

# Small toric degenerations of Fano threefolds

Sergey Galkin

April 1, 2008

## Abstract

We classify smooth Fano threefolds that admit degenerations to toric Fano threefolds with ordinary double points.

## Introduction

We consider small toric degenerations of Fano threefolds. These are the degenerations of smooth Fano threefolds to toric Fano threefolds with ordinary double points (see Definitions 1.1, 1.2). These degenerations has applications in mirror symmetry. Mirror constructions for toric manifolds and complete intersections therein are given by Givental and Batyrev [1, 2, 3].

If a Fano manifold  $Y$  admits a small toric degeneration  $X$ , a mirror of  $Y$  can be produced via a toric construction, and it can be used to compute some Gromov–Witten invariants of  $Y$ .

In that way, using small toric degenerations of Grassmannians (constructed in [4]) and varieties of partial flags (constructed in [5]), mirror images of these homogeneous varieties were constructed in [6, 7].

Generalizing these examples Batyrev introduced the notion of small toric degeneration of a Fano variety [8]. The complete classification of smooth Fano threefolds is well known thanks to works of Fano, Iskovskikh, Shokurov, Mori–Mukai [9, 11, 10, 12] (also see [13, 14, 15] for reviews and alternative constructions).

Batyrev posed a very natural question [8, Question 3.9]:

*Which 3-dimensional nontoric smooth Fano varieties do admit small toric degenerations?*

Theorem 1.7 of this paper provides a complete answer to this question. Section 5 contains some application of these degenerations.

## Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>A formulation of the main result.</b>                                | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>A proof of the main result.</b>                                      | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Computation of discriminants</b>                                     | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>4</b> | <b>The description of toric degenerations of smooth Fano threefolds</b> | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Some applications</b>  | <b>10</b> |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Generalizations</b>  | <b>12</b> |

## 1 A formulation of the main result.

**Definition 1.1.** Deformation is a flat proper morphism

$$\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is a unit disc  $\{|t| < 1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  is an irreducible complex manifold. All the deformations we consider are projective ( $\pi$  is a projective morphism over  $\Delta$ ). Denote fibers of  $\pi$  by  $X_t$ , and let  $i_{t \in \Delta}$  be the inclusion of a fiber  $X_t \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .

If all fibers  $X_{t \neq 0}$  are nonsingular, then the deformation  $\pi$  is called a degeneration of  $X_{t \neq 0}$  or a smoothing of  $X_0$ . If at least one such morphism  $\pi$  exists, we say that varieties  $X_{t \neq 0}$  are *smoothings* of  $X_0$ , and  $X_0$  is a *degeneration* of  $X_{t \neq 0}$ .

For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\Delta$  and  $t \in \Delta$  the symbol  $\mathcal{F}_t$  stands for the restriction  $i_t^* \mathcal{F}$  to the fiber over  $t$ . In particular there is a well-defined restriction morphism on Picard groups  $i_t^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [[8]] Degeneration (or a smoothing)  $\pi$  is *small*, if  $X_0$  has at most Gorenstein terminal singularities (see [16, 17]), and for all  $t \in \Delta$  the restriction  $i_t^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$  is an isomorphism.

All 3-dimensional terminal Gorenstein toric singularities are nodes i.e. ordinary double points analytically isomorphic to  $(xy = zt) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$  (see e.g. [18]).

**Definition 1.3.** The *index* of a (Gorenstein) Fano variety  $X$  is the highest  $r > 0$ , such that anticanonical divisor class  $-K_X$  is an  $r$ -multiple of some integer Cartier divisor class  $H$ :

$$-K_X = rH.$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$  be a Cartier divisor on an  $n$ -dimensional variety  $X$ , and  $D_1, \dots, D_l$  be a base of lattice  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{tors}$ . Define  $d^k(X, H)$  as a discriminant of the quadratic form  $(D_1, D_2) = (H^{n-2k} \cup D_1 \cup D_2)$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{tors}$ . For a Gorenstein threefold  $X$  denote by  $d(X) = d^1(X, -K_X)$  the anticanonical discriminant of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a smooth variety and  $H$  is an ample divisor, then hard Lefschetz theorem states that  $d^k(X, H)$  is nonzero.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $X$  be a Fano threefold. Consider Picard number  $\rho = \text{rk Pic}(X) = \dim H^2(X)$ , half of third Betti number  $b = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^3(X)$ , (anticanonical) degree  $\text{deg} = (-K_X)^3$ , Fano index  $r$  (see def. 1.3) and (anticanonical) discriminant  $d$  (see def. 1.4). Numbers  $\rho, r, \text{deg}, b, d$  form a set of *principal invariants* of smooth Fano threefold.

**Definition 1.6.** We use the following notations for the (families of) smooth varieties

- $\mathbb{P}^n$  —  $n$ -dimensional projective space;
- $G(l, N)$  — Grassmannian of  $l$ -dimensional linear subspaces in  $N$ -dimensional space.  
families of smooth surfaces:
- $S_d, d = 1, \dots, 8$  — del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $d$  and index 1;  
and families of smooth Fano threefolds:
- $Q$  — a quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ ;
- $B_4$  — intersections of two quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ ;
- $B_5$  — del Pezzo quintic threefold, that is a section of  $G(2, 5)$  by linear subspace of codimension 3;
- $V_{22}$  — Fano threefolds of genus 12 with  $\rho = 1$ ;
- $W$  — divisor of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ );
- $V_{\rho, N}$  ( $\rho = 2, 3, 4$ ) — families of Fano threefolds with Picard number  $\rho$  and number  $N$  in Mori–Mukai’s tables [10, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4].

We use the standard notations for toric varieties [20, 21, 1]: a toric variety  $X$  corresponding to a fan  $\Sigma$  in the space  $N = \mathbb{Z}^{\dim X}$ , each ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$  corresponds to a polytope  $\Delta_H$  in the dual space  $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ ; we denote the variety  $X$  by the symbols  $X_\Sigma$  or  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *These and only these families of non-toric smooth Fano threefolds  $Y$  do admit small degenerations to toric Fano threefolds (in notations 1.6):*

1. 4 families with  $\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ :  $Q, B_4, B_5, V_{22}$ ;
2. 16 families with  $\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ :  $V_{2, n}$ , where  $n = 12, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32$ ;
3. 16 families with  $\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}^3$ :  $V_{3, n}$ , where  $n = 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24$ ;
4. 8 families with  $\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}^4$ :  $V_{4, n}$ , where  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ .

All these degenerations are listed in section 4.

**Remark 1.8.** A posteriori all these smooth threefolds  $Y$  satisfy the following conditions

1.  $Y$  is rational (see e.g. [15]),
2.  $\rho(Y) \leq 4$ ,
3.  $\text{deg}(Y) = (-K_Y)^3 \geq 20$ ,
4.  $b(Y) = h^{1,2}(Y) \leq 3$ ,
5.  $b(Y) = 3$  only if  $Y$  is  $V_{2,12}$ ,
6.  $b(Y) = 2$  only if  $Y$  is  $B_4$  or  $V_{2,19}$ .

## 2 A proof of the main result.

### A sketch of the proof

Consider a toric Fano threefold  $X$  with ordinary double points.

- (i) There is only a finite number of such  $X$ . All these threefolds  $X$  are explicitly classified.
- (ii)  $X$  admits a smoothing — a Fano threefold  $Y$ .
- (iii) Principal invariants of  $Y$  can be expressed via invariants of  $X$ .
- (iv) Family of smooth Fano threefolds  $Y$  is completely determined by its principal invariants.
- (v) If some smooth Fano threefold  $Y$  admits a degeneration to a nodal toric Fano  $X$ , then the pair  $(Y, X)$  comes from the steps (i)-(iv).

The following properties of Fano varieties are consequences of Kawamata–Viehweg theorem, exponential sequence and Leray spectral sequence.

