

Transient temperature calculation method for complex fluid-solid heat transfer problems with scattering boundary conditions

Peter Hölz^{a,*}, Thomas Böhlke^b, Thomas Krämer^a

^a*Porsche AG, Porsche Motorsport, Porschestr. 911, 71287 Weissach, Germany*

^b*Chair for Continuum Mechanics, Institute of Engineering Mechanics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kaiserstr. 10, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*

Abstract

A calculation method for engine temperatures is presented. Special focus is placed on the transient and scattering boundary conditions within the combustion chamber, including fired and coasting conditions, as well as the dynamic heat transfer of the water jacket. Model reduction is achieved with dimensional analysis and the application of probability density functions, which allows for a timescale separation. Stationary in-cylinder pressure measurements are used as input values and, according to the transient behavior, modified with an own part-load model.

A turbocharged SI race engine is equipped with 70 thermocouples at various positions in proximity to the combustion chamber. Differentiating from already published works, the method deals with the transient engine behavior during a race lap, which undergoes a frequency range of 0.1-1 Hz. This includes engine speed build-ups under gear changes, torque variations, or the transition from fired to coasting conditions.

Different thermal behaviors of various measuring positions can be simulated successfully. Additionally, cylinder individual temperature effects resulting from an unsymmetrical ignition sequence and different volumetric efficiencies with unequal residual gas can be predicted. Up to a few percent, the energy balance of the water jacket is fulfilled and variations of water inlet temperatures can be simulated accurately enough.

Keywords: Similarity mechanics, Buckingham Pi-Theorem, Conjugate heat transfer, Engine heat transfer, Transient simulation.

Copyright, including manuscript, tables, illustrations or other material submitted as part of the manuscript, is assigned to the authors.

Contents

1 Introduction	2
1.1 State of the art	2

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 711 911 88680

Email address: peter.hoelz@porsche.de (Peter Hölz)

1.2	Outline of the paper	3
1.3	Method used in this paper	4
2	Programming details	7
3	Determination of boundary conditions by means of similarity mechanics	10
3.1	Heat transfer modeling in the inlet and outlet system	10
3.2	Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber	
	- Full load condition -	11
3.3	Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber	
	- Coasting condition -	12
3.4	Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber	
	- Part load condition -	12
3.5	Transient heat transfer due to variations of water mass flow and temperature	14
3.5.1	Model reduction based on similarity mechanics	14
3.5.2	Increased heat transfer due to turbulence	15
4	Results and discussion	16
4.1	Single cylinder calibration	17
4.2	Cylinder individual effects	20
4.3	Variation of water quantities - Simplified calculation method	22
4.3.1	Variation of water mass flow rate	22
4.3.2	Variation of water inlet temperature	25
5	Conclusions	26
6	Nomenclature	27
7	References	31

1. Introduction

1.1. State of the art

A precise engine thermal management should be targeted at as little as possible heat transfer to solid components. The underlying thermomechanical fatigue mechanisms are very sensitive to temperature changes. As an example, aluminium alloys like wrought alloy 2618A show a great temperature dependence of its high cycle fatigue resistance [1]. Different fatigue mechanisms like low cycle fatigue (LCF) or high cycle fatigue (HCF) react differently to temperature changes. Furthermore, other fatigue mechanisms like creeping become more important with increasing solid temperatures. The well-known deformation and fracture mechanism maps [2] can give a good overview in each case. In order to describe quantitatively crack initiation and propagation caused by the combination of all possible fatigue mechanisms, calculation methods are required to determine solid temperatures.

Transient engine behaviour and the resulting temperatures also influence other aspects like fuel consumption or emissions. A new method for estimating transient engine-out

temperatures and emissions is presented in [3]. As an example, an increase of fuel consumption about 25 percent is reported at a cold start with a gasoline-powered engine. Another example are HC emissions in SI engines: about 60-80 percent of the emissions result in the cold initial phase when the engine, especially the catalyst, is cold [4]. One part of the problem arises from flame extinction at cold walls. However, due to re-diffusion into the extinguishing flame, some unburnt hydrocarbons can be consumed [5]. One possibility to deal with cold initial phases are hydrocarbon trap strategies [4]: cold HCs are adsorbed until the TWC catalyst reaches the lightoff temperature.

Early works addressed the problem of engine heat transfer with dimensional analysis and experimental studies: [6], [7], [8]. In addition to these more phenomenological results, simple lumped turbulence modeling techniques can show the physical background of heat transfer in more detail: using a global k - ε model, [9] proposed a heat transfer model with a Reynolds-Colburn analogy. In chapter 3.2, a more detailed description of quasi-dimensional turbulence modeling is given. There also exist many works which uses three-dimensional CFD in-cylinder flow simulations, including combustion and heat transfer processes: [10], [11], [12]. [13] proposed a method which couples detailed CFD techniques with a simplified engine working process analysis in order to ensure the overall heat transfer rate. Additionally, specific models were developed for different kind of engines or flow structures: Relating to heat transfer, HCCI (Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition) engines are investigated in [14], [15] and [16]. Similarly, hydrogen engines are studied in [17], [18] or [19]. In this context, a design of experiments method is applied in [20]: Various engine settings like, e.g., ignition timing, air-fuel ratio, fuel or compression ratio, are investigated. In a more fundamental manner, the Polhausen equation in seven different operating regimes is verified.

In literature, one can find various stationary temperature analysis of combustion engines by using CFD-CHT methods: [21], [22], [23]. Unfortunately, steady-state engine temperatures are significantly different from transient conditions. Within the framework of thermo-mechanical fatigue analysis, many transient FEM simulations can be found: [24], [25], [26], [27]. However, such typical time sections of these self-contained temperature cycles are in the range of one minute, e.g., 0.02 Hz: run-stop conditions should be simulated. There also exist combustion-cycle resolved thermal analysis with detailed in-cylinder heat transfer treatment: [28], [29], [30]. However, these simulations describe a high-frequency timescale in the range of the combustion period, e.g. 8-80 Hz, depending on the engine speed. Crank angle resolved calculations correspondingly deal with frequencies of up to several tens of Kilohertz. In this context, big challenges are transient boundary conditions under transient drives with frequencies between the aforementioned ones, e.g., in the range of 0.1-1 Hz. Two- and three-dimensional transient finite-element models are presented in [31]. It becomes clear that the correct determination of thermal boundary conditions is mandatory. The essential role of engine operational transients, e.g., sudden changes in speed and/or load, is presented in detail. However, continuously changing engine states like transitions between fired and motored condition, including speed build-ups with gear changes and part load sections, are not presented. That is exactly the focus of the presented paper.

1.2. Outline of the paper

Regarding daily development work and the current available computing power, it is not possible to investigate every possible thermodynamic state of an engine during a transient

drive with detailed 3D-CFD simulations, containing heat transfer treatments. Therefore, the research question can be formulated as follows: With regard to the dynamic response of engine component temperatures and the heat flux of the water jacket, is it possible to develop a transient calculation method which is a workable solution technique in the industrial practice? In particular, with respect to the different thermal behavior of various components and to variations in the engine setting like air-fuel ratios or water temperatures, how should the method be established in order to resolve the aforementioned frequency range of 0.1-1 Hz?

Using dimensional analysis and a statistical description of all relevant quantities, a MATLAB[®] - StarCCM+[®] interface was developed and implemented, which provides transient thermal boundary conditions for a subsequent three-dimensional finite volume simulation. By using in-cylinder pressure measurements under stationary conditions as an input, the method can account for different engine mappings or cylinder individual effects. Small deviations from these stationary states under a transient drive, resulting from diverse variations in ignition time or air-fuel ratio, are modelled with an own developed *part load model*, which is described in chapter 3.4.

Due to restricted calculation time, a detailed CFD simulation of the water jacket, including turbulence modeling, can not be performed under transient conditions. To calculate water heat transfer, a new method for determining heat transfer coefficients is, therefore, presented.

The method was validated with a turbocharged SI engine. Cylinder individual heat transfer phenomena, resulting from different volumetric efficiencies and unequal residual gas, are shown. Moreover, different transient behaviours of various measuring points around the combustion chamber are presented. Finally, a variation of the water inlet temperature and the resulting effect on solid temperatures is shown.

1.3. Method used in this paper

The presented method distinguishes between *inner* and *outer* boundary conditions for an engine. The outer boundary conditions can be defined as a time-dependent, five-dimensional engine state matrix:

$$\underline{M}(t) = (n_{\text{engine}}(t), m_{\text{air}}(t), t_{\text{int}}(t), T_1(t), m_{\text{fuel}}(t)). \quad (1)$$

The entries are engine speed, air mass flow rate, inlet air temperature, induced torque and fuel mass flow rate, respectively. Either, these quantities can be measured, or they can be modelled within the electronic control unit (ECU). In the context of a three-dimensional, transient finite-volume simulation, one has to determine the inner boundary conditions; more specifically, the thermal boundary conditions. In the following, a method is suggested how one can translate *outer, concentrated* boundary conditions into *inner, distributed* boundary conditions.




