

ISOMETRIES ON CERTAIN NON-COMPLETE VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION SPACES

MOJTABA MOJAHEDI AND FERESHTEH SADY¹

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of
Mathematical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University,
Tehran, 14115-134, Iran*

mojtaba.mojahedi@modares.ac.ir, sady@modares.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. In the recent paper [6], surjective isometries, not necessarily linear, $T : AC(X, E) \rightarrow AC(Y, F)$ between vector-valued absolutely continuous functions on compact subsets X and Y of the real line, has been described. The target spaces E and F are strictly convex normed spaces. In this paper, we assume that X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces and E and F are normed spaces, which are not assumed to be strictly convex. We describe (with a short proof) surjective isometries $T : (A, \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ between certain normed subspaces A and B of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively. We consider three cases for F with some mild conditions. The first case, in particular, provides a short proof for the above result, without assuming that the target spaces are strictly convex. The other cases give some generalizations in this topic. As a consequence, the results can be applied, for isometries (not necessarily linear) between spaces of absolutely continuous vector-valued functions, (little) Lipschitz functions and also continuously differentiable functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The characterization of linear isometries between specific Banach spaces of continuous functions is a longstanding problem. By the classical Banach-Stone theorem any linear isometry T from the space $C(X)$ of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X onto $C(Y)$, where Y is a compact Hausdorff space, is a weighted composition operator. The theorem has various generalizations in different settings with respect to certain norms. The first result concerning the vector-valued generalization of Banach-Stone theorem has been given by Jerison in [8]. By Jerison's result, if E is a strictly convex Banach space, then any surjective linear isometry $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$ between the spaces of all E -valued continuous functions

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B38, 47B33, Secondary 46J10.

Key words and phrases. real-linear isometries, vector-valued function spaces, T-sets.

¹ Corresponding author

on compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y has a canonical form. This result has been generalized in different directions by considering surjective linear isometries between certain subspaces of continuous functions equipped with either supremum norm or certain complete norms. For the study of some known results in this topic we refer the reader to the nice books [3, 4].

For a metric space (X, d) and a normed space E , let $\text{Lip}(X, E)$ be the space of all bounded E -valued Lipschitz functions f on X and let $L(f)$ be the Lipschitz constant of f , i.e.

$$L(f) = \sup\left\{\frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y\right\}.$$

Then $\text{Lip}(X, E)$ is a normed space under the norm $\|f\| = \max(\|f\|_\infty, L(f))$, $f \in \text{Lip}(X, E)$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm. Surjective linear isometries between spaces of Lipschitz functions (on compact metric spaces) with values in strictly convex Banach spaces have been studied in [9]. In [1], Araujo and Dubarbie study surjective linear isometries $T : \text{Lip}(X, E) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y, F)$ between Lipschitz spaces of functions on metric spaces X and Y , not necessarily compact, with values in strictly convex (not necessarily complete) normed spaces E and F . They assume that the given isometry T satisfies a condition called property **P** and investigate for the conditions under which T is a weighted composition operator. By property **P** for each $y \in Y$ there exists a constant function $f \in \text{Lip}(X, E)$ with $Tf(y) \neq 0$. In [2], Botelho, Fleming and Jamison, by removing the strict convexity assumption, study surjective linear isometries $T : \text{Lip}(X, E) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y, F)$ for the case that X and Y are compact metric spaces and E and F are quasi-sub-reflexive Banach spaces with trivial centralizers. Then the result of [2] has been improved in [10] by removing quasi-sub-reflexivity assumption. We should note that in both [2] and [10], T is assumed to satisfy a property called **Q** which is stronger than property **P**. This property asserts that for each $y \in Y$ and $u \in F$ there exists a constant function $f \in \text{Lip}(X, E)$ such that $Tf(y) = u$.