**Proposition 2.1.** (See e.g. [15, 16]) *Let  $X$  be an almost Fano with canonical singularities. Then*

1.  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ ,
2.  $\text{Pic}(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,
3.  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a resolution of singularities, the listed properties hold also for  $Y$ , and  $R^\bullet \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$  (i.e. canonical singularities are rational).

Local topology of smoothings is described by the following

**Proposition 2.2.** (see e.g. [22, 23, 24]) *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a smoothing.*

1. *Restriction  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \setminus X_0$  is a locally trivial fibration of smooth topological manifolds, in particular all the smooth fibers are diffeomorphic (this is known as Ehresmann's theorem).*
2. *There is a continuous Clemens map  $c : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_0$  (outside  $c^{-1}(\text{Sing } X_0)$  the map  $c$  is smooth). Clemens map  $c$  is a deformation retraction of  $\mathcal{X}$  to the fiber  $X_0$  and respects the radial retraction  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ . Restriction of  $c$  to the smooth fiber  $X_t$  is 1-to-1 correspondence outside singular locus of  $X_0$ .*

These propositions are purely topological, and essentially are the variations of the tubular neighborhood theorem.

**Corollary 2.3.**  *$\mathcal{X}$  and  $X_0$  has the same homotopy type (the homotopy equivalences are given by the Clemens map  $c : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_0$  and the inclusion of the fiber  $i_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ). Hence*

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z}) &= H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}), \\ H_2(X_0, \mathbb{Z}) &= H_2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.4.** *For  $t \neq 0$  all the images  $\text{Im}[\{i_t\} : H_\bullet(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_\bullet(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})]$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Let  $U_i$  be the covering of  $\Delta \setminus 0$  such that  $\pi$  is locally trivial fibration over elements of the covering  $U_i$ . Consider a pair of points  $t, s \in U_i$  and a  $k$ -cycle  $\gamma \in H_k(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $I \subset U$  be an interval between  $t$  and  $s$  in  $U$ , and  $\gamma_U$  be a  $(k+1)$ -cycle in  $\mathcal{X}_I$ , corresponding to the product of  $I$  and  $\gamma$  in a fixed trivialization of  $\pi$  over  $I$ . Then the boundary of  $\gamma_U$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is equal to the difference between  $\{i_t\}_* \gamma$  and  $\{i_s\}_* \gamma$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** ([25]). *Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(X_t)$  are constant for all  $t \in \Delta \setminus 0$ .*

**Proposition 2.6** (Semi-continuity theorem, see e.g. [26]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}$ , flat over  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ ; put  $\mathcal{F}_t = i_t^* \mathcal{F}$ . Then*

1. *The Euler characteristic  $\chi(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  does not depend on  $t \in \Delta$ .*
2. *Dimension of  $H^i(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  is upper-semi-continuous as a function of  $t$  (i.e. for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  sets)*

$$\{t \in \Delta : h^i(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t) \geq n\}$$

*are closed in Zariski topology).*

**Remark 2.7.** We will use the following trick: if the cohomology of some coherent sheaf  $H^i(X_0, \mathcal{F})$  vanish, then assume that  $\Delta$  is chosen small enough, so that vanishing holds for the cohomology of all the fibers over  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.8** ([27]). *Let  $X_0$  be a variety with canonical singularities, and  $\mathcal{X}$  — a deformation. Then total space  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein (Gorenstein if  $X_0$  is) and admit only canonical singularities.*

In this case one can use the naive adjunction formula on  $X$  (dualizing sheaf coincides with the canonical one).

Assume that  $X_0$  is Gorenstein and admits at most canonical singularities, and either a Calabi-Yau of dimension  $\geq 2$  or almost Fano.

**Proposition 2.9.** *For all  $i$  and  $t$*

$$h^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = h^i(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}).$$

*Proof.* Consider  $h^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t})_{0 < i < \dim X_t}$  as a function of  $t$ . It is upper-semi-continuous (see 2.6 (ii)), and equal to 0 for  $t = 0$  (by the definition if  $X$  is Calabi-Yau, or by 2.1 if  $X$  is almost Fano). Hence this function is 0 in some neighborhood of 0. This means it is identical to 0 over  $\Delta$  (Theorem 2.5). Since  $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}) = 1$  for all  $t$ , from Proposition 2.6 (i) it follows that  $h^n(X_t) = h^n(X_0)$  for all  $t$  (it is equal to 0 in case of almost Fano and 1 for Calabi-Yau).  $\square$

By Grauert's theorem  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O} = R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathcal{X}})$ ,  $\dim X_0 > i > 0$ , and  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathcal{X}})$  is a locally free sheaf over  $\Delta$  of rank  $h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}(-K_{X_0}))$ . From the degenerations of Leray spectral sequences  $H^i(\Delta, R^j \pi_* \mathcal{O}(-K_{\mathcal{X}}))$  and  $H^i(\Delta, R^j \pi_* \mathcal{O})$ :

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-K_{\mathcal{X}})) = H^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-K_t)) = 0, \dim X_0 > i > 0, t \in \Delta \quad (2.10)$$

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = H^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = 0, \dim X_0 > i > 0, t \in \Delta \quad (2.11)$$

$$H^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-K_{X_t})) = H^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-K_{X_0})), t \in \Delta \quad (2.12)$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-K_{\mathcal{X}})) = H^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-K_{X_0})) \otimes H^0(\Delta, \mathcal{O}). \quad (2.13)$$

By exponential sequence and the vanishing 2.11 there are isomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) = H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\text{Pic}(X_t) = H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (2.15)$$

Next proposition is a combination of 2.14 and 2.3:

**Proposition 2.16.**  $i_0^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_0)$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 2.17.**  $i_t^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_0)$  is injective, i.e.

$$\text{Ker } i_t^* = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

*Proof.* Since for all  $\gamma \in H_{\bullet}(X_t)$  and  $\Gamma \in H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$  we have

$$\langle i_t^*(\Gamma), \gamma \rangle = \langle \Gamma, \{i_t\}_* \gamma \rangle,$$

so from non-degeneracy of the coupling on  $X_t$  for  $t \neq 0$  and Corollary 2.4 we conclude that the spaces  $\text{Ker } i_t^* : H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \text{tors} \rightarrow H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$  coincide for all  $t \neq 0$ . Isomorphism 2.14 implies the same holds for  $i_t : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_t)$ , i.e.  $\text{Ker } i_t = \text{Ker } i_{t'}$  for all  $t, t' \in \Delta \setminus 0$ .

Consider an element  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Ker } i_t^* = \bigcap_{t' \in \Delta \setminus 0} \text{Ker } i_{t'}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible sheaf with the property  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{X_t}$ ,  $t \in \Delta \setminus 0$ . If  $t \neq 0$  this trivial line bundle has 1-dimensional space of sections:

$$h^0(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) = h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = 1,$$

so by semi-continuity (Proposition 2.6)

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{L}_{X_0}) \geq 1.$$

In the same way

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{L}_{X_0}^{-1}) \geq 1.$$

This means  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ . So 2.16 implies  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .  $\square$

By 2.8 and adjunction formula for all  $t \in \Delta$

$$-K_{X_t} = -(K_{\mathcal{X}} + X_t)|_{X_t} = i_t^*(-K_{\mathcal{X}}). \quad (2.19)$$

Consider  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ . The fibers  $X_0 = X$  and  $X_t$  are algebraically equivalent, so

$$i_0^*(\mathcal{D})^{\dim X} = \mathcal{D}^{\dim X} \cdot X_0 = \mathcal{D}^{\dim X} \cdot X_t = y_t^*(\mathcal{D})^{\dim X}. \quad (2.20)$$

**Corollary 2.21.** *Anticanonical degree  $(-K_{X_t})^{\dim X_t}$  does not depend on  $t \in \Delta$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a relative Fano (i.e.  $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$  is ample over  $\Delta$ ).

