

A LIOUVILLE THEOREM ON THE PDE $\det(f_{i\bar{j}}) = 1$

AN-MIN LI AND LI SHENG

Dedicated to Udo Simon for his 80th Birthday

ABSTRACT. Let f be a smooth plurisubharmonic function which solves

$$\det(f_{i\bar{j}}) = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Suppose that the metric $\omega_f = \sqrt{-1}f_{i\bar{j}}dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ is complete and f satisfies the growth condition

$$C^{-1}(1 + |z|^2) \leq f \leq C(1 + |z|^2), \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

for some $C > 0$, then f is quadratic.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, denote

$$\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega) := \{f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \mid f \text{ is a real function and } (f_{i\bar{j}}) > 0\},$$

where $(f_{i\bar{j}}) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \right)$. For $f \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$, (Ω, ω_f) is a Kähler manifold. In this paper we study the PDE

$$(1.1) \quad \det(f_{i\bar{j}}) = 1.$$

The quadratical polynomial

$$(1.2) \quad f = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \dots + z_n \bar{z}_n$$

is a solution of (1.1). If we take a linear transformation

$$\dot{z}_i = \sum a_i^j z_j, \quad \det(a_i^j) = 1,$$

the above f is transformed into another solution of (1.1). All these solutions are called affine equivalent.

When ω_f is complete, ω_f is a complete Calabi-Yau metric. Tian proved that every Calabi-Yau metric of Euclidean volume growth on \mathbb{C}^2 must be Euclidean metric, and conjectured that the same should hold true on \mathbb{C}^n for all $n \geq 3$ ([9]). Recently, a counterexample to this conjecture was found by Li, Conlon-Rochon, Székelyhidi independently (see [3, 5, 8]).

Li acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant NSFC11521061.

Sheng acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant NSFC11471225.

To obtain the Liouville theorem of (1.1) people need to strengthen the assumption near ∞ . At the AIM workshop “Nonlinear PDEs in real and complex geometry” Szekelyhidi asked the following question ([1])

Question 1.1. *Let f be plurisubharmonic solution to $\det(f_{i\bar{j}}) = 1$ on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying*

$$C^{-1}(|z|^2 + 1) \leq f \leq C(|z|^2 + 1)$$

then f is quadratic.

In [10] Wang prove the Liouville theorem under the assumption $f = |z|^2 + o(|z|^2)$, as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we consider the solution of (1.1) with complete Kähler metrics. We prove the following two Liouville properties on (1.1).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.1). Suppose that*

- (1) *There is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f \geq \varepsilon(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (2) *ω_f is complete.*

Then the second derivatives of f of mixed type are constants.

We have following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.1). Suppose that*

- (1) *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i) \leq f \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i), \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty$$

- (2) *ω_f is complete.*

Then f must be affine equivalent to a quadratical polynomial.

To state our second theorem we define a invariant

$$D := \sum f^{i\bar{k}} f^{j\bar{l}} f_{,ij} f_{,\bar{l}\bar{i}},$$

where “,” denote the covariant derivative with respect to the metric ω_f .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.1). Suppose that*

- (1) *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i) \leq f \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i), \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty$$

- (2) *f defined on whole \mathbb{C}^n .*
- (3) *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that $D \leq C(1 + f)^2$.*

Then f must be affine equivalent to a quadratical polynomial.

By the assumption $f \geq \varepsilon(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_i)$ we have f attain its minimal at some point p^\bullet . Consider the coordinate transformation $\tilde{z} = z - z(p^\bullet)$. By adding a constant f attains its minimum $\min f = 0$ at $\tilde{z}(p^\bullet) = 0$. Then there exists $R_1 > R_0$ such that $f \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{z}_i \bar{\tilde{z}}_i)$ when $|\tilde{z}| \geq R_1$. Without loss of generality we assume that f attains its minimum $\min f$ at 0, and $f(0) = 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

2.1. Estimate of T . Denote $T = \sum_{i=1}^n f^{i\bar{j}} g_{i\bar{j}}$, where $g_{i\bar{j}}$ is the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by $R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_f)$ and $R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_g)$ the Ricci curvature of the metric ω_f and ω_g respectively. Let $C > 0$ be an arbitrary large number. Consider the geodesic ball $B_f(0, 2C)$ centered at 0 with radius $r = 2C$. We take a linear transformation

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{f} = \frac{f}{C^2}, \quad \dot{g} = \frac{g}{C^2}, \quad \dot{z} = \frac{z}{C}.$$

Note that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \dot{f}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad \dot{T} := \sum f^{i\bar{j}} \dot{g}_{i\bar{j}} = T.$$

