-(n) &= O\left(e^{C\sqrt{|n|}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

(see [9, Lemma 3.4]). In this decomposition, f^+ (resp. f^-) is called the holomorphic part (resp. nonholomorphic part) of f . Remark that this decomposition depends on the choice of the branch. In this paper, we follow the choice of the branch in [9].

2.2. Quadratic spaces. Let (V, Q) be the 3-dimensional quadratic space over \mathbb{Q} given by

$$V := \{X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & -x_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q})\},$$

with the quadratic form $Q(X) := -N \det(X)$. We let $G = \mathrm{Spin}(V)$, viewed as an algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} , and write \bar{G} for its image in $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. The corresponding bilinear form is $(X, Y) = N \mathrm{tr}(XY)$, and its signature is $(2, 1)$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on V by

$$g.X := gXg^{-1}$$

for $X \in V$ and $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, which gives rise to isomorphisms $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2$ and $\bar{G} \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2$.

We realize the associated hermitian symmetric space as the Grassmannian of negative lines in $V(\mathbb{R})$

$$D := \{z \subset V(\mathbb{R}) \mid \dim z = 1 \text{ and } Q|_z < 0\}.$$

We identify D with the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} as follows. Let $z_0 \in D$ be the line spanned by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Its stabilizer in $G(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to $K = \mathrm{SO}(2)$. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$, we choose $g_z \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_z i = z$ and put

$$X(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} g_z \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}y} \begin{pmatrix} -x & z\bar{z} \\ -1 & x \end{pmatrix} \in V(\mathbb{R}).$$

We obtain the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$, $z \mapsto g_z z_0 = \mathbb{R}X(z)$.

Let $L \subset V(\mathbb{Q})$ be an even lattice of full rank and write L' for the dual lattice of L . Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(L)$ which takes L to itself and acts trivially on the discriminant group L'/L . We set $M := \Gamma \backslash D$.

Since V is isotropic, the modular curve M is a non-compact Riemann surface. The group Γ acts on the set $\mathrm{Iso}(V)$ of isotropic lines in V . The cusps of M correspond to the Γ -equivalence classes of $\mathrm{Iso}(V)$, with $i\infty$ corresponding to the isotropic line l_0 spanned by $u_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For $l \in \mathrm{Iso}(V)$, we pick $\sigma_l \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\sigma_l l_0 = l$ and set $u_l := \sigma_l^{-1} u_0$. We let Γ_l be the stabilizer of l in Γ . Then

$$\sigma_l^{-1} \bar{\Gamma}_l \sigma_l = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k\alpha_l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

for some $\alpha_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There is $\beta_l \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\beta_l u_l$ is a primitive element of $l \cap L$. We write $\epsilon_l := \alpha_l / \beta_l$.

2.3. Modular traces. For $X \in V$ of negative length $Q(X) = m < 0$, we put $z_X := \mathbb{R}X \in D$. We also write z_X for the corresponding point in \mathbb{H} and we have

$$z_X = \frac{-b}{2a} + \frac{i\sqrt{|d|}}{2|a|} \in \mathbb{H}$$

for $X = \begin{pmatrix} b & 2c \\ -2a & -b \end{pmatrix}$ with $Q(X) = Nd < 0$. For $m \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $h \in L'/L$, the group Γ acts on $L_{m,h} := \{X \in L + h \mid Q(X) = m\}$ with finitely many orbits. For a function f on M , we define a modular trace of index $m < 0$ by

$$\mathrm{tr}_{m,h}(f) := \sum_{X \in \Gamma \backslash L_{m,h}} \frac{1}{|\bar{\Gamma}_X|} f(z_X).$$

For $X \in V$ of positive length $Q(X) = m > 0$, we define a modular trace by using the period $\int_{c(X)} f(z) dz_X$ as follows. We define a geodesic c_X in D via

$$c_X := \{z \in D \mid z \perp X\}.$$

We also write c_X for the corresponding geodesic in \mathbb{H} and, for $X = \begin{pmatrix} b & 2c \\ -2a & -b \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$c_X = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid a|z|^2 + b\operatorname{Re}(z) + c = 0\},$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ denotes the real part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We orient the geodesics as follows. For $X = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, the geodesic $c_X = \pm(0, i\infty)$ is the imaginary axis with the indicated orientation. The orientation preserving action of $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ induces an orientation for all c_X . We define the line measure dz_X for c_X by $dz_X := \pm \frac{dz}{\sqrt{mz}}$ for $X = \pm \sqrt{m/N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and then by $dz_{g^{-1}X} = d(gz)_X$ for $g \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. So, for $X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{pmatrix} b & 2c \\ -2a & -b \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$dz_X = \frac{dz}{az^2 + bz + c}.$$

We set $c(X) := \Gamma_X \setminus c_X$, and we use the same symbol for the image of $c(X)$ in M . The stabilizer $\bar{\Gamma}_X$ is either trivial or infinite cyclic. If $\bar{\Gamma}_X$ is infinite, then $c(X)$ is a closed geodesic in M . Hence, for a continuous function f on M , the period $\int_{c(X)} f(z) dz_X$ converges.

If $\bar{\Gamma}_X$ is trivial, then $c(X)$ is an infinite geodesic and we need to regularize the period $\int_{c(X)} f(z) dz_X$. Assume that X with $Q(X) = m > 0$ gives rise to an infinite geodesic in M . Let $f \in M_0^1(\Gamma)$. For any isotropic line l , the function $f_l(z) := f(\sigma_l z)$ can be written as

$$f_l(z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{\alpha_l} \mathbb{Z}} a_l(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

with $a_l(n) = 0$ for $n \ll 0$. Note that X^\perp is split over \mathbb{Q} , a rational hyperbolic plane spanned by two rational isotropic lines l_X and \tilde{l}_X . Note that $\tilde{l}_X = l_{-X}$. We can distinguish these isotropic lines by requiring that l_X represents the endpoint of the oriented geodesic. The geodesic c_X is explicitly given in $D \cong \mathbb{H}$ by

$$c_X = \sigma_{l_X} \{z \in D \mid z = \Phi(r + it) \text{ for some } t > 0\}.$$

We call $r = \operatorname{Re}(c_X)$ the *real part* of the geodesic c_X . Pick a number $c > 0$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{m} \int_{c(X)}^{\operatorname{reg}} f(z) dz_X &:= -a_{l_X}(0) \log(c) + \sum_{n \neq 0} a_{l_X}(n) e^{2\pi i n r} \mathcal{EI}(2\pi n c) \\ &\quad - a_{\tilde{l}_X}(0) \log(\tilde{c}) + \sum_{n \neq 0} a_{\tilde{l}_X}(n) e^{2\pi i n \tilde{r}} \mathcal{EI}(2\pi n \tilde{c}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c} = \operatorname{Im} \left(\sigma_{\tilde{l}_X}^{-1}(r + ic) \right)$, \tilde{r} is the real part of c_{-X} and $\mathcal{EI}(w)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{EI}(w) := \int_w^\infty e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then, we define the trace for positive index m and $h \in L'/L$ of f by

$$\operatorname{tr}_{m,h}(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{X \in \Gamma \setminus L_{m,h}} \int_{c(X)}^{\operatorname{reg}} f(z) dz_X.$$

Here, if X is a closed geodesic, then $\int_{c(X)}^{\text{reg}} f(z) dz_X$ denotes the usual integral $\int_{c(X)} f(z) dz_X$. We also define the complementary trace of f for $m \in N(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ and $h \in L'/L$ by

$$\text{tr}_{m,h}^c(f) := \sum_{X \in \Gamma \backslash L_{m,h}} \left[\sum_{n < 0} a_{l_X}(n) e^{2\pi i \text{Re}(c(X))n} + \sum_{n < 0} a_{\bar{l}_X}(n) e^{2\pi i \text{Re}(c(-X))n} \right].$$

By Proposition 4.7 of [10], we have

$$(2.3) \quad \text{tr}_{m,h}^c(f) = 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{N}} \sum_{l \in \Gamma \backslash \text{Iso}(V)} \epsilon_l \times \left[\delta_l(m, h) \sum_{\substack{n \in \frac{2}{\beta_l} \sqrt{\frac{m}{N}} \mathbb{Z} \\ n < 0}} a_l(n) e^{2\pi i r_+ n} + \delta_l(m, -h) \sum_{\substack{n \in \frac{2}{\beta_l} \sqrt{\frac{m}{N}} \mathbb{Z} \\ n < 0}} a_l(n) e^{2\pi i r_- n} \right],$$

where $\delta_l(m, h) = 1$ if there exists a vector $X \in L_{m,h}$ such that c_X ends at the cusp l and $\delta_l(m, h) = 0$ otherwise. If such X exists, then r_{\pm} is the real part of $\pm X$. In particular, $\text{tr}_{m,h}^c(f) = 0$ for $m \gg 0$.

To define the trace for the index $(0, h)$, we need to truncate M as follows. For every cusp $l \in \Gamma \backslash \text{Iso}(V)$, we choose sufficiently small neighborhood U_l . We write $q_l := e^{2\pi i (\sigma_l^{-1} z / \alpha_l)}$ with $z \in U_l$ for the local variable around $l \in \bar{M}$, where \bar{M} is the compact Riemann surface obtained by adding a point for each cusp $l \in \Gamma \backslash \text{Iso}(V)$ to M . For $T > 0$, we let $U_{1/T} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < \frac{1}{2\pi T}\}$. We truncate M by setting

$$M_T := \bar{M} \setminus \coprod_{[l] \in \text{Iso}(V)} q_l^{-1}(U_{1/T}).$$

We define the regularized average value of a function f on M by

$$\int_M^{\text{reg}} f(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2} := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_T} f(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

For $h \in L'/L$, we define the trace of f for the index $(0, h)$ by

$$\text{tr}_{0,h}(f) := -\delta_{h,0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M^{\text{reg}} f(z) \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

2.4. Modularity of modular traces. In this subsection, we review results on modularity of modular traces of a weakly holomorphic modular function. Let $\text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the two-fold metaplectic cover of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ realized as the group of pairs $(g, \phi(g, z))$, where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi(g, z)$ is a holomorphic square root of the automorphy factor $j(g, z) = cz + d$. Let $\Gamma' \subset \text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the inverse image of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ under the covering map. We denote the standard basis of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ by $\{\mathbf{e}_h \mid h \in L'/L\}$. Recall that there is a Weil representation ρ_L of Γ' on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ (see [3, Section 4] or [8, Chapter 1.1] for explicit formulas).

