

HOLOMORPHIC MAPS BETWEEN CLOSED $SU(\ell, m)$ -ORBITS IN GRASSMANNIAN

SUNG-YEON KIM

ABSTRACT. Orbits of $SU(\ell, m)$ in a Grassmannian manifold have homogeneous CR structures. In this paper, we study germs of smooth CR mappings sending a closed orbit of $SU(\ell, m)$ into a closed orbit of $SU(\ell', m')$ in Grassmannian manifolds. We show that if the signature difference of the Levi forms of two orbits is not too large, then the mapping can be factored into a simple form and one of the factors extends to a totally geodesic embedding of the ambient Grassmannian into another Grassmannian with respect to the standard metric. As an application, we give a sufficient condition for a smooth CR mapping sending a closed orbit of $SU(\ell, m)$ into a closed orbit of $SU(\ell', m')$ in Grassmannian manifolds to extend as a totally geodesic embedding of the Grassmannian into another Grassmannian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rigidity of holomorphic maps between open pieces of a sphere was first studied by Poincaré [P07], who proved the rigidity of holomorphic maps sending open piece of sphere into another in dimension 2 and later by Alexander [A74] in arbitrary dimension. This result was generalized for holomorphic maps between pieces of spheres of different dimension by Webster [W79], Faran [Fa86], Cima-Suffridge [CS83, CS90], Forstneric [F86, F89], Huang [H99, H03], Huang-Ji [HJ01] and Huang-Ji-Xu [HJX06].

Ball is a bounded symmetric domain of rank one. Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between general bounded symmetric domains was conjectured by Mok [M89] and proved by Tsai [Ts93], showing that they are necessarily totally geodesic with respect to the Bergmann metric if the rank of the source is greater or equal to that of the target. In relation with it, rigidity of holomorphic maps between open pieces of the boundary orbits of bounded symmetric domains was proved by Henkin-Tumanov [HeT82] for automorphisms and by Kim-Zaitsev [KZ13, KZ14] for the type I bounded symmetric domains of different rank. We refer the reader to the work [CaM90], [M89, M08, M11] and the references therein for various related rigidity problems for holomorphic maps between complex hyperbolic space forms and Hermitian symmetric spaces.

For CR manifolds with mixed Levi signature, rigidity phenomena for CR maps between real hyperquadrics and proper holomorphic maps between generalised balls have been studied by Chern-Moser [CM74], Ebenfelt-Huang-Zaitsev [EHZ04, EHZ05], Baouendi-Huang [BH05], Baouendi-Ebenfelt-Huang [BEH09]. These results were generalised by Ng [Ng12], who studied the rigidity of holomorphic maps

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32V40, 32V30, 32V05, 32M10, 14M15.

Key words and phrases. homogeneous CR manifold, CR embedding, totally geodesic embedding, minimal orbit of a real form.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning(grant number NRF-2015R1A2A2A11001367).

between minimal $SU(\ell, m)$ -orbits in Grassmannians and proper holomorphic maps between corresponding flag domains.

Let p, q, ℓ be positive integers such that $q \leq \ell \leq p$. Denote by $Gr(q, p)$ the Grassmannian of q -planes in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} and by $S_{q,p}^\ell$ the minimal $SU(\ell, m)$ -orbit in $Gr(q, p)$, where $m = p + q - \ell$. In [Ng12], Ng showed that maximal complex manifolds in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ are totally geodesic subgrassmannians $Gr(q, \ell)$ and hence they can be parameterized by $S_{\ell,m}^\ell$, which is the Shilov boundary of a type I bounded symmetric domain in $Gr(\ell, m)$. More generally, n -confined subgrassmannians (see §3 for definition) for $q \leq n \leq \ell$ can be parameterized by $S_{n,p+q-n}^\ell$. For each n , one can define the universal space of n -confined subgrassmannians over $S_{q,p}^\ell$. These are homogeneous CR manifolds in flag manifolds and play an important roll in the study of CR maps so do the characteristic bundles in the study of proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains. See [M89] for characteristic bundles and related topics.

Under the condition in [Ng12], maximal complex submanifolds are the same $Gr(q, \ell)$ for source and target orbits which enables one to lift the given holomorphic map as meromorphic maps between the universal spaces of maximal complex submanifolds over the orbits. This lifting shows that the given holomorphic map preserves the characteristic bundles over the maximal complex submanifolds. Then Ng used the result of Mok [M08] to obtain the rigidity of the holomorphic map between minimal orbits.

The goal of this paper is to generalize the results of Ng in the case when maximal complex submanifolds of the source and target orbits are different. First we investigate the CR structures of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ and the universal space of n -confined subgrassmannians over it. If q is strictly less than ℓ , every two points in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ are connected by chains of maximal complex submanifolds. We shows that the rigidity phenomenon propagates along chains of maximal complex submanifolds if the signature difference of the Levi forms of source and target orbits is small. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : (S_{q,p}^\ell, Z) \rightarrow (S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}, Z')$ be a germ of a smooth transversal CR embedding (See Definition 3.5 for transversality). Assume that $q > 1$ and*

$$(1.1) \quad \ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q).$$

Then it follows that $q' \geq q$, $p' \geq p$ and after composing with suitable automorphisms of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ and $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$, that f is of the form

$$z \mapsto (f_1(z), f_2(z)) \in Gr(q', L) \times Gr(q', N),$$

where f_1 is a standard embedding of $Gr(q, p)$ into $Gr(q', L)$ for some subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$ and f_2 is a holomorphic map from $S_{q,p}^\ell$ into $Gr(q', N)$ contained in $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ with $\dim N \leq \ell' - \ell + q$.

As a corollary, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ be a (globally defined) smooth transversal CR embedding. Assume that $q > 1$ and $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$. Assume further that*

$$(1.2) \quad q' \times (\ell' - \ell + q - q') < q \times (\ell - q).$$

Then f extends to $Gr(q, p)$ as a standard embedding into $Gr(q', p')$.

We remark that Theorem 1.1 is proved in [Ng12] for $q = q'$ and $\ell = \ell'$. In this case f_2 is a constant map and therefore f extends to a standard embedding of $Gr(q, p)$ into $Gr(q, p')$.

We use the method of moving frames. §1 and §2 are devoted to investigate CR structure of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ and its maximal complex submanifolds. In §3, we construct moving frames adapted to f . Then in §4, we reduce the freedom of adapted frames using properties of universal space of maximal complex submanifolds over $S_{q,p}^\ell$, which enables us to apply the result of Mok [M08]. In the last section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND ADAPTED FRAMES

Let p, q be positive integers such that $q < p$. For an integer ℓ such that $q \leq \ell \leq (p+q)/2$, define a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}$ in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{\ell, m} := -(u_1 \bar{v}_1 + \cdots + u_\ell \bar{v}_\ell) + (u_{\ell+1} \bar{v}_{\ell+1} + \cdots + u_{p+q} \bar{v}_{p+q}),$$

where $m = p + q - \ell$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{p+q})$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{p+q})$. Define

$$S_{q,p}^\ell := \{Z \in Gr(q, p) : \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}|_Z = 0\}.$$

Then $S_{q,p}^\ell$ is the unique closed $SU(\ell, m)$ -orbit in $Gr(q, p)$. Note that if $q = \ell$, then $S_{q,p}^q$ is the Shilov boundary of the bounded symmetric domain $D_{q,p}$ defined by

$$D_{q,p} := \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times p} : I_q - Z \bar{Z}^t > 0\},$$

where I_q is the $q \times q$ identity matrix.

A *Grassmannian frame adapted to $S_{q,p}^\ell$* , or simply *$S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame* is a frame $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_{p+q}\}$ of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} with $\det(Z_1, \dots, Z_{p+q}) = 1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \langle Z_\alpha, Z_{p+\beta} \rangle_{\ell, m} = \langle Z_{p+\alpha}, Z_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \langle Z_{q+j}, Z_{q+k} \rangle_{\ell, m} = \widehat{\delta}_{jk}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, p-q$$

and

$$\langle Z_\Lambda, Z_\Gamma \rangle_{\ell, m} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

where $\widehat{\delta}_{jk} = -\delta_{jk}$ if $\min(j, k) \leq \ell - q$, $\widehat{\delta}_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ otherwise, and the capital Greek indices Λ, Γ, Ω etc. run from 1 to $p+q$, i.e. the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}$ in basis $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_{p+q}\}$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & I_q \\ 0 & -I_{\ell-q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{m-q} & 0 \\ I_q & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} Z &:= (Z_1, \dots, Z_q), \\ X = (X_1, \dots, X_{p-q}) &:= (Z_{q+1}, \dots, Z_p), \\ Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_q) &:= (Z_{p+1}, \dots, Z_{p+q}) \end{aligned}$$

so that (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\langle Z_\alpha, Y_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} = \langle Y_\beta, Z_\alpha \rangle_{\ell, m} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \langle X_j, X_k \rangle_{\ell, m} = \widehat{\delta}_{jk}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{q,p}^\ell$ be the set of all $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frames. Then $\mathcal{B}_{q,p}^\ell$ can be identified with $SU(\ell, m)$ by the left action. By abuse of notation, we also denote by Z the q -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} spanned by Z_1, \dots, Z_q . Then we can regard $\mathcal{B}_{q,p}^\ell$ as a bundle over $S_{q,p}^\ell$ with respect to a natural projection $(Z, X, Y) \rightarrow Z$. The Maurer-Cartan form $\pi = (\pi_\Lambda^\Gamma)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{q,p}^\ell$ is an $su(\ell, m)$ -valued one form given by the equation

$$(2.3) \quad dZ_\Lambda = \pi_\Lambda^\Gamma Z_\Gamma$$

satisfying the structure equation

$$d\pi_\Lambda^\Gamma = \pi_\Gamma^\Omega \wedge \pi_\Omega^\Gamma.$$

We use the block matrix representation with respect to the basis (Z, X, Y) to write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\alpha^\beta & \pi_\alpha^{q+j} & \pi_\alpha^{p+\beta} \\ \pi_{q+k}^\beta & \pi_{q+k}^{q+j} & \pi_{q+k}^{p+\beta} \\ \pi_{p+\alpha}^\beta & \pi_{p+\alpha}^{q+j} & \pi_{p+\alpha}^{p+\beta} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha^\beta & \theta_\alpha^j & \varphi_\alpha^\beta \\ \sigma_k^\beta & \omega_k^j & \theta_k^\beta \\ \xi_\alpha^\beta & \sigma_\alpha^j & \widehat{\psi}_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies the symmetry relations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha^\beta & \theta_\alpha^j & \varphi_\alpha^\beta \\ \sigma_k^\beta & \omega_k^j & \theta_k^\beta \\ \xi_\alpha^\beta & \sigma_\alpha^j & \widehat{\psi}_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\psi}_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} & \widehat{\delta}_j^i \theta_i^{\bar{\alpha}} & \varphi_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ \widehat{\delta}_i^k \sigma_\beta^{\bar{i}} & \widehat{\delta}_i^k \omega_j^{\bar{i}} & \widehat{\delta}_i^k \theta_\beta^{\bar{i}} \\ \xi_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} & \widehat{\delta}_j^i \sigma_i^{\bar{\alpha}} & \psi_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

that follow directly by differentiating (2.2).

The defining equations of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ can be written as

$$\langle Z_\alpha, Z_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q$$

and hence their differentiation yields

$$(2.4) \quad \langle dZ_\alpha, Z_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} + \langle Z_\alpha, dZ_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} = 0.$$

By substituting $dZ_\Lambda = \pi_\Lambda^\Gamma Z_\Gamma$ into (1, 0) component of (2.4) we obtain

$$\varphi_\alpha^\gamma \langle Y_\gamma, Z_\beta \rangle_{\ell, m} = \varphi_\alpha^\beta = 0,$$

when restricted to the (1, 0) tangent space. Comparing the dimensions, we conclude that the kernel of $\{\varphi_\alpha^\beta, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q\}$ forms the CR bundle of $S_{q,p}^\ell$, i.e.

$$\ker(\varphi|_Z) = T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell \oplus T_Z^{0,1} S_{q,p}^\ell.$$

In other words, $\varphi = (\varphi_\alpha^\beta)$ spans the space of contact forms on $S_{q,p}^\ell$. Since

$$dZ_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^\beta Z_\beta + \theta_\alpha^j X_j + \varphi_\alpha^\beta Y_\beta$$

and $\varphi = (\varphi_\alpha^\beta)$ is a contact form at $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_q)$, we conclude that φ_α^β and θ_α^j together form a basis in the space of all (1, 0) forms of $S_{q,p}^\ell$. The Levi form is given by

$$d\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta = - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-q} \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \overline{\theta_\beta^j} + \sum_{j=\ell-q+1}^{p-q} \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \overline{\theta_\beta^j} \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

Therefore if $\ell > q$, then the image of the Levi map becomes the complex normal bundle of $S_{q,p}^\ell$.

For a change of frame given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z} \\ \tilde{X} \\ \tilde{Y} \end{pmatrix} := U \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix},$$

π changes via

$$\tilde{\pi} = dU \cdot U^{-1} + U \cdot \pi \cdot U^{-1}.$$

There are several types of frame changes.

