

Nullstellensätze and Applications *

Kriti Goel^{1†}, Dilip P. Patil² and Jugal Verma³

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay*
kritigoel.maths@gmail.com

² *Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Bangalore*
patil@iisc.ac.in

³ *Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay*
jkv@math.iitb.ac.in

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Uwe Storch

Abstract

In this expository paper, we present simple proofs of the Classical, Real, Projective and Combinatorial Nullstellensätze. Several applications are also presented such as a classical theorem of Stickelberger for solutions of polynomial equations in terms of eigenvalues of commuting operators, construction of a principal ideal domain which is not Euclidean, Hilbert's 17th problem, the Borsuk-Ulam theorem in topology and solutions of the conjectures of Dyson, Erdős and Heilbronn.

1 Introduction

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS) is one of the fundamental results of Hilbert which paved the way for a systematic introduction of algebraic techniques in algebraic geometry. It was proved in the third section of his landmark paper on invariant theory [21]. The proof runs into five pages. In fact, Hilbert proves it for homogeneous polynomials. He applies induction on the number of indeterminates and uses elimination theory and resultants. Since the appearance of this proof, several new proofs have appeared in the literature. Notable among them are: (1) proof by A. Rabinowitsch [38], (2) Krull's proof based on dimension theory of algebraic varieties, Noether normalization lemma and the concept of integral dependence [29], (3) proof by Krull and Van der Waerden for uncountable fields [6], (4) proof by E. Artin and J. Tate based on the Artin-Tate lemma [5] (5) O. Zariski's proof based on field theory [46], (6) proof by R. Munshi [34] and its exposition by P. May [32] and (7) a remarkably simple proof by Arrondo [3] using resultants. (8) Krull [28] and independently Goldman [19] introduced the notion of Jacobson ring, a ring in which every prime ideal is an intersection of maximal ideals. They proved that a finitely generated algebra over a Jacobson ring is a Jacobson ring which implies the HNS.

*This article grew out of discussions with late Prof. Dr. Uwe Storch (1940-2017) and lectures delivered by the second and the third author in various workshops and conferences. Prof. Uwe Storch was known for his work in commutative algebra, analytic and algebraic geometry, in particular derivations, divisor class group and resultants.

†The first author is supported by UGC Fellowship of Government of India.

The objective of this paper is to present an exposition of four variations of the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, namely, the classical, real, projective and combinatorial. Each of these versions has given rise to new techniques and insights into the basic problem of understanding the common solutions of polynomial equations.

Analogues of the HNS have been investigated for non-algebraically closed fields. Notable among them are the real Nullstellensatz [25], [30] and the combinatorial Nullstellensatz [1]. There is a Nullstellensatz for partial differential equations [41] and most recently a tropical Nullstellensatz [42] has also been proved. We have selected simple and short proofs and a few striking applications for each of these versions which are accessible to students with basic background in algebra.

We now describe the contents of various sections. In section 2, we discuss the classical version of the Nullstellensatz over algebraically closed fields. We present a proof due to E. Arrondo [3] which uses two lemmas about polynomials and their resultants. This proof is very much in the spirit of Hilbert's original proof. We gather six versions of Classical Nullstellensatz and show that they are all equivalent to the weak Nullstellensatz. As an application, we present a theorem of Stickelberger about systems of polynomial equations which have finitely many solutions. This theorem converts the problem of construction of the solutions to the problem of finding common eigenvectors of commuting linear operators acting on a finite dimensional vector space. We also discuss a general construction of a Principal ideal domain that is not a Euclidean domain.

We present the Real Nullstellensatz in section 3. It answers the question about existence of a real solution of a system of real polynomial equations. The Real Nullstellensatz has a weak version and a strong version which are similar to the corresponding versions of the classical HNS for algebraically closed fields. The central concepts here are those of real fields, real closed fields and real radical of an ideal. We shall present the proofs of both the versions assuming the Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem. We present a modern solution of Hilbert's 17th problem. The Real Nullstellensatz was proved only in the 1970's. A systematic study of real algebraic varieties was started soon after.

We shall discuss the Projective Nullstellensatz in section 4. This answers the question of existence of a nontrivial solution of a system of homogeneous polynomial equations. We shall prove that if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are homogeneous polynomials in $K[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where K is a 2-field then there is a nontrivial solution to the system $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_n = 0$. We follow the approach given in [36] which uses Hilbert functions and multiplicity of a graded ring. As an application, we prove the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem in topology.

Section 5 is devoted to the most recent version of the Nullstellensatz, namely the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. We present a proof of Noga Alon's formulation [1] using the Classical Nullstellensatz. We describe two striking applications of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz: a proof of Dyson's conjecture about the constant term of a Laurent polynomial and a solution of a conjecture of Erdős and Heilbronn about a lower bound on the cardinality of $A + B$ where A and B are subsets of a finite field.

This expository article is not intended to be a survey paper on the Nullstellensatz. There are important works which we do not discuss, for example, the Tropical Nullstellensatz and the Nullstellensatz for partial differential equations, the Eisenbud-Hochster's paper about Nullstellensatz with nilpotents [16], role of Gröbner bases in computation of radical ideals and testing whether an ideal is the unit ideal of a polynomial ring and works of many authors about Effective

Nullstellensatz[26], [23], [8], [14], [27] etc. A version of Nullstellensatz for finite fields has been discussed in [18].

2 Nullstellensätze

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz is the starting point of the classical algebraic geometry, it provides a bijective correspondence between *affine algebraic sets* which are geometric objects and *radical ideals* in a polynomial algebra (over a field) which are algebraic objects.

In this section we formulate several versions of Nullstellensatz and prove their equivalence. First we recall some standard notation, definitions and preliminary results. For other undefined terms and notions we recommend the reader to see the books [7] and [35].

2.1 Notation and Preliminaries All rings considered in this article are commutative rings with unity. The letter K will always denote a field and the letters A, B, C, R will be generally used for rings. As usual we use $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{C} to denote the set of non-negative integers, the ring of integers, the fields of rational, real and complex numbers respectively.

(1) Algebras over a ring Let A be a ring. An A -algebra B is a ring together with a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ called the *structure homomorphism* of the A -algebra B . Overrings and residue class rings of A are considered A -algebras with natural inclusions and surjections as the structure homomorphisms, respectively. The *polynomial ring* $A[X_i \mid i \in I]$ in the indeterminates $X_i, i \in I$, is an A -algebra with the natural inclusion $A \hookrightarrow A[X_i \mid i \in I]$ as the structure homomorphism.

Let B and C be A -algebras. An A -algebra homomorphism from B to C is a ring homomorphism $\theta : B \rightarrow C$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\theta} & C \\ & \searrow \varphi & \nearrow \psi \\ & A & \end{array}$$

is commutative, that is, $\theta \circ \varphi = \psi$, or equivalently θ is A -linear.

The set of all A -algebra homomorphisms from B to C is denoted by $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C)$.

(2) Polynomial algebras Polynomial algebras are the free objects (in the language of categories) in the category of (commutative) algebras over a ring A with the following universal property :

Universal property of polynomial algebras Let B be an A -algebra and let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$, be a family of elements of B . Then there exists a unique A -algebra homomorphism $\epsilon_x : A[X_i \mid i \in I] \rightarrow B$ such that $X_i \mapsto x_i$ for every $i \in I$. In particular, we can identify $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(A[X_i \mid i \in I], B)$ with B^I . For $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, we can identify $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(A[X_1, \dots, X_n], B)$ with B^n . The unique A -algebra homomorphism ϵ_x is called the *substitution homomorphism* or the *evaluation homomorphism* defined by x .

The image of ϵ_x is the smallest A -subalgebra of B containing $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ and is denoted by $A[x_i \mid i \in I]$. We call it the A -subalgebra generated by the family $x_i, i \in I$. We say that B is an A -algebra generated by the family $x_i, i \in I$, if $B = A[x_i \mid i \in I]$. Further, we say that B is a *finitely generated A -algebra* or an *A -algebra of finite type* or an *affine algebra over A* if there exists a finite family x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of B such that $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *homomorphism of finite type* if B is an A -algebra of finite type with respect to φ .

(3) Prime, maximal and radical Ideals Let A be a ring. The set $\text{Spec } A$ (resp. $\text{Spm } A$) of prime (resp. maximal) ideals in A is called the *prime* (resp. *maximal*) *spectrum* of A . Then $\text{Spm } A \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ and a well-known theorem asserts that if $A \neq 0$ then $\text{Spm } A \neq \emptyset$. For example, $\text{Spm } \mathbb{Z}$ is precisely the set \mathbb{P} of positive prime numbers and $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{P}$. The ring R is a field if and only if $\text{Spm } R = \{0\}$. The ring A is an integral domain if and only if $\{0\} \in \text{Spec } A$. For an ideal \mathfrak{a} in R , the ideal $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} := \{f \in R \mid f^r \in \mathfrak{a}\}$

\mathfrak{a} for some integer $r \geq 1$ is called the *radical* of \mathfrak{a} . Clearly $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. If $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$, then \mathfrak{a} is called a *radical ideal*. Obviously, $\sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. Therefore the radical of an ideal is a radical ideal. Prime ideals are radical ideals. An ideal \mathfrak{a} in \mathbb{Z} is a radical ideal if and only if $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ or \mathfrak{a} is generated by a square-free integer.

The radical $\mathfrak{n}_A := \sqrt{0}$ of the zero ideal is the ideal of nilpotent elements and is called the *nilradical* of A . The nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{p}$ is the intersection of all prime ideals in A . More generally, (formal Nullstellensatz) $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ for every ideal \mathfrak{a} in A .

The intersection $\mathfrak{m}_A := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } A} \mathfrak{m}$ of maximal ideals in A is called the *Jacobson radical* of A . Clearly, $\mathfrak{n}_A \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_A$. The Jacobson radical of \mathbb{Z} (resp. the polynomial algebra $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ over a field K) is 0.

(4) Integral Extensions. Let $A \subseteq B$ be an extension of rings. We say that an element $b \in B$ is *integral over A* if b is a zero of a monic polynomial $a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^n \in A[X]$, i. e. if $a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}b^{n-1} + b^n = 0$ with $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$. We say that B is *integral over A* if every element of B is integral over A . The concept of an integral extension is a generalization of that of an algebraic extension. For example, an algebraic field extension $E|K$ is an integral extension. Moreover, if a ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is an integral extension, then the polynomial extension $A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is also integral. It is easy to see that: *If B is a finite type algebra over a ring A , then B is integral over A if and only if B is a finite A -module.* Later we shall use the following simple proposition in the proof of the classical form of HNS:

Proposition *Let $A \subseteq B$ be an integral extension of rings and $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq A$ be a non-unit ideal in A . Then the extended ideal $\mathfrak{a}B$ (in B) is also a non-unit ideal.*

Proof Note that $\mathfrak{a}B = B$ if and only if $1 \in \mathfrak{a}B$. Moreover, if $1 \in \mathfrak{a}B$ then since B is integral over A , already $1 \in \mathfrak{a}B'$ for some finite A -subalgebra B' of B . Therefore, we may assume that B is a finite A -module. But, then by the Lemma¹, there exists an element $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $(1 - a)B = 0$, in particular, $(1 - a) \cdot 1 = 0$, i. e. $1 = a \in \mathfrak{a}$ which contradicts the assumption. •

(5) The K -Spectrum of a K -algebra (see [35]) Let K be a field. Then using the universal property of the polynomial algebra $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the affine space K^n can be identified with the set of K -algebra homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n], K)$ by identifying $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ with the substitution homomorphism $\xi_a : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K$, $X_i \mapsto a_i$. The kernel of ξ_a is the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_a = \langle X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n \rangle$ in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Moreover, every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ is of the type \mathfrak{m}_a for a unique $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$; the component a_i is determined by the congruence $X_i \equiv a_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.

The subset $K\text{-Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n] := \{\mathfrak{m}_a \mid a \in K^n\}$ of $\text{Spm } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is called the *K -spectrum* of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We have the identifications:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^n & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n], K) & \longleftrightarrow & K\text{-Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n], \\ a & \longleftrightarrow & \xi_a & \longleftrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_a = \text{Ker } \xi_a. \end{array}$$

More generally, for any K -algebra A , the map $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, K) \longrightarrow \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } A \mid A/\mathfrak{m} = K\}$, $\xi \mapsto \text{Ker } \xi$, is bijective. Therefore we make the following definition:

For any K -algebra A , the subset $K\text{-Spec } A := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } A \mid A/\mathfrak{m} = K\}$ is called the *K -spectrum* of A and is denoted by $K\text{-Spec } A$. Under the above bijective map, we have the identification $K\text{-Spec } A = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, K)$.

For example, since \mathbb{C} is an algebraically closed field, $\text{Spm } \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}\text{-Spec } \mathbb{C}[X]$, but $\mathbb{R}\text{-Spec } \mathbb{R}[X] \subsetneq \text{Spm } \mathbb{R}[X]$. In fact, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} := \langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$ does not belong to $\mathbb{R}\text{-Spec } \mathbb{R}[X]$. More generally, a field K is algebraically closed² if and only if $\text{Spm } K[X] = K\text{-Spec } K[X]$.

¹ **Lemma of Dedekind-Krull-Nakayama** *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in a commutative ring A and V be a finite A -module. If $\mathfrak{a}V = V$, then there exists an element $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $(1 - a)V = 0$, i. e. $(1 - a) \in \text{Ann}_A V$. For a proof one uses the well-known ‘‘Cayley-Hamilton trick’’.*

² A field K is called *algebraically closed* if every non-constant polynomial in $K[X]$ has a zero in K or equivalently, every irreducible polynomial in $K[X]$ is linear. The *Fundamental Theorem of Algebra* asserts that: *the field of*

(6) Polynomial maps Let A be an algebra over a field K . For a polynomial $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the function $\varphi_f^* : A^n \rightarrow A$, $a \mapsto f(a)$, is called the *polynomial function (over K)* defined by f . If A is an infinite integral domain, then the polynomial function φ_f^* defined by f determines the polynomial f uniquely. This follows from the following more general observation :

Identity Theorem for Polynomials Let A be an integral domain and $f \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $f \neq 0$. If $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \subseteq A$ with $|\Lambda_i| > \deg_{X_i} f$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\Lambda := \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_n \not\subseteq V_A(f) := \{a \in A^n \mid f(a) = 0\}$, that is, there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. In particular, if A is infinite, then $f : A^n \rightarrow A$, $a \mapsto f(a)$, is not a zero function. If A is infinite, then the evaluation map $\varepsilon : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \text{Maps}(A^n, A)$, $f \mapsto \varepsilon(f) : a \mapsto f(a)$ is injective.

Proof We prove the assertion by induction on n . If $n = 0$, it is trivial. For a proof of the inductive step from $n - 1$ to n , write $f = \sum_{k=0}^d f_k(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})X_n^k$ with $f_d(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \neq 0$ in $A[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$. Since $\deg_{X_i} f_d \leq \deg_{X_i} f < |\Lambda_i|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, by induction hypothesis, there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$ with $f_d(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \neq 0$. Therefore $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, X_n)$ is a non-zero polynomial in $A[X_n]$ of degree $d < |\Lambda_n|$ and hence there exists $a_n \in \Lambda_n$ with $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \neq 0$. •

If $A = K$, then the identifications in (5) above allow us to write $f(a) = \xi_a(f) \equiv f \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_a}$ for any $a \in K^n$; $f(a)$ is called the *value of f at a* , or at ξ_a , or at \mathfrak{m}_a .

Let $\varphi : K[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] \rightarrow K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a K -algebra homomorphism and let $f_i := \varphi(Y_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the map $\varphi^* : K^n \rightarrow K^m$ defined by $\varphi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (f_1(a), \dots, f_m(a))$ is called the *polynomial map* associated to φ . Under the identifications in (5), the polynomial map φ^* is described as follows : $\xi_a \mapsto \varphi^* \xi_a = \xi_a \circ \varphi$ or by $\mathfrak{m}_a \mapsto \varphi^* \mathfrak{m}_a = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_a) = \mathfrak{m}_{f(a)}$, $a \in K^n$. For every $G \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$, we have $\varphi_G^* \circ \varphi^* = \varphi_{G \circ \varphi}^*$.

More generally, for any K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$, we define the map $\varphi^* : K\text{-Spec } B \rightarrow K\text{-Spec } A$ by $\varphi^* \xi := \xi \circ \varphi$ or by $\varphi^* \mathfrak{m} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker } \xi \in K\text{-Spec } B = \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(B, K)$. Further, if $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ is another K -algebra homomorphism then $(\psi \circ \varphi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^*$.

2.2 In general, we are interested in studying the solution set of a finite system of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ over a given field K (for example, $K = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, or any finite field, more generally, even over a commutative ring, e.g. the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}) in the affine n -space K^n over K or even in bigger affine n -space L^n over a field extension L of K . Typical cases are :

- (a) $K = \mathbb{R}, L = \mathbb{C}$. (*Classical Algebraic Geometry*).
- (b) $K = \mathbb{Q}, L = \mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} :=$ the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} . (*Arithmetic Geometry*)
- (c) K is a finite field, $L = \overline{K} :=$ the algebraic closure of K .

2.3 Affine K -algebraic sets Let $L|K$ be a field extension of a field K . The solution space

$$V_L(f_j, j \in J) = \{a \in L^n \mid f_j(a) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J\} \subseteq L^n$$

of a family f_j , $j \in J$, of polynomials in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is called an *affine K -algebraic set* in L^n , the family f_j , $j \in J$ is called a *system of defining equations*, the field K is called the *field of definition* and the field L is called the *coordinate field* of $V_L(f_j, j \in J)$. The points of $V_L(f_j, j \in J) \cap K^n$ are called the *K -rational points of V* .

Note that $V_L(f_j, j \in J) = \bigcap_{j \in J} V_L(f_j)$ and $V_L(f_j, j \in J)$ depends only on the radical $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the ideal $\mathfrak{a} := \langle f_j \mid j \in J \rangle$ generated by the family f_j , $j \in J$ in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. By Hilbert's Basis Theorem every ideal in the polynomial ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is finitely generated and so there exists a finite subset $J' \subseteq J$ such that $\mathfrak{a} := \langle f_j \mid j \in J' \rangle$. This shows that $V_L(f_j, j \in J) = V_L(f_j, j \in J')$

complex numbers \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed. This was first stated in 1746 by J. d'Alembert (1717-1783), who gave an incomplete proof — with gaps at that time. The first complete proof was given in 1799 by Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777-1855).

