

**THE ALGEBRA OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS TANGENT
TO A HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENT**

MARIANO SUÁREZ-ÁLVAREZ

Let us fix a ground field \mathbb{k} , once and for all, and a vector space V of positive dimension ℓ , and let S be the graded algebra of polynomial functions on V . Let \mathcal{A} be a central hyperplane arrangement in V , that is, a set of subspaces H_1, \dots, H_r of V of codimension 1, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ let $\alpha_i \in V^*$ be a linear form on V such that $H_i = \ker \alpha_i$. The product $Q = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r \in S$, which we call a defining polynomial of the arrangement, has zero locus equal to the union $N(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r H_i$ of the hyperplanes of \mathcal{A} and depends, up to a non-zero scalar, only on the arrangement.

We write $\text{Der}(S)$ the Lie algebra of all derivations of S endowed with its usual structure of a graded left S -module and for each ideal $I \subseteq S$ we consider the set $\text{Der}(I) = \{\delta \in \text{Der}(S) : \delta(I) \subseteq I\}$, which is both a Lie subalgebra and a graded S -submodule of $\text{Der}(S)$. The *Lie algebra $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ of derivations tangent to \mathcal{A}* is $\text{Der}(QS)$ and the arrangement is *free* if $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is a free graded S -module. This notion was introduced in the context of the study of logarithmic vector fields related to a hypersurface of a smooth complex analytic manifold by Kyoji Saito in [6]. We do not know what exactly makes an arrangement free and it is not a generic property, but we have plenty of evidence that freeness is both important and useful, and it shows up in many contexts. For example, Hiroaki Terao proved in [8] that if \mathbb{k} is the field of complex numbers and G is a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ generated by pseudo-reflections, then the arrangement \mathcal{A}_G of the reflecting hyperplanes of all pseudo-reflections in G is free.

Recall that if R is an algebra and I is a right ideal of R , the *idealizer* of I in R is the subalgebra $\mathbb{I}_R(I) = \{r \in R : rI \subseteq I\}$ of R , easily seen to be the largest subalgebra of R that contains I as a bilateral ideal. We use this notion in our situation as follows. Let $\text{Diff}(S)$ be the algebra of all regular differential operators on S and let us view S as a subalgebra of $\text{Diff}(S)$ by identifying each $f \in S$ with the differential operator of order zero given by multiplication by f . For each $f \in S$ we denote $\text{Diff}(f^\infty \text{Diff}(S))$ the intersection of idealizers $\bigcap_{t \geq 1} \mathbb{I}_{\text{Diff}(S)}(f^t \text{Diff}(S))$ and we define the *algebra $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ of differential operators tangent to the arrangement \mathcal{A}* to be the subalgebra $\text{Diff}(Q^\infty \text{Diff}(S))$ of $\text{Diff}(S)$.

The algebra $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ contains both S and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. Now, it is well-known that the algebra $\text{Diff}(S)$ is generated by its subset $S \cup \text{Der}(S)$, provided that the characteristic of the ground field is zero. The purpose of this paper is to show that an analogous statement holds for free arrangements:

Date: June 14, 2018.

IMAS (CONICET) – Universidad de Buenos Aires.

Theorem. *If the characteristic of \mathbb{k} is zero and the arrangement \mathcal{A} is free, then the algebra $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by $S \cup \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.*

We prove this by extending to differential operators of arbitrary order the beautiful criterion given by Saito in [6] for deciding the freeness of a divisor in terms of a certain Jacobian determinant —given for the case of hyperplane arrangements in [5]. This theorem has been proved by Francisco J. Calderón-Moreno in [1] and later by Mathias Schulze in [7], both working in the general context of an arbitrary divisor in a complex manifold.

A natural question —analogous to the conjecture of Yoshikazu Nakai [4] which asserts that an affine algebraic variety X over a field of characteristic zero is smooth if its algebra of regular differential operators is generated by functions \mathcal{O}_X and derivations $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ — is whether the necessary condition for freeness given by the theorem above is also sufficient. This is not so: Schulze shows in [7] that the generic 3-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^3 with equation $xyz(x+y+z) = 0$ is a counterexample: $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ is in this case generated by S and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ and yet the \mathcal{A} is not free.