More recently, in [6] M. Hosseini gives a characterization of surjective, not necessarily linear, isometries $T : \text{AC}(X, E) \rightarrow \text{AC}(Y, F)$ between spaces of E -valued and F -valued absolutely continuous functions on compact subsets X and Y of the real line, under the requirement that E and F are strictly convex normed spaces. By the Mazur-Ulam theorem such isometries are real-linear up to a translation. We should note that, as in [1], the approach of [6] is based on the relationship between such isometries and separating maps and the proofs do not work without strict convexity assumption on the target spaces.

In this paper we assume that X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces and E and F are normed spaces which are not assumed to be strictly convex. We describe (with a short proof) surjective, not necessarily linear, isometries $T : (A, \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ between certain subspaces A and B of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively, equipped

with some norms $\|\cdot\|_A$ and $\|\cdot\|_B$. Our results will be stated for three cases for F . The hypothesis in each case is fulfilled by any strictly convex normed space. Meanwhile, vector-valued function spaces such as spaces of vector-valued Lipschitz functions, absolutely continuous functions and continuously differentiable functions satisfy our requirements. In particular, Case (i) in our results (Theorem 3.4) provides a short proof for the main result of [6] without assuming that E and F are strictly convex.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{K} be the field of real or complex numbers. For a normed space E over \mathbb{K} we denote its closed unit ball by E_1 and its unit sphere by $S(E)$. The notations E^* and $\text{ext}(E_1^*)$ will be used for the dual space of E and the set of extreme points of E_1^* , respectively. For each $e \in S(E)$ the star-like set $\text{St}(e)$ is defined as

$$\text{St}(e) = \{e' \in S(E) : \|e + e'\| = 2\}.$$

Then $\text{St}(e)$ is the union of all maximal convex subsets of $S(E)$ containing e . If E is strictly convex, then it is obvious that $\text{St}(e) = \{e\}$ for all $e \in E$. It is easy to see that if $u, v \in E$ satisfy $\|u + v\| = \|u\| + \|v\|$, then for all $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ we have $\|\lambda u + \mu v\| = \lambda\|u\| + \mu\|v\|$, see [8, Lemma 4.1]. Hence, if $e \in S(E)$, then for each $e' \in \text{St}(e)$ and $r > 0$ we have $\|re + e'\| = r + 1$. In particular, $\|re + e'\| > r = \|re\|$. Motivated by this, for each $u \in E$ we put

$$\text{St}_w(u) = \{e' \in S(E) : \|u + e'\| > \|u\|\}.$$

We note that if $u, v \in E$ and $\|u + v\| > \|u\|$, then $\|u + rv\| > \|u\|$ for all $r \in [1, +\infty)$. Hence for the given $u \in E$ if $v \in E$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ satisfies $\|u + v\| > \|u\|$, then $\|u + \frac{v}{\|v\|}\| > \|u\|$, that is $\frac{v}{\|v\|} \in \text{St}_w(u)$.

For a topological space X and a normed space E over \mathbb{K} , let $C(X, E)$ be the space of all continuous E -valued functions on X . For an element v in a normed space E , the constant function $x \mapsto v$ in $C(X, E)$ will be denoted by \hat{v} . For the case where X is locally compact, $C_0(X, E)$ denotes the normed space of all continuous E -valued functions on X vanishing at infinity, with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Furthermore, $C(X)$ and $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ denote the Banach spaces of complex-valued, respectively real-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X .

Let $\mathcal{Z} = C_0(X, E)$ where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and E is a (real or complex) normed space. Then, by [3, Theorem 2.3.5], we have

$$\text{ext}(\mathcal{Z}_1^*) = \{v^* \circ \delta_x : v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*), x \in X\},$$

where for each $x \in X$, $\delta_x : C_0(X, E) \rightarrow E$ is defined by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$.

A T-set in a normed space E (over \mathbb{K}) is a subset S of E which is maximal with respect to the property that $\|e_1 + \cdots + e_n\| = \|e_1\| + \cdots + \|e_n\|$ holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \in S$. A normed space E is said to satisfy property (D) if for any pair of distinct T-sets S_1 and S_2 we have either $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$ or there exists a T-set L with

$S_1 \cap L = \{0\} = S_2 \cap L$ (see Definition 7.2.10 in [4]). It is obvious that any strictly convex normed space satisfies property (D). For some examples of nonstrictly convex normed spaces with this property, see Examples 7.2.11 in [4].