**Theorem 2.22** ([28]). *Any Fano threefold  $X_0$  with ordinary double points admits a smoothing  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with general fiber  $X_{t \neq 0}$  being a smooth Fano.*

Friedman's theorem 2.22 has a generalization to Gorenstein terminal singularities by Namikawa

**Theorem 2.23** ([29]). *Any Gorenstein terminal Fano threefold  $X_0$  admits a smoothing  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with general fibers  $X_{t \neq 0}$  being a smooth Fano.*

**Proposition 2.24.** *If  $X_0$  is (almost) Fano, then the smoothing is small if and only if two pairs of invariants  $(\rho, d)$  coincide ( $d$  is defined in 1.4):*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_0) &= \rho(X_t), \\ d(X_0) &= d(X_t).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Bijectivity of  $i_0^*$  and injectivity of  $i_t^*$  holds in general context (Proposition 2.17). Both groups  $\text{Pic}(X_t)$  and  $\text{Pic}(X)$  are finitely generated lattices (Proposition 2.1). Thus equality  $\rho(X_0) = \rho(X_t)$  means that the morphism  $i_t^*(i_0^*)^{-1}$  is an isomorphism of lattice  $\text{Pic}(X_0)$  with sub-lattice of finite index in  $\text{Pic}(X_t)$ . This index is equal to

$$[\text{Pic}(X_t) : \text{Pic}(X_0)] = \left( \frac{d(X_0)}{d(X_t)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

□

**Theorem 2.25** ([30]). *If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a smoothing, and  $X_0$  is a Gorenstein Fano threefold with terminal singularities, then  $i_t^*$  is an isomorphism for all  $t$ .*

**Corollary 2.26.** *Any Gorenstein Fano threefold with terminal singularities admits a smoothing, with general fiber being a smooth Fano threefold, and all such smoothings are small.*

*Proof.* This is just a union of 2.23 and 2.25. □

**Corollary 2.27.** *Gorenstein Fano threefold  $X$  with terminal singularities and its smoothing  $Y$  has the same invariants  $\rho$ ,  $\text{deg}$ ,  $r$ ,  $d$ .*

*Proof.* Equality 3.21 states that  $\text{deg}(X) = \text{deg}(Y)$ . As a corollary of 2.25 we have  $\rho(X) = \rho(Y)$ . Hence from 2.20 and 2.24 one derives  $d(X) = d(Y)$ . Finally, 2.25 with 2.19 implies  $r(X) = r(Y)$ . □

Fano threefold  $Y$  has only 2 non-trivial Hodge numbers  $h^{1,1}(Y) = h^{2,2}(Y) = \rho(Y)$  and  $b(Y) = h^{1,2}(Y) = h^{2,1}(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{rk } H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ ; and some trivial:  $h^{0,0}(Y) = h^{3,3}(Y) = 1$ , all other Hodge numbers are zeroes.

**Proposition 2.28.** *Let  $X$  be a nodal threefold,  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  — its small crepant resolution, and  $Y$  — a smoothing of  $X$  (in literature transformation from  $Y$  to  $\tilde{X}$  is called a conifold transition). Denote the number of nodes on  $X$  by  $p(X)$ . Then*

$$b(Y) = p(X) + b(\tilde{X}) + \rho(Y) - \rho(\tilde{X}). \quad (2.29)$$

*Proof.* (Clemens's argument, see also [31]) Compare topological Euler numbers (for non-compact manifolds with a border use Euler number for cohomology with compact support  $\chi(M) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H_c^i(M, \mathbb{C})$ ) of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $Y^1$ .

By throwing away small neighborhoods of all singular points  $p_i$  from  $X$ , we construct a manifold with the border  $M$ . Punctured neighborhood of ordinary double point on  $X$  is isomorphic to tangent bundle on real sphere  $TS^3$  without the 0-section: if  $\sum_{i=1}^4 z_i^2 = 0$ ,  $z = x + yi$  then  $x$  and  $y$  can be considered as a pair of nonzero orthogonal (with respect to a standard Euclidean metric) vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of the same length  $r$ ; vector  $x/r$  is a point in  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius 1, and  $y$  is a tangent vector in that point. This shows that a neighborhood of ordinary double point on  $X$  is isomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^3$ . After crepant resolution it is patched by  $S^2 \times D^4$ , and after smoothing — by  $D^3 \times S^3$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tilde{X}) &= \chi(M) + p \cdot \chi(S^2), \\ \chi(Y) &= \chi(M) + p \cdot \chi(S^3).\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\chi(\tilde{X}) = \chi(Y) + 2p.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(Y) &= 2 + 2\rho(Y) - 2b(Y), \\ \chi(\tilde{X}) &= 2 + 2\rho(\tilde{X}) - 2b(\tilde{X}).\end{aligned}$$

□

---

<sup>1</sup>Alternatively one can compare dimensions of versal deformation spaces for  $Y$  and  $X$ ; see also mirror-symmetry explanation [32, 6].

**Proposition 2.30.** *If  $X$  is a nodal toric threefold corresponding to a polytope with  $v$  vertices,  $p$  quadrangular faces (i.e. nodes) and  $f - p$  triangular faces (smooth fixed points), then  $H^3(\tilde{X}) = 0$ ,  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = v - 3$ . So for smoothing  $Y$  of  $X$ , there is a relation*

$$b(Y) = p + \rho(X) - (v - 3).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{X}$  is nonsingular,  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$  and  $\text{Cl}(\tilde{X})$  coincides. But the resolution  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is small, hence the proper transform is the bijection between Weyl divisors on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X$ , i.e.  $\text{Cl}(\tilde{X}) = \text{Cl}(X)$ . This implies  $\rho(\tilde{X}) = \text{rk Pic}(\tilde{X}) = \text{rk Cl}(X) = v - 3$ . Therefore proposition 2.28 in our case is equivalent to the equality 2.29.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.31** ([10, 12]). *Two smooth Fano threefolds  $Y_1, Y_2$  with coincident sets of principal invariants  $\rho, r, \text{deg}, b, d$  lie in one deformation class. There are only 105 such classes<sup>2</sup>. They are explicitly listed in [10], and nonempty.*

Let us say that smooth Fano threefold  $Y$  is determined by its invariants  $(\rho, r, \text{deg}, b)$ , if for any smooth Fano threefold  $Y'$  equalities  $\rho(Y') = \rho(Y)$ ,  $r(Y') = r(Y)$ ,  $\text{deg}(Y') = \text{deg}(Y)$ ,  $b(Y') = b(Y)$  imply that  $Y$  and  $Y'$  lie in one deformation class. According to [12], only 19 of 105 families of smooth Fano threefolds are not determined by invariants  $\rho, r, \text{deg}, b$ .

**Lemma 2.32.** *For any nodal Fano threefold  $X$  there exists only one (up to deformations) smooth Fano  $Y$ , such that  $Y$  is a smoothing of  $X$ .*

*Proof.*  $X$  has a smoothing — a smooth Fano variety  $Y$  (see 2.22). Principal invariants of  $Y$  (see 1.5) are explicitly computable from invariants of  $X$  (see 2.27, 2.30). Deformation class of  $Y$  is uniquely determined by its principal invariants 2.31.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.33.** *Suppose  $Y$  is determined by  $(\rho, r, \text{deg}, b)$ . Then nodal Fano threefold  $X$  is a degeneration of  $Y$  if and only if  $\rho(X) = \rho$ ,  $r(X) = r$ ,  $\text{deg}(X) = \text{deg}$ ,  $b(X) = b$ . If  $Y$  is not determined by  $(\rho, r, \text{deg}, b)$ , then  $X$  is a degeneration of  $Y$  if and only if  $\rho(X) = \rho$ ,  $r(X) = r$ ,  $\text{deg}(X) = \text{deg}$ ,  $b(X) = b$ ,  $d(X) = d$ .*

The proof of lemma 2.32 works in higher generality — not only in case of toric varieties, but for any nodal Fano threefolds (and also it is easy to generalize it to the case of Fano threefolds with Gorenstein terminal singularities). In the next part of the paper we restrict ourselves to the case of toric varieties  $X^3$ .