In the first section of the paper we prove a general lemma from multilinear algebra, mostly for completeness. In the second one we present a ‘higher’ version of Jacobian determinants, and in the third, final one we use them to prove the theorem. We use the book [5] as our general reference for anything related to hyperplane arrangements, and [3, Chapter 15] and [2] for algebras of differential operators.

1. A LEMMA FROM MULTILINEAR ALGEBRA

Let R be a commutative ring, let ℓ be a positive integer and let $M = (u_{i,j})$ be an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with entries in R . Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let W_p be the set of sequences $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ of integers of length p such that $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq \ell$. There are $\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell-1}$ elements in W_p . If $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \in W_p$, we write \mathbf{i}' the ℓ -tuple $(i'_1, \dots, i'_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell$ with each entry i'_j denoting the number of times the integer j appears in \mathbf{i} and put $\mathbf{i}'! = i'_1! \cdots i'_\ell!$.

If $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ are two elements of W_p , we consider the permanent

$$u_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} u_{i_1,j_1} & \cdots & u_{i_1,j_p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{i_p,j_1} & \cdots & u_{i_p,j_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $M^{(p)}$ be the matrix $(u_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j} \in W_p}$, with rows and columns ordered according to a fixed but irrelevant total ordering of the set W_p .

Lemma 1. *We have $\det M^{(p)} = \gamma_{\ell,p} \cdot (\det M)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell-1}}$, with $\gamma_{\ell,p} = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \mathbf{i}'!$.*

Proof. The identity we are to prove is the vanishing of a polynomial with integer coefficients when evaluated at the entries of the matrix M . A standard argument shows that it is enough to prove it in the case where the ring R is the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_\ell\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^ℓ and let $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$ be the symmetric algebra on \mathbb{C}^ℓ . If for each $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \in W_p$ we write $e_{\mathbf{i}} = e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_p}$, with the product being that of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$, then the set $\mathcal{B}^{(p)} = \{e_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{i} \in W_p\}$ is a basis of $\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$, the p th homogeneous component of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$. Let $u : \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be the map such that $u(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_{j,i} e_j$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and let

$$u^{(p)} : \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^\ell) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$$

be the map induced by u on $\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$. If $\tilde{M}^{(p)} = (\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j} \in W_p}$ is the matrix of $u^{(p)}$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}^{(p)}$, so that $u^{(p)}(e_{\mathbf{i}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in W_p} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{i}} e_{\mathbf{j}}$, then a straightforward computation shows that for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in W_p$ we have

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{j}'! \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^{(p)} = u_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}.$$

It follows immediately from this that $\gamma_{\ell,p} \det \tilde{M}^{(p)} = \det M^{(p)}$, and the claim of the lemma holds for M if and only if we have

$$\det \tilde{M}^{(p)} = (\det M)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}}.$$

Both sides of this equality are obtained as the result of by evaluating a continuous monoid homomorphism $M_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined on the matrix algebra $M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ at the matrix M : as a consequence of this and of the fact that Sym^p is a functor, we see that it is enough to show that the lemma holds if the matrix M is a diagonal complex matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume that this is the case. Let $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in W_p$. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{i_1, j_1} & \cdots & u_{i_1, j_p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{i_p, j_1} & \cdots & u_{i_p, j_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

is then a block diagonal matrix, with diagonal blocks of sizes $i'_1 \times j'_1, \dots, i'_\ell \times j'_\ell$, respectively. All entries in the first of these blocks are equal to λ and all entries in all the other $\ell - 1$ of them are equal to 1. It is easy to see that the permanent of this matrix, which we have named $u_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$, is zero if $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j}$, and when $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j}$ it is equal to $\mathbf{i}'! \lambda^{i'_1}$. In view of (1), we conclude that

$$\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{i'_1}, & \text{if } \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j}; \\ 0, & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

The matrix $\tilde{M}^{(p)}$ is thus a diagonal matrix and its determinant is therefore

$$\det \tilde{M}^{(p)} = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \lambda^{i'_1} = \lambda^{\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} i'_1}.$$