Figure 1: Method overview to generate boundary conditions for a 3D FVM simulation. Track data $\underline{M}(t)$ and pressure indication measurements $p(\alpha_{\text{cr}})$, as function of crank angle α_{cr} , are required. Usually, the reference temperature T_{ref} , which is related to the heat transfer coefficient α , is the in-cylinder gas temperature.

An overview of the method is shown in Fig. 1. With the help of an self-programmed code in MATLAB[®], boundary conditions are determined for a subsequent 3D-FVM simulation of the full engine. Therefore, the engine state $\underline{M}(t)$ is imported by track telemetry with a high enough sampling frequency. All measured states are discretised in a 5D engine state matrix for which a histogram is computed. This histogram is normed in order to use it as an approximation for the probability density function $p_{\underline{n}}$ for the random variable \underline{n} which describes the 5D engine state. Thus, in this case, the engine state is interpreted as a random variable. For stationary simulations, this density function can be used to get a kind of expectation field of the solid temperature $\langle T \rangle(\mathbf{x})$. The expectation value with respect to time is denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle$. This field can be used for comparison purposes between different engine mappings or race tracks. It also serves as an initial solution for subsequent transient simulations. Additionally, one needs a high pressure indication measurement $p(\alpha_{\text{cr}})$ of the in-cylinder gas pressure as a function of the crank angle α_{cr} . In this paper, these stationary measurements were resolved with 0.1 °CA. The full-load curve consisted of 14 engine speed points for which 60 complete engine cycles were recorded in order to take account of the scattering nature of combustion processes. Therefore, a piezo-quartz pressure transducer was mounted on the cylinder head. According to forced convection, thermal boundary conditions are assumed to be of the Newton-form:

$$\mathbf{q} = -\alpha (T_{\text{ref}} - T_s) \mathbf{n}. \quad (2)$$

In this case, the heat flux vector \mathbf{q} , which points in the direction of the surface normal vector \mathbf{n} , is calculated from the temperature difference between a well-defined reference temperature T_{ref} and the solid temperature T_s . The proportionality constant is the heat transfer coefficient α (HTC). Different models can be used to calculate this coefficient with the help of the measured pressure data $p(\alpha_{\text{cr}})$. Again, both quantities are interpreted as random variables. However, in this case one has to use conditional probability density functions. In the case of heat transfer coefficients, this is $p_{\alpha|\underline{n}}$ with \underline{n} as the random variable of the engine state. One can easily show that following relation holds for conditional probability density functions [32]:

$$p_{\alpha|\underline{n}}(A|\underline{N}) = p_{\alpha\underline{n}}(A, \underline{N})/p_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N}). \quad (3)$$

The realisations of the both random variables α and \underline{n} are described with A and \underline{N} . The

joint probability density function is given by $p_{\alpha\underline{n}}(A, \underline{N})$. It must be noted that the two random variables are strongly statistically dependent:

$$p_{\alpha\underline{n}}(A, \underline{N}) \neq p_{\alpha}(A)p_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N}). \quad (4)$$

Physically speaking, a heat transfer coefficient α strongly depends on the engine state $\underline{M}(t)$. The description as a random variable is quite useful because one can pay attention to the cyclic fluctuations in the pressure curves as well as the intermittent operation of an engine, without simulating each stroke in detail. Therefore, this method implies a low-pass filter function which only resolves the lower frequencies in the range of the characteristic frequencies of the engine state $\underline{M}(t)$. High frequencies of the individual engine cycles are filtered out. Therefore, in the case of a four-stroke engine, a time discretization in the order of 2 ($1/n_{\text{engine}}$) $60 \geq 0.015$ seconds is used.

In this paper a race engine is investigated. As can be seen in Fig.4, most of the time, such a race engine is in the full-load or in a coasting state. That is the reason why only the full-load curve was measured. However, in chapter 3.4, a part load model is suggested which can be used for modeling probability density functions for engine states which significantly differ from the full-load or coasting state. In summary, one gets for any arbitrary random variable $\tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n})$ following expressions for its expectation values. In case of a quasistationary simulation in order to calculate a kind of expectation values $\langle T \rangle(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^5} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \tilde{f}(A, \underline{N}) p_{\alpha\underline{n}}(A, \underline{N}) dA d\underline{N} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^5} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \left(\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \tilde{f}(A, \underline{N}) p_{\alpha|\underline{n}}(A|\underline{N}) dA}_{\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) | \underline{n} = \underline{N} \rangle} \right) p_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N}) d\underline{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In case of a transient simulation in order to calculate time-dependent expectation values $\langle T \rangle(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha) \rangle(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \tilde{f}(A) p_{\alpha|\underline{M}(t)}(A) dA. \quad (6)$$

Equation (5) and (6) apply to both, the wetted surfaces with gas, like valves, channels or the combustion chamber, as well as the water channel. However, as will be explained later in chapter 3.5.1, the HTC for the water channel is assumed to be clearly determined for a given engine speed n_{engine} . In this case, the probability density function is the Dirac Delta-Distribution. It must be noted that $p_{\alpha|\underline{n}}(A|\underline{N})$ also contains coasting conditions, that means $T_i = 0$. The inner integral of equation (5) gives the conditional mean $\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) | \underline{n} = \underline{N} \rangle$ of the random variable $\tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n})$. Note that, due to the nonlinearity in equation (2), a statistically modified reference temperature $\langle \alpha T_{\text{ref}} \rangle / \langle \alpha \rangle$ is necessary:

$$\langle \mathbf{q} \rangle = -\langle \alpha \rangle \left(\frac{\langle \alpha T_{\text{ref}} \rangle}{\langle \alpha \rangle} - \langle T_s \rangle \right) \mathbf{n}. \quad (7)$$

Whenever it will be spoken of a reference temperature, this modified version is meant. In the following, the acronym ACT, for average cylinder temperature, is used. Remember that equation (5) and (6) also applies to the modified reference temperature. For stationary conditions, details to the proposed statistical method can be found in [33].

2. Programming details

In Fig. 2, an overview of the code structure is shown. Beginning with the calculation of the probability density functions for the HTC and ACT with the help of pressures measurements, the analysis of the transient engine states follows. The stationary matrix $\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$ corresponds to the engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$ from equation (1) for the stationary measuring points. It serves as a reference state to which the transient states $\underline{M}(t)$ are related. Especially, using the part load model from chapter 3.4, ratios of the matrix entries are of interest. Afterwards, for each discretized engine state, the coasting condition is modelled and the corresponding probability density functions are calculated. In the end, the PDF's are integrated in order to get quasistationary, $\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) \rangle$, or transient, $\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha) \rangle(t)$, boundary conditions for the subsequent FVM simulation.




Figure 2: Programming overview.

The probability density functions are implemented as normed histograms. Therefore, a discretization for each state variable, e.g., the inner and outer boundary conditions, is needed. Just like the modelled HTC and ACT from chapter 3, the engine state matrix

$\underline{M}(t)$ is represented as a real matrix which is called *edges*. It consists of five dimensions: each of them consists of a vector with different number of elements, depending on the discretization level of the corresponding variable. It is important to note that the histograms have to be normed regarding the corresponding integration field. Multidimensional integration uses Fubini's theorem resulting in a piecewise integration over all dimensions of $\underline{M}(t)$. In case of equation (5), it follows:

$$\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) \rangle \approx \sum_{\underline{N}} \underbrace{\left(\sum_A \tilde{f}(A, \underline{N}) \hat{p}_{\alpha|\underline{n}}(A|\underline{N}) \Delta A \right)}_{f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})} \hat{p}_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N}) \Delta \underline{N}. \quad (8)$$

The corresponding normed histograms, with respect to both summations, are described by $\hat{p}_{\alpha|\underline{n}}(A|\underline{N})$ and $\hat{p}_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N})$. Note that the part load model (See chapter 3.4 for details) only changes the function $f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})$ which has the same structure as the matrix *edges*. It's clear that lots of entries of $\hat{p}_{\underline{n}}(\underline{N})$ are zero because some combinations are not physical: As an example, one can not get a positive value for the induced torque $T_i > 0$ if no fuel is injected $m_{\text{fuel}} = 0$. One has to mention that the discretization of the engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$ can be theoretically infinitely small, depending on calculation power. However, the measured full load line on the test bench has a finite number of engine speed grid points. That is the reason why the function $f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})|n_{\text{engine}}$, evaluated for a specific engine speed n_{engine} , is received by linearization between corresponding test bench engine speed grid points $n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{left}}$ and $n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{right}}$:

$$f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})|n_{\text{engine}} = (1 - a)f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})|n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{left}} + af_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})|n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{right}}, \quad (9)$$

with

$$n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{left}} \leq n_{\text{engine}} \leq n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{right}}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$a = \frac{n_{\text{engine}} - n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{left}}}{n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{right}} - n_{\text{engine}}^{\text{left}}}. \quad (11)$$

