A NOTE ON SOLVABLE MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS IN SUBNORMAL SUBGROUPS OF $GL_n(D)$

HUÌNH VIỆT KHÁNH AND BÙI XUÂN HẢI

ABSTRACT. Let D be a non-commutative division ring, and G be a subnormal subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$. Assume additionally that the center of D contains at least five elements if n > 1. In this note, we show that if G contains a non-abelian solvable maximal subgroup, then n = 1 and D is a cyclic algebra of prime degree over the center.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of skew linear groups, one of unsolved difficult problems is that whether the general skew linear group over a division ring contains maximal subgroups. In [1], the authors conjectured that for $n \ge 2$ and a division ring D, the group $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$ contains no solvable maximal subgroups. In [2], this conjecture was shown to be true for non-abelian solvable maximal subgroups. In this paper, we consider the following more general conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let D be a division ring, and G be a non-central subnormal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. If $n \ge 2$, then G contains no solvable maximal subgroups.

We note that this conjecture is not true if n = 1. Indeed, it was proved in [1] that the subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \cup \mathbb{C}^* j$ is a solvable maximal subgroup of the multiplicative group \mathbb{H}^* of the division ring of real quaternions \mathbb{H} . In this note, we show that Conjecture 1 is true for non-abelian solvable maximal subgroups of G, that is, we prove that G contains no non-abelian solvable maximal subgroups. This fact generalizes the main result in [2] and it is a consequence of Theorem 3.7 in the text.

Throughout this note, we denote by D a division ring with center F and by D^* the multiplicative group of D. For a positive integer n, the symbol $M_n(D)$ stands for the matrix ring of degree n over D. We identify F with FI_n via the ring isomorphism $a \mapsto aI_n$, where I_n is the identity matrix of degree n. If S is a subset of $M_n(D)$, then F[S] denotes the subring of $M_n(D)$ generated by the set $S \cup F$. Also, if n = 1, i.e., if $S \subseteq D$, then F(S) is the division subring of D generated by $S \cup F$. Recall that a division ring D is *locally finite* if for every finite subset S of D, the division subring F(S) is a finite dimensional vector space over F. If H and K are two subgroups in a group G, then $N_K(H) = K \cap N_G(H)$. If A is a ring or a group, then Z(A) denotes the center of A.

Key words and phrases. division ring; maximal subgroup; solvable group; polycyclic-by-finite group.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 12E15, 16K20, 16K40, 20E25.

The second author was funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 101.04-2016.18.

Let $V = D^n = \{(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) | d_i \in D\}$. If G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$, then V may be viewed as D-G bimodule. Recall that a subgroup G of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$ is *irreducible* (resp. *reducible*, *completely reducible*) if V is irreducible (resp. reducible, completely reducible) if V is irreducible (resp. reducible, completely reducible) as D-G bimodule. If $F[G] = M_n(D)$, then G is absolutely *irreducible* over D. An irreducible subgroup G is *imprimitive* if there exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ as left D-modules and for any $g \in G$ the mapping $V_i \to V_i g$ is a permutation of the set $\{V_1, \cdots, V_m\}$. If G is irreducible and not imprimitive, then G is *primitive*.

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let D be a division ring with center F, and M be a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$. If $M/M \cap F^*$ is a locally finite group, then F[M] is a locally finite dimensional vector space over F.

Proof. Take any finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq F[M]$ and write

 $x_i = f_{i_1} m_{i_1} + f_{i_2} m_{i_2} + \dots + f_{i_s} m_{i_s}.$

Let $G = \langle m_{i_j} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq s \rangle$ be the subgroup of M generated by all m_{i_j} . Since $M/M \cap F^* \cong MF^*/F^*$ is locally finite, the group GF^*/F^* is finite. Let $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_t\}$ be a transversal of F^* in GF^* and set

$$R = Fy_1 + Fy_2 + \dots + Fy_t.$$

Then, R is a finite dimensional vector space over F containing $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$. \Box

Lemma 2.2. Every locally solvable periodic group is locally finite.

Proof. Let G be a locally solvable periodic group, and H be a finitely generated subgroup of G. Then, H is solvable with derived series of length $n \ge 1$, say,

$$1 = H^{(n)} \trianglelefteq H^{(n-1)} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H' \trianglelefteq H.$$

We shall prove that H is finite by induction on n. For if n = 1, then H is a finitely generated periodic abelian group, so it is finite. Suppose n > 1. It is clear that H/H' is a finitely generated periodic abelian group, so it is finite. Hence, H' is finitely generated. By induction hypothesis, H' is finite, and as a consequence, H is finite. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Let D be a division ring with center F, and G be a subnormal subgroup of D^* . If G is solvable-by-finite, then $G \subseteq F$.

