

# Notes on $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractibility and $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision

Yuri Shimizu\*

September 7, 2018

## Abstract

We prove that a smooth scheme of dimension  $n$  over a perfect field is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weakly equivalent to a point if it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected. We also prove an excision result for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves over a perfect field.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss  $n$ -connectedness of schemes over a field  $k$  in the sense of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory. Morel-Voevodsky [MV] defines an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy version of homotopy groups or sets as sheaves over the large Nisnevich site on the category of smooth  $k$ -schemes  $\mathcal{S}m_k$ . They are called the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves and denoted by  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  for  $X \in \mathcal{S}m_k$ . A scheme  $X$  is called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected if  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is isomorphic to the constant sheaf valued on a point for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -0-connected schemes are simply called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected.

In  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory, schemes are considered up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences. We say that a scheme over  $k$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible if it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence to  $\text{Spec } k$ . Morel-Voevodsky [MV] proved the Whitehead theorem for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy, which says that a scheme is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible if it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected for *all* non-negative integer  $n$ . Our first result is an improvement of this theorem, showing that the range of  $n$  can be actually restricted to  $0 \leq n \leq \dim X$ . More precisely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 3.2). *Let  $k$  be a perfect field and  $X$  a pointed smooth  $k$ -scheme of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected, then it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Morel's theory of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaves [Mo2], which is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -version of singular homology. We give a relation between the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology and the Nisnevich cohomology, generalizing Asok's argument [As] from degree 0 to all degrees. By combining this with the vanishing result for Nisnevich cohomology and using Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2], we obtain Theorem 1.1.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology  
E-mail: qingshuiyouli27@gmail.com

Our second result concerns excision of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves. Let  $X$  be a pointed smooth scheme over a field  $k$  and  $U$  a pointed open subscheme of  $X$  whose complement has codimension  $d \geq 2$ . Asok-Doran [AD] proved, for  $k$  infinite field, that if  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $(d-3)$ -connected, then the canonical morphism

$$\pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq l \leq d-2$  and an epimorphism for  $l = d-1$ . Our second result is a weaker version of this theorem over a perfect field. This covers the finite field case which is not treated in Asok-Doran's result. We need, however, an extra assumption that first  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves are abelian. To be precise, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2** (see Theorem 4.1). *Let  $k$  be a perfect field,  $U$  a pointed open subscheme of a pointed smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  whose complement has codimension  $d \geq 2$ , and  $l$  a non-negative integer. Assume that  $U$  and  $X$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected and that  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U)$  and  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  are abelian. If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $(l-1)$ -connected, then the canonical morphism*

$$\pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

*is an isomorphism when  $0 \leq l \leq d-2$  and an epimorphism when  $l = d-1$ .*

For the proof of this theorem, we first establish an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision theorem for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaves over an arbitrary field, which is proved for degree 0 by Asok [As]. Theorem 1.2 is a homotopy version of this via the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic facts on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove 1.2. In Section 5, we give a refinement of Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2]. This proves a weaker version of Theorem 1.1 for not perfect field  $k$  (see Theorem 5.5).

**Notation.** Throughout this paper, we fix a field  $k$  and a commutative unital ring  $R$ . If we say  $k$ -scheme, it means a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type. We denote  $\mathcal{S}m_k$  for the category of smooth  $k$ -schemes. In this paper, every sheaf is considered on the large Nisnevich site over  $\mathcal{S}m_k$ .

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank my adviser Shohei Ma for many useful advices. I would also like to thank Aravind Asok for helpful comments.

## 2 Recollections of unstable $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory

In this section, we recall some basic facts on unstable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory following [MV], [Mo2], [CD], and [As].  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory is constructed by using simplicial sets. We refer to [GJ] for the homotopy theory of simplicial sets. Let  $\mathcal{S}pc_k$  be the category of simplicial Nisnevich sheaves of sets. An object of  $\mathcal{S}pc_k$  is called a  $k$ -space. Via the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{S}m_k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}pc_k$ , smooth  $k$ -schemes are naturally regarded as  $k$ -spaces.

