

B^* -algebras over ultranormed fields.

S.V. Ludkowski.

17 May 2018

Abstract

This article is devoted to the investigation of B^* -algebras, dual and annihilator ultranormed algebras. Their structure is studied in the paper. Extensions of algebras and fields are considered and using them core radicals and radicals are investigated. Moreover, for this purpose also $*$ -algebras and finely regular algebras are studied. Relations with operator theory and realizations of these algebras by operator algebras are outlined.

1

1 Introduction.

Algebras and operator algebras over the real field \mathbf{R} and the complex field \mathbf{C} were intensively studied. They have found many-sided applications. For them a lot of results already was obtained (see, for example, [6, 14, 19, 25] and references therein). Among them dual algebras and annihilator algebras play very important role. But for such algebras over ultranormed fields comparatively little is known because of their specific features and additional difficulties arising from structure of fields [1, 12, 16, 17, 20, 22, 31, 38].

Many results in the classical case use the fact that the real field \mathbf{R} has the linear ordering compatible with its additive and multiplicative structure

¹key words and phrases: operator; algebra; ideal; infinite dimension; field; ultranorm
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 12J05; 14F30; 16D60; 16E40; 46B28
address: Dep. Appl. Mathematics, Moscow State Techn. Univ. MIREA, av. Vernadskiy
78, Moscow, 119454, Russia
e-mail: sludkowski@mail.ru

and that the complex field \mathbf{C} is algebraically closed and norm complete and locally compact and is the quadratic extension of \mathbf{R} , also that there are not any other commutative fields with archimedean multiplicative norms and complete relative to their norms besides these two fields.

For comparison, in the non-archimedean case the algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{Q}_p of p -adic numbers is not locally compact. Each ultranormed field can be embedded into a larger ultranormed field. There is not any ordering of an infinite ultranormed field such as \mathbf{Q}_p , \mathbf{C}_p or $\mathbf{F}_p(t)$ compatible with its algebraic structure.

In their turn, non-archimedean analysis, functional analysis and representations theory of groups over non-archimedean fields develop fast in recent years [30, 31, 32, 33, 11, 23, 24]. This is motivated not only by needs of mathematics, but also their applications in other sciences such as physics, quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, informatics, etc. (see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 13, 18, 29, 36, 37] and references therein).

This article is devoted to ultranormed B^* -algebras, dual algebras and annihilator algebras over non-archimedean fields. Their structure is studied in the paper. Extensions of algebras and fields are considered and using them core radicals and radicals are investigated. Moreover, for this purpose also $*$ -algebras and finely regular algebras are studied. Theorems about idempotents of algebras and their orthogonality are proven. Division subalgebras related with idempotents are investigated. Relations with operator theory and realizations of these algebras by operator algebras are outlined. Then B^* -algebras are defined and their properties studied. Theorems about their embeddings into operator algebras are proved.

All main results of this paper are obtained for the first time. They can be used for further studies of ultranormed algebras and operator algebras on non-archimedean Banach spaces, their cohomologies, spectral theory of operators, the representation theory of groups, algebraic geometry, PDE, applications in the sciences, etc.

2 Ultranormed algebras and $*$ -algebras.

To avoid misunderstandings we first give our definitions and notations.

1. Notation. Let F be an infinite field supplied with a multiplicative non-trivial ultranorm $|\cdot|_F$ relative to which it is complete, so that F is non-

discrete and $\Gamma_F \subset (0, \infty) = \{r \in \mathbf{R} : 0 < r < \infty\}$, where $\Gamma_F := \{|x|_F : x \in F \setminus \{0\}\}$, whilst as usually $|x|_F = 0$ if and only of $x = 0$ in F , also $|x + y|_F \leq \max(|x|_F, |y|_F)$ and $|xy|_F = |x|_F|y|_F$ for each x and y in F . We consider fields with multiplicative ultranorms if something other will not be specified.

If F is such a field, we denote by $E_n(F)$ the class containing F and all ultranormed field extensions G of F so that these G are norm complete and $|\cdot|_G|_F = |\cdot|_F$. By E_n we denote the class of all infinite non-trivially ultranormed fields F which are norm complete.

Henceforward, the terminology is adopted that a commutative field is called shortly a field, while a noncommutative field is called a skew field or a division algebra.

2. Definitions. By $c_0(\alpha, F)$ is denoted a Banach space consisting of all vectors $x = (x_j : \forall j \in \alpha \ x_j \in F)$ satisfying the condition

$$\text{card}\{j \in \alpha : |x_j| > \epsilon\} < \aleph_0 \text{ for each } \epsilon > 0$$

and furnished with the norm

$$(1) |x| = \sup_{j \in \alpha} |x_j|,$$

where α is a set. For locally convex spaces X and Y over F the family of all linear continuous operators $A : X \rightarrow Y$ we denote by $L(X, Y)$. For normed spaces X and Y the linear space $L(X, Y)$ is supplied with the operator norm

$$(2) |A| := \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} |Ax|/|x|.$$

For locally convex spaces X and Y over F the space $L(X, Y)$ is furnished with a topology induced by a family of semi-norms

$$(3) |A|_{p,q} := \sup_{x \in X, p(x) > 0} q(Ax)/p(x)$$

for all continuous semi-norms p on X and q on Y .

Speaking about Banach spaces and Banach algebras we undermine that a field over which it is defined is ultranorm complete.

If $X = c_0(\alpha, F)$, then to each $A \in L(X, X)$ an infinite matrix $(A_{i,j} : i \in \alpha, j \in \alpha)$ corresponds in the standard basis $\{e_j : j \in \alpha\}$ of X , where

$$(4) x = \sum_j x_j e_j$$

for each $x \in X = c_0(\alpha, F)$.

For a subalgebra V of $L(X, X)$ an operation $B \mapsto B^t$ from V into $L(X, X)$ will be called a transposition operation if it is induced by that of its infinite matrix such that $(aA + bB)^t = aA^t + bB^t$ and $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$ and $(A^t)^t = A$ for every A and B in V and a and b in F , that is $(A^t)_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$ for each i and j in α . Then $V^t := \{A : A = B^t, B \in V\}$.

An operator A in $L(X, X)$ is called symmetric if $A^t = A$.

By $L_0(X, X)$ is denoted the family of all continuous linear operators $U : X \rightarrow X$ matrices $(U_{i,j} : i \in \alpha, j \in \alpha)$ of which fulfill the conditions

$$(5) \forall i \exists \lim_j U_{j,i} = 0 \text{ and } \forall j \exists \lim_i U_{j,i} = 0.$$

For an algebra A over F , $F \in E_n$, it is supposed that an ultranorm $|\cdot|_A$ on A satisfies the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &|a|_A \in (\Gamma_F \cup \{0\}) \text{ for each } a \in A, \text{ also} \\ &|a|_A = 0 \text{ if and only if } a = 0 \text{ in } A, \\ &|ta|_A = |t|_F |a|_A \text{ for each } a \in A \text{ and } t \in F, \\ &|a + b|_A \leq \max(|a|_A, |b|_A) \text{ and} \\ &|ab|_A \leq |a|_A |b|_A \text{ for each } a \text{ and } b \text{ in } A. \end{aligned}$$

For short it also will be written $|\cdot|$ instead of $|\cdot|_F$ or $|\cdot|_A$.

3. Theorem. *Let V be a subalgebra in $L(X, X)$ such that $V^t = V$. Then J is a left or right ideal in V if and only if J^t is a right or left respectively ideal in V .*

Proof. For each A and B in V we get $(AB^t)^t = BA^t$ and $B^t \in V$ and $A^t \in V$, since $V^t = V$. Therefore, for a right ideal J we deduce that $\forall A \in J \forall B \in V (AB^t \in J) \Leftrightarrow (BA^t \in J^t)$. Moreover, $\forall B \in V \exists U \in V U^t = B$. The similar proof is for a left ideal J .

4. Theorem. *Let $X = c_0(\alpha, F)$, where $F \in E_n$. Then the class $L_c(X, X)$ of all compact operators $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a closed ideal in $L(X, X)$, also $L_{t,c}(X, X) := \{A : A \in L_c(X, X) \ \& \ A^t \in L_c(X, X)\}$ is a closed ideal in $L_0(X, X)$.*

Proof. By the definition of a compact operator $T \in L_c(X, X)$ if and only if for the closed unit ball B (of radius 1 and with $0 \in B$) in X its image TB is a compactoid in X (see Ch. 4 in [31]). Therefore, if $A \in L(X, X)$, then AB is bounded and convex in X , consequently, $TA \in L_c(X, X)$. On the other hand, if C is a compactoid in X , then AC is a compactoid in X , hence $AT \in L_c(X, X)$. Thus $L_c(X, X)$ is the ideal in $L(X, X)$.

Suppose that T_n is a fundamental sequence in $L_c(X, X)$ relative to the operator norm topology. Then its limit $T = \lim_n T_n$ exists in $L(X, X)$, since $L(X, X)$ is complete relative to the operator norm topology. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $|T - T_n| < \epsilon$ for each $n > m$. Since T_n is the compact operator, there exists a finite set a_1, \dots, a_l in X such that $(T_n B) \subseteq B(X, 0, \epsilon) + \overline{Co}(a_1, \dots, a_l)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &Co(a_1, \dots, a_l) = \{x \in X : x = t_1 a_1 + \dots + t_l a_l, t_1 \in B(F, 0, 1), \\ &\dots, t_l \in B(F, 0, 1)\} \text{ and } B(X, y, r) := \{z \in X : |z - y| \leq r\}, \end{aligned}$$

$0 < r$, \bar{U} denotes the closure of a set U in a topological space. Therefore,

if $x \in TB$, then there exists $y \in T_n B$ such that $|x - y| < \epsilon$, consequently, $x \in B(X, 0, \epsilon) + \overline{Co}(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ due to the ultrametric inequality, hence

$$TB \subseteq B(X, 0, \epsilon) + \overline{Co}(a_1, \dots, a_l).$$

This means that the operator T is compact. Thus $L_c(X, X)$ is closed in $L(X, X)$.

The mapping $U \mapsto U^t$ is continuous from $L(X, X)$ into $L(X, X)$, since $|U| = |U^t| = \sup_{i \in \alpha, j \in \alpha} |U_{i,j}|$ for each $U \in L(X, X)$. In view of Theorem 4.39 in [31] for each $A \in L_{t,c}(X, X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ operators S and R in $L(X, X)$ exist such that SX and RX are finite dimensional spaces over F and $|A - S| < \epsilon$ and $|A^t - R| < \epsilon$. Therefore, $L_{t,c}(X, X) \subset L_0(X, X)$ and $L_{t,c}(X, X)$ is the ideal in $L_0(X, X)$. On the other hand, $L_0(X, X)$ is closed in $L(X, X)$, consequently, $L_{t,c}(X, X)$ is closed in $L_0(X, X)$.

5. Definition. Suppose that F is an infinite field with a nontrivial non-archimedean norm such that F is norm complete, $F \in E_n$ and of the characteristic $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ and $B_2 = B_2(F)$ is the commutative associative algebra with one generator i_1 such that $i_1^2 = -1$ and with the involution $(vi_1)^* = -vi_1$ for each $v \in F$. Let A be a subalgebra in $L(X, X)$ such that A is also a two-sided B_2 -module, where $X = c_0(\alpha, F)$ is the Banach space over F , α is a set. We say that A is a $*$ -algebra if there is

(1) a continuous bijective surjective F -linear operator $\mathcal{I} : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(2) \mathcal{I}(ab) = (\mathcal{I}b)(\mathcal{I}a) \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \mathcal{I}(ga) = (\mathcal{I}a)g^* \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(ag) = g^*(\mathcal{I}a)$$

$$(4) \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}a = a$$

$$(5) (\theta(y))(ax) = (\theta((\mathcal{I}a)y))(x)$$

for every a and b in A and $g \in B_2$ and x and y in X , where $\theta : X \hookrightarrow X'$ is the canonical embedding of X into the topological dual space X' so that $\theta(y)x = \sum_{j \in \alpha} y_j x_j$. For short we can write a^* instead of $\mathcal{I}a$. The mapping \mathcal{I} we call the involution. An element $a \in A$ we call self-adjoint if $a = a^*$.

6. Lemma. Let A be a subalgebra of $L(X, X)$ with transposition and $A^t = A$, where $X = c_0(\alpha, F)$, $F \in E_n$, $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$. Then the minimal $*$ -algebra K generated by A and B_2 has an embedding ψ into $L(U, U)$ such that $\psi(B_2)$ is contained in the center $Z(K)$ of K , where $U = X \oplus X$.