In order to perform transient simulations, one does not need expected values in the form (8): in this case, one needs the conditional mean $\langle \tilde{f}(\alpha, \underline{n}) | \underline{n} = \underline{N} \rangle$. Of course, this value is a function of time because the engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$ is a function of time. For this kind of simulation, a pointer matrix P was implemented which is a matrix with the same number of rows as points in time, which depends on the sampling rate of $\underline{M}(t)$ and the temporal discretization of the 3D FVM simulation, and five columns, corresponding to the five-dimensional engine state. These columns contain the position within the matrix $f_{\text{slave}}(\underline{N})$. The procedure is given in Fig. 3: For a given simulation time t_{Sim} , the corresponding matrix row n_{Sim} contains the pointer vector $P(n_{\text{Sim}}, \cdot)$. It provides the necessary indices for the matrix f_{slave} . As an example, in Fig. 4 a) a projection of the five-dimensional engine state $\underline{M}(t)$ for a typical race lap is shown. The state matrix is projected onto the T_i - n_{engine} subspace. The coasting state is described by the condition $T_i = 0$. Statistical analysis shows that about two third of the complete time the engine

is in full load state, while about a quarter of the period the coasting condition is fulfilled. In Fig. 4 b) and c), the corresponding discretized sample space is shown.




Figure 3: Transient simulation method. Boundary conditions are determined and saved in a MATLAB[®] environment. The subsequent finite volume simulation is done with the aid of the software StarCCM+.




Figure 4: a) Exemplary scatter plot in the T_i - n_{engine} sample space for a typical race lap. b) Corresponding event counting in a discretized sample space with variable mesh size. c) Corresponding relative density of the events.

3. Determination of boundary conditions by means of similarity mechanics

For all fluid-wetted surfaces, e.g., the combustion chamber walls, the inlet and outlet channels as well as the water channels, boundary conditions like equation (5) or (6) are required. In the following, a brief summary about the most important models is given.

3.1. Heat transfer modeling in the inlet and outlet system

The correlation according to [34]

$$Nu_v = 1,84 Re_v^{0.58} (D_v/l_v)^{0.2} \quad (12)$$

is used for the outlet valve stem. The Reynolds number and Nusselt number, which are based on the valve lift l_v and the exhaust jet gas velocity, are described by Re_v and Nu_v , respectively. The diameter of the valve is D_v . For the inlet valve stem, a similar expression can be assumed [35]. In this case, the coefficient in equation (12) has to be reduced by 40 percent. For the intake port, a simple form of

$$Nu = c Re^m, \quad (13)$$

with a coefficient c and exponent m is used. According to a fully developed flow, in this paper, a value of one was used for the exponent m . The coefficient c is used as a calibration parameter. For the exhaust port, following model is proposed [34]:

$$Nu = \sqrt{(8Re_j Pr/\pi)}. \quad (14)$$

In this case, Nu and Re_j are based on the duct diameter and the exhaust jet gas velocity. The Prandtl number $Pr = \nu/a$ describes the ratio between the kinematic viscosity ν and the temperature conductivity a .

3.2. Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber - Full load condition -

In this paper, the model according to Bargende is chosen for the heat transfer coefficient α [36]. For the reference temperature in equation (2), the cylinder-average gas temperature

$$\bar{T}_g = \frac{pV}{NR} \quad (15)$$

is used. N is the amount of substance, R the universal gas constant and V the total volume. In the following, only the most important equations for the heat transfer model are given. In complementarity with the original formulation, the characteristic velocity v additionally consists of a scaled combustion convection v_c [37]:

$$v = \sqrt{(8/3)k + v_p^2 + v_c^2}, \quad (16)$$

$$v_c = \sqrt[6]{y} \frac{B}{4} \left(\frac{dy}{dt} - \frac{T_{ub}}{\bar{T}_g} \frac{dx}{dt} \right). \quad (17)$$

In equation (17), the engine bore diameter is given by B . Using the turbulent kinetic energy k and the current piston speed v_p , the other two summands describe velocity fluctuations due to turbulence and the in-cylinder flow structure. According to a two-zone combustion model, the ratio between burnt and complete in-cylinder volume is given by y . Similarly, the mass fraction is given by x . Beginning with the ignition time, the unburnt gas temperature T_{ub} is calculated with the assumption of a polytropic compression and a homogeneous in-cylinder pressure. According to [38], the burnt gas temperature is modelled by using the volume balance of the two zones and the ideal gas law. Together with the pressure indication measurements $p(\alpha_{cr})$ from Fig. 1, the necessary burn function is gained with a pressure trace and combustion analysis.

To calculate the turbulent kinetic energy k in equation (16), a lumped turbulence model is necessary. In this paper, the model according to [39] is used. Assuming isotropic, homogenous turbulence for equilibrium conditions, the turbulent dissipation rate ε is given by $\varepsilon \sim k^{3/2}/l$ with a characteristic eddy length scale l , which is given by the combustion chamber volume V according to $l = (6/\pi V)^{1/3}$. On the basis of the rapid distortion theory, e.g., serving the angular momentum, the turbulent kinetic energy k is related to the eddy length scale l according to $k^{1/2} \sim l$. The conservation of mass finally gives the turbulent production rate $dk \sim 2k/(3\rho) d\rho$, resulting in the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dk}{dt} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{k}{V} \frac{dV}{dt} - \varepsilon_c \frac{k^{3/2}}{l}. \quad (18)$$

Together with the initial condition at the inlet valve closed state, the model constant ε_c is aligned with a three-dimensional in-cylinder CFD simulation for a representative engine speed of 7000 rpm. The sensitivity of the initial value to the engine speed is then modelled according to [40].

In [41], within the framework of a quasi-dimensional combustion model, equation (18) is supplemented with a special squish term, and the initial condition is used for engine specified adjustments. Further effects on the temporal evolution of the turbulent kinetic energy, like fuel injection, tumble or swirl flow, are presented in [42]. The alternative, quasi-dimensional turbulence model in [43] contains two differential equations for k and ε . Additionally, the two proportional constants for $\varepsilon \sim k^{3/2}/l$ and $dk \sim 2k/(3\rho)d\rho$ are evaluated in more detail. Similarly, [44] models a zero-dimensional energy cascade through a coupled, ordinary differential equation system for the mean kinetic energy and the turbulent kinetic energy. Completing this approach, [45] also considers production terms which are related to the flow through the intake and exhaust valves. For the necessary energy transfer rate, different approaches can be found in literature: [46] or [47]. The last one systematically transforms the three-dimensional conservation equations of the RANS k - ε model into a quasi-dimensional turbulence model.

3.3. Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber - Coasting condition -

Using the first three entries of the five-dimensional engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$ and an isentropic assumption for the motored pressure during compression and expansion,

$$pV^\kappa = p_{\text{ini}}V_{\text{max}}^\kappa, \quad (19)$$

the heat transfer coefficient under coasting conditions can be easily modelled. In this case, κ is the isentropic exponent. The subscripts denotes the initial pressure and the maximum volume. In literature, on can find various approaches for convective heat transfer equations under motored conditions. The influence of engine speed is investigated in [48]. In [49] an alternative model for motored conditions is suggested and compared with conventional engine models. A review about this topic is given in [50]. The effect of gas properties is explicitly investigated in [51].

3.4. Heat transfer modeling in the combustion chamber - Part load condition -

Most of the time, a race engine operates in full load or coasting conditions. Nevertheless, a full load state under race conditions differs from stationary measurements on a test bench. According to Fig. 4 a), it does not exist a line, but rather a small subspace of full load points. The ECU has to adjust permanently the operating conditions: Ignition angle, fuel and air mass flow - to name just a few aspects. Moreover, inertia effects of several subsystems like turbochargers cause transient boundary conditions: generating the required boost pressure after coasting needs time resulting afterwards in some overshoots. In the following, an attempt is shown how to model heat transfer coefficients and corresponding reference temperatures with the help of stationary full load data. An overview is given in Fig. 5. The probability density functions derived with measured pressure data from the stationary test bench serve as input. In dependence of the transient engine state $\underline{M}(t)$, new probability density functions are modelled. In this paper, all variances from the stationary conditions are called *part load* conditions.




Figure 5: Method overview for generating probability density functions for HTC and ACT at part load conditions. Given are the probability density functions at full load condition and the engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$.