$J') = \cap_{j \in J'} V_L(f_j)$. In other words, *every affine K -algebraic set in L^n is a set of common zeros of finitely many polynomials.*

2.4 Examples Let $L|K$ be a field extension of a field K .

(1) Linear K -algebraic sets For linear polynomials $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}X_j - b_i$, $a_{ij}, b_i \in K$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, the affine K -algebraic set $V_L(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is called a linear K -algebraic set defined by the m linear equations f_1, \dots, f_m over K . This is precisely the solution space of the system of m linear equations in X_1, \dots, X_n written in the matrix notation :

$$\mathfrak{A} \cdot X = b, \text{ where } \mathfrak{A} = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \in M_{m,n}(K), X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m,1}(K).$$

Their investigation is part of Linear algebra. For example, if $L = K$, r is the rank of the matrix \mathfrak{A} , then $V_K(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ has $d = n - r$ linearly independent solutions. In fact, there is a parametric representation :

$$V_K(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \cdot x_i \mid t_1, \dots, t_d \in K\},$$

where $x_0 \in K^n$ is a special solution and $x_i \in K^n$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ are d linearly independent solutions of the given system $\mathfrak{A}X = b$.

(2) K -Hypersurfaces For $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the affine K -algebraic set $V_L(f) = \{a \in L^n \mid f(a) = 0\}$ is called the K -hypersurface defined by f . For $n = 1$, since $K[X]$ is a PID, every affine K -algebraic set is defined by one polynomial $f \in K[X]$. Moreover, if L is algebraically closed and if $\deg f$ is positive, then $V_L(f)$ is a non-empty finite subset of L of cardinality $\leq \deg f$. Furthermore, if every $a \in V_L(f)$ is counted with its multiplicity $v_a(f) := \text{Min}\{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^{(r)}(a) \neq 0\}$, where for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{(r)} \in K[X]$ denote the (formal) r -th derivative of f , then we have a nice formula : $\deg f = \sum_{a \in V_L(f)} v_a(f)$. Therefore $V_L(f) = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$

if $f = a(X - a_1)^{v_1} \cdots (X - a_r)^{v_r}$ with $a \in K$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in L$ distinct and $v_i := v_{a_i}(f)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

For $n = 2, 3, 4$, K -hypersurfaces are called *plane curves*, *surfaces*, *3-folds*, defined over K , respectively.

(3) If L is infinite and $n \geq 1$, then the complement $L^n \setminus V_L(f)$ of the K -hypersurface $V_L(f)$, $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, is infinite. In particular, if $V = V_L(a) \subsetneq L^n$ is a proper K -algebraic set, then its complement $L^n \setminus V_L(a)$ is infinite.

Proof By induction on n . If $n = 1$, then clearly $V_L(f)$ is finite and hence the assertion is trivial, since L is infinite. Assume that $n \geq 2$. We may assume that the indeterminate X_n appears in f ; then we have a representation : $f = f_0 + f_1X_n + \cdots + f_dX_n^d$ with $f_0, \dots, f_d \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$, $d > 0$ and $f_d \neq 0$. By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that there is $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in L^n \setminus V_L(f_d)$. Then the polynomial $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, X_n) \neq 0$ and hence it has only finitely many zeroes in L . In other words, there are infinitely many $a_n \in L$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \neq 0$. •


(4) If L is algebraically closed and $n \geq 2$, then every K -hypersurface $V_L(f)$, $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ contains infinitely many points.

Proof Since L is algebraically closed, it is infinite. Further, since $n \geq 2$, we may assume that f has representation as in the above proof of (3) and hence by (3) $f_d(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in L^{n-1}$. Now, since L is algebraically closed, for each of these (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) , there exists a_n such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) = 0$. •

(5) Conic Sections The K -hypersurfaces $V_K(f) \subseteq K^2$ defined by polynomials $f(X, Y) \in K[X, Y]$ of degree 2 are called *conic sections*.³ There are two possibilities. First f is not prime, then the (degenerated) conic $f(x, y) = 0$ is a double line, or a union of two distinct straight lines. Second, f is prime, in this

³ The discovery of conic sections is attributed to Menaechmus (350 B. C.). They were intensively investigated by Apollonius of Perga (225 B. C.).

case, we assume that K is an infinite field of $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Then by an *affine K -automorphism*⁴ of $K[X, Y]$, $f(X, Y)$ can be brought into one of the forms $Y^2 - X$, $aX^2 + bY^2 - 1$, $a, b \in K^\times$, see Lemma 2.9. These are called *parabola*, *ellipse* or *hyperbola* according as $aX^2 + bY^2$ is prime or not prime. Further, the defining polynomial of a hyperbola can be transformed into $XY - 1$. Note that a polynomial $aX^2 + bY^2 - 1$, $a, b \in K^\times$, is always prime and, if it has at least one zero⁵, then it has infinitely many zeros and hence is a defining polynomial of a hyperbola or an ellipse.



2.5 The K -Ideals, K -coordinate rings and (Classical) Algebra-Geometry correspondences

We use the following notation: For a set S , let $\mathfrak{P}(S)$ denote the power set of S , for any ring A , let $\mathcal{I}(A)$ (resp. $\text{Rad-}\mathcal{I}(A)$) denote the set of ideals (resp. radical ideals) in A and for a field extension $L|K$, let $\text{Aff-Alg}_K(L^n) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(L^n)$ denote the set of all affine K -algebraic sets in L^n .

The definition in 2.3 defines a map

$$V_L : \text{Rad-}\mathcal{I}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]) \longrightarrow \text{Aff-Alg}_K(L^n) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(L^n), \quad \mathfrak{a} \longmapsto V_L(\mathfrak{a}),$$

Note that if L is infinite, then not every subset $V \in \mathfrak{P}(L^n)$ is an affine algebraic K -set. For instance, if $n = 1$ and if V is infinite and $\neq L$. On the other hand if $K = L$ is finite, then every subset $V \in \mathfrak{P}(L^n)$ is an affine algebraic K -set.

To understand the map V_L better, for a subset $W \in \mathfrak{P}(L^n)$, we define the *vanishing K -ideal* of W :

$$I_K(W) := \{f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mid f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in W\}.$$

Clearly, $I_K(W)$ is a radical ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The affine K -algebra $K[V] := K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I_K(V)$ is called the *K -coordinate ring of V* . We therefore have defined the map:

$$I_K : \mathfrak{P}(L^n) \longrightarrow \text{Rad-}\mathcal{I}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]), \quad W \longmapsto I_K(W).$$

With these definitions, we are looking for answers to the following questions:

(a) For which subsets $W \in \mathfrak{P}(L^n)$ the equality $V_L \circ I_K(W) = W$ holds? The answer to this question is provided by the introduction of the *Zariski K -topology* on L^n (see 2.6 below). This topology is weaker than the usual topology (for instance, if $L = K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}). The Zariski topology on L^n reflects the algebraic structure of K -regular L -valued functions on L^n . More generally, a function $\varphi : V \rightarrow L$ on a K -algebraic set $V \subseteq L^n$ is called *K -regular function* if there exists a polynomial $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $\varphi(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in V$. The set $\Gamma_K(V, L)$ of

⁴ An *affine A -automorphism* of a polynomial algebra $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is an A -algebra automorphism φ defined by $\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}X_i + b_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, where $(a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_n(A)$ and $(b_j) \in A^n$. If (a_{ij}) is the identity matrix then φ is called a *translation*, if $(b_j) = 0$, then φ is called a *linear K -automorphism*.

⁵ Depending on the ground field K , it can be very difficult to decide whether such a polynomial has a zero or not.

all K -regular functions on V with values in L is, obviously, an affine K -algebra. Further, for every affine K -algebraic set $V \subseteq L^n$, the canonical map $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I_K(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_K(V, L)$ is an isomorphism of K -algebras and the map $V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(K[V], L)$, $a \mapsto \xi_a : \bar{f} \mapsto f(a)$ is well-defined and is bijective.

(b) When is the composite map $I_K \circ V_L = \text{id}_{\text{Rad-}\mathcal{J}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])}$? The answer to this question is provided by the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 2 Geometric version), see Theorem 2.10 (2).

(c) When does the system $f_1 = 0, \dots, f_m = 0$ have a common solution, i. e. $V_L(f_1, \dots, f_m) \neq \emptyset$. The answer to this question is provided by the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 1), see Theorem 2.10 (1).

(d) When exactly is $V_L(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ a finite set? The answer to this question is provided by the Finiteness Theorem, see Theorem 2.12.

Therefore if L is algebraically closed, then we have the algebra-geometry bijective correspondences V_L and I_K which are inclusion reversing inverses of each other :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rad-}\mathcal{J}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]) & \xleftarrow[V_L]{I_K} & \text{Aff-Alg}_K(L^n) \\ \mathfrak{a} = I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a})) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V_L(\mathfrak{a}) = V_L(I_K(\mathfrak{a})), \end{array}$$

One can therefore study algebraic objects — ideals in the polynomial ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and geometric objects — affine K -algebraic sets together by using these algebra-geometry bijective correspondences. These correspondences are the starting point of *classical algebraic geometry*. HNS 1' extends the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra to certain polynomials in many variables over algebraically closed fields. To establish the above bijective correspondences, the fundamental step is provided by the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 2).

2.6 Zariski K -topology It is easy to check that the set $\text{Aff-Alg}_K(L^n)$ of affine K -algebraic sets in L^n satisfy the axioms for closed sets in a topological space. Therefore the affine K -algebraic sets in L^n form the closed sets of a topology on L^n . This topology is called the *Zariski K -topology* on L^n . The open sets are the complements

$$L^n \setminus V_L(F_j, j \in J) =: D_L(F_j, j \in J) = \bigcup_{j \in J} D_L(F_j).$$

In particular, $D_L(F) = \{a \in L^n \mid F(a) \neq 0\} = L^n \setminus V_L(F)$ for every polynomial $F \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. These open subsets are called the *distinguished open subsets* in L^n . They form a basis for the Zariski topology on L^n .

2.7 Abstract algebraic geometry In a more general set-up one can replace affine K -algebraic set by the *prime spectrum* $\text{Spec} A$ of a commutative ring A .

The subsets of the form $V(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$, $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Rad-}\mathcal{J}(A)$ of $\text{Spec} A$ are called *affine algebraic sets* in $\text{Spec} A$. The subset $\mathcal{F}_Z(\text{Spec} A) = \{V(\mathfrak{a}) \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \text{Rad-}\mathcal{J}(A)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\text{Spec} A)$ form the closed sets of a topology on $\text{Spec} A$ which is called the *Zariski topology* on $\text{Spec} A$. This topology is not Hausdorff in general, but it is compact. The open subsets $D(f) := \text{Spec} A \setminus V(Af)$, $f \in A$, are basic open sets for the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec} A$.

Similarly, for every subset $W \subseteq \text{Spec} A$, we define the *ideal* $I(W) := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in W} \mathfrak{p}$ of W . This is clearly a radical ideal in A . Further, the equalities: $V(I(W)) = \bar{W}$ = the closure of W in the Zariski topology of $\text{Spec} A$ and (Formal Hilbert's Nullstellensatz) $\mathfrak{a} = I(V(\mathfrak{a}))$ are rather easy to prove, see 2.1 (3).

With these general definitions, we have the abstract algebra-geometry bijective correspondences V and I which are inclusion reversing inverses of each other :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rad-}\mathcal{J}(A) & \xleftarrow[V]{I} & \mathcal{F}_Z(\text{Spec} A) \\ \mathfrak{a} = I(V(\mathfrak{a})) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(I(\mathfrak{a})), \end{array}$$

One can therefore study algebra and geometry together by using this abstract algebra-geometry bijective correspondence which is the starting point of *abstract algebraic geometry*.

We now prove the classical version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 1'), see Theorem 2.10 (1'). We shall present a proof based on the ideas of the proof given by E. Arrondo in [3]. It uses the classical notion of resultant of two polynomials over a commutative ring and the so-called "tilting of axes lemma" (see Lemma 2.9 below) which is of independent interest.

2.8 Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 1': Classical Version) *Let K be an algebraically closed field and let $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a non-unit ideal. Then $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Let $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a non-unit ideal. We shall prove that $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$ by induction on n . For $n = 0$, there is nothing to prove. If $n = 1$, then $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f \rangle$ for some polynomial $f \in K[X_1]$ which is not a unit in $K[X_1]$, i.e. $f \notin K^\times := K \setminus \{0\}$. Since K is algebraically closed and $f \in K[X_1] \setminus K^\times$, obviously $V_K(f) \neq \emptyset$. Now, assume that $n \geq 2$. Since $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the contraction $\mathfrak{a}' := \mathfrak{a} \cap K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ too. Therefore by induction hypothesis $V_K(\mathfrak{a}') \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a}')$. We consider the surjective K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K[X_n]$, $f \mapsto f(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, X_n)$.

(*) We claim that the image $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) = \{f(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, X_n) \mid f \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ is a non-unit ideal in $K[X_n]$.

Since φ is surjective, $\mathfrak{b} = \varphi(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal in $K[X_n]$. We now prove that $\mathfrak{b} \neq K[X_n]$. Suppose, on the contrary that, $\mathfrak{b} = K[X_n]$. Then $f(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, X_n) = 1$ for some $f = f_0 + f_1 X_n + \dots + f_d X_n^d \in \mathfrak{a}$, where $f_0, \dots, f_d \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f_0(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 1$ and $f_i(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Remember that we are now looking for a contradiction. For this, since K is algebraically closed, it is infinite and hence by Lemma 2.9 below, we may assume that the ideal \mathfrak{a} contains a monic polynomial $g = g_0 + \dots + g_{r-1} X_n^{r-1} + X_n^r \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $g_0, \dots, g_{r-1} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ and $r \geq 1$. Now consider the X_n -resultant of the polynomials f and g

$$\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g) = \text{Det} \left(\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_d & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_0 & \cdots & f_{d-1} & f_d & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_d \\ g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_{r-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_0 & \cdots & g_{r-2} & g_{r-1} & 1 & \cdots & \cdot \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{r+d\text{-columns}} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{\textit{r-rows}} \\ \\ \\ \text{\textit{d-rows}} \end{array} \right\} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}].$$

Since $f_0(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 1$ and $f_i(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, d$, $\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g)(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1})$ is the determinant of the lower triangular matrix with all diagonal entries equal to 1 and so $\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g)(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 1$. On the other hand, note that expanding the determinant of the above $(d+r) \times (d+r)$ matrix (the *Sylvester's matrix of polynomials* f and g) by using the first column after replacing the first column by adding X_n^i -times the $(i+1)$ -th column for all $i = 1, \dots, d+r-1$, we get $\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g) = \Phi f + \Psi g$ for some polynomials $\Phi, \Psi \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$. In particular, $\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g) \in \mathfrak{a} \cap K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] = \mathfrak{a}'$ and hence $\text{Res}_{X_n}(f, g)(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) = 0$, since $(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a}')$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{b} \subsetneq K[X_n]$ and so $\mathfrak{b} = \langle h(X_n) \rangle$ for some $h(X_n) \in K[X_n] \setminus K^\times$. Once again, since K is algebraically closed, $h(X_n)$ has a zero $a_n \in K$. This proves that $f(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, a_n) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, i.e. $(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, a_n) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$.

•

2.9 Lemma (Tilting of Axes Lemma) *Let K be a field and $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a non-constant polynomial. Then there exists a K -automorphism $\varphi : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $\varphi(X_n) = X_n$ and $f = aX_n^d + g_{d-1}X_n^{d-1} + \dots + g_0$, where $a \in K^\times$ and $g_j \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}]$, $0 \leq j \leq d-1$, $Y_i := \varphi(X_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, if K is infinite, then one can also choose a linear K -automorphism φ satisfying the above conclusion. See Footnote 4.*

Proof First assume that K is infinite. Let $f = f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_d$, where $f_m \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is the homogeneous component of degree m of f , $0 \leq m \leq d := \deg f$. For any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in K$, put $Y_i := X_i - a_i X_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^d f_m(Y_1 + a_1 X_n, \dots, Y_{n-1} + a_{n-1} X_n, X_n) = \sum_{m=0}^d \left(f_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1) X_n^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_{mj} X_n^j \right)$$

where $f_{mj} \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}]$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $m-j$. Since f_d is homogeneous, $f_d(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 1) \neq 0$. Therefore, since K is infinite, we can choose (see Example 2.4 (3)) $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in K$ such that $a := f_d(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1) \neq 0$.

In the general case, let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} a_\alpha X^\alpha$ where Λ is a finite subset of \mathbb{N}^n and $a_\alpha \in K^\times$ for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \Lambda$. For any positive integers $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$, put $Y_i := X_i - X_n^{\gamma_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} a_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} a_\alpha (Y_1 + X_n^{\gamma_1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (Y_{n-1} + X_n^{\gamma_{n-1}})^{\alpha_{n-1}} X_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

For a natural number $r \in \mathbb{N}$ bigger than all the components of all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \Lambda$, we have $\deg_\gamma X^\alpha = \alpha_n + \alpha_1 r + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} r^{n-1}$ is the r -adic expansion of $\deg_\gamma X^\alpha$ with digits $\alpha_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Therefore by the uniqueness of the r -adic expansion, $\deg_\gamma X^\alpha \neq \deg_\gamma X^\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and hence there exists a unique $\nu \in \Lambda$ such that $d := \deg_\gamma f = \deg_\gamma X^\nu (> 0)$. Therefore $f = a_\nu X_n^d + f_{d-1} X_n^{d-1} + \dots + f_0$ with $f_j \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}]$. •

In the proof of the Tilting Axes Lemma for an infinite field K , we have used a simple linear transformation of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

We now formulate several versions of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz and prove their equivalence:

We say that a field extension $E|K$ is of *finite type* if the K -algebra E is of finite type.