Proof. Let A be a solvable normal subgroup of finite index in G. Since G is subnormal in G, so is A. By [13, 14.4.4], we have $A \subseteq F$. This implies that G/Z(G) is finite, so G' is finite too [12, Lemma 1.4, p. 115]. Therefore, G' is a finite subnormal subgroup of D^* . In view of [6, Theorem 8], it follows that $G' \subseteq F$, hence G is solvable. Again by [13, 14.4.4], we conclude that $G \subseteq F$. \Box

For our further use, we also need one result of Wehrfritz which will be restated in the following lemma for readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.4. [16, Proposition 4.1] Let D = E(A) be a division ring generated as such by its metabelian subgroup A and its division subring E such that $E \leq C_D(A)$. Set $H = N_{D^*}(A)$, $B = C_A(A')$, K = E(Z(B)), $H_1 = N_{K^*}(A) = H \cap K^*$, and let T be the maximal periodic normal subgroup of B.

- (1) If T has a quaternion subgroup $Q = \langle i, j \rangle$ of order 8 with $A = QC_A(Q)$, then $H = Q^+AH_1$, where $Q^+ = \langle Q, 1+j, -(1+i+j+ij)/2 \rangle$. Also, Q is normal in Q^+ and $Q^+/\langle -1, 2 \rangle \cong \operatorname{Aut} Q \cong Sym(4)$.
- (2) If T is abelian and contains an element x of order 4 not in the center of B, then $H = \langle x + 1 \rangle AH_1$.
- (3) In all other cases, $H = AH_1$.

3. Maximal subgroups in subnormal subgroups of $GL_n(D)$

Proposition 3.1. Let D be a division ring with center F, and G be a subnormal subgroup of D^* . If M is a non-abelian solvable-by-finite maximal subgroup of G, then M is abelian-by-finite and $[D:F] < \infty$.

Proof. Since M is maximal in G and $M \subseteq F(M)^* \cap G \subseteq G$, either $M = F(M)^* \cap G$ or $G \subseteq F(M)^*$. The first case implies that M is a solvable-by-finite subnormal subgroup of $F(M)^*$, which yields M is abelian by Lemma 2.3, a contradiction. Therefore, the second case must occur, i.e., $G \subseteq F(M)^*$. By Stuth's theorem (see e.g. [13, 14.3.8]), we conclude that F(M) = D. Let N be a solvable normal subgroup of finite index in M. First, we assume that N is abelian, so M is abelian-by-finite. In view of [17, Corollary 24], the ring F[N] is a Goldie ring, and hence it is an Ore domain whose skew field of fractions coincides with F(N). Consequently, any $\alpha \in F(N)$ may be written in the form $\alpha = pq^{-1}$, where $q, p \in F[N]$ and $q \neq 0$. The normality of N in M implies that F[N] is normalized by M. Thus, for any $m \in M$, we have

$$m\alpha m^{-1} = mpq^{-1}m^{-1} = (mpm^{-1})(m^{-1}qm)^{-1} \in F(N).$$

In other words, L := F(N) is a subfield of D normalized by M. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ be a transversal of N in M and set

$$\Delta = Lx_1 + Lx_2 + \dots + Lx_k.$$

Then, Δ is a domain with $\dim_L \Delta \leq k$, so Δ is a division ring that is finite dimensional over its center. It is clear that Δ contains F and M, so $D = \Delta$ and $[D:F] < \infty$.