Let $\Gamma'' \subset \Gamma'$ be a subgroup of finite index. For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we let $A_{k,L}(\Gamma'')$ be the space of C^∞ -functions $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ that satisfy

$$f(\gamma'z) = \phi^{2k}(z)\rho_L(\gamma', \phi)f(z)$$

for $(\gamma', \phi) \in \Gamma''$. We denote by f_h the components of f for $h \in L'/L$. We call a function $f \in A_{k,L}(\Gamma'')$ a harmonic weak Maass form of weight k for Γ'' with representation ρ_L if $\Delta_k(f) = 0$ and f has at most linear exponential growth at the cusps of Γ'' . In [14], Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth proved that the generating series of $\text{Tr}_d(j_1)$ for $d > 0$, defined by

$$F(z) := \sum_{d>0} \text{Tr}_d(j_1)q^d$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and multiplier system χ_θ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ such that its shadow is the weakly holomorphic modular form $-2g_1$, where $g_1(z) := -q^{-1} + 2 + \sum_{d<0} \text{Tr}_d(j_1)q^{|d|}$. The following theorem is the extension of [14], by Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu [11], to weakly holomorphic modular functions on modular curves of arbitrary genus.

Theorem 2.2. [11, Theorem 4.1] *Let $f \in M_0^!(\Gamma)$ be a weakly holomorphic modular function whose constant coefficients $a_l(0)$ vanishes at all cusps l . Then, the generating series $\hat{G}(f) = \sum_{h \in L'/L} \hat{G}(f)_h \mathbf{e}_h$ is a harmonic weak Maass form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for Γ' with representation ρ_L , where*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}(f)_h(z) &:= -2\sqrt{y}\text{tr}_{0,h}(f) + \sum_{m<0} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) \frac{\text{erfc}(2\sqrt{\pi|m|y})}{2\sqrt{|m|}} e^{2\pi imz} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m>0} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) e^{2\pi imz} + 2 \sum_{m>0} \text{tr}_{Nm^2,h}^c(f) \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{y}} e^{4\pi Nm^2 w^2} dw \right) e^{2\pi i Nm^2 z}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\text{erfc}(w) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_w^\infty e^{-t^2} dt$ is the complementary error function.

We consider the lattice

$$(2.4) \quad L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & c/N \\ a & -b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Its dual lattice is

$$L' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & c/N \\ a & -b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, c \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \frac{1}{2N}\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Then, given $g = \sum_{h \in L'/L} g_h \mathbf{e}_h \in A_{k,L}(\Gamma')$, the sum

$$\sum_{h \in L'/L} g_h(4Nz)$$

gives a scalar-valued form of weight k for $\Gamma_0(4N)$. From this, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let L be a lattice given in (2.4). Let $f \in M_0^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a weakly holomorphic modular function whose constant coefficients $a_l(0)$ vanish at all cusps l . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(f)(z) &:= \sum_{d>0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d z} - 2\text{Tr}_0(f) \sqrt{y} + \sum_{d<0} \text{Tr}_d(f) \frac{\text{erfc}(2\sqrt{\pi|d|y})}{\sqrt{|d|}} e^{2\pi i d z} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{d>0} \text{Tr}_{d^2}^c(f) \left(\int_0^{2\sqrt{Ny}} e^{\pi d^2 w^2/N} dw \right) e^{2\pi i d^2 z} \end{aligned}$$

is a harmonic weak Maass form in $H_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N))$, where $\text{Tr}_{d^2}^c(f) = \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{\frac{d^2}{4N}, h}^c(f)$. In particular, we have

$$W(f) := -\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\hat{H}(f) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d>0} \overline{\text{Tr}_{d^2}^c(f)} q^{-d^2} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{Tr}_0(f)} + \sum_{d<0} \overline{\text{Tr}_d(f)} q^{-d}.$$

Although this corollary was explained in [11], we will sketch the proof for the convenience of the reader (see also [10, Section 6]).

Proof of Corollary 2.3. From the vector-valued harmonic weak Maass form $\hat{G}(f)$ on Γ' in Theorem 2.2, we obtain a scalar-valued harmonic weak Maass form in $H_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N))$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \sum_{h \in L'/L} \hat{G}(f)_h(4Nz) &= -2\sqrt{y} \text{tr}_{0,0}(f) + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m<0} \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) \frac{\text{erfc}(4\sqrt{\pi|m|Ny})}{\sqrt{|m|}} e^{2\pi i(4Nm)z} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m>0} \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) e^{2\pi i(4Nm)z} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m>0} \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{Nm^2,h}^c(f) \left(\int_0^{2\sqrt{Ny}} e^{4\pi Nm^2 w^2} dw \right) e^{2\pi i(2Nm)^2 z}. \end{aligned}$$

To $X = \begin{pmatrix} b & c/N \\ a & -b \end{pmatrix} \in L'$ with $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \frac{1}{2N}\mathbb{Z}$, we can correspond an integral binary quadratic form $g_X(x, y) = -aNx^2 + 2Nbx y + cy^2$. If $Q(X) = m \in \frac{1}{4N}\mathbb{Z}$, then the discriminant of g_X is $4Nm \in \mathbb{Z}$. With this correspondence, if $m < 0$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) = 2\text{Tr}_{4Nm}(f).$$

The number 2 comes from the fact that the left hand side counts positive and negative definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant m . If $m > 0$, then we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{h \in L'/L} \text{tr}_{m,h}(f) = 2\sqrt{N} \text{Tr}_{4Nm}(f).$$

The number $2\sqrt{N}$ comes from the fact that $dz_X = 2\sqrt{N} \frac{dz}{g_X(z, 1)}$. Finally, note that

$$(2.7) \quad \text{tr}_{0,0}(f) = \text{Tr}_0(f).$$

From the relations (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7), we can see that $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \sum_{h \in L'/L} \hat{G}(f)_h(4Nz) = \hat{H}(f)(z)$. \square

Remark 2.4. *If N is a square-free positive integer, then $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ has only one cusp. Hence, if f is a weakly holomorphic modular function on $\Gamma_0^*(N)$, then, by (2.3), we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{d^2}^c(f) = 2d \sum_{n < 0} a(dn).$$

3. REGULARIZED EICHLER INTEGRALS

Let g be a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z_2, \alpha, \beta, s \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that, for each $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the function $(cz + d)^{-k} g(\gamma z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form as in (1.5). For a complex number z , let $\mathrm{Re}(z)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(z)$ denote the real and imaginary part of z , respectively.

We consider an integral of the form

$$(3.1) \quad I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta) := \int_{z_1}^{i\infty} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \tau} d\tau.$$

Let us fix z_1 and z_2 such that $\mathrm{Im}(z_1) + \mathrm{Im}(z_2) > 0$. For $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) \ll 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\beta) \gg 0$, the above integral converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function of (α, β) . If, for a fixed α such that $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) \ll 0$, the integral $I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)$ has analytic continuation at $\beta = 0$, then the value of this analytic continuation at $\beta = 0$ is denoted by $[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0}$. If $[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0}$ has analytic continuation at $\alpha = 0$, then the value of this analytic continuation at $\beta = 0$ is denoted by $\left[[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0}$. If these two continuations exist, we define the *regularized Eichler integral associated with g* by

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau := \left[[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0}.$$

More generally, if we let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $r = \gamma(i\infty)$, then the *regularized Eichler integral associated with g for a cusp r* is defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{[z_1, r]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau := \left[\left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma\tau)(\gamma\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \gamma\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0}.$$

Since $\chi_\theta(T) = 1$, this definition is independent of the choice of γ , i.e., the right hand side of (3.3) remains the same when we replace γ by $\pm\gamma T^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

For two distinct cusps r_1 and r_2 , we define

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{[r_1, r_2]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau := - \int_{[z_1, r_1]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau + \int_{[z_1, r_2]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau,$$

for any $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$. Let us note that a regularized integral in (3.4) is independent of the choice of z_1 (see Lemma 3.8 in Section 3.2). In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$, $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $f \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and $g = \xi_{2-k}(f) \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$. If $k \neq 1$, then the regularized Eichler integral*

$$\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{k-2} d\tau$$

is well defined for z_1 and z_2 . Moreover, we have

$$\xi_{2-k} \left(\overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau} \right) = -(2i)^{k-1} g(z).$$

and

$$(f^- - f^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = -\frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[\gamma^{-1}(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$.

Remark 3.2. *It was noted by Bruggeman that one can also use the method in Section 3 of [7] to define the regularized Eichler integrals and to prove their properties obtained in this paper.*

3.1. Asymptotic behavior of a generalized incomplete gamma function $A(x, y, s)$. To prove the well-definedness of the regularized Eichler integral $\int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z}_2)^s d\tau$, we study the function

$$A(x, y, s) := \int_x^\infty e^{-t}(t + iy)^s dt$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that if $y \neq 0$, then the function $A(x, y, s)$ is well defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. If $y = 0$, then we define $A(x, y, s)$ as the analytic continuation of the incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(s+1, x)$. This function appears in series expressions of the regularized Eichler integrals (see Lemma 3.5).

It is known that the incomplete gamma function satisfies the following asymptotic properties

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma(s+1, x) \sim e^{-x} x^s$$

as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ (for example see [9, Section 3]). In the following lemma, as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we prove the asymptotic properties of $A(x, y, s)$ for general y and s .