2.10 Theorem (Versions of HNS) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) HNS 1 : *Let $L|K$ be an algebraically closed field extension of a field K and let $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a non-unit ideal. Then $V_L(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.*

(1') HNS 1' : (Classical Version) *Let K be an algebraically closed field and let $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a non-unit ideal. Then $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.*

(1'') HNS 1'' : *Let $L|K$ be an algebraically closed field extension of a field K and let A be a nonzero K -algebra of finite type. Then $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, L) \neq \emptyset$.*

(2) HNS 2 : (Geometric Version) *Let $L|K$ be an algebraically closed field extension of a field K and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be an ideal. Then $I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a})) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

(3) HNS 3 : (Field Theoretic Form — Zariski's Lemma) *Let K be a field and $E|K$ be a finite type field extension of K . Then $E|K$ is algebraic. In particular, $E|K$ is finite.*

(3') HNS 3' : *If K is an algebraically closed field, then the map*

$$\xi : K^n \longrightarrow \text{Spm}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]), \quad a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \longmapsto \mathfrak{m}_a := \langle X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n \rangle,$$

is bijective.

Proof To prove the equivalence of these statements, we shall prove the implications :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (3') & \Longleftarrow & (3) & & \\
 \Downarrow & & \Uparrow & & \\
 (1') & \implies & (1) & \Longleftrightarrow & (1'') \\
 & & \Downarrow & & \\
 & & (2) & &
 \end{array}$$

(1') \Rightarrow (1): Since L is algebraically closed, there is an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K with $\bar{K} \subseteq L$. Further, since $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \bar{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is an integral extension and $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a non-unit ideal, by the Proposition in (2.1) (4) the extended ideal $\mathfrak{a}\bar{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is also a non-unit ideal in $\bar{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Therefore by (1') we have $\emptyset \neq V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a}\bar{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V_L(\mathfrak{a})$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): By the given condition, $E = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{m}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and hence by (1) (applied to $L = \bar{K}$ the algebraic closure of K) there exists $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$ such that $a \in V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{m})$. Now, clearly the (substitution) K -algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon_a : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \bar{K}$, $X_i \mapsto a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, has kernel $= \mathfrak{m}_a = \mathfrak{m}$ and hence ε_a induces an injective K -algebra homomorphism $E = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \bar{K}$. Therefore E is algebraic over K .

(3) \Rightarrow (3'): Clearly, the map ξ is always injective. To prove that ξ is surjective, if K is an algebraically closed field, let $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$. Then $E := K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite type field extension of K and hence $E|K$ is algebraic by (3). Since K is algebraically closed, $E = K$ and hence there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ such that $X_i \equiv a_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. This proves that $\mathfrak{m}_a \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and hence $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_a \in \text{Im } \xi$, since \mathfrak{m}_a is maximal.

(3') \Rightarrow (1'): Since \mathfrak{a} is a non-unit ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, by Krull's Theorem there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Now, by (3') $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_a$ for some $a \in K^n$, or equivalently $a \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$.

(1) \Longleftrightarrow (1''): Let $L|K$ be an algebraically closed field extension of K . Let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a K -algebra of finite type and $\varepsilon_x : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$ be the surjective K -algebra homomorphism with $\varphi(X_i) = x_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_x$. Note that for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$, the substitution homomorphism $\varepsilon_a : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow L$ induces a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow L$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_a} & L \\
 \varepsilon_x \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi & \\
 A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] & &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative if and only if $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_x \subseteq \text{Ker } \varepsilon_a$, or equivalently $\varepsilon_a(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$, i.e. $a \in V_L(\mathfrak{a})$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Clearly, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a}))$. Conversely, suppose that $f \in I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a}))$. Put $g = 1 - X_{n+1}f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ and consider the K -algebraic set $W = V_L(\langle \mathfrak{a}, g \rangle) \subseteq L^n$. If $(a, a_{n+1}) \in W$ with $a \in L^n$ and $a_{n+1} \in L$, then $a \in V_L(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore $f(a) = 0$, since $f \in I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a}))$ and so $0 = g(a, a_{n+1}) = 1 - a_{n+1}f(a) = 1$, a contradiction. This proves that $W = \emptyset$ and hence $\langle \mathfrak{a}, g \rangle$ is the unit ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ by (1). Therefore there exist $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $h_1, \dots, h_r, h \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ such that

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) f_i + h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}) g.$$

Since $g(X_1, \dots, X_n, 1/f) = 0$, substituting $X_{n+1} = 1/f$ in the above equation we get:

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(X_1, \dots, X_n, 1/f) f_i$$

Now, clearing the denominator in all $h_i(X_1, \dots, X_n, 1/f)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, we get $f^s \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 1$. This proves that $f \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Remark: The idea to use an additional indeterminate was introduced by J. L. Rabinowitsch [38] and is known as *Rabinowitsch's trick*.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let \mathfrak{a} be a non-unit ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. If $V_L(\mathfrak{a}) = \emptyset$, then by (2) $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = I_K(V_L(\mathfrak{a})) = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and hence $1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ which contradicts the assumption. •

2.11 Remarks (1) In 1947 Oscar Zariski [46] proved the following elegant result: (Zariski's Lemma) *Let A be an algebra of finite type over a field K and $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } A$. Then A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite field extension of K .* In spite of its innocuous appearance, it is a useful result in affine algebras over any field.

(2) Note that since we have already proved the classical version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (HNS 1') in 2.8, Theorem 2.10 proves all the versions of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

(3) One can also prove that the following general form of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz is equivalent to any one of the forms of HNS mentioned in Theorem 2.10:

HNS 4 : (General Form) *Let A be a Jacobson ring⁶ and let B be an A -algebra of finite type. If $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{Spm } B$ is a maximal ideal in B , then its contraction $\mathfrak{m} = A \cap \mathfrak{n} \in \text{Spm } A$ is also a maximal ideal in A and the residue field B/\mathfrak{n} of B is a finite field extension of the residue field A/\mathfrak{m} of A .*

We give two applications of HNS 2 (see [43]) for solving systems of polynomial equations with finitely many solutions. First, we prove a criterion for a system of polynomial equations to have finitely many solutions.

2.12 Theorem (Finiteness Theorem) *Let K be an algebraically closed field and \mathfrak{a} be a non-unit ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ is a finite set.
- (ii) $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space.
- (iii) There exist polynomials $f_1(X_1), \dots, f_n(X_n) \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof Since $(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a})_{\text{red}} = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is Artinian if and only if $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is Artinian. Also $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = V_K(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})$. So we may assume that \mathfrak{a} is a radical ideal.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{a_1 = (a_{1i}), \dots, a_r = (a_{ri})\} \subseteq K^n$ is finite. Consider the polynomials $f_i(X_i) = (X_i - a_{1i}) \cdots (X_i - a_{ri})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, $f_i(X_i)$ vanishes on $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ and hence $f_i(X_i) \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathfrak{a}$ by HNS 2.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): By (iii) $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^n V_K(f_i(X_i))$ which is finite of cardinality $\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \deg f_i$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Since $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a} = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space by (ii), x_1, \dots, x_n are algebraic over K . Let $\mu_{x_i} \in K[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of x_i over K and $f_i(X_i) := \mu_{x_i}(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $f_i(X_i) \in \mathfrak{a}$, since $f_i(x_i) = \mu_{x_i}(x_i) = 0$ in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $d_i = \deg f_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $d = \max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$. Then for all i , x_i^d can be expressed as a polynomial in x_i^m for $m = 0, 1, \dots, d_i - 1$. Since the monomials in x_1, \dots, x_n

⁶ Recall that a ring A is called a *Jacobson ring* if every prime ideal in A is the intersection of maximal ideals in A . Clearly, fields and the ring of integers, \mathbb{Z} are Jacobson rings. Further, by Zariski's Lemma 2.11 (1) every finite type algebra over a field K is a Jacobson ring. — The name *Jacobson ring* is used by Wolfgang Krull (1899-1971) to honour Nathan Jacobson (1910-1999). The name *Hilbert ring* also appears in the literature.

form a generating set of the K -vector space $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, it follows that it is a finite dimensional K -vector space. •

As an application of HNS 2 we describe finite K -algebraic sets in K^n over an algebraically closed field K using the eigenvalues of some commuting linear operators. For $n = 1$, this is taught in the undergraduate course on linear algebra, namely: *Let $f(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0 \in K[X]$ be a monic polynomial of degree n over a field K and $a \in K$. Then $a \in V_K(f)$ if and only if a is an eigenvalue of the K -linear operator $\lambda_x : K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$, $y \mapsto xy$ on the K -vector space $K[x] := K[X]/\langle f(X) \rangle$ of dimension $n = \deg f$.* For a proof use division with remainder in $K[X]$ to note that $a \in V_K(f)$ if and only if $f(X) = (X - a)g(X)$ with $g(X) \in K[X] \setminus \langle f(X) \rangle$, equivalently, $0 = f(x) = (x - a)g(x) = \lambda_x(g(x)) - ag(x)$ with $g(x) \neq 0$ in $K[x]$, i. e. $\lambda_x(g(x)) = ag(x)$ with $g(x) \neq 0$ which means a is an eigenvalue of λ_x with eigenvector $g(x)$.

Ludwig Stickelberger generalized the above observation to the case of polynomials in n indeterminates over an algebraically closed field. More precisely, we prove the following :

2.13 Theorem (Stickelberger) *Let K be an algebraically closed field and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a radical ideal in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ finite. Then there exists $0 \neq g(x) := g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ such that $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{a_1 = (a_{1i}) \dots, a_r = (a_{ri})\}$, where for each $j = 1, \dots, r$, the i -th coordinate a_{ji} of a_j is an eigenvalue of λ_{x_i} with eigenvector $g(x)$, i. e. $x_i g(x) = \lambda_{x_i}(g(x)) = a_{ji} g(x)$ for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof Suppose that $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{a_1 = (a_{1i}), \dots, a_r = (a_{ri})\} \subseteq K^n$ is finite. For every $j = 2, \dots, r$, there exists k_j such that $a_{1k_j} \neq a_{jk_j}$ and hence $g_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \prod_{j=2}^r (X_{k_j} - a_{jk_j}) / (a_{1k_j} - a_{jk_j}) \notin \mathfrak{a}$, since $g_1(a_1) \neq 0$. Further, $(X_i g_1 - a_{ji} g_1)(a_j) = a_{ji} g_1(a_j) - a_{ji} g_1(a_j) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $g_1(x) = g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$, $X_i g_1 - a_{ji} g_1 \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$ by HNS 2 and hence $x_i g_1(x) = \lambda_{x_i}(g_1(x)) = a_{ji} g_1(x) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. Conversely, let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in K^n$ be such that $x_i g(x) = \lambda_{x_i}(g(x)) = b_i g(x)$ for some $0 \neq g(x) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $b_1^{v_1} \dots b_n^{v_n} g(x) = (\lambda_{x_1}^{v_1} \circ \dots \circ \lambda_{x_n}^{v_n})(g(x)) = x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} g(x)$ for every $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and hence $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) g(x) = f(x) g(x) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, since $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in K$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ in the K -vector space $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, it follows that $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, i. e. $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$. •

2.14 Examples of PIDs which are not EDs In most textbooks it is stated that there are examples of principal ideal domains which are not Euclidean domains. However, concrete examples are almost never presented with full details. In this subsection we use HNS 3 to give a family of such examples with full proofs which are accessible even to undergraduate students. The main ingredients are computations of the unit group A^\times and the K -Spec A for affine algebras over a field K . First we recall some standard definitions and preliminary results :

(1) Unit Groups For a ring A , the group A^\times of the invertible elements in the multiplicative monoid (A, \cdot) of the ring A is called the *unit group*; its elements are called the *units* in A . The determination of the unit group of a ring is an interesting problem which is not always easy. Some simple examples are : $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{-1, 1\}$; if $n \geq 2$, then $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq m < n \text{ and } \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$; if K is a field then $K^\times = K \setminus \{0\}$; if A is an integral domain, then $(A[X_1, \dots, X_n])^\times = A^\times$; if K is a field, then $(K[T, T^{-1}])^\times = \{\lambda T^n \mid \lambda \in K^\times \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \text{the product group } K^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$; $(A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]])^\times = \{f \in A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \mid f(0) \in A^\times\}$.

(2) Norm The notion of the *norm* is very useful for the determination of the unit groups of some domains. Let R be a (commutative) ring and let A be a finite free R -algebra. For $x \in A$, let $\lambda_x : A \rightarrow A$ denote the (left) multiplication by x . The *norm* map $N_R^A : A \rightarrow R$, $x \mapsto \text{Det } \lambda_x$, contains important information about the multiplicative structure of A over R . The following properties of the norm map are easy to verify :

The norm map $N_R^A : A \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative, i. e. $N_R^A(xy) = N_R^A(x) \cdot N_R^A(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$, $N_R^A(a) = a^n$ for every $a \in R$, where $n := \text{Rank}_R(A)$. Further, for an element $x \in A$, $x \in A^\times$ if and only if $N_R^A(x) \in R^\times$.

(3) In the following examples we shall illustrate the use of the norm map to compute the unit group.

(a) Let $\varphi(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a non-constant polynomial with positive leading coefficient, $\Phi := Y^2 + \varphi(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ and let $A := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(\Phi)$. Then A is an affine domain (over \mathbb{R}) of (Krull) dimension 1 and $A^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Proof Let $x, y \in A$ denote the images of X, Y in A respectively. Then A is a free $\mathbb{R}[X]$ -algebra of rank 2 with R -basis $1, y$, i. e. $A = \mathbb{R}[X] + \mathbb{R}[X] \cdot y$ and $y^2 = -\varphi(X)$. Further, let $N := N_{\mathbb{R}[X]}^A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[X]$ denote the norm-map of A over $\mathbb{R}[X]$. Then $N(F + Gy) = \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} F & -G\varphi \\ G & F \end{pmatrix} = F^2 + G^2\varphi$ for every $F, G \in \mathbb{R}[X]$. Therefore $F + Gy \in A^\times$ if and only if $F^2 + G^2\varphi \in \mathbb{R}[X]^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times$, equivalently, $F \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $G = 0$, since the leading coefficient of φ is positive by assumption. This proves that $A^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times$.

(b) The \mathbb{R} -algebras $P := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(Y^2 - X) \cong \mathbb{R}[Y]$, $H := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 - Y^2 - 1) \cong \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(XY - 1) \cong \mathbb{R}[Z, Z^{-1}]$, $K := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$ and $L_{b,c} := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(Y^2 + bX^2 + c)$ with $b, c \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$, are all affine domains (over \mathbb{R}) of dimension one and $H^\times \cong \mathbb{R}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$, $P^\times = K^\times = L_{b,c}^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times$.

(4) **Euclidean functions and Euclidean domains** Let A be an integral domain. A *Euclidean function* on A is a map $\delta : A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies the following property : for every two elements $a, b \in A$ with $b \neq 0$ there exist elements q and r in A such that $a = qb + r$ and either $r = 0$ or $\delta(r) < \delta(b)$. If there is a Euclidean function δ on A , then A is called a *Euclidean domain* (with respect to δ). For example, the usual absolute value function $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $a \mapsto |a|$ is a Euclidean function on the the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} ; For a field K , the degree function $f \mapsto \deg f$ is a Euclidean function on the the polynomial ring $K[X]$; the order function $f \mapsto \text{ord } f$, is a Euclidean function on the the formal power series ring $K[[X]]$.

Note that in the definition of a Euclidean function on A , many authors also include the condition that δ respect the multiplication, i. e. $\delta(ab) \geq \delta(a)$ for all $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$. However, if A is a Euclidean domain, then there exists a so-called *minimal Euclidean function* δ on A which respects the multiplication and the equality $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)$ for $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$ holds if and only if $b \in A^\times$. For a proof we recommend the reader to see the beautiful article by P. Samuel [40].

In a Euclidean domain, any two elements have a gcd which can be effectively computed by *Euclidean algorithm*. In particular, Euclidean domains are principal ideal domains and hence unique factorization domains.

(5) We shall show that the coordinate ring of the circle over real numbers is not a principal ideal domain. More precisely : *The \mathbb{R} -algebra $K = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$ is not a principal ideal domain.*

Proof Let x, y denote the images of X, Y in K , respectively. In fact we will show that the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{(a,b)} = K(x - a) + K(y - b)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 + b^2 = 1$, corresponding to the \mathbb{R} -rational points of K are not principal. To prove this we may assume without loss of generality that $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. We use the fact that K is a quadratic free algebra over $\mathbb{R}[X]$ with basis $1, y$ with $y^2 = 1 - X^2$. Let $N = N_{\mathbb{R}[X]}^K : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[X]$ be the norm-map of K over $\mathbb{R}[X]$. First note that $N(y) = X^2 - 1$ and if $f = \varphi + \psi y \in K^\times$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}[X]$, then $N(f) = \varphi^2 + \psi^2(X^2 - 1)$, in particular, either $N(f) \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ or $\deg(N(f)) \geq 2$. Now, suppose that $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_{(0,1)}$ is principal, say generated by an element $f \in K$. Then f is a non-unit in K and $x - 1 = gf$, $y = hf$ for some $g, h \in K$. Therefore $(X - 1)^2 = N(x - 1) = N(g)N(f)$ and $X^2 - 1 = N(y) = N(h)N(f)$ and hence $N(f)$ divides $\gcd((X - 1)^2, X^2 - 1) = X - 1$, in particular, $\deg(N(f)) = 1$ (since $f \notin K^\times$, $N(f) \notin \mathbb{R}^\times$) which is impossible. Therefore \mathfrak{m} is not a principal ideal in K . •

Now we prove the following simple key observation that the K -Spectrum of an affine domain A over a field K which is a Euclidean domain with a small unit group is non-empty. More precisely :

2.15 Proposition *Let A be an affine domain over a field K . If A is an Euclidean domain, then there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spm } A$ such that the natural group homomorphism $\pi^\times : A^\times \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m})^\times$ (which is the restriction of the canonical surjective map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$) is surjective. In particular, if A is an Euclidean domain with $A^\times = K^\times$, then $K\text{-Spec } A \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Suppose that A is an Euclidean domain and that $\delta: A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a minimal Euclidean function on A . Then choose an element $f \in A$ such that $\delta(f) := \min\{\delta(a) \mid 0 \neq a \in (A \setminus A^\times)\}$. Such an element f exists, since the ordered set (\mathbb{N}, \leq) where \leq is the usual order on \mathbb{N} , is well ordered. We claim that f is irreducible. For, if $f = gh$ with $g, h \in A$, then $\delta(f) = \delta(gh) \geq \delta(g)$. In the case $\delta(f) > \delta(g)$, $g \in A^\times$ by the minimality of $\delta(f)$. In the case $\delta(gh) = \delta(f) = \delta(g)$, $h \in A^\times$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m} = Af$ is a non-zero prime ideal and hence a maximal ideal in A . To prove that $\pi^\times: A^\times \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m})^\times$ is surjective, let $z \in (A/\mathfrak{m})^\times$. Then $z = \pi(g)$ for some $g \in A$ and $g \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Use the Euclidean function δ to write $g = fq + r$ with $q, r \in A$ and either $r = 0$ or $\delta(r) < \delta(f)$. Since $z \neq 0$, i. e. $g \notin \mathfrak{m}$, we must have $r \neq 0$ and hence $\delta(r) < \delta(f)$. But, then by the minimality of $\delta(f)$, $r \in A^\times$ and $z = \pi(g) = \pi(r) = \pi^\times(r)$. •

We can reformulate the above Proposition in the language of algebraic geometry as :

2.16 Corollary *Let \mathcal{C} be an affine algebraic irreducible curve over a field K . If \mathcal{C} has no K -rational points and the unit group of the coordinate ring $K[\mathcal{C}]$ of \mathcal{C} is K^\times , then $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not a Euclidean domain.*

For the \mathbb{R} -affine domains H and K in Examples 2.14 (3) (b), the assumptions in Proposition 2.15 are not satisfied, but H is a Euclidean domain and K is not a Euclidean domain, in fact, not even a PID, see 2.14 (5).