Next, we suppose that N is a non-abelian solvable group with derived series of length $s \ge 1$. Then we have such a series

$$1 = N^{(s)} \trianglelefteq N^{(s-1)} \trianglelefteq N^{(s-2)} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq N' \trianglelefteq N \trianglelefteq M.$$

If we set $A = N^{(s-2)}$, then A is a non-abelian metabelian normal subgroup of M. By the same arguments as above, we conclude that F(A) is normalized by M and we have $M \subseteq N_G(F(A)^*) \subseteq G$. By the maximality of M in G, either $N_G(F(A)^*) = M$ or $N_G(F(A)^*) = G$. If the first case occurs, then $G \cap F(A)^*$ is a subnormal subgroup of $F(A)^*$ contained in M. Since M is solvable-by-finite, so is $G \cap F(A)^*$. By Lemma 2.3, $A \subseteq G \cap F(A)^*$ is abelian, a contradiction. We may therefore assume that $N_G(F(A)) = G$, which says that F(A) is normalized by G. In view of Stuth's theorem, we have F(A) = D. From this we conclude that $Z(A) = F^* \cap A$ and $F = C_D(A)$. Set $H = N_{D^*}(A)$, $B = C_A(A')$, K = F(Z(B)), $H_1 = H \cap K^*$, and T to be the maximal periodic normal subgroup of B. Then H_1 is an abelian group, and T is a characteristic subgroup of B and hence of A. In view of Lemma 2.4, we have three possible cases:

Case 1: T is not abelian.

Since T is normal in M, we conclude that $M \subseteq N_G(F(T)^*) \subseteq G$. By the maximality of M in G, either $M = N_G(F(T)^*)$ or $G = N_G(F(T)^*)$. The first case implies that $F(T)^* \cap G$ is subnormal in $F(T)^*$ contained in M. Again by Lemma 2.3, it follows that $T \subseteq F(T) \cap G$ is abelian, a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that $G = N_G(F(T)^*)$, which implies that F(T) = D by Stuth's theorem. By Lemma 2.2, T is locally finite. In view of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that D = F(T) = F[T] is a locally finite division ring. Since M is solvable-by-finite, it contains no non-cyclic free subgroups. In view of [5, Theorem 3.1], it follows $[D:F] < \infty$ and M is abelian-by-finite.

Case 2: T is abelian and contains an element x of order 4 not in the center of $B = C_A(A')$.

It is clear that x is not contained in F. Because x is of finite order, the field F(x)is algebraic over F. Since $\langle x \rangle$ is a 2-primary component of T, it is a characteristic subgroup of T (see the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1, p. 132]). Consequently, $\langle x \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of M. Thus, all elements of the set $x^M := \{m^{-1}xm | m \in M\} \subseteq$ F(x) have the same minimal polynomial over F. This implies $|x^M| < \infty$, so x is an FC-element, and consequently, $[M: C_M(x)] < \infty$. Setting $C = Core_M(C_M(x))$, then $C \trianglelefteq M$ and [M: C] is finite. Since M normalizes F(C), we have $M \subseteq$ $N_G(F(C)^*) \subseteq G$. By the maximality of M in G, either $N_G(F(C)^*) = M$ or $N_G(F(C)^*) = G$. The last case implies that F(C) = D, and consequently, $x \in F$, a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that $N_G(F(C)^*) = M$. From this, we conclude that $G \cap F(C)^*$ is a subnormal subgroup of $F(C)^*$ which is contained in M. Thus, $C \subseteq G \cap F(C)^*$ is contained in the center of F(C) by [13, 14.4.4]. Therefore, C is an abelian normal subgroup of finite index in M. By the same arguments used in the first paragraph we conclude that $[D:F] < \infty$.

Case 3: $H = AH_1$.

Since $A' \subseteq H_1 \cap A$, we have $H/H_1 \cong A/A \cap H_1$ is abelian, and hence $H' \subseteq H_1$. Since H_1 is abelian, H' is abelian too. Moreover, $M \subseteq H$, it follows that M' is also abelian. In other words, M is a metabelian group, and the conclusions follow from [4, Theorem 3.3]. \Box

Let D be a division ring, and G be a subnormal subgroup of D^* . It was showed in [4, Theorem 3.3] that if G contains a non-abelian metabelian maximal subgroup, then D is cyclic of prime degree. The following theorem generalizes this phenomenon.