## 2.1 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure

Morel-Voevodsky [MV] constructed two model structures for  $Spc_k$ . The first one is the simplicial model structure, which is the Nisnevich sheafification of the homotopy theory of simplicial sets. A morphism of  $k$ -spaces  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is called a *simplicial weak equivalence* if for an arbitrary point  $x$  of every  $X \in Sm_k$  the induced morphism  $\mathcal{X}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_x$  of stalks is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets.

**Theorem 2.1** (Morel-Voevodsky [MV, Thm. 1.4.]). *The category  $Spc_k$  has a model structure in which weak equivalences are simplicial weak equivalences and cofibrations are monomorphisms.*

This model structure is called the *simplicial model structure*. We denote  $\mathcal{H}_s(k)$  for its homotopy category and write  $[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_s = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  for  $k$ -spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Next,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure for  $Spc_k$  is constructed via the simplicial model structure as follows. A  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -local* if for every  $k$ -spaces  $\mathcal{Y}$  the natural map  $[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}]_s \rightarrow [\mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{X}]_s$  is bijective. Moreover, a morphism of  $k$ -spaces  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is called an  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence* if for every  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{Z}$  the induced map  $[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}]_s \rightarrow [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}]_s$  is bijective.

**Theorem 2.2** (Morel-Voevodsky [MV, Thm. 3.2.]). *The category  $Spc_k$  has a model structure in which weak equivalences are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences and cofibrations are monomorphisms.*

This model structure is called the  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure*. Unstable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory is the homotopy theory for this model structure. We denote  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  for its homotopy category and write  $[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_{\mathbb{A}^1} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  for  $k$ -spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

## 2.2 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves

We recall basic facts of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves introduced by Morel-Voevodsky [MV]. For a  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$ , the  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of  $\mathcal{X}$* , denoted by  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ , is defined as the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [U, \mathcal{X}]_{\mathbb{A}^1}.$$

The  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected* if  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \text{Spec } k$ . By the following theorem, the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence class of a  $k$ -space can be represented by an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local space.

**Theorem 2.3** (Morel-Voevodsky [MV, Lem. 3.20 and Lem. 3.21.]). *There exists an endofunctor  $\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  of  $Spc_k$  and a natural transformation  $\theta : \text{id} \rightarrow \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  such that  $\text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local and  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence for every  $\mathcal{X} \in Spc_k$ .*

A pair of a  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  and a morphism  $x : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called a *pointed  $k$ -space*. We often denote it simply by  $\mathcal{X}$ . For a pointed  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$ , we also

regard  $\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  as a pointed space by the point  $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ . For a pointed simplicial set  $S$  and positive integer  $i$ , we denote  $\pi_i(S)$  for the  $i$ -th simplicial homotopy group.

For a pointed  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  and a positive integer  $i$ ,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}$ , say  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ , is defined as the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \pi_i(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})(U)).$$

A  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected if it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected and  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$  for all  $0 < i \leq n$ . Especially,  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is called the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fundamental sheaf, and “ $\mathbb{A}^1$ -1-connected” is also rephrased as “ $\mathbb{A}^1$ -simply connected”. If we say “ $\mathbb{A}^1$ -(-1)-connected” it means “non-empty”.

$\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weakly homotopy invariant. Indeed, a morphism of  $k$ -spaces  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence if and only if  $\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{Y})$  is a simplicial weak equivalence by Yoneda’s lemma in  $\mathcal{H}_s(k)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalences induce isomorphisms for all stalks of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves.

A Nisnevich sheaf of groups  $G$  is called *strongly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant* ([Mo2]) if for every  $X \in \mathcal{S}m_k$  and  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  the projection  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  induces a bijection

$$H_{Nis}^i(X, G) \rightarrow H_{Nis}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, G).$$

We denote  $\mathcal{G}r_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  for the category of strongly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaves. By [Mo2, Thm. 5.1],  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fundamental sheaves are strongly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.