The exponent of λ in the last member of this chain of equalities is equal to $\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}$. As $\det M = \lambda$, the lemma is thus proved. \square

2. HIGHER JACOBIANS

If $u \in \text{Diff}(S)$, for each $p \geq 0$ and each choice of f_1, \dots, f_p in S we define the **iterated commutator** $[u, f_1, \dots, f_p]$ recursively: if $p = 0$ it is simply equal to u , and if $p > 0$ we put $[u, f_1, \dots, f_p] = [[u, f_1, \dots, f_{p-1}], f_p]$, with the outer brackets denoting the usual commutator of the associative algebra $\text{Diff}(S)$. It is easy to see that the expression $[u, f_1, \dots, f_p]$ depends left S -linearly on u and that it is a symmetric function of f_1, \dots, f_p .

We say that a differential operator $u \in \text{Diff}(S)$ has **order at most p** if for all $f_1, \dots, f_{p+1} \in S$ we have that $[u, f_1, \dots, f_{p+1}] = 0$ and we write $F_p \text{Diff}(S)$ the subset of $\text{Diff}(S)$ of all operators of order at most p . We obtain in this way an exhaustive increasing algebra filtration on $\text{Diff}(S)$, essentially by definition of this algebra, and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr Diff}(S)$ is commutative and, in fact, isomorphic to a polynomial algebra on 2ℓ variables.

If u is a non-zero element of $\text{Diff}(S)$, there is a unique non-negative integer p such that $u \in F_p \text{Diff}(S) \setminus F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$, which we call the **order** of u , and the **principal symbol** $\sigma(u)$ of u is the class $u + F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$ in the p th homogeneous component $\text{gr}_p \text{Diff}(S) = F_p \text{Diff}(S) / F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$ of the graded algebra $\text{gr Diff}(S)$. Since $F_0 \text{Diff}(S) = S$ and $F_{-1} \text{Diff}(S) = 0$, we can identify $\text{gr}_0 \text{Diff}(S)$ with S , and with this in mind the principal symbol of a polynomial $f \in S \subseteq \text{Diff}(S)$ is f itself.

Let $p \geq 1$. We will consider families $(u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p}$ of elements of $\text{Diff}(S)$ indexed by W_p or, equivalently, elements of $\text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$. In particular, if $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\ell)$ is an element of $\text{Diff}(S)^\ell$, we will write $\theta^{(p)}$ the element $(u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p}$ of $\text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$ such that $u_{\mathbf{i}} = \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p}$ for all $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \in W_p$. On the other hand, if $u = (u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p}$ is an element of $\text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$ and $w \in \text{Diff}(S)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in W_p$, we will write $u \langle w / \mathbf{j} \rangle$ the family $(v_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \in \text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$ such that $v_{\mathbf{j}} = w$ and $v_{\mathbf{i}} = u_{\mathbf{i}}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in W_p \setminus \{\mathbf{j}\}$: in other words, $u \langle w / \mathbf{j} \rangle$ is obtained from u by replacing the \mathbf{j} th component by w .

If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_\ell) \in S^\ell$ and $u = (u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \in \text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$, we define the **p th Jacobian of f with respect to u** to be

$$\frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p u} = \det([u_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_p}](1))_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in W_p},$$

which is an element of S . If $p = 1$, then we can identify W_1 with $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ in an obvious way, so that an element u of $\text{Diff}(S)^{W_1}$ is just a p -tuple (u_1, \dots, u_p) of elements of $\text{Diff}(S)$. If these happen to be elements of $\text{Der}(S)$, then for all $f \in S$ we have $[u_i, f](1) = u_i(f)$, and then for all $f = (f_1, \dots, f_\ell) \in S^\ell$ we have that

$$\frac{\partial^1 f}{\partial^1 u} = \begin{vmatrix} u_1(f_1) & \cdots & u_1(f_\ell) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_\ell(f_1) & \cdots & u_\ell(f_\ell) \end{vmatrix},$$

the usual Jacobian of the functions f_1, \dots, f_ℓ with respect to the derivations u_1, \dots, u_ℓ : this explains the name.