Theorem 3.2. Let D be a division ring with center F, and G be a subnormal subgroup of D^* . If M is a non-abelian solvable maximal subgroup of G, then the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a maximal subfield K of D such that K/F is a finite Galois extension with $\operatorname{Gal}(K/F) \cong M/K^* \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p, and $[D : F] = p^2$.
- (ii) The subgroup $K^* \cap G$ is the FC-center. Also, $K^* \cap G$ is the Fitting subgroup of M. Furthermore, for any $x \in M \setminus K$, we have $x^p \in F$ and $D = F[M] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p Kx^i$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, it follows that $[D:F] < \infty$. Since M is solvable, it contains no non-cyclic free subgroups. In view of [4, Theorem 3.4], we have F[M] = D, there exists a maximal subfield K of D containing F such that K/F is a Galois extension, $N_G(K^*) = M$, $K^* \cap G$ is the Fitting normal subgroup of M and it is the FC-center, and $M/K^* \cap G \cong \text{Gal}(K/F)$ is a finite simple group of order [K:F]. Since $M/K^* \cap G$ is solvable and simple, one has $M/K^* \cap G \cong \text{Gal}(K/F) \cong$ \mathbb{Z}_p , for some prime number p. Therefore, [K:F] = p and $[D:F] = p^2$. For any $x \in M \setminus K$, if $x^p \notin F$, then by the fact that F[M] = D, we conclude that $C_M(x^p) \neq M$. Moreover, since $x^p \in K^* \cap G$, it follows that $\langle x, K^* \cap G \rangle \leq C_M(x^p)$. In other words, $C_M(x^p)$ is a subgroup of M strictly containing $K^* \cap G$. Because $M/K^* \cap G$ is simple, we have $C_M(x^p) = M$, a contradiction. Therefore $x^p \in F$. Furthermore, since $x^p \in K$ and $[D:K]_r = p$, we conclude $D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} Kx_i$. \Box

Also, the authors in [11] showed that if D is an infinite division ring, then D^* contains no polycyclic-by-finite maximal subgroups. In the following corollary, we will see that every subnormal subgroup of D^* does not contain non-abelian polycyclic-by-finite maximal subgroups.

Corollary 3.3. Let D be a division ring with center F, G be a subnormal subgroup of D^* , and M be a non-abelian maximal subgroup of G. Then M cannot be finitely generated solvable-by-finite. In particular, M cannot be polycyclic-by-finite.

Proof. Suppose that M is solvable-by-finite. Then by Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $[D:F] < \infty$. In view of [10, Corollary 3], it follows that M is not finitely generated. The rest of the corollary is clear. \Box

Theorem 3.4. Let D be a non-commutative locally finite division ring with center F, and G be a subnormal subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$, $n \ge 1$. If M is a non-abelian solvable maximal subgroup of G, then n = 1 and all conclusions of Theorem 3.2 hold.

Proof. By [5, Theorem 3.1], there exists a maximal subfield K of $M_n(D)$ containing F such that $K^* \cap G$ is a normal subgroup of M and $M/K^* \cap G$ is a finite simple group of order [K : F]. Since $M/K^* \cap G$ is solvable and simple, we conclude $M/K^* \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, for some prime number p. It follows that [K : F] = p and $[M_n(D) : F] = p^2$, from which we have n = 1. Finally, all conclusions follow from Theorem 3.2. \Box

Lemma 3.5. Let R be a ring, and G be a subgroup of R^* . Assume that F is a central subfield of R and that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of G such that K = F[A] is normalized by G. Then $F[G] = \bigoplus_{g \in T} Kg$ for every transversal T of A in G.

Proof. For the proof of this lemma, we use the similar techniques as in the proof of [2, Lemma 3.1]. Since K is normalized by G, it follows that $F[G] = \sum_{g \in T} Kg$ for every transversal T of A in G. Therefore, it suffices to prove that every finite subset $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\} \subseteq T$ is linearly independent over K. Assume by contrary that there exists such a non-trivial relation

$$k_1 g_1 + k_2 g_2 + \dots + k_n g_n = 0.$$

Clearly, we can suppose that all k_i are non-zero, and that n is minimal. If n = 1, then there is nothing to prove, so we can suppose n > 1. Since the cosets Ag_1

and Ag_2 are disjoint, we have $g_1^{-1}g_2 \notin A = C_G(A)$. So, there exists an element $x \in A$ such that $g_1^{-1}g_2x \neq xg_1^{-1}g_2$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, if we set $x_i = g_ixg_i^{-1}$, then $x_1 \neq x_2$. Since G normalizes K, it follows $x_i \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, we have

$$k_1g_1 + \dots + k_ng_n)x - x_1(k_1g_1 + \dots + k_ng_n) = 0$$

By definition, we have $x_i g_i = g_i x$, and $x, x_i \in K$ for all *i*. Recall that K = F[A] is commutative, so from the last equality

$$(k_1g_1x + k_2g_2x + \dots + k_ng_nx) - (x_1k_1g_1 + x_1k_2g_2 + \dots + x_1k_ng_n) = 0,$$

it follows

$$(k_1x_1g_1 + k_2x_2g_2 + \dots + k_nx_ng_n) - (k_1x_1g_1 + k_2x_1g_2 + \dots + k_nx_1g_n) = 0.$$