Lemma 3.3. *We assume the above notation.*

- (1) *For each fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $A(x, y, s) \sim e^{-x}(x + iy)^s$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.*
- (2) *Let $c(x) = ax + b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have $A(x, c(x), s) \sim e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^s$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.3. First, we consider the case when x goes to ∞ . In this case, we only need to prove the part (2) since the part (1) comes by setting $c(x) = y$. If we use the integration by

parts, $A(x, c(x), s)$ is equal to

$$(3.6) \quad e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^s + se^{-x}(x + ic(x))^{s-1} + \cdots + s(s-1) \cdots (s-(n-2))e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^{s-(n-1)} \\ + s(s-1) \cdots (s-(n-1)) \int_x^\infty e^{-t}(t + ic(x))^{s-n} dt$$

for $x > 0$ and $n > 0$. We take n such that $n \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1 < 0$. Note that

$$e^x \left| \int_x^\infty e^{-t}(t + ic(x))^{s-n} dt \right| \leq \int_x^\infty |e^{x-t}(t + ic(x))^{s-n}| dt \leq \int_x^\infty |(t + ic(x))^{s-n}| dt$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad |(t + ic(x))^{s-n}| = |t + ic(x)|^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n} e^{-\arg(t+ic(x))\operatorname{Im}(s)}.$$

For $t \in [x, \infty)$, we have

$$0 < t \leq |t + ic(x)| \text{ and } |\arg(t + ic(x))| \leq |\arg(x + ic(x))|$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \int_x^\infty |(t + ic(x))^{s-n}| dt \leq e^{|\arg(x+ic(x))\operatorname{Im}(s)|} \int_x^\infty t^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n} dt.$$

The right hand side of (3.8) is equal to

$$(3.9) \quad e^{|\arg(x+ic(x))\operatorname{Im}(s)|} \left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1} t^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n+1} \right]_x^\infty = -\frac{e^{|\arg(x+ic(x))\operatorname{Im}(s)|}}{\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1} x^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n+1}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^{s-j}}{e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^s} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(x + ic(x))^j} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_x^\infty e^{-t}(t + ic(x))^{s-n} dt \right|}{|e^{-x}x^s|} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^x \left| \int_x^\infty e^{-t}(t + ic(x))^{s-n} dt \right|}{x^{\operatorname{Re}(s)}} \leq \frac{e^{|\pi \operatorname{Im}(s)|}}{\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{x^{n-1}} = 0.$$

Here, we used (3.9) and the fact that $n \geq 2$. If we combine all these limits with (3.6), then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(x, y, s)}{e^{-x}(x + ic(x))^s} = 1.$$

Now, we will prove the part (1) as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. We may assume that $y \neq 0$ since the asymptotic properties of $A(x, 0, s)$ comes directly from (3.5). Note that the integral

$$\int_{-1}^\infty e^{-t}(t + iy)^s dt$$

is well defined if $y \neq 0$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{\substack{x < -1 \\ x \rightarrow -\infty}} \frac{\int_x^{-1} e^{-t}(t + iy)^s dt}{e^{-x}x^s} = 1.$$

We will use the similar argument as above. Suppose that $x < -1$. Note that the integral

$$\int_x^{-1} e^{-t}(t+iy)^s dt$$

is equal to

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-x}(x+iy)^s + se^{-x}(x+iy)^{s-1} + \cdots + s(s-1)\cdots(s-(n-2))e^{-x}(x+iy)^{s-(n-1)} \\ & + s(s-1)\cdots(s-(n-1)) \int_x^{-1} e^{-t}(t+iy)^{s-n} dt \\ & - e(-1+iy)^s - se(-1+iy)^{s-1} - \cdots - s(s-1)\cdots(s-(n-1))e(-1+iy)^{s-(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We take n such that $n \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1 < 0$. The terms in the last line of (3.11) are constant terms with respect to the x variable. Therefore, they do not contribute in the computation of the limit (3.10) since $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |e^{-x}x^s| = \infty$. One can also see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{e^{-x}(x+iy)^{s-j}}{e^{-x}(x+iy)^s} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\left| \int_x^{-1} e^{-t}(t+iy)^{s-n} dt \right|}{|e^{-x}(x+iy)^s|} = 0.$$

For $t \in [x, -1]$, we have

$$0 < -t \leq |t+iy| \text{ and } |\arg(t+iy)| \leq |\arg(x+iy)|.$$

If we use (3.7), then we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad e^x \left| \int_x^{-1} e^{-t}(t+iy)^{s-n} dt \right| \leq \int_x^{-1} |(t+iy)^{s-n}| dt \leq e^{|\arg(x+iy)\operatorname{Im}(s)|} \int_x^{-1} (-t)^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n} dt.$$

The right hand side of (3.13) is equal to

$$\frac{e^{|\arg(x+iy)\operatorname{Im}(s)|}}{\operatorname{Re}(s) - n + 1} ((-x)^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-n+1} - 1).$$

Therefore, the equation (3.12) holds since the fact that $n \geq 2$ implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

In the following lemma, we prove the uniform convergence of a certain series by using Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Suppose that $a(n)$ is a sequence with $a(n) = O(e^{C\sqrt{n}})$ for some $C > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the series*

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e^{nb(t)} A(n(b(t) + \alpha), nc(t), s)$$

converges uniformly on E , where $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are continuous real-valued functions on E , and α is a positive real number.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let β be a positive real number. Since $a(n) = O(e^{C\sqrt{n}})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a(n)| e^{-n(\alpha+\beta)}$$

converges. Therefore, for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |a(m)| e^{-m(\alpha+\beta)} < 2\epsilon$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Note that by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n(b(t) + \alpha), nc(t), s)}{e^{n(b(t)+\alpha)} e^{n\beta}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n(b(t) + \alpha) + inc(t))^s}{e^{n\beta}} = 0.$$

Since E is bounded, the sets $\{b(t) \mid t \in E\}$ and $\{c(t) \mid t \in E\}$ are also bounded. This means that there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{A(n(b(t) + \alpha), nc(t), s)}{e^{n(b(t)+\alpha)} e^{n\beta}} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $n \geq N_2$ and all $t \in E$. Therefore, for all $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a(m) e^{nb(t)} A(n(b(t) + \alpha), nc(t), s) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |a(m)| e^{-m(\alpha+\beta)} < \epsilon.$$

Hence, the series (3.14) converges uniformly. □

3.2. Well-definedness of regularized Eichler integrals. The following lemma shows that the regularized Eichler integral associated with g defined in (3.2) is well defined for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ if $s \neq 1$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) + \text{Im}(z_2) > 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $s \neq -1$, $\text{Im}(z_1) + \text{Im}(z_2) > 0$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} b(n) e^{2\pi i n z} \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ for $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the regularized Eichler integral associated with*

g is well defined, and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z}_2)^s d\tau &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)}{n^{s+1}} e^{2\pi i n x_1} e^{2\pi n y_2} A(2\pi n(y_1 + y_2), 2\pi n(x_2 - x_1), s) \\ &\quad - b(0) \frac{i^{s+1} (y_1 + y_2 + i(x_2 - x_1))^{s+1}}{s+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_i (resp. y_i) denotes the real part (resp. imaginary part) of z_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. By the Fourier expansion of g , we have

$$(3.15) \quad I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{z_1}^{i\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} b(n) e^{2\pi i n \tau} (\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \tau} d\tau.$$

Suppose that $n + \text{Re}(\beta) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $\text{Re}(\alpha) < -\text{Re}(s) - 1$. Then, the integral in the right hand side of (3.15) is well defined and we can exchange the order of summation and integration in (3.15). Thus, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} b(n) \int_{z_1}^{i\infty} e^{2\pi i n \tau} (\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \tau} d\tau \\ &\quad + b(0) \int_{z_1}^{i\infty} (\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \tau} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first summand (resp. the second summand) in (3.16) by $S_1(z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)$ (resp. $S_2(z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)$).

Let us note that $\text{Re}(\alpha) < -\text{Re}(s) - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(z_1, z_2, \alpha, 0) &= b(0) \int_{z_1}^{i\infty} (\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{s+\alpha} d\tau = b(0) \left[\frac{1}{s+1+\alpha} (\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{s+1+\alpha} \right]_{z_1}^{i\infty} \\ &= -b(0) \frac{(z_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{s+1+\alpha}}{s+1+\alpha} = -b(0) \frac{i^{s+1+\alpha} (y_1 + y_2 + i(x_2 - x_1))^{s+1+\alpha}}{s+1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

On, the other hand, by applying the change of variables $\tau = x_1 + i(t - y_2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta) &= i^{s+1+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} b(n) e^{2\pi i (n+\beta)(x_1 - i y_2)} \int_{y_1 + y_2}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi (n+\beta)t} (t + i(x_2 - x_1))^{s+\alpha} dt \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{s+1+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)}{(n+\beta)^{s+\alpha+1}} e^{2\pi i (n+\beta)(x_1 - i y_2)} \\ &\quad \times A(2\pi(n+\beta)(y_1 + y_2), 2\pi(n+\beta)(x_2 - x_1), s+\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Let us note that

$$(3.17) \quad b(n) = O\left(e^{C\sqrt{|n|}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for a constant $C > 0$. If we use Lemma 3.3 with (3.17), then, for a fixed α such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < -\operatorname{Re}(s) - 1$, the function $I_s(f, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)$ has an analytic continuation to $\beta = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} [I_s(f, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0} &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{s+1+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)}{n^{s+\alpha+1}} e^{2\pi i n(x_1 - iy_2)} A(2\pi n(y_1 + y_2), 2\pi n(x_2 - x_1), s + \alpha) \\ &\quad - b(0) \frac{i^{s+1+\alpha} (y_1 + y_2 + i(x_2 - x_1))^{s+1+\alpha}}{s + 1 + \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $s \neq -1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[[I_s(f, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)}{n^{s+1}} e^{2\pi i n(x_1 - iy_2)} A(2\pi n(y_1 + y_2), 2\pi n(x_2 - x_1), s) \\ &\quad - b(0) \frac{i^{s+1} (y_1 + y_2 + i(x_2 - x_1))^{s+1}}{s + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the regularized Eichler integral

$$\int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\operatorname{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau = \left[[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, \alpha, \beta)]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0}$$

is well defined for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$, $z_2, s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $y_1 + y_2 > 0$ and $s \neq -1$. \square

- Remark 3.6.** (1) If the integral $\int_{z_1}^{i\infty} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau$ is well defined, then it is the same as the regularized Eichler integral of g in (3.2).
(2) If $b(0) = 0$, then the regularized Eichler integral of g in (3.2) is the same as the analytic continuation of $I_s(g, z_1, z_2, 0, \beta)$ at $\beta = 0$, which is denoted by $[I_s(g, z_1, z_2, 0, \beta)]_{\beta=0}$.
(3) In general, the regularized Eichler integral $\int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\operatorname{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau$ is not the same as the limit of integrals $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{z_1}^{z_1 + iy} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau$. In fact, this limit does not converge if g has a principal part at the cusp $i\infty$. However, if g is a cusp form, then the limit converges and is equal to $\int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\operatorname{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau$.
(4) Although we have defined $M_k^1(\Gamma_0(4N))$ with the multiplier system χ_θ^{2k} , the statement of Lemma 3.5 is valid for all multiplier system χ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ of weight k with $\chi(T) = 1$.
(5) If we set $z_1 = z_2 = z \in \mathbb{H}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\operatorname{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{z})^{k-2} d\tau} &= \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}}\right)} e^{2\pi i(-n)x} B_{2-k}(-2\pi ny) \\ &\quad - \frac{\overline{b(0)}(-2i)^{k-1}}{k-1} y^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $B_k(x)$, we have

$$e^{2\pi i(-n)x} B_{2-k}(-2\pi ny) = e^{2\pi i(-n)z} \int_{4\pi ny}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{k-2} dt$$

for $n \neq 0$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$, we obtain

$$\xi_{2-k} \left(\overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau} \right) = -(2i)^{k-1} g(z).$$

Note that the Fourier expansion of $\overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}$ is of the same form with that of the nonholomorphic part of a harmonic weak Maass form of weight $2 - k$ (see (2.2)). In other words, we have

$$(3.18) \quad f^-(z) = \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(f)(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}$$

for $f \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$.