2.17 Corollary *Let $\varphi(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a non-constant polynomial with $\varphi(\alpha) > 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Phi := Y^2 + \varphi(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$. Then the affine domain $A := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(\Phi)$ is not a Euclidean domain. In particular, $L_{b,c} = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(Y^2 + bX^2 + c)$ with $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ is not a Euclidean domain.*

Proof Note that $A^\times = \mathbb{R}^\times$ by 2.14 (3) (a) and $\mathbb{R}\text{-Spec} A = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \Phi(\alpha, \beta) = 0\} = \emptyset$ by the assumption on φ . Therefore A can not be a Euclidean domain by Corollary 2.16. •

In the following theorem, we give a criterion for the affine \mathbb{R} -domain $L_{b,c}$ to be a principal ideal domain, see 2.14 (3) (b).

2.18 Theorem *Let $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$ and $c \neq 0$. Then the affine domain $L_{b,c} := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(Y^2 + bX^2 + c)$ over \mathbb{R} is a principal ideal domain if and only if $c > 0$.*

Proof By replacing X by $\sqrt{|c|/b}X$ and Y by $\sqrt{|c|}Y$, it follows that $L_{b,c} \cong \begin{cases} L_{1,1} & \text{if } c > 0, \\ L_{1,-1} & \text{if } c < 0, \end{cases}$ as \mathbb{R} -algebras and hence we may assume that $b = 1$ and $c = \pm 1$. Since $L_{1,-1}$ is not a principal ideal domain by 2.14 (5), it is enough to prove that $A := L_{1,1}$ is a principal ideal domain. Note that $B := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 + 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[U, V]/(UV - 1) \cong \mathbb{C}[T, T^{-1}]$ is a principal ideal domain and that B is a free A -algebra with basis $1, i$, where $i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $i^2 + 1 = 0$. Let $x, y \in B$ denote the images of X, Y in B respectively and let $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$, $i \mapsto -i$, denote the conjugation automorphism of B over A . Then $\sigma^2 = \text{id}_B$ and $(x + iy) \cdot \sigma(x + iy) = (x + iy)(x - iy) = -1$, in particular, $\sigma(x + iy) = -(x + iy)^{-1}$. Further, an element $f \in B$ belongs to A if and only if $\sigma(f) = f$. Moreover, $B^\times = \{\lambda(x + iy)^n \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Let \mathfrak{A} be any ideal in A . To show that \mathfrak{A} is principal, we may assume that $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{A} \neq A$. Since B is a PID, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}B (\neq 0)$ generated by \mathfrak{A} in B is principal. We claim that there exists $f \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{A}B = Bf$. First choose $g \in B$, $g \neq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{A}B = Bg$. Since $B\sigma(g) = \sigma(Bg) = \sigma(\mathfrak{A}B) = \sigma(\mathfrak{A})B = \mathfrak{A}B = Bg$ and since B is an integral domain, there exists a unit $u \in B^\times$ such that $\sigma(g) = u \cdot g$. Further, since $\sigma^2 = \text{id}_B$ and $g \neq 0$, we have $u \cdot \sigma(u) = 1$. Therefore $u = \lambda(x + iy)^n$ for some $(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$1 = u \cdot \sigma(u) = \lambda(x + iy)^n \cdot \sigma(\lambda)(-1)^n(x + iy)^{-n} = (-1)^n |\lambda|^2.$$

This proves that n is even and $|\lambda|^2 = 1$, i. e. $n = 2m$ and $\lambda = e^{it}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now, put $f := i^m e^{it/2} (x + iy)^m \cdot g$. Then $\mathfrak{A}B = Bg = Bf$. To show that $f \in A$, it is enough to prove that $\sigma(f) = f$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f) &= (-i)^m e^{-it/2} (x - iy)^m \cdot \sigma(g) = (-i)^m e^{-it/2} \cdot (x - iy)^m \cdot u \cdot g \\ &= (-i)^m e^{-it/2} (x - iy)^m \cdot e^{it} (x + iy)^{2m} \cdot g \\ &= (-i)^m e^{it/2} (x - iy)^m (x + iy)^m (x + iy)^m \cdot g \\ &= (-i)^m (-1)^m e^{it/2} (x + iy)^m \cdot g = i^m e^{it/2} (x + iy)^m \cdot g = f. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since B is a free A -module with basis $1, i$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}B \cap A = Bf \cap A = Af$ is a principal ideal. •

Finally, we come to a class of affine domains over \mathbb{R} which are principal ideal domains, but not Euclidean domains :

2.19 Theorem *Let $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b > 0$ and $c > 0$ and let $\Phi := Y^2 + bX^2 + c \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$. Then the affine \mathbb{R} -domain $L_{b,c} := \mathbb{R}[X, Y]/\langle \Phi \rangle$ is a principal ideal domain and is not an Euclidean domain.*

Proof By Theorem 2.18 $L_{b,c}$ is a principal ideal domain and by Corollary 2.17 $L_{b,c}$ is not a Euclidean domain. •

3 Real Nullstellensatz

Real Algebra — the study of “real objects” such as real rings (resp. real varieties) in the category of rings (resp. real algebraic varieties) — has attracted considerable interest because of its use in the development of algebraic geometry over the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers, more generally, over a real closed field. Real algebra plays a role analogous to the one played by commutative algebra in the development of classical (and abstract) algebraic geometry. Therefore, real algebra has many applications to geometric problems.

In the category of fields, the real objects, namely, the formally real fields have been studied by Émil Artin and Otto Schreier. They recognized that formally real fields are precisely fields which can be ordered. The idea of exploiting the orders in a real field played a central role in Artin’s solution to Hilbert’s 17th problem.

The Real Nullstellensatz has a weak version and a strong version which are similar to the corresponding versions of the classical HNS for algebraically closed fields. In this section we present the proofs of both the versions assuming the Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem.

3.1 Notation and Preliminaries In the category of commutative rings, two notions “semi-real” and “real” of “reality” play an important role. We recall these concepts and basic results concerning them. For details the reader is recommended to see N. Jacobson [22] or an article by T. Y. Lam [30]

(1) Reality Let A be a (commutative) ring. The set $\{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 \mid n \geq 1, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A\}$ of *sums of squares* in A is denoted by ΣA^2 . It is a *semiring*⁷ contained in A . A ring A is called *semi-real* if $-1 \notin \Sigma A^2$. If A is not semi-real, then there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ with $1 + a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 = 0$; in this case, we

⁷ A *semiring* is an algebraic structure $(R, +, \cdot)$ similar to a ring, except that $(R, +)$ is a commutative monoid and is not necessarily an abelian group. A motivating example of a semiring is the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} with usual addition and multiplication. Similarly, the sets $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of the non-negative rational numbers and the non-negative real numbers, respectively, form semirings.

say that A is *unreal*. A ring A is called (*formally*) *real* if for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 = 0$ implies $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

We can also define these notions of reality for ideals in A . An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ is called *semi-real* (resp. *real*) if the residue class ring A/\mathfrak{a} is semi-real (resp. real).

The zero ring is real but not semi-real. A nonzero real ring is a semi-real. If a non-unit ideal \mathfrak{a} in a ring A is real, then it is also semi-real. The characteristic of a real field is 0. The two notions of reality for fields and maximal ideals coincide. A field is semi-real if and only if it is real. Similarly, for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , A/\mathfrak{m} is semi-real if and only if it is real.

The ring $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2)$ is semi-real but not real. More generally, $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2)$ is semi-real ring but not real. The ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle X^2 - 2 \rangle$ in the ring $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ is a real prime ideal, since $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a real field. If A is real, then A is reduced and every subring is also real. An integral domain A is real if and only if its quotient field $\mathbb{Q}(A)$ is real.

For a local ring, it is convenient to introduce the third notion of reality: a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is called *residually real* if the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_A is real, i. e. if the residue field A/\mathfrak{m}_A is a formally real field. Note that if (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is semi-real or even real, then it does not follow that (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is residually real. For example, the local ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, where p is a prime number, is not residually real. On the other hand, if a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is residually real, then it is semi-real, but not necessarily real. For example, the localization of the ring $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} := \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is residually real and semi-real, but not real.

For convenience we note the following observations for future reference (for proofs see [30]):

- (i) If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism and if B is semi-real, then A is also semi-real. Moreover, if φ is injective and if B is real, then A is also real. In particular, an integral domain A is real if and only if its quotient field $\mathbb{Q}(A)$ is real.
- (ii) If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism and if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq B$ is semi-real (resp. real) ideal, then $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ is also semi-real (resp. real). If φ is surjective, then an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq B$ is semi-real (resp. real) if and only if $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ is semi-real (resp. real).
- (iii) A direct product $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_r$ (with $A_i \neq 0$) is semi-real (resp. real) if and only if all factors A_1, \dots, A_r are semi-real (resp. real).
- (iv) A valuation ring R is real if and only if it is semi-real.
- (v) Let $S \subseteq A$ be a multiplicatively closed subset in A with $0 \notin S$ (so that $S^{-1}A \neq 0$). Then the implications :

$$A \text{ real} \Rightarrow S^{-1}A \text{ real} \Rightarrow S^{-1}A \text{ semi-real} \Rightarrow A \text{ semi-real}$$

hold, but, in general, the reverse implications do not hold.

- (vi) If A is a regular local ring which is residually real, then A is real.

(2) Artin-Schreier theory for fields In 1927 Artin-Schreier discovered that for fields there is a connection between the notion of formal reality and the existence of orders⁸.

(a) Theorem (Artin-Schreier): *A field K is real if and only if there is an order \leq on K such that (K, \leq) is an ordered field.*

For a proof, one considers the set of *preorders*⁹ on K and proves (by using Zorn's Lemma) that it has a maximal element (with respect to the natural inclusion). Finally, note that maximal preorders on a field K are orders on K .

⁸ Recall that a field K together with an order \leq on K is called an *ordered field* if (i) \leq is a total order on K . (ii) The monotonicity of addition and multiplication holds, i. e. for all $a, b, c \in K$, the implications: $a \leq b \Rightarrow a + c \leq b + c$ and $a \leq b$ and $0 \leq c \Rightarrow ac \leq bc$. Sometimes we also write that K has a field order \leq if (K, \leq) is an ordered field. On a field K there may be many field orders.

⁹ Let K be a field. A subset $T \subseteq K$ is called a *preorder* on K if $T + T \subseteq T$, $T \cdot T \subseteq T$, $\{a^2 \mid a \in K\} \subseteq T$ and $-1 \notin T$. Note that if T is a preorder on K , then $T \cap -T = \{0\}$. A preorder on K is an order if and only if $T \cup -T = K$.

More generally, we have :

(b) Theorem (Artin-Schreier criterion for sums of squares) *Let K be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and $a \in K$. Then the following statements are equivalent: (i) $a \in \sum K^2$. (ii) a is a totally positive element, i. e. a is positive for any field order on K . In particular, if K has no field order, then every element of K is sum of squares.*

(3) Real closed fields Let K be a field. Then we say that K is *real closed* if it is real and if it has no nontrivial real algebraic extension $L|K$, $L \neq K$. For example, the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers is real closed. The algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} is real closed. The field \mathbb{Q} is real, but not real closed.

We list some basic results on real and real closed fields without proofs :

(a) Let K be a real closed field. Then : (i) Every polynomial $f \in K[X]$ of odd degree has a zero in K . (ii) K has exactly one field order. (this order is called the *unique order of the real closed field K*). (iii) The set $K^2 := \{a^2 \mid a \in K\}$ of squares in K is a field order on K .

(b) Let K be a real-closed field and $a \in K$ be a positive element in K . Then a has a unique positive square root in K which is denoted by \sqrt{a} .

(c) Let K be a real field. Then there exists an algebraic extension $L|K$ such that L is a real closed field. Such a field L is called a *real closure* of K .

Remark : If $L|K$ and $L'|K$ be two real closures of a real field K , then it is not necessary that $L|K$ and $L'|K$ are isomorphic. For an example, it is enough to note that there are fields with at least two field orders. The subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ of \mathbb{R} has exactly two field orders. — This is a special case of a much deeper result : An algebraic number field L has at most $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ distinct orders and the signature of its trace form is ≥ 0 . If $L|K$ is a finite field extension of an ordered field (K, \leq) and if \leq can be extended to a unique order on L , then $[L : K]$ is odd. In particular, every field order on K can be extended to a field order on L .

(d) Let (K, \leq) be an ordered field. Then :

(i) (Existence of real closure) : There exists a real-closed field extension $L|K$ such that the unique order on L extends the given order \leq on K . (A real closed field L such that the unique order of L extends the given order \leq on K is called a *real closure* of the ordered field (K, \leq)).

(ii) (Uniqueness of real closure) : If $L|K$ and $L'|K$ are two real closures of (K, \leq) , then the field extensions $L|K$ and $L'|K$ are isomorphic. Indeed, there is a unique K -isomorphism which preserves order.

(e) Theorem (Euler-Lagrange) *Let (K, \leq) be an ordered field satisfying the properties : (i) Every polynomial $f \in K[X]$ of odd degree has a zero in K . (ii) Every positive element in K is a square in K . Then the field $\bar{K} = K(i)$ obtained from K by adjoining a square root i of -1 is algebraically closed. In particular, K itself is real-closed.*

Remark : Since the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers is ordered and satisfies the properties (i) and (ii), the above theorem proves the **Fundamental Theorem of Algebra** : *The field $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}(i)$ of complex numbers is algebraically closed.*

(f) The Theorem in (e) has a remarkable complement (see also Theorem 4.16) :

Theorem (Artin) Let L be an algebraically closed field. If $K \subseteq L$ be a subfield of L such that $L|K$ is finite and $K \neq L$, then $L = K(i)$ with $i^2 + 1 = 0$ and K is a real-closed field.

(4) Artin-Schreier theory for commutative rings To formulate a generalization for Artin-Schreier Theorem to commutative rings, it is crucial to arrive at the right definition of an “order” on a commutative ring. Let A be a commutative ring. A preorder on A is defined in the same way as done for fields, see Footnote 9. If T is preorder on A , then $T \cap -T$ need not be $\{0\}$. However, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a} := T \cap -T$ is the largest additive subgroup contained in T ; \mathfrak{a} is called the *support* of T denoted by $\text{Supp}(T)$. If $2 \in A^\times$, then the support of a preorder on A is an ideal in A . For this, we need to show that if $a \in A$ and $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $ax \in \mathfrak{a}$. It is enough to write $a = b^2 - c^2$ for some $b, c \in A$, which is always possible, since $1/2 \in A$, for take $b = (1+a)/2$ and $c = (1-a)/2$. If a preorder T on A satisfies $T \cup -T = A$, then it is easy to see that the support \mathfrak{a} of T is an ideal in A even if $2 \notin A^\times$. With all this preamble, we are

now ready to define an order on a commutative ring A : A preorder T on A is called an *order* on A if $T \cup -T = A$ and the support $\mathfrak{a} = T \cap -T$ is a prime ideal in A . Note that the prescription of an order on A with support $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ is equivalent to the prescription of an order on the quotient ring A/\mathfrak{p} . Therefore possible supports of orders on A are precisely all the real prime ideals.

With the preparation as above, we are ready to state the following result of A. Prestel [37].

(a) Theorem (Prestel): *Let A be a ring and T be a maximal (with respect to the natural inclusion) preorder on A , then T is an order on A .*

As a consequence we have: (1) *Every preorder on a ring A is contained in an order on A .* (2) *Let T be an order on A . Then T is a maximal as an order on A if and only if T is a maximal as a preorder on A .*

We now state a generalization of the Artin-Schreier Theorem for commutative rings:

(b) Theorem *Let A be a commutative ring. Then A is semi-real if and only if there exists an order on A .*

M. Coste and M. -F. Coste-Royer, have introduced the notion of the real spectrum (the set X_A of orders on A with Harrison topology) of a ring A in [9]. On the one hand this is the correct generalization of the space of orders of a field, and on the other hand, this is the “real” analogue of the prime spectrum (with Zariski topology) of a ring. We shall restrict ourselves to the ideal theoretic view rather than orders and use only the basic properties of the real spectrum and show that the study of real spectrum is an indispensable tool in real algebraic geometry. We begin with:

3.2 Definition Let A be a ring. The set

$$\text{r-Spec}(A) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid k(\mathfrak{p}) = Q(A/\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is real}\}.$$

of all real prime ideals in A is called the *real prime spectrum* of A .