Consequently, we get

$$(x_2 - x_1) k_2 g_2 + \dots + (x_n - x_1) k_n g_n = 0,$$

which is a non-trivial relation with less than n summands because $x_1 \neq x_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, T is linearly independent over K. \Box

Remark 1. In view of [9, Theorem 11], if D is a division ring with at least five elements and $n \ge 2$, then any non-central subnormal subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$ contains $\operatorname{SL}_n(D)$ and hence is normal.

Theorem 3.6. Let D be non-commutative division ring with center F, and G be a subnormal subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$, $n \geq 2$. Assume additionally that F contains at least five elements. If M is a solvable maximal subgroup of G, then M is abelian.

Proof. If $G \subseteq F$, then there is nothing to prove. Thus, we may assume that G is non-central, hence $SL_n(D) \subseteq G$ and G is normal in $GL_n(D)$ by Remark 1. Setting R = F[M], then $M \subseteq R^* \cap G \subseteq G$. By the maximality of M in G, either $R^* \cap G = M$ or $G \subseteq R^*$. We need to consider two possible cases:

Case 1: $R^* \cap G = M$.

The normality of G implies that M is a normal subgroup of R^* . If M is reducible, then by [7, Lemma 1], it contains a copy of D^* . It follows that D^* is solvable, and hence it is commutative, a contradiction. We may therefore assume that M is irreducible. Then R is a prime ring by [14, 1.1.14]. So, in view of [8, Theorem 2], either $M \subseteq Z(R)$ or R is a domain. If the first case occurs, then we are done. Now, suppose that R is a domain. By [17, Corollary 24], we conclude that R is a Goldie ring, and thus R is an Ore domain. Let Δ_1 be the skew field of fractions of R, which is contained in $M_n(D)$ by [14, 5.7.8]. Since $M \subseteq \Delta_1^* \cap G \subseteq G$, either $G \subseteq \Delta_1^*$ or $M = \Delta_1^* \cap G$. The first case occurs implies that Δ_1 contains $F[SL_n(D)]$. Thus, if $G \subseteq \Delta_1^*$, then by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem for the matrix ring (see e.g. [2, Theorem D]), one has $\Delta_1 = M_n(D)$, which is impossible since $n \ge 2$. Thus the second case must occur, i.e., $M = \Delta_1 \cap G$, which yields M is normal in Δ_1^* . Since M is solvable, it is contained in $Z(\Delta_1)$ by [13, 14.4.4], so M is abelian.

Case 2: $G \subseteq R^*$.

In this case, Remark 1 yields $SL_n(D) \subseteq R^*$. Thus, by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem for the matrix ring, one has $R = F[M] = M_n(D)$. It follows by [15, Theorem A] that M is abelian-by-locally finite. Let A be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of M such that M/A is locally finite. Then [14, 1.2.12] says that F[A] is a semisimple artinian ring. The Wedderburn-Artin Theorem implies that

$$F[A] \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times M_{n_2}(D_2) \cdots \times M_{n_s}(D_s),$$

where D_i are division *F*-algebras, $1 \le i \le s$. Since F[A] is abelian, $n_i = 1$ and $K_i := D_i = Z(D_i)$ are fields that contain *F* for all *i*. Therefore,

$$F[A] \cong K_1 \times K_2 \cdots \times K_s.$$

If M is imprimitive, then by [5, Lemma 2.6], we conclude that M contains a copy of $\operatorname{SL}_r(D)$ for some $r \geq 1$. This fact cannot occur: if r > 1, then $\operatorname{SL}_r(D)$ is unsolvable; if r = 1, then D' is solvable and hence D is commutative, a contradiction. Thus, M is primitive, and [2, Proposition 3.3] implies that F[A] is an integral domain, so s = 1. It follows that K := F[A] is a subfield of $\operatorname{M}_n(D)$ containing F. Again by [2, Proposition 3.3], we conclude that

$$L := C_{\mathcal{M}_n(D)}(K) = C_{\mathcal{M}_n(D)}(A) \cong \mathcal{M}_m(\Delta_2)$$