### 2.3 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology theory

Morel [Mo2] introduced an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -version of singular homology theory, called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology theory, with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By using the theory of Cisinski and Déglise [CD], Asok [As] constructs its generalization for coefficients every commutative unital ring  $R$ .

For an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$  be the unbounded chain complexes of degree  $-1$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$  the unbounded derived category of  $\mathcal{A}$ , *i.e.*, the localization of  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$  by quasi-isomorphisms. Every object of  $\mathcal{A}$  is viewed as a complex concentrated in degree zero. For a chain complex  $A_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ , we write  $A^\bullet$  for the cochain complex  $A^i = A_{-i}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  be the category of Nisnevich sheaves of  $R$ -modules. We especially write  $\mathcal{A}b_k = \mathcal{M}od_k(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Following [CD], a chain complex  $A_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))$  is called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local if for every smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  and every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the projection  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism between Nisnevich hypercohomology groups

$$\mathbb{H}_{Nis}^i(X, A^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{Nis}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, A^\bullet).$$

If  $M \in \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local as a complex, it is called *strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant*. We denote  $\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  consisting of strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaves. We especially write  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} = \mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{Z})$ . A morphism

$f : B_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))$  is called an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -quasi-isomorphism if for every  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local complex  $A_\bullet$  the induced map

$$f^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))}(C_\bullet, A_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))}(B_\bullet, A_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.4** (Cisinski-Déglise [CD, Cor. 1.1.17]). *Let  $\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))$  consisting of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local complexes. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))$$

*admits a left adjoint functor*

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} : \mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k, R) \simeq \mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}},$$

*which is called the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localization functor.*

Theorem 2.4 is for the derived category of cochain complexes  $\mathcal{D}^\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R))$ . By applying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\bullet(\text{Mod}_k(R)); A_\bullet \mapsto A^\bullet$ , we also obtain a homological version.

For  $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Sp}c_k$ , we define the simplicial Nisnevich sheaf of  $R$ -modules  $R(\mathcal{X})$  by that the  $n$ -skeleton  $R(X)_n$  is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{X}_n(U)} R.$$

We write  $C(\mathcal{X}, R)$  for the normalized chain complex of  $R(X)$ . For  $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Sp}c_k$ , the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local complex

$$C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R) = L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(C(\mathcal{X}, R))$$

is called the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain complex of  $\mathcal{X}$  with coefficients  $R$ . The  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaf  $\mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R)$  is defined as the homology of  $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R)$ , which is a Nisnevich sheaf. By [Mo2, Thm. 5.22 and Cor. 5.23],  $\mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R)$  is trivial for all  $i < 0$  and strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant for all  $i \geq 0$ . We especially write  $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Finally, the reduced  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaf  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R)$  is defined as the kernel of the natural morphism  $\mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{Spec } k, R)$ .

**Example 2.5** (Asok [As, Example 2.6]). The zeroth  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaf  $\mathbf{H}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{Spec } k, R)$  is isomorphic to the constant sheaf of  $R$  and the  $i$ -th  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaf  $\mathbf{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{Spec } k, R)$  is trivial for all  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\text{Spec } k, R)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $R(\text{Spec } k)$ .

## 2.4 Eilenberg-MacLane spaces and adjunctions

We denote  $\Delta^{op} \text{Mod}_k(R)$  for the category of simplicial objects of  $\text{Mod}_k(R)$  and write  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}(\text{Mod}_k(R))$  for the category of chain complexes of  $\text{Mod}_k(R)$  supported in degree  $\geq 0$ . The Dold-Kan correspondence gives an equivalence of categories  $K : \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}(\text{Mod}_k(R)) \rightarrow \Delta^{op} \text{Mod}_k(R)$ . Morel-Voevodsky [MV] defined the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(M, n)$  of  $M \in \text{Mod}_k(R)$  as  $K(M[n])$ .