Definition 2.1. We call a change of frame

i) *change of position* if

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = W_\alpha^\beta Z_\beta, \quad \tilde{Y}_\alpha = V_\alpha^\beta Y_\beta, \quad \tilde{X}_j = X_j,$$

where $W = (W_\alpha^\beta)$ and $V = (V_\alpha^\beta)$ are $q \times q$ matrices satisfying $\overline{V^t} W = I_q$;

ii) *change of real vectors* if

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = Z_\alpha, \quad \tilde{X}_j = X_j, \quad \tilde{Y}_\alpha = Y_\alpha + H_\alpha^\beta Z_\beta,$$

where $H = (H_\alpha^\beta)$ is a hermitian matrix;

iii) *dilation* if

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha^{-1} Z_\alpha, \quad \tilde{Y}_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha Y_\alpha, \quad \tilde{X}_j = X_j,$$

where $\lambda_\alpha > 0$;

iv) *rotation* if

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = Z_\alpha, \quad \tilde{Y}_\alpha = Y_\alpha, \quad \tilde{X}_j = U_j^k X_k,$$

where (U_j^k) is an $SU(\ell - q, m - q)$ matrix.

Change of position in Definition 2.1 sends φ and θ to

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\beta = W_\alpha^\gamma \varphi_\gamma^\delta W_\delta^{*\beta}, \quad W_\delta^{*\beta} = \overline{W_\beta^\delta}, \quad \tilde{\theta}_\alpha^j = W_\alpha^\beta \theta_\beta^j.$$

Dilation changes $\varphi_\alpha^\beta, \theta_\alpha^j$ to

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta} \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad \tilde{\theta}_\alpha^j = \frac{1}{\lambda_\alpha} \theta_\alpha^j,$$

while rotation remains φ_α^β unchanged and changes θ_α^j to

$$\tilde{\theta}_\alpha^j = \theta_\alpha^k U_k^j.$$

Finally, we will use the change of frame given by

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = Z_\alpha, \quad \tilde{X}_j = X_j + C_j^\beta Z_\beta, \quad \tilde{Y}_\alpha = Y_\alpha + A_\alpha^\beta Z_\beta + B_\alpha^j X_j$$

such that

$$C_j^\alpha + B_j^\alpha = 0$$

and

$$A_\alpha^\beta + \overline{A_\beta^\alpha} + B_\alpha^j B_j^\beta = 0,$$

where

$$B_j^\alpha := \widehat{\delta}_{jk} \overline{B_\alpha^k}.$$

Then the new frame $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$ is an $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame and the related one forms $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\beta$ remain the same, while $\tilde{\theta}_\alpha^j$ change to

$$\tilde{\theta}_\alpha^j = \theta_\alpha^j - \varphi_\alpha^\beta B_\beta^j.$$

3. UNIVERSAL CYCLE SPACES OF $S_{q,p}^\ell$

Assume that $q < \ell$. In this section, we investigate Grassmannian submanifolds in $S_{q,p}^\ell$. We refer §3 of [Ng12] as a reference. Denote by $N(\ell, m)$ the set of all subspaces $F \subset \mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ such that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}|_F = 0,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}$ is the hermitian inner product given by (2.1). For $F \in N(\ell, m)$ and a positive integer $n \geq \dim F$, define

$$\Lambda_F^n := \{E \in N(\ell, m) : \dim E = n, F \subset E\}.$$

Note that since $E \in \Lambda_F^n$ is a null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}$, n should be less or equal to ℓ .

Let $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$ and let $E \in \Lambda_Z^n$. Then $Gr(q, E)$ is a complex submanifold in $S_{q,p}^\ell$, which we call *n-confined subgrassmannian* containing Z . Choose an $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame $\{Z_\alpha, X_j, Y_\alpha\}$ at Z . After a frame change by rotation, we may assume that

$$E = Z + \text{span}\{\hat{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, n - q\},$$

where

$$\hat{X}_j := X_j + X_{p-q-j+1}.$$

Then $Gr(q, E)$ is an integral manifold of a system

$$\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \hat{\theta}_\alpha^j = \theta_\alpha^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n - q < k \leq p - n$$

with maximal independent condition

$$(3.1) \quad \bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^q (\theta_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_\alpha^{n-q}) \neq 0,$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}_\alpha^j := \theta_\alpha^j - \theta_\alpha^{p-q-j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - q.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{P}^n := \{(Z, E) \in \mathcal{F}(q, n, p+q) : Gr(q, E) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell\}.$$

Then \mathcal{P}^n is a closed $SU(\ell, m)$ -orbit in the flag manifold $\mathcal{F}(q, n, p+q)$ and becomes a fiber bundle over $S_{q,p}^\ell$ under the natural projection defined by $(Z, E) \rightarrow Z$. For $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$, let \mathcal{P}_Z^n be the fiber of \mathcal{P}^n over Z . Define a map F_Z by

$$F_Z(Z, E) = \pi_{Z^\perp}(E),$$

where π_{Z^\perp} is the orthogonal projection from $Z + X$ to $Z^\perp \subset Z + X$, where $X := \text{span}\{X_j, j = 1, \dots, p-q\}$. Then F_Z is a biholomorphic map between $\{E : (Z, E) \in \mathcal{F}(q, n, p+q)\}$ and $Gr(n-q, Z^\perp)$ sending \mathcal{P}_Z^n onto $S_{n-q, p-n}^{\ell-q}$.

Choose an $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame $\{Z_\alpha, X_j, Y_\alpha\}$ such that

$$Z = \text{span}\{Z_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}, \quad E = Z + \text{span}\{\hat{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, n - q\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$dZ_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n-q} \frac{1}{2} \check{\theta}_\alpha^k \widehat{X}_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-q} \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\theta}_\alpha^k \check{X}_k + \sum_{k=n-q+1}^{p-n} \theta_\alpha^k X_k + \varphi_\alpha^\beta Y_\beta \quad \text{mod } Z, \quad \forall \alpha$$

$$d\widehat{X}_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-q} \widehat{\omega}_j^k \check{X}_k + \sum_{k=n-q+1}^{p-n} (\omega_j^k + \omega_{p-q-j+1}^k) X_k + \widehat{\theta}_j^\beta Y_\beta \quad \text{mod } E, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-q,$$

where

$$\check{\theta}_\alpha^j = \theta_\alpha^j + \theta_\alpha^{p-q-j+1},$$

$$\widehat{\omega}_j^k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_j^k + \omega_{p-q-j+1}^k - \omega_j^{p-q-k+1} - \omega_{p-q-j+1}^{p-q-k+1} \right)$$

and

$$\check{X}_j = X_j - X_{p-q-j+1}.$$

Similar to §2, we can show that the CR structure of P^n is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \widehat{\theta}_\alpha^k = \widehat{\omega}_j^k = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n-q.$$

By pulling back a maximal complex submanifold in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ via the projection $(Z, E) \rightarrow Z$, we obtain that a maximal complex submanifold of \mathcal{P}^n is a flag manifold $\mathcal{F}(q, n, E)$ for some ℓ -dimensional space $E \in N(\ell, m)$.

For a point $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$, define

$$\mathcal{C}_Z := \bigcup_{E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell} T_Z^{1,0} Gr(q, E).$$

Lemma 3.1. \mathcal{C}_Z is not contained in any proper (complex) subspace of $T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell$.

Proof. Let

$$\mu = \mu_j^\alpha \theta_\alpha^j$$

be a $(1,0)$ form that vanishes on \mathcal{C}_Z . To complete the proof, it is enough to show that $\mu = 0$ on $T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell$. For a given $E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$, assume that $Gr(q, E)$ is an integral manifold of

$$\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \widehat{\theta}_\alpha^j = \theta_\alpha^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell - q < k \leq p - \ell.$$

Then by substituting

$$\theta_\alpha^{p-q-j+1} = \theta_\alpha^j - \widehat{\theta}_\alpha^j,$$

we obtain

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-q} (\mu_j^\alpha + \mu_{p-q-j+1}^\alpha) \theta_\alpha^j \quad \text{mod } \{\widehat{\theta}_\alpha^j, \theta_\alpha^k, 1 \leq j \leq \ell - q < k \leq p - \ell\}.$$

Therefore if $\mu = 0$ on $T_Z^{1,0} Gr(q, E)$, then by maximal independent condition given in (3.1), we obtain

$$\mu_j^\alpha + \mu_{p-q-j+1}^\alpha = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q.$$

The same argument for the maximal integral manifold of the system

$$\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \theta_\alpha^j + \theta_\alpha^{p-q-j+1} = \theta_\alpha^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell - q < k \leq p - \ell$$

will imply

$$\mu_j^\alpha - \mu_{p-q-j+1}^\alpha = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\mu_j^\alpha = \mu_{p-q-j+1}^\alpha = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q.$$

Since $E \in \Lambda_Z$ is arbitrary, we can show that

$$\mu_j^\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, j,$$

which completes the proof. □

Let $Z_0 \in S_{q,p}^\ell$ and $E_0 \in \Lambda_{Z_0}^\ell$ be fixed and let

$$\mathcal{S}_0 := \{E_0\}.$$

Define an increasing sequence of sets \mathcal{S}_j , $j \geq 1$ inductively by

$$\mathcal{S}_j = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{S}_{j-1}} \{E \in N(\ell, m) : \dim E = \ell, \dim(E \cap F) \geq \ell - 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.2.

$$\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{S}_q} Gr(q, E) = S_{q,p}^\ell.$$

Proof. Let $Z_1 \in S_{q,p}^\ell$. Write

$$Z_1 = \text{span}\{W_1, \dots, W_q\}.$$

After a frame change, we may assume that there exists $\alpha_0 \leq q$ such that

$$E_0 \cap Z_1 = \text{span}\{W_\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0\}.$$

Let

$$E_0 = \text{span}\{V_1, \dots, V_\ell\}$$

with

$$V_\alpha = W_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_0.$$

By the maximality of E , we obtain that for each $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists V_{j_α} , $\alpha > \alpha_0$ such that

$$\langle W_\alpha, V_{j_\alpha} \rangle_{\ell, m} \neq 0.$$

After choosing suitable basis of Z_1 and E_0 , we may assume

$$\langle W_\alpha, V_j \rangle_{\ell, m} = \pm \delta_{\alpha, j}, \quad \alpha_0 < \alpha \leq q.$$

Then a sequence of subspaces E_α defined by

$$E_\alpha := \text{span}\{W_1, \dots, W_\alpha, V_{\alpha+1}, \dots, V_\ell\}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, q$$

will satisfy

$$E_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, q$$

and

$$E_q \supset Z_1.$$

□

Lemma 3.2 shows that every two points Z_0, Z_1 in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ are connected by a chain of maximal complex submanifolds $Gr(q, E_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 0, \dots, q$ of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ with $Z_0 \in Gr(q, E_0)$, $Z_1 \in Gr(q, E_q)$ such that

$$\dim(E_{\alpha-1} \cap E_\alpha) = \ell - 1.$$

Similar to Lemma 3.2, we can also prove the following lemma whose proof we omit.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\mathcal{C}_{Z_0} = \{t \in T_{Z_0}^{1,0} Gr(q, E) : E \in \mathcal{S}_{\ell-q} \cap \Lambda_{Z_0}^\ell\}.$$

For integers $q' \leq \ell' \leq (p' + q')/2 \leq p'$, define

$$S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} = \{Z' \in Gr(q', p') : \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}|_{Z'} = 0\},$$

where $m' = p' + q' - \ell'$ and

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\ell', m'} := -(u_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + u_{\ell'} \bar{v}_{\ell'}) + (u_{\ell'+1} \bar{v}_{\ell'+1} + \dots + u_{p'+q'} \bar{v}_{p'+q'}).$$

Note that $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ is the unique closed $SU(\ell', m')$ orbit in $Gr(q', p')$. We shall denote by $\{Z'_a, X'_J, Y'_a\}$ an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame and by Φ_a^b, Θ_a^J the coframes of $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ corresponding to the coframes $\varphi_\alpha^\beta, \theta_\alpha^j$ of $S_{q,p}^\ell$. In particular, we obtain

$$dZ'_a = \Theta_a^J X'_J + \Phi_a^b Y'_b \quad \text{mod } Z'.$$

Let $f : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ be a CR map. Then f preserves complex submanifolds, i.e. for any complex submanifold $\mathcal{N} \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$, there exists a complex submanifold $\mathcal{N}' \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ such that $f(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N}'$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$ and $f : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ be a germ of a CR embedding at Z . Suppose there exists a maximal complex submanifold $Gr(q', E') \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad f(Gr(q, E)) \subset Gr(q', E'), \quad \forall E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell.$$

Then

$$f_*(\mathbb{C}T_Z S_{q,p}^\ell) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} + T_{f(Z)}^{0,1} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}.$$

Proof. Assume that $Gr(q', E')$ is an integral manifold of

$$\Phi_a^b = \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = \Theta_a^K = 0, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq q', \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell' - q' < K \leq p' - \ell'$$

where

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J := \Theta_a^J - \Theta_a^{p'-q'-J+1} = 0.$$

Let μ be a one form of $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ in the ideal generated by $\{\Phi_a^b, \widehat{\Theta}_a^J, \Theta_a^K, 1 \leq a, b \leq q', 1 \leq J \leq \ell' - q' < K \leq p' - \ell'\}$. Then (3.2) implies

$$\mu(f_*(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{C}_Z.$$

This, together with Lemma 3.1, implies

$$\mu(f_*(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell,$$

i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad f_*(T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} Gr(q', E').$$