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and E be a normed space. For each T-set S in E and any $t \in X$, the set

$$(S, t) = \{f \in C_0(X, E) : f(t) \in S, \text{ and } \|f(t)\| = \|f\|_\infty\}$$

is a T-set in $C_0(X, E)$, and conversely any T-set in $C_0(X, E)$ is of this form, see [4, Lemma 7.2.2]. We should note that the lemma has been proven for the case that E is a Banach space, however, the completeness of E has no role in the proof.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For a subspace A of $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ and a normed space E over \mathbb{K} , let $A \otimes E$ be the linear span of $\{fe : f \in A, e \in E\}$ where for $f \in A$ and $e \in E$, the E -valued continuous function fe is defined by $fe(x) = f(x)e$, $x \in X$. It is well-known that if X is compact and E is a complex Banach algebra, then $C(X) \otimes E$ is dense in $C(X, E)$, see [5, Lemma 1]. However the same proof works for any normed space E . For the sake of completeness we state it here.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a Hausdorff space and E be a normed space over \mathbb{K} . Then for any $f \in C(X, E)$ with compact range, and each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ with compact ranges and elements $e_1, \dots, e_n \in E$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)e_i - f(x)\| \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. For each $x \in X$, we put $V_x = \{e \in E : \|e - f(x)\| < \epsilon\}$. Then clearly $f(X) \subseteq \cup_{x \in X} V_x$. Hence by compactness assumption, there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that $f(X) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$. Using partition of unity, there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(f(X))$ such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ on $f(X)$ and $\text{supp}(\lambda_i) \subseteq V_{x_i}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, put $e_i = f(x_i)$ and let $f_i \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ be defined by $f_i = \lambda_i \circ f$. Then it is easy to see that for each $x \in X$, we have $\|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)e_i - f(x)\| \leq \epsilon$, as desired. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let E be a normed space over \mathbb{K} . Then $C(X, \mathbb{K}) \otimes E$ is dense in $C(X, E)$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the above lemma. \square

By the above corollary, any subspace $A(X, E)$ of $C(X, E)$ containing $A \otimes E$ for some dense subspace A of $C(X, \mathbb{K})$, is dense in $C(X, E)$. In particular, the E -valued function spaces on X in the next examples are all dense in the $C(X, E)$.

Example 2.3. Let E be a normed space over \mathbb{K} .

(i) For a compact metric space (X, d) , and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we denote the space of all E -valued Lipschitz functions of order α on X by $\text{Lip}^\alpha(X, E)$. Then $\text{Lip}^\alpha(X, E)$ is a normed space with respect to the norm $\|f\|_\alpha = \max(\|f\|_\infty, L(f))$, where

$$L(f) = \sup\left\{\frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d^\alpha(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y\right\}.$$

Meanwhile it is complete whenever E is a Banach space.

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the closed subspace $\text{lip}^\alpha(X, E)$ of $\text{Lip}^\alpha(X, E)$ consists of those functions $f \in \text{Lip}^\alpha(X, E)$ such that $\lim_{d(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d^\alpha(x,y)} = 0$. We write $\text{Lip}^\alpha(X)$ and $\text{lip}^\alpha(X)$ for complex-valued case.

(ii) For a compact subset X of the real line, let $\text{AC}(X, E)$ be the space of all absolutely continuous E -valued functions on X . Then

$$\|f\| = \max(\|f\|_\infty, \text{var}(f)), \quad (f \in \text{AC}(X, E))$$

defines a norm on $\text{AC}(X, E)$, where $\text{var}(f)$ is the total variation of $f \in \text{AC}(X, E)$.