The following lemma shows that the regularized Eichler integral defined in (3.3) is well defined on certain conditions.

Lemma 3.7. (1) *The regularized Eichler integral $\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z}_2)^{k-2} d\tau$ is well defined for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $z_2 \neq r$ and $\text{Im}(\gamma^{-1}z_1) + \text{Im}(\gamma^{-1}z_2) > 0$, and equal to*

$$(-c\bar{z}_2 + a)^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} g(\gamma\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2})^{k-2} d\tau,$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $r = \gamma(i\infty)$. In particular, if $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$, then the regularized Eichler integral is equal to

$$\chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma)(-c\bar{z}_2 + a)^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2})^{k-2} d\tau.$$

(2) *If $z_2 = r$ and $\text{Re}(s) > k - 1$, then the regularized Eichler integral (3.3) is well defined for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\text{Re}(z_1) = r$. Furthermore, it has a meromorphic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, which has a pole at $s = k - 1$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.7. (1) For any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad (\gamma\tau - \overline{\gamma z})^{k-2+\alpha} = (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2+\alpha} (c\tau + d)^{-(k-2+\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{c\bar{z} + d} \right)^{k-2+\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^{k-2} d\tau &= \left[\left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma\tau)(\gamma\tau - \overline{z_2})^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
&= \left[\left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c\overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2} + d} \right)^{k-2+\alpha} (c\tau + d)^{-k} g(\gamma\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2})^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
&= (-c\overline{z_2} + a)^{k-2} \left[\left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-k} g(\gamma\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2})^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
&= (-c\overline{z_2} + a)^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} g(\gamma\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z_2})^{k-2} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, this integral is well defined for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ if $z_2 \neq r$ and $\text{Im}(\gamma^{-1}z_1) + \text{Im}(\gamma^{-1}z_2) > 0$.

(2) By the definition of the regularized Eichler integral in (3.3), we have

$$\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^s d\tau = \left[\left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma\tau)(\gamma\tau - r)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0},$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $r = \gamma(i\infty)$. Let $z_1 = r + it$ for $t > 0$. By applying the change of variables $w = \gamma\tau - r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma\tau)(\gamma\tau - r)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \\
&= \int_{z_1 - r}^0 (-cw)^{2-\alpha} g(w + r) w^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\gamma^{-1}(w+r)} (-cw)^{-2} dw \\
&= c^{-\alpha} e^{\pi i\alpha} \int_{it}^0 g(w + r) w^s e^{2\pi i\beta\gamma^{-1}(w+r)} dw.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the change of variables $z = -\frac{1}{w}$, we have

$$\int_{it}^0 g(w + r) w^s e^{2\pi i\beta\gamma^{-1}(w+r)} dw = \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} g\left(-\frac{1}{z} + r\right) \left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^s e^{2\pi i\beta\gamma^{-1}(-\frac{1}{z}+r)} \frac{dz}{z^2}.$$

Note that

$$c\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right) + d = \frac{z}{c}$$

and

$$\gamma\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right) = \frac{a\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right) + b}{c\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right) + d} = -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{a}{c} = -\frac{1}{z} + r.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} g\left(-\frac{1}{z} + r\right) \left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^s e^{2\pi i \beta \gamma^{-1}(-\frac{1}{z} + r)} \frac{dz}{z^2} \\ &= \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} \left(c\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right) + d\right)^{-k} g\left(\gamma\left(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c}\right)\right) \left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^k \left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^s e^{2\pi i \beta(\frac{z}{c^2} - \frac{d}{c})} \frac{dz}{z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we employ the Fourier expansion of $(cz + d)^{-k}g(\gamma z)$ in (1.5), then (3.20) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{c^k} i^{2s} \sum_{n \gg -\infty} b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right) \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)} \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right) \left(\frac{z}{c^2}\right)} z^{k-s-2} dz.$$

If $n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0$, then, by the change of variables $y = z/i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right) \left(\frac{z}{c^2}\right)} z^{k-s-2} dz = i^{k-s-1} \int_{1/t}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right) \left(\frac{y}{c^2}\right)} y^{k-s-2} dy \\ &= \Gamma\left(k-s-1, \frac{2\pi}{c^2 t} \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right)\right) \frac{i^{k-s-1}}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{c^2} \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} + \beta\right)\right)^{k-s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\gamma^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c\tau + d)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma\tau) (\gamma\tau - r)^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i \beta \tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \\ &= c^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s-1} e^{\pi i \alpha} i^{k+s-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n+\kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right) \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)}}{\left(2\pi \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right)^{k-s-1}} \Gamma\left(k-s-1, \frac{2\pi}{c^2 t} \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right) \\ &+ c^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{c^k} e^{\pi i \alpha} i^{2s} \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} b_\gamma(0) \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} z^{k-s-2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral can be computed as

$$\int_{i/t}^{i\infty} z^{k-s-2} dz = -\frac{i^{k-s-1} t^{s-k+1}}{(k-s-1)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - r)^s d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s-1} i^{k+s-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n+\kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right) \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)}}{\left(2\pi \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right)^{k-s-1}} \Gamma\left(k-s-1, \frac{2\pi}{c^2 t} \left(\frac{n+\kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right) \\ &- \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} \frac{i^{k+s-1} t^{s-k+1} b_\gamma(0)}{c^k (k-s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we can see that the series in (3.21) converges absolutely for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except $s = k - 1$ by the asymptotic properties of the incomplete gamma function and Fourier coefficients of g , i.e.,

$$b_\gamma(n) = O\left(e^C \sqrt{|n|}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for a constant $C > 0$. Hence, this series gives the desired meromorphic continuation. \square

The following lemma shows that the regularized integral defined in (3.4) is independent of the choice of z_1 .

Lemma 3.8. *Let $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{[z_1, r_1]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau + \int_{[z_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau \\ & = - \int_{[z_0, r_1]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau + \int_{[z_0, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

if each integral is well defined.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Let us compute the following sum of the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} (3.22) \quad & - \int_{[z_1, r_1]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau + \int_{[z_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau \\ & + \int_{[z_0, r_1]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau - \int_{[z_0, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the regularized Eichler integral in (3.3), we see that (3.22) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c_1\tau + d_1)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_1\tau)(\gamma_1\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
& + \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_0)}^{i\infty} (c_1\tau + d_1)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_1\tau)(\gamma_1\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
& + \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_1)}^{i\infty} (c_2\tau + d_2)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_2\tau)(\gamma_2\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
& - \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_0)}^{i\infty} (c_2\tau + d_2)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_2\tau)(\gamma_2\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
& = \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_0)}^{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_1)} (c_1\tau + d_1)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_1\tau)(\gamma_1\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\
& + \left[\left[\int_{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_1)}^{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_0)} (c_2\tau + d_2)^{-2+\alpha} g(\gamma_2\tau)(\gamma_2\tau - \overline{z_2})^{s+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $r_i = \gamma_i(i\infty)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since

$$(c_i\tau + d_i)^{-2} g(\gamma_i\tau)(\gamma_i\tau - \overline{z_2})^s$$

is holomorphic on \mathbb{H} as a function of τ for $i = 1, 2$, the last sum is equal to

$$(3.23) \quad \int_{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_0)}^{\gamma_1^{-1}(z_1)} (c_1\tau + d_1)^{-2} g(\gamma_1\tau)(\gamma_1\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau + \int_{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_1)}^{\gamma_2^{-1}(z_0)} (c_2\tau + d_2)^{-2} g(\gamma_2\tau)(\gamma_2\tau - \overline{z_2})^s d\tau.$$

By applying the change of variables $w = \gamma_i\tau$ for $i = 1, 2$, the sum in (3.23) takes the form

$$\int_{z_0}^{z_1} g(w)(w - \overline{z_2})^s dw + \int_{z_1}^{z_0} g(w)(w - \overline{z_2})^s dw = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.3. Properties of regularized Eichler integrals. The following lemma shows that the regularized Eichler integral (3.4) satisfies certain transformation properties.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $g \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$. Suppose that $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. Then, we have*

$$\int_{[r_1, r_2]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{z})^{k-2} d\tau = \chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma)(-c\overline{z} + a)^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}(r_1), \gamma^{-1}(r_2)]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$

Proof of Lemma 3.9. By the definition of the regularized Eichler integral, we have

$$\int_{[r_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau = - \int_{[z_1, r_1]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau + \int_{[z_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$. By Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \int_{[r_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau = -\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_1)j(\gamma_1^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_1^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_1^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ + \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_2)j(\gamma_2^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_2^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_2^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau,$$

where $\gamma_i \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma_i(i\infty) = r_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Here, $j(\gamma, z) = cz + d$ for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If we use (3.24), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}(r_1), \gamma^{-1}(r_2)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ = -\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1)j(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma, \overline{\gamma^{-1}z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma z_2, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_1^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ + \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_2)j(\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma, \overline{\gamma^{-1}z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma z_2, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_2^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$

Note that by the consistency condition of the multiplier system χ_{θ}^{2k} we have

$$\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma)j(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma, w)^{k-2} = \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_i^{-1})j(\gamma_i^{-1}, \gamma w)^{k-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma, w)^{k-2}$$

for $w \in \mathbb{H}$ and $i = 1, 2$. If we take $w = \gamma^{-1}z$, then we obtain

$$\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma)j(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma, \gamma^{-1}z)^{k-2} = \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_i^{-1})j(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{k-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}z)^{k-2}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since $j(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}z)^{k-2} = j(\gamma^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)}$, we have

$$\chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, z)^{k-2} \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_i)j(\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma, \gamma^{-1}z)^{k-2} = \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma_i)j(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{k-2}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This implies that (3.25) is equal to

$$(3.26) \quad -\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_1)j(\gamma_1^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma z_2, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_1^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ + \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma_2)j(\gamma_2^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma z_2, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma_2^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau.$$

If we take $z_2 = \gamma^{-1}z_1$, then by (3.24) we see that (3.26) is equal to $\int_{[r_1, r_2]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau$. \square