By taking complex conjugation, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad f_*(T_Z^{0,1} S_{q,p}^\ell) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{0,1} Gr(q', E').$$

Next we will show that

$$f_*(v) \in T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} + T_{f(Z)}^{0,1} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{C}T_Z S_{q,p}^\ell$. Since

$$d\varphi_\alpha^\beta = \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta \quad \text{mod } \varphi,$$

it is enough to show that

$$\Phi_a^b([f_*(t_j^\alpha), \overline{f_*(t_j^\beta)}]) = 0$$

at $f(Z)$, where $\{t_j^\alpha\}$ are $(1,0)$ vector fields dual to $\{\theta_\alpha^j\}$. Since

$$\Phi_a^b\left(\left[f_*(t_j^\alpha), \overline{f_*(t_j^\beta)}\right]\right) = d\Phi_a^b\left(f_*(t_j^\alpha), \overline{f_*(t_j^\beta)}\right)$$

and

$$d\Phi_a^b = \sum_{1 \leq J \leq \ell' - q'} \left(\widehat{\Theta}_a^J \wedge \Theta_J^b + \Theta_a^J \wedge \widehat{\Theta}_J^b \right) + \sum_{\ell' - q' < J \leq m' - q'} \Theta_a^J \wedge \Theta_J^b,$$

(3.3) and (3.4) will imply

$$\Phi_a^b([f_*(t_j^\alpha), \overline{f_*(t_j^\beta)}]) = 0$$

at $f(Z)$. Hence the conclusion follows. \square

Definition 3.5. A germ of a CR map $f : (S_{q,p}^\ell, Z) \rightarrow (S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}, Z')$ is said to be transversal if

$$f_*(t) \notin T_{Z'}^{1,0} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} + T_{Z'}^{0,1} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}, \quad \forall t \notin T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell + T_Z^{0,1} S_{q,p}^\ell.$$

4. $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -FRAMES ADAPTED TO f

From now on, we shall follow the index convention that small Greek indices α, β, γ run over $\{1, \dots, q\}$, small Latin indices i, j, k over $\{1, \dots, \ell - q, (p' - q') - (m - q) + 1, \dots, p' - q'\}$, small Latin indices a, b, c, d over $\{1, \dots, q'\}$ and large Latin indices I, J, K over $\{1, \dots, p' - q'\}$. For simplicity, we shall abuse the notation by writing Σ instead of $f^*\Sigma$ for any form Σ on $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$. We also use the notation $\varphi_a^b = 0$ if $a > q$ or $b > q$, $\theta_a^J = 0$ if $a > q$ or $\ell - q < J \leq (p' - q') - (m - q)$ and $\{\theta_\alpha^j, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q, j \leq \ell - q$ or $j > (p' - q') - (m - q)\}$ is a basis of $(1,0)$ forms satisfying

$$d\varphi_\alpha^\beta = - \sum_{j \leq \ell - q} \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \overline{\theta_\beta^j} + \sum_{j > (p' - q') - (m - q)} \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \overline{\theta_\beta^j} \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

In this section we use the structure equation for φ_α^β modulo the ideal φ generated by the contact forms φ_α^β , i.e. the equations

$$(4.1) \quad d\varphi_a^b = \theta_a^J \wedge \theta_J^b \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \quad d\Phi_a^b = \Theta_a^J \wedge \Theta_J^b \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

Note that due to our convention, both sides of the first equation are zero if $a > q$ or $b > q$ and for the same reason the summation is only performed over $J \in \{1, \dots, \ell - q, (p' - q') - (m - q) + 1, \dots, p' - q'\}$.

4.1. **Determination of Φ_1^1 .** For a CR map $f : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$, the pullback of Φ_a^b via f is a linear combination of φ_α^β and the pull back of Θ_a^J via f is a linear combination of θ_α^j modulo φ . Consider the diagonal terms Φ_a^a , $a = 1, \dots, q'$. Suppose that (the pullbacks of) Φ_a^a vanish identically for all a . Consider the structure equation

$$0 = d\Phi_1^1 = - \sum_{J \leq \ell' - q'} \Theta_1^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_1^J} + \sum_{J > \ell' - q'} \Theta_1^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_1^J} \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

By substituting

$$\Theta_1^J = \sum_{\alpha, j} h_{\alpha, j}^J \theta_\alpha^j \quad \text{mod } \varphi,$$

we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad - \sum_{J \leq \ell' - q'} h_{\alpha, j}^J \overline{h_{\beta, k}^J} + \sum_{J > \ell' - q'} h_{\alpha, j}^J \overline{h_{\beta, k}^J} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, j, k.$$

For each α and j , define a vector $h_{\alpha, j}$ by

$$h_{\alpha, j} := (h_{\alpha, j}^J)_{J=1, \dots, p' - q'}.$$

Then (4.2) implies that the space $\text{span}\{h_{\alpha, j}\}_{\alpha, j}$ is a null space of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ on $\mathbb{C}^{p' - q'}$ defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle' := - \sum_{J \leq \ell' - q'} u^J \overline{v^J} + \sum_{J > \ell' - q'} u^J \overline{v^J}.$$

After a suitable frame change by rotation, we may assume that on an open set,

$$\text{span}\{h_{\alpha, j}\}_{\alpha, j} = \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_J, 1 \leq J \leq n_1 - q'\}$$

for some $n_1 \leq \ell'$, where

$$\widehat{X}'_J := X'_J + X'_{p' - q' - J + 1},$$

i.e. $f(S_{q,p}^\ell)$ is an integral manifold of the system

$$(4.3) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_1^J = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_1 - q'.$$

Fix a point $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$. For a given $E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$, choose a minimal $Gr(q', E') \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ through $f(Z)$ such that

$$f(Gr(q, E)) \subset Gr(q, E').$$

Then (4.3) implies that $Gr(q', E')$ is an integral manifold of

$$\widehat{\Theta}_1^J = 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_1 - q'.$$

Hence we obtain

$$E' \subset f(Z) + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_J, X'_K, 1 \leq J \leq n_1 - q' < K \leq p' - n_1\}.$$

This implies that $Gr(q', E')$ is an integral manifold of

$$(4.4) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0, \quad 1 \leq a \leq q', \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_1 - q'.$$

Since $E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$ is arbitrary, together with Lemma 3.1, we can conclude that (4.4) holds on $T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell$.

Now consider

$$0 = d\Phi_2^2 = - \sum_{J \leq \ell' - q'} \Theta_2^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_2^J} + \sum_{J > \ell' - q'} \Theta_2^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_2^J} \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

By (4.4), we obtain

$$0 = - \sum_{n_1 - q' < J \leq \ell' - q'} \Theta_2^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_2^J} + \sum_{\ell' - q' < J \leq p' - n_1} \Theta_2^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_2^J} \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

Then similar to the case of Θ_1^J , we may assume that

$$\widehat{\Theta}_2^J = \Theta_2^K = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_2 - q' < K \leq p' - n_2$$

for some $n_2 \geq n_1$ and

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0, \quad 1 \leq a \leq q', \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_2 - q'$$

on $T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell$. By continuing this process, we can show that there exists an integer $n_{q'}$ such that

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J = \Theta_a^K = 0, \quad 1 \leq a \leq q', \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_{q'} - q' < K \leq p' - n_{q'}$$

on $T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell$.

Define

$$F' := f(Z) + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_J, \quad 1 \leq J \leq n_{q'} - q'\}.$$

Then we have

$$f_*(T_Z^{1,0} Gr(q, E)) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} Gr(q, F'), \quad \forall E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell.$$

By Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$f_*(\mathbb{C}T_Z S_{q,p}^\ell) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} + T_{f(Z)}^{0,1} S_{q',p'}^{\ell'},$$

which contradicts our assumption on the transversality of f .

Hence there exists at least one diagonal term of Φ whose pullback does not vanish identically. Choose such a diagonal term of Φ , say Φ_1^1 . Then on an open set, $\Phi_1^1 \neq 0$. Since the pullback of Φ_1^1 to $S_{q,p}^\ell$ is a contact form, we can write

$$\Phi_1^1 = c_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta^\alpha$$

for some smooth functions c_α^β . Since (φ_α^β) and (Φ_a^b) are antihermitian, the matrix (c_α^β) is hermitian. Then there exists a change of frame on $S_{q,p}^\ell$ (change of position in Definition 2.1) given by

$$\widetilde{Z}_\alpha = U_\alpha^\beta Z_\beta, \quad \widetilde{Y}_\alpha = U_\alpha^\beta Y_\beta, \quad \widetilde{X}_j = X_j$$

for some unitary matrix U such that c_α^β is diagonalized and hence the new contact forms φ_α^β , $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q$, satisfy

$$\Phi_1^1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r c_\alpha \varphi_\alpha^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq r \leq q,$$

where c_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, r$, are nonzero real valued smooth functions. After dilation of Φ_1^1 , we may further assume that

$$c_1 = \pm 1.$$

Denote by θ_α the ideal generated by $\{\theta_\alpha^j, \forall j\}$. We will prove the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Assuming $(\ell' - q') < 2(\ell - q)$, we have $r = 1$ and after suitable frame changes, we obtain that either $\ell - q \leq \ell' - q'$, $m - q \leq m' - q'$ and f satisfies*

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \Theta_1^J - \theta_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'$$

$$(4.7) \quad \Theta_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \{\theta_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2\}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

or $\ell - q \leq m' - q'$, $m - q \leq \ell' - q'$ and f satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \Theta_1^J - \theta_1^{p' - q' - J + 1} = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad 1 \leq J \leq m - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (m - q) < J \leq p' - q'$$

$$(4.11) \quad \Theta_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \{\theta_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2\}, \quad m - q < J \leq 2(\ell' - q') - (m - q)$$

$$(4.12) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad m - q < J \leq \ell' - q',$$

where

$$\widehat{\Theta}_1^J := \Theta_1^J - \Theta_1^{2(\ell' - q') - J + 1}.$$

In addition, we can choose a frame such that for each $a \geq 2$, there exist smooth functions μ_a^β , $\beta \geq 2$ satisfying either

$$(4.13) \quad \Theta_a^j = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \mu_a^\beta \theta_\beta^j \pmod{\varphi}, \quad j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > (p' - q') - (m - q),$$

if $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$ or

$$(4.14) \quad \Theta_a^{p' - q' - j + 1} = \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \mu_a^\beta \theta_\beta^j \pmod{\varphi}, \quad j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > (p' - q') - (m - q),$$

if $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$.

Proof. The structure equations (4.1) for Φ_1^1 yield

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{J=1}^{\ell' - q'} \Theta_1^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_1^J} - \sum_{J=\ell' - q' + 1}^{p' - q'} \Theta_1^J \wedge \overline{\Theta_1^J} = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell - q} \theta_{\alpha}^j \wedge \overline{\theta_{\alpha}^j} - \sum_{j=\ell - q + 1}^{p - q} \theta_{\alpha}^j \wedge \overline{\theta_{\alpha}^j} \right) \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Let

$$(4.16) \quad \Theta_1^J = \sum_{\alpha, j} h_{\alpha, j}^J \theta_{\alpha}^j \pmod{\varphi}$$

and define

$$h_{\alpha, j} := (h_{\alpha, j}^J)_{J=1, \dots, p' - q'}, \quad \forall \alpha, j.$$

Then (4.15) implies

$$(4.17) \quad \langle h_{\alpha, j}, h_{\beta, k} \rangle' = c_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{jk}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, j, k,$$

where

$$c_{\alpha} := 0, \quad \alpha > r.$$

Thus the vectors $h_{\alpha,j}$ are pairwise orthogonal and have length c_α independent of j with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$. After a suitable rotation, we may assume that either

$$\text{span}\{h_{1,j}\}_j = \text{span}\{X'_j\}_j$$

and therefore $\ell - q \leq \ell' - q'$ and $m - q \leq m' - q'$ if $c_1 = 1$ or

$$\text{span}\{h_{1,j}\}_j = \text{span}\{X'_{p'-q'-j+1}\}_j$$

and therefore $\ell - q \leq m' - q'$ and $m - q \leq \ell' - q'$ if $c_1 = -1$. This implies either

$$\Theta_1^J - \theta_1^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \{\theta_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2\}$$

if $c_1 = 1$ or

$$\Theta_1^J - \theta_1^{p'-q'-J+1} = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \{\theta_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2\}$$

if $c_1 = -1$. This together with (4.17) implies either

$$\Theta_1^j - \theta_1^j = 0 \pmod{\varphi}$$

if $c_1 = 1$ or

$$\Theta_1^j - \theta_1^{p'-q'-j+1} = 0 \pmod{\varphi}$$

if $c_1 = -1$.