**Lemma 2.6** (Asok [As, (2.1)]). *For  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}m_k$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  and a non-negative integer  $n$ , there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$[\mathcal{X}, K(M.n)]_s \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C(\mathcal{X}, R), M[n]).$$

For an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we write  $\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ ) for the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$  consisting complexes  $C_\bullet$  such that  $C_i = 0$  for all  $i < 0$  (resp.  $i > 0$ ). We will use the following well-known adjunction:

**Lemma 2.7.** *For an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , the zeroth homology functor  $H_0 : \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is left adjoint to the natural embedding  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Since the truncation functor  $\tau_{\leq 0} : \mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  is left adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ , we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(C_\bullet, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(\tau_{\leq 0}(C_\bullet), A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_0(C_\bullet), A)$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $C_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

### 3 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractibility and dimension

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. A  $k$ -space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible, if the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence. Morel-Voevodsky proved the Whitehead theorem for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy (see [MV, Prop. 2.1.4]), which says that a scheme  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible if and only if it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected for all  $n \geq 0$ . Our theorem is an improvement of this theorem, showing that the range of  $n$  can be actually restricted to  $0 \leq n \leq \dim X$ . We first prepare a lemma which relates the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaf to the Nisnevich cohomology group. This is a generalization of a result of Asok [As, Lem. 3.3] where the case  $n = 0$  is proved.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -scheme with a  $k$ -rational point,  $M$  a strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf of  $R$ -modules, and  $n \geq 0$ . If  $\check{H}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) = 0$  for every  $i < n$ , then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$H_{Nis}^n(X, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(\mathbf{H}_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M).$$

*Proof.* Since the case  $n = 0$  is proved by [As, Lem. 3.3], we assume  $n \geq 1$ . By [MV, Prop. 1.26], there exists a natural isomorphism

$$H_{Nis}^n(X, M) \cong [X, K(M, n)]_s.$$

The right-hand side is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C(X, R), M[n])$  by Lemma 2.6. Since  $M[n]$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local, we also have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C(X, R), M[n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M[n])$$

by the definition of  $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R)$ . Therefore, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$H_{Nis}^n(X, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M[n]). \quad (3.1)$$

By Example 2.5 and  $n > 0$ , this isomorphism gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(R, M[n]) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathrm{Spec} k, R), M[n]) \\ &\cong H_{Nis}^n(\mathrm{Spec} k, M) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  has a  $k$ -rational point, we have  $C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) = \tilde{C}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) \oplus R$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M[n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(\tilde{C}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M[n]) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(R, M[n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(\tilde{C}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M[n]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the isomorphism (3.1), we only need to show that if a complex  $C \in \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  satisfies  $H_i(C) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ , then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C, M[n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(H_n(C), M). \quad (3.2)$$

By our assumption, the complex  $C$  is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$\tau_{\geq n}(C) : \cdots \rightarrow C_{n+2} \rightarrow C_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(C, M[n]) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(\tau_{\geq n}(C), M[n]) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(\tau_{\geq n}(C)[-n], M). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau_{\geq n}(C)[-n] \in \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))$ , Lemma 2.7 gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\bullet(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(\tau_{\geq n}(C)[-n], M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(H_0(\tau_{\geq n}(C)[-n]), M).$$

Since  $H_0(\tau_{\geq n}(C)[-n]) \cong H_n(\tau_{\geq n}(C)) \cong H_n(C)$ , we obtain the isomorphism (3.2).  $\square$

Now we prove Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $k$  perfect. Let  $X$  be a pointed smooth  $k$ -scheme of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -connected, then it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* By the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Whitehead theorem [MV, Prop. 2.1.4], the  $k$ -scheme  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weakly equivalent to  $\mathrm{Spec} k$  if and only if  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is trivial for every  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that if  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $m$ -connected for  $m \geq n$ , then it is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $(m+1)$ -connected. When  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $m$ -connected, Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2, Thm. 5.37] shows that  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) = 0$  for  $i \leq m$  and gives an isomorphism  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \cong \mathbf{H}_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), M) \cong H_{Nis}^{m+1}(X, M)$$

for every  $M \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . On the other hand,  $H_{Nis}^i(X, M) = 0$  when  $i > \dim X = n$  (see [Ni, Thm. 1.32]). Therefore,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), M) = 0$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . Since  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  by [Mo2, Cor. 5.2], Yoneda's lemma in  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  gives  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) = 0$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.1 also has the following application.