Denote $\dot{f}_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 \dot{f}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$. Obviously,

$$\det(\dot{f}_{i\bar{j}}) = 1.$$

Denote $\|\cdot\|_f$, $\|\cdot\|_g$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{f}}$, the norm with respect to the metric ω_f , ω_g and $\omega_{\dot{f}}$ respectively. Denote by $\dot{B}_{\dot{f}}(0, 2)$ the geodesic ball centered at 0 with radius 2 with respect to the metric $\omega_{\dot{f}}$. We estimate $\|\nabla \dot{f}\|_{\dot{f}}^2$. In [2] Chen, Li and Sheng proved

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\dot{f} \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\dot{f}(0) = \inf_\Omega \dot{f} = 0$. Suppose that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_{\dot{f}})\|_{\dot{f}} \leq N_1, \quad \text{in } B_{\dot{f}}(0, 2),$$

where $R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_{\dot{f}})$ is the Ricci curvature of the metric $\omega_{\dot{f}}$. Then in $B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1)$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\|\nabla \dot{f}\|_{\dot{f}}^2}{(1 + \dot{f})^2} \leq C_1$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on n and N_0 . Then, for any $q \in B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1)$,

$$(2.5) \quad \dot{f}(q) - \dot{f}(0) \leq \exp\left(\sqrt{C_1} d(0, p)\right) - 1,$$

where $d(0, p)$ denotes the geodesic distance from 0 to p with respect to the metric $\omega_{\dot{f}}$.

By the condition (1) of Theorem 1.2 and the linear transformation (2.1) we have,

$$\dot{f} \geq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{z}_i \bar{\dot{z}}_i \right).$$

By Lemma 2.1, in $B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1)$, we conclude that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum |\dot{z}_i|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp(\sqrt{C_1}).$$

To estimate \dot{T} , we need

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\dot{f} \in \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Omega)$ and $B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1) \subset \Omega$. Suppose*

$$\det(\dot{f}_{i\bar{j}}) \leq N_1, \quad \|R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_{\dot{f}})\|_{\dot{f}} \leq N_1, \quad |\dot{z}| \leq N_1.$$

in $B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1)$, for some constant $N_1 > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C_2 > 1$ such that

$$C_2^{-1} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \leq C_2, \quad \forall q \in B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1/2).$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of the matrix $(\dot{f}_{i\bar{j}})$, C_2 is a positive constant depending on n and N_1 .

The Lemma 2.2 has been proved in [2]. By Lemma 2.2 and $\dot{g}_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{i\bar{j}}$, \dot{T} is bounded by a constant in $B_{\dot{f}}(0, 1/2)$. By (2.2) we have T is bounded by a constant in $B_f(0, C/2)$. Since C is arbitrary, $\sum f^{i\bar{i}} = \sum_i 1/\lambda_i$ and $\sum f_{i\bar{i}} = \sum_i \lambda_i$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad C_2^{-1} \omega_g \leq \sum \omega_f \leq C_2 \omega_g.$$

on whole Kähler manifold (Ω, ω_f) .

Set $\varphi = f - g$. Denote

$$S_f := \sum f^{i\bar{j}} f^{k\bar{l}} f^{m\bar{n}} \varphi_{;i\bar{l}m} \varphi_{;j\bar{k}n}.$$

where “;” denote the covariant derivative with respect to the metric ω_g . If no danger of confusion we denote S_f by S . It was proved that (see [11, 6])

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta' S \geq -C_3 S - C_4$$

for some constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$, where Δ' is the Laplacian operator with respect to the metric ω_f .

The following lemma can be found in [2].

Lemma 2.3.

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta' T \geq \sum f^{i\bar{j}} f^{n\bar{l}} f^{k\bar{h}} f^{m\bar{p}} g_{k\bar{l}} \phi_{;n\bar{p}\bar{j}} \phi_{;m\bar{h}\bar{i}} + \sum f^{m\bar{l}} f^{k\bar{h}} g_{k\bar{l}} R_{m\bar{h}}(\omega_f) - \|R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(\omega_g)\|_g T^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta' \log T \geq -\|R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_f)\|_f - \|R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(\omega_g)\|_g T.$$

where $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(\omega_g)$ denotes the holomorphic bisectional curvature of the metric ω_g .