Now fix $\alpha > 1$. Then the vector space $\text{span}\{h_{\alpha,j}\}_j$ is in the orthogonal complement of $\text{span}\{h_{1,j}\}_j$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$. Note that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ restricted to an orthogonal space of $\text{span}\{h_{1,j}\}_j$ has $(m' - q') - (m - q)$ positive eigenvalues and $(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$ negative eigenvalues. Therefore, the maximal null space spanned by $\{h_{\alpha,j}\}_j$ is at most $(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$ dimensional. Since $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$ by our assumption, this is only possible when $c_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \neq 1$, i.e. $r = 1$ and

$$\langle h_{\alpha,j}, h_{\beta,k} \rangle' = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 2.$$

In particular, either (4.5) or (4.9) holds and $\text{span}\{h_{\alpha,j}\}_{\alpha \geq 2, j}$ is a null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$. Then after a frame change by rotation, we may assume that either

$$\text{span}\{h_{\alpha,j}\}_{\alpha \geq 2, j} = \text{span}\{X'_J + X'_{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}, \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'\}$$

if $c_1 = 1$ or

$$\text{span}\{h_{\alpha,j}\}_{\alpha \geq 2, j} = \text{span}\{X'_J + X'_{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}, m - q < J \leq \ell' - q'\}$$

if $c_1 = -1$ and hence either (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) or (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) hold.

For further adaptation, fix $a \geq 2$ and let

$$(4.18) \quad \Phi_a^1 = \lambda_\beta^\gamma \varphi_\gamma^\beta$$

for some smooth functions λ_β^γ . First assume

$$\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1.$$

Then (4.1), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) imply

$$(4.19) \quad \sum_j \Theta_a^j \wedge \theta_j^1 = \lambda_1^\gamma (\theta_\gamma^j \wedge \theta_j^1), \quad \pmod{\varphi}, \{\bar{\theta}_\beta, \beta \geq 2\}.$$

There exists a change of position that leaves Θ_1^J invariant and replaces Θ_a^J with $\Theta_a^J - \lambda_1^1 \Theta_1^J$ for given $a \geq 2$. This change of position leaves Φ_1^1 invariant and transforms Φ_a^1 into $\Phi_a^1 - \lambda_1^1 \Phi_1^1$ for given $a \geq 2$. After performing such change of position, (4.19) becomes

$$\sum_j \Theta_a^j \wedge \theta_j^1 = \sum_{\gamma \geq 2} \lambda_1^\gamma (\theta_\gamma^j \wedge \theta_j^1), \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \{\bar{\theta}_\beta, \beta \geq 2\}.$$

Since Θ_a^J are $(1, 0)$ forms but θ_j^1 are $(0, 1)$ forms and linearly independent, it follows that for each fixed $a \geq 2$, we have

$$\Theta_a^j = \sum_{\gamma \geq 2} \lambda_1^\gamma \theta_\gamma^j \quad \text{mod } \varphi,$$

i.e. (4.13) holds, where we let $\mu_a^\gamma = \lambda_1^\gamma$. Similar argument for $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$ will show that (4.14) holds, which completes the proof. \square

By (4.8) or (4.12) we may assume that f satisfies either

$$(4.20) \quad \Theta_1^{\ell-q+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \Theta_1^{\ell-q+n_1} \neq 0, \quad \Theta_1^{\ell-q+n_1+1} = \dots = \Theta_1^{2(\ell-q')-n_1-1} = 0$$

for some $n_1 \leq \ell' - q' - (\ell - q)$ if $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$ or

$$\Theta_1^{m-q+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \Theta_1^{m-q+n_1} \neq 0, \quad \Theta_1^{m-q+n_1+1} = \dots = \Theta_1^{2(\ell'-q')-n_1-1} = 0$$

for some $n_1 \leq \ell' - q' - (m - q)$ if $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$.

4.2. Determination of Φ_2^2 and Φ_2^1 . Next for each fixed $a \geq 2$, let

$$(4.21) \quad \Phi_a^a = \lambda_\beta^\gamma \varphi_\gamma^\beta$$

Using the structure equation for Φ_a^a together with (4.13) or (4.14) in Lemma 4.1, we obtain either

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{J=\ell-q+1}^{2(\ell'-q')-(\ell-q)} \Theta_a^J \wedge \Theta_J^a = \lambda_\beta^1 (\theta_1^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta) \quad \text{mod } \{\theta_\gamma : \gamma \geq 2\}, \varphi$$

or

$$(4.23) \quad \sum_{J=m-q+1}^{2(\ell'-q')-(m-q)} \Theta_a^J \wedge \Theta_J^a = \lambda_\beta^1 (\theta_1^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta) \quad \text{mod } \{\theta_\gamma : \gamma \geq 2\}, \varphi.$$

Suppose $\lambda_\beta^1 \neq 0$ for some β . Since $\ell - q \leq m - q$, on the left-hand side of (4.22) or (4.23) we have at most $\ell' - q' - (\ell - q)$ dimensional null spaces, whereas on the right-hand side we have $(\ell - q)$ dimensional null spaces. Since $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$, this is impossible. Hence we have $\lambda_\beta^1 = 0$ for all β and therefore (4.21) becomes

$$\Phi_a^a = 0 \quad \text{mod } \{\varphi_\gamma^\beta : \gamma \geq 2\}, \quad a \geq 2.$$

Since Φ_a^b and φ_α^β are antihermitian, we also have

$$\Phi_a^a = 0 \quad \text{mod } \{\varphi_\gamma^\beta : \beta \geq 2\}, \quad a \geq 2,$$

and hence

$$(4.24) \quad \Phi_a^a = 0 \quad \text{mod } \{\varphi_\gamma^\beta : \beta, \gamma \geq 2\}, \quad a \geq 2.$$

We will repeat the argument from the beginning of this section. Assume first that $\Phi_a^a = 0$ for all $a \geq 2$. Similarly, we can choose $Gr(q', F') \subset S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$ such that

$$f_* (T_Z^{1,0} Gr(q, E) \cap \text{kernel}\{\theta_1\}) \subset T_{f(Z)}^{1,0} S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}, \quad \forall E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$$

and hence f_* restricted to $T_Z^{1,0}(S_{q, p}^\ell \cap \{Z_1 = \text{constant}\})$ contradicts our assumption on the transversality. Therefore we can choose a non trivial Φ_a^a for some a , say $a = 2$. Then (4.24) implies that, after a change of position as before, we may assume

$$\Phi_2^2 = \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} c_\alpha \varphi_\alpha^\alpha$$

for some real c_α not all zero and (4.1) yields

$$\Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^2 = \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} c_\alpha \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\alpha \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Since the proof of Lemma 4.1 can be repeated for Φ_2^2 instead of Φ_1^1 , we conclude that the only one c_α , say c_2 can be different from zero. After a dilation fixing Φ_1^1 , we may assume

$$\Phi_2^2 = c_2 \varphi_2^2,$$

where $c_2 = \pm 1$ and

$$(4.25) \quad \Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^2 = c_2 \theta_2^j \wedge \theta_j^2 \pmod{\varphi}.$$

We claim that $c_2 = c_1$. First assume that $c_1 = 1$, i.e. $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$. Since

$$\Phi_2^1 = \eta_\beta^\alpha \varphi_\alpha^\beta$$

for suitable η_β^α , we obtain

$$\Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^1 = \eta_\beta^\alpha \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta \pmod{\varphi},$$

which in view of Lemma 4.1, yields

$$\sum_j \Theta_2^j \wedge \theta_j^1 + \sum_{\ell-q < J \leq \ell'-q'} \widehat{\Theta}_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^1 = \eta_\beta^\alpha \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta \pmod{\varphi}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we can substitute

$$(4.26) \quad \Theta_2^j = \mu^\alpha \theta_\alpha^j \pmod{\varphi}$$

for some μ^α with $\mu^1 = 0$, which yields

$$(4.27) \quad \sum_{\ell-q < J \leq \ell'-q'} \widehat{\Theta}_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^1 = \sum_\alpha (\eta_1^\alpha - \mu^\alpha) \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^1 + \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta \geq 2} \eta_\beta^\alpha \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Since the left-hand side of (4.27) contains at most $(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$ linearly independent $(1, 0)$ forms while the right-hand side contains at least $(p - q)$ linearly independent $(1, 0)$ forms unless trivial, we obtain that $\eta_1^\alpha = \mu^\alpha$ and $\eta_\beta^\alpha = 0$ for all $\beta > 1$, i.e.

$$\Phi_2^1 = \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} \mu^\alpha \varphi_\alpha^1$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell-q < J \leq \ell'-q'} \widehat{\Theta}_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^1 = 0 \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Then by condition (4.20) we obtain

$$(4.28) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_2^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell - q + n_1.$$

Substituting (4.26) and (4.28) into (4.25), we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq 2} |\mu^\alpha|^2 \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\alpha + \sum_{\ell-q+n_1 < J \leq p'-q'-(m-q)} \Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^2 = c_2 \theta_2^j \wedge \theta_j^2 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \{\theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta, \alpha \neq \beta\}.$$

Since $\sum_{\ell-q+n_1 < J \leq p'-q'-(m-q)} \Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^2$ has at most $(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q + n_1)$ dimensional null spaces while $\theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\alpha$ has at least $(\ell - q)$ -dimensional null spaces, we obtain $|\mu^2|^2 = c_2 > 0$, $\mu^\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \geq 3$ and

$$(4.29) \quad \sum_{\ell-q+n_1 < J \leq p'-q'-(m-q)} \Theta_2^J \wedge \Theta_J^2 = 0 \pmod{\varphi}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\Phi_2^1 = \mu \varphi_2^1, \quad \Phi_2^2 = \varphi_2^2$$

and

$$\Theta_2^j = \mu \theta_2^j \pmod{\varphi}$$

for some μ with $|\mu|^2 = 1$. After a change of position given by $\widetilde{Z}_2 = uZ_2$ for some $u \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|u| = 1$, we may assume $\mu = 1$, i.e. we have

$$\Phi_2^1 = \varphi_2^1$$

and

$$\Theta_2^j = \theta_2^j \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Finally, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we substitute

$$\Theta_2^J = \sum_{\alpha, j} h_{\alpha, k}^J \theta_\alpha^k \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \ell - q + n_1 < J \leq p' - q' - (m - q)$$

into (4.29) to obtain

$$- \sum_{J=\ell-q+n_1+1}^{\ell'-q'} h_{\alpha, j}^J \overline{h_{\beta, k}^J} + \sum_{J=\ell'-q'+1}^{p'-q'-(m-q)} h_{\alpha, j}^J \overline{h_{\beta, k}^J} = 0.$$

In view of (4.28), after a suitable rotation fixing Θ_a^J , $\widehat{\Theta}_a^J$ for $1 \leq J \leq \ell - q + n_1$ and Θ_a^J for $J > 2(p' - q') - (m - q)$, we may assume that

$$\widehat{\Theta}_2^J = \Theta_2^K = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \ell - q + n_1 < J \leq \ell - q + n_2, \quad 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < K \leq p' - q' - (m - q)$$

with independence condition

$$\Theta_2^{\ell-q+n_1+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \Theta_2^{\ell-q+n_2} \neq 0, \quad \Theta_2^{\ell-q+n_2+1} = \dots = \Theta_2^{2(\ell'-q')-n_2-1} = 0$$

for some $n_2 \geq n_1$. The same argument can be applied for the case of $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$ as well to determine Φ_2^2, Φ_2^1 and Θ_2^J .

4.3. Determination of Φ_a^b for $a, b > 2$. Now we will repeat again the arguments, where we replace 1 by 2 and 2 by 3 and so on. Then by induction argument on a , we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For any CR-embedding $f: S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ there is a choice of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame and $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame such that the pulled back forms satisfy either*

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_a^b - \varphi_a^b &= 0, \\ \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^J &= 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q', \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.31) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_a^b + \varphi_a^b &= 0, \\ \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^{p'-q'-J+1} &= 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad 1 \leq J \leq m - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (m - q) < J \leq p' - q', \\ \widehat{\Theta}_a^J &= 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad m - q < J \leq \ell' - q' \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b = 1, \dots, q'$, where

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J := \Theta_a^J - \Theta_a^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}.$$

4.4. Determination of $\Theta_a^J, \widehat{\Theta}_a^J$. Assume that $\Phi_a^b = \varphi_a^b$. Let

$$(4.32) \quad \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^J = \eta_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q',$$

$$(4.33) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = \widehat{\eta}_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'.$$

By differentiating (4.32) using the structure equation and substituting (4.30) and (4.31), we obtain

$$(4.34) \quad \theta_a^k \wedge (\Omega_k^J - \omega_k^J) + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_a^K \wedge (\Omega_K^J + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^J) = \eta_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} \theta_\alpha^k \wedge \theta_k^\beta \pmod{\varphi}$$

for $1 \leq J \leq \ell - q$ or $2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'$.