With this definition we can give a characterization of real rings:

3.3 Theorem *For a ring A , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) A is real.
- (ii) A is reduced and all minimal prime ideals of A are real.
- (iii) A is reduced and $\text{r-Spec}(A)$ is dense in $\text{Spec}(A)$.
- (iv) The intersection of all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)$ is 0, i. e. $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} = 0$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): If A is real, then A is reduced by 3.1 (1) and for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real by 3.1 (1) (v). Therefore $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for every minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A and hence $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec } A$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): This is clear as the set of minimal prime ideals is dense in $\text{Spec}(A)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Since $\text{r-Spec}(A)$ is dense in $\text{Spec}(A)$, $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} = \text{nil}(A) = 0$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ be such that $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then by (iv), there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)$ with $a_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is real, $a_1^2 + \dots + a_r^2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, $a_1^2 + \dots + a_r^2 \neq 0$. This proves that A is real. •

3.4 Corollary *Real rings are subrings of a direct product of formally real fields.*

3.5 Remark One may also ask: If A is semi-real, then is A reduced? And are all of its minimal prime ideals semi-real? We give examples to show that both these questions have negative answers. (i) The ring $\mathbb{R}[X]/\langle X^2 \rangle$ is semi-real, but clearly not reduced. (ii) Let K be a real field and K' be a non-semi-real field. Then the product ring $A := K \times K'$ is semi-real, since the first projection $A \rightarrow K$ is a ring homomorphism. Further, A is reduced with two minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 = K \times \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \{0\} \times K'$. Since $A/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cong K'$ and $A/\mathfrak{p}_2 \cong K$, \mathfrak{p}_2 is real but \mathfrak{p}_1 is not semi-real.

One can also characterize semi-real rings. For this the following definition is useful :

3.6 Definition Let A be a ring. An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ is called *maximal real* if it is real, $\mathfrak{a} \neq A$ and it is maximal with respect to this property. In other words, \mathfrak{a} is a maximal element in the set of non-unit real ideals in A ordered by the natural inclusion, i. e. if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}' \subseteq A$ with \mathfrak{a}' real, then either $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}'$ or $\mathfrak{a}' = A$. Maximal semi-real ideals are defined analogously.

3.7 Theorem Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ an ideal.

(a) Let $S \subseteq A$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of A with $1 \in S$, $0 \notin S$ and $S + \sum A^2 \subseteq S$ and let \mathfrak{p} be an ideal in A maximal with respect to the property $S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$. Then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A and the quotient field $Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is a real field. In particular, \mathfrak{p} is a real ideal.

(b) Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in A . Then \mathfrak{a} is maximal semi-real if and only if \mathfrak{a} is maximal real. The maximal real (resp. semi-real) ideals are precisely the (prime) ideals \mathfrak{p} in A which are maximal with respect to the property that $\mathfrak{p} \cap (1 + \sum A^2) = \emptyset$.

Proof (a) By well-known arguments from commutative algebra one can show that \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A . To prove that the quotient field $Q(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is real, suppose that $b_1^2 + \dots + b_r^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $b_i \in A$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. We need to show that $b_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. On the contrary, if (by renumbering) some $b_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $S \cap (\mathfrak{p} + \langle b_1 \rangle) \neq \emptyset$ by the maximality of \mathfrak{p} . Therefore $s \equiv ab_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $s \in S$ and $a \in A$. But, then $s^2 \equiv a^2 b_1^2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and hence $s^2 + a^2 b_2^2 + \dots + a^2 b_r^2 = a^2 b_1^2 + a^2 b_2^2 + \dots + a^2 b_r^2 \in (S + \sum A^2) \cap \mathfrak{p} \subseteq S \cap \mathfrak{p}$, which is a contradiction. The supplement is immediate from 3.1 (1) (i).

(b) We may assume that $A \neq 0$. Note that the semi-real ideals of A are the ideals \mathfrak{a} of A with $\mathfrak{a} \cap S = \emptyset$, where $S := 1 + \sum A^2$. (\Rightarrow): Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is a maximal semi-real ideal. To prove that \mathfrak{a} is maximal real, we may assume that $0 \notin S$ (otherwise, there is nothing to prove), i. e. A is semi-real ring. Therefore by (a) (applied to the multiplicative set S), \mathfrak{a} is a real ideal in A . Moreover, \mathfrak{a} must be maximal real, since real ideals are also semi-real. (\Leftarrow): If \mathfrak{a} is a maximal real ideal, then (since non-unit real ideals are semi-real) by already proved implication (\Rightarrow) \mathfrak{a} is also maximal semi-real. With this the last assertion is immediate from (a). •

3.8 Corollary Let A be a ring and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ an ideal. An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ is semi-real if and only if there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)$ with $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, a ring A is semi-real if and only if $\text{r-Spec}(A) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof If \mathfrak{a} is semi-real, then \mathfrak{a} is contained in a maximal semi-real ideal \mathfrak{p} and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec}(A)$ by the above theorem. The converse is clear. •

3.9 Corollary Let A be a ring. If -1 is a sum of squares in every residue field of A , then -1 is a sum of squares in A .

To formulate a general version of Real Nullstellensatz, we need the concept of the real radical of an ideal. We shall define this for ideals in commutative rings :

Let A be a commutative ring. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$, let $V(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \text{Spec} A$ and $\text{r-V}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \text{r-Spec} A$, see Definition 3.2. The *real radical* $\text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ of \mathfrak{a} is the intersection of all real prime ideals containing \mathfrak{a} , i. e. $\text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-V}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$.

The following theorem is an element-wise characterization of the real radical of an ideal in a commutative ring :

3.10 Theorem Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ be an ideal in a commutative ring A and let $f \in A$. Then the following statements are equivalent: (i) $f \in \text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. (ii) There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ such that $f^{2m} + a_1^2 + \dots + a_r^2 \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof By passing to the residue class ring A/\mathfrak{a} , we may assume that $\mathfrak{a} = 0$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Note that (i) is equivalent with $D(f) \cap \text{r-Spec } A = \emptyset$. Therefore $\text{r-Spec } S^{-1}A = \emptyset$, where $S = \{f^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and hence by Corollary 3.8 there is an equation $1 + (a_1/f^n)^2 + \cdots + (a_r/f^n)^2 = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$. It follows that $f^{2m}(f^{2n} + a_1^2 + \cdots + a_r^2) = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-V}(\mathfrak{a})$, from (ii) it follows that $f^m \in \mathfrak{p}$ and hence $f \in \mathfrak{p}$. •

Note that a ring A is real if and only if $\text{r-}\sqrt{0} = 0$ (see Theorem 3.3), i. e. “real reduced”. More generally, an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ is a real ideal if and only if $\text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$.

Affine algebras over a field are important in algebraic geometry because they are coordinate rings of algebraic sets. The Artin-Lang theory of affine algebras (over a field K) and their associated function fields provide applications to real algebraic geometry. To simplify matters, we shall always assume that the base field K is a real closed field. The case when K is an ordered field can be treated by passing to the real closure of K .

3.11 Theorem (Artin-Lang Homomorphism Theorem) *Let K be a real closed field and let A be a real affine domain over K . Then there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$.*

For a proof we refer the reader to the article by S. Lang [31], see also [30]. In fact, Lang proved a stronger result than the above Theorem: *Let V be an affine irreducible K -variety over a real closed field K and $K[V] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ be the coordinate ring of V over K . Then the function field $K(V)$ of V over K is formally real if and only if V has a non-singular K -rational point.*

For further use in our exposition we note the following three improved supplements of the Artin-Lang Homomorphism Theorem.

3.12 Corollary *Let K be a real closed field, A be a real affine domain over K and let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ be non-zero elements. Then there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(f_i) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof Apply Theorem 3.11 to the real affine domain $A[1/(f_1 \cdots f_n)]$. •

3.13 Corollary *Let K be a real closed field and let A be a semi-real affine algebra over K . Then there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$.*

Proof Note that by Theorem 3.7 there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec } A$. Now, apply Theorem 3.11 to the real affine domain A/\mathfrak{p} . •

3.14 Corollary *Let K be a real closed field, let A be an affine algebra over K and let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A$, $g_1, \dots, g_n \in A$. If there exists an order T on A such that $f_i >_T 0$ and $g_j \geq_T 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, then there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(f_i) > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\varphi(g_j) \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, where \leq is the unique order on K , see 3.1 (3) (a).*

Proof Let \mathfrak{p} be the support of T , see 3.1 (4). By passing to A/\mathfrak{p} , we may assume that $\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Then T extends uniquely to an order P on the quotient field $Q(A)$ of A with $f_i \in P \setminus \{0\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $g_j \in P$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Now, apply Corollary 3.12 to the real affine domain $A[1/f_1, \dots, 1/f_m, \sqrt{f_1}, \dots, \sqrt{f_m}, \sqrt{g_1}, \dots, \sqrt{g_n}]$ in the real closure of the ordered field $(Q(A), P)$, see 3.1 (3) (d). •

The Artin-Lang theory lays the foundations for real algebraic geometry, i. e. the study of real algebraic varieties. The Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem is used to give affirmative answer to the Hilbert’s 17th Problem for a real closed base field, see 3.15 below.

We consider this problem over the real closed base fields only. Let K be a real closed field. Let $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial in n indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n over K . We say that f is positive semi-definite if $f(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in K^n$, where \leq is the unique order on K , see 3.1 (3) (a). If f is positive semi-definite, then it need not be a sum of squares in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. For instance, the Motzkin polynomial $M(X, Y) = X^4Y^2 + X^2Y^4 - 3X^2Y^2 + 1$ gives such an example. Indeed, the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies that $M \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose, on the contrary that $M = \sum_j f_j^2$ is a sum of squares of real polynomials. Since $M(0, Y) = M(X, 0) = 1$, the polynomials $f_j(0, Y)$ and $f_j(X, 0)$ are constants. Therefore each f_j is of the form $f_j = a_j + b_jXY + c_jX^2Y + d_jXY^2$ with $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j \in K$. Then the coefficient of X^2Y^2 in the equality $M = \sum_j f_j^2$ is equal to $-3 = \sum_j b_j^2$ which is a contradiction.

Motivated by his previous work, David Hilbert posed the following problem which was the 17th in the list of 23 challenging problems presented in his celebrated address to the International Congress of Mathematicians in Paris (1900):

3.15 Hilbert's 17th Problem¹⁰ *If f is positive semi-definite, then must it be a sum of squares in the rational function field $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$?*

The affirmative solution to this problem was given by Artin in 1927 [4].

Proof (Modern version of Artin's proof): Put $L := K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Suppose, on the contrary that $f \notin \sum L^2$. Then by 3.1 (2) (b) there exists an order T on L such that $f <_T 0$, i. e. $-f >_T 0$. Therefore by applying Corollary 3.14, there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(-f) > 0$ (where \leq is the unique order on the real closed field K , see 3.1 (3) (a)). Then, for $a_i := \varphi(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(\varphi(X_1), \dots, \varphi(X_n)) = \varphi(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \varphi(f) < 0$, a contradiction. •

Our main goal in the section is to formulate and prove Real Nullstellensatz. Let K be a real closed field, $\bar{K} = K(i)$ with $i^2 + 1 = 0$ an algebraic closure of K (see Theorem of Euler-Lagrange in (3.1) (3) (e)) and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We consider the K -algebraic set $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \bar{K}^n$ and the set of *real points* $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^n$. The analogue of HNS 1' — the Real Nullstellensatz provides a geometric criterion for the semi-reality of the affine K -algebra $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ which is the K -coordinate ring of $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$:

3.16 Theorem (Classical Real Nullstellensatz) *Let K be a real closed field (e. g. $K = \mathbb{R}$) and let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ be a K -algebra of finite type. Then A is semi-real if and only if $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$. Then the evaluation map $\varepsilon_a : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K$, $f \mapsto f(a)$, induces a K -algebra homomorphism $\bar{\varepsilon}_a : A \rightarrow K$ and hence by 3.1 (1) (i) A is semi-real. Conversely, if A is semi-real, then by Corollary 3.13 there exists a K -algebra homomorphism

¹⁰ The starting point of the history of Hilbert's 17th Problem was the oral defense of the doctoral dissertation of Hermann Minkowski (1864-1909) at the University of Königsberg in 1885. The 21 year old Minkowski expressed his opinion that there exist real polynomials which are nonnegative on the whole \mathbb{R}^n and cannot be written as finite sums of squares of real polynomials. David Hilbert was an official opponent in this defense. In his "Gedächtnisrede" [D. Hilbert, Hermann Minkowski. Gedächtnisrede, Math. Ann. **68** (1910), 445-471.] in memorial of H. Minkowski he said later that Minkowski had convinced him about the truth of this statement. In 1888 [D. Hilbert, Über die Darstellung definiter Formen als Summe von Formenquadraten, Math. Ann. **32** (1888), 342-350.] Hilbert proved the existence of a real polynomial in two variables of degree six which is nonnegative on \mathbb{R}^2 but not a sum of squares of real polynomials. Hilbert's proof used some basic results from the theory of algebraic curves. Apart from this his construction is completely elementary. The first explicit example of this kind was given by T. Motzkin only in 1967 [T. S. Motzkin, The arithmetic-geometric inequality. In: Proc. Symposium on Inequalities, edited by O. Shisha, Academic Press, New York, 1967, pp. 205-224.].

$\varphi : A \rightarrow K$. Then clearly $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\varphi(X_1), \dots, \varphi(X_n)) \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$, since $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(\varphi(X_1), \dots, \varphi(X_n)) = \varphi(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \varphi(f) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. •

3.17 Lemma *Let K be a real field and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ an ideal. Then the ideal $I(V_K(\mathfrak{a}))$ is a real ideal.*

Proof Let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ be such that $f_1^2 + \dots + f_r^2 \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a}))$. Then $f_1^2(a) + \dots + f_r^2(a) = (f_1^2 + \dots + f_r^2)(a) = 0$ for all $a \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, since K is a real field, $f_i(a) = 0$ for all $a \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$, i. e. $f_i \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a}))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. •

Next, we prove the Real Nullstellensatz for prime ideals.

3.18 Theorem *Let K be a real closed field (e. g. $K = \mathbb{R}$) and let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a prime ideal. Then $I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow): Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is not real. Then $f_1^2 + \dots + f_r^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $f_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. But, then clearly $f_i \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. •

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is real. To prove the equality $I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$, it is enough to prove that if $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $f \notin I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. Since $\bar{f} \neq 0$ in the real affine domain $A := K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{p} = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over K , by Corollary 3.12 there exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(\bar{f}) \neq 0$. Then $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n)) \in V_K(\mathfrak{p})$, since $g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n)) = \varphi(g(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \varphi(\bar{g}) = 0$ for every $g \in \mathfrak{p}$. Furthermore, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n)) = \varphi(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \varphi(\bar{f}) \neq 0$, i. e. $f \notin I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. •

Finally, we prove the analogue of HNS 2 for real closed fields which is also known as the Dubois-Risler Nullstellensatz, see [11], [12] and [39].

3.19 Theorem (Strong Real Nullstellensatz) *Let K be a real closed field (e. g. $K = \mathbb{R}$) and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ an ideal. Then $I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

Proof Let $A := K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $f \in A$. If $f \in \text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, then by 3.10 $f^{2m} + g \in \mathfrak{a}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $g \in \sum A^2$. Then, for every $a \in V_K(\mathfrak{a})$, $f^{2m}(a) + g(a) = 0 \in K$ and hence $f(a) = 0$, since $g(a) \in \sum K^2$ and K is a real field. Therefore $f \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a}))$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-V}(\mathfrak{a})$, then $V_K(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ and hence $I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$ by Theorem 3.18. Therefore $I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-V}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} = \text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. •

Note that for a semi-real ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, where K is a real closed field, $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$ by the Classical Real Nullstellensatz 3.16. However, $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ may be too small to reflect any geometric properties of $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a})$. An extreme example is $\mathfrak{a} = \langle X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \rangle$, in this case $V_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{0\}$ which does not reveal any geometric properties of the hypersurface $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a})$ over the algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . On the other hand, if \mathfrak{a} is not only semi-real but a real ideal, then $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ is a “significant” part of $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a})$. We deduce this from the above Strong Real Nullstellensatz. More precisely, we prove :

3.20 Corollary *Let K be a real closed field and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ a real ideal. Then $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$ is Zariski dense in the K -algebraic set $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a})$.*

Proof Since K is real closed, by Euler-Lagrange Theorem (see 3.1 (3) (e)) $\bar{K} = K(i)$ with $i^2 + 1 = 0$ is an algebraic closure of K . It is enough to prove the implication: *For every $f \in \bar{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D(f) \cap V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow D(f) \cap V_K(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$.* To prove this, write $f = g + ih$ with $g, h \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. If $f = 0$ on $V_K(\mathfrak{a})$, then clearly both $g, h \in I_K(V_K(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ by Strong Real Nullstellensatz 3.19. Now, since \mathfrak{a} is a real ideal, $\text{r-}\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$ (see remarks after Theorem 3.10) and hence $g, h \in \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore g, h and hence f vanish on $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{a})$. •

3.21 Example Let K be a real closed field and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{r-Spec } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a real prime ideal. Then $\mathfrak{p}\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is also a prime ideal in $\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. In particular, $V_{\overline{K}}(\mathfrak{p})$ is an irreducible K -affine variety over \overline{K} . This is seen as follows: Since K is real closed, $\overline{K} = K(i)$ with $i^2 + 1 = 0$ by Euler-Lagrange Theorem (see 3.1 (3) (e)). Suppose that $(f + ig)(f' + ig') \in \mathfrak{p}\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, where $f, g, f', g' \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then $ff' - gg' \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $fg' + gf' \in \mathfrak{p}$ and hence $g(f'^2 + g'^2) \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $f(f'^2 + g'^2) \in \mathfrak{p}$. If $f + ig \notin \mathfrak{p}\overline{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, then one of f, g is not in \mathfrak{p} and hence $f'^2 + g'^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is real, we have $f', g' \in \mathfrak{p}$ and so $f' + ig' \in \mathfrak{p}$.

4 Projective Real Nullstellensatz

The results proved in this section are based on the personal discussions of second author (Dilip P. Patil) with Professor Uwe Storch, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany and his lecture on 23 January 2003, on the occasion of 141-th birthday of Hilbert at the Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany.

The main aim of this section is to prove the Projective Real Nullstellensatz: Homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{R}[T_0, \dots, T_n]$, $r \leq n$, of positive *odd* degrees in $n + 1$ indeterminates have a common non-trivial zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , or equivalently — a common zero in n -dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ over \mathbb{R} .

Our proof of the Projective Real Nullstellensatz is elementary and uses standard definitions and basic properties of Poincaré series, projective (krull) dimension and multiplicity of (standard) graded algebras over a field. This proof depends on the fundamental property of the real numbers, namely: every odd degree polynomial over the field of real numbers has a real root. We say a field K is a *2-field* if every odd degree polynomial over K has a root in K . Therefore the Projective Real Nullstellensatz can be generalized for 2-fields. As an application, we prove the well-known Borsuk-Ulam Theorem.