Proof. We put $\psi(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $\psi(ai_1) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\psi(a))^* := \begin{pmatrix} a^t & 0 \\ 0 & a^t \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\psi(ai_1))^* := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a^t \\ a^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for each $a \in A$, since $a^t \in A$. Therefore, the minimal algebra containing $\psi(A)$ and $\psi(Ai_1)$ is the $*$ -subalgebra in $L(U, U)$. Then

$(\psi(i_1))^2 = -I_U$ and $\psi(i_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_X \\ -I_X & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where I_X is the unit operator on X . Thus $\psi(ai_1) = \psi(a)\psi(i_1) = \psi(i_1)\psi(a) = \psi(i_1a)$ for each $a \in A$ and hence $\psi(B_2) \subset Z(K)$, where $Z(K)$ denotes the center of the algebra K .

7. Lemma. *Let A be a $*$ -algebra over F (see Definition 5), then each element $a \in A$ has the decomposition $a = a_0 + a_1i_1$ with $a_0^* = a_0$ and $a_1^* = a_1$ in A .*

Proof. Put $a_0 = (a + a^*)/2$, $a_1 = (ai_1^* + i_1a^*)/2$, since $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$. Then a_0 and a_1 are in A , since A is the two-sided B_2 -module and $a^* \in A$ and $1 \in B_2$ and $i_1 \in B_2$ and $i_1^* = -i_1$. The algebra A is associative. Therefore, $a_j^* = a_j$ and $(i_1a_j)^*i_1 = a_j^*i_1^*i_1 = a_j = i_1(a_ji_1)^*$ for $j = 0$ and $j = 1$.

Consider the particular case:

if $a = a^*$, then $a_0 = a$ and $(a_1i_1)^* = (a + i_1ai_1)^*/2 = a_1i_1$.

The latter together with $a_1^* = a_1$ implies that $-i_1a_1 = a_1i_1$ if $a = a^*$. On the other hand, $a = 2a_1i_1 - i_1ai_1$ and $a^* = -2a_1i_1 - i_1ai_1$ if $a = a^*$. Thus $4a_1i_1 = 0$ and hence $a_1 = 0$, that is, $ai_1 = i_1a$ if $a = a^*$, since $a_1 = a_1i_1i_1^*$ and $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$. This implies that $a_1i_1 = i_1a_1$ for each $a \in A$, consequently, the decomposition is valid $a = a_0 + a_1i_1$ with the self-adjoint elements $a_0^* = a_0$ and $a_1^* = a_1$ in A .

3 Dual and annihilator ultranormed algebras.

1. Definition. Let A be a topological algebra over a field F and let S be a subset of A . The left annihilator is defined by $L(A, S) := \{x \in A : xS = 0\}$ and the right annihilator is $R(A, S) := \{x \in A : Sx = 0\}$, shortly they also will be denoted by $A_l(S) := L(A, S)$ and $A_r(S) := R(A, S)$.

2. Definition. An algebra A is called an annihilator algebra if conditions (1 – 3) are fulfilled:

- (1) $A_l(A) = A_r(A) = 0$ and
- (2) $A_l(J_r) \neq 0$ and
- (3) $A_r(J_l) \neq 0$

for all closed right J_r and left J_l ideals in A .

If for all closed (proper or improper) left J_l and right J_r ideals in A

- (4) $A_l(A_r(J_l)) = J_l$ and
- (5) $A_r(A_l(J_r)) = J_r$

then A is called a dual algebra.

If A is a $*$ -algebra (see Definitions 2.5) and for each $x \in A$ elements $a \in A$ and $a_1 \in A$ exist such that an ultranorm on A for these elements satisfies the following conditions

$$(6) \quad |axx^*a_1^*| = |x|^2 \text{ and } |a||a_1^*| \leq 1,$$

then the algebra A is called finely regular.

3. Theorem. *If A is an ultranormed annihilator finely regular Banach algebra, then A is dual.*

Proof. Consider arbitrary $x \in A$ and take elements $a \in A$ and $a_1 \in A$ fulfilling conditions 2(6), then $|x|^2 = |axx^*a_1^*| \leq |a||x||x^*||a_1^*| \leq |x||x^*|$, hence $|x| \leq |x^*|$. Substituting x by x^* we deduce analogously that $|x^*| \leq |x|$, consequently, $|x| = |x^*|$.

For a closed left ideal J_l in A if $x \in J_l \cap (A_r(J_l))^*$, then $xx^* = 0$, consequently, $x = 0$ by Formula 2(6) and hence $J_l \cap (A_r(J_l))^* = 0$. Then $V_l := J_l \oplus (A_r(J_l))^*$ is a left ideal in A , since $A_r(J_l)$ is the closed right ideal in A and $(A_r(J_l))^*$ is the closed left ideal in A .

For an arbitrary $x \in V_l$ there exist elements $y \in J_l$ and $z \in (A_r(J_l))^*$ such that $x = y + z$. Therefore, $xz^* = zz^*$ and $xy^* = yy^*$. Using conditions 2(6) we choose elements $a \in A$, $a_1 \in A$, $b \in A$ and $b_1 \in A$ with $|a||a_1^*| \leq 1$ and $|b||b_1^*| \leq 1$ such that $|azz^*a_1^*| = |z|^2$ and $|byy^*b_1^*| = |y|^2$ and hence $|x||z^*| \geq |a||x||z^*||a_1^*| \geq |axz^*a_1^*| = |azz^*a_1^*| = |z|^2$ and $|x||y^*| \geq |b||x||y^*||b_1^*| \geq |bxy^*b_1^*| = |byy^*b_1^*| = |y|^2$. Therefore, $|x| \geq |z|$ and $|x| \geq |y|$. Thus V_l is the closed left ideal in A .

From Condition 2(3) it follows that a nonzero element $a \in A$ exists such that $V_l a = (0)$, consequently, $J_l a = (0)$ and $(A_r(J_l))^* a = (0)$. Then from the inclusion $a \in A_r(J_l)$ and hence $a^* \in (A_r(J_l))^*$ it follows that $a^* a = 0$. The latter contradicts the supposition that the algebra A is completely regular. Thus $V_l = A$ and analogously for each closed right ideal J_r in A the equality $A = V_r$ is valid, where $V_r = J_r \oplus (A_l(J_r))^*$.

Particularly, for $J_r = A_r(J_l)$ it implies that $A = A_r(J_l) \oplus (A_l(A_r(J_l)))^*$. The involution of both sides of the latter equality gives $A = (A_r(J_l))^* \oplus A_l(A_r(J_l))$, since $J_l \subseteq A_l(A_r(J_l))$. Thus $J_l = A_l(A_r(J_l))$ for each closed left ideal J_l in A and the involution leads to the equality $J_r = A_r(A_l(J_r))$ for each closed right ideal J_r in A . Thus conditions 2(4, 5) are fulfilled.

4. Definition. If idempotents w_1 and w_2 of an algebra A satisfy the conditions $w_1 w_2 = 0$ and $w_2 w_1 = 0$, then it is said that they are orthogonal. A family $\{w_j : j\}$ of idempotents is said to be orthogonal, if and only if every two distinct of them are orthogonal. An idempotent p is called irreducible,

if it can not be written as the sum of two mutually orthogonal idempotents.

5. Definition. For two Banach algebras A and B over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$, we consider the completion $A \hat{\otimes}_F B$ relative to the projective tensor product topology (see [28, 31]) of the tensor product $A \otimes_F B$ over the field F such that $A \hat{\otimes}_F B$ is also a Banach algebra into which A and B have natural F -linear embeddings π_1 and π_2 .

For a Banach algebra B over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$, and an element $x \in B$ we say that x has a left core quasi-inverse y if for each $H \in E_n(F)$ an element $y \in B_H$ exists satisfying the equality $x + y + yx = 0$, where $B_H = B \hat{\otimes}_F H$. Similarly is defined a right core quasi-inverse. Particularly, if only $H = F$ is considered they are shortly called a left quasi-inverse and a right quasi-inverse correspondingly.

For a unital Banach algebra A over F , where $F \in E_n$, if an element $x \in A$ has the property: for each field extension $G \in E_n(F)$ the left inverse $(1 + yx)_l^{-1}$ exists in A_G for each $y \in A_G$, then we call x a generalized core nil-degree element. The family of all generalized core nil-degree elements of A we call a core radical and denote it by $R_c(A)$.

6. Proposition. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra over F , where $F \in E_n$. Then*

$$R_c(A) = \bigcap \{A \cap J_l : G \in E_n(F) \text{ \& } J_l \text{ is a proper maximal left ideal in } A_G\}.$$

Proof. Consider an element $x \in A$ such that for each $G \in E_n(F)$ (see Subsection 2.1) and each maximal left ideal J_l in A_G the inclusion $x \in J_l$ is valid. If an element $y \in A_G$ is such that $(1 + yx)_l^{-1}$ does not exist, then an element $z = 1 + yx$ belongs to some left ideal J in A_G . Since A_G is the unital algebra, then z belongs to some proper maximal left ideal M such that $J \subset M$. But yx also belongs to M , since x belongs to each maximal left ideal, consequently, $1 = z - yx \in M$. The latter is impossible, since M is the proper left ideal in A_G . This means that the left inverse $(1 + yx)_l^{-1}$ exists for every $G \in E_n(F)$ and $y \in A_G$. Thus x belongs to the core radical.

Vice versa. Let now $x \in R_c(A)$. Suppose the contrary that a field extension $G \in E_n(F)$ and a proper maximal left ideal J_l in A_G exist such that $x \notin J_l$. Consider the set V of all elements $z = b - yx$ with $b \in J_l$ and $y \in A_G$. Evidently V is the left ideal in A_G containing J_l , but J_l is maximal, consequently, $V = A_G$. This implies that $1 = b - yx$ for some $b \in J_l$ and $y \in A_G$. Therefore the element $b = 1 + yx$ has not a left inverse. But this contradicts the supposition made above.

7. Proposition. *Suppose that A is a unital Banach algebra over F ,*

where $F \in E_n$. Then

$$(x \in R_c(A)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall G \in E_n(F) \forall y \in A_G \exists (1+yx)^{-1} \in A_G).$$

Proof. If $\forall G \in E_n(F) \forall y \in A_G \exists (1+yx)^{-1} \in A_G$, then $\forall G \in E_n(F) \forall y \in A_G \exists (1+yx)_l^{-1} \in A_G$, consequently, $x \in R_c(A)$, where as usually $(1+yx)^{-1}$ notates the inverse of $1+yx$.

Vice versa. Let $x \in R_c(A)$. Then by the definition of the core radical $\forall G \in E_n(F) \forall y \in A_G \exists (1+yx)_l^{-1} \in A_G$. For $G \in E_n(F)$ denote by $1+b$ a left inverse of $1+yx$ in A_G , that is $(1+b)(1+yx) = 1$. This implies that $1+yx$ is the right inverse of $1+b$ in A_G and $b = -byx - yx$. From $x \in R_c(A)$ it follows that $b \in R_c(A_G)$, since $x \in J_l$ and hence $y \in J_l$ for each proper maximal left ideal J_l in A_H and each $H \in E_n(G)$. This means that for every $H \in E_n(G)$ and $z \in A_H$ a left inverse $(1+zb)_l^{-1}$ exists in A_H , particularly, for $z = 1$ also. On the other hand, the right inverse is $(1+zb)_r^{-1} = 1+yx$ as it was already proved above. Therefore the inverse (i.e. left and right simultaneously) $(1+b)^{-1} = 1+yx$ exists. Thus $1+b$ is the inverse of $1+yx$ in A_G .

8. Proposition. Let A be a unital Banach algebra over F , where $F \in E_n$. Then

$$R_c(A) = \bigcap \{A \cap J_r : G \in E_n(F) \text{ \& } J_r \text{ is a proper maximal right ideal in } A_G\}.$$

Moreover, $R_c(A)$ is the two-sided ideal in A .