Suppose $(\eta_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha}) \neq 0$ for some $a > q$. In view of Lemma 4.2, the left-hand side of (4.34) has at most $(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$ linearly independent $(1, 0)$ forms while the right-hand side has at least $(p - q)$ linearly independent $(1, 0)$ forms. Hence under the condition $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$, we obtain

$$\eta_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall a > q,$$

i.e. for $a > q$,

$$\Theta_a^J = 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'.$$

Let $\alpha \leq q$ and $J \leq \ell - q$ or $J > 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$. Then (4.34) becomes

$$\sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_\alpha^K \wedge (\Omega_K^J + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^J) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{J,\gamma} \theta_\gamma^k \wedge \theta_k^\beta \pmod{\varphi, \theta_\alpha}.$$

Since $\theta_\gamma^k \wedge \theta_k^\beta$ has $(p - q)$ -linearly independent $(1, 0)$ forms, under the assumption that $(\ell' - q') < 2(\ell - q)$, we obtain

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{J,\gamma} = 0 \text{ if } \gamma \neq \alpha$$

i.e.

$$\Theta_\alpha^J = \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^J \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'$$

for some $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^J$ and (4.34) becomes

$$(4.35) \quad \theta_\alpha^k \wedge (\Omega_k^J - \omega_k^J - \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^J \theta_k^\beta) + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_\alpha^K \wedge (\Omega_K^J + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^J) = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

Choose a frame satisfying (4.7), i.e.

$$\Theta_1^J = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \{ \theta_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2 \}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$$

and consider (4.35) for $\alpha = 1$. Then we obtain

$$\theta_1^k \wedge (\Omega_k^J - \omega_k^J - \eta_{1,\beta}^J \theta_k^\beta) = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \theta_\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 2,$$

which implies

$$\Omega_k^J - \omega_k^J = \eta_{1,\beta}^J \theta_k^\beta \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \theta.$$

By substituting this into (4.35) for arbitrary $\alpha \leq q$, we obtain

$$(\eta_{1,\beta}^J - \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^J) \theta_\alpha^k \wedge \theta_k^\beta + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_\alpha^K \wedge (\Omega_K^J + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^J) = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi.$$

Since $\theta_\alpha^k \wedge \theta_k^\beta$ has $(p-q)$ -linearly independent $(1,0)$ forms, under the assumption that $(\ell' - q') < 2(\ell - q)$, we obtain

$$\eta_{1,\beta}^J - \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^J = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \leq q,$$

i.e.

$$\Theta_\alpha^J = \eta_\beta^J \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'$$

for some η_β^J .

By differentiating (4.33) using the structure equation and substituting (4.33), we obtain

$$(4.36) \quad \theta_a^k \wedge (\Omega_k^J - \Omega_k^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}) + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_a^K \wedge \widehat{\Omega}_K^J = \widehat{\eta}_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} \theta_\alpha^j \wedge \theta_j^\beta \quad \text{mod } \varphi$$

for $J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell' - q'$, where

$$\widehat{\Omega}_K^J := \Omega_K^J + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^J - \left(\Omega_K^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1} + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1} \right), \quad J, K \leq \ell' - q'.$$

By counting the maximal linearly independent forms on the right and the left-hand sides of (4.36) as before, we obtain

$$\widehat{\eta}_{a,\beta}^{J,\alpha} = 0 \quad a > q,$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0, \quad J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell' - q', \quad a > q$$

and

$$\theta_\alpha^k \wedge (\Omega_k^J - \Omega_k^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1} - \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha,\beta}^J \theta_k^\beta) + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_\alpha^K \wedge \widehat{\Omega}_K^J = 0 \quad \text{mod } \varphi, \quad \alpha \leq q$$

for some $\widehat{\eta}_{\alpha,\beta}^J$. Then by following the same argument, we obtain

$$\widehat{\Theta}_\alpha^J = \widehat{\eta}_\beta^J \varphi_\alpha^\beta, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

for some $\widehat{\eta}_\beta^J$.

Now choose a frame change given by

$$\widetilde{Z}'_a = Z'_a, \quad \widetilde{X}'_J = X'_J + C_J^b Z'_b, \quad \widetilde{Y}'_a = Y'_a + A_a^b Z'_b + B_a^J X'_J,$$

where

$$B_a^J = \eta_a^J \quad \text{if } a \leq q \text{ and } 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q'$$

and

$$B_a^J = \widehat{\eta}_a^J \quad \text{if } a \leq q \text{ and } \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

and 0 otherwise. Then the new Θ_a^J satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_a^J &= 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q', \\ \widehat{\Theta}_a^J &= 0, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'. \end{aligned}$$

Similar argument for the case of $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$ will provide an analogous adaptation of the frame. Summing up, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *For any transversal CR-embedding $f: S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$, there is a smooth choice of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ -frame and $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame such that the pulled back forms satisfy either*

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_a^b - \varphi_a^b &= 0, \\ \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^J &= 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q', \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.38) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

or

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_a^b + \varphi_a^b &= 0, \\ \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^{p'-q'-J+1} &= 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq m - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (m - q) < J \leq p' - q', \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.40) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^J = 0, \quad m - q < J \leq \ell' - q'$$

where

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^J = \Theta_a^J - \Theta_a^{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}.$$

We call such a frame an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame adapted to f . If $\ell' - q' = \ell - q$ or $\ell' - q' = m - q$, then (4.38) or (4.40) is an empty condition. In §5, we assume that $\ell' - q' > \ell - q$ or $\ell' - q' > m - q$ and then adapt $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frames further to f .

After a frame change of X'_j by rotation, we can choose a sequence of integers $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_q \leq (\ell' - q') - (\ell - q)$ such that

$$(4.41) \quad \Theta_\alpha^{\ell-q+n_\alpha-1+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \Theta_\alpha^{\ell-q+n_\alpha} \neq 0 \pmod{\varphi}, \quad \Theta_\alpha^{\ell-q+n_\alpha+1} = \dots = \Theta_\alpha^{2(\ell'-q')-n_\alpha-1} = 0 \pmod{\varphi}.$$

Then together with (4.37), we obtain

$$(4.42) \quad f_*(T_Z^{1,0} S_{q,p}^\ell) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(f(Z), (f(Z) + V_Z + W_Z)/F(Z)),$$

where

$$V_Z := \text{span}\{X'_j, \quad j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < j \leq p' - q'\}$$

and

$$W_Z := \text{span}\{X'_J + X'_{2(\ell'-q')-J+1}, J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + n_q\}.$$

Finally by differentiating (4.37) or (4.39) for $a > q$ and $J = j$, we obtain either

$$(4.43) \quad \Psi_a^\beta \wedge \theta_\beta^j + \sum_{\ell-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_a^K \wedge (\Omega_K^j + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^j) = 0,$$

or

$$\Psi_a^\beta \wedge \theta_\beta^{p'-q'-j+1} + \sum_{m-q < K \leq \ell'-q'} \Theta_a^K \wedge (\Omega_K^j + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^j) = 0$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - q$ or $(p' - q') - (m - q) < j \leq p' - q'$.

5. FUNDAMENTAL FORMS OF f AND FIXED NULL SPACE

In this section, we assume $1 < \ell - q$ and $\ell - q < \ell' - q'$ if $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$ or $m - q < \ell' - q'$ if $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$. Then we reduce the freedom of $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frames adapted to f . We will show the reduction process under the assumption that $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$. The same argument will provide the same reduction of freedom for the case of $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$.

For a complex manifold \mathcal{N} in a projective space, one can define projective fundamental forms $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(k)}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. ([L03]) We consider Grassmannian as a complex manifold in a projective space \mathbb{P}^N under Plücker embedding. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ and let \mathcal{N} be a complex manifold in \mathbb{P}^N through p . Then there exists k_0 depending on p such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(k)}(p), k = 1, \dots, k_0\} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(k)}(p), k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Choose the smallest such k_0 . At generic points of \mathcal{N} , k_0 is a constant.

Let $f : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ be a germ of a CR embedding. The Levi form of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ has $(\ell - q)$ negative and $(m - q)$ positive eigenvalues. Since we assumed $(m - q) \geq (\ell - q) \geq 1$, the image of the Levi form becomes the complex normal bundle of $S_{q,p}^\ell$. Hence f extends to a neighborhood $U \subset Gr(q, p)$ of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ as a germ of a holomorphic embedding ([BoP82]). A point $Z_0 \in S_{q,p}^\ell$ is said to be *generic* if

$$\dim \text{span}\{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}_{f(U)}^{(k)}(Z_0), k = 1, \dots, k_0\} = \max_{Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell} \left(\dim \text{span}\{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}_{f(U)}^{(k)}(Z), k = 1, \dots, k_0\} \right), \quad \forall k_0 \geq 1.$$

For a Grassmannian submanifold $Gr(q, F) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$, denote by $F_\sharp \subset \mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$ the unique minimal subspace such that

$$f(Gr(q, F)) \subset Gr(q', F_\sharp).$$

Note that since CR maps preserve complex submanifolds in CR manifolds, $Gr(q', F_\sharp)$ is a complex submanifold in $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ and therefore

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}|_{F_\sharp} = 0$$

and

$$F_\sharp \subset f(Z) + \text{span}\{X'_J, 1 \leq J \leq p' - q'\}$$

for any $Z \in Gr(q, F)$ and $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame $\{Z'_a, X'_J, Y'_a\}$ at $f(Z)$. Let

$$\ell_\sharp := \max(\dim F_\sharp),$$

where the maximum is taken over all Grassmannian submanifolds $Gr(q, F) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$. Note that by continuity of the fundamental forms, we can show that

$$\dim F_\sharp = \ell_\sharp$$

for generic maximal complex submanifolds $Gr(q, F) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}^\ell := \{(Z, E) \in \mathcal{F}(q, \ell, p+q) : Gr(q, E) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^{\ell_\sharp} := \{(Z', E') \in \mathcal{F}(q', \ell_\sharp, p'+q') : Gr(q', E') \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{P}^ℓ and $\mathcal{P}^{\ell_\sharp}$ are fiber bundles over $S_{q,p}^\ell$ and $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$, respectively. As in [Ng12], we define a bundle map $f^\sharp : \mathcal{P}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\ell_\sharp}$ by

$$f^\sharp((Z, E)) = (f(Z), E_\sharp).$$

Then by the property of projective fundamental forms, f^\sharp is a meromorphic map on a neighborhood of \mathcal{P}^ℓ . The reduction of the frames will be described in terms of the image of f^\sharp .

From now on, we assume $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$. Fix a generic point $Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell$ and choose an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame $\{Z'_a, X'_j, Y'_a\}$ adapted to f at $f(Z)$. In particular, f satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_a^J - \theta_a^J &= 0, \quad 1 \leq J \leq \ell - q \quad \text{or} \quad 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) < J \leq p' - q', \\ \widehat{\Theta}_a^J &= 0, \quad \ell - q < J \leq \ell' - q'. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_Z &:= \text{span} \{X'_j, j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > (p' - q') - (m - q)\}, \\ V_Z^\perp &:= \text{span}\{X'_j, \ell - q < J \leq (p' - q') - (m - q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

After a frame change by rotation, we may assume that V_Z is orthogonal to V_Z^\perp with respect to the standard Euclidean metric. For $E \in \Lambda_Z$, we define E_{V_Z} as follows:

After a suitable frame change by rotation, write

$$E = Z + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q\}$$

and let

$$E_{V_Z} := \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a space $N_Z \in N(\ell', m')$ such that*

$$N_Z \subset V_Z^\perp$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad E_\sharp \subset f(Z) + E_{V_Z} + N_Z$$

for all $E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$.

Note that N_Z depends on the choice of the frame $\{X'_j\}$. The proof of Lemma 5.1 will be given in several steps.

Choose $E \in \Lambda_Z$ such that $\dim E_{\sharp} = \ell_{\sharp}$. Assume that

$$E = Z + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q\}.$$

Then $Gr(q, E)$ is an integral manifold of

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\alpha}^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q.$$

Since

$$\widehat{\Theta}_a^j := \Theta_a^j - \Theta_a^{p'-q'-j+1} = \widehat{\theta}_a^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q,$$

we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_{\alpha}^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q$$

with independence condition

$$(5.4) \quad \bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^q (\Theta_{\alpha}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Theta_{\alpha}^{\ell-q}) \neq 0.$$

Since $Gr(q', E_{\sharp})$ is a complex submanifold in $S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$, $Gr(q', E_{\sharp})$ is an integral manifold of

$$\Phi_a^b = 0, \quad \forall a, b.$$

Choose $b = \beta \leq q$. Then by structure equation for Φ with (5.1) and (5.3), we obtain

$$d\Phi_a^{\beta} = \sum_{j \leq \ell - q} \widehat{\Theta}_a^j \wedge \Theta_j^{\beta} = 0.$$

Hence by (5.4) we obtain that on $Gr(q', E_{\sharp})$,

$$(5.5) \quad \widehat{\Theta}_a^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q,$$

which implies that on $Gr(q', E_{\sharp})$, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad dZ'_a = \sum_{j \leq \ell - q} \Theta_a^j \widehat{X}'_j + \sum_{\ell - q < J \leq p' - q' - (\ell - q)} \Theta_a^J X'_J \quad \text{mod } Z'.$$

By (4.7) of Lemma 4.1, we may assume that

$$\Theta_1^J = 0 \quad \text{mod } \{\varphi, \theta_{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 2\}, \quad \ell - q < J \leq 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q).$$

Consider

$$(5.7) \quad dZ'_1 = \sum_{j \leq \ell - q} \theta_1^j \widehat{X}'_j \quad \text{mod } \theta_{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 2$$

modulo $f(Z)$ on $f_*(T_Z Gr(q, E))$. Since $f_*(T_Z Gr(q, E)) \subset T_{f(Z)} Gr(q', E_{\sharp})$, we obtain

$$\widehat{X}'_j \in E_{\sharp}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q,$$

i.e.