**Remark 3.3.** For  $X \in \mathcal{S}m_k$  with  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) = 0$ , Lemma 3.1 and [Mo1, Lem. 6.4.7] give an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \text{Pic}(X).$$

By Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2, Thm. 5.35], this isomorphism induces  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}r_k}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \text{Pic}(X)$ . This is another proof of [AM, Prop. 5.1.4].

## 4 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision over a perfect field

In this section, we consider an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision theorem over a perfect field. Let  $U$  be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected open subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected  $X \in \mathcal{S}m_k$  whose complement has codimension  $d$ . The  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision theorem of Asok-Doran [AD, Thm. 4.1] states that if  $k$  is infinite and  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -( $d-3$ )-connected, then the canonical morphism  $\pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq l \leq d-2$  and an epimorphism for  $l = d-1$ . We prove a weaker version of this theorem over a perfect field:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be perfect,  $U$  a pointed open subscheme of a pointed smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  whose complement has codimension  $d \geq 2$ , and  $l$  a non-negative integer. Assume that  $U$  and  $X$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected and that  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U)$  and  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  are abelian. If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -( $l-1$ )-connected, then the canonical morphism*

$$\pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

*is an isomorphism when  $0 \leq l \leq d-2$  and an epimorphism when  $l = d-1$ .*

This theorem covers the finite field case which is not treated in Asok-Doran's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision theorem. Moreover, the assumption of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness of  $X$  is weaker than the Asok-Doran's result which needs that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -( $d-3$ )-connected. However, we need an extra assumption that  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U)$  and  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  are abelian. We first prove an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -excision theorem for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homology sheaves over an arbitrary field.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $U$  be an open subscheme of a smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  whose complement has codimension  $d \geq 2$  and  $l$  a non-negative integer. Assume  $U(k) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) = 0$  for every  $i < l$ , then the canonical morphism*

$$\mathbf{H}_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_l^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R)$$

*is an isomorphism when  $l \leq d-2$  and an epimorphism when  $l = d-1$ .*



*Proof.* It suffices to show for the case  $l \leq d - 1$ . We use induction on  $l$ . The case of  $l = 0$  is proved by [As, Prop. 3.8]. We suppose that the lemma holds for  $l \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) = 0$  for every  $i < l + 1$ . We may assume  $l + 1 \leq d - 1$ , or equivalently,  $l \leq d - 2$ . Then we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U, R) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R) = 0$$

for every  $i \leq l$  by the inductive hypothesis. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 gives isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{Nis}^{l+1}(X, M) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(\mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X, R), M), \\ H_{Nis}^{l+1}(U, M) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(\mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U, R), M), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$ . On the other hand, [Mo1, Lem. 6.4.4] proves that the natural morphism

$$H_{Nis}^{l+1}(X, M) \rightarrow H_{Nis}^{l+1}(U, M)$$

is an isomorphism for  $l + 1 \leq d - 2$  and a monomorphism for  $l + 1 = d - 1$ . Thus, the conclusion follows from Yoneda's lemma in  $\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* It suffices to consider the case  $l \leq d - 1$ . We use induction on  $n$ . Since  $U$  and  $X$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected, canonical morphism  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is an isomorphism. By [Mo2, Thm. 5.35 and 4.46], we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) &\cong \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U), \\ \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) &\cong \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

because  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U)$  and  $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  are abelian. Therefore, Lemma 4.2 shows that

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \cong \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \cong \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

is an isomorphism when  $1 \leq d - 2$  and an epimorphism when  $1 = d - 1$ . This proves the theorem for  $n = 0$ . Next, we suppose that the theorem holds for  $l \geq 1$  and  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $l$ -connected. We may assume  $l + 1 \leq d - 1$ , or equivalently,  $l \leq d - 2$ . Then  $U$  is also  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $l$ -connected, because the inductive hypothesis gives an isomorphism

$$\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \cong \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) = 0$$

for every  $i \leq l$ . Therefore, we have  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) = 0$  for every  $i < l + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) &\cong \mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \\ \pi_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) &\cong \mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

by Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2, Thm. 5.37]. Thus, by Lemma 4.2 again, we see that

$$\pi_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \cong \mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \cong \pi_{l+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

is an isomorphism when  $1 \leq d - 2$  and an epimorphism when  $1 = d - 1$ .  $\square$