Proof. Consider the class $Q_e(A)$ of all elements $x \in A$ such that for each field extension $G \in E_n(F)$ the right inverse $(1+xy)_r^{-1}$ exists in A_G for each $y \in A_G$. Analogously to the proof of Proposition 6 we infer that

$$Q_e(A) = \bigcap \{J_r : G \in E_n(F) \text{ \& } J_r \text{ is a proper maximal right ideal in } A_G\}.$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 7 we deduce that

$$(x \in Q_e(A)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall G \in E_n(F) \forall y \in A_G \exists (1+xy)^{-1} \in A_G).$$

Suppose that $G \in E_n(F)$, $x \in A$, $y \in A_G$ and the inverse element exists $(1+yx)^{-1} = 1+b$ in A_G . Then $(1+xy)(1-xy-xby) - 1 = -x((1+yx)(1+b) - 1)y = 0$ and $(1-xy-xby)(1+xy) - 1 = -x((1+b)(1+yx) - 1)y = 0$, consequently, $1-xy-xby = (1+xy)^{-1}$. Analogously if the inverse element $(1+yx)^{-1}$ exists, then $(1+xy)^{-1}$ also exists. This implies that $Q_e(A) = R_c(A)$ and hence the core radical is the two-sided ideal in A .

9. Proposition. Suppose that A is a unital Banach algebra over F , where $F \in E_n$. Then an extension field $H = H_F \in E_n(F)$ exists such that $R_c(A) = A \cap R(A_H)$, where $R(A_H)$ denotes the radical of the algebra A_H over H . Moreover, H can be chosen algebraically closed and spherically complete.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary element $x \in A \setminus R_c(A)$. This means that

a field extension $G = G_x \in E_n(F)$ and an element $y \in A_G$ exist such that the element $(1 + yx)$ has not the left inverse in A_G . For the family $\mathcal{G} := \{G_x : x \in A \setminus R_c(A), G_x \in E_n(F)\}$ a field $H = H_F \in E_n(F)$ exists such that $G_x \subseteq H$ for each $x \in A \setminus R_c(A)$ due to Proposition V.3.2.2 [8] and since the multiplicative ultranorm $|\cdot|_F$ can be extended to a multiplicative ultranorm $|\cdot|_H$ on H (see Proposition 5 in Section VI.3.3 [9], Krull's existence theorem 14.1 and Theorem 14.2 in [33] or 3.19 in [31], Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 in [10]).

If H_F is not either algebraically closed or spherically complete, one can take the spherical completion of its algebraic closure \bar{H}_F (see Corollary 3.25, Theorem 4.48 and Corollary 4.51 in [31]). Then also $\bar{H}_F \in E_n(F)$. Denote shortly \bar{H}_F by H .

Therefore, if $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then from $y \in A_G$ it follows that $y \in A_H$. For each $x \in A \setminus R_c(A)$ an element $y \in A_G$ exists such that $A_G(1 + yx)$ is a left proper ideal in A_G , consequently, $A_G(1 + yx)\hat{\otimes}_G H = A_H(1 + yx)$ is a left proper ideal in A_H , since $H \subset Z(A_H)$. Therefore, $(1 + yx)$ has not a left inverse in A_H .

Thus for each $x \in A \setminus R_c(A)$ and $G = G_x \in \mathcal{G}$ and element $y \in A_H$ exists such that $(1 + yx)$ has not a left inverse in A_H . Therefore, $A \cap R(A_H) \subset R_c(A)$. On the other hand, if $x \in R_c(A)$, then $x \in R(A_H)$ according to the definition of $R_c(A)$ in §5. Thus $R_c(A) = A \cap R(A_H)$ for the fields H constructed above.

10. Theorem. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra over F , where $F \in E_n$. Then an extension field $K = K_F \in E_n(F)$ exists such that*

$$(1) R_c(A_K) = R(A_K).$$

Moreover, K can be chosen algebraically closed and spherically complete.

Proof. Put $K_1 = H$, where $H = H_F$ is given by Proposition 9. Then by induction take $K_{n+1} = H_{K_n}$ for each natural number $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. There are isometric embeddings $K_n \hookrightarrow K_{n+1}$ for each n . Let K be the norm completion of $K_\infty := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$, hence $K \in E_n(F)$. In addition each field K_l can be chosen algebraically closed and spherically complete due to Proposition 9. Moreover, it is possible to take as K the spherical completion of the algebraic closure of K_∞ (see Corollary 3.25, Theorem 4.48 and Corollary 4.51 in [31]).

In view of Proposition 9 $R_c(A_{K_l}) = A_{K_l} \cap R(A_{K_{l+1}})$ for each natural number l . Let $x \in R_c(A_K)$, that is for each $G \in E_n(K)$ and $y \in A_K$ a left inverse $(1 + yx)_l^{-1}$ exists in A_K . The algebra $A \otimes_F K_\infty$ over the field K_∞ is everywhere dense in $A_K = A \hat{\otimes}_F K$. Therefore, there exist sequences x_n and y_n in A_K such that $x_n \in A_{K_n}$ and $y_n \in A_{K_n}$ for each n and $\lim_n x_n = x$ and

$\lim_n y_n = y$. Since $(1 + z)$ is invertible in A_K for each $z \in A_K$ with $|z| < 1$, then a natural number m exists such that a left inverse $(1 + y_n x_n)_l^{-1}$ exists for each $n > m$.

From $G \in E_n(K)$ and $K_l \in E_n(F)$, $K_l \subseteq K$ it follows that $G \in E_n(K_l)$ for each $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. On the other hand, an element $y \in A_K$ can be any marked element in particularly belonging to A_{K_l} . Thus $\bigcup_l R_c(A_{K_l})$ is dense in $R_c(A_K)$. Similarly considering $G = K$ one gets that $\bigcup_l R(A_{K_l})$ is dense in $R(A_K)$. Mentioning that $\bigcup_l A_{K_l}$ is dense in $A \otimes_F K_\infty$ one gets that $\bigcup_l A_{K_l}$ is dense in A_K . Therefore, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} R_c(A_K) &= cl_{A_K} \left(\bigcup_l R_c(A_{K_l}) \right) = cl_{A_K} \left(\bigcup_l (A_{K_l} \cap R(A_{K_{l+1}})) \right) \\ &= cl_{A_K} \left(\bigcup_l R(A_{K_{l+1}}) \right) = R(A_K), \end{aligned}$$

where $cl_{A_K} B$ denotes the closure of a subset B , $B \subset A_K$, in A_K .

11. Proposition. *Let A be a Banach algebra over F , $F \in E_n$, also let a field K fulfill Condition 10(1) for A_1 , where $A_1 = A$ if $1 \in A$, while $A_1 = A \oplus 1F$ if $1 \notin A$. Then an element $x \in A_K$ is not core left quasi-invertible if and only if $J_{l,G} := \{z + zx : z \in A_G\}$ is a proper left ideal in A_G for each $G \in E_n(K)$. If so $J_{l,G}$ is a proper regular left ideal in A_G such that $x \notin J_{l,G}$.*

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 10 $R_c(A_{1,K}) = R(A_{1,K})$. Hence for each $G \in E_n(K)$ an element $x \in A_K$ is not core left quasi-invertible in A_G if and only if it does not belong to $R(A_{1,K})$. If $u = y + yx$ and $v = z + zx$ belong to $J_{l,G}$, b and c are in G , where y and z belong to A_G , then $bu + cv = (by + cz) + (by + cz)x$, consequently, $cu + bv \in J_{l,G}$. That is $A_G J_{l,G} \subseteq J_{l,G}$. If $J_{l,G}$ is not a proper left ideal, then $J_{l,G} = A_G$. This implies that an element $z \in A_G$ exists such that $x + zx = -x$. The latter is equivalent to the equality $x + zx + x = 0$. Thus z is a left quasi-inverse of x .

Vise versa if x has a left quasi-inverse in A_G , then $x \in J_{l,G}$, hence $-zx \in J_{l,G}$. Therefore, $z = (z + zx) - zx \in J_{l,G}$ for each $z \in A_G$, consequently, $A_G = J_{l,G}$. Thus if $J_{l,G}$ is a proper left ideal, then $x \notin J_{l,G}$. Mention that the element $w = -x$ is unital modulo the proper left ideal $J_{l,K}$, consequently, this ideal is regular.

12. Proposition. *Suppose that A is a Banach algebra over F , $F \in E_n$, also a field K satisfies Condition 10(1) for A_1 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) an element $x \in A_K$ possesses a left quasi-inverse in A_G for each $G \in E_n(K)$;

(2) for every $G \in E_n(K)$ and a maximal regular proper left ideal $M_{l,G}$ in A_G an element $y \in A_G$ exists such that $x + y + yx \in M_{l,G}$.

Proof. If an element $x \in A_K$ possesses a left quasi-inverse y_G in A_G for each $G \in E_n(K)$, then $x + y_G + y_G x \in M_{l,G}$ for each maximal regular proper left ideal $M_{l,G}$ in A_G due to Theorem 10.

Vise versa suppose that Condition (2) is fulfilled, but x is not left quasi-invertible in A_G for some $G \in E_n(K)$. Then $J_{l,G}$ is a regular proper left ideal in A_G according to Proposition 11. Therefore, a maximal regular proper left ideal $M_{l,G}$ in A_G exists containing $J_{l,G}$. Thus an element $y \in A_G$ exists such that $x + y + yx \in M_{l,G}$. On the other hand, the inclusion $y + yx \in J_{l,G}$ is accomplished, consequently, $y \in M_{l,G}$ and hence $-zx \in M_{l,G}$ for each $z \in A_G$. This implies that $z \in M_{l,G}$ for each $z \in A_G$, since $z = -zx + (z + zx)$. But this leads to the contradiction $A_G = M_{l,G}$. Thus (2) \Rightarrow (1).

13. Proposition. *Suppose that A is a Banach annihilator algebra over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$. Then a field extension K , $K \in E_n(F)$, exists such that if an element $-p \in A_K$ is not core left quasi-invertible, then a nonzero element $x \in A_K \setminus \{0\}$ exist satisfying the equation $px = x$.*

Proof. We take a field K , $K \in E_n(F)$, given by Theorem 10 for a unital algebra $E = A_1$, where $E = A \oplus 1F$ if $1 \notin A$, while $E = A$ if $1 \in A$. Therefore, $R_c(E_K) = R(E_K)$.

By virtue of Proposition 11 $J_{l,K} := \{yp - p : y \in A_K\}$ is a regular proper left ideal in A_K . Since E_K is the unital Banach algebra over K , then it is with continuous inverse. Hence if A is not unital, then A_K is with the continuous quasi-inverse. Mention that an element v is a left quasi-inverse of q in A_K if and only if $1 + v$ is a left inverse of $1 + q$ in E_K .

Therefore, if $1 \notin A$, then a bijective correspondence exists: Q is a left (maximal) ideal of E_K which is not contained entirely in A if and only if $Q \cap A_K$ is a regular (maximal respectively) left ideal of A_K . If $1 \in A$, then each left ideal in A_K is regular.

Recall that a ring B satisfying the identities

(1) $L(B, B) = (0)$ and $R(B, B) = (0)$ is called annihilator, where

(2) $L(B, S) = \{x \in B : xS = (0)\}$ and $R(B, S) = \{x \in B : Sx = (0)\}$

denote a left annihilator and a right annihilator correspondingly of a subset S in B . Thus

(3) $L(A_K, A_K) = (0)$ and $R(A_K, A_K) = (0)$,

since $A_K = A \hat{\otimes}_F K$, since by the conditions of this proposition $L(A, A) = (0)$ and $R(A, A) = (0)$, also A and A_K are Banach algebras. Next we take the closure $cl_{A_K}(J_{l,K})$ of $J_{l,K}$ in A_K . Therefore, $R(A_K, cl_{A_K}(J_{l,K}))$ is not nil, $R(A_K, cl_{A_K}(J_{l,K})) \neq (0)$.

Suppose that x is a nonzero element in $R(A_K, cl_{A_K}(J_{l,K}))$, consequently, $x \in R(A_K, J_{l,K})$.

If $z \in R(A_K, J_{l,K})$, then $y(pz - z) = (yp - y)z = 0$ for each $y \in A_K$. From $L(A_K, A_K) = (0)$ and $R(A_K, A_K) = (0)$ it follows that $pz - z = 0$. Vise versa, if $pz - z = 0$ for some $z \in A_K$, then $(yp - y)z = y(pz - z) = 0$ and hence $z \in R(A_K, J_{l,K})$. Therefore,

$$(4) R(A_K, J_{l,K}) = \{z \in A_K : pz = z\}.$$

Thus $px = x$.

