

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE OPERATORS

YULONG LI

ABSTRACT. Fractional Sobolev spaces $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ have been playing important roles in analysis of many mathematical subjects. In this work, we re-consider fractional Sobolev spaces under the perspective of fractional operators and establish characterizations on the Fourier transform of functions of fractional Sobolev spaces, thereby giving another equivalent definition.

1. Introduction. $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ has been exhibiting important usefulness in the study of theory of classical integer-order PDEs; and it is well known from the standard textbooks that fractional Sobolev spaces $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ could be defined in several ways, namely via Fourier transform, Gagliardo norm or interpolation spaces.

Our previous work ([2], [3]) have suggested that usual fractional Sobolev spaces have been behaving new features in analysis of fraction-order differential equations due to the simultaneous appearing of left, right and mixed Riemann-Liouville derivatives. In this work we continue to explore usual fractional Sobolev spaces under the perspective of fractional calculus theory; and the main result in this work is Theorem 2, which characterizes the Fourier transform of elements of $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ and thus gives another equivalent definition of $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$. The material is organized as follows:

- Section 2 introduces the notations and conventions adopted throughout the material.
- Section 3 introduces the preliminary knowledge on fractional R-L operators.

1991 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* 26A06, 46N20, 26A33.

Keywords and phrases. Riemann-Liouville fractional operators, weak fractional derivative, Fourier transform, regularity, decomposition, mixed derivative.

Received by the editors September 17, 2018.

- Section 4 outlines some existing results on the characterization of $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$, which are obtained in [2] and will provide the whole abstract setting for the work.
- Section 5 establishes the main results.

2. Notations.

- All functions considered in this material are default to be real valued unless otherwise specified.
- (f, g) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} fg$ shall be used interchangeably. Also, we denote integration $\int_A f$ on set A without pointing out the variable unless it is necessary to specify.
- If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $f = g$ means $f = g, a.e.$, unless stated otherwise.
- $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{F}(u)$ denotes the Fourier transform of u with specific expression defined in Definition 6, \widehat{u} denotes the Plancherel transform of u defined in Theorem 3, which is well known that \widehat{u} is an isometry map from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and coincides with $\mathcal{F}(u)$ if $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- u^\vee denotes the inverse of Plancherel transform, and $*$ denotes convolution.

3. Preliminary.

3.1. Fractional Riemann-Liouville Integrals and Properties.

Definition 1. Let $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$. The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order σ are, formally respectively, defined as

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{D}^{-\sigma} u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{-\infty}^x (x-s)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{D}^{-\sigma*} u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_x^{\infty} (s-x)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\sigma)$ is the usual Gamma function.

Property 3.1 ([1], p. 96). *Given $0 < \sigma$,*

$$(3.3) \quad (\phi, \mathbf{D}^{-\sigma}\psi) = (\mathbf{D}^{-\sigma*}\phi, \psi),$$

for $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$, $p > 1, q > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1 + \sigma$.

Property 3.2 ([1], Corollary 2.1). *Let $\mu, \sigma > 0$, and $w \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{D}^{-\mu}\mathbf{D}^{-\sigma}w = \mathbf{D}^{-(\mu+\sigma)}w \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}\mathbf{D}^{-\sigma*}w = \mathbf{D}^{-(\mu+\sigma)*}w.$$

Property 3.3 ([1], pp. 95, 96). *Let $\mu > 0$. Given $h \in \mathbb{R}$, define the translation operator τ_h as $\tau_h u(x) = u(x - h)$. Also, given $\kappa > 0$, define the dilation operator Π_κ as $\Pi_\kappa u(x) = u(\kappa x)$. Under the assumption that $\mathbf{D}^{-\mu}u$ and $\mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}u$ are well-defined, the following is true:*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_h(\mathbf{D}^{-\mu}u) &= \mathbf{D}^{-\mu}(\tau_h u), & \tau_h(\mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}u) &= \mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}(\tau_h u) \\ \Pi_\kappa(\mathbf{D}^{-\mu}u) &= \kappa^\mu \mathbf{D}^{-\mu}(\Pi_\kappa u), & \Pi_\kappa(\mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}u) &= \kappa^\mu \mathbf{D}^{-\mu*}(\Pi_\kappa u). \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Fractional Riemann-Liouville Derivatives and Properties.