There is an effective algorithm describing all the reflexive polytopes (i.e. Gorenstein toric Fano varieties) in any fixed dimension [34]. Number of such polytopes grows fast enough: there are 16 polygons, 4319 polytopes in 3-dimensional space, and 473800776 4-dimensional polytopes.

We are interested in the particular case of nodal toric Fano threefolds. We used PALP software package [33, 34] to form a list of such varieties. There are 100 of them, 18 are smooth and are not deformations of other Fano manifolds (theorem 2.31). For non-smooth cases Picard number is at most 4. All these varieties are listed in the table of Section 4<sup>4</sup>.

So let us compute invariants of the smoothing  $Y$  of toric nodal Fano  $X$ .

Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be some small crepant resolution of  $X$ , and  $p(X)$  be a number of nodes on  $X$ .

*Proof of 1.7.* Assume smooth Fano threefold  $Y$  is degenerated to  $X$ . As shown in 2.4, varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same Picard number, index, anticanonical degree and invariant  $d$ . Denote them by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X) &= \rho(Y) = \rho, \\ r(X) &= r(Y) = r, \\ (-K_X)^3 &= (-K_Y)^3 = \text{deg}, \\ d(X) &= d(Y) = d. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{X}$  is toric, all its odd cohomology vanish:  $H^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . This implies (see 2.28, 2.29, 2.30):

$$b(Y) = p(X) + \rho(X) - \rho(\tilde{X}).$$

Put  $b = p(X) + \rho(X) - \rho(\tilde{X})$ .

What is left to do is to compute invariants  $\rho, r, \text{deg}, b, d$  of  $X$  (this is done in section 4), and pick up a unique family of smooth varieties  $Y$  with invariants  $\rho(Y) = \rho$ ,  $r(Y) = r$ ,  $\text{deg}(Y) = \text{deg}$ ,  $b(Y) = b$ ,  $d(Y) = d$ , in the table of [11].  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>In the first version of [10] one family  $V_{4,13}$  was missing, it was corrected in 2003.

<sup>3</sup>For simplicity of computations, and applications (see 5)

<sup>4</sup>An explicit description of all nodal toric Fano threefolds is given in [18]. All terminal toric Fano threefolds are classified in [35]. All Gorenstein toric Fano threefolds are classified in [34].

The remaining statements in this chapter serve to simplify the computations. Picard number of nodal toric Fano threefold is either 1, 2, 3 or 4 (see [18] and table in 4). Hence smooth non-toric Fano varieties  $\rho \geq 5$  (i.e. non-toric variety of degree 28 with  $\rho = 5$  and products  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_{d=11-\rho}$  of the line  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with del Pezzo surface  $S_d$  of degree  $d \leq 5$ ) has no small toric deformations.

In 55 of 82 cases of singular  $X$  the smoothing  $Y$  is determined by its invariants  $(\rho, b, r, \deg)(Y) = (\rho, b, r, \deg)(X)$ . In these cases the routine computation of invariant  $d(X)$  may be omitted.

There are eight exceptional sets of invariants  $(\rho, b, r, \deg)$  corresponding to 17 families of Fano varieties listed in the following table:

Table 1

| $\rho$ | deg | $b, r$ | smooth $Y$                                 |
|--------|-----|--------|--|
| 2      | 30  | 0,1    | $V_{2.22}[-24], V_{2.24}[-21]$             |
| 2      | 46  | 0,1    | $V_{2.30}[-12], V_{2.31}[-13]$             |
| 3      | 36  | 0,1    | $V_{3.17}[28], V_{3.18}[26]$               |
| 3      | 38  | 0,1    | $V_{3.19}[24], V_{3.20}[28], V_{3.21}[22]$ |
| 3      | 42  | 0,1    | $V_{3.23}[20], V_{3.24}[22]$               |
| 4      | 32  | 0,1    | $V_{4.4}[-40], V_{4.5}[-39]$               |
| 2      | 54  | 0,2    | $V_{2.33}, V_{2.34}$                       |
| 3      | 48  | 0,2    | $V_{3.27}, V_{3.28}$                       |

**Remark 2.34.** Smooth varieties  $V_{2.33}, V_{2.34}, V_{3.27}, V_{3.28}$  are toric.

**Remark 2.35.** In table 1 the number in brackets after smooth Fano  $Y$  is its invariant  $d(Y)$  (see [12, Proposition 7.35]).

### 3 Computation of discriminants

**Theorem 3.1** (see e.g. [1]). *Let  $X$  be nonsingular and proper (probably not projective) toric variety. Cohomology ring  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is generated by classes of invariant divisors  $D_{\rho_i}$ . The relations in this ring are generated by the so-called Stanley–Reisner relations: for all  $J \subset \Sigma^{(1)}$ , not contained in any face  $\Delta$  one has*

$$\prod_{j \in J \subset \Sigma^{(1)}} D_{\rho_j} = 0,$$

and relations implied by the triviality of principal divisors, i.e. for all  $m \in M$

$$\sum_i \langle m, \rho_i \rangle D_{\rho_i} = 0.$$

This means that in the cohomology ring of a smooth toric variety all the relations are generated by naive ones: intersection of  $k$  different divisors is empty if the corresponding 1-dimensional faces are not contained in one  $k$ -dimensional face  $\sigma$ . If they are contained, then the corresponding divisors intersect transversely in  $(d - k)$ -dimensional orbit corresponding to the face  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X_\Sigma$  be a smooth toric  $n$ -fold. Consider a homogeneous system of linear equations*

$$x_{j_1 \dots j_n} = 0, \text{ if } \{\rho_{j_1}, \dots, \rho_{j_n}\} \text{ is not a cone in } \Sigma,$$

$$\sum \langle m, \rho_j \rangle x_{j_1 \dots j_{i-1} j_{i+1} \dots j_n} = 0$$

*This system has a unique solution up to rescaling. Choose a unique solution that satisfy  $x_{j_1 \dots j_n} = 1$ , if  $\{\rho_{j_1} \dots \rho_{j_n}\}$  is a cone in  $\Sigma$ . Then the numbers  $x_{j_1 \dots j_n}$  are equal to the intersection numbers of divisors  $D_{j_1} \dots D_{j_n}$  on  $X_\Sigma$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *For Weyl divisor  $\sum a_\rho D_\rho$  the condition of local principality in ordinary double point on toric threefold is the following – sum of coefficients at invariant irreducible divisors corresponding to the vertices of the diagonal  $\rho_{APC}$  of quadrangle  $\rho_{APB}\rho_{CPD}$  is equal to the sum at the vertices of  $\rho_{BPD}$ :*

$$a_{\rho_A} + a_{\rho_C} = a_{\rho_B} + a_{\rho_D}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a nodal toric Fano threefold. Then  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is determined from the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{ABCD} \mathbb{Z},$$

where the sum is taken over all basic quadrangles  $\rho_A \rho_B \rho_C \rho_D$  for  $X$ ,  $\phi = \bigoplus_{ABCD} \phi_{ABCD}$ , and  $\phi_{ABCD}(\sum a_\rho D_\rho) = (a_{\rho_A} - a_{\rho_B} + a_{\rho_C} - a_{\rho_D})$ .

**Remark 3.5.** By virtue of lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, one may effectively compute the intersection theory on  $\text{Pic}(X)$  for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric  $X$ , admitting a small resolution  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  (e.g. all nodal threefolds  $X$  satisfy this property). Self-intersection  $D^n$  of Cartier divisor  $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$  is equal to intersection of its pullback  $\tilde{D} = f^*D$  to  $\tilde{X}$ . Class group of Weyl divisors is invariant modulo small resolutions, divisor  $\tilde{D}$  is represented by the same Weyl divisor as  $D$  (by the pullback).