14. Theorem. *Suppose that A is a Banach annihilator algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ such that $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and M_r is a proper maximal closed right ideal in A satisfying the condition $L(A, M_r) \cap R(A) = (0)$. Then $L(A, M_r)$ contains an idempotent p and*

$$(1) L(A, M_r) = Ap \text{ and}$$

$$(2) M_r = \{z - pz : z \in A\}.$$

Proof. A nonzero element b in $L(A, M_r)$ exists, since $L(A, M_r) \neq (0)$, since M_r is a proper right ideal in A . Therefore, $M_r \subset R(A, \{b\}) \neq A$ and consequently,

$$(3) R(A, \{b\}) = M_r,$$

since the right ideal M_r is maximal. The element b does not belong to $R(A)$, since $L(A, M_r) \cap R(A) = (0)$ by the conditions of this theorem.

In view of Theorem 10 and Propositions 11 and 12 a scalar $t \in F$ and an element $y \in A$ exist such that the element $-p = tb + yb$ has not a left quasi-inverse in A_G for each $G \in E_n(F)$. Thus $p \neq 0$ and $p \in L(A, M_r)$. By virtue of Proposition 13 a nonzero element $x \in A \setminus (0)$ exists such that $px = x$, consequently, $(p^2 - p)x = 0$.

Suppose that $p^2 - p$ is not nil, $p^2 - p \neq 0$. We have $p^2 - p \in L(A, M_r)$. Taking $b = p^2 - p$ in (3) one gets $R(A, p^2 - p) = M_r$, consequently, $(p^2 - p)x \in M_r$ and inevitably $x = px = 0$. This leads to the contradiction. Thus $p^2 = p$.

On the other hand, $p \in L(A, M_r)$ and p is not nil. Taking $b = p$ in (3) provides $M_r = R(A, \{p\})$ and $R(A, \{p\}) = \{z - pz : z \in A\}$, since $p(y - py) = py - p^2y = 0$, also if $pz = 0$, then $z = z - pz$. Therefore, $L(A, M_r) = Ap$ due to 13(4) and since p is the idempotent.

15. Corollary. *If conditions of Theorem 14 are fulfilled, then M_r is a*

maximal right ideal and $\mathbf{L}(A, M_r)$ is a minimal left ideal, also pA is a minimal right ideal and $\mathbf{L}(A, pA)$ is a maximal left ideal.

16. Theorem. *Let A be a Banach annihilator algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ such that $R_c(A) = R(A)$, let also J_l be a minimal left (may be closed) ideal which is not contained in $R(A)$, $J_l \setminus R(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then J_l contains an idempotent p for which $J_l = Ap$ and $\mathbf{R}(A, Ap) = \{x - px : x \in A\}$.*

Proof. Take $x \in J_l \setminus R(A)$. From Propositions 11 and 12 it follows that $b \in F$ and $y \in A$ exist such that the element $-p = bx + yx$ has not a left quasi-inverse, consequently, $p \neq 0$.

In view of Proposition 13 an element $v \in A$ exists having the property $pv = v$. Therefore, $Y_l := \{z \in J_l : xv = 0\}$ is a left ideal such that it is contained in J_l and $J_l \neq Y_l$, since $p \in J_l \setminus Y_l$. This ideal Y_l is closed, if J_l is closed. The ideal J_l is minimal, hence $Y_l = (0)$. This implies that $zv \neq 0$ if $z \in J_l \setminus \{0\}$. On the other hand, $p^2 - p \in J_l$ and $(p^2 - p)v = 0$, hence $p^2 - p = 0$. Thus p is the idempotent.

For each $z \in Ap$ the condition $z = zp$ is valid, consequently, Ap is a closed left ideal contained in J_l and hence $Ap = J_l$, since the left ideal J_l is minimal. Therefore, $\mathbf{R}(A, J_l) = \{x - px : x \in A\}$.

17. Lemma. *If A is a Banach annihilator semi-simple algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and J is a left (or right, or two-sided) ideal in A such that $J^2 = (0)$, then $J = (0)$.*

Proof. Suppose that J is a left ideal in A with $J^2 = (0)$. Therefore, $(tx + yx)^2 = 0$ for every $t \in F$, $x \in J$ and $y \in A$, since $tx + yx \in J$. In this case the element $z = tx + yx$ has the left quasi-inverse $-z$. By virtue of Propositions 11 and 12 $x \in R(A)$, since $R_c(A) = R(A)$ by the conditions of this lemma. The algebra A is semi-simple, consequently, $J = (0)$.

For a right ideal or a two-sided ideal the proof is analogous.

18. Lemma. *If A is a Banach annihilator semi-simple algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and J_r is a right minimal ideal in A , then a closed two-sided ideal $Y = Y(J_r)$ generated by J_r is minimal and closed in A .*

Proof. If X is a closed two-sided ideal contained in Y , then $J_r \cap X$ is a right ideal contained in J_r , consequently, either $J_r \cap X = J_r$ or $J_r \cap X = (0)$, since J_r is minimal. If $J_r \cap X = J_r$, then $Y \subset X$, hence $Y = X$.

If $J_r \cap X = (0)$, then $J_r X \subset J_r \cap X = (0)$, consequently, $J_r \subset \mathbf{L}(A, X)$. Then $\mathbf{L}(A, X)$ is the closed two-sided ideal, consequently, $Y \subset \mathbf{L}(A, X)$. Therefore, $X \subset \mathbf{L}(A, X)$ and consequently, $X^2 = (0)$. Applying Lemma

17 we get that $X = (0)$.

Thus Y is minimal.

19. Theorem. *Let A be a Banach annihilator semi-simple algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$. Then the sum of all left (or right) ideals of A is dense in A .*

Proof. Suppose that U is a sum of all minimal right ideals and \bar{U} is its closure in A . If $\bar{U} \neq A$, then \bar{U} is the closed right ideal in A , consequently, a nonzero element y in A exists such that $y\bar{U} = (0)$. This implies that y belongs to all left annihilators of all minimal right ideals and hence it belongs to the intersection V of all maximal left regular ideals. In view of Proposition 3.8 one gets that this intersection is $R_c(A)$. By the conditions of this theorem $R_c(A) = R(A)$, hence V is zero, since A is semi-simple. Thus $y = 0$ providing the contradiction. Thus $\bar{U} = A$.

20. Proposition. *Let conditions of Theorem 19 be fulfilled and let J be a right ideal in A . Then J contains a minimal right ideal and an irreducible idempotent s .*

Proof. Suppose that J does not contain a minimal right ideal and sA is a minimal right ideal for some irreducible idempotent s in A . This implies that $J \cap (sA) = (0)$. Hence for each $a \in A$ either $asA = (0)$ or asA is also a minimal right ideal, consequently, $(asA) \cap J = (0)$ for all $a \in A$ and hence $(as) \cap J = (ass) \cap J \subset (asA) \cap J = (0)$ for all $a \in A$. Thus $(aS) \cap J = (0)$. Therefore $JAs = (0)$, since $JAs \subset (As) \cap J$. This means that $JAs = (0)$ for all minimal left ideals As . In view of Theorem 19 $JA = (0)$, consequently, $J = (0)$.

21. Proposition. *If conditions of Theorem 19 are satisfied and s is an irreducible idempotent in A , then sA and As are minimal right and left ideals correspondingly.*

Proof. Suppose that sA is not minimal. By virtue of Proposition 20 it contains a minimal right ideal rA such that $rA \neq sA$, $rA \subset sA$. Then an element $a \in A$ exists such that $r = sa$, consequently, $rs = sas \in rA$. This implies that t is a nonzero idempotent contained in rA such that the element $t = rs$ satisfies the equalities $st = ts = t$ and $s-t$ is also a nonzero idempotent providing the contradiction, since $s = t + (s-t)$ and $t(s-t) = (s-t)t = 0$, but s is irreducible by the conditions of this proposition. Thus sA is minimal.

22. Proposition. *If conditions of Theorem 19 are satisfied and J is a closed two-sided ideal in A , then $L(A, J) = R(A, J)$ and $J + R(A, J)$ is dense in A .*

Proof. In view of Lemma 17 $J \cap R(A, J) = (0)$, since $J \cap R(A, J) =: V$ is the right ideal possessing the property $V^2 = V$. Therefore, $R(A, J)J = (0)$ and hence $R(A, J) \subset L(A, J)$. Similarly $L(A, J) \subset R(A, J)$, consequently, $L(A, J) = R(A, J)$.

If $J + R(A, J)$ would be not dense in A , then its closure should be a proper ideal in A , consequently, a nonzero element x in A exists such that $(J + R(A, J))x = (0)$. Therefore $J(\alpha x + xy) = (0)$ and $R(A, J)(\alpha x + xy) = (0)$ for each $y \in A$ and $\alpha \in F$, hence $(\alpha x + xy) \in R(A, J)$ and consequently, $(\alpha x + xy)^2 = 0$ for each $y \in A$ and $\alpha \in F$. But in the semi-simple algebra A with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ this is impossible for $x \neq 0$.

23. Proposition. *If conditions of Theorem 19 are met and J is a minimal closed two-sided ideal in A , then J is an annihilator algebra with $R_c(J) = R(J)$. If in addition A is dual, then J is also dual.*

Proof. If $x \in J$ and $Jx = (0)$, then $x = 0$, since $J \cap R(A, J) = (0)$ due to Proposition 22. Analogously if $xJ = (0)$ and $x \in J$, then $x = 0$. Thus $L(A, J) = R(A, J) = (0)$.

If V_l is a closed left ideal in J , then $(J + L(A, J))V_l = JV_l \subset V_l$, hence $AV_l \subset V_l$, since $J + L(A, J)$ is dense in A by Proposition 22. Thus V_l is the closed left ideal in A .

Put $H_l = V_l + R(A, J)$. Then either H_l is dense in A or $R(A, H_l) \neq (0)$. From Lemmas 17, 18 and Proposition 20 one gets $J \cap R(A, H_l) \neq (0)$ and hence $J \cap R(A, V_l) \neq (0)$. Analogously $J \cap L(A, V_r) \neq (0)$ for a closed right ideal V_r in J .

Suppose now that the algebra A is dual. In view of Lemma 17 and Proposition 22 if $x \in J$ and $[L(A, V_r) \cap J]x = (0)$, then $x \in R(A, L(A, V_r) \cap J) = cl_A(R(L(A, V_r)) + R(A, J)) = cl_A(V_r + R(A, J)) = cl_A(V_r + L(A, J))$. Then $(V_r + L(A, J))J = V_r J \subset V_r$, since V_r is a right ideal in J , consequently, $cl_A(V_r + L(A, J))J \subset V_r$, hence $xJ \subset V_r$ and consequently, $L(A, V_r)xJ \subset L(A, V_r)V_r = (0)$. On the other hand, $L(A, V_r)xR(A, J) = (0)$, since $x \in J$, consequently, $L(A, V_r)x(J + R(A, J)) = (0)$. We have that $J + R(A, J)$ is dense in A due to Proposition 22, hence $L(A, V_r)xA = (0)$ and consequently, $L(A, V_r)x \subset L(A, A) = (0)$. From the duality of A it follows that $x \in V_r$. Therefore, $R(J, L(J, V_r)) = V_r$ and similarly $L(J, R(J, V_l)) = V_l$. Thus J is also dual.

24. Theorem. *Let A be a Banach semi-simple annihilator algebra over $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$. Then A is the completion of the direct sum of all its minimal closed two-sided ideals H_k each of which is a simple annihilator*

algebra over F . Moreover, if A is dual, then each H_k is simple and dual.

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 20 each closed minimal two-sided ideal J in A contains a minimal right ideal V_r , hence $J = V_r$ according to Lemma 18. Then the closure $cl_A V_r$ is a closed minimal two-sided ideal for each minimal right ideal V_r due to the same lemma. According to Proposition 23 $cl_A V_r$ is the annihilator algebra, which is also dual if A is dual. If H is a closed two-sided ideal in $cl_A V_r$, then it is such in A also. But $cl_A V_r$ is minimal, hence the algebra $cl_A V_r$ is simple.

By virtue of Theorem 19 the sum of all minimal right ideals V_r is dense in A . Let K and M be two minimal closed two-sided ideals which are different, $K \neq M$. Therefore $KM \subset K \cap M = (0)$, since $K \cap M$ is the closed two-sided ideal contained in minimal closed two-sided ideals K and in M and different from them. If $x + y = 0$ for some $x \in K$ and $y \in M$, then $Kx = (0)$ and $My = (0)$, consequently, $(xA)^2 \subset K(xA) = (0)$ and analogously $(yA)^2 = (0)$. Therefore $xA = (0)$ and $yA = (0)$, since A is semi-simple, consequently, $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. Thus the considered sum is direct.