Definition 2. Let $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $\mu > 0$, n is the smallest integer greater than μ (i.e., $n - 1 \leq \mu < n$), and $\sigma = n - \mu$. The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order μ are, formally respectively, defined as

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{D}^\mu u := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_{-\infty}^x (x - s)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u := \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_x^\infty (s - x)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds.$$

Property 3.4 ([2]). *Let $0 < \mu$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathbf{D}^\mu u, \mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

Property 3.5 ([1], p. 137). *Let $\mu > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{D}^\mu u) = (2\pi i \xi)^\mu \mathcal{F}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u) = (-2\pi i \xi)^\mu \mathcal{F}(u), \quad \xi \neq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ is the Fourier Transform as defined in Definition 6 and the complex power functions are understood as $(\mp i \xi)^\sigma = |\xi|^\sigma e^{\mp \sigma \pi i \cdot \text{sign}(\xi)/2}$.

Property 3.6 ([2]). Consider τ_h and Π_κ defined in Property 3.3. Let $\mu > 0$, $n - 1 \leq \mu < n$, where n is a positive integer, then

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_h(\mathbf{D}^\mu u) &= \mathbf{D}^\mu(\tau_h u), & \tau_h(\mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u) &= \mathbf{D}^{\mu*}(\tau_h u) \\ \Pi_\kappa(\mathbf{D}^\mu u) &= \kappa^{-\mu} \mathbf{D}^\mu(\Pi_\kappa u), & \Pi_\kappa(\mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u) &= \kappa^{-\mu} \mathbf{D}^{\mu*}(\Pi_\kappa u). \end{aligned}$$

4. Characterization of Sobolev Space $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$. In this section, we will list the necessary concepts and results developed in [2], which characterize the classical Sobolev space $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ defined in 5. This section will give us the theoretical framework in which the main results in Section 5 will be established.

Definition 3 (Weak Fractional Derivatives[2]). Let $\mu > 0$, and $u, w \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. The function w is called weak μ -order left fractional derivative of u , written as $\mathbf{D}^\mu u = w$, provided

$$(4.10) \quad (u, \mathbf{D}^{\mu*} \psi) = (w, \psi) \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

In a similar fashion, w is weak μ -order right fractional derivative of u , written as $\mathbf{D}^{\mu*} u = w$, provided

$$(4.11) \quad (u, \mathbf{D}^\mu \psi) = (w, \psi) \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Definition 4 ([2]). Let $s \geq 0$. Define spaces

$$(4.12) \quad \widetilde{W}_L^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{D}^s u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},$$

$$(4.13) \quad \widetilde{W}_R^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{D}^{s*} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},$$

where $\mathbf{D}^s u$ and $\mathbf{D}^{s*} u$ are in the weak fractional derivative sense as defined in Definition 3. A semi-norm

$$(4.14) \quad |u|_L := \|\mathbf{D}^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \text{ for } \widetilde{W}_L^s(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } |u|_R := \|\mathbf{D}^{s*} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \text{ for } \widetilde{W}_R^s(\mathbb{R}),$$

is given with the corresponding norm

$$(4.15) \quad \|u\|_\star := (\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + |u|_\star^2)^{1/2}, \quad \star = L, R.$$

Remark 1. Notice the special case $\widetilde{W}_L^0(\mathbb{R}) = \widetilde{W}_R^0(\mathbb{R}) = \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{R}) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Property 4.1 (Uniqueness of Weak Fractional R-L Derivatives [2]). *If $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ has a weak s -order left (or right) fractional derivative, then it is unique up to a set of zero measure.*

Now we have the following characterization of Sobolev space $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1 ([2]). *Given $s \geq 0$, $\widetilde{W}^s_L(\mathbb{R})$, $\widetilde{W}^s_R(\mathbb{R})$ and $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ are identical spaces with equal norms and semi-norms.*

Corollary 1 ([2]). *$u \in \widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{u_n\}, \{D^s u_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$. As a consequence, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^s u_n = D^s u$.*

Likewise,

$u \in \widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{u_n\}, \{D^{s} u_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$. As a consequence, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{s*} u_n = D^{s*} u$.*

5. Main Results. Let us keep in mind that throughout the rest of paper, fractional derivatives are always understood in the weak sense defined in Section 4. The main result in this work is the following.