Therefore to find the intersections on  $\text{Pic}(X)$  one need to solve two systems of linear equations: one on intersection numbers  $D_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot D_{i_n}$  described in 3.2, and another one — the equations 3.3 cutting  $\text{Pic}(X)$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ <sup>5</sup>.

## Notation

Let  $M$  be a integer matrix of size  $3 \times v$ . Denote by  $\Delta(M)$  the convex hull of columns of  $M$ . Assume  $M$  is chosen in such a way that 0 is contained in the interior of  $\Delta(M)$ , and none of  $M$ 's columns lie in the convex hull of the others. By  $\mathbb{P}(M)$  denote the toric Fano variety corresponding to the polytope  $\Delta(M)$ . Let  $D_i$  be invariant Weyl divisor corresponding to  $i$ th vertice of  $\Delta(M)$ , and  $G_1, \dots, G_\rho$  be the generators of  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(M))$ .

In order to compute  $d$ , we find first all the intersection numbers of the elements in the base of  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(M))$ , and then compute the discriminant. We use 3.5 for the computation of intersection numbers of divisors in  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(M))$  — compute the ring  $H^\bullet(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(M))$ , intersections in Picard group  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(M))$  of small crepant resolution<sup>6</sup>  $\phi : \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M)$ , and then intersections in  $\mathbb{P}(M)$  is just the restriction from  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(M)$ .

As an example we produce this computation for case with invariants ( $\rho = 2$ ,  $\text{deg} = 30$ ,  $b = 0$ )<sup>7</sup>.

**Case 4.6** ( $v = 9$ ,  $f = 10$ ).

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_1 = D_1 + D_4 + D_5 + D_8, \quad G_2 = -D_1 + D_6 + D_9.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(aG_1 + bG_2, aG_1 + bG_2, aG_1 + bG_2) &= (aG_1 + bG_2)^3 = a^3 + 6ba^2 - 2b^3 \\ -K &= G_1 + 2G_2 \\ d &= -24 \end{aligned}$$

**Case 4.7** ( $v = 10$ ,  $f = 11$ ).

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_1 = D_7 + D_8 + D_9, \quad G_2 = D_2 + D_3 + D_5 - D_6 + D_{10}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (aG_1 + bG_2)^3 &= -2a^3 + 6ba^2 - 3b^3 \\ -K &= 3G_1 + 2G_2 \\ d &= -24 \end{aligned}$$

**Case 4.8** ( $v = 9$ ,  $f = 10$ ).

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>5</sup>The PARI/GP script realizing this algorithm is available at <http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/work/NodalToric3foldPicard.gp>.

<sup>6</sup>We choose arbitrary maximal crepant resolution as explained in 3.5, the answer does not depend on the projectivity of the resolutions.

<sup>7</sup>All the other cases are available at <http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/work/NodalToric3foldPicard.pdf>.

$$G_1 = -D_1 + 2D_3 + D_4 - D_7 + D_8, \quad G_2 = D_1 + D_7 + D_9.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (aG_1 + bG_2)^3 &= 3ba^2 + 6b^2a \\ -K &= G_1 + G_2 \\ d &= -21 \end{aligned}$$

## 4 The description of toric degenerations of smooth Fano threefolds

As mentioned in corollary 2.33, for the determination of all the possible types of toric degenerations of Fano threefolds  $Y$ , we need to compute the invariants  $\rho(X)$ ,  $r(X)$ ,  $\deg(X)$ ,  $b(X)$  (and sometimes  $d(X)$ ) of all nodal toric Fano threefolds. For these computations we used the program<sup>8</sup> based on algorithm described in 3.2, 3.4, 3.5. The results of these computations are exposed in the table 2.

First 4 columns list Fano threefolds  $Y$  and its invariants computed in [12].

In 5th column we list the value of invariant  $d(Y)$  for cases when  $Y$  is not determined by  $(\rho, r, \deg, b)$ .

In 6th column we list main combinatorial invariants of toric  $X$  (degeneration of  $Y$ ) — number of vertices, nodes and torus-fixed points.

In 7th column we list the number of toric degenerations  $X$  of smooth  $Y$  with invariants listed in 6th column.

**Remark 4.1.** There is a linear relation 2.30 between  $\rho$ ,  $b$ ,  $v$ ,  $p$ :

$$v - p = 3 + \rho - b.$$

**Remark 4.2.** Varieties  $V_{2.34}$ ,  $V_{3.25}$ ,  $V_{3.26}$ ,  $V_{3.28}$ ,  $V_{4.9}$  are smooth toric varieties that admit degenerations to singular nodal toric varieties. The rest of smooth varieties listed in the table are non-toric.

**Remark 4.3.** Fano variety  $V_{4.13}$  (of degree 26)<sup>9</sup> does not admit small toric degenerations.

Table 2

|            |        |        |     |         |                |         |
|------------|--------|--------|-----|---------|----------------|---------|
| $V_{22}$   | 1      | 22     | 0   |         | (13,9,13)      | 1       |
| $B_4$      | 1      | 32     | 2   |         | (8,6,6)        | 1       |
| $B_5$      | 1      | 40     | 0   |         | (7,3,7)        | 1       |
| $Y$        | $\rho$ | $\deg$ | $b$ | $[d]$   | $(v, p, f)(X)$ | $\#(X)$ |
| $Q$        | 1      | 54     | 0   |         | (5, 1, 5)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.12}$ | 2      | 20     | 3   |         | (14,12,12)     | 1       |
| $V_{2.17}$ | 2      | 24     | 1   |         | (12,8,12)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.19}$ | 2      | 26     | 2   |         | (11,8,10)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.20}$ | 2      | 26     | 0   |         | (11,6,12)      | 2       |
| $V_{2.21}$ | 2      | 28     | 0   |         | (10,5,11)      | 2       |
| $V_{2.21}$ | 2      | 28     | 0   |         | (11,6,12)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.23}$ | 2      | 30     | 1   |         | (9,5,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.22}$ | 2      | 30     | 0   |         | (10,5,11)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.22}$ | 2      | 30     | 0   | $[-24]$ | (9,4,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{2.24}$ | 2      | 30     | 0   | $[-21]$ | (9,4,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{2.25}$ | 2      | 32     | 1   |         | (8,4,8)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.25}$ | 2      | 32     | 1   |         | (9,5,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.26}$ | 2      | 34     | 0   |         | (10,5,11)      | 1       |
| $V_{2.26}$ | 2      | 34     | 0   |         | (8,3,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.26}$ | 2      | 34     | 0   |         | (9,4,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{2.27}$ | 2      | 38     | 0   |         | (7,2,8)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.27}$ | 2      | 38     | 0   |         | (8,3,9)        | 2       |
| $V_{2.28}$ | 2      | 40     | 1   |         | (7,3,7)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.29}$ | 2      | 40     | 0   |         | (7,2,8)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.29}$ | 2      | 40     | 0   |         | (8,3,9)        | 1       |

<sup>8</sup><http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/work/NodalToric3foldPicard.gp>

<sup>9</sup>This threefold is missing in the original version of [11].