Lemma 4.2 also has the following application.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $U$  be an open subvariety of a smooth proper variety  $X$  whose complement has codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then  $U$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -simply connected.*

*Proof.* When  $U$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected, this is clear. When  $X$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected,  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \neq 0$  by [As, Thm. 4.14]. Thus, [As, Prop. 3.8] shows  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $U$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected. We may assume that  $U$  and  $X$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected. Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) = 0$  by [As, Prop. 3.8]. Therefore, Lemma 4.2 induces an epimorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X). \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X), \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X)$$

by Remark 3.3. Since  $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \neq 0$  (see [AM, Prop. 5.1.4]), we have  $\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \neq 0$ . Since the epimorphism (4.1) shows  $\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U) \neq 0$ , the open set  $U$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -simply connected.  $\square$

## 5 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -abelianization and the Hurewicz theorem

In this section, we consider  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem and Theorem 3.2 over a not perfect field. Since Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem is only proved over perfect fields, so is Theorem 3.2. We prove a generalization of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem over an arbitrary field. Next, we prove a weaker version of Theorem 3.2 over an arbitrary field via the generalized  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem. For this, we first construct a left adjoint functor of injections  $\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  and  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k$ .

### 5.1 Left adjoint of $\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$ and $\mathbb{A}^1$ -abelianization

Let  $M$  be a Nisnevich sheaf of  $R$ -modules. Then we write  $M_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  for the strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $H_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(M))$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The functor  $(-)_{\mathbb{A}^1} : \mathcal{M}od_k(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)$  is left adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $M \in \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}od_k(R)$ , Lemma 2.7 gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(M_{\mathbb{A}^1}, N) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(H_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(M)), N) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(M), N) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}}}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(M), N). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}}}(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(M), N) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}od_k(R))}(M, N) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(M, N). \end{aligned}$$

□

For integral coefficients, the adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(M_{\mathbb{A}^1}, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(M, N)$$

of Lemma 5.1 comes from a natural morphism  $M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  in  $\mathcal{M}od_k(R)$ . Indeed, Morel's construction of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localization functor comes from an endofunctor  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}b_k)$  and a natural transformation  $\theta^{ab} : \mathrm{id} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}$  (see [Mo2, Lem. 5.18 and Cor. 5.19]). Then the morphism  $\theta_M^{ab} : M \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}(M)$  induces a morphism

$$M = H_0(M) \rightarrow H_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}(M)) = M_{\mathbb{A}^1}. \quad (5.1)$$

The morphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}(R)}(M_{\mathbb{A}^1}, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}od_k(R)}(M, N)$  induced by (5.1) coincides with our adjunction.

We give an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -analogue of the abelianization in ordinary group theory. This gives a left adjoint functor of  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{G}r_k$  be the category of Nisnevich sheaves of groups. For  $G \in \mathcal{G}r_k$ , we denote  $G^{ab}$  for its abelianization sheaf. We call the strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $G_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab} = (G^{ab})_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -abelianization of  $G$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The functor  $\mathcal{G}r_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}; G \mapsto G_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}$  is left adjoint to  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k$ . Moreover, this adjunction induces a left adjoint functor of  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G \mapsto G^{ab}$  gives a left adjoint of  $\mathcal{A}b_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k$ , the composite functor  $\mathcal{G}r_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  is left adjoint to  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_k$ . □

## 5.2 $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem and Theorem 3.2 over an arbitrary field

By using Lemma 5.1 and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -abelianization, we can obtain a generalization of Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem [Mo2, Thm. 5.35 and 5.37] for a not necessary perfect field  $k$ :

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a pointed  $k$ -space over an arbitrary field  $k$ .*

(1) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected, then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab} \cong \mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}).$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -( $m-1$ )-connected for  $m \geq 2$ , then  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$  for all  $i \leq m-1$  and there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \mathbf{H}_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}).$$

By Lemma 5.3, the natural composite morphism  $G \rightarrow G^{ab} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}r_k$  is an initial morphism in the sens of [Mo2, Thm. 5.35]. Moreover, by [Mo2, Cor. 5.2],  $\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  for all  $m \geq 2$  when  $k$  is perfect. Therefore, Proposition 5.4 is a generalization of Morel's  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Hurewicz theorem.