It is easy to see that the Jacobian $\partial^p f / \partial^p u$ depends S -linearly on each component of u and, using Lemma 1, that whenever $A = (a_{i,j})$ is an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with entries

in \mathbb{k} we have that

$$\frac{\partial^p(Af)}{\partial^p u} = (\det A)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}} \cdot \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p u}.$$

More significantly, we have:

Lemma 2. (i) *If any of the entries of $u \in \text{Diff}(S)^{W_p}$ has order at most $p-1$, then we have that $\partial^p f / \partial^p u = 0$.*

(ii) *If $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\ell) \in S^\ell$ has all its components of order at most 1, then*

$$\frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)}} = \gamma_{\ell,p} \left(\frac{\partial^1 f}{\partial^1 \theta} \right)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}},$$

with $\gamma_{\ell,p}$ the integer defined in Lemma 1.

Proof. If $\mathbf{i} \in W_p$ is such that $u_{\mathbf{i}} \in F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$, then $[u_{\mathbf{i}}, f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_p}] = 0$ for all $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in W_p$, so that the matrix whose determinant is $\partial^p f / \partial^p u$ has a zero column. Of course, the first claim of the lemma follows from this.

To prove the second one, and in view of Lemma 1, it is enough that we fix $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in W_p$ and show that

$$(2) \quad [\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_p}](1) = \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} [\theta_{i_1}, f_{j_1}](1) & \cdots & [\theta_{i_1}, f_{j_p}](1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [\theta_{i_p}, f_{j_1}](1) & \cdots & [\theta_{i_p}, f_{j_p}](1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that in $\text{Diff}(S)$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} [\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_p}] &= [[\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_{j_1}], f_2, \dots, f_p] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p [\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_{k-1}} [\theta_{i_k}, f_1] \theta_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_2, \dots, f_p]. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ the operators

$$\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_{k-1}} [\theta_{i_k}, f_1] \theta_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \theta_{i_p}$$

and

$$[\theta_{i_k}, f_1] \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_{k-1}} \theta_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \theta_{i_p}$$

differ by an element of $F_{p-2} \text{Diff}(S)$, so the sum (3) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=1}^p [[\theta_{i_k}, f_1] \theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_{i_k} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_2, \dots, f_p],$$

with the accent denoting omission of the marked factor, as usual. As $[\theta_{i_k}, f_1] \in S$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, the value at $1 \in S$ of this operator is

$$\sum_{k=1}^p [\theta_{i_k}, f_1](1) \cdot [\theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_{i_k} \cdots \theta_{i_p}, f_2, \dots, f_p](1).$$

Inductively, then, we know that this is equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^p [\theta_{i_k}, f_1](1) \cdot \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} [\theta_{i_1}, f_{j_2}](1) & \cdots & [\theta_{i_1}, f_{j_p}](1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [\theta_{i_k}, \widehat{f_{j_2}}](1) & \cdots & [\theta_{i_k}, \widehat{f_{j_p}}](1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [\theta_{i_p}, f_{j_2}](1) & \cdots & [\theta_{i_p}, f_{j_p}](1) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the Laplace expansion for the permanent that appears on the right in (2) along the first column. \square

3. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS TANGENT TO A HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENT

As in the introduction, let $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \dots, H_r\}$ be a central hyperplane arrangement in the vector space V , let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in V^*$ be such that $H_i = \ker \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and let $Q = \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i$ be a defining polynomial for \mathcal{A} . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we let $\beta_i = Q/\alpha_i$, so that $Q = \alpha_i \beta_i$ and α_i does not divide β_i .