$$f(Z) + E_{V_Z} \subset E_{\sharp}.$$

In particular,

$$\ell_{\sharp} \geq q' + (\ell - q).$$

Let W_Z be as in (4.42). Then W_Z is the smallest subspace in $N(\ell', m')$ such that

$$W_Z \subset V_Z^\perp$$

and

$$f_*(T_Z(S_{q,p}^\ell)) \subset T_{f(Z)}(Gr(q, f(Z) + V_Z + W_Z)).$$

Moreover, since we assumed $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$, by the independent condition (4.41), we may assume that the orthogonal projection of E_{\sharp} to W_Z is surjective. Choose linearly independent vectors L'_J , $J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, d_{\sharp}$ orthogonal to N such that

$$E_{\sharp} = f(Z) + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_j, L'_J, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q, J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_{\sharp}\} + W_Z.$$

Since $Gr(q', E_{\sharp}) \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$, we obtain

$$\langle \widehat{X}'_j, L'_J \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0,$$

which implies

$$L'_J \in \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_j, X'_K, 1 \leq j \leq \ell - q, \ell - q < K \leq p' - q' - (\ell - q)\}.$$

Hence we may assume that

$$L'_J \in \text{span}\{X'_K, \ell - q < K \leq p' - q' - (\ell - q)\}.$$

Let

$$L := f(Z) + \text{span}\{L'_J, j = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_{\sharp}\}$$

so that

$$E_{\sharp} = \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q\} + L + W_Z.$$

Note that

$$\text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell - q\} = E_{V_Z}$$

and $L + W_Z$ is a null space with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}$. Since L'_J , $J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_{\sharp}$ are linearly independent null vectors in $\text{span}\{X'_K, \ell - q < K \leq p' - q' - (\ell - q)\}$, we obtain

$$d_{\sharp} \leq (\ell' - q') - (\ell - q).$$

Suppose $d_{\sharp} > 0$. After a rotation of $\{X'_J\}_J$, we may assume that L is orthogonal to E_{V_Z} with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$. Define

$$f_L := \pi_L \circ f.$$

Here π_L for a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$ is defined to be a canonical map defined on a neighborhood of $f(Z)$ in $Gr(q', p')$ to $Gr(q', L)$ induced by orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$ to L with respect to the standard Euclidean metric. In standard coordinates of $Gr(q', p')$ centered at $f(Z)$, we can write f as a $q' \times p'$ matrix form $f = (f_0, f_L)$, where

$$f_0 : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow Gr(q', f(Z) + L^\perp), \quad f_L : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow Gr(q', L).$$

For a Grassmannian submanifold $Gr(q, F) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$ through Z , denote by F_L the unique minimal subspace of L such that

$$f_L(Gr(q, F)) \subset Gr(q', F_L).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $d_{\sharp} > 0$. Then there exists a subspace $F \subset E$ of dimension $q+1$ containing Z such that*

$$\dim F_L > q'.$$

Proof. We use local coordinates

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} z_1^1 & \cdots & z_1^{\ell-q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_q^1 & \cdots & z_q^{\ell-q} \end{array} \right) : z_{\alpha}^j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} w_1^1 & \cdots & w_1^{d_{\sharp}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{q'}^1 & \cdots & w_{q'}^{d_{\sharp}} \end{array} \right) : w_a^J \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of $Gr(q, E)$ and $Gr(q', L)$ centered at Z and $f(Z)$, respectively. Write

$$f_L = (f_a),$$

where f_a is a row vector for $a = 1, \dots, q'$. Since Z is a generic point, there exists a such that the k -th fundamental form of f_a is nontrivial at 0 for some $k \geq 1$. In particular, there exist $\alpha_i, j_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ such that

$$\frac{\partial^k f_a}{\partial z_{\alpha_1}^{j_1} \cdots \partial z_{\alpha_k}^{j_k}}(0) \neq 0.$$

Choose the smallest such k . Then a subspace F defined by

$$F = Z + \mathbb{C} \left\{ c_1 \widehat{X}_{j_1} + \cdots + c_k \widehat{X}_{j_k} \right\}$$

for some generic $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the condition. \square

Choose $F \subset E$ as in Lemma 5.2. Since the fundamental forms depend smoothly on the point and direction, generic $F \subset E$ containing Z with $\dim F = q+1$ will satisfy

$$\dim F_L = \max(\dim \tilde{F}_L),$$

where the maximum is taken over all $\tilde{F} \subset E$ containing Z with $\dim \tilde{F} = q+1$. Now assume that there exists a sequence of subspaces $Z \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_d \subset E$ of $\dim F_j = q+j$ such that $\dim F_{j-1,L} < \dim F_{j,L}$ and

$$\dim F_{j,L} = \max(\dim \tilde{F}_{j,L}),$$

where the maximum is taken over all $\tilde{F}_j \subset E$ containing F_{j-1} with $\dim \tilde{F}_j = q+j$. Suppose $F_{d,L} \neq L$. Choose $F_{d,L}^{\perp} \subset L$ and define

$$\tilde{f} := \pi_{F_{d,L}^{\perp}} f_L.$$

Since $F_{d,L} \neq L$, \tilde{f} is nontrivial. In standard coordinates, write

$$\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_a),$$

where \tilde{f}_a is a row vector for $a = 1, \dots, q'$. Since Z is a generic point, there exists a such that the k -th fundamental form of \tilde{f}_a at Z is nontrivial for some $k \geq 1$. Then by the same argument of Lemma 5.2, we can choose $F_{d+1} \subset E$ containing F_d such that

$$\dim F_{d+1,L} > \dim F_{d,L}.$$

We repeat the same procedure to choose a sequence of subspaces $Z \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_d \subset E$ with $\dim F_j = q+j$ such that $\dim F_{j-1,L} < \dim F_{j,L}$. Since $\ell' - q' < 2(\ell - q)$ and $d_{\sharp} \leq \ell' - q' - (\ell - q)$,

this sequence terminates for some $d < \ell - q$. Therefore there exists an $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional subspace F of E containing Z such that $F_L = L$. Furthermore, by continuity of the fundamental forms, we can choose an open set \mathcal{U}_E in $Gr(\ell - 1, E)$ such that $F_L = L$ for all $F \in \mathcal{U}_E$.

proof of Lemma 5.1: Let E and L be as before. Choose $\tilde{E} \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$ such that $\dim E \cap \tilde{E} = \ell - 1$. We may assume

$$\tilde{E} = Z + \text{span}\{T, \hat{X}_j, j = 2, \dots, \ell - q\}$$

for some null vector T transversal to E . Let

$$T = c^k X_k$$

and let

$$T' = \sum_{k \leq \ell - q} c^k X'_k + \sum_{k > p' - q' - (m - q)} c^k X'_k.$$

By considering (5.7) on $f_*(T_Z Gr(q, \tilde{E}))$, we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_{V_Z} = \text{span}\{T', \hat{X}'_j, j = 2, \dots, \ell - q\} \subset \tilde{E}_\#.$$

Assume that $F := E \cap \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{U}_E$. Then we obtain

$$L = F_L = \tilde{E}_L$$

and therefore

$$\pi_L(\tilde{E}_\#) = L,$$

where π_L is the orthogonal projection to L . Since $Gr(q', \tilde{E}_\#) \subset S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$, we obtain

$$\langle T', L \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0.$$

In particular,

$$(5.8) \quad \langle T', L'_J \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0, \quad J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_\#.$$

Since \tilde{E} is arbitrary, (5.8) implies that L'_J is orthogonal to $\text{span}\{X'_j, j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > p' - q' - (m - q)\}$, i.e.

$$L'_J \in V_Z^\perp, \quad J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_\#.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \{E\}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{U}_j := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{U}_{j-1}} \{\tilde{E} \in \Lambda_Z^\ell : \dim \tilde{E} \cap F \geq \ell - 1\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by induction argument on j , we can show that for each j , generic $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{U}_j$ satisfies

$$\pi_L(\tilde{E}_\#) = L.$$

Since

$$\tilde{E}_{V_Z} \subset \tilde{E}_\# \cap V_Z$$

and L is orthogonal to V_Z , we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_\# \supset \tilde{E}_{V_Z} + L.$$

Let

$$N_Z := W_Z + \text{span}\{L'_J, J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + d_\sharp\}.$$

By counting dimension, we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_\sharp \subset f(Z) + \tilde{E}_{V_Z} + N_Z.$$

Then by Lemma 3.3, we can show that (5.2) holds for all $\tilde{E} \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$, which completes the proof.

Next we will show the following.

Lemma 5.3. *There exist subspace $N_\sharp \in N(\ell', m')$ and a choice of smooth $S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame adapted to f such that*

$$N_\sharp \subset V_Z^\perp, \quad \forall Z$$

and

$$E_\sharp \subset f(Z) + E_{V_Z} + N_\sharp$$

for all $E \in \Lambda_Z^\ell$.

Proof. Fix a generic point $Z_0 \in S_{q, p}^\ell$ and an $S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame adapted to f at $f(Z_0)$. Let

$$N_\sharp := N_{Z_0} \subset V_{Z_0}^\perp$$

be as in Lemma 5.1 and let $Gr(q, F)$ be a submanifold of $S_{q, p}^\ell$ containing Z_0 such that $\dim F = q + 1$. Assume that

$$F = Z_0 + \mathbb{C}\widehat{X}_1.$$

Choose a generic point $Z_1 \in Gr(q, F)$. Since $Z_0 \in Gr(q, F)$, we obtain

$$\pi_{N_\sharp}(E_\sharp) = N_\sharp.$$

for all generic $E \in \Lambda_F$. Then by the same argument as for Z_0 , we can show that

$$\pi_{N_\sharp}(W_\sharp) = N_\sharp$$

for all generic $W \in \Lambda_{Z_1}$.

Choose vectors T and T' such that

$$F = Z_0 + \mathbb{C}\widehat{X}_1 = Z_1 + \mathbb{C}T.$$

By Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$F_\sharp \subset f(Z_0) + \widehat{X}'_1 + N_\sharp$$

and hence there exists T' such that

$$f(Z_0) + \mathbb{C}\widehat{X}'_1 = f(Z_1) + \mathbb{C}T' \pmod{N_\sharp}.$$

Let $W \in \Lambda_F^\ell$. We may assume that

$$W = F + \text{span}\{\widehat{X}_j, j = 2, \dots, \ell - q\}.$$

Then in view of (5.6), we obtain

$$dZ'_a = \Theta_a^1 T' + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-q} \Theta_a^j \widehat{X}'_j \pmod{f(Z_1) + N_\sharp}$$

and therefore

$$W_{\sharp} \subset f(Z_1) + \text{span}\{T', \widehat{X}'_j, 2 \leq j \leq \ell - q\} + N_{\sharp}.$$

Since W is arbitrary, we obtain

$$W_{\sharp} \subset f(Z_1) + \text{span}\{T', X'_j, 2 \leq j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > p' - q' - (m - q)\} + N_{\sharp}.$$

for all $W \in \Lambda_F^{\ell}$. Since $\dim F = q + 1$, there exists a null vector \tilde{T} such that $\{T + \tilde{T}, T - \tilde{T}, X_j, j = 2, \dots, \ell - q\}$ spans the complex tangent space of $S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ at Z_1 . Then by continuity of the fundamental forms together with (5.6), we can choose a null vector \tilde{T}' orthogonal to N_{\sharp} such that

$$W_{\sharp} \subset f(Z_1) + \text{span}\{T', \tilde{T}', X'_j, 2 \leq j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > p' - q' - (m - q)\} + N_{\sharp}$$

for any $W \in \Lambda_{Z_1}^{\ell}$ containing $Z_1 + \mathbb{C}\tilde{T}$. Let

$$V_{Z_1} = \text{span}\{T' + \tilde{T}', T' - \tilde{T}', X'_j, 2 \leq j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } j > p' - q' - (m - q)\}.$$

Then by the same argument, we can show that

$$E_{\sharp} \subset f(Z_1) + E_{V_{Z_1}} + N_{\sharp}, \quad \forall E \in \Lambda_{Z_1}^{\ell}.$$

By Lemma 6.2, every point in $S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ is connected with Z_0 by a chain consisting of the form $Gr(q, F_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ for some K . Moreover, we can choose a chain such that $\dim F_k = q + 1$ for all k . Hence by iterating this process along chains, and applying Lemma 3.2, we can show that for each $Z \in S_{q,p}^{\ell}$, there exists a choice of $S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ -frame adapted to f that satisfies the conditions in the lemma.

Now consider a linear subspace L_{Z_1} spanned by $\{E_{\sharp} : E \in \Lambda_{Z_1}^{\ell}\}$. Since $Gr(q', E_{\sharp}) \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ for all $E \in \Lambda_{Z_1}^{\ell}$, we obtain

$$L_{Z_1} \subset \text{span}\{Z'_a, X'_j\},$$

where $\{Z'_a, X'_j, Y'_a\}$ is an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ -frame at $f(Z_1)$. Since f is smooth, $\dim L_{Z_1}$ is constant on an open set of $S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ and therefore $\bigcup_{Z \in S_{q,p}^{\ell}} L_Z$ is a smooth vector bundle over an open set of $S_{q,p}^{\ell}$. Furthermore, since $Gr(q', E_{\sharp}) \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ for all $E \in \Lambda_Z$, we obtain

$$L_Z \subset \text{span}\{Z'_a, X'_j\},$$

where $\{Z'_a, X'_j, Y'_a\}$ is an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ -frame at $f(Z)$ satisfying the conditions in the lemma.