for some division F-algebra Δ_2 . Since M normalizes K, it also normalizes L. Therefore, we have $M \subseteq N_G(L^*) \subseteq G$. By the maximality of M in G, either $M = N_G(L^*)$ or $G = N_G(L^*)$. The last case implies that $L^* \cap G$ is normal in $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$. By Remark 1, either $L^* \cap G \subseteq F$ or $\operatorname{SL}_n(D) \subseteq L^* \cap G$. If the first case occurs, then $A \subseteq F$ because A is contained in $L^* \cap G$. If the second case occurs, then by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem for the matrix, one has $L = M_n(D)$. It follows that $K = F[A] \subseteq F$, which also implies that $A \subseteq F$. Thus, in both case we have $A \subseteq F$. Consequently, $M/M \cap F^*$ is locally finite, and hence D is a locally finite division ring by Lemma 2.1. If M is non-abelian, then by Theorem 3.4, we conclude that n = 1, a contradiction. Therefore M is abelian in this case. Now, we consider the case $M = N_G(L^*)$, from which we have $L^* \cap G \subseteq M$. In other words, $L^* \cap G$ is a solvable normal subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_m(\Delta_2)$. From this, we may conclude that $L^* \cap G$ is abelian: if m > 1, then in view of Remark 1, one has $L^* \cap G \subseteq Z(\Delta_2)$ or $\operatorname{SL}_m(\Delta_2) \subseteq L^* \cap G$, but the latter cannot happen since $\operatorname{SL}_m(\Delta_2)$ is unsolvable; if m = 1 then $L = \Delta_2$, and according to [13, 14.4.4], we conclude that $L^* \cap G \subseteq Z(\Delta_2)$. In short, we have $L^* \cap G$ is an abelian normal subgroup of M and $M/L^* \cap G$ is locally finite. By the maximality of A in M, one has $A = L^* \cap G$. Because we are in the case $L^* \cap G \subseteq M$, it follows that $L^* \cap G = L^* \cap M$. Consequently,

$$A = L^* \cap M = C_{\mathrm{GL}_n(D)}(A) \cap M = C_M(A),$$

which means A is a maximal abelian subgroup of M.

By Lemma 3.5, $F[M] = \bigoplus_{m \in T} Km$ for some transversal T of A in M. Thus, for any $x \in L$, there exist $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_t \in K$ and $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t \in T$ such that $x = k_1m_1 + k_2m_2 + \cdots + k_tm_t$. Take an arbitrary element $a \in A$, since xa = ax, it follows that

$$k_1m_1a + k_2m_2a + \dots + k_tm_ta = ak_1m_1 + ak_2m_2 + \dots + ak_tm_t.$$

By the normality of A in M, there exist $a_i \in A$ such that $m_i a = a_i m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Moreover, we have a and a_i 's are in K which is a field, the equality implies

$$k_1 a_1 m_1 + k_2 a_2 m_2 + \dots + k_t a_t m_t = k_1 a m_1 + k_2 a m_2 + \dots + k_t a m_t,$$

from which it follows that

$$(k_1a_1 - k_1a)m_1 + (k_2a_2 - k_2a)m_2 + \dots + (k_ta_t - k_ta)m_t = 0.$$

Since $\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t\}$ is linearly independent over K, one has $a = a_1 = \cdots = a_t$. Consequently, $m_i a = a m_i$ for all $a \in A$, and thus $m_i \in C_M(A) = A$ for all $1 \le i \le t$. This means $x \in K$, and hence L = K and K is a maximal subfield of $M_n(D)$.