4.1 Notation and Preliminaries Let K be a field and let $P := A_0[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in indeterminates T_0, \dots, T_n over a commutative ring A_0 . The homogeneous polynomials of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$ form an A_0 -submodule P_m of P and $P = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_m$. Further, $P_m P_k \subseteq P_{m+k}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and as an A_0 -algebra P is generated by the homogeneous elements T_0, \dots, T_n of degree 1.

(1) Graded rings and Modules More generally, a ring A is called \mathbb{N} -graded or just *graded* if it has a direct sum decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$ as an abelian group such that $A_m A_k \subseteq A_{m+k}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, A_0 is a subring of A and A_m is an A_0 -module for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, A_m is called *homogeneous component* of A of degree m and its elements are called *homogeneous elements of degree m* .

A graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$ is called a *standard graded A_0 -algebra* if A is generated by finitely many homogeneous elements of degree 1 as an A_0 -algebra. A standard example of the standard graded A_0 -algebra (as seen above) is the polynomial algebra $P = A_0[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ with $\deg T_i = 1$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$ over a commutative ring A_0 .

Let $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$ be a graded ring and $A_+ := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_m$. Obviously, A_+ is an ideal in A called the *irrelevant ideal* of A . It follows that the following statements are equivalent: (i) A is Noetherian. (ii) A_0 is Noetherian and A_+ is finitely generated. (iii) A_0 is Noetherian and A is an A_0 -algebra of finite type.

Remark: Note that finite type algebras over Noetherian ring are Noetherian. However, Noetherian algebras over a Noetherian ring A_0 are not always of finite type over A_0 . Therefore graded rings are special for which this converse holds.

A *graded module* over the graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$ is an A -module M with a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ as an abelian group such that $A_m M_k \subseteq M_{m+k}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, M_m is an A_0 -submodule of M for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, M_m is called *homogeneous component* of M of degree m and its elements are called *homogeneous elements of degree m* .

Let $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ and $N = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} N_m$ be graded A -modules over the graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$. An A -module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called *homogeneous of degree r* if $f(M_m) \subseteq N_{m+r}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An A -submodule M' of the graded A -module M is called *homogeneous* if $M'_m := \pi_m(M') = M' \cap M_m \subseteq M'$, where $\pi_m : M \rightarrow M_m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the projections of the graded A -module M . If the A -submodule $M' \subseteq M$ is homogeneous, then $M' = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M'_m$ is a graded A -module and the canonical injective map $M' \rightarrow M$ is homogeneous of degree 0. An A -submodule M' of the graded A -module M is homogeneous if and only if M' has a generating system consisting of homogeneous elements. Further, the residue-class module M/M' has the direct sum decomposition $M/M' = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{M}_m$, where $\overline{M}_m := M_m/M'_m$. Obviously, M/M' with this gradation is a graded A -module and the canonical surjective map $M \rightarrow M/M'$ is homogeneous of degree 0.

An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ is called *homogeneous* if \mathfrak{a} is a homogeneous submodule of A .

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a homogeneous homomorphism of degree r , then $\text{Ker } f$ and $\text{Im } f$ are homogeneous submodules of M and N , respectively and the canonical 4-term sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of graded A -modules and homogeneous homomorphisms. Further, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence of A_0 -modules

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Ker } f)_m \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow N_{m+r} \rightarrow (\text{Coker } f)_{m+r} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(a) Shifted graded modules The following shift operation is very useful : For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, a graded A -module $M(k)$ obtained from the graded A -module $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ with $M(k)_n := M_{k+n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called the *k -th shifted graded A -module* of M . In particular, we have the k -shifted graded A -module $A(k)$ of the graded A -module A . Clearly, an A -module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is homogeneous of degree r if and only if $f : M(-r) \rightarrow N$, or $f : M \rightarrow N(r)$ is homogeneous of degree 0.

(b) Noetherian graded modules We consider the case when $A_0 = K$ is a field and A is a standard graded K -Algebra. If $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$ generates A as a K -algebra, i. e. $A = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$, then the K -algebra substitution homomorphism $\varepsilon : K[T_0, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow A$ with $T_i \mapsto t_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, is homogeneous and surjective, and hence A is isomorphic to the residue-class algebra $K[T_0, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{A}$ of $P = K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ modulo the homogeneous relation ideal $\mathfrak{A} := \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. Every A -module is also P -module by the restriction of scalars by using ε . We consider graded A -modules M which are finite over A , i. e. finitely generated over A . If x_1, \dots, x_r is a homogeneous generating system for M of degrees $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the canonical homomorphism $A(-\delta_1) \oplus \dots \oplus A(-\delta_r) \rightarrow M$ with $e_\rho \mapsto x_\rho$, $\rho = 1, \dots, r$, is homogeneous (of degree 0) and surjective. The standard basis element $e_\rho \in A(-\delta_\rho)$ has the degree δ_ρ . *If A is a standard graded K -algebra and if M is a finite A -module, then M is a Noetherian¹¹ A -module*, i. e. every A -submodule of M is also a finite A -module. This is equivalent with the condition that in M there is no infinite proper ascending chain $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subseteq M$ of A -submodules, or also with the condition that every non-empty set of A -submodules of M has a (at least one) maximal element (with respect to the inclusion).

We will use the following fundamental lemma on the *Lasker-Noether decomposition*¹² :

Lemma (Lasker-Noether decomposition) *Let M be a finite graded module over the standard graded K -algebra A . Then there exists a chain of graded submodules $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_r = M$,*

¹¹ The Noetherian property of modules is named after Emmy Noether (1882-1935) who was the first one to discover the true importance of this property. Emmy Noether is best known for her contributions to abstract algebra, in particular, her study of chain conditions on ideals of rings.

¹² Due to Emanuel Lasker (1868 – 1941) and Max Noether (1844-1920), father of Emmy Noether.

and homogeneous prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq A$ and integers k_1, \dots, k_r with $M_\rho/M_{\rho-1} \cong (A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)(-k_\rho)$, $\rho = 1, \dots, r$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r M = 0$.

Proof First we show that if $M \neq 0$, then it contains a submodule of the isomorphism type $(A/\mathfrak{p})(-k)$, or equivalently, a homogeneous element $0 \neq x \in M$ such that the annihilator ideal $\text{Ann}_A x := \{a \in A \mid ax = 0\} = \mathfrak{p}$ is prime. Let $0 \neq x_0 \in M$. If $\text{Ann}_A x_0$ is not prime, then there exist $a, b \in A$ with $ax_0 \neq 0$, $bx_0 \neq 0$ and $abx_0 = 0$. Then $x_1 := bx_0 \neq 0$, $a \in \text{Ann}_A x_1$, $a \notin \text{Ann}_A x_0$ and so $\text{Ann}_A x_0 \subsetneq \text{Ann}_A x_1$. Since A is Noetherian, in finitely many steps, we get an element $x(=x_s) \in M$, $x \neq 0$ with $\text{Ann}_A x$ prime. (One can also directly choose a homogeneous element $0 \neq x \in M$ such that $\text{Ann}_A x$ is a maximal element in $\{\text{Ann}_A y \mid 0 \neq y \in M\}$.) Now, we construct the required chain in M . If $M \neq 0$, then there exists a submodule $M_1 \cong (A/\mathfrak{p}_1)(-k_1)$. If $M/M_1 \neq 0$, then there exists $M_2/M_1 \subseteq M/M_1$ with $(M_2/M_1) \cong (A/\mathfrak{p}_2)(-k_2)$ and so on. The chain $0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots$ after finitely many steps will end at M , since M is Noetherian. (One can also choose a maximal homogeneous submodule $N \subseteq M$ for which the required chain of submodules of N exists. Then prove that N is necessarily M .) •

(2) Poincaré series Let $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ be a finite graded module over the standard graded K -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ with $A_0 = K$ and $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$. Then M_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are finite dimensional K -vector spaces and $M_m = 0$ for $m \ll 0$. Therefore, the *Poincaré series*

$$\mathcal{P}_M(Z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_K M_m) Z^m$$

is well-defined and is a Laurent-series (with coefficients in \mathbb{N}). If $K[T_0, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow A = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ is a representation of A as a residue class algebra of a polynomial algebra, then \mathcal{P}_M is the same even if M is considered as a $K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ -module.

(a) Computation rules for Poincaré series We note the following elementary computational rules for Poincaré series of finite graded A -modules: Let M, M_1, \dots, M_r be finite graded modules over the standard graded K -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ with $A_0 = K$ and $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$.

(1) $\mathcal{P}_{M(-k)} = Z^k \mathcal{P}_M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2) If $0 \rightarrow M_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence with homogeneous homomorphisms of degrees 0, then $\sum_{p=0}^r (-1)^p \mathcal{P}_{M_p} = 0$. (3) If $f \in A_\delta$ is a homogeneous non-zero divisor for the A -module M of degree $\delta > 0$, then $\mathcal{P}_{M/fM} = (1 - Z^\delta) \mathcal{P}_M$. (4) If $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_r = M$ is a chain of homogeneous submodules of the A -module M , then $\mathcal{P}_M = \sum_{p=1}^r \mathcal{P}_{M_p/M_{p-1}}$.

(b) The following fundamental lemma was already in the work of Hilbert with a complicated proof.

Lemma Let M be a finite graded module over the standard graded K -algebra $A = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$, $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$. Then $\mathcal{P}_M = F/(1 - Z)^{n+1}$ with a Laurent-polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Z}[Z^{\pm 1}]$.

If $M \neq 0$, then after cancelling the highest possible power of $(1 - Z)$, we get a *unique* representation

$$\mathcal{P}_M = \frac{Q}{(1 - Z)^{d+1}}, \quad d \geq -1$$

with a Laurent-polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[Z^{\pm 1}]$, $Q(1) \neq 0$. For $M = 0$, $d = -1$ and $Q = 0$. The partial fraction decomposition is

$$\mathcal{P}_M = \tilde{Q} + \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{c_i}{(1 - Z)^{i+1}} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{c_i}{(1 - Z)^{i+1}}$$

with a uniquely determined Laurent-polynomial $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}[Z^{\pm 1}]$ and unique integers $c_0, \dots, c_d \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we write $G \equiv H$ for two Laurent-series G, H if and only if they differ by a Laurent-polynomial.

Now, using the formula $(1 - Z)^{-(n+1)} = \sum_m \binom{m+n}{n} Z^m$ which can be proved directly by differentiating (termwise) n -times the geometric series $(1 - Z)^{-1} = \sum_m Z^m$, we get:

For $m \gg 0$ (more precisely for $m > \deg \tilde{Q}$), we have

$$\dim_K M_m = \chi_M(m) := \sum_{i=0}^d c_i \binom{m+i}{i} \quad \text{for } m \gg 0,$$

where $\chi_M : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a polynomial function (over \mathbb{Q}) of degree d and in particular, if $d \geq 0$, then

$$\dim_K M_m = \chi_M(m) \sim c_d \cdot \frac{m^d}{d!} = O(m^d) \text{ for } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

where O is the “Big O” symbol¹³ and \sim denote the asymptotic equality. The case $d = -1$ is characterized by $\dim_K M_m = 0$ for $m \gg 0$, or by $\dim_K M = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_K M_m = Q(1) < \infty$.

(3) Hilbert series Incidentally, instead of Poincaré-series it is comfortable to consider the *Hilbert-series*

$$\mathcal{H}_M = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h_M(m) Z^m = \mathcal{P}_M / (1 - Z) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} e_i / (1 - Z)^{i+1}$$

with the *Hilbert-Samuel function* $h_M : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

$$h_M(m) = \sum_{k \leq m} \dim_K M_m = \dim_K \left(\bigoplus_{k \leq m} M_k \right)$$

and put $e_i := c_{i-1}$, if $i > 0$, and $e_0 := \tilde{Q}(1)$. For $m \gg 0$, the values $h_M(m)$ are equal to the values of the *Hilbert-Samuel Polynomial*

$$H_M(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} e_i \binom{m+i}{i} \sim e_{d+1} \cdot m^{d+1} / (d+1)! = O(m^{d+1}).$$

The integer d is an approximate measure of the size of M . For example, if $M = A = P = K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{P}_{K[T_0, \dots, T_n]} = 1/(1-Z)^n$ and $\mathcal{H}_{K[T_0, \dots, T_n]} = 1/(1-Z)^{n+1}$, so that $d = n$.

(4) Dimension and Multiplicity The integer d is called the (*projective*) *dimension* $\text{pd}(M)$ and $d+1$ is the (*affine* or *Krull-*) *dimension* $\text{d}(M)$ of the graded module M . The integer $e(M) := e_{d+1} = e_{\text{d}(M)} (= c_{\text{pd}(M)})$ if $\text{pd}(M) \geq 0$ is called the *multiplicity of the graded module* M if $\text{pd}(M) \geq 0$. Note that $e(M) > 0$ if $M \neq 0$. If $M = 0$, then $\text{d}(0) = e(0) = 0$. If $\mathcal{P}_M = Q/(1-Z)^{\text{pd}(M)}$, then $\mathcal{H}_M = Q/(1-Z)^{1+\text{pd}(M)}$ and $e(M) = Q(1)$.

In particular, the projective dimension $\text{pd}(K[T_0, \dots, T_n]) = n$, the affine dimension $\text{d}(K[T_0, \dots, T_n]) = n+1$ and the multiplicity $e(K[T_0, \dots, T_n]) = 1$.

The following computational rules for $\text{d}(M)$ and $e(M)$ are easy to verify by using the computational rules for Poincaré series given in (2) (a):

(a) Computational rules for dimension and multiplicity Let K be a field and $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ be a standard graded K -algebra. Then for a finite graded A -module M , we have:

(1) $\text{d}(M) = \text{d}(M(-k))$ and $e(M) = e(M(-k))$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) Let $0 \rightarrow M_r \rightarrow M_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of homogeneous homomorphisms. Then

$$\sum_{\rho, \text{d}(M_\rho)=d} (-1)^\rho e(M_\rho) = 0, \text{ where } d := \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq r} \{\text{d}(M_\rho)\}.$$

(3) Let $f \in A_\delta$ be a homogeneous element of degree $\delta > 0$. Then $\text{d}(M/fM) \geq \text{d}(M) - 1$. Moreover, if f is a non-zero divisor for M and $M \neq 0$, then $\text{d}(M/fM) = \text{d}(M) - 1$ and $e(M/fM) = \delta \cdot e(M)$.

(4) (*Associativity formula*) Let $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_r = M$ be a chain of graded A -submodules of M .

$$\text{Then } d := \text{d}(M) = \max_{1 \leq \rho \leq r} \{\text{d}(M_\rho/M_{\rho-1})\}, \text{ and } e(M) = \sum_{\rho, \text{d}(M_\rho/M_{\rho-1})=d} e(M_\rho/M_{\rho-1}).$$

¹³ The symbol “Big O” was first introduced by the number theorist Paul Bachmann (1837-1920) in 1894. Another number theorist Edmund Landau (1877-1938) adopted it and was inspired to introduce the “small o” notation in 1909. These symbols describe the limiting behaviour of a function. More precisely: For \mathbb{R} -valued functions $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on some subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, one writes: (i) $f(x) = O(g(x))$ ($|f|$ is bounded above by $|g|$, up to constant factor, asymptotically) if there exists a constant $M > 0$ and a real number $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M|g(x)|$ for all $x \geq x_0$, or equivalently $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)/g(x)| < \infty$. (ii) $f(x) = o(g(x))$ (f is dominated by g asymptotically) if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)/g(x)| = 0$.

(5) Moreover, if in (4) there are homogeneous prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ and integers $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $M_\rho/M_{\rho-1} \cong (A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)(-k_\rho)$, $\rho = 1, \dots, r$, are as in Lemma in 4.1 (1) (b), then $d(M) = \max_{1 \leq \rho \leq r} \{d(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)\}$ and $e(M) = \sum_{\rho, d(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)=d(M)} e(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)$. In particular, if $M \neq 0$, then there are prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_ρ with $d(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho) = d(M)$.

4.2 Projective algebraic sets Let K be a field and let $P := K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ be the standard polynomial K -algebra with the standard gradation $P := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_m$. Let

$$\mathbb{P}_P(K) := \mathbb{P}^n(K) = (K^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) / \sim = \{ \langle \tau \rangle = \langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \mid \tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n) \in K^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \}$$

be the quotient space of the equivalence relation \sim on the set $(K^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$ defined by $\tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n) \sim \sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$ if there exists $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that $\tau_i = \lambda \sigma_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. This is called the *n-dimensional projective space over K*.

For a standard graded K -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ with $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$, let \mathfrak{A} be the kernel of the substitution homomorphism $\varepsilon : K[T_0, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow A$, $T_i \mapsto t_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then ε induces a homogeneous K -algebra isomorphism $P/\mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ and the set of the common zeroes

$$\mathbb{P}_K(A) = V_+(\mathfrak{A}) := \{ \langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^n(K) \mid F(\tau) = 0 \text{ for all homogeneous } F \in \mathfrak{A} \} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(K)$$

of the homogeneous relation ideal \mathfrak{A} in $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$, is called the *projective algebraic set* $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$ of *K-valued points*. Further, if $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a homogeneous system of generators for \mathfrak{A} , then

$$\mathbb{P}_A(K) = V_+(F_1, \dots, F_m) = \{ \langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^n(K) \mid F_i(\tau) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m \}.$$

It is easy to see that the description of $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$ is independent of the representation $A \xrightarrow{\sim} P/\mathfrak{A}$. If $f \in A$ is a homogeneous element with a homogeneous representative $F \in P$, then the zero set

$$V_+(f) = \{ \langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_A(K) \mid F(\tau) = 0 \}$$

of f in $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$ is well-defined. In particular, for a homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$, generated by homogeneous elements $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$, we have the representation :

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(K) = V_+(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \bigcap_{\rho=1}^r V_+(f_\rho) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K).$$

Now we prove the following very important and useful lemma :

4.3 Lemma Let K be a field and let $P := K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ be the standard polynomial K -algebra with the standard gradation.

(a) For a point $\langle \tau \rangle = \langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_n \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$, the vanishing ideal

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle} := \langle \{ F \in P \mid F \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial in } P \text{ with } F(\tau) = 0 \} \rangle$$

generated by the homogeneous polynomials which vanish on $\langle \tau \rangle$, is a homogeneous prime ideal in P with $P/\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle} \xrightarrow{\sim} K[T]$ a standard graded polynomial algebra in one indeterminate T . In particular, the projective dimension $d(P/\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}) = 0$ and the multiplicity $e(P/\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}) = 1$.