Since $g_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}$ and $R_{i\bar{j}}(\omega_f) = 0$, by (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta' T \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_m \lambda_k^2} |\phi_{;k\bar{m}\bar{i}}|^2 \geq C_1^{-1} S, \quad \Delta' \log T \geq 0.$$

2.2. Estimate of S . By (2.8) and (2.11) we have

Lemma 2.4. *There are constants $A > 0$, $C_5, C_6 > 0$ such that*

$$\Delta'(S + AT) \geq C_5 S - C_6.$$

Now we prove

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $T \leq C_2$ in $B_f(0, a)$, where $a \geq 1$. Then, in $B_f(0, a/2)$, we have*

$$S \leq C_7 \left(1 + \frac{1}{a^2}\right).$$

where C_7 is a constant depending only on C_1 .

Proof. Consider the function

$$\mathbf{F} = (a^2 - r^2)^2 e^{\alpha T} (S + AT)$$

defined on $B_f(0, a)$, where $\alpha < 1$ is a constant to be determined later. \mathbf{F} attains its supremum at some interior point p^* . Then, at p^* ,

$$(2.12) \quad \left(-\frac{2(r^2)_{,i}}{a^2 - r^2} + \alpha T_{,i}\right) + \frac{(S + AT)_{,i}}{(S + AT)} = 0,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \left(-\frac{2\Delta'(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2} - \frac{2\|\nabla(r^2)\|_f^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} + \alpha\Delta'T\right) + \frac{\Delta'(S + AT)}{(S + AT)} - \frac{\|\nabla(S + AT)\|_f^2}{(S + AT)^2} \leq 0.$$

Using the Schwarz inequality and (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\|\nabla(S + AT)\|_f^2}{(S + AT)^2} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{4\|\nabla r^2\|^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} + \alpha^2(1 + \delta)\|\nabla T\|_f^2$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that

$$(2.15) \quad \|\nabla T\|_f^2 \leq nC_1^2 S.$$

In fact, we choose local coordinates such that

$$f_{i\bar{j}} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}, \quad g_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla T\|_f^2 = \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left| \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \varphi_{;i\bar{i}k} \right|^2 \leq C_1^2 \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left| \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \varphi_{;i\bar{i}k} \right|^2 \leq n C_1^2 S.$$

Note that $|\nabla r| = 1$. Using the Laplacian comparison theorem we have

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{2\Delta'(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2} + \frac{2\|\nabla(r^2)\|^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} \leq \frac{4a^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} + \frac{C(n)}{a^2 - r^2}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$(2.17) \quad (1 - n C_1^3 (1 + \delta) \alpha) C_1^{-1} \alpha S \leq C \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} \right)$$

Choose $\delta = 1$ and α small such that $n C_1^3 (1 + \delta) \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By $T < n C_1$ we have

$$(a^2 - r^2)^2 e^{\alpha T} (S + AT) \leq C (a^4 + a^2).$$

Then Lemma 2.5 is proved.

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p = (z_o, f(z_o))$ be an arbitrary point in (Ω, ω_f) , we prove $S_f(p) = 0$. Suppose that $S_f(p) \neq 0$, we take a sequence C_k and a sequence of geodesic ball $B_f(0, 2C_k)$ with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. We take a sequence of linear transformations:

$$(2.18) \quad \dot{f}_k = \frac{f}{C_k^2}, \quad \dot{z}_k = \frac{z}{C_k}.$$

We get a sequence of geodesic ball $B_{\dot{f}_k}(0, 2)$. Obviously, $p \in B_{\dot{f}_k}(0, 1/2)$ as k large enough. Since T is invariant under these coordinate transformations, we have

$$S_{\dot{f}_k}(p) = C_k^2 S_f(p) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if } S_f(p) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, by $p \in B_{\dot{f}_k}(0, 1/2)$ and Lemma 2.5, $S_{\dot{f}_k}(p)$ are uniformly bounded above by a constant independent of k , we get a contradiction. So $S_f \equiv 0$ on whole Kähler manifold (Ω, ω_f) . Theorem 1.2 is proved. ■

Remark 2.6. By (2.7), and the theorem of Riebesehl and Schulz (cf. Theorem 2 in [7]) we can immediately obtain Theorem 1.2. Here we give a different proof, which has independent interest for us.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 1.2 we conclude that $f_{i\bar{j}}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ are constants. Set $v = \sum_{i,j} z_i f_{i\bar{j}}(0) \bar{z}_j$. Then $f - v$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} (f - v) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

$$|f - v| \leq C(1 + |z|^2).$$

In particular, $f - v$ is a harmonic function. By the estimates of harmonic function (cf. Theorem 1.13 in [4]) we have, for any $R > 1$ and any multi-index ν with $|\nu| = 2$,