In the following, a very simple model for the heat transfer coefficient in the combustion chamber is presented. All other heat transfer coefficients can be modelled in an analogous manner. This summary briefly outlines the main techniques: details to the suggested part load model as well as its validation under stationary boundary conditions can be found in [52]. The matrix entries of $\underline{M}(t)$, describing the transient engine condition according to equation (1), and $\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$, the corresponding full load state at stationary conditions, are related. Let α_{stat} and $\alpha(t)$ be two realisations for the heat transfer coefficient in the state $\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$ and $\underline{M}(t)$, respectively. Using following approach for the transformation of realisations

$$\alpha(t) = \beta(\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}, \underline{M}(t), \alpha_{\text{stat}}) \alpha_{\text{stat}}, \quad (20)$$

the new probability density functions according to equation (5) and (6) can be easily calculated. For the mathematical background, see [32]. Starting from the simplified correlation according to Woschni [7]

$$\alpha \propto p^m v^m \bar{T}_g^{0.75-1.62m}, \quad (21)$$

the transformation coefficient β can be described by

$$\beta(\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}, \underline{M}(t), \alpha_{\text{stat}}) = \left(\frac{p|\underline{M}(t)}{p|\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}} \right)^m \left(\frac{v|\underline{M}(t)}{v|\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}} \right)^m \left(\frac{\bar{T}_g|\underline{M}(t)}{\bar{T}_g|\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}} \right)^{0.75-1.62m}. \quad (22)$$

Approaches for the specific ratios in equation (22), as a function of the matrix entries of $\underline{M}(t)$ and $\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$, can be found in [52].

In Fig. 6, an example for using the part load model is shown. The inlet temperature t_{int} was kept constant, resulting in a four-dimensional engine state matrix $\underline{M}(t)$ from equation (1). In order to visualize the different impacts, the $m_{\text{air}}-m_{\text{fuel}}$ sample space is meshed with a much coarser mesh size than the T_i-n_{engine} sample space. The red separate subdivisions of the heat transfer coefficients result from the coarse mesh. The variation within one subdivision is the result of the T_i-n_{engine} sample space, which has a finer mesh. For comparison purposes, the full load line, resulting from stationary measurements on the engine test bench, is plotted as a blue reference line. The step function results from the engine speed discretization.




Figure 6: Example for using the part load model for a representative race lap. The T_1 - n_{engine} and m_{air} - m_{fuel} sample spaces are shown and discretized with different mesh sizes. Values are normed to corresponding maximum values. The resulting HTC sample space is shown on the right side: Values give the difference to the maximum, stationary HTC.

3.5. Transient heat transfer due to variations of water mass flow and temperature

3.5.1. Model reduction based on similarity mechanics

According to similarity mechanics, one can assume a correlation of the form $Nu = f(Re, Pr)$ for the water channel. Because of the large heat capacity of water, the temperature in the water jacket varies quite slowly. Its difference during one lap is in the range of 5 K. Therefore, the dependence on the Prandtl number Pr can be neglected. With the same argument, the viscosity in the Reynolds number can be ignored, resulting in a pure dependence of the water velocity. Actually, it is very expensive to simulate nearly every occurring water mass flow rate during one lap within a detailed CFD simulation. Additionally, if one wants to investigate solid temperature curves with transient simulations one ought to map the heat transfer coefficients at every time step on the solid mesh, resulting in an extremely high effort. Alternatively, one could simulate the fluid region with the transient Navier-Stokes equations simultaneously. However, due to turbulent flow with thin boundary layers, much more cells would be necessary in comparison with a pure solid simulation. Therefore, a new method is presented by simulating heat transfer by means of CFD for only one reference water mass flow rate. The result of this stationary reference simulation is a heat flux vector for each solid cell, e.g., a field function $\mathbf{q}_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x})$. Assuming a correlation $Nu = f(Re, Pr)$ with a Reynolds exponent m , following separation approach for the HTC in transient simulations can be formulated:

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \approx \tilde{c}_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x}) v_{\text{water}}^m(t) \sim c_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x}) n_{\text{engine}}^m(t). \quad (23)$$

The proportionality factors \tilde{c}_{ref} and c_{ref} contain implicitly the field function $\mathbf{q}_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x})$ from the stationary reference simulation. v_{water} is a characteristic velocity of the water channel

flow. Due to the water pump and the fixed transmission ratio with respect to the engine, this velocity is proportional to the engine speed. Consequently, the transient HTC can be approximated with

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \approx \alpha_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{n_{\text{engine}}(t)}{n_{\text{engine}}|_{\text{ref}}} \right)^m. \quad (24)$$

$n_{\text{engine}}|_{\text{ref}}$ is the engine speed in the stationary reference simulation. This reference engine speed should be chosen carefully according to the weighted average

$$n_{\text{engine}}|_{\text{ref}} = (\langle n^m \rangle)^{1/m}. \quad (25)$$

Due to the isothermal assumption in equation (24), temperature dependencies of the form $\propto \lambda/\nu^m Pr^n$ are neglected. In this case, the Prandtl exponent is described with n , and the thermal conductivity is denoted with λ . The kinematic viscosity is ν . The mapped reference heat transfer coefficient α_{ref} is determined by

$$\alpha_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\text{cond}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})}{(T_{\text{ref}} - T_s(\mathbf{x}))}. \quad (26)$$

In this case, \mathbf{q}_{cond} is the heat flux vector due to heat conduction in the solid part, and \mathbf{n} is the boundary normal vector. Moreover, T_{ref} is the specified reference temperature, e.g., the water inlet temperature, and T_s is the solid temperature of the wall next cell.

3.5.2. Increased heat transfer due to turbulence

The determination of the reference HTC $\alpha_{\text{ref}}(\mathbf{x})$ is based on a CFD-CHT calculation method. Therefore, the SST k - ω turbulence model by Menter [53] is used. According to the approach by Boussinesq, the Reynolds stress tensor \mathbf{R} is modelled with the turbulent viscosity $\nu_t(\mathbf{x}) = k\tilde{T}$ with the time scale $\tilde{T} = \max(\omega, SF_1/a_1)^{-1}$. F_1 is a smoothing function which is one at the wall and goes to zero with increasing wall distance. Balance equations are solved for the two additional field variables $k = 1/2\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \rangle$, with the velocity vector \mathbf{u} , and $\omega = \epsilon/k$. According to $\epsilon = 2\nu(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s})$, the turbulent dissipation is given by ϵ , with $\mathbf{s} = \text{sym}(\text{grad}(\mathbf{u}'))$. The dynamic viscosity is described by $\mu = \nu\rho$, and the density is given by ρ . The transport equations for a fixed control volume are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_V \rho k dV + \int_A \rho k \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle \cdot d\mathbf{a} \\ &= \int_A \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \text{grad}(k) \cdot d\mathbf{a} + \int_V G_k - \rho\beta^* (\omega k) dV, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_V \rho \omega dV + \int_A \rho \omega \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle \cdot d\mathbf{a} \\ &= \int_A \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\omega} \right) \text{grad}(\omega) \cdot d\mathbf{a} + \int_V [G_\omega - \beta\rho\omega^2 + D_\omega] dV. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

σ_k and σ_ω describe turbulent Prandtl numbers. β , β^* and a_1 are model parameters, and G_k is the production term according to $G_k = \mu_t S^2$, with $S = \sqrt{2\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \text{sym}(\text{grad}(\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle))$. $G_\omega = \rho\gamma S^2$ and $D_\omega \sim \rho/\omega \text{grad}(k) \cdot \text{grad}(\omega)$ describe additional

production terms, whereas γ is an additional model parameter. Increased heat transfer due to turbulence is calculated with the following expression

$$-\operatorname{div}(\langle \mathbf{u}'T' \rangle) = \operatorname{div}(\rho c_p a_t \operatorname{grad}(\langle T \rangle)). \quad (29)$$

The turbulent Prandtl number Pr_t , which describes the ratio between the turbulent viscosity ν_t and the turbulent temperature conductivity a_t , was set to 0.9. The heat capacity for constant pressure is described by c_p . Fluctuation values with respect to time are denoted by $(\cdot)'$. Boundary layer velocity and temperature is modelled with the help of the well known wall laws for the viscous and logarithmic layer [54].

4. Results and discussion

According to the heat transfer model according to Bargende, the necessary model calibration was done with a single cylinder engine. Afterwards, no modifications of the model parameters were carried out. For model validation, transient measurements on a full engine are used. Both engines are geometrically equal with respect to relevant sections like the water channel, the combustion chamber or the gas channels. 70 measuring points were mounted on a turbocharged engine, which is based on a Porsche racing application. Type K thermocouples with an uncertainty of 1 K were used for the measurement of solid temperatures. A heat-conducting paste with a thermal conductivity of 2.5 W/(mK) was put between the solid surface and the thermocouples. Fluid temperatures were measured with Pt100 sensors, which have an uncertainty of 0.053 K. Water temperatures were measured at the inlet and outlet. According to equation (26), these quantities are used as reference temperature for each time step during the transient simulation. Additionally, a measuring turbine for the volume flow rate was installed. In Fig. 7, some measuring points for one cylinder are shown. The holes for all measuring points ended about one millimeter below the surface.