Theorem 2. *Given $s \geq 0$. Let $f(\xi)$ be a function of form $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \chi(s_i) \cdot (\pm 2\pi\xi i)^{s_i})$, where $0 \leq s_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \leq s$ and $\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ or } [\frac{3}{2} + 2k, \frac{5}{2} + 2k], k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ -1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2} + 2k, \frac{3}{2} + 2k), k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$ Denote $\tau = s - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$.*

Then the following is true:

$v(x) \in \widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exists a $u(x) \in \widehat{H}^\tau(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(\xi) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi)$.

Remark 2. As convention, the complex power functions are understood as $(\mp i\xi)^\sigma = |\xi|^\sigma e^{\mp \sigma \pi i \cdot \text{sign}(\xi)/2}$.

Before embarking on the rigorous proof of the theorem above, let us first establish several lemmas which are of crucial importance later.

Lemma 1. Fix $s \geq 0$. The following sets are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ respectively:

- $M_1 = \{w : w = \psi + \mathbf{D}^s \psi, \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- $M_2 = \{w : w = \psi - \mathbf{D}^s \psi, \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- $M_3 = \{w : w = \psi + \mathbf{D}^{s*} \psi, \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- $M_4 = \{w : w = \psi - \mathbf{D}^{s*} \psi, \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$

Proof. 1. The proof is provided for M_1 only and the results for other sets follow analogously without essential differences.

2. To use Theorem 5, first notice $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a Hilbert space and $M_1 \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by Property 3.4. Furthermore, it is effortless to verify M_1 is a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus all the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is met.

3. Let us assume that $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $(g, w) = 0$ for any $w \in M_1$. The proof is done if this implies $g = 0$ a.e..

4. Pick a non-zero function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then by Plancherel Theorem we know $\widehat{\psi}(\xi)$ is a non-zero function, namely, $\widehat{\psi}(\xi) \neq 0$. On account of continuity of $\widehat{\psi}(\xi)$, there exists a non-empty open interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{\psi}(\xi) \neq 0$ on (a, b) .

5. Let $v(x) = \psi(\epsilon x)$, where ϵ is any positive fixed number. It's clear that $v(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ as well. Computing the Fourier transform of $w(x) = v(x) + \mathbf{D}^s v$ by Property 3.5 gives

$$(5.16) \quad \widehat{w}(\xi) = (1 + (2\pi i \xi)^s) \widehat{v}(\xi) = (1 + (2\pi i \xi)^s) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\xi}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Notice $|1 + (2\pi i \xi)^s| \neq 0$ a.e. and $\widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\xi}{\epsilon}\right) \neq 0$ on $(a\epsilon, b\epsilon)$. It follows that $\widehat{w}(\xi) \neq 0$ a.e. on $(a\epsilon, b\epsilon)$.

6. Set new function $G(-y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) w(x-y) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) \tau_y w(x) dx$. Using Property 3.6 gives $\tau_y w(x) \in M_1$ and therefore $G(-y) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ by our assumption in Step 3. And thus by Plancherel Theorem we know $\widehat{G}(\xi) = 0$ a.e. as well.

7. Note that $G(y) = g(-x) * w(x)$ and $g, w \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using convolution theorem ([4], Theorem 1.2, p.12) gives $\widehat{G} = \widehat{g(-x)} \cdot \widehat{w(x)} = 0$, which implies $\widehat{g(-x)}(\xi) = 0$ a.e. on $(\epsilon a, \epsilon b)$ by recalling $\widehat{w}(\xi) \neq 0$ a.e. on $(a\epsilon, b\epsilon)$.

8. Because of the arbitrariness of ϵ , we deduce $\widehat{g(-x)}(\xi) = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} and therefore $g(x) = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} by another use of inverse Plancherel Theorem. This completes the whole proof. \square

Lemma 2. *Given $0 \leq s$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then*

(5.17)

$$\|\psi \pm \mathbf{D}^s \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \pm 2 \cos\left(\frac{s}{2}\pi\right) \|\mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\mathbf{D}^s \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

(5.18)

$$\|\psi \pm \mathbf{D}^{s*} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \pm 2 \cos\left(\frac{s}{2}\pi\right) \|\mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\mathbf{D}^{s*} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Proof. 1. The proof is established for one case of identities above only, namely $\|\psi + \mathbf{D}^s \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$, and the others can be shown analogously by repeating the same procedure (even though involve left derivative and right derivative in different cases).