Since

$$N_{\sharp} \subset L_Z, \quad \forall Z \in S_{q,p}^{\ell},$$

we can choose a smoothly varying $S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ -frame adapted to f such that

$$L_Z = f(Z) + \text{span}\{X'_1, \dots, X'_{\ell-q}, X'_{p'-q'-(m-q)+1}, \dots, X'_{p'-q'}\} + N_{\sharp},$$

which completes the proof. \square

6. PARTIAL RIGIDITY AND THE PROOF OF THEOREMS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 under the assumption that $\Phi_1^1 = \varphi_1^1$. The same argument works for the case of $\Phi_1^1 = -\varphi_1^1$ to complete the proof.

Let $N_\#$ be a subspace as in Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame adapted to f such that*

$$dZ'_a = 0 \pmod{\text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\} + N_\#, \forall a > q.}$$

Proof. Let $\{Z'_a, X'_J, Y'_a\}$ be an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame adapted to f satisfying the condition in Lemma 5.3 such that

$$(6.1) \quad N_\# = \text{span}\{\widehat{X}'_J, J = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + n_\#\},$$

where

$$n_\# = \dim N_\#$$

and

$$\widehat{X}'_K = X'_K + X'_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}, \quad K = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + n_\#.$$

Since $N_\#$ is a fixed subspace, we obtain

$$d\widehat{X}'_K = dX'_K + dX'_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1} = 0 \pmod{N_\#, \quad K = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + n_\#},$$

which in view of (2.3) implies

$$(6.2) \quad \Omega_K^j + \Omega_{2(\ell'-q')-K+1}^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell - q, \quad K = \ell - q + 1, \dots, \ell - q + n_\#.$$

Moreover, since

$$f(\text{Gr}(q, E)) \subset \text{Gr}(q', f(Z) + E_{V_Z} + N_\#)$$

with

$$E_{V_Z} \subset V_Z = \text{span}\{X'_1, \dots, X'_{\ell-q}, X'_{p'-q'-(m-q)+1}, \dots, X'_{p'-q'}\},$$

we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad \Theta_a^J = 0, \quad J = \ell - q + n_\# + 1, \dots, 2(\ell' - q') - (\ell - q) - n_\#.$$

Let $a > q$. Then (4.43) becomes

$$\Psi_a^\beta \wedge \theta_\beta^j = 0.$$

Since Ψ_a^β is independent of j and $p - q > 1$, we obtain

$$\Psi_a^\beta = 0, \quad \forall \beta.$$

Therefore by Proposition 4.3 and (6.3), we obtain

$$dZ'_a = \sum_{\ell-q < J \leq \ell-q+n_\#} \Theta_a^J \widehat{X}'_J \pmod{\text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\}},$$

which completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 6.1 we obtain

$$dZ'_a = 0 \pmod{\text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\} + N_{\sharp}}.$$

Since N_{\sharp} is fixed, $\text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\} + N_{\sharp}$ is also fixed. Fix a generic point $Z_0 \in S_{q,p}^{\ell}$. We may assume that

$$Z_0 = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}, \quad f(Z_0) = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_a, a = 1, \dots, q'\}$$

for

$$\widehat{e}_{\alpha} := e_{\alpha} + e_{p+q-\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, q, \quad \widehat{e}'_a := e'_a + e'_{p'+q'-a+1}, \quad a = 1, \dots, q'$$

where e_1, \dots, e_{p+q} and $e'_1, \dots, e'_{p'+q'}$ are standard basis of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} and $\mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$, respectively. Assume further that

$$(6.4) \quad N_{\sharp} = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q'+\ell-q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'+\ell-q+n_{\sharp}}\}$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\} + N_{\sharp} = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\} + \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q'+\ell-q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'+\ell-q+n_{\sharp}}\},$$

where

$$\widehat{e}'_{q'+\ell-q+J} := e'_{q'+J} + e'_{q'+2(\ell-q')-J+1}, \quad J = 1, \dots, n_{\sharp}.$$

Since V_Z is orthogonal to $f(Z) + N_{\sharp}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}$, after suitable frame changes by rotation, we may assume that V_Z is orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_a, a > q\} + N_{\sharp}$ with respect to the standard Euclidean metric.

In standard coordinates of $Gr(q', p')$ centered at $f(Z_0)$, we will write f as a $q' \times p'$ matrix form $f = (f_0, f_N)$, where

$$(6.6) \quad f_0 : S_{q,p}^{\ell} \rightarrow Gr(q', N_{\sharp}^{\perp}), \quad f_N : S_{q,p}^{\ell} \rightarrow Gr(q', f(Z_0) + N_{\sharp}).$$

Note that

$$f(Z) = f_0(Z) \pmod{N_{\sharp}}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *For each $Gr(q, E) \subset S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ with $\dim E = \ell$, there exists a subspace $E' \subset N_{\sharp}^{\perp}$ of dimension ℓ orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}$ such that*

$$f_0(Gr(q, E)) = Gr(q, E') \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}.$$

Furthermore, if $\ell - q > 1$, then f_0 modulo $\text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}$ is a standard isomorphism.

Proof. Choose an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell}$ -frame adapted to f satisfying the condition in Lemma 6.1. Then we obtain

$$\text{span}\{Z'_a, a > q\} = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\} \pmod{N_{\sharp}},$$

which implies

$$f_0(Z) = \text{span}\{Z''_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\} \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\},$$

where Z''_{α} is the orthogonal projection of Z'_{α} to N_{\sharp}^{\perp} with respect to Euclidean inner product. Let $Gr(q, E) \subset S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ be a maximal complex submanifold and let $E' \subset N_{\sharp}^{\perp}$ be the smallest subspace orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}$ such that

$$f_0(Gr(q, E)) \subset Gr(q, E') \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}.$$

We will show that E' is of dimension ℓ and f_0 is a projective linear isomorphism if $\ell - q > 1$.

If $\ell - q = 1$, then (1.2) implies that $\ell' - q' = 1$. Therefore

$$\dim N_{\sharp} = 0$$

and

$$f_0(Z) = f(Z) \in \{Z' \in S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} : Z'_a = \widehat{e}'_a, a > q'\}.$$

Since maximal complex submanifolds in $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ are of the form $Gr(q', L)$ with $\dim L = \ell'$, maximal complex submanifolds in $\{Z' \in S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} : Z'_a = \widehat{e}'_a, a > q'\}$ are of the form $Gr(q, E') \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}$ with

$$\dim E' = \ell' - (q' - q) = (\ell - q) + q = \ell.$$

Assume that $\ell - q > 1$. Choose a generic point $Z \in Gr(q, E)$. By Lemma 5.3, $E_{\sharp} = f(Z) + E_{V_Z} + N_{\sharp}$ is the smallest subspace such that

$$f(Gr(q, E)) \subset Gr(q', E_{\sharp}).$$

Since

$$E_{V_Z} \subset V_Z \subset N_{\sharp}^{\perp},$$

the space

$$E' := \text{span}\{Z''_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\} + E_{V_Z}$$

is the smallest subspace such that

$$f_0(Gr(q, E)) \subset Gr(q, E') \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}'_{q'}\}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\dim E' = q + \dim E_{V_Z} = \ell.$$

Let Z be an arbitrary generic point of $Gr(q, E)$. Since

$$f(Gr(q, F)) \subset Gr(q', f(Z) + F_{V_Z} + N_{\sharp}), \quad \forall F \in \Lambda_Z,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(Gr(q, F_1 \cap F_2)) &\subset Gr(q', f(Z) + F_{1,V_Z} + N_{\sharp}) \cap Gr(q', f(Z) + F_{2,V_Z} + N_{\sharp}) \\ &= Gr(q', f(Z) + (F_{1,V_Z} \cap F_{2,V_Z}) + N_{\sharp}), \quad \forall F_1, F_2 \in \Lambda_Z. \end{aligned}$$

Choose generic $F_1, \dots, F_{\ell-q-1} \in \Lambda_Z$ such that $\cap_j F_j \subset E$ and $\dim \cap_j F_j$ is $q + 1$. By induction, we obtain

$$f(Gr(q, \bigcap_j F_j)) \subset Gr(q', f(Z) + \bigcap_j (F_{j,V_Z}) + N_{\sharp}).$$

Therefore

$$f_0(Gr(q, \bigcap_j F_j)) \subset Gr(q', f_0(Z) + \bigcap_j (F_{j,V_Z})).$$

Since

$$\dim \bigcap_j (F_{j,V_Z}) = \dim \left(\bigcap_j F_j \right)_{V_Z} = 1,$$

f_0 preserves the variety of minimal rational tangents (See [HwM99] for definition). Since the rank of $Gr(q, E)$ is equal to $\min(\ell - q, q)$ which is strictly bigger than 1 by our assumption, the rank of $Gr(q, E')$ is also strictly bigger than 1. Then by [M08], we can complete the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3. *There exists a $(p+q)$ -dimensional subspace $L \subset N_{\sharp}^{\perp}$ orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}'_{q'}\}$ such that*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}|_L = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}$$

and

$$f_0(S_{q,p}^{\ell}) \subset \text{Gr}(q, L) \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}'_{q'}\}.$$

Proof. Let Z_0 , $f(Z_0)$ and N_{\sharp} be fixed as before. Assume further that

$$V_{Z_0} = \text{span}\{e'_{q'+j}, 1 \leq j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } (p' - q') - (m - q) < j \leq p' - q'\}$$

and

$$Y'_{Z_0} = \text{span}\{\check{e}'_a, a = 1, \dots, q'\},$$

where

$$\check{e}'_a := e'_a - e'_{p'-q'-a+1}, a = 1, \dots, q'.$$

Let

$$L := \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{\alpha}, \check{e}'_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\} + V_{Z_0}.$$

Then L is a $(p+q)$ -dimensional subspace in N_{\sharp}^{\perp} such that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}|_L = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m}.$$

Choose an $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame $\{Z'_a, X'_J, Y'_a\}$ adapted to f that satisfies the conditions in Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 6.1. Define

$$\begin{aligned} Z'' &:= \text{span}\{Z''_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}, \\ X'_Z &:= \text{span}\{X'_J, 1 \leq J \leq p' - q'\}, \\ Y'_Z &:= \text{span}\{Y'_a, a = 1, \dots, q'\} \\ Y''_Z &:= \text{span}\{Y''_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Z''_{α} and Y''_{α} are the orthogonal projections of Z'_a and Y'_a to N_{\sharp}^{\perp} , respectively. Note that

$$f_0(Z) = Z'' \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}'_{q'}\}.$$

Choose an orthogonal complement $X''_Z \subset X'_Z$ of N_{\sharp} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}$. After a frame change by rotation, we may assume X''_Z is orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{q+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}'_{q'}\} + N_{\sharp}$ with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^{p'+q'}$ and that

$$(6.7) \quad V_Z \subset X''_Z, \quad \forall Z \in S_{q,p}^{\ell}.$$

Since $Z'' + X''_Z + Y''_Z$ is an orthogonal complement of $\text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, q < a \leq q'\}$ in N_{\sharp}^{\perp} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell', m'}$, $f_0(Z) + X''_Z + Y''_Z$ is well-defined independently of the point $Z \in S_{q,p}^{\ell}$ and frames.

Since $S_{q',p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame satisfies

$$dZ'_a = \Theta_a^J X'_J + \Phi_a^b Y'_b \pmod{Z'},$$

Proposition 4.3 implies

$$(6.8) \quad T_{f_0(Z)}^{1,0} f_0(S_{q,p}^{\ell}) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(Z'', (Z'' + V_Z)/Z'')$$

and

$$T_{f_0(Z)} f_0(S_{q,p}^{\ell}) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(Z'', (Z'' + V_Z + Y''_Z)/Z'').$$

We claim that

$$f_0(Z) + V_Z + Y_Z'' = L \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}'_{q'}\}, \quad \forall Z \in S_{q,p}^\ell.$$

We will prove the claim for all $Z \in \text{Gr}(q, F) \subset S_{q,p}^\ell$, where F satisfies $Z_0 \subset F$ and $\dim F = q + 1$. By Lemma 6.2, every point in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ is connected with Z_0 by a chain consisting of the form $\text{Gr}(q, F_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ for some K . Moreover, we can choose a chain such that $\dim F_k = q + 1$ for all k . Hence by iterating this process along chains, we can complete the proof.