For each right ideal I in $\text{Diff}(S)$ we write $\mathbb{I}(I)$ the *idealizer* of I in $\text{Diff}(S)$, that is, the subalgebra

$$\mathbb{I}(I) = \{u \in \text{Diff}(S) : uI \subseteq I\},$$

which is the largest subalgebra of $\text{Diff}(S)$ which contains I as a bilateral ideal. If $f \in \text{Diff}(S)$, we write

$$\mathbb{I}(f^\infty \text{Diff}(S)) = \bigcap_{t \geq 1} \mathbb{I}(f^t \text{Diff}(S)).$$

The *algebra $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ of differential operators tangent to \mathcal{A}* is the subalgebra $\text{Diff}(Q^\infty \text{Diff}(S))$ of $\text{Diff}(S)$. We want to give a slightly more convenient description of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$, and to do that we start with the following simple observation:

Lemma 3. *Let α and β be elements of S without a non-constant common factor. If $u \in \text{Diff}(S)$, then*

$$u\beta \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S) \implies u \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S).$$

Proof. Let $u \in \text{Diff}(S)$ and suppose that there exists a $v \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that

$$(4) \quad u\beta = \alpha v.$$

If u is zero then of course $u \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S)$, so we suppose it is not. We may then consider the order p of u , so that $u \in F_p \text{Diff}(S) \setminus F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$, and proceed by induction on p .

Suppose first that $p = 0$, so that in fact $u \in S$. It follows from equation (4) that we necessarily have that $v \in S$ and, since α does not divide β in S , that α divides u : in particular, we have that $u \in \alpha S \subseteq \alpha \text{Diff}(S)$, as we want.

Suppose next that $p > 0$. From (4) we see that $v \in F_p \text{Diff}(S) \setminus F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$ and that $\sigma(u)\beta = \alpha\sigma(v)$ in the associated graded algebra $\text{gr Diff}(S)$. As α does not divide β , we see that α divides $\sigma(u)$ and, therefore, that there exists an operator $w \in F_p \text{Diff}(S) \setminus F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$ of order p such that $u' = u - \alpha w \in F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$. Now $u'\beta = u\beta - \alpha w\beta = \alpha(v - w\beta) \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S)$ and u' has order at most $p - 1$,

so that inductively we know that $u' \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S)$. We can then conclude that $u = \alpha w + u' \in \alpha \text{Diff}(S)$, completing the induction. \square

Using this lemma, we can prove that $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ is the intersection of the infinite idealizers of the defining equations of the hyperplanes of the arrangement, much as the Lie algebra $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \text{Der}(\alpha_i S)$; see [5, Proposition 4.8].

Proposition 4. *We have $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathbb{I}(\alpha_i^\infty \text{Diff}(S))$.*

Proof. Let u be an element of that intersection, so that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $t \geq 1$ there is a $u_{i,t} \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that $u\alpha_i^t = \alpha_i^t u_{i,t}$.

Let $t \geq 1$. If $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then we have that

$$uQ^t = u\alpha_i^t \beta_i^y = \alpha_i^t u_{i,t} \beta_i^t \in \alpha_i^t \text{Diff}(S),$$

and therefore

$$(5) \quad uQ^t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r \alpha_i^t \text{Diff}(S) = Q^t \text{Diff}(S),$$

so that u is in $\mathbb{I}(Q^t \text{Diff}(S))$. The equality in (5) follows immediately from the fact that $\text{Diff}(S)$ is free as a left module over its subalgebra S and in S we have that $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \alpha_i^t S = Q^t S$. Of course, this implies that $u \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$.

To prove the reverse containment, let $u \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ be an element of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$, so that for each $t \geq 1$ there is a $v_t \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that $uQ^t = Q^t v_t$. If $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $t \geq 1$, then we have that

$$u\alpha_i^t \beta_i^t = uQ^t = Q^t v_t = \alpha_i^t \beta_i^t v_t \in \alpha_i^t \text{Diff}(S)$$

and we may conclude that $u\alpha_i^t \in \alpha_i^t \text{Diff}(S)$ using Lemma 3. We see in this way that u is in $\mathbb{I}(\alpha_i^\infty \text{Diff}(S))$ and, therefore, in the intersection described in the proposition. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $u \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.*

- (i) *For all $t \geq 1$ we have $u(\alpha_i^t S) \subseteq \alpha_i^t S$.*
- (ii) *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $0 \leq q \leq p$. If at least q components of the p -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_p) \in S^p$ are equal to α_i , then $[u, f_1, \dots, f_p](1) \in \alpha_i^q S$.*