Figure 7: Exemplary measuring points for one cylinder in red. For a clear presentation, some component edges are plotted. Upper row: Overview of all measuring points. Lower row left: Inlet and outlet channels as well as rings. Lower row centred: Liner shoulder with axial variation. Lower row right: Combustion chamber wall of cylinder head with spark plug and intermediate measuring point in between two cylinders.

4.1. Single cylinder calibration

As already mentioned, the HTC models were calibrated with a single cylinder engine. Therefore, one representative, stationary measuring point on the full load line was used: the engine speed was $n_{\text{engine}} = 6000$ rpm.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
λ_{cmb}	1.095	max. m_{fuel}	80.6 kg/h
t_{int}	293.15 K	t_{waterin}	373.65 K

Table 1: Test parameters for investigation of water heat flow and cylinder individual effects.

As an example, a transient drive with the test parameters presented in table 1 is investi-

gated. Firstly, the water heat transfer is shown in Fig. 8. As one can see, the maximum simulated heat flow is within the range of measurement inaccuracy. The heat flow is calculated with the volume flow rate, the heat capacity and the temperature difference between inlet and outlet. In the detail section below, one can see a slightly phase shift within the gear changes. The differences between simulation and experiment is about 10 percent at the beginning. On the left side, raw data are plotted. Because of the thermal inertia effect of sensors, measurement quality can be improved by correcting water temperatures according to $T_{\text{cor}} = T + \tau_c dT/dt$. The time constant τ_c can be determined by measuring the step response time. However, heat flow measurements have some potential sources of errors: Besides the measurement uncertainty of volume flow rates, the high sensitivity to temperature changes is challenging. Because of the large heat capacity of water, a small temperature change results in a high difference in heat flow. Additionally, the measuring positions of the sensors make some differences. In transient experiments, there is another aspect: strictly speaking, simultaneous measurement of inlet and outlet temperatures is not right. That is the reason for the slightly phase shift in Fig. 8: the water takes some time to flow through the complete engine. Moreover, pulsating flow can increase or decrease heat transfer in turbulent pipe flows. An analytical study about this problem can be found in [55] for laminar flows. In addition to the Reynolds number, there are much more system parameters which influence heat flow: Prandtl number, oscillating frequency and amplitude as well as the entry length of pipes. Experimental results with comparable parameters prevailing in engine water channels can be found in [56] or [57]. Choosing $Pr \approx 1$, $Re \approx 10^4 - 10^5$ and the oscillating frequency in the range of 1–5 Hz, the Nusselt number increase, respectively decrease, is about 10 percent. This can explain some discrepancies during gear changes.




Figure 8: Above: Complete transient water heat transfer for one racing lap. The settling time of about 10 seconds is not presented. The measurement tolerance takes the uncertainty of PT100 sensors into account. Values are referred to the maximum measured heat flow. Below left: Detail section of the third acceleration phase including some gear changes. Raw data are shown. Below right: Same detail section. Measured temperatures are modified according to $T_{cor} = T + \tau_c dT/dt$.

Secondly, some solid temperature curves are presented in Fig. 9. Interestingly, one can observe a different thermal behaviour for each engine section. The temperature at the inlet channel is quite constant and the differences between simulation and measurement are negligible small. In the initial phase within the first 30 seconds, the simulation shows a settling process. For the outlet channel, one can observe a strong degressive character for the temperature curve within acceleration phases. The temperature amplitudes are in the order of 20 K. The simulation shows weak over- and undershoots of about 3 K. However, the mean value is exact enough. The inlet rings show a step-wise linear system response for different time periods. Again, mean values are similar for measurement and simulation. Temperature measurements at the outlet rings are very challenging: Normally, the thermal conductivity is very low resulting in high temperature gradients within a ring. Typical values are about 50 K/mm. Small position deviations of the thermocouple result in high temperature discrepancies. In this case, it is a strong in-

trusive measurement method. The high thermal conductivity of thermocouples disturbs the temperature field and increases the heat flow from the outlet rings. Nevertheless, simulated mean values are comparable with measurements. However, the different transient behaviour is noticeable: The simulation shows a continually growing temperature, whereas the measured line is piecewise constant.




Figure 9: Simulated and measured temperature curves for various measurement positions. As reference temperature, the measured mean values were chosen. Above left: Inlet channel. Above right: Outlet channel. Below left: Inlet ring. Below right: Outlet ring.

4.2. Cylinder individual effects

Because of an unsymmetrical ignition sequence for the investigated engine, there are different volumetric efficiencies with unequal residual gas. As an example, in Fig. 10 two cylinder liner shoulders with different thermal behaviours are presented. For both cylinders, mean values as well as amplitudes of the simulated curves are in good agreement with experimental results. Over- or undershoots are temporarily in the range of 5 K. It is more interesting that the presented method is able to predict the characteristic curves for each cylinder. Observing an increasing temperature with a subsequent temperature drop at high-speed sections for the first cylinder, one can recognise a continual growth at cylinder four. As can be seen in Fig. 10, the main reason is the contrary progression of average cylinder gas temperatures with increasing engine speed. Cylinder four has a

more stoichiometric combustion, whereas cylinder one gets higher air-fuel ratios resulting in lower gas temperatures. This is physically meaningful because it is well known that the laminar flame speed and the adiabatic flame temperature have their maximum values when λ_{cmb} is a little bit lower than one [5]. Remember that Fig. 10 only shows average gas temperatures. According to equation (7), the statistically modified temperature $\langle \alpha T_{ref} \rangle / \langle \alpha \rangle$ is needed. For quantitatively accurate simulations, the progression of the HTC is at least as important as gas temperatures. In this case, the qualitative progression is the same for both cylinders. Cylinder one has some slightly smaller values for high engine speed sections.




Figure 10: Different thermal loads for cylinder liner shoulders due to individual air-fuel ratios resulting from unequal residual gas. Above right: Injected fuel quantity and lambda value under stationary full load conditions. Lowest fuel flow for cylinder four as well as the mean lambda for both cylinders at 4000 rpm are used as reference. Above left: Calculated HTC and ACT for both cylinders under full load state. In each case, the minimum values serve as a reference. Below: Simulated and measured transient thermal behaviour for both cylinders. Temperature differences according to measured mean values are plotted.

In addition, Fig. 11 shows some spatial differences at the cylinder liner shoulder. Measuring positions near the exhaust side have about 20K higher temperatures at the end of straight. Main reason is the less heat dissipation because of the hot exhaust channel of the cylinder head.




Figure 11: Temperature curves for different measuring positions at the cylinder liner shoulder of cylinder four. The mean temperature of the measured inlet temperature, front of the engine, is used as a reference.

4.3. Variation of water quantities - Simplified calculation method

4.3.1. Variation of water mass flow rate

In order to validate the proposed calculation method for transient water mass flow from chapter 3.5, stationary measurements with different water mass flow rates are compared with simulation results. Therefore, the engine speed was kept constant. A summary of engine parameters is given in table 2. As a reference water mass flow rate, a value of 2.32 kg/s was chosen.

Engine parameter	Value	Engine parameter	Value
n_{engine}	7000 rpm	t_{oilin}	364.15 K
t_{int}	310.15 K	t_{waterout}	361.65 K
t_{amb}	301.15 K	m_{fuel}	100 mg/stroke
λ_{cmb}	1.14	α_{ign}	29 °CA

Table 2: Engine parameters during variation of water mass flow rate

In Fig. 12, relative differences in the heat flux and the exhaust ring temperature are shown for two different model parameters m in equation (24). In literature, different values can be found: [58] investigated different forms for the Nusselt correlation in simple turbulent tube flows. They showed that the exponent strongly depends on the Prandtl number. Increasing Prandtl number results in higher exponents. Water temperatures

about 373 K are typical in engine applications. Using the proposed model in [58] values slightly larger than 0.8 should be expedient. In comparison with this model, experimental data by [59] show only a little bit lower values for the Nusselt number gradient. However, both results are based on a tube length of 1250 mm. [60] showed that the Reynolds exponent m gets smaller with shorter tube length. This is in accordance with the results presented in this paper. Much better results can be achieved by using Reynolds number exponents in the range of 0.7 instead of 0.8. Because of the complex geometry of engine water channels, models based on shorter tubes seems to be more expedient.




Figure 12: a) Relative heat flux to water concerning reference water mass flow rate at one cylinder. Two different Reynolds number exponents for equation (24) are shown. b) Resulting relative temperatures concerning reference water mass flow rate at the exhaust valve ring.