2. Since $\|\psi + \mathbf{D}^s \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\mathbf{D}^s \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi)$, we only need to show $(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi) = \cos\left(\frac{s}{2}\pi\right) \|\mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$.

3. Note that if we could show $(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi) = (\mathbf{D}^{s/2*} \psi, \mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi)$, then immediately $(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi) = \cos\left(\frac{s}{2}\pi\right) \|\mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$ follows by application of the second identity of Theorem 4.1 in [2] and so the proof is done.

4. The fact $(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi) = (\mathbf{D}^{s/2*} \psi, \mathbf{D}^{s/2} \psi)$ could be verified by a straightforward calculation as follows. Let us rewrite $s = n - \delta$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$, where n is a positive integer. Notice $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, if integer n is even, using definition of R-L derivative and Lemma 2.2 ([4], p.73) gives

$$(5.19) \quad (\psi, \mathbf{D}^s \psi) = \left(\psi, \frac{d}{dx^{(n/2)}} \mathbf{D}^{n/2-\delta} \psi\right) = \left(\psi, \frac{d}{dx^{(n/2)}} \mathbf{D}^{-\delta} \psi^{(n/2)}\right).$$

Using integration by parts and semigroup property 3.2 the last term becomes

$$\left(\psi, \frac{d}{dx^{(n/2)}} \mathbf{D}^{-\delta} \psi^{(n/2)}\right) = (\mathbf{D}^{n/2*} \psi, \mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2} \mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2} \psi^{(n/2)}).$$

To simplify the right-hand side, notice the fact that $\mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2} \psi^{(n/2)} = \mathbf{D}^{n/2-\delta/2} \psi$ by applying Lemma 2.2 ([4], p.73), and this gives $\mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2} \psi^{(n/2)}$

$\in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $p \geq 1$ by Property 3.4. Thus

$$(\mathbf{D}^{n/2^*}\psi, \mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2}\mathbf{D}^{-\delta/2}\psi^{(n/2)}) = (\mathbf{D}^{s/2^*}\psi, \mathbf{D}^{s/2}\psi)$$

follows immediately from Property 3.1 and another use of Lemma 2.2 ([4], p.73). Therefore $(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s\psi) = (\mathbf{D}^{s/2^*}\psi, \mathbf{D}^{s/2}\psi)$.

5. If integer n is odd, using definition of R-L derivative and Lemma 2.2 ([4], p.73), it is not difficult to verify

$$(\psi, \mathbf{D}^s\psi) = (\psi, \frac{d}{dx^{((n+1)/2)}}\mathbf{D}^{-(\delta+1)}\psi^{((n+1)/2)}).$$

Now $n + 1$ is even. Repeating above procedure starting from Equation (5.19) gives us the desired result, which is omitted here. Thus we are done. \square

Lemma 3. (a). Let $\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ or } [\frac{3}{2} + 2k, \frac{5}{2} + 2k], k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ -1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2} + 2k, \frac{3}{2} + 2k), k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$

Given $0 \leq s$, there exists a one to one and onto map $T : v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.20) \quad v + \chi(s) \cdot \mathbf{D}^s v = u.$$

Analogously, there exists a one to one and onto map $T^* : v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.21) \quad v + \chi(s) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{s*} v = u.$$

(b). Furthermore, for each case, $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $u \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t > 0$.

Proof. 1. For part (a), the proof is shown only for Equality (5.20) since the second one could be shown similarly.

2. First we show the map is onto. Fix a $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and without loss of generality, we assume $\chi(s) = 1$. Invoking Lemma 1, there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{v_n - \mathbf{D}^s v_n\}$ converging to u in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $\{v_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. This implies that

$$\|(v_m - v_n) - (\mathbf{D}^s v_m - \mathbf{D}^s v_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We next employ Lemma 2 to equivalently obtain that, as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|v_m - v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{s}{2}\pi\right) \|\mathbf{D}^{s/2}v_m - \mathbf{D}^{s/2}v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\mathbf{D}^s v_m - \mathbf{D}^s v_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

$\rightarrow 0$. Now notice that $2 \cos(\frac{s}{2}\pi) \geq 0$ since $\chi(s) = 1$. Therefore, we deduce that $\{v_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{D}^s v_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences respectively. This concludes that, if we denote $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$, $v + \chi(s) \cdot \mathbf{D}^s v = u$ and $v \in \widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ by Corollary 1.