Proof. (i) Let $t \geq 1$. As $u \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a $v \in \text{Diff}(S)$ such that $u\alpha_i^t = \alpha_i^t v$, and then for all $f \in S$ we have

$$u(\alpha_i^t f) = (u\alpha_i^t)(f) = (\alpha_i^t v)(f) = \alpha_i^t v(f) \in \alpha_i^t S.$$

(ii) Suppose that at least q components of $(f_1, \dots, f_p) \in S^p$ are equal to α_i and let $I = \{1, \dots, p\}$. One sees easily that

$$[u, f_1, \dots, f_p](1) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \prod_{j \in J} f_j \cdot u \left(\prod_{k \in I \setminus J} f_k \right).$$

The first part of the lemma implies that for each $J \subseteq I$ the J th summand of this sum is in $\alpha_i^q S$, so that so is the sum. \square

Proposition 6. *Let $f = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ be an ordered basis of V^* and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p}$ is a family of elements of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ indexed by W_p , then*

$$\frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p u} \in Q^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}} S.$$

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and let $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{k}$ be such that $\alpha_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j x_j$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_1 \neq 0$ and then, of course, $g = (\alpha_k, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is another ordered basis of V^* , whose elements we relabel y_1, \dots, y_ℓ . If $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in W_p$, then α_k appears j'_1 times in the p -tuple $(y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_p})$ and the second part of Lemma 5 tells us that

$$[u_{\mathbf{i}}, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_p}](1) \in \alpha_k^{j'_1} S.$$

This implies that $[u_{\mathbf{i}}, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_p}](1) \in \bigcap_{k=1}^r \alpha_k^{j'_1} S = Q^{j'_1} S$, so that the \mathbf{j} th column of the matrix whose determinant is the Jacobian $\partial^p g / \partial^p u$ is divisible by $Q^{j'_1}$. Of course, it follows from this that the Jacobian itself is divisible by

$$\prod_{\mathbf{j} \in W_p} Q^{j'_1} = Q^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}}.$$

This is what we set out to prove. \square

Let us denote $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ the subalgebra of $\text{Diff}(S)$ generated by S and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 7. *The subalgebra $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is contained in $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$ is a subset of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ that generates it as a left S -module, then the set*

$$\{\theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_q} : 0 \leq q \leq p, 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_q \leq m\}$$

generates $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cap F_p \text{Diff}(S)$ as a left S -module.

Proof. To prove the first part, it is enough to show that S and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ are contained in $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$, and it is obvious that S is. Let δ be an element of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, so that $\delta(Q) = Q\delta$ for some $f \in S$. If $t \geq 1$, in $\text{Diff}(S)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q^t &= Q^t \delta + [\delta, Q^t] = Q^t \delta + \delta(Q^t) = Q^t \delta + t Q^{t-1} \delta(Q) \\ &= Q^t (\delta + t f) \in Q^t \text{Diff}(S), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\delta \in \mathbb{I}(Q^t \text{Diff}(S))$ and, therefore, $\delta \in \mathbb{I}(Q^\infty \text{Diff}(S)) = \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$.

The second part of the proposition, on the other hand, is a direct consequence of the commutation relation $\theta_i h = h \theta_i + \theta_i(h)$ that holds in $\text{Diff}(S)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and all $h \in S$. \square

It follows immediately from the definitions that $Q \text{Der}(S) \subseteq \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. It is easy to extend that observation to differential operators of all orders:

Proposition 8. *If $u \in F_p \text{Diff}(S)$, then $Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Let (x_1, \dots, x_ℓ) be an ordered basis of V^* and let $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_\ell)$ be the corresponding dual ordered basis of $\text{Der}(S)$. Let $p \geq 0$ and $u \in F_p \text{Diff}(S)$. There are a $u = (u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \in S^{W_p}$ and a $v \in F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S)$ such that

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} u_{\mathbf{i}} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_p} + v.$$

As $Q\text{Der}(S) \subseteq \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr Diff}(S)$ is commutative, there is a $v' \in F_{p-1}\text{Diff}(S)$ such that

$$Q^p u = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} u_{\mathbf{i}} (Q\partial_{i_1}) \cdots (Q\partial_{i_p}) + v'.$$