The following lemma shows that we can do some change of variables in the regularized Eichler integrals as we do in the usual integrals.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $f \in M_k^1(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and $g(z) := f(M^2z)$ for $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4NM^2)$. Then, we have*

$$\int_{[\gamma(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau = \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[\gamma'(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - \overline{M^2z})^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} a & M^2b \\ c/M^2 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ be fixed. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(M^2\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau &= \left[\left[\int_{z_1}^{i\infty} f(M^2\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{M^2} \left[\left[\int_{M^2z_1}^{i\infty} f(\tau) \left(\frac{\tau}{M^2} - \bar{z} \right)^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau/M^2} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \left[\left[\int_{M^2z_1}^{i\infty} f(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{M^2z} \right)^{k-2+\alpha} e^{2\pi i\beta\tau/M^2} d\tau \right]_{\beta=0} \right]_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[M^2z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - \overline{M^2z})^{k-2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.27) \quad & \int_{[\gamma(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ &= - \int_{[z_1, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau + \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ &= -\chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma^{-1}z})^{k-2} d\tau + \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ &= -\chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma)j(\gamma^{-1}, \bar{z})^{k-2} \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[M^2(\gamma^{-1}z_1), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - \overline{M^2(\gamma^{-1}z)})^{k-2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[M^2z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - \overline{M^2z})^{k-2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$M^2(\gamma^{-1}z) = \gamma'^{-1}(M^2z), \chi_\theta(\gamma) = \chi_\theta(\gamma'), \text{ and } j(\gamma^{-1}, z) = j(\gamma'^{-1}, M^2z)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Therefore, (3.27) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma') j(\gamma'^{-1}, \overline{M^2 z})^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma'^{-1}(M^2 z_1), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau) (\tau - \overline{\gamma'^{-1}(M^2 z)})^{k-2} d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[M^2 z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau) (\tau - \overline{M^2 z})^{k-2} d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}} \int_{[\gamma'(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau) (\tau - \overline{M^2 z})^{k-2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

□

The formula (3.18) applies to compute the period function of the nonholomorphic part of f in terms of regularized Eichler integrals associated with g .

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and $g = \xi_{2-k}(f) \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Then, we have*

$$(f^- - f^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = -\frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[\gamma^{-1}(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.11. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. We may assume that $c > 0$ since we have $f^-|_{2-k}\gamma = f^-|_{2-k}(-\gamma)$. By (3.18), we obtain

$$(f^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)(cz + d)^{k-2} f^-(\gamma z) = \frac{-\chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma)(cz + d)^{k-2}}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[\gamma z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \overline{\gamma z})^{k-2} d\tau}.$$

By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma)(c\bar{z} + d)^{k-2} \int_{[\gamma z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(z) (\tau - \overline{\gamma z})^{k-2} d\tau = \int_{[z, \gamma^{-1}(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(f^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = -\frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[z, \gamma^{-1}(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}.$$

If we combine (3.18) and (3.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f^- - f^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) &= -\frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \left[\overline{\int_{[z, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau} - \overline{\int_{[z, \gamma^{-1}(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[\gamma^{-1}(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.12. *The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given by Lemma 3.5, 3.7, and 3.11 and Remark 3.6 (5).*

4. REGULARIZED L -FUNCTIONS

This section is devoted to studying the regularized L -function of a weakly holomorphic modular form. Especially, we prove that the L -function is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} and satisfies a certain functional equation.

Let g be a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Assume that, for each $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the function $(cz + d)^{-k}g(\gamma z)$ has a Fourier expansion as in (1.5). For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define a *regularized twisted L -function of g associated with r* by

$$\begin{aligned} L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n) \\ &\quad + \frac{i^k}{c^k \Gamma(s)} (2\pi)^{2s-k} (c^2)^{k-s} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i (n + \kappa_\gamma)(-\frac{d}{c})/\lambda_\gamma}}{\left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi(n + \kappa_\gamma)}{c^2 \lambda_\gamma}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(2\pi)^s b(0)}{s \Gamma(s)} - \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} \frac{i^k (2\pi)^s b_\gamma(0)}{c^k (k - s) \Gamma(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0}$ is the Kronecker delta, and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $r = \gamma(i\infty)$. When the constant term of g is zero, this L -function was defined in [15]. This definition is independent of the choice of γ (see Lemma 4.1 (2)).

Lemma 4.1. *Let g be a weakly holomorphic modular form in $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$.*

- (1) *For each $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, the regularized L -function $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ converges absolutely on \mathbb{C} except $s = 0$ and $s = k$. If $g \in S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$, then $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ is entire as a function of s , where $S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ denotes the subspace of $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ consisting of f whose constant terms vanish at all cusps of $\Gamma_0(4N)$.*
- (2) *The regularized L -function $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ is the meromorphic continuation of the integral*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{i}\right)^s \int_{[r, i\infty]}^{\mathrm{reg}} g(\tau) (\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau,$$

which is well defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > k$. If $g \in S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$, then the integral in (4.1) is entire as a function of s . In particular, the definition of $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ is independent of the choice of γ .

- (3) *Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. Then, the regularized L -function $L_r^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s)$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$\Gamma(s) (2\pi)^{-s} L_{\gamma(i\infty)}^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, s) = i^k c^k (c^2)^{-s} \chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma) \Gamma(k - s) (2\pi)^{-(k-s)} L_{\gamma^{-1}(i\infty)}^{\mathrm{reg}}(g, k - s).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. (1) Note that $\Gamma(s, x)$, $b(n)$ and $b_\gamma(n)$ have the following asymptotic behaviors

$$\Gamma(s, x) \sim |x|^{s-1} e^{-x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$b(n) = O\left(e^C \sqrt{|n|}\right), \quad b_\gamma(n) = O\left(e^C \sqrt{|n|}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for a constant $C > 0$. Therefore, the regularized L -function $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$ converges absolutely on \mathbb{C} except at $s = 0$ and $s = k$.

If $g \in S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$, then $b(0) = \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} b_\gamma(0) = 0$. Let $f_n(s) = \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be bounded. Then, we obtain

$$f'_n(s) = \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{(\ln n)n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n) + \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} (s-1) \Gamma(s-1, 2\pi n).$$

By the asymptotic behavior of the incomplete gamma function, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$ and $s \in A$, then

$$|\Gamma(s, 2\pi n)| < (1 + \epsilon)(2\pi n)^{s-1} e^{-2\pi n}.$$

Moreover, since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{(\ln n)n^s} (2\pi n)^{s-1} + \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} (s-1)(2\pi n)^{s-2} \right) \frac{1}{e^n} = 0,$$

there exists $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N'$ and $s \in A$, then

$$\left| \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{(\ln n)n^s} (2\pi n)^{s-1} + \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} (s-1)(2\pi n)^{s-2} \right| < e^n.$$

Therefore, if $n \geq \max\{N, N'\}$ and $s \in A$, then we have

$$|f'_n(s)| < (1 + \epsilon)e^{(1-2\pi)n}.$$

This implies that the series $\sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} f'_n(s)$ converges uniformly on A . Therefore, the series

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n)$$

is entire as a function of s . In the same way, we can see that the series

$$\frac{i^k}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{c} \right)^{2s-k} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i (n + \kappa_\gamma) (-\frac{d}{c}) / \lambda_\gamma}}{\left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma} \right)^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi(n + \kappa_\gamma)}{c^2 \lambda_\gamma}\right)$$

is also entire as a function of s . Hence, $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$ is entire as a function of s .

(2) Suppose that $\text{Re}(s) > k$. By the definition of the regularized Eichler integral in (3.4), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{[r, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau = \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau - \int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau$$

for any $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $z_1 = r + it$ for $t > 0$. Then, Lemma 3.5 and (3.21) implies

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau = i^s \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{(2\pi n)^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t) - i^s t^s \frac{b(0)}{s}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau &= \frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{k+s-2} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right) \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)}}{\left(2\pi \left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right)^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi}{c^2 t} \left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} \frac{i^{k+s-2} t^{s-k} b_\gamma(0)}{c^k (k - s)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we combine (4.3) and (4.4), then we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{i}\right)^s \int_{[r, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{b(n)e^{2\pi i n r}}{n^s} \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t) \\ &\quad + \frac{i^k}{c^k \Gamma(s)} (2\pi)^{2s-k} (c^2)^{k-s} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n + \kappa_\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{b_\gamma(n) e^{2\pi i (n + \kappa_\gamma) \left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) / \lambda_\gamma}}{\left(\frac{n + \kappa_\gamma}{\lambda_\gamma}\right)^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi(n + \kappa_\gamma)}{c^2 t \lambda_\gamma}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(2\pi)^s t^s b(0)}{s \Gamma(s)} - \delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} \frac{i^k (2\pi)^s t^{s-k} b_\gamma(0)}{c^k (k - s) \Gamma(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t > 0$. If we let $t = 1$, then we see that

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{i}\right)^s \int_{[r, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau = L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$$

for $s > k$. Therefore, the integral $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{i}\right)^s \int_{[r, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau$ can be continued meromorphically to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and is equal to the regularized L -function $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$.

In the above computation, we need the condition $\text{Re}(s) > k$ only for the regularized integral $\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau$ in (4.2). More precisely, we need the condition $\text{Re}(s) > k$ to compute the term

$$\delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} b_\gamma(0) \int_{i/t}^{i\infty} z^{k-s-1} dz$$

(see the proof of Lemma 3.7). If $g \in S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$, then $\delta_{\kappa_\gamma, 0} b_\gamma(0) = 0$, and hence the equality (4.6) holds for all s . Since $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$ is entire as a function of s for $g \in S_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$ by (1), the integral in (4.1) gives an entire function of s .

(3) By Lemma 3.5 and (3.21), we see that

$$\int_{[z_1, r]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - r)^{s-1} d\tau = \frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{2s-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma) \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau) \left(\tau - \left(-\frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-s-1} d\tau$$

for $z_1 = r + it \in \mathbb{H}$, and the integral in the right hand side is well defined for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except at $s = k$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.7) \quad \Gamma(s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^{-s} L_{\gamma(i\infty)}^{\text{reg}}(g, s) &= \int_{[\gamma(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau - \int_{[z_1, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau - \frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{2s-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma) \int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma^{-1}(i\infty))^{k-s-1} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for $z_1 = \gamma(i\infty) + it \in \mathbb{H}$. Here, we can take any positive real number $t > 0$. Note that (4.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{2s-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma) \left[\int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma^{-1}(i\infty))^{k-s-1} d\tau \right. \\ & \quad \left. - c^k (c^2)^{s-k} i^{-(2s-2)} \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{2s-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma) \left[\int_{[\gamma^{-1}z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma^{-1}(i\infty))^{k-s-1} d\tau \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{(-c)^k} (c^2)^s i^{2(k-s)-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma^{-1}) \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} g(\tau)(\tau - \gamma(i\infty))^{s-1} d\tau \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^k} (c^2)^{k-s} i^{2s-2} \chi_{\theta}^{2k}(\gamma) \Gamma(k-s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^{-(k-s)} L_{\gamma^{-1}(i\infty)}^{\text{reg}}(g, k-s). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the identity $(c^2)^{-k} c^k = \frac{1}{(-c)^k} i^{2k}$ for any nonzero real number c . From this, we can derive the desired functional equation for $L_r^{\text{reg}}(g, s)$. \square

The following theorem shows that, for $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$, the limit of g^+ can be described in terms of the special value of the regularized L -function of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Suppose that g^+ does not have neither principal part nor constant term in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ with $c > 0$ and*

$r = \gamma(i\infty)$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[g^+ \left(\gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right) - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) - i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), k-1)} + \frac{(-i)^k \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b(0)$ is the constant term of the Fourier expansion of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ at the cusp $i\infty$. In particular, if $x = -\frac{d}{c}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(g^+(r + it) - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(\frac{i}{ct} \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{k-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), k-1)} + \frac{(-i)^k \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. (1) When $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ is a cusp form, it was proved in [12].