Higher values for the Reynolds number exponent result in a higher sensitivity to the relative heat flux concerning the reference water mass flow rate. In Fig. 12 a), the difference of heat flux to the water channel is shown for two simulations with values $m = 0.7$ and $m = 0.87$. The resulting solid temperatures are shown in Fig. 12 b). As already mentioned, values higher than 0.8 overpredict the sensitivity of the Nusselt number. Using the proposed method from chapter 3.5, a value in the range of 0.7 seems to be expedient. The corresponding simulated solid temperatures are in good agreement with the experimental results. In Fig. 13, a comparison of the field function $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$, according to equation (24), is shown.




Figure 13: Water channel around the exhaust valve seats: Comparison of the field function $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ for a water mass flow rate of 1.61 kg/s. Above: Reference HTC for a water mass flow rate of 2.32 kg/s. Lower left: HTC field resulting from a detailed CFD-CHT method with $k - \omega$ turbulence model and wall laws for velocity and temperature. Lower right: HTC field resulting from simplified method according to equation (24). The Reynolds exponent m was set to 0.7. Values give the relation to the maximum value of the reference simulation.




Figure 14: Same plot as Fig. 13. For a better comparison, values give the relation to the maximum value of the detailed CFD-CHT method for a water mass flow rate of 1.61 kg/s.

Quantitatively, the simplified method overpredicts the HTC values in the intermediate area of the valve seats about 20 percent. However, in some regions, e.g., several small

areas on the side walls, the values are a bit lower than the detailed simulation.

4.3.2. Variation of water inlet temperature

Beside the HTC, the reference temperature in equation (2) should be noted. Therefore, transient measurements with four different water inlet temperatures t_{waterin} are compared with corresponding transient simulations. Test parameters are given in table 3. As an example, temperature curves for two different water inlet temperatures are shown in Fig. 15 a). As one can see, a change in water inlet temperature results in a kind of temperature offset. In case of Fig. 15 a), this offset is a little bit lower than the change of the water inlet temperature.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
λ_{cmb}	1.095	max. m_{fuel}	80.6 kg/h
t_{int}	296.15 K	t_{waterin}	various

Table 3: Test parameters during variation of water inlet temperature.

Except of the first two acceleration phases, the simulated curves are below the measured lines. In Fig. 15 b), the change of temporal mean values for various measurement points of the cylinder head are shown.




Figure 15: a) Simulated and measured temperature curves for the intermediate measuring point in between cylinder one and two. The mean value of the measured curve ($t_{\text{waterin}}=383$ K) serves as a reference for all four curves. b) Change of mean values for various measuring points at the combustion chamber wall: Inlet water temperature was progressively reduced by 10 K. The reference point is $t_{\text{waterin}}=383$ K. The dashed blue lines serve as an orientation and correspond to perfect simulations.

The distinct three collections of dots correspond to a reduction of water inlet temperature from $t_{\text{waterin}}=383$ K to $t_{\text{waterin}}=353$ K. Measurement points at the combustion chamber wall of cylinder one, two and four are shown. A general high sensitivity to water inlet temperature can be observed. However, most of the points are slightly above the dashed blue orientation line. For these points, the simulation underestimates the sensitivity.

The intermediate positions of engine bank one and two correspond to points between two cylinders. The inboard points were radially positioned at two thirds of the cylinder diameter. The corresponding sideward points were around the circumference.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a transient calculation method for complex fluid-solid heat transfer problems is presented. Concerning the initial research question, how should a transient calculation method be established in order to simulate engine temperatures in the industrial practice, the result is as follows:

Using dimensional analysis and a stationary single cylinder engine calibration, the proposed method can predict solid temperatures accurate enough in the range of 0.1-1 Hz within a reasonable calculation time: Using 80 CPUs, a complete full engine, which was meshed with 13.5 million cells for the solid parts, could be simulated within three hours. The corresponding simulation time was one race lap with 180 seconds. Temperature mean values and amplitudes are in good agreement with experimental data. Different thermal behaviours of various engine components, cylinder-individual temperature effects, as well as the transient heat transfer within the water jacket can be simulated. Consequently, this work complements current simulation techniques in the field of transient thermal analysis of combustion engines in such a way that frequency ranges of 0.1-1 Hz can be successfully simulated.

Concerning the water jacket, at the end of straight, the difference between simulation and measurement for the heat flow was within the measurement tolerance. Under transient conditions during gear shifts, the error was less than 10 percent. Differences between the detailed and the simplified method in the local heat transfer coefficient near the valves were found to be in a maximum range of 20 percent. Overestimations, or underestimations respectively, of diverse temperature amplitudes are typically in the range of 2-4 K. Temporally, some values can be up to 7 K.

Further investigations, with a wider range of the engine load, should be made. Typical applications are series-production engines with a wide range of part load states.

6. Nomenclature

Symbol	Description	Unit
a_t	Turbulent temperature conductivity	m^2/s
A	Realisation of random variable α	$\text{W}/(\text{m}^2\text{K})$
a_1	Model parameter turbulence modelling	dimensionless
B	Engine bore	m
c_p	Specific heat at constant pressure	$\text{J}/(\text{kgK})$
$edges$	Matrix which represents \underline{M}	various
\tilde{f}	Arbitrary random variable	various
f_{slave}	Approximated inner integral according to equation (8)	various
f_{master}	Argument of outer integral according to equation (8)	various
k	Turbulent kinetic energy	$(\text{m}/\text{s})^2$
l	Characteristic eddy length scale	m
m	Re exponent	dimensionless
m_{air}	Mass flow of air	mg/stroke
m_{fuel}	Mass flow of fuel	mg/stroke
m_{water}	Mass flow of water	kg/s
\underline{M}	Five-dimensional engine state matrix of outer boundary conditions	various
$\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$	Stationary engine state matrix of outer boundary conditions for reference purposes	various
n	Pr exponent	dimensionless
n_{Sim}	Matrix row of pointer matrix P for a given simulation time t_{Sim}	dimensionless
n_{engine}	Engine speed	rounds per minute [rpm]

Symbol	Description	Unit
\underline{n}	5D random variable describing the engine state	various
N	Amount of substance	mol
\underline{N}	Realisation of random variable \underline{n}	various
\mathbf{n}	Boundary normal vector	dimensionless
Nu	Nusslet number	dimensionless
p	Static pressure	Pa
$p_{\alpha\underline{n}}$	Joint probability density function on α and n	dimensionless
p_n	Probability density function on n	dimensionless
\hat{p}_n	Normed histogram; Approximated probability density function on n	dimensionless
$p_{\alpha \underline{n}}$	Conditional probability density function on α with regard to \underline{n}	dimensionless
P	Pointer matrix	dimensionless
Pr	Prandtl number ν/a	dimensionless
Pr_t	Turbulent Prandtl number ν_t/a_t	dimensionless
\mathbf{q}	Heat flux vector	W/m ²
\mathbf{q}_{cond}	Heat flux vector due to heat conduction in the solid	W/m ²
R	Universal gas constant	J/(molK)
Re	Reynolds number lv/ν	dimensionless
Re_j	Exhaust jet Reynolds number lv_j/ν	dimensionless
t_{int}	Inlet temperature of air	K
t_{amb}	Ambient temperature of air	K
$t_{waterin}$	Inlet water temperature	K
$t_{waterout}$	Outlet water temperature	K

Symbol	Description	Unit
t_{oilin}	Inlet oil temperature	K
t	Physical time	s
t_{Sim}	Simulation time	s
T	Temperature	K
T_{ref}	Reference temperature of fluid	K
\bar{T}_g	Cylinder-average gas temperature	K
T_{ub}	Unburnt gas temperature	K
T_s	Solid temperature	K
T_i	Indicated torque by combustion	Nm
\mathbf{u}	Velocity vector	m/s
v	Characteristic velocity	m/s
v_j	Exhaust jet velocity through valve opening	m/s
v_p	Current piston speed	m/s
v_c	Scaled combustion convection	m/s
V	Volume	m ³
x	Ratio between burnt mass and complete in-cylinder mass	dimensionless
\mathbf{x}	Position vector	m
y	Ratio between burnt volume and complete in-cylinder volume	dimensionless

Greek symbols

Symbol	Description	Unit
α	Heat transfer coefficient	W/(m ² K)