25. Theorem. *If A is a Banach simple annihilator algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$, if also p is an irreducible idempotent, then $pAp =: H$ is an ultranormed division algebra over F . Moreover, if A and F are ultranormed and A is commutative, then a multiplicative ultranorm $|\cdot|_H$ on H exists extending that of F such that it induces a topology on H not stronger than the topology inherited from A .*

Proof. From the conditions of this proposition it follows that $pH = Hp = H$, since $p^2 = p$ and the algebra A is associative. Evidently, H is the algebra over F , since A is the algebra over F . The restriction of p to H is the identity on H , since $ps = p^2s = p(ps)$ for each $s \in A$ and hence $pr = r$ for each $r \in H$, similarly $rp = r$ for each $r \in H$ and hence $pr = rp = r = prp$. For each nonzero element r in H the set Ar is a left ideal in A and $Ar \neq (0)$ due to Condition 1(1). In view of Propositions 20 and 21 $Ar \subset Ap$ and Ap is a minimal left ideal, since p is the irreducible idempotent. Thus $Ar = Ap$ and hence an element $y \in A$ exists such that $yr = p^2 = p$, consequently, $pyr = py(pr) = (pyp)r$. Therefore, $(pyp)r = (pyp)(prp) = pyprp = pyr = pp = p$, consequently, pyp is a left inverse of r in H . Similarly r has a right inverse in H . Thus H is the division algebra such that F is isomorphic with Fp and $Fp \subset H$. From the continuity of the algebraic operations on A it follows that they are continuous on H . The norm on A induces a norm on H , since $H \subset A$. Since H is the topological ring with the continuous quasi-inverse

and H possesses the unit, then H is with the continuous inverse.

If A and F are ultranormed and A is commutative, then the ultranorm $|\cdot|_A$ on A induces the ultranorm on H and H is also commutative. Therefore, $|p|_A = |p^2|_A \leq |p|_A^2$ and hence $1 \leq |p|_A$. On the other hand, on H as the field extension of F there exists a multiplicative ultranorm $|\cdot|_H$ extending $|\cdot|_F$ that of the field F (see Proposition 5 in Section VI.3.3 [9], Krull's existence theorem 14.1 and Theorem 14.2 in [33] or 3.19 in [31]). We have that $|1|_F = 1$, $1 \leq |p|_A$, also p plays the role of the unit in H , while $|bx|_A = |b|_F|x|_A$ for each $b \in F$ and $x \in A$.

If A is not unital, we consider the algebra A_1 obtained from it by adjoining the unit. The norms on A and F induce the norm on $A_1 = A \oplus F$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the case of the unital algebra A . Mention that $(1-p)^2 = 1-p$ and $A(1-p)$ is the ideal in A such that $A = Ap + A(1-p)$ with $Ap \cap A(1-p) = (0)$. Moreover, $Ap = pAp = Ap^2$, since A is commutative. This implies that H is isomorphic with the quotient algebra $J = A/(A(1-p))$. Then the ultranorm on A induces the quotient ultranorm on J such that $|xy|_J \leq |x|_J|y|_J$ and $|xyp|_J \leq |xp|_J|yp|_J$ for each x and y in J , since $pxp = xp$ and $xpy = xyp$ for each elements x and y in the commutative algebra A . At the same time, $|xyp|_H = |pxppyp|_H = |xp|_H|yp|_H$ for each x and y in A .

The ultranorm $|\cdot|_A$ on Fp induced from A is equivalent with the multiplicative ultranorm $|\cdot|_F$ on F , since Fp is isomorphic with F and consequently, $|xpyyz|_A = |xp|_A|yp|_A|z|_A$ for every $xp \in Fp$, $yp \in Fp$ and z in A , since $|xp|_F = |xp|_A$. Then $|xyp|_J = |xp|_J|yp|_J$ if $xp \in Fp$ and $yp \in Fp$, where x and y are in A . The inequality $|p|_J^{-1}|xp|_J \leq |x|_J$ is also fulfilled for each $x \in A$. Therefore, H can be supplied with a multiplicative norm $|\cdot|_H$ extending that of F and satisfying the inequality $|x|_H \leq |x|_J$ for each $x \in H$ according to Theorems 1.15 and 1.16 [16].

26. Proposition. *Suppose that A is a Banach simple annihilator algebra over a field F , $F \in E_n$, also $R_c(A) = R(A)$. Then a maximal family of orthogonal irreducible idempotents $\{w_j : j \in J\}$ exists such that $\sum_j Aw_j$ and $\sum_j w_j A$ are dense in A .*

Proof. In view of Proposition 20 there are irreducible idempotents w_j in A . Each right ideal B in A contains a minimal right ideal, consequently, it contains an irreducible idempotent. By virtue of Zorn's lemma (see [15] or [21]) a maximal orthogonal system $\{w_j : j \in J\}$ of irreducible idempotents w_j exists. Let $C = \sum_j Aw_j$ be the sum of all such left ideals. Suppose that $cl_A C \neq A$. Then $cl_A C$ is a closed left ideal. Therefore, $A_r(cl_A C)$ is the right

ideal different from zero. This implies that $A_r(\text{cl}_A C)$ contains an irreducible idempotent p orthogonal to each w_j . But this is impossible, since the family $\{w_j : j \in J\}$ is maximal. It remains that C is dense in A . Similarly $\sum_j w_j A$ is dense in A .

27. Proposition. *Let F be a field and let $\{K_j : j \in P\}$ be a family of division algebras such that F is contained in the center $Z(K_j)$ of K_j for each $j \in P$, where P is a set. Then a minimal division algebra K exists such that $K_j \subseteq K$ for each $j \in P$.*

Proof. Since K_j is a division algebra, then its center $Z(K_j)$ is a field. Take the tensor product $T = \otimes_{j \in P} K_j$ of K_j as algebras over the field F . Therefore, T is an algebra over F so that T may be noncommutative if at least one of K_j is noncommutative. For each K_j a natural embedding $h_j : K_j \hookrightarrow T$ exists. Moreover, T contains the unit element which can be identified with the unit of the field F .

For each proper left ideal B in T the intersection $B_j = B \cap h_j(K_j)$ is a left ideal of K_j . In view of Theorem I.9.1 in [7] $B_j = (0)$, since $F \subset T/B$ and the unit is unique in the associative algebra T/B . Particularly, for a maximal proper left ideal B in T this induces the embedding $t \circ h_j$ of K_j into the quotient algebra T/B over the field F for each $j \in P$, where $t : T \rightarrow T/B$ denotes the quotient F -linear mapping.

Then equations $a_j x_j = b_j$ and $y_j a_j = b_j$ with $a_j \neq 0$ and b_j in $h_j(K_j)$ have unique solutions x_j and y_j in $h_j(K_j)$ for each $j \in P$. For an arbitrary $a \in T/B$ take an element $c \in t^{-1}(a)$. Then $c + B = t^{-1}(a)$ and consequently, $h_j(K_j) \cap t^{-1}(a) = h_j(K_j) \cap \{c\}$, where $\{c\}$ denotes the singleton in T . At the same time, $qu = 0$ for some q and u in T implies $t((q + B)(u + B)) = 0$ in T/B . Therefore, equations $ax = b$ and $ya = b$ with $a \neq 0$ and b in T/B have unique solutions x and y in T/B , since B is the proper maximal left ideal in T and $h_j(K_j) \cap h_i(K_i) = F$ for each $j \neq i$ in P . From Theorem 9.2 and Corollary 9.3 in [27] it follows that an embedding of T/B into a unique-division algebra L over F exists. Taking the intersection of all such algebras L one gets a minimal unique-division algebra K over F containing T/B . Thus the embedding of K_j into the division algebra K exists for each $j \in P$.

28. Theorem. *If conditions of Proposition 27 are fulfilled and each K_j is a Hausdorff topological division algebra with a topology τ_j such that*

$$(i) \quad \tau_j|_{K_i \cap K_j} = \tau_i|_{K_i \cap K_j}$$

for each i and j in P , then a Hausdorff topology τ on K exists such that an

embedding $h_j : K_j \rightarrow K$ is a homeomorphism of (K_j, τ_j) onto $(h_j(K_j), \tau \cap h_j(K_j))$ for each $j \in P$. Moreover, if each K_j is ultranormed and

$$(ii) \quad |\cdot|_{K_j}|_{K_i \cap K_j} = |\cdot|_{K_j}|_{K_i \cap K_j}$$

for each i and j in P , where $|\cdot|_{K_j}$ denotes an ultranorm on K_j , then K is ultranormed.

Proof. Consider on the weak product $S = \prod'_{j \in P} K_j$ the box product topology, where each $s \in S$ has the form $s = (s_j : \forall j \ s_j \in K_j, \text{card}\{j : s_j \neq e_j\} < \aleph_0)$, where $e_j = 1$ denotes the unit element in K_j . It induces the corresponding topology a_p on the tensor product T , where T is the quotient algebra S/M of S by the submodule M having elements of the form

(1) $(x) + (y) - (z)$ with $x_i + y_i = z_i$ for one index $i \in P$ and with $x_j = y_j = z_j$ for each $j \neq i$ in P ;

(2) $(x) - (y)$ with $x_i = by_i$ for one index i in P and $x_j = y_j$ for each $j \neq i$ in P for every $b \in F$, (x) , (y) and (z) in S (see also Chapter 3 in [7]). The algebra T is supplied with the multiplication prescribed by the rule $(x)(y) = \bigotimes_{j \in P} x_j y_j$ for each (x) and (y) in T . Due to condition (i) for each i there exists an algebraic topological embedding of K_i into T .

The algebra K over F is obtained as the unique-division algebra K over F containing T/B (see the proof of Proposition 27).

The algebra T is unital, since K_j is unital for each j . There exists a neighborhood W_j of 1 in K_j such that the inversion is continuous on W_j for each j . Take $W = \prod'_{j \in P} W_j$, hence W is a neighborhood of 1 in T such that the inversion is continuous on W , since S is supplied with the box topology. Therefore, if B is a left maximal ideal in T , then B is closed in T , since algebraic operations on T are continuous and T is with the continuous inverse on W .

Therefore, the box topology a_p on T induces the quotient T_1 -topology b_p on T/B , since a_p is the Hausdorff topology and B is closed in T . Consider a base U of a topology τ on K satisfying the conditions:

$$(3) \quad U_x = U_0 + x \text{ for each } x \in K,$$

$$(4) \quad U_x = xU_1 = U_1x \text{ for each nonzero } x \text{ in } K,$$

(5) $U_0 \cap (T/B)$ is the base of neighborhoods of zero in the b_p topology on T/B , where U_x denotes a base of neighborhoods of an element x in K such that $U_x \subset U$;

$$(6) \quad \text{for each } E \text{ and } D \text{ in } U_0 \text{ there exists } C \in U_0 \text{ such that } C \subset E \cap D;$$

$$(7) \quad \bigcap_{V \in U_0} V = \{0\};$$

(8) for each $E \in U_0$ there exists $D \in U_0$ such that $(D + D) \subset E$ and $(D + 1)^2 \subset (E + 1)$;

(9) for each $E \in U_0$ there exists $D \in U_0$ such that $-D \subset E$ and $(D + 1)^{-1} \subset (E + 1)$,

(10) $U|_F$ provides the base of the $\tau_j|_F$ topology on F .

This is possible, since $F \subset K_i$ and condition (i) is fulfilled for each i and j in P and since the b_p topology on T/B satisfies analogous to (3 – 10) conditions due to Theorem 1.3.12 in [5].

Each element of K is obtained from elements of T/B by a finite number of algebraic operations. Therefore, the intersection of all such bases U satisfying conditions (3) – (9) provides a minimal base possessing these properties. In view of Theorem 1.3.12 in [5] this induces a Hausdorff topology τ on K .

From the construction above it follows that $\tau \cap (T/B) = b_p$, consequently, $\tau \cap h_j(K_j)$ is equivalent with the topology $\tau \cap h_j(K_j)$ on $h_j(K_j)$ inherited from $(T/B, b_p)$ for each $j \in P$, where h_j is the algebraic embedding as in subsection 27. Therefore h_j is the homeomorphism of (K_j, τ_j) onto $(h_j(K_j), \tau \cap h_j(K_j))$ for each $j \in P$.