(2) Assume that g is a harmonic weak Maass form of real weight k on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with η -multiplier system. Let G^- be the regular part of g^- , i.e.,

$$G^-(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c^-(n) B_k(2\pi n y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

For $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (G^-|_k S - G^-)(z)$ was obtained in [5].

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g^+(\gamma z) &= \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) (cz + d)^{2-k} (g^+|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) (cz + d)^{2-k} \left[(g^+|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) - g^+(z) + g^+(z) \right] \\ &= \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) (cz + d)^{2-k} \left[g^-(z) - (g^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) + g^+(z) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Since $g^+(z)$ does not have neither principal part nor constant term in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$, it decays exponentially as z goes to $i\infty$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} g^+ \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) = 0.$$

This implies that (4.8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left[(g^- - g^-|_{2-k}\gamma) \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) - i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $z \in \mathbb{H}$. By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.9, we see that

$$\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma)(cz+d)^{2-k}(g^- - g^-|_{2-k}\gamma)(z) = \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau)(\tau - \overline{\gamma z})^{k-2} d\tau}.$$

This implies that (4.8) is equal to

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) - i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1} \right].$$

For any $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$, by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\left[\int_{[z_0, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right.} \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right]} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \overline{\int_{[\gamma^{-1}(z_0), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right)^{k-2} d\tau} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \overline{\int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(cz+d)^{-k} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\gamma z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form as in (1.5) for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We take $z_0 = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{1}{c}i \in \mathbb{H}$. Note that $\gamma^{-1}(z_0) = -\frac{d}{c} + \frac{1}{c}i$. Then by Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{\int_{[\gamma^{-1}(z_0), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right)^{k-2} d\tau} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) - i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1} \right] \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right) e^{2\pi i n \frac{d}{c}} e^{2\pi n \frac{1}{c^2 t}} A \left(2\pi n \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right), 2\pi n \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right), k-2 \right).$$

By Lemma 3.3 and 3.4, the last limit in (4.10) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \right. \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right)} e^{2\pi i n \frac{d}{c}} e^{2\pi n \frac{1}{c^2 t}} e^{-2\pi n \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)} \overline{\left(2\pi n \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) + 2\pi n i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-2}} \Big] \\
& = \frac{(-i)^k}{2^{k-1} 2\pi c^{k-2}} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{\overline{b_{\gamma}(n)}}{n} e^{2\pi i n \frac{d}{c}} e^{-2\pi n \frac{1}{c}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \\
& = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \left[\left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right)} e^{2\pi i n \left(-\frac{a}{c} \right)} e^{2\pi n a(t)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \overline{A \left(2\pi n \left(\frac{1}{c} + a(t) \right), 2\pi n \left(c(t) - \frac{a}{c} \right), k-2 \right)} \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{\overline{b(0)}(-i)^{k-1}}{k-1} \overline{\left(\left(\frac{1}{c} + a(t) \right) + i \left(c(t) - \frac{a}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1}} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \left[\left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right)} e^{2\pi i n \left(-\frac{a}{c} \right)} A \left(2\pi n \frac{1}{c}, 0, k-2 \right) - \frac{\overline{b(0)}(-i)^{k-1}}{c^{k-1}(k-1)} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right)} e^{2\pi i n \left(-\frac{a}{c} \right)} \Gamma \left(k-1, \frac{2\pi n}{c} \right) - \frac{\overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}(k-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a(t) = \text{Im} \left(\gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)$ and $c(t) = \text{Re} \left(\gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)$ for $t > 0$. Since $L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), s)$ can be written as in (4.5), we see that (4.8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\left(\frac{b(n)}{n^{k-1}} \right)} e^{2\pi i n \left(-\frac{a}{c} \right)} \Gamma \left(k-1, \frac{2\pi n}{c} \right) \\
& + \frac{(-i)^k}{2^{k-1} 2\pi c^{k-2}} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{\overline{b_{\gamma}(n)}}{n} e^{2\pi i n \frac{d}{c}} \Gamma \left(1, \frac{2\pi n}{c} \right) - \frac{\overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}(k-1)} \\
& = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), k-1)} + \frac{(-i)^k \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and r be a rational number which is equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Then we define $Q_g(r)$ by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[g^+ \left(\gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right) - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right) - i \left(x + \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^{k-1} \right].$$

The following corollary shows that the period function of Q_g is the limit value of the period function of g^+ if the constant term of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ at the cusp $i\infty$ vanishes.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ such that g^+ does not have neither principal part nor constant term in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$. Suppose that the constant term of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ at the cusp $i\infty$ vanishes. Let $\psi(g)_\gamma = g^+ - g^+|_{2-k}\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. Then, we have*

$$(Q_g - Q_g|_{2-k}\gamma')(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(g)_{\gamma'}(\gamma(x + it))$$

for $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4N)$ with $r \neq (\gamma')^{-1}(i\infty)$.

Proof of Corollary 4.4. Suppose that $(cz + d)^{-k} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\gamma z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form as in (1.5) for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By the assumption, we have $b(0) = 0$. By (4.9), we have

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_g(r) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma(x + it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ with $\gamma(i\infty) = r$. Note that (4.11) holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (Q_g|_{2-k}\gamma')(r) &= \chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma')(c'r + d')^{k-2} Q_g(\gamma'r) \\ &= \chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma')(c'r + d')^{k-2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma'\gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma'\gamma(x + it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{-\chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma') j(\gamma', \gamma(x + it))^{k-2}}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma'\gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma'\gamma(x + it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma 3.9, (4.12) is equal to

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{-\chi_\theta^{2k}(\gamma') j(\gamma', \gamma(x + it))^{k-2} \chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma') j(\gamma'^{-1}, \gamma'\gamma(x + it))^{k-2}}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \int_{[\gamma'^{-1}(i\infty), \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma(x + it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \left[\int_{[\gamma'^{-1}(i\infty), \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma(x + it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the fact that $j(\gamma'^{-1}, \gamma'z) = j(\gamma', z)^{-1}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{H}$. If we combine (4.11) and (4.13), then we have

$$(4.14) \quad Q_g(r) - (Q_g|_{2-k}\gamma')(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \left[\int_{[i\infty, \gamma'^{-1}(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \overline{\gamma(x+it)} \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right].$$

By Lemma 3.11, (4.14) is equal to

$$(4.15) \quad -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (g^- - g^-|_{2-k}\gamma')(\gamma(x+it)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (g^+ - g^+|_{2-k}\gamma')(\gamma(x+it)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(g)_{\gamma'}(\gamma(x+it)).$$

□

Remark 4.5. Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ such that the constant term of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ vanishes. The function $Q_g(r)$ for a rational number $r = \frac{a}{b}$ with $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4N}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ induces a function on \mathbb{Q} in the form of $\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), k-1)}$ by (4.8). The equality (4.14) shows that this function can be understood as a quantum modular form, which is a new modular object introduced by Zagier [25]. Quantum modular forms have been studied in connection with numerous different topics, such as Maass forms, mock theta functions, and strongly unimodal sequences (for example, see [6, 12, 16]).

In the following corollary, we consider the case $r = (\gamma')^{-1}(i\infty)$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Suppose that g^+ does not have neither principal part nor constant term in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ with $r = \gamma(i\infty) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c > 0$. Then, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\psi(g)_{\gamma^{-1}}(r+it) - \frac{\chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(\frac{i}{ct} \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{k-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{L_r^{\text{reg}}(\xi_{2-k}(g), k-1)} + \frac{(-i)^k \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{(2c)^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b(0)$ is the constant term of the Fourier expansion of $\xi_{2-k}(g)$ at the cusp $i\infty$.

Proof of Corollary 4.6. Note that

$$\psi(g)_{\gamma^{-1}}(r+it) = g^+(r+it) - (g^+|_{2-k}\gamma^{-1})(r+it)$$

for $t > 0$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (g^+|_{2-k}\gamma^{-1})(r+it) = 0$$

since g^+ does not have neither principal part nor constant term in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$. Therefore, (4.16) is equal to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[g^+(r+it) - \frac{\chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(\frac{i}{ct} \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{k-1} \right].$$

By Theorem 4.2, we get the desired result. □

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.4. Theorem 1.1 is implied by Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that $H(f)$ is the holomorphic part of a harmonic weak Maass form $\hat{H}(f) \in H_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ and $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{H}(f)) = -2W(f)$ by Corollary 2.3. The constant term of $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{H}(f))$ is $-\overline{\text{Tr}_0(f)}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{d > 0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d(r+it)} - \sqrt{2} \chi_{\theta}^{-3}(\gamma) (-\text{Tr}_0(f)) \left(\frac{i}{ct} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ = -L_r^{\text{reg}} \left(W(f), \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{(-i)^{\frac{3}{2}} \chi_{\theta}^{-3}(\gamma) (-\text{Tr}_0(f))}{\sqrt{2c}},$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. By the definition of the multiplier system χ_{θ} , we have

$$\chi_{\theta}(\gamma) = \varepsilon_d^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{d} \right).$$

Since $a \equiv d \pmod{4}$, we see that $\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_a$. Let $c = 2^n c'$ such that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4N)$, we have $n \geq 2$ and $\gcd(c', d) = 1$. By the definition of the symbol $\left(\frac{c}{d} \right)$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{c}{d} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{d} \right)^n \left(\frac{c'}{d} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{d} \right)^n \left(\frac{d}{c'} \right) (-1)^{\frac{c'-1}{2} \frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Note that

$$\left(\frac{d}{c'} \right) \left(\frac{a}{c'} \right) = \left(\frac{ad}{c'} \right) = \left(\frac{ad - bc}{c'} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{c'} \right) = 1.$$