(iii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $C^n([0, 1], E)$ consists of all n -times continuously differentiable E -valued functions on $X = [0, 1]$. Meanwhile,

$$\|f\| = \max\{\|f^{(i)}\|_\infty, i = 0, \dots, n\} \quad (f \in C^n([0, 1], E)),$$

is a norm on $C^n([0, 1], E)$.

(iv) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{Lip}^n([0, 1], E)$ denotes the space of all n -times differentiable E -valued Lipschitz functions on $[0, 1]$ whose derivatives are also Lipschitz functions. Then

$$\|f\| = \max\{\|f^{(i)}\|_\infty, L(f^{(i)}), i = 0, \dots, n\}$$

defines a norm on $\text{Lip}^n([0, 1], E)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Our main theorem (Theorem 3.4) characterizes surjective isometries T between certain (not necessarily complete) normed spaces of vector-valued function spaces. First we prove the result for the case that the domain and the range of T is the whole space of continuous functions.

It should be noted that Case (i) in Theorem 3.4 provides a short proof for the main result of [6] without assuming that the target spaces are strictly convex. Cases (ii) and (iii) give some other generalizations in this topic. For example of a non-strictly convex normed space F satisfying the hypothesis of Case (i) see [7]. Indeed, there are infinitely many norms $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is non-strictly convex and satisfies the hypothesis of Case(i).

Since, by the Mazur-Ulam theorem, any surjective isometry between real normed spaces is real-linear up to a translation, we assume that the given isometries are real-linear.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and Y be locally compact Hausdorff spaces and let E and F be real or complex normed (not necessarily complete) spaces. Let $T : C_0(X, E) \longrightarrow C_0(Y, F)$ be a surjective real-linear isometry. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $S(F)$ contains an element v_0 with $\text{St}(v_0) = \{v_0\}$.
- (ii) $\text{ext}(F_1^*)$ contains an element w_0^* with $\text{St}(w_0^*) = \{w_0^*\}$.

(iii) F satisfies property (D).

Then there exist a continuous map $\Phi : Y \longrightarrow X$, a family $\{V_y\}_{y \in Y}$ of bounded real-linear operators from E to F with $\|V_y\| \leq 1$ such that for each $y \in Y$

$$Tf(y) = V_y(f(\Phi(y))) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)).$$

Moreover, if E satisfies the same condition as F , then Φ is a homeomorphism and each V_y is a surjective isometry.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that E and F are real normed spaces. Let $C_0(X, E)^*$ and $C_0(Y, F)^*$ denote the (real) duals of $C_0(X, E)$ and $C_0(Y, F)$, respectively, and $T^* : C_0(Y, F)^* \longrightarrow C_0(X, E)^*$ be the adjoint of T as a bounded real-linear operator. Then T^* is a surjective real-linear isometry and hence for each pair $(w^*, y) \in \text{ext}(F_1^*) \times Y$ there exists a pair $(v^*, x) \in \text{ext}(E_1^*) \times X$ such that

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)).$$

Case (i). By assumption, the singleton $\{v_0\}$ is a maximal convex subset of $S(F)$. Choose $w^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*)$ such that $w^*(v_0) = \|v_0\| = 1$. Then the set $R = \{u \in S(F) : w^*(u) = 1\}$ is a convex subset of $S(F)$ containing v_0 and since $\{v_0\}$ is maximal we get $R = \{v_0\}$. Fix a point $y \in Y$. Since $w^* \circ \delta_y$ is an extreme point of the unit ball of $C_0(Y, F)^*$ there are $v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ and $x \in X$ such that

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)). \quad (3.1)$$

We note that if $v_1^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ and $x_1 \in X$ such that $v^*(f(x)) = v_1^*(f(x_1))$ for all $f \in C_0(X, E)$, then $v_1^* = v^*$ and $x_1 = x$. Hence for each $y \in Y$, the point $x \in X$ satisfying (3.1) for some $v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ is uniquely determined. For any $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $Tf(y) = v_0$ and $\|Tf\|_\infty = 1$ we have $v^*(f(x)) = 1$ which implies that $\|f(x)\| = 1 = \|v^*\|$. This shows that the set $S_0 = \{e \in S(E) : v^*(e) = 1\}$ is nonempty. On the other hand, for any $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and $f(x) \in S_0$, it follows from the above equality that $w^*(Tf(y)) = 1$. This implies that $\|Tf(y)\| = 1$ and since $w^*(Tf(y)) = 1$ we conclude that $Tf(y) \in R = \{v_0\}$, i.e. $Tf(y) = v_0$.