In particular, if K_j is ultranormed for each j , then T is ultranormed by $|x| = \sup_j |x_j|_{K_j}$, where $|hx| = |h||x|$ for each $h \in F$ and $x \in T$. Such ultranormed topology is not stronger than the a_p topology. By condition (ii) of this theorem ultranorms $|\cdot|_{K_j}$ and $|\cdot|_{K_i}$ on $K_i \cap K_j$ are equivalent for each i and j in P , hence there exists an algebraic isometric embedding of K_i into T for each i . On the other hand, $F \subset K_i$ for each i . This induces the quotient ultranorm on T/B relative to which h_j is continuous for each j . Therefore, U_0 and U_1 on K can be chosen countable and such that $V + V \subseteq V$ for each $V \in U_0$, $WW \subseteq W$ for each $W \in U_1$. Thus K is ultranormable: $|x + y|_K \leq \max(|x|_K, |y|_K)$ and $|xy|_K \leq |x|_K |y|_K$ for each x and y in K .

29. Corollary. *If conditions of Theorem 28 are satisfied, then a completion \tilde{K} of K relative to a left uniformity l_τ induced by τ exists such that \tilde{K} is a division algebra. Moreover, if Condition 28(ii) is fulfilled, then \tilde{K} is the Banach division algebra.*

Proof. Consider on the multiplicative group K^* of nonzero elements of K the left uniformity l_τ induced by τ . In view of §8.1.17 and Theorem 8.3.10 in [15] and conditions 28(3, 4) the completion \tilde{K} of K relative to l_τ is the unique-division algebra over F .

If in addition Condition 28(ii) is fulfilled, then we take \tilde{K} as the completion of K relative to its ultranorm.

30. Theorem. *Let A be a simple annihilator Banach algebra over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$, with $R_c(A) = R(A)$, and let $\{w_j\}$ be a maximal orthogonal system of irreducible idempotents in it. Then an ultranormed Banach division algebra G exists such that $w_jAw_j \subset G$ for each irreducible idempotent w_j in A , also $\sum_{i,j} w_iAw_j$ is dense in A .*

Proof. Suppose that $\{w_j\}$ is a maximal orthogonal system of irreducible idempotents in the algebra A . For a chosen idempotent w_i one gets the two-sided non nil ideal Aw_iA . Since A is simple, then $cl_A(Aw_iA) = A$, where $cl_A S$ denotes the closure of a subset S in A . Therefore, $w_jAw_iAw_j \neq (0)$ for each j . Moreover, $w_jAw_iAw_j \subseteq w_jAw_j = G_jw_j$, where G_j is a division algebra over F according to Theorem 25.

Consider the algebra $A_j := A_{G_j} = A \hat{\otimes}_F G_j$ obtained from A by extension. For each j elements x_j and y_j in A_j exist such that $w_jx_jw_iy_jw_j = w_j$. Put $w_{j,i} = w_jx_jw_i$ and $w_{i,j} = w_iy_jw_j$ and $w_{j,k} = w_{j,i}w_{i,k}$. Therefore, $w_{j,i}$ and $w_{i,j}$ belong to A_j and $w_{j,j} = w_j$, since $w_i^2 = w_i$. Then one infers that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j_1,k_1}w_{k_1,k_2} &= w_{j_1}x_{j_1}w_iw_iy_{k_1}w_{k_1}w_{k_1}x_{k_1}w_iw_iy_{k_2}w_{k_2} \\ &= w_{j_1}x_{j_1}w_i(y_{k_1}w_{k_1}x_{k_1}w_i)y_{k_2}w_{k_2} \text{ and} \\ w_{j_1,k_2} &= w_{j_1,i}w_{i,k_2} = w_{j_1}x_{j_1}w_iw_iy_{k_2}w_{k_2} \\ &= w_{j_1}x_{j_1}w_iy_{k_2}w_{k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Mention that this construction implies $w_{i,j}w_{j,i} \in w_iAw_i = G_iw_i$ and consequently, $w_{i,j}w_{j,i} = bw_i$ for a scalar $b = b_{i,j} \in G_i$. The multiplication of both sides of the latter equality on the left by $w_{j,i}$ and on the right by $w_{i,j}$ leads to

$$w_{j,i}w_{i,j}w_{j,i}w_{i,j} = w_j^2 = bw_{j,i}w_{i,j} = bw_j^2,$$

consequently, $w_j = bw_j$ and hence $b = 1$. Thus

$$bw_{j_1,k_1}w_{k_1,k_2} = w_{j_1,i}w_{i,k_1}w_{k_1,i}w_{i,k_2} = w_{j_1,i}w_iw_{i,k_2} = w_{j_1,k_2},$$

since $w_{j,i}w_i = w_{j,i}$, $w_iw_{i,j} = w_{i,j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j_1,k_1}w_{j_2,k_2} &= w_{j_1,i}w_{i,k_1}w_{j_2,i}w_{i,k_2} \text{ and} \\ w_{i,k_1}w_{j_2,i} &= w_iy_{k_1}w_{k_1}w_{j_2}x_{k_2}w_i, \text{ consequently,} \\ (1) \quad w_{j_1,k_1}w_{j_2,k_2} &= 0 \text{ and} \\ (2) \quad w_{k_1}w_{j_2} &= 0 \text{ for each } k_1 \neq j_2, \text{ also} \\ (3) \quad w_{j_1,k_1}w_{k_1,k_2} &= w_{j_1,k_2} \text{ for every } j_1, k_1, k_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set w_jAw_k is composed of elements which are multiples of the element $w_{j,k}$, consequently, $w_jxw_{k,j} \in w_jAw_j = G_jw_j$, where the division algebra G_j is over the field F according to Theorem 25. Therefore, a scalar $b \in G_j$ exists such that $w_jxw_{k,j} = bw_j$. Multiplying on the right by $w_{j,k}$

and using (3) we infer that $w_j x w_k = b w_{j,k}$, where $b = b(j, k, x) \in G_j$. This implies that $\sum_{j,k} w_j A w_k =: B \subset A$, where B is an algebra over F .

By virtue of Theorem 28 and Corollary 29 an ultranormed Banach division algebra G exists such that $G_j \subset G$ for each j , since G_j is the algebra over F for each j , also since A is the ultranormed Banach algebra.

We put $A_G = A \hat{\otimes}_F G$, that is A_G is the right G -module and the algebra over F . Thus $\sum_j w_j A w_j =: E \subset A_G$.

On the other hand, $w_j A w_j \subset A$ as the algebra over F for each j , since $w_j \in A$ for each j . Mention that the sum of all $w_j A w_k$ contains the F -linear span Y of the set $(\sum_j w_j A)(\sum_k A w_k)$. The multiplication and addition are continuous on A , hence Y is dense in the F -linear span X of $(\sum_j w_j A)A$, since $\sum_k A w_k$ is dense in A . In its turn X is dense in the F -linear span V of A^2 , since $\sum_j w_j A$ is dense in A . Therefore, E is dense in A , since V is the two-sided ideal in A which is necessarily dense in A .

31. Definition. Let X be a Banach space over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$, such that X also has the structure of a right G -module, where G is a division algebra over F . An operator $s \in L(X, X)$ will be called (right) quasi finite dimensional if its range $s(X)$ is contained in a finite direct sum $x_1 G \oplus \dots \oplus x_n G$ embedded into X and such that s is right G -linear, that is $s(xb) = (sx)b$ for each $x \in X$ and $b \in G$, where x_1, \dots, x_n are nonzero vectors belonging to X .

32. Theorem. *Let A be a simple annihilator Banach algebra with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ over an ultranormed field F , $F \in E_n$. Then an ultranormed Banach division algebra G exists such that $A_G := A \hat{\otimes}_F G$ has an embedding T into the algebra $L(X, X)$, where X is a Banach space over F and a right G -module, such that*

(1) $T(A_G)$ contains all (right) quasi finite dimensional operators so that $T(A_G)$ is a Banach subalgebra in $L(X, X)$ and

(2) a dense subalgebra B in A_G exists whose image $T(B)$ consists of quasi finite dimensional operators.

Proof. Let an ultranormed Banach division algebra G be provided by Theorem 30. Then $w_i A w_i = G_i \subseteq G$ for each i and hence $w_i A_G w_i = G$, since G is the division algebra over F .

Denote for short A_G by A . Then $cl_A(A w_i A x) \neq (0)$ for each $x \neq 0$, consequently, $A w_i A x \neq (0)$ and hence

(1) $w_i A x \neq (0)$ for each $x \neq 0$ in A .

Next we consider a left regular representation of the algebra A by op-

operators \mathbf{L}_x for each $x \in A$, where $\mathbf{L}_x y := xy$ for each $y \in A$. From property (1) it follows that the left regular representation $A \ni x \mapsto \mathbf{L}_x$ is the F -linear isomorphism. On the other hand, $G_j \subset A$ and $G_j \subset G$ and $G_j G = G G_j = G$ for each j . The operator \mathbf{L}_x is right G -linear for each $x \in A$, that is $\mathbf{L}_x(yb) = (\mathbf{L}_x y)b$ for each $y \in A$ and $b \in G$, since A and G are associative algebras over the field F , also A has the structure of the right G -module.

In view of Formulas 30(2, 3) the operator $\mathbf{L}_{w_{k,i}}$ maps the one dimensional over G right module $w_i A w_j$ into $w_k A w_j$, also $\mathbf{L}_{w_{j,k}} w_l A w_i = (0)$ for $k \neq l$. Since the sum $\sum_j w_i A w_j$ is dense in $w_i A$, then $\mathbf{L}_{w_{k,i}} w_i A w_j$ is the one-dimensional over G right module. Therefore, the operator \mathbf{L}_x is quasi finite dimensional for each x in $B := \sum_{j,k} w_j A w_k$.

Suppose now that V is a one dimensional operator in $w_i A$ over G and $b \in w_i A$ is an element such that $bA \neq 0$. Therefore, $w_i A = Gb \oplus N(V)$, where $N(V) := \{x \in w_i A : Vx = 0\}$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{L}(A, N(V)) = (0)$. This implies that $\mathbf{L}(A, N(V)A) = \mathbf{L}(A, N(V)) = (0)$, since the closed right G -module $M_{N(V)A}$ generated by $N(V)A$ has the natural embedding ψ into A and $\psi M_{N(V)A}$ is a right ideal in A . Therefore $A = \psi M_{N(V)A}$. On the other hand, $N(V) = w_i N(V)$, consequently, $M_{w_i N(V)w_i A} = w_i A$. Then the identity $w_i A w_i = w_i G$ would imply that $N(V) = w_i A$ providing the contradiction. This implies that $\mathbf{L}(A, N(V)) \neq (0)$.

Take now $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{L}(A, N(V))$. Let $xb = 0$, hence $w_i A x = M_{Gb \oplus N(V)} x = (0)$ contradicting Property (1), consequently, $xb \neq 0$ and hence xbA is a non null right ideal in A . Then $xbA = w_i A$, since $w_i A$ is the minimal right ideal in A and $xbA \subseteq w_i A$. Thus an element $y \in A$ exists fulfilling the condition $yx b = Vb$. This implies that the operators V and \mathbf{L}_{yx} coincide on Gb . Mention that $\mathbf{L}_{yx} N(V) = (0) = V N(V)$, since $x \in \mathbf{L}(A, N(V))$, hence $\mathbf{L}_{yx} = V$. Thus all right G -linear one dimensional over G operators are among \mathbf{L}_x , where $x \in A$.

Assume that A is a Banach algebra, then G provided by Theorem 30 is also a Banach division algebra over F . By the continuity of the multiplication in A , one gets that $w_i A$ is a closed F -linear subspace in A , consequently, $|\mathbf{L}_x| \leq |x|$ for each $x \in A$, since $|\mathbf{L}_x y| = |xy| \leq |x||y|$ for each $y \in A$. Therefore $A \ni x \mapsto \mathbf{L}_x$ is the continuous isomorphism into $L(X, X)$ and each \mathbf{L}_x is the limit relative to the operator norm topology of quasi finite dimensional operators \mathbf{L}_{x_n} with $x_n \in B$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

4 B^* -algebras.

1. Definition. Let A be an ultranormed algebra over $F \in E_n$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) A is a Banach $*$ -algebra and
- (2) there exists a bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : X^2 \rightarrow F$ such that $|(x, y)| \leq q|x||y|$ for all x and y in A , where $0 < q < \infty$ is a constant independent of x and y ,
- (3) $(x, y) = (y, x)$ and $(x, y) = (x^*, y^*)$ for each x and y in A ,
- (4) if $(x, y) = 0$ for each $y \in A$, then $x = 0$;
- (5) $(xy, z) = (x, zy^*)$ for every x, y and z in A ,
- (6) $xx^* \neq 0$ for each nonzero element $x \in A \setminus (0)$.