This implies that $\left(\frac{d}{c'} \right) = \left(\frac{a}{c'} \right)$. Since $a \equiv d \pmod{4}$, we have $(-1)^{\frac{c'-1}{2} \frac{d-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{c'-1}{2} \frac{a-1}{2}}$. Therefore, we see that

$$\left(\frac{c'}{d} \right) = \left(\frac{d}{c'} \right) (-1)^{\frac{c'-1}{2} \frac{d-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{a}{c'} \right) (-1)^{\frac{c'-1}{2} \frac{a-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{c'}{a} \right).$$

If $n = 2$, then we obtain

$$\left(\frac{2}{d} \right)^n = 1 = \left(\frac{2}{a} \right)^n.$$

If $n \geq 3$, then we have $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and hence $a \equiv d \pmod{8}$. Therefore, we see that

$$\left(\frac{2}{d} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{d^2-1}{8}} = (-1)^{\frac{a^2-1}{8}} = \left(\frac{2}{a} \right).$$

If we combine all these equalities, then we obtain $\left(\frac{c}{d} \right) = \left(\frac{c}{a} \right)$. This implies that

$$\chi_{\theta}(\gamma) = \varepsilon_a^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{a} \right).$$

With this, we can see that (5.1) can be written as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{d > 0} \text{Tr}_d(f) e^{2\pi i d(r+it)} + \frac{2c_r \sqrt{1+ct}}{ct} \right) = -\overline{L_r^{\text{reg}} \left(W(f), \frac{1}{2} \right)} + c_r,$$

where $c_r = \frac{e^{i\pi/4} \epsilon_a^3}{\sqrt{2c}} \left(\frac{c}{a} \right) \text{Tr}_0(f)$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 4.6 implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\psi(\hat{H}(f))_{\gamma^{-1}}(r+it) + \frac{2c_r \sqrt{1+ct}}{ct} \right) = -\overline{L_r^{\text{reg}} \left(W(f), \frac{1}{2} \right)} + c_r.$$

This is the desired result. □

6. EICHLER-SHIMURA COHOMOLOGY FOR WEAKLY HOLOMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS

Knopp [19] used holomorphic functions on the complex upper half plane \mathbb{H} to study Eichler-Shimura cohomology for real weights. In [7], Bruggeman, Choie, and Diamantis worked with functions on the complex lower half plane \mathbb{H}^- instead of \mathbb{H} to establish the Eichler-Shimura cohomology theory for holomorphic automorphic forms. This approach avoided complex conjugation when dealing with period functions and led to a \mathbb{C} -linear map from modular forms to cohomology classes. As remarked in [7], these two methods in [19] and [7] are equivalent by the involution ι in (1.6) and (1.12) in [7]. In this section, we review the Eichler-Shimura cohomology theory for weakly holomorphic modular forms by following Bruggeman, Choie, and Diamantis [7]. And then, by using a result in [7], we prove that there is no nonzero harmonic weak Maass form of half integral weight such that its holomorphic part is zero.

Now, we introduce some notions in [7]. A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is an *excised neighborhood* of $\mathbb{H}^- \cup \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, if it contains a set of the form

$$U \setminus \bigcup_{\xi \in E} W_{\xi},$$

where U is a standard neighborhood of $\mathbb{H}^- \cup \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Here, E is a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, called the *excised set*, and W_{ξ} has the form

$$W_{\xi} = \{h_{\xi} z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |\text{Re}(z)| \leq a \text{ and } \text{Im}(z) > \epsilon\},$$

with $h_{\xi} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h_{\xi} \infty = \xi$, and $a, \epsilon > 0$. Instead of excised neighborhood of $\mathbb{H}^- \cup \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ with excised set E , we shall write *E-excised neighborhood*.

For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and a function f , we define the function $\text{prj}_{2-k}f$ by

$$(\text{prj}_{2-k}f)(z) := (i-z)^{2-k}f(z).$$

For $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, we define spaces of *excised semi-analytic vector*

$$\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega, \text{exc}}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] := \text{prj}_{2-k}^{-1} \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}(\Omega),$$

where Ω runs over the $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ -excised neighborhoods and \mathcal{O} denotes the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}} := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega, \text{exc}}[a_1, \dots, a_n],$$

where $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ runs over the finite sets of cusps of $\Gamma_0(4N)$. Here, for $f \in \mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$, $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$, the group $\Gamma_0(4N)$ acts on $\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$ by

$$(f|_{2-k}^- \gamma)(z) := \chi_{\theta}^{-2k}(\gamma)(cz + d)^{k-2} f(\gamma z).$$

We recall the basic definitions of group cohomology (see Section 1.4 in [7]). Let V be a right $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module. A 1-cocycle is a map $\psi : \Gamma_0(4N) \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\psi(\gamma\delta) = \psi(\gamma) \cdot \delta + \psi(\delta)$$

for all $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma_0(4N)$. A 1-coboundary is a map $\psi : \Gamma_0(4N) \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\psi(\gamma) = a \cdot \gamma - a$$

for some $a \in V$ not depending on γ .

Let $Z^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V)$ be the space of 1-cocycles, and $B^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V) \subset Z^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V)$ the space of 1-coboundaries. The *parabolic cohomology group* $H_{\text{pb}}^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V)$ is

$$H_{\text{pb}}^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V) := Z_{\text{pb}}^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V) / B^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V),$$

where

$$Z_{\text{pb}}^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V) := \left\{ \psi \in Z^1(\Gamma_0(4N); V) \mid \psi(\pi) \in V \cdot (\pi - 1) \text{ for all parabolic } \pi \in \Gamma_0(4N) \right\}.$$

For a fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $f \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N))$, consider the map $\phi_f^{z_0} : \Gamma_0(4N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$ defined by

$$\phi_f^{z_0}(\gamma) := E(f)|_{2-k}^- \gamma - E(f),$$

where $E(f)(z) := \int_{z_0}^{\bar{z}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$. Note that

$$\phi_f^{z_0}(\gamma)(z) = \int_{\gamma^{-1}z_0}^{z_0} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$$

(see Lemma 5.1 in [7]). This gives the following injective linear map.

Theorem 6.1. [7, Theorem E] *If k is not an integer, then the assignment $\phi_f^{z_0}$ is a 1-cocycle, and $f \mapsto \phi_f^{z_0}$ induces an injective linear map*

$$r_k : M_k^!(\Gamma_0(4N)) \rightarrow H_{\text{pb}}^1(\Gamma_0(4N); \mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}).$$

The following lemma shows that the regularized integral $\int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$.

Lemma 6.2. *The function $\int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$.*

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Note that the regularized integral

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$$

is well defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\text{Im}(z_0) > \text{Im}(z)$ by Lemma 3.5. Now, we extend this integral to \mathbb{C} as a function of z as follows.

We fix $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\text{Im}(z_1) \geq \text{Im}(z_0)$. Let γ be a path in \mathbb{H} joining z_0 and z_1 . We consider the following function $J_\gamma(z_0, z_1)(z)$ defined by

$$(6.2) \quad J_\gamma(z_0, z_1)(z) := \int_{z_0}^{z_1} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_1)$, where we take the path γ . Then the integral in (6.2) is well defined if the path γ does not pass through the line $\{z - t \mid t \geq 0\}$ since we use the convention that $z = |z|e^{i\arg(z)}$, $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$. Let $D(z_0, z_1, \gamma)$ be the set of complex numbers z such that the integral in (6.2) is well defined at z . Then, $J_\gamma(z_0, z_1)(z)$ is a holomorphic function on $D(z_0, z_1, \gamma)$ as a function of z . The following sum

$$(6.3) \quad H_{z_1, \gamma}(z) := J_\gamma(z_0, z_1)(z) + \int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau,$$

is well defined and induces a function of z if $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_1)$ and $z \in D(z_0, z_1, \gamma)$. This function is equal to the function in (6.1) as a function of z if $\text{Im}(z_0) > \text{Im}(z)$. If $H_{z_1, \gamma}(z)$ and $H_{z'_1, \gamma'}(z)$ are well defined, then we have $H_{z_1, \gamma}(z) = H_{z'_1, \gamma'}(z)$ by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. For a given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we can find $z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ and γ such that $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_1)$ and $z \in D(z_0, z_1, \gamma)$. Therefore, we have an extension of the function in (6.1) to \mathbb{C} as a function of z . Now, it is enough to show that $\int_{[z_1, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} f(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$ is holomorphic for z with $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_1)$ since the function $J_\gamma(z_0, z_1)(z)$ is holomorphic as a function of z on $D(z_0, z_1, \gamma)$.

Suppose that $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_0)$. We will show that the function in (6.1) is holomorphic at z . If f has a Fourier expansion as in (1.2), then, by Lemma 3.5, (6.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \\ & - a(0) \frac{i^{k-1} (y_0 - y + i(x - x_0))^{k-1}}{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ and $z = x + iy$. Since $-y + ix = iz$, we see that

$$-a(0) \frac{i^{k-1}(y_0 - y + i(x - x_0))^{k-1}}{k-1} = -a(0) \frac{i^{k-1}(y_0 - ix_0 + iz)^{k-1}}{k-1}$$

is holomorphic as a function of z if $\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(z_0)$.

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \right) \\ &= \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} 2\pi n(k-2) i A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-3). \end{aligned}$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} 2\pi n(k-2) i A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-3)$$

converges uniformly by Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} 2\pi n(k-2) i A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-3). \end{aligned}$$

By the same way, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} \left[-2\pi n e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\pi n e^{-2\pi n y_0} (2\pi n(y_0 - y) + i 2\pi n(x - x_0))^{k-2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we use the integration by parts, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \\ &= e^{-2\pi n(y_0 - y)} (2\pi n(y_0 - y) + i 2\pi n(x - x_0))^{k-2} + (k-2) A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-3). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left(\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} e^{2\pi i n x_0} e^{-2\pi n y} A(2\pi n(y_0 - y), 2\pi n(x - x_0), k-2) \right) = 0.$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$, this completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.3. *One can prove Lemma 6.2 by using the approach that Bruggeman, Choie, and Diamantis followed in [7] with explicit manipulations of contour integrals.*

Theorem C of [7] provides a method to study harmonic weak Maass forms. The following theorem is an example of the application of Theorem E in [7].