(b) If $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq P$ is a homogeneous prime ideal with $d(P/\mathfrak{P}) = 0$ and $e(P/\mathfrak{P}) = 1$, then there exists a unique point $\langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$ such that $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$.

Proof (a) We may assume that $\tau_0 = 1$. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is generated by $\tau_j T_i - \tau_i T_j$, $0 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ and that the surjective K -algebra homomorphism $P \rightarrow K[T]$ defined by $T_0 \mapsto T$ and $T_i \mapsto \tau_i T, i = 1, \dots, n$, has the kernel $\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$ and hence $P/\mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle} \cong K[T]$.

(b) Let $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq P$ be a homogeneous prime ideal with $d(P/\mathfrak{P}) = 0$ and $e(P/\mathfrak{P}) = 1$. Then the K -subspace $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subseteq P_1$ is of codimension 1, since $d(P/\mathfrak{P}) = 0$ and $1 = e(P/\mathfrak{P}) \geq \dim_K(P/\mathfrak{P})_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{P} = \langle \mathfrak{P}_1 \rangle = \mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$ for a unique point $\langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$. •

For a graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$, the set of homogeneous prime ideals is denoted by $\text{h-Spec } A$.

4.4 Corollary For a standard graded K -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m = K[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ with $t_0, \dots, t_n \in A_1$, and the substitution homomorphism $\varepsilon : K[T_0, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow A, T_i \mapsto t_i, i = 0, \dots, n$, let $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. Then the map

$$\mathbb{P}_A(K) \longrightarrow \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{h-Spec } A \mid d(A/\mathfrak{p}) = 0 \text{ and } e(A/\mathfrak{p}) = 1\}, \langle \tau \rangle \longmapsto \mathfrak{p}_{\langle \tau \rangle} := \mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle} / \mathfrak{A}$$

is bijective.

Proof Immediate from Lemma 4.3, since $\langle \tau \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_A(K)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$. •

4.5 Lemma Let K be a field and $C = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} C_m$ be a standard graded K -algebra such that C is an integral domain with $\text{pd}(C) = 0$. Then there exists a finite field extension $L \mid K$ such that the multiplicity $e(C)$ is equal to $[L : K]$.

Proof Since C is a standard graded K -algebra, $C_1 \neq 0$. Choose $t \in C_1, t \neq 0$. Then, since $tC_m \subseteq C_{m+1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and t is a non-zero divisor in C , the numerical function $m \mapsto \dim_K C_m$, is monotone increasing and hence is stationary with the value $e(C) = \dim_K C_m$ for $m \gg 0$. But, then there exists a unique integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the ascending chain of finite dimensional K -vector spaces $C_0 = K \subsetneq C_1/t \subsetneq C_2/t^2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq C_s/t^s = C_{s+1}/t^{s+1} = \dots$ is stationary and hence $L := C_s/t^s$ is an integral domain which is a finite K -algebra of the dimension $\dim_K C_s = e(C)$. Therefore L is a finite field extension of K with $[L : K] = e(C)$. •

First note the following classical Hilbert's Nullstellensatz for an algebraically closed field (see [21, § 3]):

4.6 Theorem (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz) Let K be an algebraically closed field and A be a standard graded K -algebra of projective dimension $d = \text{pd}(A) \geq 0$. Further, let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ be homogeneous elements of positive degrees, $r \leq d$. Then f_1, \dots, f_r have a common zero in $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$, i. e., $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(K) = V_+(f_1, \dots, f_r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K)$, where $\mathfrak{a} := Af_1 + \dots + Af_r$.

Proof By induction on d and r . If $d = 0$, then $r = 0$. By Lemma in 4.1 (1) (b) there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ with $d(A/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. By Lemma 4.5, necessarily $e(A/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$, since K is algebraically closed and hence \mathfrak{p} defines — by Corollary 4.4 — a point in $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$.

For the inductive step from d to $d + 1$, consider a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ with $d = d(A/\mathfrak{p})$. It is enough to prove that $\emptyset \neq V_+(\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{p}}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K)$, where $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_r$ denote the residue classes of f_1, \dots, f_r in A/\mathfrak{p} . We may therefore assume that A is an integral domain and $f_r \neq 0$. Then $d(A/Af_r) = d - 1$. By induction hypothesis it follows that $\emptyset \neq V_+(\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_{r-1}) = V_+(f_1, \dots, f_r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{A/Af_r}(K)$, where now $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_{r-1}$ are the residue classes in A/Af_r . •

The following theorem is also called Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. It is also known as the *Identity theorem* for polynomial functions.

4.7 Theorem (Identity theorem) Let K be an algebraically closed field and let A be a standard graded K -algebra of projective dimension $d = \text{pd}(A) \geq 0$ which is an integral domain. If a homogeneous element $f \in A$ vanishes at all points of $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$, then $f = 0$.

Proof By induction on d . For $d = 0$, by Lemma 4.5, necessarily $e(A) = 1$, since K is algebraically closed and hence $\mathbb{P}_A(K) = \{\tau_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(K)$, where τ_0 correspond to the zero homogeneous prime ideal (since A is an integral domain) by Corollary 4.4. Therefore $f = 0$, since $f(\tau_0) = 0$. Assume that $d > 0$ and $\deg f > 0$. Suppose on the contrary that $f \neq 0$.

(*) We claim that: there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$ in A with $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$.

For a proof of (*) consider $M := \bar{A} := A/Af$ which has the projective dimension $\text{pd}(\bar{A}) = d - 1$. Further, by the Lemma in 4.1 (1) (b), there exists a chain $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$ of graded submodules, homogeneous prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ in A and integers k_1, \dots, k_r with $M_\rho/M_{\rho-1} = (A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)(-k_\rho)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r M = 0$, i. e. $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq Af$. Therefore it follows that there exists a *finite* subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ of homogeneous prime ideals in A such that $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $d(A/\mathfrak{p}) = d - 1 \geq 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. Further, $\mathfrak{p} \cap A_1 \subsetneq A_1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore by prime avoidance there exists $g \in A_1$ with $g \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p}$. Now, since $d(A/Ag) = d - 1$, we can choose a homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{q} in A with $g \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $d(A/\mathfrak{q}) = d - 1$. It is clear that $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$. This proves the claim (*). On the other hand, since $d(A/\mathfrak{q}) = d - 1$ and $\mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{q}}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K)$, by induction hypothesis, it follows that $f \in \mathfrak{q}$ which contradicts the claim (*). •

4.8 Remark In the Theorem 4.7, it is enough to assume that A is reduced. Then the zero ideal in A is an intersection of finitely many homogeneous prime ideals, namely as in the Lemma in 4.1 (1) (b) for $M = A$.

Now, we prove the analogues of the above Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 for 2-fields. For this, first we recall a definition and some basic results for 2-fields. The only property of the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers which will be used in the following is: *every polynomial of odd degree with coefficients in \mathbb{R} has a zero in \mathbb{R}* . We would like to formulate this property axiomatically:

4.9 Definition A field K is called a *2-field* if every polynomial $F \in K[X]$ of odd degree has a zero in K . The 2-fields are defined in [36]. For example, the fields \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} of real and complex numbers are 2-fields. More generally, algebraically closed fields are 2-fields and every real closed field is a 2-field, see 3.1 (3) (e).

The following elementary characterization of 2-fields is useful:

4.10 Lemma For a field K , the following statements are equivalent: (i) K is a 2-field. (ii) If $\pi \in K[X]$ is a prime polynomial of degree > 1 , then $\deg \pi$ is even. (iii) If $L|K$ is a non-trivial finite field extension of K , then $[L : K] = \dim_K L$ is even.

Proof The reader is recommended to prove the implications: (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i). •

Now, we shall prove the analogue of Theorem 4.6 — Hilbert's Nullstellensatz for 2-fields.

4.11 Theorem (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz for 2-fields — U. Storch, 2003) Let K be a 2-field and A be a standard graded K -algebra of projective dimension $d = \text{pd}(A) \geq 0$ and of odd multiplicity $e(A)$. Further, let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ be homogeneous elements of positive odd degrees, $r \leq d$. Then f_1, \dots, f_r have a common zero in $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$, i. e. $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(K) = V_+(f_1, \dots, f_r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K)$, $\mathfrak{a} := Af_1 + \cdots + Af_r$.

Proof For $M := A$, let $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$ be a chain with $M_\rho/M_{\rho-1} = (A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)(-k_\rho)$ as in Lemma in 4.1 (1) (b). Then by 4.1 (4) (a) (5) we have $e(A) = \sum_{\rho, d(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)=d} e(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)$. Since the multiplicity $e(A)$ is odd by assumption, it follows that at least one of $e(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho)$ with $\text{pd}(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho) = d$ is also odd. If $d = 0$, then by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.10 necessarily $e(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho) = 1$ for one \mathfrak{p}_ρ with $d(A/\mathfrak{p}_\rho) = 0$, and such a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_ρ defines a point in $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$. For the inductive step from d to $d + 1$, we may assume that A is an integral domain and $f_r \neq 0$. Then $e(A/Af_r) = e(A) \cdot \deg f_r$ is also odd and $d(A/Af_r) = d$, and by applying the induction hypothesis to A/Af_r and the residue classes $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_{r-1}$, the assertion follows. •

For $A = K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$, we have $d(A) = n$, $e(A) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}_A(K) = \mathbb{P}^n(K)$. This special case of Theorem 4.11 was already proved by Albrecht Pfister in [36] as Theorem 3 :

4.12 Corollary (Projective Nullstellensatz for 2-fields) *Let K be a 2-field. Then homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$, $r \leq n$ of odd degrees have a common non-trivial zero in K^{n+1} .*

Since the field \mathbb{R} is a 2-field, in particular, we have :

4.13 Corollary (Real Projective Nullstellensatz) *Homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{R}[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ of odd degrees have a common non-trivial zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .*

Now, we shall prove the analogue of Theorem 4.7 — Hilbert's Nullstellensatz for 2-fields.

4.14 Theorem *Let K be a 2-field and let A be a standard graded K -algebra of projective dimension $d = \text{pd}(A) \geq 0$ and of odd multiplicity $e(A)$. If a homogeneous element $f \in A$ vanishes at all points of $\mathbb{P}_A(K)$, then $f = 0$.*

Proof We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.7. For $d = 0$, by Lemma 4.5, necessarily $e(A) = 1$, since K is a 2-field and hence $f = 0$ by the same argument as in 4.7. Assume that $d > 0$ and $\deg f > 0$. Suppose on the contrary that $f \neq 0$.

(*) We claim that : there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$ in A with $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $e(A/\mathfrak{q})$ odd.

As in the proof of the Theorem 4.7 one can prove the claim (*) by constructing a homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{q} , since $e(A/Ag) = e(A)$ for a suitable chosen $g \in A_1$, $g \neq 0$ with $e(A/Ag) = e(A)$. On the other hand, since $d(A/\mathfrak{q}) = d - 1$, $e(A/\mathfrak{q})$ odd and $\mathbb{P}_{A/\mathfrak{q}}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A(K)$, by induction hypothesis, it follows that $f \in \mathfrak{q}$ which contradicts the claim (*). •

We use the Real Projective Nullstellensatz 4.13 to provide an algebraic proof of the well-known Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that :

4.15 Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam¹⁴) *For every continuous map $g : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist anti-podal points $t, -t \in S^n$ with $g(t) = g(-t)$.*

The proof of Borsuk-Ulam theorem for the case $n = 1$ is an easy application of the intermediate value theorem. The case $n = 2$ is already non-trivial and it needs the concept of the first fundamental group which was introduced by Henri Poincaré (1854–1912) — who was responsible for formulating the Poincaré conjecture. The general case is usually proved by using higher homology groups.

Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is fascinating even today. It implies the classical Theorem of Brouwer¹⁵ and the Invariance of Dimension Theorem¹⁶.

¹⁴ This was conjectured by Stanislaw Ulam (1909–1984) and was proved by Karol Borsuk (1905 – 1982) in 1933 by elementary but technically involved methods. Borsuk presented the theorem at the International congress of mathematicians at Zürich in 1932 and it was published in *Fundamentae Mathematicae* **20**, 177-190 (1933) with the title *Drei Sätze über n-dimensionale euclidische Sphäre*.

¹⁵ **Theorem** (Brouwer's fixed point theorem—Brouwer L. E. J. (1881-1966)) *Every continuous map $f : \bar{B}^n \rightarrow \bar{B}^n$ of the unit ball $\bar{B}^n := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|t\| \leq 1\}$ has a fixed point.* **Proof** If f has no fixed point, then the continuous map $h : \bar{B}^n \rightarrow S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, which maps the point $t \in \bar{B}^n$ to the point of intersection of the line-segment $L(f(t), t) := \{f(t) + \lambda t \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with the sphere $S^{n-1} \subseteq \bar{B}^n$ has no zero. But, $h|_{S^{n-1}} = \text{id}$ and in particular, $h(-t) = -h(t)$ for $t \in S^{n-1}$. Therefore, for $n = 1$, the Nullstellensatz is equivalent with the Intermediate Value theorem : Every continuous map $h : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $h(-1) = -h(1)$ has a zero.

¹⁶ **Theorem** (Invariance of dimension) *For $m > n$, there is no injective continuous map from an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ into \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, if $m \neq n$, then the Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n are not homeomorphic.*

Proof Recall that $S^n = \{t = (t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|t\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i^2 = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the n -sphere. Consider the odd continuous map $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, t \mapsto f(t) := g(t) - g(-t)$ and the Borsuk-Ulam's Nullstellensatz (see (i) in Theorem 4.16 below). •

We now prove the equivalence of Borsuk-Ulam's Nullstellensatz with some other statements :

4.16 Theorem *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) (Borsuk-Ulam's Nullstellensatz) *Every continuous odd map¹⁷ $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, has a zero.*
- (ii) (Borsuk's antipodal theorem) *Every continuous map $h : \overline{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$ and the restriction $h|_{S^{n-1}} : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ odd, has a zero.*
- (iii) (Real Projective Nullstellensatz—Corollary 4.13) *Homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{R}[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ of odd degree have a common non-trivial zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .*

Proof (i) \iff (ii) Note that for $n \geq 1$, the odd continuous maps $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ correspond to the continuous maps $h : \overline{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the restriction $h|_{S^{n-1}} : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of h to the subset $S^{n-1} \subseteq \overline{B}^n$ is odd. For a given $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ define $h(t) := f(\sqrt{1 - \|t\|^2}, t)$, $t \in \overline{B}^n$, and conversely for a given $h : \overline{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ define $f(t_0, t) := h(t)$, if $t_0 \geq 0$, $f(t_0, t) := -h(-t)$, if $t_0 \leq 0$, $(t_0, t) \in S^n \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) From (i) in particular, it follows that n odd polynomial functions $f_1, \dots, f_n : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have a common zero on S^n . If $F \in \mathbb{R}[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ defines an odd polynomial function $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then all homogeneous components of even degree in F are zero, i.e. in the homogeneous decomposition of F only odd degree homogeneous components can occur. Suppose that $F = \sum_{i=0}^m F_{2i+1}$, $F_{2m+1} \neq 0$, is the homogeneous decomposition of F with homogeneous components F_1, \dots, F_{2m+1} of odd degrees $1, \dots, 2m+1$, respectively. Now, observe that F and the homogeneous polynomial $Q^m F_1 + Q^{m-1} F_3 + \dots + F_{2m+1}$, $Q := T_0^2 + \dots + T_n^2$, have the same values on the sphere S^n .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $f_i : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, odd and continuous. Then by the well-known Weierstrass Approximation Theorem¹⁸ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist polynomial functions g_{ik} with $|g_{ik}(t) - f_i(t)| \leq 1/k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $t \in S^n$. For the odd parts $f_{ik}(t) := (g_{ik}(t) - g_{ik}(-t))/2$, it follows $|f_{ik}(t) - f_i(t)| = \frac{1}{2} |(g_{ik}(t) - f_i(t)) - (g_{ik}(-t) - f_i(-t))| \leq 1/k$. By the Real Algebraic Nullstellensatz 4.13, the f_{ik} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, have a common zero $t_k \in S^n$. Then an accumulation point $t \in S^n$ of t_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, is a common zero of f_1, \dots, f_n . •

4.17 Remark Note that we have proved Real Projective Nullstellensatz in Corollary 4.13 and hence the equivalence in Theorem 4.16 proves the Borsuk-Ulam's Nullstellensatz 4.16 (ii) also. In particular, we have proved the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem.

¹⁷ A map $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an *odd map* if $f(x) = -f(-x)$ for every $x \in S^n$.

¹⁸ **Theorem** (Weierstrass) *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a compact subset. Then the set of polynomial functions $\mathbb{R}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ is dense in $(C(X, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\sup})$, where $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the \mathbb{R} -algebra of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions on X and for every $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\|f\|_{\sup} := \sup\{\|f(x)\| \mid x \in X\}$. (Karl Weierstrass (1815-1897) is known as the father of modern analysis, and contributed to the theory of periodic functions, functions of real variables, elliptic functions, Abelian functions, converging infinite products, and the calculus of variations. He also advanced the theory of bilinear and quadratic forms.)*

5 Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

In this section we prove one of the most recent Nullstellensätze — Combinatorial Nullstellensatz a celebrated result of Noga Alon (see [1]) proved in 1999 that has served as a powerful technical tool in combinatorics, graph theory and additive number theory. We use HNS 2 to prove the commonly used versions of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. As an illustration we will use it to prove Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture and Dyson's conjecture.

5.1 Theorem (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz — N. Alon, 1999) *Let K be a field, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \subseteq K$ be finite subsets of K , $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_n \subseteq K^n$ and $g_i(X_i) = \prod_{a_i \in \Lambda_i} (X_i - a_i) \in K[X_i] \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $I_K(\Lambda) = \langle g_1(X_1), \dots, g_n(X_n) \rangle =: \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof Let \bar{K} denote the algebraic closure of the field K . Note that $V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{A}) = \Lambda$ and so $I_K(V_{\bar{K}}(\mathfrak{A})) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{A}}$ by Theorem 2.10 (2) (HNS 2). Therefore it is enough to prove that \mathfrak{A} is a radical ideal, i.e. $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{A}$ is reduced. For this, it is enough to note that the kernel of the K -algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K^{|\Lambda|}$, $f \mapsto (f(a))_{a \in \Lambda}$, is the ideal \mathfrak{A} . Clearly, by definitions $g_1(X_1), \dots, g_n(X_n) \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ and so $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $f \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. Using division with remainder by $g_i(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we can write $f = h + f'$ with $h, f' \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $h \in \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\deg_{X_i} f' < \deg g_i(X_i) = |\Lambda_i|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, it follows from the Identity Theorem for Polynomials in 2.1 (6) that $f' = 0$ and so $f = h \in \mathfrak{A}$. •

We shall deduce a variant of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz which is suitable for applications.