Then we call A a B^* -algebra.

2. Lemma. For a $*$ -subalgebra A of $L(X, X)$ with $X = c_0(\mathbf{N}, F)$, $F \in E_n$, a bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) satisfying conditions 1(2, 3, 5) exists.

Proof. We put $(x, y) = \text{Tr}(x^*Sy)$, where S is a marked compact operator such that $S^* = S$, $S \in L_c(X, X)$, $X = c_0(\mathbf{N}, F)$, the trace $\text{Tr}(C) = \sum_j C_{j,j}$ is defined for each compact operator $C \in L_c(X, X)$. In view of Theorem 2.4 $\text{Tr}(x^*Sy)$ exists for each x and y in $L(X, X)$. Since $|\text{Tr}(C)| \leq |C|$ and $|x^*Sy| \leq |x||S||y|$, then condition 1(2) is valid. From $\text{Tr}(C^*) = (\text{Tr}(C))^* = \text{Tr}(C)$ for each $C \in L_c(X, X)$ and $(x^*Sy)^* = y^*Sx$ property 1(3) follows, since $t^* = t$ for each $t \in F$. Then using the identity $\text{Tr}(CD) = \text{Tr}(DC) = \sum_{k,j} C_{k,j}D_{j,k}$ for each $C \in L_c(X, X)$ and $D \in L(X, X)$ we deduce that $(xy, z) = \text{Tr}(y^*x^*Sz) = \text{Tr}(x^*Szy^*) = (x, zy^*)$ for every x, y and z in A , since $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$.

3. Lemma. If conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied and $L_c(X, X) \subseteq A$, then conditions 1(4, 6) are also valid.

Proof. Choose $S \in L_c(X, X)$ for which the decomposition $S = T^{-1}YT$ is such that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism of the Banach space X and $S^* = S$, also $Ye_j = Y_{j,j}e_j$ with $Y_{j,j} \neq 0$ for each j , while $Y_{i,j} = 0$ for each $i \neq j$, where $\{e_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is the standard basis of X . Then we get property 1(4), since $\text{Tr}(x^*Sy) \in F$.

On the other hand, $(ax)(ax)^* = a(xx^*)a^*$ and $(xx^*)^* = xx^*$. Therefore, considering $a \in A$ of the form $a = \sum_{k,j} a_{j,k}E_{j,k}$ with $a_{j,k} \in F$ one finds coefficients $a_{j,k}$ such that $(ax)(ax)^* \neq 0$, since $E_{j,k} \in L_c(X, X)$ for each j, k and $L_c(X, X) \subseteq A$, where $E_{j,k} = e'_j \otimes e_k$, $e'_j = \theta(e_j)$ for each j (see also Definition 2.5). Mention that $(ax)(ax)^* \neq 0$ implies that $xx^* \neq 0$, since the algebra A is associative. Thus property 1(6) also is fulfilled.

4. Lemma. *If J_r and J_l are proper or improper right and left ideals in a B^* -algebra A , then $L(A, J_r)$ and $R(A, J_l)$ are orthogonal relative to the family of bilinear functionals $\{(\cdot, \cdot)_a : a \in A\}$ complements of the sets J_r^* and J_l^* in the Banach space A , where $(x, y)_a = (ax, ay)$ for every a, x and y in A .*

Proof. If $x \in L(A, J_r)$, then $xJ_r = (0)$, hence $(axJ_r, aA) = 0$ for each $a \in A$ and consequently, $(ax, aAJ_r^*) = 0$ by identity 1(5) and inevitably $(ax, aJ_r^*) = 0$. This means that $x \in A \ominus J_r^*$ relative to $\{(\cdot, \cdot)_a : a \in A\}$, that is $L(A, J_r)$ is the orthogonal complement of J_r^* . Similarly $R(A, J_l)$ is the orthogonal complement of J_l^* in A as the Banach space relative to the family $\{(\cdot, \cdot)_a : a \in A\}$ of bilinear functionals.

5. Proposition. *Any B^* -algebra A is dual.*

Proof. If J_r and J_l are right and left ideals in A , then by Lemma 4 $R(A, L(A, J_r)) = R(A, A \ominus J_r^*) = A \ominus (A \ominus J_r) = J_r$ and analogously $L(A, R(A, J_l)) = J_l$, since $A^* = A$ and $(J_r^*)^* = J_r$.

6. Theorem. *Any B^* -algebra A over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ is representable as the direct sum of its two-sided minimal closed ideals which are simple B^* -algebras and pairwise orthogonal relative to the family of bilinear functionals $\{(\cdot, \cdot)_a : a \in A\}$.*

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.24 and Proposition 5 the algebra A is the completion (relative to the ultranorm) of the direct sum of its minimal closed two-sided ideals which are simple dual subalgebras. Consider a two-sided minimal closed non null ideal J in A . The involution mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{I}x = x^*$ provides from it the minimal closed two-sided ideal J^* due to Condition 2.5(1).

Suppose that $J^* \neq J$, then $JJ^* = (0)$, since the ideal J is minimal. From $aJ \subset J$ and $Ja \subset J$ for each $a \in A$ we deduce that $AJJ^*A = (0)$. Together with condition 1(6) imposed on the B^* -algebra this would imply that $x = 0$ for each $x \in J$ contradicting $J \neq (0)$. Thus $J^* = J$.

Mention that properties 1(1 – 3) and 1(5) for J are inherited from that of A . Then condition 1(6) on A implies that $J^2 \neq (0)$, since $J^* = J$ and $AJ \subseteq J$, also $JA \subseteq J$. But J is minimal, hence $J^2 = J$. Therefore, property 1(4) on J follows from that of on A and 1(5) and $J^2 = J$, since for each $u \in J$ there exists x and y in J with $u = xy$ and $(u, z) = (xy, z) = (x, zy^*)$ for all $z \in A$, also since $zy^* \in J$. Then for each $y \in J \setminus (0)$ an element $x \in J \setminus (0)$ exists such that $xy \neq 0$, hence $u = xy \in J \setminus (0)$. Then we have that $uu^* \neq 0$ by 1(6) on A . Hence $(xy)(xy)^* \neq 0$, consequently, $yy^* \neq 0$, since the algebra A is associative and $x(yy^*)x^* \neq 0$. Therefore property 1(6) on J is valid.

Thus J is the B^* -algebra.

If J and S are two distinct minimal closed two-sided ideals in A , then $JS = (0)$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $S \subset R(A, J) = A \ominus J^* = A \ominus J$. Thus these ideals J and S are orthogonal relative to the family $\{(\cdot, \cdot)_a : a \in A\}$ of bilinear functionals.

Using condition 1(4) and Lemma 4 we infer that A is the direct sum of its two-sided minimal closed ideals.

7. Theorem. *Let A be a simple B^* -algebra over a field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and let a division algebra G be provided by Theorem 3.30. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A_G is finite dimensional over G ;
- (2) A_G is unital;
- (3) the center $Z(A_G)$ of A_G is non null.

Proof. Let $\{w_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ be a maximal system of irreducible idempotents provided by Theorem 3.30.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). If A_G is finite dimensional over G , then according to Theorem 6 a maximal system $\{w_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ of irreducible idempotents is finite, that is $\text{card}(\Lambda) < \aleph_0$. Then their sum $w = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} w_j$ is the idempotent fulfilling the condition $x = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} xw_j = xw$ and $x = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} w_jx = wx$. Thus w is the unit in A_G .

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If A_G contains a unit w , then $Z(A_G)$ contains w , consequently, $Z(A_G)$ is non null.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $Z(A_G) \neq (0)$ and x be a non zero element of $Z(A_G)$, $x \neq 0$. In view of Theorem 3.30 $xw_j = (xw_j)w_j = w_jxw_j = w_j^2xw_j$, hence $xw_j = b_jw_j = w_jb_jw_j$, where $b_j \in G$. Thus $(b_jw_j)w_j = w_j(b_jw_j)$. Therefore $x = \sum_j xw_j = \sum_j b_jw_j$ and hence $b_jw_{j,k} = b_jw_jw_{j,k} = xw_jw_{j,k} = xw_{j,k} = w_{j,k}x = w_{j,k}w_kx = w_{j,k}xw_k = w_{j,k}b_kw_k$. Similarly $b_kw_{k,j} = w_{k,j}b_jw_j$, consequently, $b_jw_{j,k}w_{k,j} = b_jw_j = w_{j,k}b_kw_kw_{k,j} = w_{j,k}b_kw_{k,j}$ and hence $\sum_j b_jw_j = b_kw_k + \sum_{j,j \neq k} w_{j,k}b_kw_{k,j} = \sum_j w_{j,k}b_kw_{k,j}$.

Mention that $w_jA_Gw_j = Gw_j$ for each j , where w_j plays the role of the unit in Gw_j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Gw_j &\supseteq w_j(w_{j,k}A_Gw_{k,j})w_j = w_{j,k}A_Gw_{k,j} \\ &= w_{j,k}(w_kA_Gw_k)w_{k,j} \supseteq w_{j,k}(w_{k,j}A_Gw_{j,k})w_{k,j} = w_jA_Gw_j = Gw_j \end{aligned}$$

for each j and k , hence $Gw_k \ni b \mapsto w_{j,k}bw_{k,j} \in Gw_j$ is the isomorphism of ultranormed algebras Gw_j with Gw_k for each j and k .

Therefore the sum $\sum_j w_{j,k}b_kw_{k,j} = \sum_j w_{j,k}w_kb_kw_kw_{k,j}$ may converge only if it is finite. Thus the algebra A_G is finite dimensional over G .

8. Notation. For the Banach space $X = c_0(\alpha, F)$ over a field $F \in E_n$ by $L_d(X, X)$ is denoted the space of all bounded F -linear operators $U : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition $\lim_{j,k} U_{j,k} = 0$, that is for each $t > 0$ a finite subset γ in a set α exists such that $|U_{j,k}| < t$ for each j and k with either $j \in \alpha \setminus \gamma$ or $k \in \alpha \setminus \gamma$. Then for a division algebra H over F and a Banach two-sided H -module $X_H = c_0(\alpha, H)$ by $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ we denote the Banach right H -module of all bounded F -linear right H -linear operators C from X_H into X_H of the class L_d , that is $C(xb) = (Cx)b$ for each $x \in X_H$ and $b \in H$.

9. Theorem. *Let A be a spherically complete simple B^* -algebra over a spherically complete field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$. Let also G be a division algebra provided by Theorem 3.30 such that $s^{1/2} \in G$ for each $s \in G$, also $G \subset A$ and $G^* = G$. Then a Banach two-sided G -module X_G exist such that A and $L_{r,d}(X_G, X_G)$ are isomorphic as the Banach right G -modules and as F -algebras.*

Proof. By the conditions of this theorem a division algebra G is such that $wAw \subset Gw$ for each irreducible idempotent w in A . Put $H = G \cap G^*$. From $G = G^*$ it follows that $H = G$. If $b \in H$, then $b^{1/2} \in G$ and $(b^{1/2})^* = (b^*)^{1/2} \in G$, since $H^* = H$, consequently, $b^{1/2} \in H$.

For each irreducible idempotent w described in the proof of Theorem 3.32 $ww^* \neq 0$, since A is the B^* -algebra over F . Then $(ww^*)(ww^*)^* \neq 0$, hence $w^*ww^* \neq 0$ and consequently, $w^*ww^* \neq 0$ implying that $ww^*w \neq 0$, since $(w^*ww^*)^* = ww^*w$ and $c^{**} = c$ for each $c \in A$. Therefore $w^*w \neq 0$ also.