Next, we prove that M/A is simple. Suppose that N is an arbitrary normal subgroup of M properly containing A. Note that by the maximality of A in M, we conclude that N is non-abelian. We claim that $Q := F[N] = M_n(D)$. Indeed, since N is normal in M, we have $M \subseteq N_G(Q^*) \subseteq G$, and hence either $N_G(Q^*) = M$ or $N_G(Q^*) = G$. First, we suppose the former case occurs. Then $Q^* \cap G \subseteq M$, hence $Q^* \cap G$ is a solvable normal subgroup of Q^* . In view of [2, Proposition 3.3], Q is a prime ring. It follows by [8, Theorem 2] that either $Q^* \cap G \subseteq Z(Q)$ or Q is a domain. If the first case occurs, then $N \subseteq Q^* \cap G$ is abelian, which contradicts to the choice of N. If Q is a domain, then by Goldie's theorem, it is an Ore domain. Let Δ_3 be the skew field of fractions of Q, which is contained in $M_n(D)$ by [14, 5.7.8]. Because M normalizes Q, it also normalizes Δ_3 , from which we have $M \subseteq N_G(\Delta_3^*) \subseteq G$. Again by the maximality of M in G, either $N_G(\Delta_3^*) = M$ or $N_G(\Delta_3^*) = G$. The first case implies that $\Delta_3^* \cap G$ is a solvable normal subgroup of Δ_3^* . Consequently, $N \subseteq \Delta_3^* \cap G$ is abelian by [13, 14.4.4], a contradiction. If $N_G(\Delta_3^*) = G$, then $\Delta_3 = M_n(D)$ by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem for the matrix ring, which is impossible since $n \geq 2$. Therefore, the case $N_G(Q^*) = M$ cannot occur. Next, we consider the case $N_G(Q^*) = G$. In this case we have $Q^* \cap G \trianglelefteq \operatorname{GL}_n(D)$, and hence either $Q^* \cap G \subseteq F$ or $SL_n(D) \subseteq Q^* \cap G$ by Remark 1. The first case cannot occur since $Q^* \cap G$ contains N, which is non-abelian. Therefore, we have $SL_n(D) \subseteq Q^*$. By the Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem for the matrix ring, we conclude $Q = M_n(D)$ as claimed. In other words, we have $F[N] = F[M] = M_n(D)$.

For any $m \in M \subseteq F[N]$, there exist $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \in F$ and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s \in N$ such that

$$m = f_1 n_1 + f_2 n_2 + \dots + f_s n_s.$$

Let $H = \langle n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s \rangle$ be the subgroup of N generated by n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s . Set B = AH and S = F[B]. Recall that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of M. Thus, if B is abelian, then A = B and hence $H \subseteq A$. Consequently, $m \in F[A] = K$, from which it follows that $m \in K^* \cap M = A \subseteq N$. Now, assume that B is non-abelian, and we will prove that m also belongs to N in this case. Since M/A is locally finite, B/A is finite. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ be a transversal of A in B. The maximality of A in M implies that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of B, and that A is also normal in B. By Lemma 3.5,

$$S = Kx_1 \oplus Kx_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Kx_k,$$

which says that S is an artinian ring. Since $C_{M_n(D)}(A) = C_{M_n(D)}(K) = L$ is a field, in view of [2, Proposition 3.3], we conclude that A is irreducible. Because B contains A, by definition, it is irreducible too. It follows by [14, 1.1.14] that S is a prime ring. Now, S is both prime and artinian, so it is simple and $S \cong M_{n_0}(\Delta_0)$ for some division F-algebra Δ_0 . If we set $F_0 = Z(\Delta_0)$, then $Z(S) = F_0$. Since B is abelian-by-finite, the group ring FB is a PI-ring by [12, Lemma 11, p. 176]. Thus, as a hommomorphic image of FB, the ring S = F[B] is also a PI-ring. By Kaplansky's theorem ([12, Theorem 3.4, p. 193]), we conclude that $[S:F_0] < \infty$. Since K is a maximal subfield of $M_n(D)$, it is also maximal in S. From this, we conclude that $F_0 \subseteq C_S(K) = K$, and that K is a finite extension field over F_0 .

Recall that A is normal in B, so for any $b \in B$, the mapping $\theta_b : K \to K$ given by $\theta_b(x) = bxb^{-1}$ is well defined. It is clear that θ_b is an F_0 -automorphism of K. Thus, the mapping

$$\psi: B \to \operatorname{Gal}(K/F_0)$$

defined by $\psi(b) = \theta_b$ is a group homomorphism with

$$\ker \psi = C_{S^*}(K^*) \cap B = C_{S^*}(A) \cap B = C_B(A) = A.$$

Since $F_0[B] = S$, it follows that $C_S(B) = F_0$. Therefore, the fixed field of $\psi(B)$ is F_0 , and hence K/F_0 is a Galois extension. By the fundamental theorem of Galois theory, one has ψ is a surjective homomorphism. Hence, $B/A \cong \text{Gal}(K/F_0)$.