We claim that $Tf(y) = 0$ for each $f \in C_0(X, E)$ vanishing on a neighborhood of x . For this, assume that $f \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and f vanishes on a neighbourhood of U of x . Fixing $e \in S_0$, we can find $g \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $\text{coz}(g) \subseteq U$, $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ and $g(x) = e$. Then $\|f + g\|_\infty = 1$. We note that $g(x) = e$ and $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ and hence, by the above argument, we have $Tg(y) = v_0$. Similarly since $f(x) + g(x) = e$ and $\|f + g\|_\infty = 1$ we have $T(f + g)(y) = v_0$. This concludes, by the real-linearity of T , that $Tf(y) = 0$. Since each function $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $f(x) = 0$ is the uniform limit of a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $C_0(X, E)$ such that each f_n vanishes on a neighborhood of x , we conclude that $Tf(y) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X, E)$ satisfying $f(x) = 0$.

Thus for each $y \in Y$ and the (unique) point $x \in X$ satisfying (3.1)

$f(x) = 0$ implies that $Tf(y) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X, E)$.

Let $\Phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the map which associates to each $y \in Y$ the unique point $x \in X$ satisfying the above implication.

For each $y \in Y$, we define $V_y(e) = Tf_0(y)$, $e \in E$, where $f_0 \in C_0(X, E)$ is such that $f_0(\Phi(y)) = e$. We note that, by the above implication, the definition of $V_y(e)$ is independent of the function f_0 with the mentioned property. Then $V_y : E \rightarrow F$ is a real-linear operator satisfying

$$Tf(y) = V_y(f(\Phi(y))) \quad f \in C_0(X, E). \quad (3.2)$$

Since for each $y \in Y$ and $e \in S(E)$ we can choose a function $f_0 \in C_0(X, E)$ with $\|f_0\|_\infty = 1$ and $f_0(\Phi(y)) = e$ it follows easily that $\|V_y\| \leq 1$.

To prove that Φ is continuous, assume that $y_0 \in Y$ and U is an open neighbourhood of $\Phi(y_0)$ in X . Put $M = \|V_{y_0}\|$. We note that surjectivity of T and the description given in (3.2) shows that $V_{y_0} \neq 0$. For a small enough $\epsilon > 0$, choose $e \in S(E)$ with $\|V_{y_0}(e)\| > M - \epsilon$. Let $f \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $f(\Phi(y_0)) = e$, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and $\|f(x)\| < \frac{M-\epsilon}{2}$ for all $x \in X \setminus U$. Then $W = \{y \in Y : \|Tf(y)\| > \frac{M-\epsilon}{2}\}$ is a neighbourhood of y_0 and the equality (3.2) implies that $\Phi(W) \subseteq U$. Hence Φ is continuous.

Finally, if E satisfies the same condition as F , then using the same argument for T^{-1} there are a continuous map $\Psi : X \rightarrow Y$ and a family $\{W_x\}_{x \in X}$ of bounded real-linear operators from F to E such that $\|W_x\| \leq 1$ and

$$T^{-1}(g)(x) = W_x(g(\Psi(x))) \quad (g \in C_0(Y, F)).$$

Then an easy verification shows that $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$ and $V_y = W_{\Phi(y)}^{-1}$. In particular, Φ is a homeomorphism and each V_y is a surjective isometry.