5.2 Corollary (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz) *Let K be a field, $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that (i) $\deg(f) = d_1 + \dots + d_n$. (ii) The coefficient of the monomial $X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n}$ in f is non-zero. Then, for subsets $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \subseteq K$ with $|\Lambda_i| > d_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exist $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.*

Proof We may assume that $|\Lambda_i| = d_i + 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. We shall prove that: if (i) holds and if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for every $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$, then (ii) does not hold, i.e. the coefficient of $X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n}$ in f is 0. Note that, since $f \equiv 0$ on $\Lambda := \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$, $f \in I_K(\Lambda) = \mathfrak{A} := \langle g_1(X_1), \dots, g_n(X_n) \rangle$, where $g_i(X_i) = \prod_{a_i \in \Lambda_i} (X_i - a_i) \in K[X_i] \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, we can write $f = h_1 g_1 + \dots + h_n g_n$ with $h_1, \dots, h_n \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Now, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, using division with remainder by $g_i(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we may assume that $\deg h_i \leq \deg f - \deg g_i(X_i)$ and hence $\deg h_i g_i \leq \deg f = d_1 + \dots + d_n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $h_i g_i$ contains any monomial of degree $\deg f$, then such a monomial would be of maximal degree in $h_i g_i = h_i \prod_{a_i \in \Lambda_i} (X_i - a_i)$ and hence will be divisible by $X_i^{d_i+1}$. This proves that the coefficient of the monomial $X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n}$ in $h_i g_i$ is 0 for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and hence the coefficient of $X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n}$ in f must be 0. •

*Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture*¹⁹ was recently proved by Dias da Silva and Hamidoune (see [10]) using linear algebra and the representation theory of the symmetric group. We give an elementary proof of Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture by using the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz Theorem 5.1 and

¹⁹ The *Cauchy-Davenport theorem* (named after Augustin Cauchy (1789-1857) and Harlod Davenport (1907-1969)) states that: if M, N are non-empty subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then the sum-set $M+N$ has cardinality $\geq \min\{p, |M| + |N| - 1\}$. In particular, $|2M| \geq \min\{p, 2|M| - 1\}$. See [Davenport, H.: On the addition of residue classes. *J. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1935), 30-32.] and [Cauchy, A. L.: Recherches sur les nombres. *J. École polytech.* **9** (1813), 99-116.] In 1964 Paul Erdős (1913-1996) and Hans Heilbronn (1908-1975) conjectured that $|2M| \geq \min\{p, 2|M| - 3\}$ (see [17]). Erdős was one of the most prolific mathematicians of the 20th century and was known both for his social practice of mathematics (he engaged more than 500 collaborators) and for his eccentric lifestyle.

Corollary 5.2. This method even yields generalizations of both the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture (see also [2]) and the *Cauchy-Davenport theorem*.

5.3 Theorem (Michalek, 2010) *Let p be a prime and let M and N be two non-empty subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let*

$$L = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mid x = a + b \text{ for some } a \in M, b \in N, a \neq b\}.$$

Then $|L| \geq \min(p, |M| + |N| - 3)$

Proof The assertion is trivial for $p = 2$. Let $p > 2$.

Case 1: $\min(p, |M| + |N| - 3) = p$. In this case, $p + 3 \leq |M| + |N|$ and so by inclusion-exclusion principle $|M \cap (g - N)| = |M| + |N| - |M \cup (g - N)| \geq p + 3 - p = 3$ for every $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We show that $|L| = p$, in particular, $L = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in M \cap (g - N)$ be such that $a \neq g/2$. Then $g = a + b$ for some $b \in N$ with $b \neq a$, i. e. $g \in L$ and hence $L = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Case 2: $\min(p, |M| + |N| - 3) < p$. In this case, $|M| + |N| - 3 < p$. Suppose, on the contrary that $|L| < \min(p, |M| + |N| - 3) = |M| + |N| - 3$. Then there exists a subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with $L \subseteq D$ and $|D| = |M| + |N| - 4$. We would like to apply Corollary 5.2. For this, let

$$P(X, Y) = \prod_{d \in D} (X + Y - d) \text{ and } Q(X, Y) = P(X, Y)(X - Y).$$

Note that $P(a, b) = 0$ for every $a \in M, b \in N, a \neq b$, and hence $Q(a, b) = 0$ for all $a \in M, b \in N$. Further, for $i = 0, \dots, |D|$, the coefficient of $X^i Y^{|D|-i}$ in $P(X, Y)$ is equal to $\binom{|D|}{i}$. Then it follows that for $i = 0, \dots, |D| + 1$, the coefficient of $X^i Y^{|D|+1-i}$ in $Q(X, Y)$, is equal to $\binom{|D|}{i-1} - \binom{|D|}{i}$. Therefore the coefficient of $X^i Y^{|D|+1-i}$ in $Q(X, Y)$ is 0 if and only if $i = (|D| + 1)/2$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $|D| + 1 = |M| + |N| - 3$, the coefficient of either $X^{|M|-1} Y^{|N|-2}$ or of $X^{|M|-2} Y^{|N|-1}$ is non-zero. But, since $\deg Q = |D| + 1 = |M| + |N| - 3$, by Corollary 5.2 (applied either to $X^{|M|-1} Y^{|N|-2}$ or to $X^{|M|-2} Y^{|N|-1}$ and $\Lambda_1 = M, \Lambda_2 = N$) $Q(a, b) \neq 0$ for some $(a, b) \in M \times N$ which is absurd.

•

In 2012 Karasev and Petrov proved the following improved version of Corollary 5.2 in [24, Theorem 4]. This is used to prove the Dyson's conjecture, see Theorem 5.5 below.

5.4 Theorem *Let K be an arbitrary field and let $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be such that $\deg f \leq |v| := v_1 + \dots + v_n$ for a fixed $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For subsets $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \subseteq K$ with $|\Lambda_i| = v_i + 1$, let $g_i(X_i) := \prod_{a_i \in \Lambda_i} (X_i - a_i)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\Lambda := \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$. We have*

$$(5.4.1) \quad C := \text{coefficient of } X_1^{v_1} \dots X_n^{v_n} \text{ in } f = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{g'_1(a_1) \dots g'_n(a_n)}.$$

In particular, if $C \neq 0$, then there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.

Proof We consider two cases.

Case 1: $f = X_1^{v_1} \dots X_n^{v_n}$. Since X_i -degrees of polynomials on both sides of the equation (5.4.2) below are $\leq v_i < \deg g_i(X_i) = |\Lambda_i|$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, it follows from the Identity Theorem for Polynomials in 2.1 (6) that:

$$(5.4.2) \quad X_1^{v_1} \dots X_n^{v_n} = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_n} a_1^{v_1} \dots a_n^{v_n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g_i(X_i)}{g'_i(a_i)(X_i - a_i)} \right)$$

In particular, comparing the coefficient of $X_1^{v_1} \cdots X_n^{v_n}$ on both sides, we get :

$$1 = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n} \frac{a_1^{v_1} \cdots a_n^{v_n}}{g'_1(a_1) \cdots g'_n(a_n)}.$$

Case 2 : We prove the general case by induction on n . Note that by the linearity of both sides in the formula (5.4.1), it is enough to prove the formula for $h := f - C X_1^{v_1} \cdots X_n^{v_n}$. Then

$$h(X_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = f(X_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) - C X_1^{v_1} a_2^{v_2} \cdots a_n^{v_n} \quad \text{for every } (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in K^{n-1}.$$

By the case $n = 1$, since the coefficient of $X_1^{v_1}$ is zero in $h(X_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $(a_2, \dots, a_n) \in K^{n-1}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{a_1 \in \Lambda_1} \frac{h(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}{g'_1(a_1)} = 0.$$

Dividing the above equation by $g'_2(a_2) \cdots g'_n(a_n)$ and taking the sum over all $(n-1)$ -tuples $(a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_2 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n$, we get :

$$0 = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n} \frac{h(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{g'_1(a_1) \cdots g'_n(a_n)} = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n) - C a_1^{v_1} \cdots a_n^{v_n}}{g'_1(a_1) \cdots g'_n(a_n)}.$$

Therefore, by the Case 1 (the second equality in the equation below), we get :

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{g'_1(a_1) \cdots g'_n(a_n)} = C \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n} \frac{a_1^{v_1} \cdots a_n^{v_n}}{g'_1(a_1) \cdots g'_n(a_n)} = C. \quad \bullet$$

Motivated by a problem in statistical physics, Freeman Dyson in 1962 (see [13]) formulated a conjecture which states that : *the constant term of the Laurent polynomial $\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (1 - X_i/X_j)^{\alpha_i}$ is equal to the multinomial coefficient $(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)! / (\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \cdots \alpha_n!)$* . This conjecture was first proved in 1962 independently by Kenneth Wilson (1936 - 2013) and J. Gunson.

The Combinatorial Nullstellensatz 5.1 and 5.2 are used to get information on the values of polynomials from their coefficients, but (5.4.1) allows us to use it in the other direction. This is used in the following proof of Dyson's conjecture by Karasev and Petrov [24, Theorem 5].

5.5 Theorem (Dyson's conjecture) *Let α_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ be positive integers and C be the constant term in*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (1 - X_i/X_j)^{\alpha_i}.$$

Or, more generally, let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and let C be the coefficient of the monomial $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{\alpha - \alpha_i}$ in

$$(5.5.1) \quad f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{\alpha_j} (X_j - X_i)^{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}.$$

Then

$$(5.5.2) \quad C = \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!}.$$

Sketch of a proof In the notation of Theorem 5.4, we have $v_i = \alpha - \alpha_i$. The idea is to add terms of lower degree to f . It does not change the coefficient C but may significantly change the RHS of (5.5.1).

In order to apply Theorem 5.4 ($K = \mathbb{Q}$), we are free to choose the sets $\Lambda_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z} (\subseteq \mathbb{Q})$ with $|\Lambda_i| = \alpha - \alpha_i + 1$. We shall change f to \tilde{f} so that \tilde{f} takes a unique non-zero value on $\Lambda := \prod_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$. For this, we choose $\Lambda_i := [0, \alpha - \alpha_i] := \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha - \alpha_i\}$. Note that if $a_i \in \Lambda_i$, then the segment²⁰ $\Delta_i := [a_i, a_i + \alpha_i - 1] \subseteq [0, \alpha - 1]$.

Now change f by replacing the terms $(X_j - X_i)^{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, in the formula (5.5.1) by the polynomials

$$G_{i,j}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{t=-\alpha_i+1}^{\alpha_j} (X_j - X_i + t), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

Therefore

$$(5.5.3) \quad \tilde{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{\alpha_j} G_{i,j}(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Note that \tilde{f} does not vanish on Λ if and only if $G_{i,j}$ does not vanish on Λ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Further, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, non-vanishing of $G_{i,j}$ is equivalent to the conditions $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$ and Δ_i is not the segment following Δ_j , i. e. $\min \Delta_i \neq \min \Delta_j + 1$. All this together may happen only if $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ are consecutive segments $[0, \alpha_1 - 1], [\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1], \dots, [\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1]$. Let $\beta_i := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1}$. This proves that \tilde{f} vanishes on all points in Λ except the point $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$. Now, it follows from Theorem 5.3 (applied to the polynomial \tilde{f}) that

$$(5.5.4) \quad C = \frac{\tilde{f}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)}{g'_1(\beta_1) \cdots g'_n(\beta_n)} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{\alpha_j} G_{i,j}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)}{g'_1(\beta_1) \cdots g'_n(\beta_n)},$$

where $g_i(X_i) = \prod_{a_i=0}^{\alpha-\alpha_i} (X_i - a_i)$. The RHS of (5.5.4) may be calculated easily by substituting the numerator and the denominator from the equations (5.5.5) and (5.5.6) respectively, which are easy to verify :

$$(5.5.5) \quad g'_i(\beta_i) = (-1)^{\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n} (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1})! (\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n)!$$

and

$$(5.5.6) \quad G_{i,j}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \frac{(\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j)!}{(\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{j-1})!}. \quad \bullet$$

References

- [1] Alon, N. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **8** (1999) 7–29. Recent trends in combinatorics (Mátraháza, 1995).
- [2] Alon, N., Nathanson, M. B. and Ruzsa, I. : Adding distinct congruence classes modulo a prime. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **102** (1995), 250–255.
- [3] Arrondo, E. : Another elementary proof of the Nullstellensatz. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **113** (2006), 169–171.

²⁰ For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote the segment $\{t \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq t \leq b\}$ of integers by $[a, b]$.

- [4] Artin, E. : Über die Zerlegung definiter Funktionen in Quadrate. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **5** (1927), 100–115 .
- [5] Artin, E., Tate, J. T. : A note on finite ring extensions. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 74–77.
- [6] Artin, M. : *Algebra*. Prentice Hall, Inc., New Jersey 1991.
- [7] Atiyah, M. F., Macdonald, I. G. : *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley, London 1969.
- [8] Brownawell, W. D. : Bounds for the degrees in the Nullstellensatz. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **126** (1987), 577–591.
- [9] Coste, M., Roy, M. F. : La topologie du spectre réel. In *Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry*, Proceedings of the Special Session in 87th Annual Meeting of AMS in San Francisco, 1981), *Contemp. Math.* **8** (1982), 27-59. Amer. Math. Soc. 1982.
- [10] Dias da Silva, J. A., Hamidoune, Y. O. : Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1994) 140-146.
- [11] Dubois, D. W. : A Nullstellensatz for ordered fields. *Ark. für Math.* **8** (1969), 111–114.
- [12] Dubois, D. W., Efroymson, G. : Algebraic theory of real varieties. In *Studies and Essays presented to Yu-why Chen on his 60th Birthday*, 107–135, Taiwan University 1970.
- [13] Dyson, F. J. : Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I. *J. Mathematical Phys.* **3** (1962), 140-156.
- [14] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R. : A geometric effective Nullstellensatz. *Invent. Math.* **137** (1999), 427-448.
- [15] Eisenbud, D. : *Commutative Algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*. GTM **150**. Springer, New York 1995.
- [16] Eisenbud, D., Hochster, M. : A Nullstellensatz with nilpotents and Zariski’s main lemma on holomorphic functions. *J. Algebra* **58** (1979), 157-161.
- [17] Erdős, P., Graham, R. L. : *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*. Monographies de L’Enseignement Mathématique **28**, Genève 1980.
- [18] Ghorpade, S. : A note on Nullstellensatz over finite fields. In *Contributions in algebra and algebraic geometry*, volume 738 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 23-32. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [19] Goldman, O. : Hilbert rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz. *Math. Zeit.* **54** (1951), 136-140.
- [20] Good, I. J. : Short proof of a conjecture by Dyson. *J. Mathematical Phys.* **11** (1970), 1884-1884.
- [21] Hilbert, D. : Über die vollen Invariantensysteme. *Math. Ann.* **42** (1893), 313-370.
- [22] Jacobson, N. : *Basic algebra II*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, second edition, 1989.
- [23] Jelonek, Z. : On the effective Nullstellensatz. *Invent. Math.* **162** (2005), 1-17.

- [24] Karasev, R. N., Petrov, F. V.: Partitions of nonzero elements of a finite field into pairs. *Israel J. Math.* **192** (2012), 143-156.
- [25] Knebusch, M., Scheiderer, C.: *Einführung in die reelle Algebra*. **63**, Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989.
- [26] Kollár, J.: Sharp effective Nullstellensatz. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), 963-975.
- [27] Kollár, J.: Effective Nullstellensatz for arbitrary ideals. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **1** (1999), 313-337.
- [28] Krull, W.: Jacobson'sches Radikal und Hilbert'scher Nullstellensatz. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, Mass.* **2** (1950), 56-64. Amer. Math. Soc. 1952.
- [29] Krull, W.: *Idealtheorie*. Zweite, ergänzte Auflage. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 46. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968.
- [30] Lam, T. Y.: An introduction to real algebra. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **14** (1984), 767-814.
- [31] Lang, S.: The theory of real places. *Ann. of Math.* **57** (1953), 378-391.
- [32] May, J. P.: Munshi's proof of the Nullstellensatz. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003), 133-140.
- [33] Michałek, M.: A short proof of combinatorial Nullstellensatz. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **117** (2010), 821-823.
- [34] Munshi, R.: Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. *Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq* **15** (1999), 20-24.
- [35] Patil, D. P., Storch, U.: *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. IISc Lecture Notes Series, No. 1, IISc Press / World Scientific Publications Singapore / Chennai, 2010, pp. x + 207. Indian Edition Published by Cambridge University press India Pvt. Ltd. 2012, pp. x+209.
- [36] Pfister, A.: *Quadratic forms with applications to algebraic geometry and topology*. Lecture Note Series **217**, London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press 1995.
- [37] Prestel, A.: *Lectures on formally real fields*. Lect. Notes in Math. **1093**. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [38] Rabinowitsch, J. L.: Zum Hilbert'schen Nullstellensatz. *Math. Ann.* **102** (1930), 520-520.
- [39] Risler, J.-J.: Une caractérisation des idéaux des variétés algébriques réelles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **271** (1970), 1171-1173.
- [40] Samuel, P.: About Euclidean rings. *J. Algebra* **19** (1971), 282-301.
- [41] Shankar, S.: The Nullstellensatz for systems of PDE. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **23** (1999), 360-374.
- [42] Shustin, E., Izhakian, Z.: A tropical Nullstellensatz. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 3815-3821.

- [43] Sturmfels, B. : *Solving systems of polynomial equations*. **97** *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Amer. Math. Soc. 2002.
- [44] Wilson, K. G. : Proof of a conjecture by Dyson. *J. Mathematical Phys.* **3** (1962), 1040–1043.
- [45] Van der Waerden, B. L. : *Moderne Algebra*. 8th edn. Springer, New York, 1971.
- [46] Zariski, O. : A new proof of Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz. *Bulletin. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1947), 362–368.