3. We now show the map is one to one. First notice the fact that $\mathbf{D}^s v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $v \in \widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ by the definition of weak fractional derivative, therefore $v + \chi(s) \cdot \mathbf{D}^s v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which means $T : v \mapsto u$ is a map by the uniqueness of weak fractional derivative 4.1. Second, assume there exists another \tilde{v} such that $\tilde{v} + \mathbf{D}^s \tilde{v} = v + \mathbf{D}^s v$, then $(\tilde{v} - v) + \mathbf{D}^s(\tilde{v} - v) = 0$ immediately follows. By using a standard norm estimate argument and application of Lemma 2, it is easy to obtain $\|\tilde{v} - v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 0$, which implies $\tilde{v} = v$. Thus $T : v \mapsto u$ is a one to one map.

4. We remain to show part (b). First suppose $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R})$, obviously $v \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$ since $\widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$. Now we claim $\mathbf{D}^s v \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$. To see this, using Corollary 1, there exist Cauchy sequences $\{v_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\{\mathbf{D}^{s+t} v_n\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$, $\mathbf{D}^{s+t} v_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{s+t} v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$(\mathbf{D}^s v, \mathbf{D}^{t*} \psi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{D}^s v_m, \mathbf{D}^{t*} \psi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{D}^{s+t} v_m, \psi) = (\mathbf{D}^{s+t} v, \psi).$$

By definition of weak fractional derivative we conclude $\mathbf{D}^s v \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$ and thus $u(x) \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$.

5. The last step is to show $u(x) \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$ implies $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R})$. First notice that it is always possible to rewrite $t = t_1 + \dots + t_n$ such that $t_i \geq 0$, and $t_i \leq s$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Thus, $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R}) \subset \widehat{H}^{t_1}$ and $\widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R}) \subset \widehat{H}^{t_1}$, and this deduces $\mathbf{D}^s v = u - v \in \widehat{H}^{t_1}(\mathbb{R})$. According to Theorem 1, $\mathbf{D}^s v \in \widetilde{W}_L^{t_1}(\mathbb{R})$, which by definition means that there exists a $Q(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.22) \quad (\mathbf{D}^s v, \mathbf{D}^{t_1*} \psi) = (Q, \psi) \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Again, by invoking Corollary 1, the left-hand side of Equation (5.22)

becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{D}^s v, \mathbf{D}^{t_1*} \psi) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{D}^s v_n, \mathbf{D}^{t_1*} \psi) \\
(5.23) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (v_n, \mathbf{D}^{(s+t_1)*} \psi) \\
&= (v, \mathbf{D}^{(s+t_1)*} \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t_1}$ by another utilization of definition of $\widehat{W}_L^s(\mathbb{R})$ and Theorem 1. By repeating this procedure we could increase the regularity of v gradually, namely, we could show that $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t_1+t_2}(\mathbb{R}), \dots, v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t_1+\dots+t_n}(\mathbb{R}) = \widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R})$, as desired. Thus the whole proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4. (a). Let $\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ or } [\frac{3}{2} + 2k, \frac{5}{2} + 2k], k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ -1, & \text{if } \frac{s}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2} + 2k, \frac{3}{2} + 2k), k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$

Given $0 \leq s$, there exists a one to one and onto map $T : v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.24) \qquad (1 + \chi(s) \cdot (2\pi\xi i)^s) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

Analogously, there exists a one to one and onto map $T^* : v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.25) \qquad (1 + \chi(s) \cdot (-2\pi\xi i)^s) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

(b). Furthermore, for each case, $v \in \widehat{H}^{s+t}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $u \in \widehat{H}^t(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t > 0$.

Proof. 1. For part (a), the proof is shown only for first Equation (5.24), the other one follows by repeating the same procedure.

2. From Lemma 3, there exists a one to one and onto map $T : v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.26) \qquad v + \chi(s) \cdot \mathbf{D}^s v = u.$$

Taking the Plancherel transform at both sides gives

$$\widehat{v} + \chi(s) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{D}^s v} = \widehat{u}.$$

So far, we could not yet directly apply Property 3.5 to obtain $\widehat{\mathbf{D}^s v}(\xi) = (2\pi\xi i)^s \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi)$ since the condition is not met, namely v does not nec-

essarily belong to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The argument for $\widehat{D^s v}(\xi) = (2\pi\xi i)^s \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi)$ is justified in the proof of Theorem 3.3 ([2]) and will not be repeated here. Thus,

$$(1 + \chi(s) \cdot (2\pi\xi i)^s) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

3. The proof of part (b) directly follows from the part (b) of Lemma 3, which completes the whole proof. \square

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof. 1. We intend to construct a one to one and onto map $v \mapsto u$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $\widehat{H}^\tau(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f(\xi) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

Then the proof is done.