Setting $M_0 = M \cap S^*$, then $m \in M_0$, $B \subseteq M_0$, and $F_0[M_0] = F_0[B] = S$. The conditions $F_0 \subseteq K$ and $F \subseteq F_0$ implies that $K = F[A] = F_0[A]$. It is clear that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of M_0 , and that A is also normal in M_0 . If M_0/A is infinite, then there exists an infinite transversal T of A in M_0 such that $S = F_0[M_0] = \bigoplus_{m \in T} Km$ by Lemma 3.5. It follows that $[S : K] = \infty$, a contradiction. Therefore, M_0/A must be finite. Replacing B by M_0 in the preceding paragraph, we also conclude that $M_0/A \cong \text{Gal}(K/F_0)$. Consequently, $B/A \cong \text{Gal}(K/F_0) \cong M_0/A$. The conditions $B \subseteq M_0$ and $B/A \cong M_0/A$ imply $B = M_0$. Hence, $m \in M_0 = B \subseteq N$. Since m was chosen arbitrarily, it follows that M = N, which implies the simplicity of M/A. Since M/A is simple and solvable, one has $M/A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, for some prime number p. By Lemma 3.5, it follows dim_K $M_n(D) = |M/A| = p$, which forces n = 1, a contradiction. \Box

Now, we are ready to get the main result of this note which gives in particular, the positive answer to Conjecture 1 for non-abelian case.

Theorem 3.7. Let D be a non-commutative division ring with center F, G a subnormal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. Assume additionally that F contains at least five elements if n > 1. If M is a non-abelian solvable maximal subgroup of G, then n = 1 and the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a maximal subfield K of D such that K/F is a finite Galois extension with $\operatorname{Gal}(K/F) \cong M/K^* \cap G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p, and $[D : F] = p^2$.
- (ii) The subgroup $K^* \cap G$ is the FC-center. Also, $K^* \cap G$ is the Fitting subgroup of M. Furthermore, for any $x \in M \setminus K$, we have $x^p \in F$ and $D = F[M] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} Kx^i$.

Proof. Combining Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6, we get the result. \Box

References

- S. Akbari, R. Ebrahimian, H. Momenaei Kermani, and A. Salehi Golsefidy. Maximal subgroups of GL_n(D). J. Algebra 259 (2003), 201-225.
- [2] H. R. Dorbidi, R. Fallah-Moghaddam, and M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi. Soluble maximal subgroups in $GL_n(D)$. J. Algebra Appl. (6) **10** (2011), 1371-1382.
- [3] P. Draxl. Skew Fields. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 81 (Cambridge University Press, 1983).
- [4] B. X. Hai and N. A. Tu. On multiplicative subgroups in division rings. J. Algebra Appl. (3) 15 (2016), 1650050 (16 pages).
- [5] B. X. Hai and H. V. Khanh. On free subgroups in maximal subgroups of skew linear groups. arXiv:1808.08453v1 [math.RA].
- [6] I. N. Herstein. Multiplicative commutators in division rings. Israel J. Math. 31 (1978), 180-188.
- [7] D. Kiani. Polynomial identities and maximal subgroups of skew linear groups. Manuscripta Math. 124 (2007), 269-274.
- [8] C. Lanski. Solvable subgroups in prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981), 533-537.

[9] M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi and S. Akbari. Some special subgroups of $GL_n(D)$. Algebra Colloq. (4) 5 (1998), 361-370.

[10] M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. G. Mahmudi, and S. Yasamin. Finitely generated subnormal subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}_n(D)$ are central. J. Algebra **225** (2000), 517-521.

[11] M. Ramezan-Nassab and D. Kiani. Nilpotent and polycyclic-by-finite maximal subgroups of skew linear groups. J. Algebra **399** (2014), 269-276.

[12] D. S. Passman. *The algebraic structure of group rings*. (New York: Wiley- Interscience Publication, 1977).

[13] R. W. Scott. Group Theory. (Dover Publication, INC, 1987).

[14] M. Shirvani and B. A. F. Wehrfritz. Skew Linear Groups. (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986).

[15] B. A. F. Wehrfritz. Soluble normal subgroups of skew linear groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 42 (1986), 95-107.

[16] B. A. Wehrfritz. Normalizers of nilpotent subgroups of division rings. Q. J. Math. 58 (2007), 127-135.

[17] B. A. F. Wehrfritz. Goldie subrings of Artinian rings generated by groups. Q. J. Math. Oxford 40 (1989), 501-512.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, VNUHCM - UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 227 NGUYEN VAN CU STR., DIST. 5, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM.

E-mail address: huynhvietkhanh@gmail.com; bxhai@hcmus.edu.vn