The sum appearing in the right hand side of this equality is in $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and we may assume inductively that $Q^{\binom{p}{2}} v' \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, so that

$$Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u = Q^{p+\binom{p}{2}} u = Q^{\binom{p}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} u_{\mathbf{i}} (Q\partial_{i_1}) \cdots (Q\partial_{i_p}) + Q^{\binom{p}{2}} v' \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}),$$

as we want. \square

We are finally in position to prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a free arrangement of hyperplanes in V and suppose the ground field \mathbb{k} has characteristic zero. The algebra $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by S and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Let $f = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ be an ordered basis of V^* and let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\ell)$ be an homogeneous basis of the S -module $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$. Saito's criterion for freeness [5, Theorem 4.19] tells us that

$$\frac{\partial^1 f}{\partial^1 \theta} = \lambda Q$$

for some non-zero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$.

Let $u \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ be a non-zero element which has order at most p . In view of Proposition 8, we have $Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, and then there exist a family $(u_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} \in S^{W_p}$ of polynomials indexed by W_p and a $v \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \cap F_{p-1}\text{Diff}(S)$ such that

$$(6) \quad Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} u_{\mathbf{i}} \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p} + v.$$

Let us fix $\mathbf{k} \in W_p$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u / \mathbf{k} \rangle} = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in W_p} u_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p} / \mathbf{k} \rangle} + \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle v / \mathbf{k} \rangle}.$$

The second summand in the right hand side of this equality vanishes, because v has order at most $p-1$, and only possibly non-zero term in the sum is the one in which \mathbf{i} coincides with \mathbf{k} : it follows then that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u / \mathbf{k} \rangle} &= u_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)}} = \gamma_{\ell, p} u_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\partial^1 f}{\partial^1 \theta} \right)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}} \\ &= \gamma_{\ell, p} u_{\mathbf{k}} (\lambda Q)^{\binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the S -linearity of higher Jacobians and Proposition 6 imply that

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} u / \mathbf{k} \rangle} = Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} \frac{\partial^p f}{\partial^p \theta^{(p)} \langle u / \mathbf{k} \rangle} \in Q^{\binom{p+1}{2} + \binom{p+\ell-1}{\ell}} S.$$

As our ground field \mathbb{k} is of characteristic zero, the scalar $\gamma_{\ell, p}$ is a unit and comparing the right hand sides of the equalities (7) and (8) we see that $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ is divisible by $Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}}$.

There is then a family $(\bar{u}_i) \in S^{W_p}$ such that $u_i = Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} \bar{u}_i$ for all $i \in W_p$. Going back to (6), we see that

$$Q^{\binom{p+1}{2}} \left(u - \sum_{i \in W_p} \bar{u}_i \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p} \right) = v \in F_{p-1} \text{Diff}(S).$$

The difference

$$u' = u - \sum_{i \in W_p} \bar{u}_i \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_p}$$

is therefore an element of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A})$ of order at most $p-1$ and, proceeding by induction, we know that it belongs to $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$: this implies, of course, that so does u . We conclude in this way that $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, as we wanted. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Francisco Javier Calderón-Moreno, *Logarithmic differential operators and logarithmic de Rham complexes relative to a free divisor*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 5, 701–714, DOI 10.1016/S0012-9593(01)80004-5 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1710757
- [2] Alexanwer Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **32** (1967), 361, available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1967__32__361_0. MR0238860
- [3] John Coulter McConnell and J. Chris Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Revised edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR1811901
- [4] Yoshikazu Nakai, *On the theory of differentials in commutative rings*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **13** (1961), 63–84, DOI 10.2969/jmsj/01310063, available at <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/01310063>. MR0125131
- [5] Peter Orlik and Hiroaki Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 300, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. MR1217488
- [6] Kyoji Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 2, 265–291. MR586450
- [7] Mathias Schulze, *A criterion for the logarithmic differential operators to be generated by vector fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 11, 3631–3640, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-07-08969-1. MR2336579
- [8] Hiroaki Terao, *Free arrangements of hyperplanes and unitary reflection groups*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **56** (1980), no. 8, 389–392, DOI 10.1007/BF01389197, available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389197>. MR596011

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES. CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) CIUDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: mariano@dm.uba.ar