Symbol	Description	Unit
α_{stat}	Heat transfer coefficient for stationary engine state $\underline{M}_{\text{stat}}$	W/(m ² K)
α_{ref}	Reference heat transfer coefficient	W/(m ² K)
α_{cr}	Crank angle	°CA
α_{ign}	Ignition crank angle	°CA
β	Model parameter turbulence modelling	dimensionless
β^*	Model parameter turbulence modelling	dimensionless
γ	Model parameter turbulence modelling	dimensionless
ε	Turbulent dissipation	m ² /s ³
ε_c	Model constant for turbulent dissipation	dimensionless
κ	Isentropic exponent	dimensionless
λ	Thermal conductivity	W/(mK)
λ_{emb}	Ratio between actual air mass and stoichiometric air mass	dimensionless
μ	Dynamic viscosity	kg/(ms)
μ_t	Turbulent dynamic viscosity	kg/(ms)
ν	Kinematic viscosity μ/ρ	m ² /s
ν_t	Turbulent kinematic viscosity μ_t/ρ	m ² /s
ρ	Mass density	kg/m ³
σ_k	Turbulent Prandtl number for k	dimensionless
σ_ω	Turbulent Prandtl number for ω	dimensionless
ω	Turbulent frequency $\omega = \varepsilon/k$	1/s

Mathematical Notation

Symbol	Description
$\text{grad}(\cdot)$	Gradient: $\frac{\partial(\cdot)}{\partial u^k} \mathbf{g}^k$ with contravariant component u^k and corresponding reciprocal basis \mathbf{g}^k .
$\text{div}(\cdot)$	Divergence: $\text{grad}(\cdot) [\mathbf{I}]$
\mathbf{I}	Second order identity tensor, which maps each vector \mathbf{a} onto itself: $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$, $\forall \mathbf{a}$.
$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$	Scalar product according to $a_i b^i$ with covariant component a_i and contravariant component b^i .
$\mathbf{A} \cdot \cdot \mathbf{B}$	Scalar product according to $A_{ij} B^{ij}$ with covariant component A_{ij} and contravariant component B^{ij} .
$\text{sym}(\mathbf{A})$	Symmetric part of a tensor \mathbf{A} according to $\text{sym}(\mathbf{A}) = 1/2 (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^\top)$.
\mathbf{A}^\top	Transposed tensor of tensor \mathbf{A} defined by: $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a})$ with arbitrary vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} .
$\langle \cdot \rangle$	Expectation value with respect to time
$(\cdot)'$	Fluctuation value with respect to time

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
<i>ACT</i>	Average Cylinder Temperature
<i>ECU</i>	Electronic Control Unit
<i>FVM</i>	Finite Volume Method
<i>FEM</i>	Finite Element Method
<i>HTC</i>	Heat Transfer Coefficient
<i>IVC</i>	Inlet Valve Closing
<i>PDF</i>	Probability Density Function

7. References

References

- [1] J. S. Robinson, R. L. Cudd, J. T. Evans, Creep resistant aluminium alloys and their applications, Mater. Sci. Technol. 19 (2) (2003) 143–155. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1179/026708303225009373>.
- [2] H. J. Frost, M. F. Ashby, [Deformation-Mechanism Maps - The Plasticity and Creep of Metals and Ceramics](http://engineering.dartmouth.edu/defmech/).
URL <http://engineering.dartmouth.edu/defmech/>

- [3] Z. Gao, J. C. Conklin, C. S. Daw, V. K. Chakravarthy, A proposed methodology for estimating transient engine-out temperature and emissions from steady- state maps, *Int. J. Engine Res.* 11 (2010) 137–151. doi:[10.1243/14680874JER05609](https://doi.org/10.1243/14680874JER05609).
- [4] R. M. Heck, R. J. Farrauto, Automobile exhaust catalysts, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 221 (2001) 443–457. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X\(01\)00818-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00818-3).
- [5] J. Warnatz, U. Maas, R. W. Dibble, *Combustion*, 4th Edition, Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2006.
- [6] G. Eichelberg, Some investigations on old combustion-engine problems. Parts I and II., *Engineering* 148 (1939) 463–466, 547–550.
- [7] G. Woschni, A Universally Applicable Equation for the Instantaneous Heat Transfer Coefficient in the Internal Combustion Engine, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 670931, 1967. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/670931>.
- [8] G. F. Hohenberg, Advance approaches for heat transfer calculation, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 790825, 1979. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/790825>.
- [9] C. Schubert, A. Wimmer, F. Chmela, Advanced Heat Transfer Model for CI Engines, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 2005-01-0695, SAE international, 2005. doi:[10.4271/2005-01-0695](https://doi.org/10.4271/2005-01-0695).
- [10] F. Payri, X. Margot, A. Gil, J. Martin, Computational Study of Heat Transfer to the Walls of a DI Diesel Engine, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 2005-01-0210, SAE International, 2005. doi:[10.4271/2005-01-0210](https://doi.org/10.4271/2005-01-0210).
- [11] A. Mohammadi, M. Yaghoubi, M. Rashidi, Analysis of local convective heat transfer in a spark ignition engine, *Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf.* 35 (2) (2008) 215–224. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2007.06.008>.
- [12] A. Mohammadi, M. Yaghoubi, Estimation of instantaneous local heat transfer coefficient in spark-ignition engines, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 49 (7) (2010) 1309–1317. doi:[10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2009.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2009.12.010).
- [13] M. Chiodi, M. Bargende, Improvement of Engine Heat-Transfer Calculation in the Three-Dimensional Simulation Using a Phenomenological Heat-Transfer Model, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 2001-01-3601, SAE international, 2001. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2001-01-3601>.
- [14] S. Broekaert, T. D. Cuyper, M. D. Paepe, S. Verhelst, Evaluation of empirical heat transfer models for HCCI combustion in a CFR engine, *Appl. Energy* 205 (2017) 1141–1150. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.08.100>.
- [15] H. S. Soyhan, H. Yasar, H. Walmsley, B. Head, G. T. Kalghatgi, C. Sorousbay, Evaluation of heat transfer correlations for HCCI engine modeling, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 29 (2-3) (2009) 541–549. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2008.03.014>.
- [16] J. Chang, O. Güralp, Z. Filipi, D. N. Assanis, T.-W. Kuo, P. Najt, R. Rask, New Heat Transfer Correlation for an HCCI Engine Derived from Measurements of Instantaneous Surface Heat Flux, in: *SAE Tech. Pap.* 2004-01-2996, SAE international, 2004. doi:[10.4271/2004-01-2996](https://doi.org/10.4271/2004-01-2996).
- [17] J. Michl, J. Neumann, H. Rottengruber, M. Wensing, Derivation and validation of a heat transfer model in a hydrogen combustion engine, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 98 (2016) 502–512. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.12.062>.
- [18] J. Demuynck, M. D. Paepe, H. Huisseune, R. Sierens, J. Vancoillie, S. Verhelst, Investigation of the influence of engine settings on the heat flux in a hydrogen- and methane-fueled spark ignition engine, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 31 (2011) 1220–1228. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2010.12.023>.
- [19] T. Shudo, Y. Nakajima, T. Futakuchi, Thermal efficiency analysis in a hydrogen premixed combustion engine, *JSAE Rev.* 21 (2) (2000) 177–182. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0389-4304\(99\)00091-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0389-4304(99)00091-0).
- [20] T. D. Cuyper, J. Demuynck, S. Broekaert, M. D. Paepe, S. Verhelst, Heat transfer in premixed spark ignition engines part II : Systematic analysis of the heat transfer phenomena, *Energy* 116 (2016) 851–860. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.10.032>.
- [21] S. Fontanesi, G. Cicalese, A. Tiberi, Combined In-cylinder / CHT Analyses for the Accurate Estimation of the Thermal Flow Field of a High Performance Engine for Sport Car Applications, in: *SAE 2013 World Congr. Exhib.*, SAE International, 2013. doi:[10.4271/2013-01-1088](https://doi.org/10.4271/2013-01-1088).
- [22] S. Fontanesi, M. Giacomini, Multiphase CFD-CHT optimization of the cooling jacket and FEM analysis of the engine head of a V6 diesel engine, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 52 (2) (2013) 293–303. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2012.12.005>.
- [23] S. Fontanesi, G. Cicalese, A. D’Adamo, G. Pivetti, Validation of a CFD Methodology for the Analysis of Conjugate Heat Transfer in a High Performance SI Engine, in: *10th Int. Conf. Engines Veh.*, SAE International, 2011. doi:[10.4271/2011-24-0132](https://doi.org/10.4271/2011-24-0132).