2. Utilizing both part (a) and (b) of Lemma 4, we know there exists a one to one and onto map $T_1 : v \mapsto u_1$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $\widehat{H}^{s-s_1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.27) \quad (1 + \chi(s) \cdot (2\pi\xi i)^{s_1}) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}_1(\xi).$$

Repeating the same application of Lemma 4 for u_1 , we know there exists a one to one and onto map $T_2 : u_1 \mapsto u_2$ from $\widehat{H}^{s-s_1}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\widehat{H}^{s-s_1-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.28) \quad (1 + \chi(s) \cdot (2\pi\xi i)^{s_2}) \cdot \widehat{u}_1(\xi) = \widehat{u}_2(\xi).$$

By repeating the same procedure, we obtain one to one and onto maps T_2, \dots, T_n , where $T_n : u_{n-1} \mapsto u_n$ from $\widehat{H}^{s-s_1-\dots-s_{n-1}}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\widehat{H}^{s-s_1-\dots-s_n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.29) \quad (1 + \chi(s) \cdot (2\pi\xi i)^{s_n}) \cdot \widehat{u}_{n-1}(\xi) = \widehat{u}_n(\xi).$$

Recall $\tau = s - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$, therefore, $T = T_1 \circ T_2 \cdots \circ T_n : v \mapsto u_n$ is a one to one and onto map $v \mapsto u_n$ from $\widehat{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $\widehat{H}^\tau(\mathbb{R})$, satisfying $f(\xi) \cdot \widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}_n(\xi)$. This completes Step 1 above by regarding u_n as u , and thus completes the whole proof for Theorem 2. \square

Appendices

A. Several Definitions and Theorems.

Definition 5 (Sobolev Spaces Via Fourier Transform). Let $\mu \geq 0$. Define

$$(A.1) \quad \widehat{H}^\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |2\pi\xi|^{2\mu}) |\widehat{w}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\},$$

where \widehat{w} is Plancherel transform defined in Theorem 3. The space is endowed with semi-norm

$$(A.2) \quad |u|_{\widehat{H}^\mu(\mathbb{R})} := \||2\pi\xi|^\mu \widehat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

and norm

$$(A.3) \quad \|u\|_{\widehat{H}^\mu(\mathbb{R})} := \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + |u|_{\widehat{H}^\mu(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

And it is well-known that $\widehat{H}^\mu(\mathbb{R})$ is a Hilbert space.

Definition 6 (Fourier Transform). Given a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier Transform of f is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} f(x) dx \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 3 (Plancherel Theorem ([5] p. 187)). *One can associate to each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ a function $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ so that the following properties hold:*

- *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then \widehat{f} is the defined Fourier transform of f in Definition 6.*
- *For every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\|f\|_2 = \|\widehat{f}\|_2$.*
- *The mapping $f \rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Theorem 4. ([6], p. 189) *Assume $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\bullet \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \bar{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{u} \widetilde{\bar{v}}.$$

- $u = (\hat{u})^\vee$.

Theorem 5 ([7], Theorem 4.3-2, p. 191). *Let $(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a Hilbert space and let Y be a subspace of X , then $\overline{Y} = X$ if and only if element $x \in X$ that satisfy $(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in Y$ is $x = 0$.*

REFERENCES

1. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, Fractional integrals and derivatives, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
2. V. Ginting, Y. Li, On fractional diffusion-advection-reaction equation in \mathbb{R} arXiv:1805.09398v1 (submitted), 2018.
3. Y. Li, Symmetric Decompositions of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ Via Fractional Riemann-Liouville Operators arXiv:1807.01847 (submitted), 2018.
4. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, Vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
5. W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
6. L. C. Evans, Partial differential equations, 2nd Edition, Vol. 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
7. L. Tartar, An introduction to Sobolev spaces and interpolation spaces, Vol. 3 of Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, Springer, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2007.
8. W. Rudin, Functional analysis, 2nd Edition, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING, LARAMIE, WYOMING, USA

Email address: yli25@uwyo.edu