Case (ii) Let $y \in Y$. Since $w_0^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*)$ there exists $v_0^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ and a point $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$w_0^*(Tf(y)) = v_0^*(f(x_0)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)).$$

For each $w^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*)$ distinct from w_0^* , choose $v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ and $x \in X$ such that

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)).$$

We claim that $x = x_0$. Assume on the contrary that $x \neq x_0$. Since $\|v_0^*\| = \|v^*\| = 1$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $e_0, e \in S(E)$ such that $v_0^*(e_0) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $v^*(e) > 1 - \epsilon$. Choose $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, $f(x_0) = e_0$ and $f(x) = e$. Then using the above equalities we get

$$w_0^*(Tf(y)) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad w^*(Tf(y)) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Thus

$$\|w_0^* + w^*\| \geq w_0^*(Tf(y)) + w^*(Tf(y)) > 2 - \epsilon,$$

that is $\|w_0^* + w^*\| \geq 2 - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence $\|w_0^* + w^*\| = 2$ and consequently $w^* \in \text{St}(w_0^*) = \{w_0^*\}$, a contradiction. Hence $x = x_0$.

The argument above shows that for each $y \in Y$ there exists a (unique) point $x \in X$ such that for each $w^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*)$ we have

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E))$$

for some $v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$. Now for each $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $f(x) = 0$ we have $w^*(Tf(y)) = 0$ for all $w^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*)$, which concludes that $Tf(y) = 0$. Then, as in Case (i), we can define a continuous map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow X$ and a family $\{V_y\}_{y \in Y}$ of bounded real-linear maps from E to F satisfying the desired conditions.

Case (iii). For an arbitrary T-set R in F we put

$$\Gamma_R = \{w^* \in \text{ext}(F_1^*) : w^*(u) = \|u\| \text{ for all } u \in R\}.$$

Then, using Krein Milman Theorem, Γ_R is nonempty (see [4, Lemma 7.2.4]). We note that for any $w^* \in \Gamma_R$ we have $R \subseteq \{u \in F : w^*(u) = \|u\|\}$ and since for each $u_1, \dots, u_n \in F$ with $w^*(u_i) = \|u_i\|$ we have $\|u_1 + \dots + u_n\| = \|u_1\| + \dots + \|u_n\|$, the maximality of R implies that

$$R = \{u \in F : w^*(u) = \|u\|\}.$$

Now for any $w^* \in \Gamma_R$ and for any $y \in Y$ there are $v^* \in \text{ext}(E_1^*)$ and $x \in X$ such that

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)). \quad (3.3)$$

We claim that there is a T-set S in E such that $v^* \in \Gamma_S$ and $T^{-1}(R, y) = (S, x)$. For this, choose an arbitrary function $f_0 \in C_0(X, E)$ with $\|f_0\|_\infty = 1$ and $Tf_0 \in (R, y)$. Then

$$v^*(f_0(x)) = w^*(Tf_0(y)) = \|Tf_0(y)\| = 1 = \|v^*\|.$$

Hence $\|f_0(x)\| = 1 = v^*(f_0(x))$, and consequently the set $S = \{e \in E : v^*(e) = \|e\|\}$ is nonempty. Since for any $e_1, \dots, e_n \in S$ we have $\|e_1 + \dots + e_n\| = \|e_1\| + \dots + \|e_n\|$ there exists a T-set S_0 in E such that $S \subseteq S_0$. We note that $T^{-1}(R, y) = (S, x)$. Indeed, take $f \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $Tf \in (R, y)$. Then $\|Tf\|_\infty = \|Tf(y)\|$ and $Tf(y) \in R$. Hence

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|Tf\|_\infty = \|Tf(y)\| = w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(x)) \leq \|f(x)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty,$$

which conclude that $\|f(x)\| = \|f\|_\infty$ and $v^*(f(x)) = \|f(x)\|$, i.e. $f(x) \in S$. Hence $f \in (S, x)$. Conversely, if $f \in (S, x)$, then $\|f(x)\| = \|f\|_\infty$ and $f(x) \in S$. A similar argument shows that $w^*(Tf(y)) = \|Tf(y)\| = \|Tf\|_\infty$, that is $Tf \in (R, y)$. This proves that $T^{-1}(R, y) = (S, x)$. Hence it suffices to show that $S = S_0$. Since (R, y) is a T-set in $C_0(Y, F)$ and T^{-1} is an isometry, it follows that (S, x) is also a T-set in $C_0(X, E)$. The inclusion $(S, x) \subseteq (S_0, x)$ implies that $(S, x) = (S_0, x)$ and this easily implies that $S = S_0$, as desired.