- [24] X. Su, M. Zubeck, J. Lasecki, C. C. E.-p. Jr, C. Tang, J. Allison, Thermal Fatigue Analysis of Cast Aluminum Cylinder Heads, in: SAE Tech. Pap. 2002-01-0657, SAE International, 2002. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2002-01-0657>.
- [25] M. Metzger, M. Leidenfrost, E. Werner, H. Riedel, T. Seifert, Lifetime Prediction of EN-GJV 450 Cast Iron Cylinder Heads under Combined Thermo-Mechanical and High Cycle Fatigue Loading, SAE Int. J. Engines 7 (2) (2014) 2014-01-9047. doi:[10.4271/2014-01-9047](https://doi.org/10.4271/2014-01-9047).
- [26] T. Seifert, H. Riedel, Fatigue Life Prediction of High Temperature Components in Combustion Engines and Exhaust Systems, in: Proc. 4Th EASC, 2009.
- [27] E. Nicouleau, F. Feyel, S. Quilici, G. Cailletaud, Structural calculation and lifetime-prediction in thermomechanical fatigue of engine components, in: Temp. Interact., Vol. 29 of European Structural Integrity Society, 2002, pp. 331-340. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1566-1369\(02\)80089-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1566-1369(02)80089-9).
- [28] A. Mohammadi, M. Yaghoubi, M. Rashidi, Analysis of local convective heat transfer in a spark ignition engine, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf. 35 (2) (2008) 215-224. doi:[10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2007.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2007.06.008).
- [29] V. Esfahanian, A. Javaheri, M. Ghaffarpour, Thermal analysis of an SI engine piston using different combustion boundary condition treatments, Appl. Therm. Eng. 26 (2-3) (2006) 277-287. doi:[10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2005.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2005.05.002).
- [30] S. Kenningley, R. Morgenstern, Thermal and Mechanical Loading in the Combustion Bowl Region of Light Vehicle Diesel AlSiCuNiMg Pistons; Reviewed with Emphasis on Advanced Finite Element Analysis and Instrumented Engine Testing Techniques, in: SAE Tech. Pap. 2012-01-1330, SAE International, 2012. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-01-1330>.
- [31] C. Rakopoulos, G. Mavropoulos, Study of the steady and transient temperature field and heat flow in the combustion chamber components of a medium speed diesel engine using finite element analyses, Int. J. Energy Res. 20 (1996) 437-464. doi:[10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-114X\(199605\)20:5<437::AID-ER169>3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-114X(199605)20:5<437::AID-ER169>3.0.CO;2-J).
- [32] S. B. Pope, Turbulent Flows, 7th Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [33] P. Hölz, T. Böhlke, T. Krämer, CFD-CHT calculation method using Buckingham Pi-Theorem for complex fluid-solid heat transfer problems with scattering boundary conditions, Int. J. Automot. Engine Technol. (2018) 1-16 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41104-018-0026-z>.
- [34] J. A. Caton, J. B. Heywood, An experimental and analytical study of heat transfer in an engine exhaust port, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 24 (4) (1981) 581-595. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310\(81\)90003-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(81)90003-X).
- [35] L. C. Yang, A. Hamada, K. Ohtsubo, Engine Valve Temperature Simulation System, in: SAE Tech. Pap. 2000-01-0564, SAE International, 2000. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2000-01-0564>.
- [36] M. Bargende, Ein Gleichungssatz zur Berechnung der instationären Wandwärmeverluste im Hochdruckteil von Ottomotoren, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt (1991).
- [37] M. Bargende, M. Heinle, H.-J. Berner, Einige Ergänzungen zur Berechnung der Wandwärmeverluste in der Prozessrechnung, in: 13th Symp. Work. Process Intern. Combust. Engine, Graz, 2011, pp. 45-63.
- [38] D. Lejsek, A. Kulzer, J. Hammer, A novel transient wall heat transfer approach for the start-up of SI engines with gasoline direct injection, Heat Mass Transf. 46 (10) (2010) 1053-1067. doi:[10.1007/s00231-010-0712-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00231-010-0712-5).
- [39] C. Borgnakke, V. S. Arpacı, R. J. Tabaczynski, A Model for the Instantaneous Heat Transfer and Turbulence in a Spark Ignition Engine, in: Automot. Eng. Congr. Expo., SAE International, 1980. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/800287>.
- [40] P. Kozuch, Ein phänomenologisches Modell zur kombinierten Stickoxid- und Rußberechnung bei direktein-spritzenden Dieselmotoren Peter Kozuch, Ph.D. thesis (2004).
- [41] M. Grill, T. Billinger, M. Bargende, Quasi-Dimensional Modeling of Spark Ignition Engine Combustion with Variable Valve Train, in: SAE 2006 World Congr. Exhib., SAE International, 2006. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2006-01-1107>.
- [42] M. Grill, Objektorientierte Prozessrechnung von Verbrennungsmotoren, Ph.D. thesis, Fakultät Maschinenbau der Universität Stuttgart (2006).
- [43] C. LIU, D. JIANG, T. OBOKATA, A Simplified Turbulence Model for In-cylinder Gas Flow in Quasi-dimensional Turbulence Combustion Model for Spark-ignition Engines, in: Int. Fuels Lubr. Meet. Expo., SAE International, 2000. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2000-01-2803>.
- [44] T. K. Lee, Z. S. Filipi, Improving the predictiveness of the quasi-d combustion model for spark ignition engines with flexible intake systems, Int. J. Automot. Technol. 12 (1) (2011) 1-9. doi:[10.1007/s12239-011-0001-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12239-011-0001-4).
- [45] V. D. Bellis, E. Severi, S. Fontanesi, F. Bozza, Hierarchical 1D / 3D approach for the development

- of a turbulent combustion model applied to a VVA turbocharged engine . Part I : turbulence model, *Energy Procedia* 45 (2014) 829–838. doi:[10.1016/j.egypro.2014.01.088](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.01.088).
- [46] V. De Bellis, F. Bozza, S. Fontanesi, E. Severi, F. Berni, Development of a Phenomenological Turbulence Model through a Hierarchical 1D/3D Approach Applied to a VVA Turbocharged Engine, *SAE Int. J. Engines* 9 (1) (2016) 506–519. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2016-01-0545>.
- [47] F. Bozza, L. Teodosio, V. De Bellis, S. Fontanesi, A. Iorio, Refinement of a 0D Turbulence Model to Predict Tumble and Turbulent Intensity in SI Engines. Part II: Model Concept, Validation and Discussion, in: SAE Tech. Pap. 2018-01-0856, 2018. doi:[10.4271/2018-01-0856](https://doi.org/10.4271/2018-01-0856).
- [48] A. Sanli, A. N. Ozsezen, I. Kilicaslan, M. Canakci, The influence of engine speed and load on the heat transfer between gases and in-cylinder walls at fired and motored conditions of an IDI diesel engine, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 28 (11-12) (2008) 1395–1404. doi:[10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2007.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2007.10.005).
- [49] A. Irimescu, Convective heat transfer equation for turbulent flow in tubes applied to internal combustion engines operated under motored conditions, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 50 (1) (2013) 536–545. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2012.06.051>.
- [50] S. Broekaert, J. Demuyndck, T. D. Cuyper, M. D. Paepe, S. Verhelst, Heat transfer in premixed spark ignition engines part I : Identification of the factors influencing heat transfer, *Energy* 116 (2016) 380–391. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.08.065>.
- [51] J. Demuyndck, M. De Paepe, L. Sileghem, J. Vancoillie, S. Verhelst, K. Chana, Applying Design of Experiments to Determine the Effect of Gas Properties on In-Cylinder Heat Flux in a Motored SI Engine, *SAE Int. J. Engines* 5 (3) (2012) 1286–1299. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-01-1209>.
- [52] P. Hölz, T. Böhlke, T. Krämer, Fast algorithms for generating thermal boundary conditions in combustion chambers, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 141 (2018) 101–113. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.05.099>.
- [53] F. R. Menter, Two-Equation Eddy-Viscosity Turbulence Models for Engineering Applications, *AIAA J.* 32 (8) (1994) 1598–1605. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2514/3.12149>.
- [54] J. H. Ferziger, M. Peric, *Computational Methods for Fluid Dynamics*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
- [55] T. Moschandreou, M. Zamir, Heat transfer in a tube with pulsating flow and constant heat flux, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 40 (10) (1997) 2461–2466. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310\(96\)00266-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(96)00266-9).
- [56] J. T. Patel, M. H. Attal, An Experimental Investigation of Heat Transfer Characteristics of Pulsating Flow in Pipe, *Int. J. Curr. Eng. Technol.* 6 (5) (2016) 1515–1521.
- [57] M. A. Habib, A. M. Attya, S. A. M. Said, A. I. Eid, A. Z. Aly, Heat transfer characteristics and Nusselt number correlation of turbulent pulsating pipe air flows, *Heat Mass Transf.* 40 (2004) 307–318. doi:[10.1007/s00231-003-0456-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00231-003-0456-6).
- [58] D. Taler, J. Taler, Simple heat transfer correlations for turbulent tube flow, in: E3S Web Conf., Vol. 13, 2017. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20171302008>.
- [59] S. Eiamsa-Ard, P. Promvong, Thermal characteristics in round tube fitted with serrated twisted tape, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 30 (13) (2010) 1673–1682. doi:[10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2010.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2010.03.026).
- [60] K. Uchida, T. Suzuki, Y. Oguri, M. Yoshida, Precise Measurement of Heat Transfer to the Inlet Air using Intake Port Model, in: SAE Tech. Pap. 2005-01-0999, SAE International, 2005. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2005-01-0999>.