Table 2

| $Y$        | $\rho$ | deg | $b$ | $[d]$   | $(v, p, f)(X)$ | $\#(X)$ |
|------------|--------|-----|-----|---------|----------------|---------|
| $V_{2.30}$ | 2      | 46  | 0   | $[-12]$ | (6,1,7)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.31}$ | 2      | 46  | 0   | $[-13]$ | (6,1,7)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.31}$ | 2      | 46  | 0   | $[-13]$ | (7,2,8)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.32}$ | 2      | 48  | 0   |         | (6,1,7)        | 1       |
| $V_{2.34}$ | 2      | 54  | 0   |         | (6,1,7)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.7}$  | 3      | 24  | 1   |         | (12,7,13)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.10}$ | 3      | 26  | 0   |         | (11,5,13)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.11}$ | 3      | 28  | 1   |         | (10,5,11)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.12}$ | 3      | 28  | 0   |         | (10,4,12)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.12}$ | 3      | 28  | 0   |         | (11,5,13)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.13}$ | 3      | 30  | 0   |         | (10,4,12)      | 2       |
| $V_{3.13}$ | 3      | 30  | 0   |         | (9,3,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.14}$ | 3      | 32  | 1   |         | (8,3,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.15}$ | 3      | 32  | 0   |         | (10,4,12)      | 1       |
| $V_{3.15}$ | 3      | 32  | 0   |         | (9,3,11)       | 3       |
| $V_{3.16}$ | 3      | 34  | 0   |         | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.16}$ | 3      | 34  | 0   |         | (9,3,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.17}$ | 3      | 36  | 0   | $[28]$  | (8,2,10)       | 2       |
| $V_{3.17}$ | 3      | 36  | 0   | $[28]$  | (9,3,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.18}$ | 3      | 36  | 0   | $[26]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.18}$ | 3      | 36  | 0   | $[26]$  | (9,3,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.19}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[24]$  | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.19}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[24]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.20}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[28]$  | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.20}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[28]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.20}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[28]$  | (9,3,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.21}$ | 3      | 38  | 0   | $[22]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.22}$ | 3      | 40  | 0   |         | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.23}$ | 3      | 42  | 0   | $[20]$  | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.23}$ | 3      | 42  | 0   | $[20]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.24}$ | 3      | 42  | 0   | $[22]$  | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.24}$ | 3      | 42  | 0   | $[22]$  | (8,2,10)       | 1       |
| $V_{3.25}$ | 3      | 44  | 0   |         | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.26}$ | 3      | 46  | 0   |         | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{3.28}$ | 3      | 48  | 0   |         | (7,1,9)        | 1       |
| $V_{4.1}$  | 4      | 24  | 1   |         | (12,6,14)      | 1       |
| $V_{4.2}$  | 4      | 28  | 1   |         | (10,4,12)      | 1       |
| $V_{4.3}$  | 4      | 30  | 0   |         | (10,3,13)      | 1       |
| $V_{4.4}$  | 4      | 32  | 0   | $[-40]$ | (9,2,12)       | 1       |
| $V_{4.5}$  | 4      | 32  | 0   | $[-39]$ | (9,2,12)       | 1       |
| $V_{4.6}$  | 4      | 34  | 0   |         | (10,3,13)      | 1       |
| $V_{4.6}$  | 4      | 34  | 0   |         | (9,2,12)       | 1       |
| $V_{4.7}$  | 4      | 36  | 0   |         | (8,1,11)       | 2       |
| $V_{4.7}$  | 4      | 36  | 0   |         | (9,2,12)       | 1       |
| $V_{4.8}$  | 4      | 38  | 0   |         | (8,1,11)       | 1       |
| $V_{4.9}$  | 4      | 40  | 0   |         | (8,1,11)       | 1       |

Any smooth Fano threefold not listed in the table does not admit any small toric degenerations, since none of nodal toric Fano threefolds has the proper invariants.

## 5 Some applications

We used the classification of smooth Fano threefolds to compare numerical invariants of  $X$  and  $Y$ . We want to point out that the classification was required only to identify the “names” of Fano manifolds. Our considerations also proved the existence of smooth threefolds such that their sections are canonically

embedded curves, and with principal invariants written in Section 4. In particular we have provided a new proof that there exists  $V_{22}$ , a smooth threefold with  $b_2 = 1$  such that its linear sections are canonically embedded curves of genus 12, a threefold that Fano missed.

Our constructions also help to compute some other invariants of Fano threefolds.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Gorenstein toric Fano variety with isolated singularities. Then there exists a smooth anticanonical section  $S \in |-K_X|$ , and it is a Calabi–Yau variety.*

*Proof.* It is a simple corollary of Bertini theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Smoothings  $X_t$  of Gorenstein Calabi–Yau  $X_0$  are Calabi–Yau varieties.*

*Proof.* 2.9 implies  $h^i(X_t, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  for  $0 < i < \dim X_t$ . Hence by 2.16 and 2.19 we have the trivializations  $K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_0} = K_{X_0} = \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Rightarrow K_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ , so  $K_{X_t} = K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{X_t}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Anticanonical sections  $X_t$  are the deformations of anticanonical sections of  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $Y_0$  is some anticanonical section corresponding to the element  $y_0 \in H^0(X_0, -K_{X_0})$ , then  $Y$  is anticanonical section of  $X$  corresponding to  $y_0 \otimes 1 \in H^0(X_0, -K_{X_0}) \otimes H^0(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) = H^0(\mathcal{X}, -K_{\mathcal{X}})$  (see 2.13), and establishing the required deformation. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}((-m-1)K_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-mK_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-mK_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

vanishings 2.1 and 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13 (with the similar for  $\mathcal{O}(-mK_{\mathcal{X}})$ ) we deduce that Hilbert polynomial  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  does not depend on  $t$ , so the family  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  is flat.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *If there exists a smooth anticanonical section of  $X_0$ , then general anticanonical section of  $X_t$  for general  $t$  is smooth.*

**Corollary 5.5.** *If smooth Fano  $Y$  is a smoothing of Gorenstein toric Fano variety with isolated singularities then there exists a smooth anticanonical section  $S' \in |-K_Y|$ .*

*Proof.* This is a corollary of 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4.  $\square$

For a subvariety  $Z \subset X$  (and divisor  $H$ ) denote by  $I_H^{\tilde{X}}$  the fundamental term of  $I$ -series of  $X$  (with respect to  $H$ ), and by  $I^{X \rightarrow Z}$  — the fundamental term of  $I$ -series of  $Z$  restricted from  $X$  (see [36, 37, 38]). Givental’s theorem [36] compute the  $I$ -series of smooth complete intersection  $Z$  of sections of numerically effective line bundles  $\mathcal{O}(Z_i)$ , when  $Z$  if an almost Fano inside smooth toric  $X$  (the similar statement holds for any smooth complete intersection in singular toric variety as well, see [39]). In particular the  $I$ -series of toric Fano  $X = \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$  of index  $r(Y) > 1$  is equal to the series of constant terms  $\pi_f$  of Laurent polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_{m \in \Delta \cap M} x^m - 1$ . Let  $[1]g$  denote the coefficient at  $1 = x_0$  in Laurent series  $f = g(x)$ . Then  $\pi_f(t) = [1]e^{tf(x)}$ .

Let  $X$  be a small toric degeneration of  $Y$  and  $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be some small crepant resolution.

**Proposition 5.6.**  *$I$ -series for  $I^{Y \rightarrow S'}$  restricted from  $\text{Pic}(Y)$  to  $S'$  is equal to  $I$ -series for  $\tilde{X}$  restricted from  $\text{Im}[\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})]$  to  $\phi^{-1}(S) \cong S$ .*

*Proof.* By 5.1 the general element  $S$  of anticanonical linear system  $|-K_X|$  of Gorenstein Fano  $X$  with isolated terminal singularities is a smooth Calabi–Yau. As we have shown in 5.3, smooth anticanonical sections of  $X$  and its smoothing  $Y$  lie in the same deformation class. Picard group  $\text{Pic } X$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Pic } Y$  by the assumption of smallness. Consider  $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ . Then

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_S}^{\tilde{X} \rightarrow S} = I_{\mathcal{H}_{S'}}^{Y \rightarrow S'}.$$

$\square$

**Example 5.7.** Consider Laurent polynomial

$$f_1 = xyz + x + y + z + x^{-1} + y^{-1} + z^{-1},$$

its Newton polytope  $\Delta = \Delta(f)$ , and the corresponding toric variety  $X = \mathbb{P}(\Delta^{\vee})$ . One can construct variety  $X$  as follows: let  $\tilde{X}$  be a blowup of a point on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ; then  $\tilde{X}$  is almost Fano, but not Fano since the proper transforms of coordinate lines do not intersect  $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ ; the contraction  $X$  of these lines is a Fano variety with 3 nodes — images of contracted curves, and  $\tilde{X}$  is its small crepant resolution. Three ordinary double points of  $X$  correspond to 3 quadrangular faces  $(xyz, x, y, z^{-1})$ ,  $(xyz, x, z, y^{-1})$  and  $(xyz, y, z, x^{-1})$ . Since