$$|\nabla^\nu(f - v)(p)| \leq \frac{C(n)}{R^2} \max_{D(p,R)} |f - v| \leq \frac{C(n)}{R^2} \max_{D(0,R+d_E(0,p))} |f - v|,$$

where $d_E(0, p)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from 0 to p , $D(p, R)$ denotes Euclidean ball centered at p with radius R . Choose R big enough, we have $|\nabla^\nu(f - v)(p)| \leq C$. Then by Liouville Theorem we have $f - v$ is quadratic. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Denote $S_f(0, b) := \{z | f(z) \leq b\}$. Consider the function defined on the section $S_f(0, b)$

$$\mathbf{F} := \exp \left\{ -\frac{b}{b-f} \right\} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_f^2}{(1+f)^2}.$$

\mathbf{F} attains its supremum at some interior point p^* . We may assume $\|\nabla f\|_f(p^*) > 0$. Then, at p^* ,

$$(3.1) \quad - \left[\frac{2f_{,i}}{1+f} + \frac{bf_{,i}}{(b-f)^2} \right] \sum f^{i\bar{j}} f_i f_{\bar{j}} + \sum f^{i\bar{j}} f_{,ik} f_{\bar{j}} + f_k = 0.$$

Choose a complex coordinate system such that, at p^* ,

$$f_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}, \quad f_{,1} = f_{,\bar{1}}, \quad f_{,i} = f_{,\bar{i}} = 0 \quad \forall i > 1.$$

Then from (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad - \left[\frac{2f_{,1}}{1+f} + \frac{bf_{,1}}{(b-f)^2} \right] f_{,1} f_{,\bar{1}} + f_{,11} f_{,\bar{1}} + f_1 = 0.$$

From (3.2) and the condition (3) of Theorem 1.4 it follows that

$$\frac{2\|\nabla f\|_f^4}{(1+f)^2} \leq C(1+f)^2 + 1.$$

Then

$$(3.3) \quad \exp \left\{ -\frac{b}{b-f} \right\} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_f^2}{(1+f)^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{C+1}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Since \mathbf{F} attains its supremum at p^* , (3.3) holds everywhere on $S_f(0, b)$. Letting $b \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{(1+f)^2} \leq e \frac{\sqrt{C+1}}{\sqrt{2}} := C_9.$$

Take a point $p_1 \in \partial S_f(0, b)$ such that $d(0, p_1) = d(0, \partial S_f(0, b))$. Let l be the shortest geodesic from 0 to p_1 . Following from (3.4) we have

$$\sqrt{C_9} \geq \|\nabla \log(1 + f)\| \geq \left| \frac{d \log(1 + f)}{ds} \right|,$$

where s denotes the arc-length parameter with respect to the metric $f_{i\bar{j}}$. Applying this and a direct integration we obtain

$$d(0, p_1) = \int_l ds \geq C_9^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(1 + f)|_{p_1} = C_9^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(1 + b).$$

As $b \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $d(0, \partial S_f(0, b)) \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence ω_f is complete. Then we use Corollary 1.3 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. ■

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften, especially Professor Jürgen Jost and Professor Xianqing Li-Jost, for their great hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] AimPL: Nonlinear PDEs in real and complex geometry, available at <http://aimpl.org/nonlinpdegeom>.
- [2] B. Chen, A.-M. Li, L. Sheng, *Affine techniques on extremal metrics on toric surfaces*, arXiv:1008.2606.
- [3] R. J. Conlon, F. Rochon. New examples of complete Calabi Yau metrics on C^n for $n \geq 3$. arXiv:1705.08788.
- [4] Q. Han, F. Lin, Elliptic partial differential equations. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [5] Y. Li. A new complete Calabi-Yau metric in C^n . arXiv:1705.07026.
- [6] DH Phong, J Song, J Sturm, Complex Monge Ampere Equations, Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. 17, 327-411 (2012).
- [7] D.Riebesehl, F.Schulz, A Priori Estimates and a Liouville Theorem for Complex Monge-Ampère Equations, Math. Z. 186 (1984), 57-66.
- [8] G. Székelyhidi, Degenerations of C^n and Calabi-Yau metrics; arXiv:1706.00357.
- [9] G. Tian, Aspects of metric geometry of four manifolds, Inspired by S. S. Chern, Nankai Tracts Math., vol. 11, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, pp. 381-397.
- [10] Y. Wang, A Liouville Theorem for the Complex Monge-Ampère Equation, arXiv:1303.2403.
- [11] S.-T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 31,(1978), 339-441.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA
E-mail address: anminliscu@126.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, CHINA
E-mail address, Corresponding author: lshengscu@gmail.com