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose that $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there is no nonzero harmonic weak Maass form $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ such that $g^+ = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Suppose that $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$ is a harmonic weak Maass form such that $g^+ = 0$. Note that by (3.18) we have

$$\int_{[\bar{z}, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau = -(2i)^{k-1} \overline{g^-(\bar{z})}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$. Since $g^+ = 0$, we obtain

$$(g^-|_{2-k}\gamma - g^-)(z) = 0$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4N)$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Hence, we have

$$(6.4) \quad (\overline{g^-}|_{2-k}\gamma - \overline{g^-})(\bar{z}) = 0$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$.

Note that

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{[\bar{z}, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau = \int_{[z_0, i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau + \int_{\bar{z}}^{z_0} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau)(\tau - z)^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$. We denote by $I_1(z)$ (resp. $I_2(z)$) the integral in the left hand side of (6.5) (resp. the first integral in the right hand side of (6.5)). From (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain

$$\phi_{\xi_{2-k}(g)}^{z_0}(\gamma) = [I_2|_{2-k}\gamma - I_2] - [I_1|_{2-k}\gamma - I_1] = [I_2|_{2-k}\gamma - I_2].$$

By Lemma 6.2, I_2 is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{2-k}^{\omega^0, \text{exc}}$, and hence $\phi_{\xi_{2-k}(g)}^{z_0}$ is a 1-coboundary. By Theorem 6.1, we have $r_k(\xi_{2-k}(g)) = 0$, which implies that $\xi_{2-k}(g) = 0$ since r_k is injective. Therefore, we have $g = 0$ since ξ_{2-k} is also injective. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. The following lemma is needed to prove Theorem 1.5,.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $g \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4N))$. Let $h(z) = \frac{1}{M^2}g(M^2z)$ for $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have*

$$Q_h(r) = \frac{1}{M^{2k-2}}Q_g(M^2r)$$

for a rational number r equivalent to $i\infty$ under the action of $\Gamma_0(4NM^2)$.

Proof of Lemma 7.1. Note that by (4.9), $Q_h(r)$ is equal to

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(h)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{k-1} \right],$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4NM^2)$ such that $r = \gamma(i\infty)$, and $c > 0$ and $b(0)$ is the constant term of $\xi_{2-k}(h)$. Since we have

$$\xi_{2-k}(h)(z) = \xi_{2-k}(g)(M^2z),$$

by Lemma 3.10, (7.1) is equal to

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(M^2\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c \left(x + \frac{1}{c^2 t} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 t} + \frac{1}{c} \right)^{k-1} \right] \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{-1}{M^{2k-2}(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[i\infty, \gamma'(i\infty)]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(g)(\tau) \left(\tau - \gamma' \left(M^2x + \frac{1}{(c')^2(M^2t)} i \right) \right)^{k-2} d\tau \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\chi_\theta^{-2k}(\gamma) \overline{b(0)}}{M^{2k-2}2^{k-1}(k-1)} \left(c' \left(M^2x + \frac{1}{(c')^2(M^2t)} i \right) + d \right)^{2-k} \left(\frac{1}{(c')^2(M^2t)} + \frac{1}{c'} \right)^{k-1} \right],$$

where $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} a & M^2b \\ c/M^2 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4NM^2)$ and $c' = c/M^2$. Since $\gamma'(i\infty) = M^2r$, we see that (7.2) is equal to $\frac{1}{M^{2k-2}}Q_g(M^2r)$. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$C_N = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r \text{ is equivalent to } i\infty \text{ under the action of } \Gamma_0(4N)\}.$$

Since $Q_{\hat{H}(f)}(r) = Q_{\hat{H}(g)}(r)$ for all $r \in C_N$, we have $Q_{\hat{H}(f-g)}(r) = 0$ for all $r \in C_N$. We consider

$$h(z) := \frac{1}{M^2} \hat{H}(f-g)(M^2z).$$

Then, by Lemma 7.1, we have

$$Q_h(r) = \frac{1}{M} Q_{\hat{H}(f-g)}(M^2 r) = 0$$

for $r \in C_{NM^2}$. Note that we have $Q_{h-\hat{H}(f-g)}(r) = 0$ for all $r \in C_{NM^2}$ and the constant term of $\xi_{2-k}(h - \hat{H}(f - g))$ vanishes. If $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(4NM^2)$, then, by Corollary 4.4, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(h - \hat{H}(f - g))_{\gamma'}(\gamma(x + it)) = (Q_{h-\hat{H}(f-g)} - Q_{h-\hat{H}(f-g)}|_{2-k\gamma'})(r) = 0$$

for $r \neq \gamma'^{-1}(i\infty)$. Note that by Lemma 3.11 we have

$$\psi(h - \hat{H}(f - g))_{\gamma'}(z) = \frac{1}{(-2i)^{k-1}} \int_{[\gamma'^{-1}(i\infty), i\infty]}^{\text{reg}} \xi_{2-k}(h - \hat{H}(f - g))(\tau) (\tau - \bar{z})^{k-2} d\tau$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$. We denote γ' by $\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$. This regularized integral is well defined for $z = x + iy$ such that $y > -y_1$ and $\frac{y}{|c'z + d'|^2} > -\frac{y_1}{|c'z_1 + d'|^2}$ for a fixed complex number $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.7. Moreover, we can see that it is holomorphic at z with $y > -y_1$ and $\frac{y}{|c'z + d'|^2} > -\frac{y_1}{|c'z_1 + d'|^2}$ by using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. By the identity theorem, we have $\psi(h - \hat{H}(f - g))_{\gamma'} = 0$ for all $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(4NM^2)$. This means that $(h - \hat{H}(f - g))^-$ is a harmonic weak Maass form in $H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(4NM^2))$. By Theorem 6.4, we have $(h - \hat{H}(f - g))^- = 0$, and hence $\xi_{2-k}(h - \hat{H}(f - g)) = 0$. This implies that $\xi_{2-k}\hat{H}(f - g)$ does not have a principal part since the order of the pole of $\xi_{2-k}(h)$ is M^2 times the order of the pole of $\xi_{2-k}\hat{H}(f - g)$ in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$.

Note that $f, g \in M_0^1(\Gamma_0^*(N))$ and $\Gamma_0^*(N)$ has only one cusp $i\infty$. If $f - g$ has a Fourier expansion as in (1.2), then, by (1.4), we have

$$\sum_{n < 0} a(dn) = 0$$

for every $d > 0$ since $W(f - g) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi_{2-k}\hat{H}(f - g)$ does not have a principal part in the Fourier expansion at the cusp $i\infty$. This implies that the weakly holomorphic modular function $f - g$ does not have a pole at $i\infty$. Therefore, $f - g$ is a holomorphic function on a compact Riemann surface, so it is a constant function. By assumption, the constant terms are zero in the Fourier expansions of f and g at the cusp $i\infty$. Therefore, $f - g$ has a vanishing constant term, and hence $f - g = 0$. This implies that $\text{Tr}_d(f) = \text{Tr}_d(g)$ for each integer d . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate Roelof Bruggeman for his helpful comments which improved the exposition of this paper a lot.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Pocketbook of Mathematical Functions*, Verlag Harri Deutsch (1984).
- [2] G. E. Andrews and D. Hickerson, Ramanujan’s “lost” notebook. VII. The sixth order mock theta functions, *Adv. Math.* **89** (1991), no. 1, 60–105.
- [3] R. E. Borcherds, Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians, *Invent. Math.* **132** (1998), no. 3, 491–562.
- [4] R. E. Borcherds, The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **97** (1999), 491–562.
- [5] C. Bringmann, N. Diamantis, and S. Ehlen, Regularized inner products and errors of modularity, preprint.
- [6] R. Bruggeman, Quantum Maass forms, In “The conference on L -functions, Fukuoka, Japan 18–23 February 2006” (eds. L. Weng and M. Kaneko), World Scientific (2007), 1–15.
- [7] R. Bruggeman, Y. Choie, and N. Diamantis, Holomorphic automorphic forms and cohomology, to appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [8] J. H. Bruinier, Borcherds products on $O(2, l)$ and Chern classes of Heegner divisors, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1780**, Springer-Verlag (2002).
- [9] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, On two geometric theta lifts, *Duke Math. J.* **125** (1) (2004) 45–90.
- [10] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, Traces of CM values of modular functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **594** (2006), 1–33.
- [11] J. H. Bruinier, J. Funke, and Ö. Imamoglu, Regularized theta liftings and periods of modular functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **703** (2015), 43–93.
- [12] D. Choi, S. Lim, and R. C. Rhoades, Mock modular forms and quantum modular forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **144** (2016), 2337–2349.
- [13] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, and Á. Tóth, Rational period functions and cycle integrals, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.* **80** (2010), no. 2, 255–264.
- [14] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, and Á. Tóth, Cycle integrals of the j -function and mock modular forms, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **173** (2011), no. 2, 947–981.
- [15] K. Fricke, *Analytische und p -adische Aspekte von klassischen und Mock-Modulformen*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bonn, 2013.
- [16] A. Folsom, K. Ono and R. C. Rhoades, Mock theta functions and quantum modular forms, *Forum Math. Pi* **1** (2013), e2, 27 pp.
- [17] M. Kaneko, Observations on the ‘values’ of the elliptic modular function $j(\tau)$ at real quadratics, *Kyushu J. Math.* **63** (2009), 353–364.
- [18] S. Katok and P. Sarnak, Heegner points, cycles and Maass forms, *Israel J. Math.* **84** (1993), 193–227.
- [19] M. Knopp, Some new results on the Eichler cohomology of automorphic forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1961), 44–62.
- [20] S. Kudla and J. Millson, The theta correspondence and harmonic forms I, *Math. Ann.* **274** (1986), 353–378.
- [21] H. Maass, Über eine neue Art von nichtanalytischen automorphen Funktionen und die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichungen, *Math. Ann.* **121**, (1949), 141–183.
- [22] T. Shintani, On the construction of holomorphic cusp forms of half integral weight, *Nagoya Math. J.* **58** (1975), 83–126.
- [23] G. N. Watson, The final problem: An account of the mock theta functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** (2) (1936), 55–80.
- [24] D. Zagier, *Traces of singular moduli, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I* (Irvine, CA, 1998) (2002), *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, 3, I, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 211–244.

- [25] D. Zagier, Quantum modular forms, Quanta of Maths: Conference in honor of Alain Connes. Clay Mathematics Proceedings 11. AMS and Clay Mathematics Institute 2010, 659–675.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, 145 ANAM-RO, SEONGBUK-GU, SEOUL 02841, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: dohoonchoi@korea.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, 25-2, SUNGKYUNKWAN-RO, JONGNO-GU, SEOUL 03063, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: subong@skku.edu