$\hat{X} \rightarrow X$  is a small crepant resolution, we have  $\deg(X) = (-K_X)^3 = (-K_{\hat{X}})^3 = (-K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1})^3 - 8 = 40$ . Let  $Y$  be a Fano smoothing of  $X$ . Consider a Laurent polynomial with Newton polytope  $\Delta$ :

$$f_a = \sum a_m x^m = a_{xyz}xyz + a_x x + a_y y + a_z z + a_{x^{-1}}x^{-1} + a_{y^{-1}}y^{-1} + a_{z^{-1}}z^{-1}.$$

It corresponds to the divisor  $\sum b_m D_m \in \text{Pic}(\hat{X}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $a_m = \exp 2\pi i b_m$ . This divisor is a pullback of Cartier divisor on  $X$ , if its coefficients satisfy 3 conditions of local principality

$$\begin{aligned} b_{xyz} + b_{x^{-1}} &= b_y + b_z, \\ b_{xyz} + b_{y^{-1}} &= b_x + b_y, \\ b_{xyz} + b_{z^{-1}} &= b_x + b_z. \end{aligned}$$

Principal divisors are

$$(b_x + b_y + b_z)xyz + b_x x + b_y y + b_z z - b_x x^{-1} - b_y y^{-1} - b_z z^{-1}.$$

$X$  has index 2, and its Picard group is generated by  $-D_{xyz} + D_{x^{-1}} + D_{y^{-1}} + D_{z^{-1}}$ . Modulo principal divisors the Laurent polynomial corresponding to  $\alpha$ -multiple of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is equal to  $f_t = t(xyz + x + y + z + x^{-1} + y^{-1} + z^{-1})$ ,  $t = \exp \pi i \alpha$ .

By the virtue of [36]  $I_{-K_{\hat{X}},1}^{\hat{X}}(t) = \pi_{f+\alpha}(t)$ , i.e.  $I$ -series of  $\hat{X}$  is equal to  $\pi_{f_1}$  up to renormalization<sup>10</sup>. Let us compute  $\pi_{f_1}$ . The products of monomials  $\prod_{n_i} (x^{m_i})^{n_i}$  gives a nonzero summand to the series of constant terms if  $\sum n_i m_i = 0$ ; in our case put  $n_{xyz} = d$ ,  $n_x = a$ ,  $n_y = b$ ,  $n_z = c$ . Then  $n_{x^{-1}} = a + d$ ,  $n_{y^{-1}} = b + d$ ,  $n_{z^{-1}} = c + d$ . Hence

$$\pi_{f_1} = \sum_{a,b,c,d \geq 0} \frac{(2a + 2b + 2c + 4d)!}{a!b!c!d!(a+d)!(b+d)!(c+d)!} t^{2a+2b+2c+4d} = 1 + 6t^2 + 114t^4 + 2940t^6 + 87570t^8 + \dots \quad (5.8)$$

By 5.5 general anticanonical section  $S' \in |-K_Y|$  is smooth. Applying the proposition 5.6, we conclude that the restricted from  $Y$  regularized  $I$ -series  $I_{-K_{Y'},1}^{Y \rightarrow S'}$  for smooth anticanonical section of  $Y$  is equal to  $\pi_f$ .

Therefore we computed the  $I$ -series for the smoothing  $Y$  of  $X$  not using the geometry of  $Y$ . It is easy to check that  $Y$  is a Fano variety  $B_5$ , because it is unique Fano threefold with invariants  $(\rho, r, \deg, b) = (1, 2, 40, 0)$ . Since  $B_5$  is a section of Grassmannian  $G(2, 5)$  by three hyperplanes, its  $I$ -series may be computed by applying the quantum Lefschetz formula [37] to the  $I$ -series of  $G(2, 5)$  provided in [6, 40]:

$$I_{G(2,5)} = \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{t^d}{(d!)^2} \sum_{d \geq j_2 \geq j_1 \geq j_0 = 0} \frac{1}{(d-j_2)! \prod_{i=2}^3 ((d-j_{i-1})!(j_{i-1}-j_{i-2})!j_{i-1}!)}.$$

Applying quantum Lefschetz to the  $I$ -series of Grassmannian  $I_{G(2,5)}$  one indeed deduces is 5.8.

## 6 Generalizations

Unfortunately only half of non-toric Fano threefolds are smoothings of nodal toric. In particular the only one Fano threefold of principal series admit a small toric degeneration (it is the variety  $V_{22}$ ). Note that for nodal toric varieties it is easy to prove projective normality and the smoothness of general anticanonical section, and one can show these properties holds for the smoothing as well (as in 5.3). All the smoothings  $Y$  we obtained are rational. The same method could be applied to obtain more general class of smoothings, if we consider not only the toric varieties, but also complete intersections inside them (with Gorenstein terminal singularities) — these varieties also admit a smoothing (2.23), and there are similar relations between invariants of the smoothing and the degeneration, and it is not so hard to compute the cohomology of such varieties [41], Hilbert polynomial, and Gromov–Witten theory.

But birational class of complete intersection in toric variety is arbitrary. Many of non-degenerating to nodal toric threefolds are themselves the complete intersections in weighted projective spaces. Batyrev and Kreuzer found all nodal half-anticanonical hypersurfaces in toric fourfolds of index 2: there are around 160 of them, and 100 are cones over the toric varieties studied in this paper, the remaining 60 cases cover almost all non-degenerating to toric Fano varieties.

Another direction for generalizations is toric varieties with arbitrary Gorenstein singularities. For a pair of nonterminal Gorenstein toric Fano threefolds  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta_{16})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta_{18})$  Przyjalkowski [38] constructed a pair of Laurent polynomials  $f_{16}$ ,  $f_{18}$  with Newton polytopes coinciding with the corresponding fan polytopes  $\Delta_{16}$ ,  $\Delta_{18}$  such that these polynomials are Landau–Ginzburg models mirror symmetric to Fano varieties of principal series  $V_{16}$  and  $V_{18}$ ; so it is possible that toric degenerations method works for a larger class of singularities (all Gorenstein?), although we do not know if the pairs  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta_{16}, V_{16})$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta_{18}, V_{18})$  are the degenerations.

<sup>10</sup>As we will show below index of  $\hat{X}$  and  $X$  is equal to 2, hence renormalization is trivial:  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} = t$ .

## Acknowledgement

Author thanks V. Iskovskikh and V. Golyshev for the interest in this work, C. Shramov for the support during the preparation of this paper, Yu. Prokhorov and D. van Straten for useful discussions, and A. Kuznetsov for a lot of provided improvements.