Let $\text{Gr}(q, F)$ be a submanifold of $S_{q,p}^\ell$ containing Z_0 such that $\dim F = q + 1$. Assume

$$F = Z_0 + \mathbb{C}\widehat{e}_{q+1},$$

where

$$\widehat{e}_{q+1} := e_{q+1} + e_p.$$

By applying Lemma 6.2,

$$f_0(\text{Gr}(q, F)) = \text{Gr}(q, F') \oplus \text{span}\{\widehat{e}_\alpha, q < \alpha \leq q'\},$$

where

$$F' = \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_\alpha, \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}.$$

Fix a point $Z_1 \in \text{Gr}(q, F)$ and assume that

$$f_0(Z_1) = \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_1 + \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, \tilde{e}'_\alpha, \alpha = 2, \dots, q\} \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, q < a \leq q'\}.$$

To prove the claim, it is enough to show that

$$\text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_1 + \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, \tilde{e}'_\alpha, \alpha = 2, \dots, q\} + V_{Z_1} + Y_{Z_1}'' = L \pmod{\text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, q < a \leq q'\}}.$$

Consider $f^\#$ on $\mathcal{P}_{Z_0}^\ell$. Then Lemma 6.2 implies

$$f^\#(\mathcal{P}_{Z_0}^\ell) \subset f_0(Z_0) \oplus \text{Gr}(\ell - q, V_{Z_0}) \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a > q\} \oplus N_\#.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{Z_0}^\ell$ is the Shilov boundary of D_{Z_0} , where we define

$$D_Z := \{E \in \text{Gr}(\ell, m) : Z \subset E, \langle E, E \rangle_{\ell, m} \geq 0\},$$

we obtain

$$f^\#(D_{Z_0}) \subset f_0(Z_0) \oplus \text{Gr}(\ell - q, V_{Z_0}) \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a > q\} \oplus N_\#.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$f^\#(D_{Z_1}) \subset f_0(Z_1) \oplus \text{Gr}(\ell - q, V_{Z_1}) \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a > q\} \oplus N_\#$$

and hence

$$f^\#(D_{Z_0} \cap D_{Z_1}) \subset (f_0(Z_0) \cap f_0(Z_1)) \oplus \text{Gr}(\ell - q, V_{Z_1} \cap V_{Z_0}) \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a > q\} \oplus N_\#.$$

Since

$$D_{Z_0} \cap D_{Z_1} = F + \text{span}\{e_j, j = 2, \dots, p - q - 1\},$$

we obtain

$$(6.9) \quad V_{Z_1} \supset F' + \text{span}\{e'_j, 1 < j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } (p' - q') - (m - q) < j < p' - q'\}.$$

For an $S_{q',p'}^\ell$ -frame $\{Z'_a, X'_j, Y'_a\}$ adapted to f at $f(Z_1)$, assume

$$X'_1 + X'_{p'-q'} = \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}$$

so that

$$F' = \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_1 + \widehat{e}'_{q'+1}, \widehat{e}'_\alpha, 2 \leq \alpha \leq q\} + \mathbb{C}(X'_1 + X'_{p'-q'}).$$

Since $\{Z'_a, X'_J, Y'_a\}$ is an $S_{q',p'}^\ell$ -frame at $f(Z_1)$, we obtain

$$\langle Z'_a, X'_J \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\langle \widehat{e}'_1 + \widehat{e}'_{q'+1}, X'_J \rangle_{\ell', m'} = \langle \widehat{e}'_a, X'_J \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0, \quad a = 2, \dots, q'.$$

Hence we may assume that

$$X'_1 = e'_{q'+1} + \sum_{q'+2 \leq J < p'} b_J e'_J + \check{e}'_1, \quad X'_{p'-q'} = e'_{p'} - \sum_{q'+2 \leq J < p'} b_J e'_J - \check{e}'_1$$

modulo $f(Z_1)$ for some $b_J \in \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\langle X'_1, X'_{p'-q'} \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{q'+2 \leq J \leq \ell'} |b_J|^2 - \sum_{\ell' < J < p'} |b_J|^2 = 0.$$

By (6.9), we may assume

$$X'_1 = e'_{q'+1} + T + \check{e}'_{q'+1}, \quad X'_{p'-q'} = e'_{p'} - T - \check{e}'_{q'+1} \quad \text{mod } f(Z_1)$$

for some vector T such that

$$\langle T, T \rangle_{\ell', m'} = \langle T, e'_{q'+j} \rangle_{\ell', m'} = 0, \quad 1 < j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } (p' - q') - (m - q) < j < p' - q'.$$

We will show that

$$V_{Z_1} = \text{span}\{e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1, e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1, e'_{q'+j}, 1 < j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } (p' - q') - (m - q) < j < p' - q'\} \quad \text{mod } f(Z_1).$$

If $\ell' - q' = 1$, then (6.10) becomes

$$- \sum_{\ell' < J < p'} |b_J|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore the conclusion follows. Assume that $\ell' - q' > 1$. Consider a set $\{V_{s,t} : s, t \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset Gr(q', N_{\#}^\perp)$ defined by

$$V_{s,t} := \text{span}\{\widehat{e}'_1 + s(\widehat{e}'_{q'+1} - \sqrt{-1}t\check{e}'_{q'+1}), \widehat{e}'_a, a = 2, \dots, q'\}$$

with

$$\check{e}'_{q'+1} := e'_{q'+1} - e'_{p'}.$$

Then we obtain

$$V_{1,0} = f_0(Z_1)$$

and

$$\{V_{s,t} : (s, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}\} \subset S_{q',p'}^{\ell'} \cap Gr(q', N_{\#}^\perp).$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. By Lemma 6.2, f_0 is linear on maximal complex submanifolds in $S_{q,p}^\ell$ and therefore we obtain

$$\{V_{s,t} : s \in \mathbb{C}\} = f_0(Gr(q, Z_0 + \mathbb{C}(\widehat{e}_{q+1} - \sqrt{-1}t\check{e}_{q+1}))).$$

In particular, the curve $\{V_{1,t} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a submanifold of $f_0(S_{q,p}^\ell)$ passing through $f_0(Z_1)$. By differentiating $V_{1,t}$ with respect to t at $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\check{e}'_{q'+1} \in f_0(Z_1) + V_{Z_1} + Y''_{Z_1}.$$

Since

$$\tilde{e}'_{q'+1} \in f(Z_1) + V_{Z_1}$$

and

$$e'_{q'+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{e}'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_{q'+1}), \quad e'_{p'} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{e}'_{q'+1} - \check{e}'_{q'+1}),$$

this implies

$$e'_{q'+1}, e'_{p'} \in f_0(Z_1) + V_{Z_1} + Y''_{Z_1}.$$

Since

$$\langle \tilde{e}'_1 + \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1 \rangle_{\ell, m'} = \langle \tilde{e}'_1 + \tilde{e}'_{q'+1}, e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1 \rangle_{\ell, m'} = 0$$

and

$$\langle \tilde{e}'_a, e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1 \rangle_{\ell, m'} = \langle \tilde{e}'_a, e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1 \rangle_{\ell, m'} = 0, \quad a = 2, \dots, q',$$

we obtain

$$e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1, e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1 \in f(Z_1) + V_{Z_1},$$

i.e.

$$V_{Z_1} = \text{span}\{e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1, e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1, e'_{q'+j}, 1 < j \leq \ell - q \text{ or } (p' - q') - (m - q) < j < p' - q'\} \subset L.$$

Finally, we will show

$$Y''_{Z_1} = Y''_{Z_0} \pmod{f_0(Z_1) + V_{Z_1}},$$

which will imply

$$f(Z_1) + V_{Z_1} + Y''_{Z_1} = L \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a = q + 1, \dots, q'\}$$

as desired. Since

$$\langle e'_{q'+1} + \check{e}'_1, \check{e}'_\alpha \rangle_{\ell, m'} = \langle e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1, \check{e}'_\alpha \rangle_{\ell, m'} = \langle e'_{p'} - \check{e}'_1, \check{e}'_\alpha \rangle_{\ell, m'} = 0, \quad q' + 1 < J < p',$$

we obtain $Y''_{Z_0} = \text{span}\{\check{e}'_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, q\}$ is orthogonal to X'_{Z_1} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell, m'}$. Since

$$\langle Z'_a, \check{e}'_b \rangle_{\ell, m'} = \delta_{ab}$$

by direct computation, $\{Z'_a, X'_J, \check{e}'_\alpha\}$ is an $S_{q', p'}^{\ell'}$ -frame at $f(Z_1)$. Since

$$f(Z_0) + X''_{Z_0} + Y''_{Z_0} = f(Z_1) + X''_{Z_1} + Y''_{Z_1}$$

and Y''_{Z_0} is orthogonal to $f_0(Z_1) + X'_{Z_1}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\ell, m'}$ we obtain

$$Y''_{Z_1} = Y''_{Z_0} \pmod{f(Z_1) + V_{Z_1}}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : Define

$$f_L := \pi_L \circ f.$$

Then $f_L : S_{q,p}^\ell \rightarrow \pi_L(S_{q',p'}^{\ell'})$ is a CR embedding. Since $\pi_L(S_{q',p'}^{\ell'})$ is equivalent to $S_{q,p}^\ell$, by [Ng12], f_L is an isomorphism between $Gr(q, p)$ and $Gr(q, L)$. Hence f decomposes into

$$(f_L \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{e}'_a, a = q + 1, \dots, q'\}, f_N),$$

where f_L is an isomorphism and f_N is a holomorphic map into $Gr(q', f(Z_0) + N_{\sharp})$ as desired.

Proof of Corollary 1.2 : Under the condition (1.2), we obtain

$$\dim Gr(q, E) > \dim Gr(q', f(Z_0) + N_{\sharp})$$

for ℓ -dimensional space E . Since Grassmannian is of Picard number one and therefore holomorphic maps between them are either finite to one or constant(See §11 of [M89]), we conclude that f_N restricted to each maximal complex subspace $Gr(q, E)$ is a constant map, which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [A74] Alexander, H. Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc, *Math. Ann.* **209** (1974) 249-256.
- [BEH09] Baouendi, M. S.; Ebenfelt, P.; Huang, X. Holomorphic Mappings between Hyperquadrics with Small Signature Difference. *Amer. J. Math.* **133** (2011), no. 6, 1633-1661.
- [BH05] Baouendi, M. S.; Huang, X. Super-rigidity for holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics with positive signature. *J. Differential Geom.* **69** (2005), no. 2, 379-398.
- [BoP82] Boggess, A.; Polking, J. C. Holomorphic extension of CR functions. *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), no. 4, 757-784.
- [CaM90] Cao, H.; Mok, N. Holomorphic immersions between compact hyperbolic space forms, *Invent. Math.*, **100** (1990), 49-61.
- [CM74] Chern, S.S; Moser, J.K. Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Math.* **133** (1974), 219-271.
- [CS83] Cima, J.; Suffridge, T. J. A reflection principle with applications to proper holomorphic mappings. *Math. Ann.* **265**, (1983), 489-500.
- [CS90] Cima, J.; Suffridge, T. J. Boundary behavior of rational proper maps. *Duke Math.* **60** (1990), 135-138.
- [EHZ04] Ebenfelt, P.; Huang, X.; Zaitsev, D. Rigidity of CR-immersions into spheres. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* **12** (2004), no. 3, 631-670.
- [EHZ05] Ebenfelt, P.; Huang, X.; Zaitsev, D. The equivalence problem and rigidity for hypersurfaces embedded into hyperquadrics. *American Journal of Mathematics* **127** (2005), no. 1, 169-191.
- [Fa86] Faran V, J. J. On the linearity of proper maps between balls in the lower codimensional case. *J. Differential Geom.* **24**, (1986), 15-17.
- [F86] Forstnerič, F. Proper holomorphic maps between balls. *Duke Math. J.* **53**, (1986), 427-440.
- [F89] Forstnerič, F. Extending proper holomorphic mappings of positive codimension. *Invent. Math.* **95**, (1989), 31-61.
- [HeT82] Henkin G. M.; Tumanov A. E. Local characterization of analytic automorphisms of classical domains (English translation). *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **26**, (1982), 702-705.
- [H99] Huang, X. On a linearity problem for proper holomorphic maps between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.* **51**, (1999), 13-33.
- [HJ01] Huang, X.; Ji, S. Mapping B^n into B^{2n-1} , *Invent. Math.*, **145**, (2) (2001), 219-250.
- [H03] Huang, X. On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps. *Asian J. Math.* **7** (2003), no. 4, 463-492.
- [HJX06] Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Xu, D. A new gap phenomenon for proper holomorphic mappings from B^n into B^N . *Math. Res. Lett.* **13** (4) (2006) 515-529.
- [HwM99] Hwang J.-M. and Mok N. Varieties of minimal rational tangents on uniruled projective manifolds. In Schneider M. and Siu Y.-T., editors, *Several Complex Variables*, volume 37, pages 351-389. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

- [KZ13] Kim, S.Y.; Zaitsev, D. Rigidity of CR maps between Shilov boundaries of bounded symmetric domains. *Invent. Math.* **193** (2013), no. 2, 409-437.
- [KZ14] Kim, S.Y.; Zaitsev, D. Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains. *Math. Ann.* **362**, (2015), no. 1-2, 639-677.
- [L03] Landsberg, J. M. Cartan for beginners. Differential geometry via moving frames and exterior differential systems. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 175. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [M89] Mok, N. Metric Rigidity Theorems on Hermitian Locally Symmetric Spaces. Series in Pure Math., Vol. 6, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [M08] Mok, N. Characterization of standard embeddings between complex grassmannians by means of varieties of minimal rational tangents. *Sci. China Ser. A*, **4** (2008), 660-684.
- [M11] Mok, N. Geometry of holomorphic isometries and related maps between bounded domains, in Geometry and Analysis, Vol. II, ALM 18, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston (2011), 225-270.
- [Ng12] Ng, S. Cycle spaces of ag domains on Grassmannians and rigidity of holomorphic mappings, *Math. Res. Lett.* **19** (2012), no. 6, 1219-1236.
- [P07] Poincaré, H. Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) **23** (1907) 185-220.
- [Ts93] Tsai, I-H. Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between symmetric domains. *J. Differential Geom.* **37** (1993), no. 1, 123-160.
- [W79] Webster, S. M. The rigidity of C-R hypersurfaces in a sphere. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28**, 405-416, (1979).

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL, 02455, KOREA
E-mail address: sykim8787@kias.re.kr