Since F satisfies property (D), using the same argument as in [4, Theorem 7.2.13] we can show that for each $y \in Y$, the point $x \in X$ in equality (3.3) is unique for all $w^* \in \cup \Gamma_R$ where the union is taken over all T-sets R in F . Indeed, assume that $w^* \in \Gamma_R$ and $w_1^* \in \Gamma_{R_1}$ for some T-sets R and R_1 in F and

$$T^{-1}(R, y) = (S, x) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}(R_1, y) = (S_1, x_1)$$

where $x, x_1 \in X$ are distinct and S and S_1 are T-sets in E . By assumption we have either $R \cap R_1 = \{0\}$ or there exists a T-set L in F such that $R \cap L = R_1 \cap L = \{0\}$. In the first case we have $(R, y) \cap (R_1, y) = \{0\}$ and consequently $(S, x) \cap (S_1, x_1) = \{0\}$. Since $x \neq x_1$ we can find functions $f, g \in C_0(X)$ such that $0 \leq f, g \leq 1$, $fg = 0$, $f(x) = 1$ and $g(x_1) = 1$. Then for nonzero elements $e \in S$ and $e_1 \in S_1$ the nonzero function $h = fe + ge_1$ in $C_0(X, E)$ belongs to the intersection $(S, x) \cap (S_1, x_1)$, which is impossible. Similarly, the other case implies that $x = x_1$.

Hence we can define a map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for a given $y \in Y$ and each $w^* \in \cup_R \Gamma_R$ there exists $v^* \in \cup_S \Gamma_S$ satisfying

$$w^*(Tf(y)) = v^*(f(\Phi(y))) \quad (f \in C_0(X, E)).$$

Now if $f \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $f(\Phi(y)) = 0$, then by the above equality, $w^*(Tf(y)) = 0$ for all $w^* \in \cup_R \Gamma_R$. Choose a T-set R_0 in F containing $Tf(y)$ and let $w^* \in \Gamma_{R_0}$. Then $0 = w^*(Tf(y)) = \|Tf(y)\|$, which implies that $Tf(y) = 0$. Hence $Tf(y) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(X, E)$ with $f(\Phi(y)) = 0$. Now, as in Case (i), Φ is a continuous map and we can find a family $\{V_y\}_{y \in Y}$ of real-linear operators from E to F satisfying the desired properties. \square

We define the following property for an isometry $T : A \rightarrow B$ between subspaces A and B of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively and then we compare it with the similar properties considered in [1], [6], and [2, 10].

Definition 3.2. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let E and F be real or complex normed spaces. Assume that A and B are subspaces of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively, equipped with the norms of the form*

$$\|\cdot\|_A = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, p(\cdot)) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_B = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, q(\cdot)),$$

where p and q are seminorms on A and B , respectively, whose kernels contain the constant functions. We say that a surjective real-linear isometry $T : A \rightarrow B$ has property (St) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(St) For each } u \in F \text{ and } y_0 \in Y \text{ there exists } v \in S(E) \text{ such that} \\ & \|T\hat{v}(y_0) + u\| > \|u\|, \text{ i.e. } \frac{T(\hat{v})(y_0)}{\|T(\hat{v})(y_0)\|} \in \text{St}_w(u). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The following observations are simple.

- (i) Property **Q** in [2, 10] implies both (St) and property **P** in [1].

(ii) In the case that F is strictly convex (this is assumed in [1] and [6]), property **P** implies (St).