## References

- [1] V. V. Batyrev, *Quantum Cohomology Rings of Toric Manifolds*, [arXiv:alg-geom/9310004](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9310004).
- [2] V. V. Batyrev. *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 3:493–535 (1994). Also [arXiv:alg-geom/9310003](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9310003).
- [3] A. B. Givental. *Homological geometry and mirror symmetry*. In *Proceedings of the ICM, Zürich 1994*, volume 1, pages 472 – 480. Birkhäuser (1995). Also <http://math.berkeley.edu/~giventh/papers/hg.pdf>.
- [4] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polyhedra* American Mathematical Society, 8, 1996.
- [5] N. Gonciulea, V. Lakshmibai, *Schubert varieties, toric varieties and ladder determinantal varieties*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, t.47:1013 – 1064 (1997), <http://www.math.neu.edu/~lakshmibai/mega.pdf>.
- [6] V. V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, D. van Straten, *Conifold transitions and mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau complete intersections in Grassmannians*, [arXiv:alg-geom/9710022](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9710022).
- [7] V. V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, D. van Straten, *Mirror Symmetry and Toric Degenerations of Partial Flag Manifolds*, *Acta Math.* 184, No. 1 (2000), 1–39. Also [arXiv:math.AG/9803108](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9803108).
- [8] V. V. Batyrev, *Toric Degenerations of Fano Varieties and Constructing Mirror Manifolds*, Collino, Alberto (ed.) et al., *The Fano conference. Papers of the conference, organized to commemorate the 50th anniversary of the death of Gino Fano (1871–1952)*, Torino, Italy, September 29–October 5, 2002. Torino: Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Matematica. 109–122 (2004). Also [arXiv:alg-geom/9712034](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9712034).
- [9] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Anticanonical models of algebraic threefolds* (Russian), *Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat.* 12, 59–157 (1979).
- [10] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with  $b_2 \geq 2$* , *Manuscr. Math.*, **36**:147–162 (1981). Erratum **110**: 407 (2003).
- [11] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *On Fano 3-folds with  $b_2 \geq 2$* , *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties, Proc. Symp., Tokyo 1981, Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 1:101–129 (1983), <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~mukai/paper/Fano1983.pdf>
- [12] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with  $B_2 > 2$ , I*, ‘Algebraic and Topological Theories – to the memory of Dr. Takehiko Miyata’, (M. Nagata ed.), Kinokuniya, 496–545 (1985). <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~mukai/paper/Fano1985.pdf>.
- [13] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Lectures on three-dimensional algebraic varieties. Fano varieties* (Russian), Moskva: Izdatel’stvo Moskovskogo Universiteta. 164 p. R. 0.30 (1988).
- [14] S. Mukai, *Fano 3-folds*, *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* 179, 255–263 (1992).
- [15] V. A. Iskovskikh, Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano Varieties*, volume 47 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [16] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, *Algebraic Geom.*, Sendai, June 24–29, 1985: Symp. Tokyo; Amsterdam e.a.1987 p. 283–360.
- [17] M. Reid, *Young person’s guide to canonical singularities*, In *Algebraic Geometry Bowdoin 1985*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **46** (1987).
- [18] B. Nill, *Gorenstein toric Fano varieties*, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 116:183 (2005).
- [19] B. Nill, PhD Thesis, available at <http://w210.ub.uni-tuebingen.de/dbt/volltexte/2005/1888/pdf/nill.pdf>.
- [20] V. I. Danilov, *Geometry of toric varieties* (English. Russian original), *Russ. Math. Surv.* 33, No.2, 97–154 (1978); translation from *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 33, No.2(200), 85–134 (1978).
- [21] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1993).
- [22] H. Clemens, *Degeneration of Kähler manifolds*, *Duke Math. J.* Volume 44, Number 2 (1977), 215–290.
- [23] V. S. Kulikov; P. F. Kurchanov *Complex algebraic varieties: Periods of integrals and Hodge structures* (English. Russian original), *Algebraic Geometry III. Encycl. Math. Sci.* 36, 1-217 (1998); translation from *Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya* 36, 5–231 (1989).

- [24] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 77, CUP, 2003.
- [25] P. Deligne, *Theoreme de Lefschetz et criteres de degenerescence de suites spectrales*, Publications Mathematiques de l’IHES, 35 (1968), p. 107–126
- [26] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Corr. 3rd printing. (English) Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52. New York–Heidelberg–Berlin: Springer–Verlag. XVI, 496 p. DM 64.00.
- [27] Y. Kawamata, *Deformations of canonical singularities*, J. Am. Math. Soc. 12, No.1 (1999), 85–92. Also [arXiv:alg-geom/9712018](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9712018).
- [28] R. Friedman, *Simultaneous resolutions of threefold double points.*, *Math. Ann.*, 274(4):671–689 (1986).
- [29] Y. Namikawa, *Smoothing Fano 3-folds.*, *J Alg. Geom.*, 6:307–324 (1997).
- [30] P. Jahnke, I. Radloff, *Terminal Fano threefolds and their smoothings*, [arXiv:math/0601769](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601769).
- [31] Y. Namikawa, J. Steenbrink *Global smoothing of Calabi–Yau threefolds*, *Invent. Math.* 122 (1995), no. 2, 403–419.
- [32] M. Reid, *The moduli space of 3-folds with  $K = 0$  may nevertheless be irreducible*, *Math. Ann.*, 278:329–334 (1987).
- [33] M. Kreuzer, H. Skarke, *PALP: A Package for Analyzing Lattice Polytopes with Applications to Toric Geometry*, *Computer Physics Communications*, 157:87 (2004). Also [arXiv:math/0204356](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0204356).
- [34] M. Kreuzer, H. Skarke, *Classification of reflexive polyhedra in three dimensions*. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2:847 (1998). Also [arXiv:hep-th/9805190](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9805190).
- [35] A. Kasprzyk, *Toric Fano 3-folds with terminal singularities*, *Tohoku Math. J.*, Volume 58, Number 1 (2006), 101–121. Also [arXiv:math/0311284](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0311284).
- [36] A. B. Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, Kashiwara, Masaki (ed.) et al., Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics. Proceedings of the 38th Taniguchi symposium, Kyoto, Japan, December 9–13, 1996 Boston, MA: Birkhäuser. Prog. Math. 160, 141–175. Also [arXiv:alg-geom/9701016](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9701016).
- [37] A. Gathmann, *Absolute and relative Gromov–Witten invariants of very ample hypersurfaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 115, No. 2 (2002), 171–203. Also [arXiv:math.AG/0009190](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0009190).
- [38] V. Przyjalkowski, *On Landau–Ginzburg models for Fano varieties*, *Comm. Num. Th. Phys.*, to appear. Also [arXiv:0707.3758](https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3758).
- [39] V. Przyjalkowski, *Quantum cohomology of smooth complete intersections in weighted projective spaces and singular toric varieties*, [arXiv:math/0507232](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507232).
- [40] A. Bertram, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Two Proofs of a Conjecture of Hori and Vafa*, *Duke Math. J.* 126, No. 1 (2005), 101–136. Also [arXiv:math.AG/0304403](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0304403).
- [41] V. I. Danilov, A. G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge–Deligne numbers*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 1986, 50:5, 925–945.

**Author’s remark about this version of the article.**

This paper is a part of my PhD thesis titled “Toric degenerations of Fano manifolds” that was defended on April 3, 2008 at Steklov Mathematical Institute. The text of the thesis and a brief summary (both in Russian) are available at [1] and [2]. Original version of this paper was written in Russian and is available at [3]. I translated this text to English in Fall 2008 during my visit to Johannes Gutenberg University in Mainz, and since then first English version of this text is available at [4]. Unfortunately I have lost the TeX-file of the English version soon after, and all my attempts to upload PDF-file to [arXiv](https://arxiv.org/) failed. In 2012 I have made a 4-page-long English version of this paper omitting all proofs, it was available at [5].

In 2015 Egor Yasinsky re-TeXified this paper, based on Russian TeX-file, English PDF-file and a short English TeX-file. Since then [5] corresponds to the new version. I am greatly indebted to Egor: if not him, this paper would not be on [arXiv](https://arxiv.org/).

I used this opportunity to fix some of the most obvious typos and to make the layout a little bit prettier and easier to read. In this version section “Introduction” is not numbered, so numeration of Sections and the respective propositions is shifted by one. Apart from that, this version of the paper is almost the same as 2008 version. In the passed ten years main result has found various applications and generalizations that are not mentioned in the last two sections, I might update them in the next version. All the remaining mistakes and typos are due to myself.

Sergey Galkin, 2018

- [1] <http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/papers/disser.pdf> [2] <http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/papers/auto.pdf>
- [3] [http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/papers/term\\_def.pdf](http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/papers/term_def.pdf) [4] <http://www.mi.ras.ru/~galkin/work/3a.pdf>
- [5] <http://research.ipmu.jp/ipmu/sysimg/ipmu/893.pdf>