Since w is the irreducible idempotent and $A^* = A$, then w^* is the irreducible idempotent in the B^* -algebra A . Then we deduce that $w^*ww^* \in (w^*A_Gw^*)w^* \subseteq G^*w^* = (wG)^*$, since $A^* = A$, consequently, an element $s \in G^* \setminus (0)$ exists such that $w^*ww^* = sw^*$, since $w^*ww^* \neq 0$. The latter implies $w^*ww^*w = sw^*w$. But the elements w^*ww^*w and w^*w are self-adjoint, hence $sw^*w = w^*ws^*$ and consequently,

$$w^*w(s^*)^{-1} = s^{-1}w^*w.$$

We put $v = s^{-1}w^*w$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} v^* &= w^*w(s^*)^{-1} = s^{-1}w^*w = v \text{ and} \\ v^2 &= s^{-1}w^*ws^{-1}w^*w = s^{-1}w^*ww^*w(s^*)^{-1} \\ &= (s^{-1}(sw^*w))(s^*)^{-1} = w^*w(s^*)^{-1} \\ &= s^{-1}w^*w = v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus v is the self-adjoint idempotent. On the other hand, $A_Gv = A_Gs^{-1}w^*w \subseteq A_Gw$ and $A_Gv \neq 0$ and the idempotent w is irreducible, hence the idempotent v is also irreducible, since A_Gw is the non null minimal left ideal in A_G .

Then from the proof of Theorem 3.30 it follows that $(vA_Gv)^* = v^*A_G^*v^* = vA_Gv$ is the self-adjoint division algebra for each such irreducible self-adjoint idempotent v , consequently, $vA_Gv \subseteq Hv$. By the conditions of this theorem we have $A = A_G$.

The algebra A is simple, that is by the definition each its two-sided ideal coincides with either (0) or A .

Next we take a maximal orthogonal system $\{w_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ of self-adjoint idempotents in A and for them elements $w_{j,k}$ as in Theorem 3.30, where Λ is a set. Hence $w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^* \in w_jAw_j$ and $b = b_{j,k} \in H$ exists such that $w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^* = bw_j$. Then $bw_j = w_jb^*$, since $w_j^* = w_j$ and $(w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^*)^* = w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^*$. Moreover, $b \neq 0$, since $w_{j,k}$ is non null and hence $w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^*$ is non null. For $v_{j,k} = (b_{j,k})^{-1/2} w_{j,k}$ we deduce that $v_{j,k}v_{j,k}^* = w_j$, since

$$\begin{aligned} b^{-1/2}w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^*(b^{-1/2})^* &= b^{-1/2}bw_j(b^{-1/2})^* \\ &= w_j(b^{1/2})^*(b^{-1/2})^* = w_j(b^{-1/2}b^{1/2})^* = w_j, \end{aligned}$$

since A is associative and $b^{-1/2} \in H$ for each non null b in H , where $b = b_{j,k}$.

Thus it is possible to choose an element $w_{j,k}$ such that $w_{j,k}w_{j,k}^* = w_j$ for each k . Taking a marked element $j = j_0$ and setting $w_{k,j} = w_{j,k}^*$ and $w_{l,k} = w_{l,j}w_{j,k}$ for each l and k one gets $w_{l,k}^* = w_{j,k}^*w_{l,j}^* = w_{k,j}w_{j,l} = w_{k,l}$ and $w_{k,k} = w_k$, also $w_{k,l}w_{i,h} = \delta_{l,i}w_{k,h}$ for every h, i, k, l . Thus elements $w_{l,k}$ can be chosen such that $w_{l,k}^* = w_{k,l}$ for each l and k .

If prove the statement of this theorem for the spherical completion \tilde{H} of H , then it will imply the statement of this theorem for H . So the case of the spherically complete division algebra H is sufficient. Then A and H considered as the Banach spaces over the spherically complete field F are isomorphic with $c_0(\alpha, F)$ and H with $c_0(\beta, F)$ due to Theorems 5.13 and 5.16 in [31], where $\beta \subset \alpha$.

From the proof of Theorem 3.32 it follows that the sum $B := \sum_{j,k} w_jAw_k$ is dense in A . Conditions 1(2, 3, 5) imply that $(xy, z) = (y, x^*z)$, since $t^* = t$ for each $t \in F$. Therefore, from properties 1(2, 3, 5) it follows that if $j \neq h$ or $k \neq l$, then $(w_jxw_k, w_hzw_l) = 0$ for each x and z in A , since

$$(w_jxw_k, w_hzw_l) = (w_jx, w_hzw_lw_k^*) = (w_jx, w_hz(w_lw_k)) = (w_jx, 0) = 0$$

for each $k \neq l$, also

$$(w_hzw_l, w_jxw_k) = (zw_l, w_h^*w_jxw_k) = (zw_l, (w_hw_j)xw_k) = (zw_l, 0) = 0$$

for each $j \neq h$.

Thus the set $\{w_{j,k} : j, k\}$ is complete and $(w_{j,k}H, w_{h,l}H) = (0)$ for each $j \neq h$ or $k \neq l$, where the latter property is interpreted as the orthogonality.

Together with property 1(4) this implies that each element $x \in A$ has the form $x = \sum_{j,k \in \Lambda} w_{j,k} x_{j,k}$ with $\lim_{j,k} w_{j,k} x_{j,k} = 0$, since A_H is the right H -module, also A is isomorphic with A_G as the F -algebra and the right G -module, where the series may be infinite, $x_{j,k} \in H$ for each $j, k \in \Lambda$, where Λ denotes the corresponding set.

Take the two-sided Banach H -module $X_H = c_0(\Lambda, H)$ and to each element $x \in B$ one can pose the operator T_x such that $e_j^* T_x e_k = x_{j,k} \xi_{j,k}$, where $\xi_{j,k} \in F$ and $|\xi_{j,k}| = |w_{j,k}|$ for each j and k in Λ , since $|a| \in (\Gamma_F \cup \{0\})$ for each $a \in A$, where $B := \sum_{j,k} w_{j,k} A w_k$ (see above). Then $T_x \in L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ and the mapping $T : B \rightarrow L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ is the isometry having the isometrical extension $T : A \rightarrow L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$. The property $w_{j,k} w_{j,k}^* = w_j \neq 0$ given above provides $|w_{j,k}| \neq 0$ for each j and $k \in \Lambda$, consequently, T is bijective from A onto $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$, since A is simple.

For each S and V in $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ one has $SV(xb) = S(Vx)b = (SVx)b$ for each $b \in H$ and $x \in X_H$. Moreover, $|(SV)_{j,k}| \leq \sup_m |S_{j,m}| |V_{m,k}|$, consequently, $\lim_{j,k} (SV)_{j,k} = 0$, that is $SV \in L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$. Hence verifying other properties one gets that $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ also has the F -algebra structure. From the construction of A_H it follows that A_H is the F -algebra, since H and A are F -algebras. Mention that moreover, A_H as the F -algebra is isomorphic with the Banach F -algebra $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$. By the conditions of this theorem A_H is isomorphic with A as the F -algebra and the right H -module.

10. Theorem. *Let A be a spherically complete simple B^* -algebra over a spherically complete field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and $Z(A) = F$. Let also G be a division algebra provided by Theorem 3.30 such that $s^{1/2} \in G$ for each $s \in G$. Then a division subalgebra H of G and a Banach two-sided H -module X_H exist such that A_H and $L_{r,d}(X_H, X_H)$ are isomorphic as the Banach right H -modules and as F -algebras.*

Proof. In this case $H = G \cap G^*$ and instead of A we consider $A_H = A \hat{\otimes}_F H$.

The B^* -algebra A is simple and central, $Z(A) = F$, hence the right H -module A_H is simple due to Satz 5.9 in [20] and Theorem 7 above. We denote A_H shortly by A and the rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 9.

From Theorems 6, 9 and 10 the corollary follows.

11. Corollary. *Suppose that A is a spherically complete B^* -algebra over a spherically complete field $F \in E_n$ with $R_c(A) = R(A)$ and G is a division algebra given by Theorem 3.30 so that $s^{1/2} \in G$ for each $s \in G$ such that either (i) $G \subset A$ and $G^* = G$ or (ii) $Z(A) = F$. Then a division subalgebra*

H in G with $H^* = H$ and two-sided H -modules $X_{k,H}$ exist such that A_H is the direct sum of $L_{r,d}(X_{k,H}, X_{k,H})$.

References

- [1] Y. Amice. "Interpolation p -Adique" // Bull. Soc. Math. France **92**(1964), 117-180.
- [2] V. Anashin. "Automata finitness criterion in terms of van der Put series of automata functions" // p -Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **4: 2** (2012), 151-160.
- [3] I.Ya. Aref'eva. "Holographic relation between p -adic effective action and string field theory" // Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **285** (2014), 26-29.
- [4] I.Ya. Aref'eva, B. Dragovich, P.H. Frampton, I.V. Volovich. "Wave functions of the universe and p -adic gravity" // Int. J. Modern Phys. **6** (1991), 4341-4358.
- [5] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko. "Topological groups and related structures" (Amsterdam: Atlantis Press, 2008).
- [6] E. Beckenstein, L. Narici, C. Suffel. "Topological algebras" (Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1977).
- [7] N. Bourbaki. "Algèbre" Ch. 1-3 (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2007).
- [8] N. Bourbaki. "Première partie. Les structures fondamentales de l'analyse. XI. Livre II. Algèbre. Ch. IV. Polynomes et fractions rationnelles. Ch. V. Corps commutatifs" (Paris: Hermann, 1950).
- [9] N. Bourbaki. "Algèbre commutative" Ch. 1-7 (Paris; Hermann, 1961-1965).
- [10] B. Diarra. "Ultraproduits ultramétriques de corps values" // Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont II, Sér. Math. **22** (1984), 1-37.
- [11] B. Diarra. "On reducibility of ultrametric almost periodic linear representations" // Glasgow Math. J. **37** (1995), 83-98.

- [12] B. Diarra, S.V. Ludkovsky "Spectral integration and spectral theory for non-Archimedean Banach spaces" // Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **31: 7** (2002), 421-442.
- [13] B. Dragovich. "On measurements, numbers and p -adic mathematical physics" // p -Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **4: 2** (2012), 102-108.
- [14] N. Dunford, J.C. Schwartz. "Linear operators" (New York; J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966).
- [15] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Moscow: Mir, 1986).
- [16] A. Escassut. "Analytic elements in p -adic analysis" (Singapore: World Scientific, 1995).
- [17] A. Escassut. "Ultrametric Banach algebras" (New Jersey: World Scientific, 2003).
- [18] C.J. Isham. "Topological and global aspects of quantum theory". In: "Relativity, groups and topology.II" 1059-1290, (Les Hauches, 1983). Editors: R. Stora, B.S. De Witt (Amsterdam: Elsevier Sci. Publ., 1984).
- [19] R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras" (New York: Acad. Press, 1983).
- [20] I. Kersten. "Brauergruppen von Körpern" (Braunschweig: Friedr. Vieweg and Sons, 1990).
- [21] K. Kunen. "Set theory" (Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1980).
- [22] S.V. Ludkowski. "Non-archimedean antiderivations and calculus of operators with local spectra" // Far East J. of Mathem. Sciences, **99: 4** (2016), 455-489.
- [23] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures in Banach spaces" (New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2008).

- [24] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Stochastic processes in non-archimedean Banach spaces, manifolds and topological groups" (New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2010).
- [25] M.A. Naimark. "Normed rings" (Moscow: Nauka, 1968).
- [26] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces" (New York: Marcel-Dekker Inc., 1985).
- [27] B. H. Neumann. "Embedding non-associative rings in division rings" // Proc. London Math. Soc. **1** (1951), 241-256.
- [28] M. van der Put, J. van Tiel. "Espaces nucléaires non-archimédiens" // Indag. Mathem. **29** (1967), 556-561.
- [29] A.F. Revuzhenko. "Mathematical analysis of non-archimedean variable functions. Specialized mathematical apparatus for structural geo-environment level description" (Novosibirsk: Nauka, 2012).
- [30] A. Robert. "Représentations p -adiques irréductibles de sous-groupes ouverts de $SL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ " // C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298**: **11** (1984), 237-240.
- [31] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis" (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1978).
- [32] A.C.M. van Rooij, W.H. Schikhof. "Groups representations in non-Archimedean Banach spaces" // Bull. Soc. Math. France. Memoire. **39-40** (1974), 329-340.
- [33] W.H. Schikhof. "Ultrametric calculus" (Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press, 1984).
- [34] W.H. Schikhof. "Non-Archimedean calculus". Nijmegen: Math. Inst., Cath. Univ., Report **7812**, 130 pages, 1978.
- [35] J. van Tiel. "Espaces localement K -convexes, I-III" // Indag. Mathematicae **27** (1965), 249-289.

- [36] K.S. Viswanathan. "Colliding gravitational plane waves and black hole creation" // *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* **4: 2** (2012), 143-150.
- [37] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov. "*p*-adic analysis and mathematical physics" (Moscow: Nauka, 1994).
- [38] A. Weil. "Basic number theory" (Berlin: Springer, 1973).