(iii) If X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} , E, F are strictly convex and $A = AC(X, E)$ and $B = AC(Y, F)$, then, by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 in [6], the condition $a, b \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ in [6] implies that T and T^{-1} satisfy **P** and consequently satisfy (St). Here $a = \min Y$ and $b = \max Y$ and $\mathcal{N}(T) = \{y \in Y : T\hat{e}(y) \neq 0 \text{ for some } e \in E\}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let E and F be real or complex normed spaces, not assumed to be complete. Assume that A and B are subspaces of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively containing constants and $\|\cdot\|_A$ and $\|\cdot\|_B$ are norms on A and B such that*

$$\|\cdot\|_A = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, p(\cdot)) \text{ and } \|\cdot\|_B = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, q(\cdot))$$

for some seminorms p and q on A and B , respectively, whose kernels contain the constants. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjective real-linear isometry and T and T^{-1} satisfy (St), then T is an isometry with respect to the supremum norms on A and B .

Proof. Using property (St) a minor modification of the proofs of [10, Lemma 1.2] shows that if $f \in A(X, E)$ satisfies $q(Tf) < \|Tf\|_\infty$, then $p(f) \leq \|f\|_\infty$. Then the same argument as in [10, Proposition 1.3] implies that $\|Tf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in A(X, E)$. Similarly, the other inequality holds, i.e. T is an isometry with respect to the supremum norms. \square

Next theorem is our main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let E and F be real or complex normed spaces, not assumed to be complete. Assume that A and B are dense subspaces of $C(X, E)$ and $C(Y, F)$, respectively containing constants and $\|\cdot\|_A$ and $\|\cdot\|_B$ are norms on A and B such that*

$$\|\cdot\|_A = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, p(\cdot)) \text{ and } \|\cdot\|_B = \max(\|\cdot\|_\infty, q(\cdot))$$

for some seminorms p and q on A and B , respectively, whose kernels contain the constants. If F satisfies one of the following conditions

- (i) $S(F)$ contains an element v_0 with $\text{St}(v_0) = \{v_0\}$,
- (ii) $\text{ext}(F_1^*)$ contains an element w_0^* with $\text{St}(w_0^*) = \{w_0^*\}$,
- (iii) F satisfies property (D),

then for any surjective real-linear isometry $T : (A, \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ such that T and T^{-1} satisfy (St), there exist a continuous map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow X$, a family $\{V_y\}_{y \in Y}$ of bounded real-linear operators from E to F with $\|V_y\| \leq 1$ such that

$$Tf(y) = V_y(f(\Phi(y))) \quad (f \in A).$$

Moreover, if E satisfies the same condition as F , then Φ is a homeomorphism and each V_y is a surjective isometry.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.1. □

As it was mentioned before, all vector-valued function spaces in Example 2.3 satisfy the requirement of the above theorem. Hence this theorem characterizes surjective isometries between these function spaces with respect to the norms considered on them.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Araujo and L. Dubarbie, Noncompactness and noncompleteness in isometries of Lipschitz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 377 (2011), no. 1, 15–29.
- [2] F. Botelho, R.J. Fleming, J.E. Jamison, Extreme points and isometries on vector-valued Lipschitz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 381 (2011) 821–832.
- [3] R. J. Fleming and J. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach spaces: function spaces*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 129, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
- [4] R. J. Fleming and J. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach spaces vol. 2; Vector-valued function spaces*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Math. 138, Boca Raton 2008.
- [5] A. Hausner, Ideals in a certain Banach algebra, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 246–249.
- [6] M. Hosseini, Isometries on spaces of absolutely continuous vector-valued functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 463 (2018), no. 1, 386–397.
- [7] A. Jamshidi, F. Sady, A note on nonlinear isometries between vector-valued function spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, to appear.
- [8] M. Jerison, The Space of Bounded Maps into a Banach Space, *Annals Math.* 52 (1950), 309–327.
- [9] A. Jiménez-Vargas, M. Villegas-Vallecillos, Linear isometries between spaces of vector-valued Lipschitz functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (4) (2009) 1381–1388.
- [10] A. Ranjbar-Motlagh, A note on isometries of Lipschitz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 411 (2014), no. 2, 555–558.