*Proof of Proposition 5.4.* Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -( $m-1$ )-connected pointed  $k$ -space for  $m \geq 1$ . Applying the Hurewicz theorem for simplicial sets for all stalks of  $\pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = \pi_i(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(\mathcal{X}))$  and  $\mathbf{H}_i(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})) = H_i(C(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})))$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{H}_i(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_i(\mathrm{Spec} k)) = 0$$

for all  $i \leq m-1$  and  $\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})^{ab} \cong \mathbf{H}_m(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}))$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}r_k}(\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})^{ab}, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k}(\mathbf{H}_m(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})), M) \quad (5.2)$$

for every strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf  $M$ . By the same argument of the proof of Lemma 3.1 (not taking the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localization of  $C(\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}))$ ), the right-hand side of (5.2) coincides with  $[\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), K(M, m)]_s$ . Since the natural morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence and  $K(M, m)$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local, we also have  $[\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), K(M, m)]_s \cong [\mathcal{X}, K(M, m)]_s$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1, the left-hand side of (5.2) coincides with  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}, M)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}, M) \cong [\mathcal{X}, K(M, m)]_s. \quad (5.3)$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ , the proof of the Lemma 3.1 shows

$$[\mathcal{X}, K(M, 1)]_s \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), M)$$

and (5.3) induces

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), M).$$

Therefore, Yoneda's lemma in  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  proves (1). Assume  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab} = \pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . Therefore, for proving (2), we only need to show that

$$\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab} \cong \mathbf{H}_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \quad (5.4)$$

by using induction on  $m$ . By the inductive hypothesis, we may assume  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$  for every  $i \leq m - 1$  because  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{m-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \pi_{m-1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1} = 0$ . Then (5.3) also shows

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{ab}, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathbf{H}_m^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}), M).$$

Therefore, Yoneda's lemma in  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  also proves (5.4).  $\square$

The following is a weaker version of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a pointed smooth  $k$ -scheme of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $m$ -connected for  $m \geq n$ , then  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)_{\mathbb{A}^1} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We can perform the same argument of the proof of Theorem 3.2 replacing  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  to  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . Indeed, Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 3.1 show

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)_{\mathbb{A}^1}, M) \cong H_{Nis}^{m+1}(X, M)$$

for every  $M \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . By [Ni, Thm. 1.32], the right-hand side vanishes. Since  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)_{\mathbb{A}^1} \in \mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ , Yoneda's lemma in  $\mathcal{A}b_k^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  shows  $\pi_{m+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)_{\mathbb{A}^1} = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [As] A. Asok, *Birational invariants and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **681** (2012) 39-64.
- [AD] A. Asok and B. Doran,  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy groups, excision, and solvable quotients*, Adv. Math. **221**(4) (2009) 1144-1190.
- [AM] A. Asok and F. Morel, *Smooth varieties up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy and algebraic h-cobordisms*, Adv. Math. **227**(5) (2011) 1990-2058.
- [CD] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise, *Mixed Weil cohomologies*, Adv. Math., **230**(1) (2012) 55-130.
- [GJ] P. Goerss and R. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, **174**, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel (1999).
- [Mo1] F. Morel, *The stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectivity theorems*, K-theory, **35**(1-2) (2005) 1-68.
- [Mo2] F. Morel,  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -algebraic topology over a field*, Lecture Notes in Math., **2052**, Springer, Heidelberg (2012).
- [MV] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky,  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. IHES, **90** (1999) 45-143.
- [Ni] Y. Nisnevich, *The completely decomposed topology on schemes and associated descent spectral sequence in algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **279**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (1989) 241-342.